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1. Introduction

Anthracenes are simply and efficiently incorporated into several organic reactions and are proved to have a wide range of industrial applications such as in fluorescent sensors [1, 2], laser dyes [3], and supramolecular assemblies [4, 5]. Their application in pharmaceutical agents is another interesting field.

From the organic synthesis point of view, 1,5-dichloroanthracene was functionalized through the Kumada coupling to access a series of 1,5-functionalized anthracenes [6]. Based on 1,5-dichloroanthracene, many macrocyclic compounds have been synthesized and reported in the literature [7–9]. 1,5-dichloroanthracene was allowed to react with 3-chlorobenzene through the Diels–Alder (DA) reaction to access substituted triptycene derivatives [10, 11]. Starting from 1,5-dichloroanthracene and other anthracenes, a series of SiMe3-functionalized anthracene derivatives were synthesized via multistep cross-coupling reactions and NMR-qualitatively studied towards UV irradiation [12].

From a biological point of view, 1,5-dichloroanthracene itself shows antioxidant activities through proton transfer mechanisms [13]. A variety of 1,5-dichloroanthracene- and anthraquinone-related derivatives proved to possess an anti-inflammatory activity with no significant cytotoxicity in human neutrophils [14] and other anthracene analogs displayed potent anti-inflammatory activity against superoxide anion production and induced apoptosis, thus exerting antitumor activity [15].

1,5-dichloroethanoanthracene derivatives are related in structure to the antidepressant drug maprotiline 9,10-dihydro-N-methyl-9,10-ethanoanthracene-9-propanamine...
(Figure 1), which exhibits in vitro antiproliferative activity against Burkitt}s Lymphoma (BL) cell lines DG-75 [16] and induce anti-multidrug resistance (MDR) effect in the cancer cell lines, and plasmodium falciparum [17] as well the derivatives of 1,5-dichloroethanoanthracene are related in structure to benzoctamine, 9,10-dihydro-9-(methylaminomethyl)-9,10-ethanoanthracene (Figure 1) that have been used to treat anxiety and tension [18]. Ethanoanthracene derivatives were described as therapeutically effective agents for treating neurodegenerative disorders and neurotoxic injury [19]. Another similar class of 9,10-dihydro-9,10-ethanoanthracenes has been reported [20–22].

The serotonin transporter (SERT) has been considered to be the primary target for the treatment of major depressive and anxiety disorders [23, 24]. The most widely used antidepressants for the treatment of major depressive disorders are selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors, which inhibit serotonin uptake from the synaptic space into presynaptic neurons [25, 26]. It has been shown that serotonin reuptake inhibitors have contrasting chemical structures, which resulted in compounds with considerable pharmacological activities.

Designing and synthesizing new 1,5-dichloroethanoanthracene derivatives is not straightforward and is considered as imperative challenges that more than one isomer will be obtained in the first step of 1,5-dichloroanthracene functionalization. However, we were delighted to synthesize 1,5-dichloroethanoanthracenes (1, 2, 5, and 6) and discover their structures by using different spectroscopy techniques including 2D-NMR. In addition, computational calculations were performed in order to identify the possible isomers of the aldehyde 1,5-dichloroethanoanthracene 2. Moreover, in the present study, molecular docking simulation was employed to investigate the binding mechanism of the compounds (1, 2, 5, and 6) in the active binding site of a bacterial leucine transporter (LeuT) using the high-resolution structure of SERT (PDB: 2QJU) [27]. These compounds and standard antidepressant maprotiline were docked into the central active site of the SERT protein.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Retrosynthetic Analysis and Strategy for Chlorinated Maprotiline Analog 1. We began the synthesis with the intention of obtaining the products efficiently in high yields, lowering the number of steps, high level of selectivity, high level of atom economy, making it environmentally friendly, and at a low cost. The retrosynthetic breakdown of our target chlorinated maprotiline analog 1 yields two fragments; the tetracyclic intermediate with its bridged dihydroethanoanthracene system I and anthracene precursor 3 (Scheme 1). The target compound 1 could be obtained by functional group interconversion (FGI) of the key intermediate 2. The key intermediate 2 with its system [2.2.2] could be built in one step via a DA reaction between a suitable dienophile possessing a functional group and the 1,5-dichloroanthracene 3 center ring as a diene. Acrolein is a reactive dienophile in the DielsF02DAlder reaction and its reaction with 1,5-dichloroanthracene 3 could lead to the aldehyde functionality, which allows us to access other functional groups via FGI including the target amine 1. Precursor 3 can be prepared from a commercially available 1,5-dichloroanthraquinone 4, as described in the literature [6].

2.2. Synthesis of the Chlorinated Maprotiline Analog 1. The target compound 1 was obtained according to the synthetic pathway (Scheme 2). The intermediate 1,5-dichloro-9,10-dihydro-9,10-ethanoanthracene-12-carbaldehyde 2 was prepared by HCl-catalyzed reduction of 1,5-dichloroanthraquinone 4 followed by DA reaction of 1,5-dichloroanthracene 3 with acrolein. In fact, it attempts to react 1,5-dichloroanthracene 3 with acrolein to yield aldehyde 2 under a variety of conditions which were not successful. TLC monitoring of these attempts reactions showed that a substantial amount of starting materials remained unreacted; this may refer to the presence of chlorine atoms on anthracene that render it a poor electron-donating diene. Then, 1,5-dichloroanthracene 3 was allowed to react with acrolein using boron trifluoride etherate (BF\textsubscript{3}·OEt\textsubscript{2}) as a catalyst, at room temperature as well as F02D15F06F Celsius to yield compound 2 but as three isomers in a ratio of 1:11.75 were deduced from the 1H-NMR spectrum (Figure. S1). The IR spectrum supported the structure of compound 2 and showed stretching vibration of the carbonyl group (CHO) as a strong peak at 1723 cm\textsuperscript{-1} (Figure. S2). Theoretically, compound 2 could exist in more than one isomer and conformer form, due to its three stereocenters as well due to the possible different spatial positions of the CHO group in relation to benzene.
rings; in contrast, the C2 symmetry of the reactant 1,5-
dichloroanthracene could reduce the number of the product isomers. Computational calculations were in the same line, where three conformers were identified as presented in Table 1 and Figure 2. Different solvent systems were recruited to purify these isomers, among which the hexane: toluene (1:1) mixture was the best one. Unfortunately, the three isomers of aldehyde 2 could not be separated individually, so we have no chiral columns. However, after several trials of normal column chromatography, the two isomers (called 2a) were initially eluted together (Figure S3(a) and Figure S4) while the third isomer (called 2b) was purely separated alone (Fig. S3(b), Figure S5, and Figure S6). The protons of the CHO group of the aldehyde 2a appeared separately as doublet signals at δ 9.52 and 9.56 ppm with coupling constant J 0.8 Hz for each, whereas the signals of bridgehead protons appeared collectively; and the signal of the protons integrated for carbon 10 (C10) appeared as pentet at δ 4.93 ppm with coupling constant J = 2.74 Hz and the signal of the protons integrated for carbon 9 (C9) appeared as a double doublet at δ 5.26 ppm with coupling constant J = 7.2 and 2.45 Hz (Figure. S3(c)).

The 1H and 13C spectra of the isomer 2b can be divided into two regions: aromatic and aliphatic (Figure. S5, Figure. S6). In the aromatic region, multiple regions at δ 7.21–7.45 ppm were assigned for the protons integrated for anthracene rings and this region is exhibited in the 13C spectrum as peaks at δ 123.45–144.06 ppm. In the aliphatic portion, there is a triplet signal at δ 4.44 ppm with a coupling constant J = 0.8 Hz assigned for the protons integrated for carbon 10 (C10) and a doublet signal δ 4.72 ppm with a coupling constant J = 0.8 Hz assigned for the protons integrated for carbon 9 (C9). These signals correspond to the peaks that appeared at δ 43.69 and 45.20 ppm in the 13C spectrum, respectively; these signals are considered as one of the two markers indicating DA cycloaddition adduct 2b (Figure. S5(b)). The multiplet signals that appeared at δ 1.99–2.06 and 2.11–2.18 ppm are assigned to the protons of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carbon NO.</th>
<th>1H (M, J)</th>
<th>13C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH3</td>
<td>2.32 (s)</td>
<td>33.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl1, Cl2</td>
<td>1.95–2.11 (m)</td>
<td>33.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9</td>
<td>4.29 (t, 2.12)</td>
<td>43.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C11, Cl2</td>
<td>1.25–1.28 (m)</td>
<td>33.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C12</td>
<td>2.25–2.29 (m)</td>
<td>35.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

However, after several trials of normal column chromatography, the two isomers (called 2a) were initially eluted together (Figure S3(a) and Figure S4) while the third isomer (called 2b) was purely separated alone (Fig. S3(b), Figure S5, and Figure S6). The protons of the CHO group of the aldehyde 2a appeared separately as doublet signals at δ 952 and 9.56 ppm with coupling constant J 0.8 Hz for each, whereas the signals of bridgehead protons appeared collectively; and the signal of the protons integrated for carbon 10 (C10) appeared as pentet at δ 4.93 ppm with coupling constant J = 2.74 Hz and the signal of the protons integrated for carbon 9 (C9) appeared as a double doublet at δ 5.26 ppm with coupling constant J = 7.2 and 2.45 Hz (Figure. S3(c)).

The 1H and 13C spectra of the isomer 2b can be divided into two regions: aromatic and aliphatic (Figure. S5, Figure. S6). In the aromatic region, multiple regions at δ 7.21–7.45 ppm were assigned for the protons integrated for anthracene rings and this region is exhibited in the 13C spectrum as peaks at δ 123.45–144.06 ppm. In the aliphatic portion, there is a triplet signal at δ 4.44 ppm with a coupling constant J = 0.8 Hz assigned for the protons integrated for carbon 10 (C10) and a doublet signal δ 4.72 ppm with a coupling constant J = 0.8 Hz assigned for the protons integrated for carbon 9 (C9). These signals correspond to the peaks that appeared at δ 43.69 and 45.20 ppm in the 13C spectrum, respectively; these signals are considered as one of the two markers indicating DA cycloaddition adduct 2b (Figure. S5(b)). The multiplet signals that appeared at δ 1.99–2.06 and 2.11–2.18 ppm are assigned to the protons of
carbon 11 (C11) that appeared at δ 28.76 ppm in the $^{13}$C spectrum and the $^1$H multiplet signal that appeared δ 2.77–2.84 ppm is assigned to the proton of carbon 12 (C12) that appeared at δ 51.22 ppm in $^{13}$C spectrum. The aldehyde signal is considered as the second marker indicating DA cycloaddition adduct 2b, and this signal represents the only aldehyde proton in the expected structure 2b and appears as a doublet at δ 9.45 ppm with a coupling constant $J = 0.8$ Hz as well as $^{13}$C NMR confirms the existence of aldehyde group (CHO) as a single peak at δ 202.73 ppm.

Reductive amination of the aldehyde 2 was achieved by using a combination of a commercially available solution of methylamine in methanol, molecular hydrogen, and Pd–C produced target amine 1. Generally, the two commonly used reductive amination protocols are based on either catalytic hydrogenation or hydride reducing agents; in contrast to hydride reducing agents, the use of molecular hydrogen is economically attractive [28–33]. The $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR analysis of the target amine 1 is similar to its precursor 2 with slight differences but the signal of CHO disappeared in amine 1 and IR supported this finding (Figure 3), in addition to the appearance of singlet signal at δ 2.32 ppm that assigned to the methyl protons (-N-CH3) and correspond to the peak at δ 33.39 ppm in the $^{13}$C NMR spectrum. For further structural elucidation of the target compound 1, distortionless enhancement by polarization transfer (DEPT) and heteronuclear single quantum coherence spectroscopy (HSQC) were run and are presented in Table 2 and Figure S8, Figure S9, and Figure S10. It is well known that only CH groups appear as positive signals (↑CH) in the DEPT-90 spectrum, whereas in the DEPT-135 spectrum, CH$_3$ and CH groups appeared as positive signals (↑CH, ↑CH$_3$) but CH$_2$ groups appeared as negative signals (↓CH$_2$). The DEPT-90 spectrum of amine 1 shows three positive signals (↑CH) at δ 35.80, 43.73, and 46.05 ppm assigned to C12, C10, and C9, respectively (Figure. S8). The DEPT-135 spectrum shows four positive signals and two negative signals; among them, three positive signals were assigned to the three CH groups and the fourth positive one was assigned to the carbon of methyl group (CH$_3$) that appeared at δ 33.39 ppm, the two negative signals that appeared at δ 33.02 and 54.31 ppm were assigned to methylene groups (-CH$_2$-) of C11 and C1, respectively (Figure. S9).

HSQC experiments permit to obtain high-quality spectra of the protons attached to a specific carbon. According to the HSQC spectrum (Figure. S10), the structure of amine 1 is clearly elucidated and the H atoms that are attached to the carbon atoms are shown in Table 1. Interestingly, the two protons assigned to C11 have appeared in separate regions; one of them appeared at δ 1.25–1.28 ppm as multiple signals; although, it appeared as an overlapped triplet signal when enlarging this spectrum region, and the other proton appeared at δ 1.95–2.11 ppm as multiple signals.

### 2.3. Extending the Side Chain of the Aldehyde 2 via the Wittig Reaction.

Aldehyde 2 was subjected to a Wittig olefination reaction employing two equivalents of the air-stable stabilized commercially available Wittig reagent (carbethoxy-methylene) triphenylphosphorane (Scheme 2). The reaction was smoothly run at room temperature in dichloromethane (CH$_2$Cl$_2$) to give α,β-unsaturated ester 5 in 76% yield as a mixture of cis and trans isomers. The NMR J-coupling and chemical shift of the vinylic protons were recruited to differentiate between the cis and trans isomers. Based on the integration of the vinylic proton signals, the ratio of the cis and trans was approximately 1:3.5 (Figure. S11(a)–S11(c)). The $^1$H-NMR spectrum of the trans isomer exhibited a
doublet signal at δ 5.78 ppm with coupling constants J = 25 Hz assigned for olefinic proton attached to C/2 (-C=CH-COOEt) and a double doublet signal at δ 6.45 ppm with coupling constant J = 15, 5 Hz assigned for an olefinic proton attached to C/1 (-CH–C-) (Figure. S11(b)). The IR spectrum of the unsaturated ester 5 displayed characteristic absorption of the enone system at υ 1650 cm⁻¹ (C=C) together with the absorption peak of (C=O) at υ 1709 cm⁻¹ (Figure. S12). For further structural elucidation of compound 5, HSQC were run and are presented in Figure. S13.

α,β-unsaturated ester 5, product of the Wittig reaction, was then subjected to selective hydrogenation to reduce (C=C) double bond using palladium on carbon (Pd/C) as catalyst under H₂ in ethanol at room temperature to obtain the saturated ester 6 in a yield of 42%. The NMR spectra of saturated ester 6 were clear where the signals of olefinic protons of the precursor 5 disappeared and instead, the triplet signal appeared at δ 2.33 ppm with coupling constant J = 12 Hz assigned for the protons attached to the C'2 (-CH₂-COOEt) (Figure. S14). For further structural elucidation of saturated ester 6, homonuclear correlation ¹H–¹H correlation, a double-quantum filtered correlation spectroscopy (DQF-COSY) technique was employed to assign proton chemical shifts (Figure. S15). HSQC experiments were performed to determine the protons attached to a specific carbon (Figure. S16) and high-resolution mass spectroscopy (HRMS) was used to specify the molecular weight (Figure. S17). The NMR-based structural elucidations of these compounds were clear and valuable; however, the correlation study between experimental and theoretical NMR values will be more valuable than what is reported in the literature [34, 35].

### Table 2: Energies (a.u.) of the predicted conformations of the compound 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>2-C1</th>
<th>2-C2</th>
<th>2-C3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E₆_tot</td>
<td>-1650.88155</td>
<td>-1650.88251</td>
<td>-1650.88049</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4. DFT; Conformer Analysis. Conformational analysis of compound 2 revealed three minima and three transition states (Figure 2). The absence of imaginary frequencies on the calculated vibrational spectrum confirms the global minimum energy for all the conformers. Different conformers of compound 2 were found by carrying out a potential energy scan on the C–C bond connecting the aldehyde group (CHO) with the fused ring structure. The relative energies (kJ/mol) of different conformations are tabulated and are displayed in Table 2. The 2-C2 conformer was found to be the most stable, which is lower in energy than the other two conformers 2-C1 and 2-C3 by 2.5205 kJ/mol and 5.3035 kJ/mol, respectively (Figure 4).

The low energy of the 2-C2 conformer may be the result of the electrostatic interaction between the electropositive region or σ hole of the chlorine atom, which serves as a Lewis acid, and the carbonyl oxygen, which serves as a Lewis base (Figure 4) and is analogous to a classical hydrogen bonding. This result is supported by the most "provisional recommendation" by IUPAC [36], which states that there is an interaction between the nucleophilic region of carbonyl oxygen and the electrophilic region of a halogen atom. More DFT confirmation and analysis of energy plane using TD-
DFT and UV-visible to know more about the conformer’s differences are recommended [37, 38].

2.5. Molecular Docking. In order to verify the docking protocol, we have removed the co-crystallized ligand, desipramine, from the crystallized structure of LeuT and then redocked it at the same binding site. The root mean square deviation (RMSD) between the docked pose and the crystal structure conformation of desipramine was found to be less than 1 Å (Figure 5), which indicates the quality of the docking procedure [39]. All the compounds (1, 2, 5, and 6) and the standard drug, maprotiline, were prepared for docking by minimizing their energies using the density function theory (DFT) at the B3LYP/6-311G(d, p) level of theory.

Among fifty docked confirmations obtained, one which has the lowest binding energies (−7.34 kcal/mol for compound 1, −6.60 kcal/mol for compound 2, −6.62 kcal/mol for compound 5, −6.94 kcal/mol for compound 6 and 7.93 kcal/mol for the standard drug maprotiline) was selected for analyzing binding interactions within the active site of LeuT using Discovery Discover Studio Visualizer4.0 software [40] and Chimera [41]. The docked structure of the standard drug maprotiline, co-crystallized ligand, desipramine, and synthesized compounds (1, 2, 5 and 6) with LeuT crystal structure is shown in Figure 6. The binding poses and the interactions corresponding to the lowest energy conformations of the compounds at the central binding site of the LeuT protein are shown in Figure 7.

From Figures 7 and 8, it is clear that the amino acid residues responsible for the binding mechanism of all the derivatives in the active site are Arg30, Val33, Ile111, Ala319, and Phe320. As in the same manner by which the native ligand, desipramine and standard drug, maprotiline has the hydrogen bond interaction with Asp401, the amino group of compound 1 also forms a hydrogen bond with amino acid residue Asp401 in the binding site with a bond length of 1.97 Å. In the case of compounds 2, 5, and 6, there are no observable hydrogen bonds with the amino acid residue ASP401. From the analysis of the binding mechanism, it is clear that all compounds 1, 2, 5, and 6 show hydrophobic interactions with amino acid residues Arg30, Val33, Ile111, Ala319, and Phe320. The binding energy values and binding interactions show that all the compounds form stable complexes with the SERT protein by interacting with the important amino acids present in the central binding site similar to the native ligand, desipramine and the standard drug, maprotiline.

3. Experimental Methods

3.1. Chemistry Protocols. Most of the reagents and solvents were of analytical grade. Starting chemicals were purchased from Aldrich (Merck). A Perkin Elmer 240 elemental
analyzer was used to obtain the infrared (IR) spectrum. $^1$H and $^{13}$C-NMR spectra were recorded on either Joel 400 MHz or Bruker 500 MHz. Mass spectra (MS) and high-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) data were obtained on a Q-Tof Premier UPLC-MS. Reactions were monitored using thin-layer chromatography (TLC) plates (Merck, Germany), stained with valine and then spots were detected with a 254nm UV lamp. Silica gel (35–70 mesh) was employed in column chromatography purification.

3.2. Synthesis

3.2.1. Synthesis of 1,5-Dichloroanthracene 3 [6, 42]. 1,5-Dichloroanthraquinone 4 (10.0g, 36.1mmol) and zinc dust (50.0g, 765mmol) were suspended in 200mL of aqueous 28% NH$_3$ with stirring for 3h at 100 degree Celsius. After cooling to r.t., the reaction mixture was separated by filtration and the filtrate was eluted and extracted several times with CH$_2$Cl$_2$. The CH$_2$Cl$_2$ organic phases were collected, dried over MgSO$_4$, filtered, and concentrated under a vacuum. To the residual crude, 500mL isopropanol and 50mL aqueous HC1 (12M) were added. After refluxing the reaction mixture for 3h, the mixture was cooled, concentrated in vacuo and then partitioned between CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and aqueous 5% NaHCO$_3$. The CH$_2$Cl$_2$ organic phase was collected, dried with MgSO$_4$, filtered, and concentrated to give crude 1,5-dichloroanthracene 3. This crude was crystallized using a CH$_2$Cl$_2$-petroleum ether mixture. The product 1,5-dichloroanthracene 3 was dried in the air for 24 h (7.5 g, 30.49 mmol) as yellow-orange needles in a 84.45% yield; mp 187–188 Celsius degree; IR (KBr): $\nu$ 681, 720, 736, 778, 849, 873, 903, 958, 996, 1145, 1162, 1212, 1300, 1449, 1530, and 1618 cm$^{-1}$; $^1$H-NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400MHz): $\delta$ 7.40–7.61 (m, 4 H, ArH), 8.00 (dd; $J$ = 2.92 Hz, 2 H, ArH), 8.42 (s, 1 H, ArH), 8.84 (s, 1 H, ArH) ppm. $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100MHz): $\delta$ = 124.37, 125.42, 125.58, 126.29, 128.24, 131.7, 132.8 ppm.

3.2.2. Synthesis of 1,5-Dichloro-9,10-dihydro-9,10-ethanoanthracene-12-carbaldehyde 2. Into a previously F02D15 Celsius degree cooled solution of 1,8-dichlorolanthracene 3 (500mg, 2mmol) in 70mL CH$_2$Cl$_2$, acrolein (0.65mL, 9.3mmol) was added. Then, BF$_3$·OEt$_2$ (0.5mL, 4.05mmol) was added dropwise and the mixture was allowed to stir at -15 Celsius degree for 1 hour then at room temperature for 4 hours. The reaction was then quenched with sat. NaCl and extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$. The organic layers were collected, dried with Na$_2$SO$_4$, filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was preliminary purified by column chromatography on silica gel using dichloromethane-petroleum ether (1:1) to obtain aldehyde 2 (300mg, 1.49 mmol) as three isomeric adducts in a 74% yield; IR (KBr): $\nu$ = 576, 706, 775, 1169, 1264, 1458, 1570, 1723, 2961, 3024, and 3047 cm$^{-1}$. A mixture of isomeric adducts (300 mg, 1 mmol) was separated by column chromatography on silica gel (1:1-hexane:toluene) to give the initially eluted two isomers of 2 (2a) (160 mg, 0.53 mmol) as yellow viscous with the following...
characteristics: $^1$H-NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz): $\delta = 1.93–2.04$ (m, 2H, H–C11), 2.14–2.22 (m, 2H, H–C11), 2.8–2.89 (m, 2H, H–C12), 4.93 (pent, $J = 2.74$ Hz, 2H H–C10), 5.26 (dd, $J = 7.2, 2.45$ Hz, 2H, H–C9), 7.1–7.47 (m, 12H, H–Ar), 9.52 (d, $J = 0.8$, $^1$H, H–CHO), and 9.56 (d, $J = 0.8$, $^1$H–CHO) ppm.

$^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz): $\delta = 27.29, 27.32, 40.37, 41.50, 41.59, 49.87, 51.07, 122.45, 122.48, 122.56, 123.54, 123.77, 126.78, 127.11, 127.17, 127.24, 127.28, 127.50, 127.78, 129.35,

Figure 8: Binding modes of (a) compound 1, (b) compound 2, (c) compound 5, (d) compound 6, (e) standard drug maprotiline, and (f) the native ligand, desipramine at the central binding site of Leu T.
3.2.3. Synthesis of 1,5-Dichloro-9,10-dihydro-9,10-ethanoanthracen-12-yl)-N-methylmethanamine 1 [43]. To a 5 mL two-neck round-bottom flask connected to a condenser capped with a H2 balloon and a rubber septum, a 100 mg Pd–C catalyst was added. The flask was evacuated and backfilled with H2 two times. Then, a solution of aldehyde 2 (50 mg, 0.165 mmol) in methanol (3 mL) and (0.25 mL, 2 M) methylamine solution in methanol were added to the flask (50mg, 0.165mmol)in methanol(3mL)and(0.25mL, 2M) methylamine solution in methanol were added to the flask by syringe through a septum. After 1.5 h of the reaction time, the reaction mixture was filtered and washed with H2O2Cl2Na [43] and the solvent was then removed to obtain amine 1 with the following characteristics; 1H-NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz): \( \delta = 1.99–2.06 \) (m, \( ^1H, H-C11 \)), 2.11–2.18 (m, \( ^1H, H-C111 \)), 2.77–2.84 (m, \( ^3H, H-C12 \)), 4.44 (t, \( J = 0.8 \) Hz, 1H, H—C10), 4.72 (d, \( J = 0.8 \) Hz, \( ^3H, H-C9 \)), 7.21–7.45 (m, 6H, H—Ar), and 9.45 (d, \( J = 0.8 \), \( ^1H, H–CHO \)) ppm. 13C NMR (CDCl3, 100 MHz): \( \delta = 28.76, 43.69, 45.20, 51.22, 123.45, 123.51, 123.64, 124.53, 125.96, 125.99, 126.28, 126.55, 139.37, 142.12, 143.79, 144.06, and 202.73 ppm.

3.2.4. Synthesis of Z/E-Ethyl 3-(1,5-dichloro-9,10-dihydro-9,10-ethanoanthracen-12-yl)-propanoate 5. Into a 50 mL round-bottomed flask containing aldehyde 2 (755 mg, 2.5 mmol) predissolved in a 15 mL dichloromethane, a two equivalent Wittig reagent (carbethoxymethylene) triphenylphosphorane (174 mg, 5 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature; then, the solvent was removed to purify via silica gel column chromatography using the eluent system (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether, 1:20) obtaining unsaturated ester 5 (820 mg, 2.2 mmol) in 15 mL ethanol was added. The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature under H2, then filtered through celite with CH2Cl2.

The solvent was removed in vacuo to obtain the saturated ester 5 (350 mg, 0.93 mmol, 42%) as yellow oil with the following characteristics; 1H-NMR (CDCl3, 500 MHz): \( \delta = 1.15–1.2 \) (m, \( ^1H, H-C11 \)), 1.21–1.30 (m, 4H; \( ^1H-C’1, 3H, H-C11 \)), 1.47–1.56 (m, \( ^1H, H-C’11 \)), 1.87–1.95 (m, \( ^1H, H-C12 \)), 2.12–2.18 (m, \( ^1H, H-C11 \)), 2.33 (t, \( J = 12, 2H, H-C’2 \)), 4.08 (q, \( J = 10 \) Hz, 2H, \(-O–CH2–\)), 4.15 (d, \( J = 0.4 \) Hz, \( ^1H, H-C9 \)), 4.26 (t, \( J = 2, 1H, H-C10 \)), 7.1–7.2 (m, 3H, H—ArH), and 7.25–7.35 (m, 3H, H—ArH) ppm; HSQC (CDCl3, 100 MHz): \( \delta = 14, 30.05 \) (CH3), 30.05 (CH2, C2), 31.15 (CH2, C1), 34 (CH2, C11), 38 (CH1, C10), 49 (C9), and 60 (CH3, \(-O–CH2–\)) ppm; HRMS (ESI) Calcd for C21H20 O2Cl2Na [M+1] 397.0738, Found 397.0735.

3.3. Computational Details

3.3.1. DFT Calculations. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed using Gaussian 09 software [44] for identifying the possible conformers of compound 2. A hybrid, nonlocal exchange, and correlation functional of Becke-Lee, Parr, and Yang (B3LYP) [45] has been used with the 6-311G (d, p) for DFT calculations. The lowest energy conformers have been obtained by detailed conformation search employing the potential energy scan method.

3.4. Molecular Docking. The interactions of the ligands at the central binding site of LeuT were simulated using AutoDock4.2 [46]. The central binding site of the LeuT protein was downloaded from the Protein Databank [27] and was used as a target by removing all co-crystallized ligands. Discovery studio [25] and Chimera [41] were used to visualize and analyze the docked structures.

4. Conclusion

The synthesis of 1,5-dichloroethanoanthracenes (2, 3, 5, 6) has been successfully performed via multistep reactions involving the Diels–Alder and Wittig reactions. In order to identify the structures of the newly synthesized compounds clearly, the structural elucidation techniques, including COSY, HSQC, and HRMS, were extensively employed. The DFT calculations and the experimentally found isomers of aldehyde 2 were in good agreement. From the molecular docking, it can be concluded that all the synthesized
compounds have antidepressant activities and form stable complexes with LeuT by inhibiting the neurotransmitter reuptake at the synapse and hence are good candidates for use as antidepressant drugs. This study will pave way for the researchers to synthesize another 1,5-dichloroethanoanthracene and explore their biological activities as antidepressants, antimalarial agents, and antiproliferative agents.
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