

Supplemental Table

Supplemental Table 1. Toxicity of NPs to different organisms.

NPs	Advertised particle size (nm)	TEM/SEM measured size (nm)	DLS (nm)	Zeta potential (mV)	Test species	Effects	Reference
TiO ₂	25	N/A	N/A	N/A	green algae	EC ₅₀ = 44 mg/L	1
	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	<i>D. subspicatus</i>	EC ₅₀ was beyond the tested range, > 50 mg/L	1
	25-70	N/A	N/A	N/A	algae <i>P. subcapitata</i>	EC ₅₀ = 5.83 mg Ti/L	2
	25-70	N/A	N/A	N/A	<i>S. cerevisiae</i> S288C	not toxic even at 20000 mg/L	3
	N/A	17-64	N/A	N/A	<i>E. coli</i>	LC ₅₀ (light) = 0.16 mg /L LC ₅₀ (dark) = 4.63 mg /L	4
	27.5	14.2-64.6	N/A	N/A	human lung epithelial cells	not cytotoxic at any concentration up to 100 µg/mL	5
	N/A	91	N/A	+ 48.8	in human peripheral blood lymphocytes ⁴ or BEAS-2B/ IMR-90 cells	no significant induction of DNA damage based on the comet assay	6
	25	N/A	371±323	-18 ± 4.5	<i>S. oneidensis</i>	no significant change in cell survival with increasing concentration	7
	< 25	N/A	185 ± 40	N/A	waste activated sludge	doses up to no inhibitory effect at 150 mg/ g-TSS	8
	50	N/A	N/A	-21	<i>B. subtilis</i> , <i>E. coli</i> and <i>P. fluorescens</i>	did not affect bacterial populations	9
66	N/A	330	N/A	<i>B. subtilis</i> and	resulting in 75% growth reduction with 1000 ppm	10	

				<i>E. coli</i>	resulting in 72% growth reduction with 5000 ppm	
				<i>Vibrio fischeri</i>	EC ₅₀ > 20000 mg/L	
25-70	N/A	N/A	N/A	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	LC ₅₀ ~ 20000 mg/L	11
				<i>Thamnocephalus platyurus</i>	LC ₅₀ > 20000 mg/L	
25-70	N/A	N/A	N/A	<i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i>	EC ₅₀ = 5.83 mg Ti/L	2
50-70	N/A	N/A	N/A	algae <i>P. subcapitata</i>	EC ₅₀ ~ 0.04 mg Zn/L	2
				<i>E. coli</i>	EC ₅₀ = 115.7 mg/L	
50-70	N/A	N/A	N/A	<i>B. subtilis</i>	EC ₅₀ = 85.8 mg/L	12
				<i>S. aureus</i>	EC ₅₀ >125 mg/L	
13	N/A	N/A	N/A	<i>E. coli</i>	complete inhibition of <i>E. coli</i> growth at concentrations > 3.4mM	13
				<i>S. aureus</i>	growth of <i>S. aureus</i> was completely inhibited at concentrations > 1mM	
ZnO	100	N/A	N/A	Mouse macrophage (Ana-1) cell line	IC ₅₀ = 35.26 mg/L	14
	30	N/A	N/A	Mouse macrophage (Ana-2) cell line	IC ₅₀ = 32.43 mg/L	13
	10-30	N/A	N/A	Mouse macrophage (Ana-3) cell line	IC ₅₀ = 24.84 mg/L	13
	50-70	N/A	N/A	<i>S. cerevisiae</i> S288C	EC ₅₀ = 121–134 mg ZnO/L	12
	150	N/A	N/A	<i>S. agalactiae</i>	0.12 M caused more than 95% inhibition of bacterial growth, 1.2 × 10 ⁻³ M, a slight decrease in the number of bacteria colonies was detected	15

				<i>S. aureus</i>	6×10^{-4} M, a slight decrease in the number of bacteria colonies was detected	
N/A	19 ± 7	N/A	-2.9 ± 0.3	<i>E. coli</i>	the bacterial mortality at ZnO NPs concentration of 50 mg/L are 40% in Tap water, 20% in Xixi River and West Lake, and 10% in Qiantang River	16
N/A	47-106	N/A	N/A	<i>E. coli</i>	LC ₅₀ (light) = 0.048 mg/L LC ₅₀ (dark) = 0.13 mg/L	4
25	N/A	N/A	N/A	human myeloblastic leukemia cells (HL60)	CC ₅₀ = 52.80 µg/mL	17
42	N/A	111±44	- 6.14	normal peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs)	CC ₅₀ = 741.82 µg/mL	18
<100	N/A	140 ± 20	N/A	human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs)	decreased the cellular viability to about 40% of the control at 240 µM	8
20	N/A	N/A	-5	waste activated sludge	inhibitory effect with its dosages increased	9
67	N/A	480	N/A	<i>B. subtilis</i> , <i>E. coli</i> and <i>P. fluorescens</i>	100% mortality	10
50-70	N/A	N/A	N/A	<i>B. subtilis</i>	90% growth reduction at 10 ppm	11
				<i>E. coli</i>	48% growth reduction at 1000 ppm	
				<i>Vibrio fischeri</i>	EC ₅₀ = 1.9 ± 0.2 mg/L	
				<i>Daphnia magna</i>	LC ₅₀ = 3.2 ± 1.3 mg/L	
				<i>Thamnocephalus platyurus</i>	LC ₅₀ = 0.18 ± 0.03 mg/L	
50-70	N/A	N/A	N/A	<i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i>	EC ₅₀ ~ 0.04 mg Zn/L	2

Ag	20	20.3 ± 1.9	27.0 ± 10.8	- 47.1 ± 1.9	RAW 264.7	EC ₂₀ = 7 mg/L	
					L929 cells	EC ₂₀ = 2.8 mg/L	
	80	79.8 ± 5.1	79 ± 25.2	- 49.7 ± 1.8	RAW 264.7	EC ₂₀ = 38 mg/L	19
					L929 cells	EC ₂₀ = 17 mg/L	
	110	112.6 ± 7.8	111.6 ± 29.9	- 54.5 ± 1.8	RAW 264.7	EC ₂₀ = 100 mg/L	
					L929 cells	EC ₂₀ > 12 mg/L	
	40	46 ± 21	N/A	-13.6	human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs)	DNA damage after 1, 3, and 24 h at 0.1 mg/L	20
	15				rat liver derived cell line (BRL 3A)	EC ₅₀ = 24 ± 7.25 mg/L	21
	100	N/A	N/A	N/A		EC ₅₀ = 19 ± 5.2 mg/L	
	less than 10	N/A	N/A	N/A	human hepatoma cells	IC ₅₀ = 3.38 ± 0.55 µg/mL	22
	N/A	50 ± 20	85	-30	Human mesenchymal stem cells	57% growth reduction for 20 µg/mL, and 100% growth reduction for 25 µg/mL	23
	9-21	N/A	N/A	N/A	Nitrifying Bacteria	EC ₅₀ = 0.14 mg/L	24
	7-10	N/A	N/A	N/A	human hepatoma cell line, HepG2	cytotoxicity at higher doses (>1.0 mg/L)	25
	10-20	N/A	N/A	N/A	ammonia-oxidizing bacteria	ammonoxidation inhibition by nano-Ag was dependant on the level of concentration	26
N/A	57 ± 20	143 ± 9	-44.7 ± 1.6	Natural Estuarine Plankton Community	the growth rates of both phytoplankton and bacterioplankton populations were significantly reduced by Ag NPs at concentrations of ≥ 500 µg/L	27	
25	N/A	76.65 ± 5.73	- 4.76 ± 0.19	HEK293T cell	IC ₅₀ = 30 µg/mL	28	

	N/A	54.3±5.3	101.9±3.3	- 9.9±0.4	the HaCaT cell	LD ₅₀ = 54.3 µg/mL	29
	100			-37.3	the human LoVo cell line	the 100 nm nanoparticles exerted indirect effects via serine/threonine protein kinase (PAK), mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), and phosphatase 2A pathways,	30
	20	N/A	N/A			the 20 nm nanoparticles induced direct effects on cellular stress, including generation of reactive oxygen species and protein carbonylation.	
	10					10 nm AgNPs induced more apoptotic cells than the larger particles (i.e., 50 and 100 nm).	
	50	N/A	N/A	N/A	MC3T3-E1 cells		31
	100						
	30	N/A	N/A	N/A	algae <i>P. subcapitata</i>	EC ₅₀ = 0.71 mg Cu/L	2
					<i>E. coli</i>	EC ₅₀ = 28.6 mg/L	
	20-30	N/A	N/A	N/A	<i>B. subtilis</i>	EC ₅₀ = 61.1 mg/L	12
					<i>S. aureus</i>	EC ₅₀ = 65.9 mg/L	
	30	N/A	N/A	N/A	<i>S. cerevisiae</i> S288C	EC ₅₀ = 13.4 mg/L	3
CuO						the formation of superoxide anions, hydrogen peroxide and single-stranded DNA already at very low sub-toxic levels (0.1 mg Cu/L)	
	30	N/A	192.5	N/A	<i>E. coli</i> biosensor		32
	N/A	92 ± 12	N/A	N/A	activated sludge	The sludge flocs were unstable after exposure to CuO NPs (50 mg/L)	33
	N/A	17-45	N/A	N/A	<i>E. coli</i>	LC ₅₀ (light) = 1.68 mg/L LC ₅₀ (dark) = 58.3 mg/L	4

	30	N/A	194 ± 16.9	31.9 ± 7.31	Yeast <i>S. cerevisiae</i> BY4741	IC ₅₀ = 4.8 mg/L in DI IC ₅₀ = 643 mg/L in YPD	34
	30	N/A	N/A	N/A	<i>Vibrio fischeri</i> <i>Daphnia magna</i>	EC ₅₀ = 79 ± 27 mg/L LC ₅₀ = 3.2 ± 1.6 mg/L	11
	30	N/A	N/A	N/A	<i>Thamnocephalus platyurus</i> Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata	LC ₅₀ = 2.1 ± 0.5 mg/L EC ₅₀ = 0.71 mg Cu/L	2
NiO	10 - 20	N/A	N/A	N/A	<i>E. coli</i> <i>B. subtilis</i> <i>S. aureus</i>	EC ₅₀ = 160.2 mg/L EC ₅₀ = 121.9 mg/L EC ₅₀ = 121.1 mg/L	12
Sb ₂ O ₃	90 - 210	N/A	N/A	N/A	<i>E. coli</i> Bacillus subtilis, and Streptococcus aureus	EC ₅₀ = 265.5, 144.7, 324 mg L ⁻¹	12
	150	N/A	N/A	N/A	rat liver derived cell line (BRL 3A)	EC ₅₀ = 174.68 ± 26 mg/L EC ₅₀ = 171.58 ± 25 mg/L	21
MoO ₃	30	400nm long, 100 - 200 nm wide	N/A	N/A	iMCF-7	IC ₅₀ = 275 µg/mL	35
	7	N/A	15	N/A		DNA damage	36
	N/A	20 ± 3	N/A	N/A		cell membrane damage	37
CeO ₂	less than 25	N/A	N/A	N/A	anaerobic granule floculent sludge	a reduction of 15 - 19% for the flocculent sludge at the dosage of 5, 50 and 150 mg CeO ₂ NPs/g-VSS a reduction of 35% for the granular sludge at 150 mg CeO ₂ NPs/g-VSS.	38

	N/A	10 - 20	N/A	N/A	freshwater alga <i>(P. subcapitata)</i>	EC ₅₀ = 10.3 ± 1.7 mg/L	39
	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	<i>E. coli</i>	lethal	40
	33	N/A	125 ± 38	- 6.64	human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs)	CeO ₂ NPs did not show toxic effects even with doubling of tested dosage to 480 µM	18
Au	10 - 20	N/A	N/A	N/A	ammonia-oxidizing bacteria	no significant effect on ammoxidation	26
Gold nanorods	N/A	18 × 40	N/A	N/A	in HeLa cells	less toxicity	41
Co ₃ O ₄	N/A	51-132	N/A	N/A	<i>E. coli</i>	LC ₅₀ (light) = 35.06 mg/L LC ₅₀ (dark) = 55.56 mg/L	4
graphene oxide (GO)	N/A	The lateral length and thickness of GO were approximately 0.5–5 µm and 0.8–1.2 nm	295 - 825			did not show significant differences compared with the control at 10 mg/L GO	
carboxyl single-walled carbon nanotubes (C-SWCNT)	N/A	The outer diameter, inner diameter and length of C-SWCNT were approximately 1–2 nm, 0.8– 1.6, and 0.5–3 µm,	396 - 712	N/A	<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i>	with 0.8–28.3% inhibition at 97 h	42

SWCNT	N/A	an average outer diameter of 1.2 nm, lengths in the range of 10 to 20 μ m	N/A	N/A	<i>E. coli</i> cell growth and biofilm formation	biofilms in aquatic systems can potentially recover from the toxic effects of SWNTs after long exposure times (48 h)	43
	N/A	>150 nm long	N/A	N/A	RAW 264.7, a macrophage-like cell line.	single-walled carbon nanotubes, and amorphous silica resulted in a lower toxicity	44
Silica	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	in A549 (a human lung cell line)	no differences in the induction of pulmonary inflammation and lactate dehydrogenase	45
SiO ₂	10–20	N/A	110 \pm 40	N/A	waste activated sludge	no inhibitory effect	8
porous SiO ₂	N/A	25 \pm 4	N/A	-16.94	Immune Cells	do not influence mast cell viability	46
nonporous SiO ₂	N/A	11 \pm 5	N/A	-13.58		cell viability drops significantly to 72%	
SiO ₂	20	N/A	N/A	+35	<i>B. subtilis</i>	killed 40% of <i>B. subtilis</i>	
					<i>E. coli</i>	killed 58% of <i>E. coli</i>	9
					<i>P. fluorescens</i>	killed 70% of <i>P. fluorescens</i>	
SiO ₂	14	N/A	205	N/A	<i>B. subtilis</i>	least toxic	10
	30				<i>E. coli</i>		
Fe ₃ O ₄	70	N/A	N/A	N/A	rat liver derived cell line (BRL 3A)	EC ₅₀ > 250 mg/L	21
Al	103	N/A	N/A	N/A	rat liver derived cell line (BRL 3A)	EC ₅₀ > 250 mg/L	21
Al ₂ O ₃	60	N/A	N/A	+ 30	<i>B. subtilis</i>	a mortality rate of 57% to <i>B. subtilis</i>	9

					<i>E. coli</i>	a mortality rate of 36% to <i>E. coli</i>	
					<i>P. fluorescens</i>	a mortality rate of 70% to <i>P. fluorescens</i>	
	< 50	N/A	130 ± 30	N/A	waste activated sludge	no inhibitory effect	8
	N/A	10-70	62-65 nm and 78-88 nm	N/A	<i>B. licheniformis</i>	17% decrease in cell viability at 1µg/mL	48
Fe ₂ O ₃	29	30-60	1580	-17.3	the A549 Cell Line	low toxicity was observed	47
Fe ₃ O ₄	29-30	20-40	< 200	1.8	the A549 Cell Line	no toxicity was observed	47
carbon	< 30	20-40	210	6.9	the A549 Cell Line	no toxicity was observed	47
carbon nanotubes	110-170× (5-9×10 ³)	100-200× (3-7×10 ³)	300× (69×10 ³)	-45.4	the A549 Cell Line	a nonsignificant increase in nonviable cells	47

References

- 1 K. Hund-Rinke and M. Simon, *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 2006, **13**, 225-232.
- 2 V. Aruoja, H. C. Dubourguier, K. Kasemets and A. Kahru, *Science of the total environment*, 2009, **407**, 1461-1468.
- 3 K. Kasemets, A. Ivask, H. C. Dubourguier and A. Kahru, *Toxicology in vitro*, 2009, **23**, 1116-1122.
- 4 T. P. Dasari, K. Pathakoti and H.-M. Hwang, *Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 2013, **25**, 882-888.
- 5 R. Y. Prasad, K. Wallace, K. M. Daniel, A. H. Tennant, R. M. Zucker, J. Strickland, K. Dreher, A. D. Kligerman, C. F. Blackman and D. M. DeMarini, *ACS nano*, 2013, **7**, 1929-1942.

- 6 K. Bhattacharya, M. Davoren, J. Boertz, R. P. Schins, E. Hoffmann and E. Dopp, *Particle and Fibre Toxicology*, 2009, **6**, 1.
- 7 M. A. Maurer-Jones, I. L. Gunsolus, B. M. Meyer, C. J. Christenson and C. L. Haynes, *Analytical chemistry*, 2013, **85**, 5810-5818.
- 8 H. Mu, Y. Chen and N. Xiao, *Bioresource technology*, 2011, **102**, 10305-10311.
- 9 W. Jiang, H. Mashayekhi and B. Xing, *Environmental pollution*, 2009, **157**, 1619-1625.
- 10 L. K. Adams, D. Y. Lyon and P. J. Alvarez, *Water research*, 2006, **40**, 3527-3532.
- 11 M. Heinlaan, A. Ivask, I. Blinova, H. C. Dubourguier and A. Kahru, *Chemosphere*, 2008, **71**, 1308-1316.
- 12 Y. W. Baek and Y. J. An, *Science of the Total Environment*, 2011, **409**, 1603-1608.
- 13 K. M. Reddy, K. Feris, J. Bell, D. G. Wingett, C. Hanley and A. Punnoose, *Applied physics letters*, 2007, **90**, 213902.
- 14 W. Song, J. Zhang, J. Guo, J. Zhang, F. Ding, L. Li and Z. Sun, *Toxicology letters*, 2010, **199**, 389-397.
- 15 Z. Huang, X. Zheng, D. Yan, G. Yin, X. Liao, Y. Kang, Y. Yao, D. Huang and B. Hao, *Langmuir*, 2008, **24**, 4140-4144.
- 16 M. Li, D. Lin and L. Zhu, *Environmental pollution*, 2013, **173**, 97-102.
- 17 M. Premanathan, K. Karthikeyan, K. Jeyasubramanian and G. Manivannan, *Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology, Biology and Medicine*, 2011, **7**, 184-192.
- 18 R. Chen, L. Huo, X. Shi, R. Bai, Z. Zhang, Y. Zhao, Y. Chang and C. Chen, *ACS nano*, 2014, **8**, 2562-2574.
- 19 M. V. Park, A. M. Neigh, J. P. Vermeulen, L. J. de la Fonteyne, H. W. Verharen, J. J. Briedé, H. van Loveren and W. H. de Jong, *Biomaterials*, 2011, **32**, 9810-9817.
- 20 S. Hackenberg, A. Scherzed, M. Kessler, S. Hummel, A. Technau, K. Froelich, C. Ginzkey, C. Koehler, R. Hagen and N. Kleinsasser, *Toxicology letters*, 2011, **201**, 27-33.
- 21 S. Hussain, K. Hess, J. Gearhart, K. Geiss and J. Schlager, *Toxicology in vitro*, 2005, **19**, 975-983.
- 22 S. Kim, J. E. Choi, J. Choi, K. H. Chung, K. Park, J. Yi and D. Y. Ryu, *Toxicology in vitro*, 2009, **23**, 1076-1084.

- 23 S. Kittler, C. Greulich, J. Diendorf, M. Koller and M. Epple, *Chemistry of Materials*, 2010, **22**, 4548-4554. O. Choi and Z. Hu, *Environmental science & technology*, 2008, **42**, 4583-4588.
- 24 O. Choi and Z. Hu, *Environmental science & technology*, 2008, **42**, 4583-4588.
- 25 K. Kawata, M. Osawa and S. Okabe, *Environmental science & technology*, 2009, **43**, 6046-6051.
- 26 Z. Luo, Z. Chen, Z. Qiu, Y. Li, G. Du Laing, A. Liu and C. Yan, *Chemosphere*, 2015, **120**, 737-742.
- 27 M. S. Baptista, R. J. Miller, E. R. Halewood, S. K. Hanna, C. M. R. Almeida, V. M. Vasconcelos, A. A. Keller and H. S. Lenihan, *Environmental science & technology*, 2015, **49**, 12968-12974.
- 28 Y. Chen, Z. Wang, M. Xu, X. Wang, R. Liu, Q. Liu, Z. Zhang, T. Xia, J. Zhao and G. Jiang, *ACS nano*, 2014, **8**, 5813-5825.
- 29 K. K. Comfort, L. K. Braydich-Stolle, E. I. Maurer and S. M. Hussain, *ACS nano*, 2014, **8**, 3260-3271.
- 30 T. Verano-Braga, R. Miethling-Graff, K. Wojdyla, A. Rogowska-Wrzesinska, J. R. Brewer, H. Erdmann and F. Kjeldsen, *ACS nano*, 2014, **8**, 2161-2175.
- 31 T. H. Kim, M. Kim, H. S. Park, U. S. Shin, M. S. Gong and H. W. Kim, *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part A*, 2012, **100**, 1033-1043.
- 32 O. Bondarenko, A. Ivask, A. Kähkönen and A. Kahru, *Environmental pollution*, 2012, **169**, 81-89.
- 33 J. Hou, L. Miao, C. Wang, P. Wang, Y. Ao and B. Lv, *Bioresource technology*, 2015, **176**, 65-70.
- 34 K. Kasemets, S. Suppi, K. Künnis-Beres and A. Kahru, *Chemical research in toxicology*, 2013, **26**, 356-367.
- 35 T. Anh Tran, K. Krishnamoorthy, Y. W. Song, S. K. Cho and S. J. Kim, *ACS applied materials & interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 2980-2986.
- 36 M. Auffan, J. Rose, T. Orsiere, M. De Meo, A. Thill, O. Zeyons, O. Proux, A. Masion, P. Chaurand and O. Spalla, *Nanotoxicology*, 2009, **3**, 161-171.
- 37 W. Lin, Y. w. Huang, X. D. Zhou and Y. Ma, *International journal of toxicology*, 2006, **25**, 451-457.

- 38 J. Ma, X. Quan, X. Si and Y. Wu, *Bioresource technology*, 2013, **149**, 346-352.
- 39 N. J. Rogers, N. M. Franklin, S. C. Apte, G. E. Batley, B. M. Angel, J. R. Lead and M. Baalousha, *Environmental Chemistry*, 2010, **7**, 50-60.
- 40 A. Thill, O. Zeyons, O. Spalla, F. Chauvat, J. Rose, M. Auffan and A. M. Flank, *Environmental science & technology*, 2006, **40**, 6151-6156.
- 41 T. S. Hauck, A. A. Ghazani and W. C. Chan, *Small*, 2008, **4**, 153-159.
- 42 X. Hu, S. Ouyang, L. Mu, J. An and Q. Zhou, *Environmental science & technology*, 2015, **49**, 10825-10833.
- 43 D. F. Rodrigues and M. Elimelech, *Environmental science & technology*, 2010, **44**, 4583-4589.
- 44 D. Dutta, S. K. Sundaram, J. G. Teeguarden, B. J. Riley, L. S. Fifield, J. M. Jacobs, S. R. Addleman, G. A. Kaysen, B. M. Moudgil and T. J. Weber, *Toxicological Sciences*, 2007, **100**, 303-315.
- 45 T. M. Sager, D. W. Porter, V. A. Robinson, W. G. Lindsley, D. E. Schwegler-Berry and V. Castranova, *Nanotoxicology*, 2007, **1**, 118-129.
- 46 M. A. Maurer-Jones, Y. S. Lin and C. L. Haynes, *ACS nano*, 2010, **4**, 3363-3373.
- 47 H. L. Karlsson, P. Cronholm, J. Gustafsson and L. Moller, *Chemical research in toxicology*, 2008, **21**, 1726-1732.
- 48 S. Pakrashi, S. Dalai, D. Sabat, S. Singh, N. Chandrasekaran and A. Mukherjee, *Chemical research in toxicology*, 2011, **24**, 1899-1904.