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Abstract

Herein, we report the structural, electronic, and charge transfer properties of N-[5′-methyl-3′-isoxasolyl]-N-[(E)-1-(-2-thiophene)] methylidene] amine (L) and its Fe^{2+}, Co^{2+}, Ni^{2+}, Cu^{2+}, and Zn^{2+} complexes (dubbed A, B, C, D, and E, respectively) using the density functional theory (DFT). All molecules investigated were optimized at the BP86/def2-TZVP/RI level of theory. Single point energy calculations were carried out at the M06-D3ZERO/def2-TZVP/RJJCOSX level of theory. Reorganization energies of the hole and electron (\( \lambda_h \) and \( \lambda_e \)) and the charge transfer mobilities of the electron and hole (\( \mu_e \) and \( \mu_h \)) have been computed and reported. The \( \lambda_h \) and \( \lambda_e \) values vary in the order D > E > A > B > C > L and E > A > D > L > C > B, respectively, while \( \mu_e \) and \( \mu_h \) vary in the order B > C > L > A > E > D and C > B > A > L > E > D, respectively. \( \mu_h \) of B (39.5401 cm^2·V\(^{-1}\)s\(^{-1}\)) and C (366.4740 cm^2·V\(^{-1}\)s\(^{-1}\)) is remarkably large, suggesting their application in organic light-emitting diode (OLED) and organic field-effect transistor (OFET) technologies. Electron excitation analysis based on time-dependent (TD)-DFT calculations revealed that charge transfer excitations may significantly affect charge transfer mobilities. Based on charge transfer mobility results, B and C are outstanding and are promising molecules for the manufacture of electron and hole-transport precursor materials for the construction of OLED and OFET devices as compared to L. The results also show that L and all its complexes interestingly have higher third-order NLO activity than those of para-nitroaniline, a prototypical NLO molecule.

1. Introduction

The rapid development of optoelectronic technologies based on organic molecules has been propelled by the growing desire for eco-friendly, light, but flexible electronic devices [1–3]. The expansion of industries associated with the production of flexible, low-cost electronic devices such as organic field-effect transistors (OFETs), organic solar cells (OSCs), and organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs) is on the rise [4, 5]. OLEDs and OFETs have emerged as potential display, lighting, low-cost, flexible, lightweight, and wearable electronic device technologies [6, 7]. Due to the existence of heterocyclic rings and their pi-conjugated frameworks, isoxazole-thiophene Schiff bases are interesting possibilities in optoelectronics [8]. The highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) energy levels, as well as adequate electron and hole mobilities, determine the optoelectronic properties of such devices [9, 10].

Charge transfer mobilities in such compounds are governed by their conjugated frameworks and intermolecular orbital overlap [9]. Although there are numerous
suggestions for building small molecules with desirable optoelectronic properties, there is a paucity of information on charge carrier mobilities in organic materials [11, 12]. Furthermore, carrier mobility is a critical property of every semiconducting material, determining its appropriateness for a wide range of electronic device applications. The magnitude of this parameter depends on molecular characteristics and molecular packing modes, and it serves as a reference for optimizing field-effect mobility in organic electrical devices [13]. Working conditions, such as measurement methods, microstructural characteristics of dielectric layers, and semiconductor film deposition, frequently influence experimental studies on charge transfer mobilities [13]. The use of theoretical simulation methods to determine charge transfer mobility and related properties is an important and cost-effective option [14, 15]. In this light, and despite their importance, isoxazole-thiophene-based compounds have received less theoretical and experimental attention, demanding more research in this area. Given the fact that coordination with metal is most likely to increase the thermal stability and mechanical properties of these molecules, additional research into this area is critical. This work aims at studying theoretically the structural, optoelectronic, and reactivity properties of N-[5'-methyl-3'-isoxazolyl]-N-[1(E)-2-thiophene] amine (L) (see Figure 1). The investigation of some of its complexes, [Fe(L)(H2O)3]2+ denoted as A, [Co(L)(H2O)3]2+ denoted as B, [Ni(L)(H2O)3]2+ denoted as C, [Cu(L)(H2O)3]2+ denoted as D, and [Zn(L)(H2O)3]2+ denoted as E with transition metal ions for OLED and OFET applications, was also carried out. Accordingly, the nonlinear optical (NLO) properties of the ligand (L) and its complexes have also been investigated as a result of its π-conjugated framework, which may confer NLO activity.

2. Computational Details

The geometries of the ligand and the metal complexes were fully optimized with ORCA 4.1.0 programme package [16] using the density functional theory (DFT). The DFT was employed in this study as it is appropriate for the study of coordination compounds [17]. All input files for geometry optimization were prepared with the Avogadro 1.2.1 visualization tool [18] in conjunction with the BP86 functional [19] alongside the def2-TZVP Ahlrichs basis set [20]. The BP86 functional is very good for geometry optimization and vibrational frequency calculations [21]. The resolution-of-the-identity (RII) approximation [22] was used to speed up calculations with minimal loss in accuracy. Moreover, Grimme’s atom-pairwise dispersion (the Becke–Johnson damping scheme, D3BJ) [23] was used to account for long-range dispersion interactions since the pure exchange-correlation functionals fail to properly account for such interactions.

To ascertain that the most stable geometries of the investigated molecules were local minima on their potential energy surfaces, vibrational harmonic frequencies were calculated at the same level of theory as that used for geometry optimizations. No imaginary frequencies were obtained. Single point energy (SPE) calculations were then performed on the BP86-optimized geometries of neutral, cationic, and anionic species of the studied molecules using the Minnesota functional, M06 [24]. The combined RII and chain of sphere approximations were employed as RIJCOSX [25, 26] to speed up calculations with minimal loss in accuracy. The SP energy results were further used to calculate the ionization potentials (IPs), electron affinities (EAs), and the global reactivity descriptors including the hardness (\(\eta\)), softness (\(\chi\)), electronegativity (\(\mu\)), chemical potential (\(\omega\)), and electrophilicity (\(\omega\)). The adiabatic IP and EA are calculated using equations (1) and (2), respectively, while the global reactivity descriptors are calculated using equations (3)–(6):

\[
\text{IP} = E_{(N-1)} - E_N, \\
\text{EA} = E_{(N)} - E_{(N+1)}, \\
\eta = \frac{(\text{IP} - \text{EA})}{2}, \\
\chi = \frac{(\text{IP} + \text{EA})}{2}, \\
\mu = -\chi, \\
\omega = \frac{\mu^2}{2\eta}
\]

where \(E_{(N-1)}\), \(E_N\), and \(E_{(N+1)}\) are the energies of the cationic, neutral, and anionic forms of the investigated molecules. Also, local reactivity descriptors (LRDs), as well as molecular electrostatic potential (MEP) maps, were used to locate reactive sites in the studied molecules. A common descriptor here is the Fukui function, which measures the change in the chemical potential of a system as a result of a change in the number of electrons in the molecule and hence determines the reactivity of individual atoms in a molecule [27]. According to Yang and Mortier [28], the Fukui function at reactivity sites within a molecule.

\[
f_k^+ = q_k(N) - q_k(N + 1), \\
f_k^- = q_k(N - 1) - q_k(N), \\
f_k^0 = \frac{1}{2} [q_k(N + 1) - q_k(N - 1)]
\]

where \(q_k(N)\), \(q_k(N + 1)\), and \(q_k(N - 1)\) are charges of the neutral, anionic, and cationic species of \(k\). \(f_k^+\), \(f_k^-\), and \(f_k^0\) describe the electrophilic, nucleophilic, and free radical behaviours of the individual atoms, respectively. Moreover, another powerful LRD is the dual descriptor \(\Delta f_k\), which simultaneously defines the nucleophilic and electrophilic sites within a molecule. \(\Delta f_k\) can therefore be calculated from the Fukui functions [29, 30] using the following equation:

\[
\Delta f_k = f_k^+ - f_k^-
\]

Generally, high values of \(\Delta f_k\) (i.e., \(\Delta f_k > 0\)) indicate atom \(k\)’s susceptibility to a nucleophilic attack (acts as an
and vice versa \[34\], while \( V_i \) molecules when going from the neutral to the ionized state \( \lambda \) Planck’s constant. From Marcus’ equation, the reorganization energy. \( K_bT \) is the absolute temperature, and \( h \) is Planck’s constant. From Marcus’ equation, the reorganization energy \( \lambda \) reflects the changes in the geometry of the molecules when going from the neutral to the ionized state and vice versa \[34\], while \( V_{ij} \) is concerned with the strength of the interaction between the species \( i \) and \( j \) and measures the ability of charge carriers to move across the species \( i \) and \( j \) \[35, 36\]. Generally, high charge carrier mobilities are enhanced by low reorganization energies and high charge transfer integrals \[37\]. Both internal and external contributions are often encountered in the calculation of reorganization energy. The former contribution deals with geometric changes when electron transfer takes place, while the latter contribution comes from changes in the surrounding media accompanying the charge transfer and is negligible \[38\]. Thus, the internal reorganization energy of holes and electrons can be calculated using equations (12) and (13) the following equations, respectively:

\[
\lambda_h = (E_0^+ - E_0^-) + (E_0^- - E_0^0),
\]

\[
\lambda_e = (E_0^- - E_0^+) + (E_0^+ - E_0^0),
\]

where \( E_0^0 \) and \( E_0^\pm \) are energies of the neutral, cationic, and anionic forms of the molecule computed on the optimized geometries of the neutral, cationic, and anionic structures of the molecules, respectively. \( E_0^0 \) and \( E_0^\pm \) are the energies of cationic and anionic species computed on the optimized geometry of the neutral species, respectively, while \( E_0^0 \) and \( E_0^\pm \) are the energies of neutral species, computed on the optimized geometries of cationic and anionic species, respectively.

The charge transfer integrals \[38\] of holes and electrons can be estimated from Koopman’s theorem as half the splitting energy of the two highest occupied molecular orbitals (HOMOs), \( \epsilon_H \) and \( \epsilon_{H-1} \), and the two lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals (LUMOs), \( \epsilon_{L+1} \) and \( \epsilon_L \), respectively of the molecular dimers based on the molecules and calculated according to the following equations:

\[
V_e = \frac{1}{2} (\epsilon_{L+1} - \epsilon_L),
\]

\[
V_h = \frac{1}{2} (\epsilon_H - \epsilon_{H-1}),
\]

where \( \epsilon_H \) and \( \epsilon_{H-1} \) denote the energy levels of the HOMO and HOMO - 1 and \( \epsilon_{L+1} \) and \( \epsilon_L \) denote the energy levels of...
the LUMO + 1 and LUMO, respectively. The KT method was used in this study for its efficiency with symmetric molecules. It should be noted that the studied molecules were not perfectly symmetric. \( V_{i,j} \) was calculated by the use of SP energies of co-facial dimers separated by an intermolecular distance of 4.0 Å.

Finally, the charge transfer mobility, the governing parameter for charge transfer properties, was calculated using the following equation:

\[
\mu = \frac{e \beta^2 K_{CT}}{2 K_B T}, \quad (16)
\]

where \( e \) is the electric charge in coulombs, \( K_{CT} \) is the charge transfer rate in s\(^{-1}\), \( d \) is the intermolecular distance between the two monomers in the dimer in cm\(^2\), \( K_B \) is the Boltzmann constant in JK\(^{-1}\), and \( T \) is the temperature in K.

To highlight the impact of complexation on the NLO properties of the ligand L, the static mean polarizability \((\alpha')\), the total static first hyperpolarizability \((\beta_{tot})\), the vector component of the first hyperpolarizability \((\beta_{vec})\), and the average second hyperpolarizability \((\gamma)\) were calculated at the wB97X-D/6-311++G level of theory.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Structural and Geometric Analysis. The ground state geometries of L and its metal (II) complexes were optimized in the gas phase using the BP86 alongside the def2-TZVP basis set as earlier mentioned. Each complex consists of a central metal ion, two water molecules, and two ligand molecules each as proposed by Shakru and collaborators [39]. Some gas-phase geometrical parameters of L and its 3d metal complexes studied are presented in Table 1.

The results show that the M-S\(_i\) \((i=12 \text{ and } 28)\) bond lengths are in the range of 2.445 Å for A and 3.038 Å for D. The great discrepancy for D may suggest its loosely bound nature. The results from the table also indicate that the M-O (H\(_2\)O) bonds are shorter in D with a bond length value of 2.010 Å followed by C. The longest M-O (H\(_2\)O) bond length has been observed for compound A with a value of 2.196 Å, suggesting that one of the water ligands is loosely bound to the central Fe\(^{3+}\) ion in the complex. Upon complexation, there is a slight increase in the azomethine bond length \((C=\equiv N)\). The significant difference in some values of bond lengths \((C=\equiv N, M-S, M-N, p-M-O)\) may be associated with Jahn-Teller distortions [35]. The metal-ligand bond angles indicate distorted geometries around the central metal ions in the complexes studied, which may result from distortions imposed by the chelate rings.

The optimized Cartesian coordinates and optimized geometries of the studied compounds are provided in Tables S1–S6 and Figure S1 of the accompanying electronic supplementary material (ESM), respectively.

3.1.1. Vibrational Analysis. To ascertain the suitability and the validity of our method of computation, vibrational frequencies were calculated and compared to experimental results obtained elsewhere [40, 41]. Relevant theoretical IR vibrational frequencies of the molecules investigated were calculated at the BP86/def2-TZVP level of theory, and the assignment of the vibrational modes was aided by Chemcraft [42], and some important vibrational frequencies are reported in Table 2.

The calculated frequencies were scaled by a factor of 0.9953 [43] in accordance with the BP86/def2-TZVP level of theory. In an attempt to assess the extent of agreement between the scaled calculated IR frequencies and the experimentally observed values, the following correlation equation (17) was established for the ligand and its complexes:

\[
\bar{\nu}_{\text{cal}} = \bar{\nu}_{\text{exp}} - 49.34. \quad (17)
\]

The correlation coefficient, \( R^2 = 0.993 \), confirms the suitability of our method of computation.
Table 2 shows significant shifts in IR vibrations that occurred for the C = N, M-N, and M-S bonds, implying that their vibrations are affected by complexation. The C = N bonds are shortened upon complexation. This can be supported by the great discrepancies in the vibrational frequencies of the ligand and complexes.

Vibrations in the region 1570–1610 cm\(^{-1}\) are assigned to the stretching vibrations of the azomethine bond (C = N) in the complexes. The shift of the azomethine vibration suggests the coordination of the azomethine nitrogen to the central metal ion. Moreover, the vibrations around 520–530 cm\(^{-1}\) are attributed to the stretching vibrations of the M-N bond in the complexes. Also, the vibrations around 570–582 cm\(^{-1}\) are likened to the stretching vibrations of the M-S bond in the complexes. In addition, IR spectra of all metal complexes showed vibrations around 3610–3745 cm\(^{-1}\) associated with the presence of water molecules in the coordination sphere. The presence of these vibrations is in line with those predicted by Ref. [39], further supporting the validity of our method.

3.1.2. QTAIM Analysis. Bader’s theory is remarkable and reliable for the description of intermolecular contacts of atoms in molecules, as it is based on the electron density (\(\rho (r)\)) and its Laplacian (\(\nabla^2 \rho (r) > 0\)) [44, 45]. Moreover, in the realm of QTAIM, the determination of the strength and character of a chemical interaction is based on the properties of the bond at the BCP [46–48].

In this study, some topological parameters at the BCP were used to characterize the nature of interactions in the investigated chemical species. Generally, values of \(\rho (r) > 0.2\) a.u., \(\nabla^2 \rho (r) < 0\), and \(-G(r)/V(r) < 1\) are indicative of covalent bonding interactions, where \(G(r)\) is the kinetic energy density and \(V(r)\) is the potential energy density at the BCP. Likewise, \(\rho (r) < 0.1\) a.u., \(\nabla^2 \rho (r) > 0\), and \(-G(r)/V(r) > 1\) are characteristics of noncovalent interactions. Moreover, when \(\rho (r) < 0.1\) a.u., \(\nabla^2 \rho (r) > 0\), and \(0.5 < -G(r)/V(r) < 1\), the interactions are considered as partially or partly covalent [49]. Besides another parameter, namely, total energy density, \(H (r)\) was also used to characterize the nature of interactions in this work. The values of \(H (r)\) and its sign determine whether the accumulation of charge at a given point is stabilizing (\(H (r) < 0\)); else, destabilizing (\(H (r) > 0\)) [50]. \(H (r)\) tends to be negative for interactions involving sharing of electrons (covalent) and positive for ionic interactions. However, for metal-ligand (M-L) interactions, \(H (r)\) is negative and closer to zero, while \(\nabla^2 \rho (r) > 0\) [51, 52]. Pertinent topological parameters at the BCPs of the M-L bond as well as hydrogen bonds (HB) are reported in Table 3 and the molecular graphs are presented in Figure S2.

H-bonds, if present, may be classified as conventional or classical (characterized and \(\nabla^2 \rho (r) > 0.044\) a.u.) or “non-conventional (characterized by \(\nabla^2 \rho (r) < 0.024\) a.u.). Conventional H-bonds are those in which the hydrogen atom is between two electronegative atoms: one acting as the donor and the other as the acceptor atom. Those H-bonds different from the above mentioned are said to be nonconventional [53].

Figure S2 shows the presence of hydrogen bonding (HB), between the N atom of the isoxazole moiety and water molecules (N-HO) in all the complexes except B. The HBs were classified according to Trendafilova [47] based on the electron density and its Laplacian at the BCP. It is clear from Table 3 that all the HBs in the complexes may be considered conventional as \(\rho (r) > 0.015\) a.u. and \(\nabla^2 \rho (r) > 0.044\) a.u. Moreover, in an attempt to estimate the strength of the HB, its energy equation as proposed by Espinosa et al. [54] was used:

\[
E_{\text{int}} = \frac{1}{2} V(r),
\]

where \(E_{\text{int}}\) is the interatomic interaction energy as reported in Table 3 and \(V(r)\) potential energy density. Careful inspection of Table 3 shows that all the HBs interatomic interaction energies of the complexes studied are in the range of 12–17 kcal/mol. Hence, HB strength is medium in C and E and stronger in D and A in line with Jeffrey’s classification [55] whereby the strength of an HB may be characterized as weak, medium, or strong based on its interaction energy, 0–4 kcal/mol, 4–14 kcal/mol, and 14–40 kcal/mol, respectively.

3.1.3. Electron Localization Function and Localized Orbital Locator. To further characterize the bonding interactions in the complexes studied, electron localization function (ELF), \(\eta (r)\), as well as the localized orbital locator (LOL), \(\upsilon (r)\), was used. The values of \(\eta (r)\) and \(\upsilon (r)\) obtained were aided by the topological analysis at BCPs and are reported in Table 3. In general, the ELF and LOL values are in the range of 0.0 to 1.0 as they convey similar information and interpretation with LOL having a more decisive meaning [56]. Moreover, large values of ELF and LOL (>0.5) are dominated by regions with high electron localization (covalent). By inspection, Table 3 shows that all bonding interactions considered here have \(\eta (r)\) and \(\upsilon (r)\) values less than 0.5, further supporting the noncovalent nature of metal-ligand bonding interactions as predicted by QTAIM.

3.2. Reactivity Descrpiptors

3.2.1. Frontier Molecular Orbitals (FMOs). Here, an analysis of the FMO was carried out to gain insight into the distribution of electrons in the molecular entities. The HOMO orbitals can donate electrons, whereas the LUMOs are regarded as acceptors of electrons. The energy gap between the HOMO and LUMO is likened to hardness, which is related to stability and may well be vital for describing intermolecular charge transfer in complexes [57]. Most often, chemical species with high HOMO-LUMO energy gap values are said to be stable and may tend to resist any chemical changes; else, they tend to be reactive and easily polarizable [58]. The energies of the HOMO and LUMO and energy gap were obtained from the single point energy (SPE) calculations using the M06/def2-TZVP/D3ZERO level of theory of the optimized geometry at the BP86/def2-TZVP/
The results show that the complexion of the ligand with transition metals led to a decrease in the HOMO and LUMO energies, thus causing a corresponding decrease in the energy gap. The decrease in the HOMO and LUMO energies are the highest in the Ni²⁺ and Fe²⁺ complexes and the lowest in the Fe³⁺ and Zn²⁺ complexes, and hence, the energy gap in the investigated molecules decreases in the order A > B > C > D > E. This observation may suggest that electrons can easily be promoted from the HOMO to the LUMO of the complexes, hence inducing charge transfer.

Figure 2 by inspection, shows a high concentration of HOMO on the thiophene and azomethine bond and less pronounced on the isoxazole moiety in the ligand. In almost all the complexes studied, the HOMO is widely spread on the ligand except in compound C where it is fully localized on the central metal atom.

In contrast, the LUMO is more pronounced on the thiophene moiety in the ligand and spreads over the entire molecule in the complexes. Thus, HOMO-LUMO transitions may be seen as electrons moving from ligand to ligand or central metal atom in most cases except for C with transitions from metal centres to ligands.

The distribution of electrons in the HOMO and LUMO was further supported by the density of state (DOS) analysis [58]. The DOS graphs of the ligand and the investigated complexes were aided by the GaussSum graphical tool [59] and are presented in Figure 3.
Table 4: Energies of HOMOs ($E_{\text{HOMO}}$), LUMOS ($E_{\text{LUMO}}$), and energy gap ($\Delta E$) in eV calculated at M06-D3ZERO/def2-TZVP level of theory in the gas phase.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical species</th>
<th>$E_{\text{HOMO}}$</th>
<th>$E_{\text{LUMO}}$</th>
<th>$\Delta E$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>-6.68</td>
<td>-2.13</td>
<td>4.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe(L)$_2$(H$_2$O)$<em>2$]$</em>{2+}$ (A)</td>
<td>-11.10</td>
<td>-10.02</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Co(L)$_2$(H$_2$O)$<em>2$]$</em>{2+}$ (B)</td>
<td>-12.74</td>
<td>-8.91</td>
<td>3.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ni(L)$_2$(H$_2$O)$<em>2$]$</em>{2+}$ (C)</td>
<td>-13.05</td>
<td>-9.23</td>
<td>3.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Cu(L)$_2$(H$_2$O)$<em>2$]$</em>{2+}$ (D)</td>
<td>-13.02</td>
<td>-9.42</td>
<td>3.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Zn(L)$_2$(H$_2$O)$<em>2$]$</em>{2+}$ (E)</td>
<td>-13.01</td>
<td>-8.71</td>
<td>4.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Gas-phase contour plots of HOMO and LUMO orbital diagrams of all investigated molecules at the M06/def2-TZVP/D3ZERO level of theory.
Figure 3: Density of state (DOS) plots of investigated chemical species.
The figures show that transition metal complexation leads to a redistribution of electrons on the ligand, which can be observed by a significant decrease in the HOMO and LUMO energies. This induces an overall decrease in the energy gap as can be seen in the FMO diagrams of all the complexes. This observation shows that the decrease in the energy gap of the chemical species studied may suggest an improvement in the charge transfer within the molecules, which is in line with the FMO study.

3.2.2. Ionization Potential (IP), Electron Affinity (EA), and Global Reactivity Descriptors. The adiabatic IPs and EAs were obtained from SPE of the ground state and ionic species at the M06/def2-TZVP/D3ZERO level of theory. Because the molecular geometry may change upon moving from neutral to ionic species, adiabatic values were considered in this study. From the IP and EA values, other global reactivity descriptors were deduced as well and are reported in Table 5. IP measures the energy required to remove an electron in the ground state of an atom, while EA measures the energy released when a neutral species at the ground state accepts an electron [60]. Values of the adiabatic IP and EA are reported in Table 5.

It can be deduced from Table 5 that IP is greater than EA in all cases and follows the trend: A > C > B > D > E. This may be a possible suggestion that complex A with the highest IP has high electron releasing power and complex E with the least. On the other hand, complex D has the highest electron-accepting ability, while A has the least accepting power. EA follows the trend: D > E > B > C > A.

To gain insight into the chemical reactivity and stability of the molecules investigated, conceptual DFT was used. Global reactivity descriptors (GRDs) such as electronegativity (χ), global hardness (η), and electrophilicity index (ω) measure the chemical reactivity of a molecule as a whole. While the chemical potential (μ) is related to the measure of the electron’s tendency to escape from a system at the ground state [61], the chemical hardness (η) on the other hand is an indicator of the overall stability of the system and measures the resistance of a molecule to intramolecular charge transfer [62]. Furthermore, electrophilicity (ω) is associated with the measurement of the stabilization energy when a system gets an additional electronic charge from an external environment [63, 64]. These parameters may be calculated, and their values are reported in Table 5.

Table 5 also shows that chemical hardness (η) follows the trend: A > L > C > B > E > D. It is clear from the ranking that metal complexation leads to a decrease in the chemical hardness except for A, suggesting a decrease in the resistance of electrons release for intermolecular charge transfer upon complexation. The chemical potential (μ) follows the order L > A > E > C > B > D, indicating that A is the most chemically reactive in terms of electron donation and Cu (II) complex most reactive in terms of electron acquisition. Moreover, one of the governing parameters for global reactivity studies is electrophilicity, which in this case follows a similar trend as compared to the chemical potential.

3.2.3. Local Reactivity Descriptors. Local reactivity descriptors are used to characterize the reactivity of molecular sites and all the individual atoms that constitute a molecule [65]. A detailed and explicit description of LRDs and GRDs can be found in [66]. In this study, the net charges were calculated using Hirshfeld population charge analysis to calculate the condensed Fukui functions. The donor (f^−_k), acceptor (f^+_k), and condensed Fukui functions for the compounds investigated are presented in Tables S7 and S8 of the ESM. These tables show that the azomethine bond in the ligand is characterized by a nucleophilic C atom (C(1)) (f^−_k = 0.014) and an electrophilic N atom (f^+_k = 0.159). This fact is further supported by condensed Fukui functions (∆f_k) values of −0.038 and 0.182, respectively. This evidence shows the likeness of the azomethine bond (C=N) to be approached by electrophiles and nucleophiles. On the other hand, the sulphur atom of the thiophene ring (S(12)) may be regarded as a nucleophilic site as ∆f_k = −0.1102 and hence prone to an attack by electrophiles. Moreover, the isoxazole nitrogen (N(38)) is electrophilic (∆f^−_k = 0.126) and susceptible to nucleophilic attack. Tables S7 and S8 equally show a reduction in the nucleophilic and electrophilic abilities of the azomethine carbons (C(1) and C(21)) and nitrogens (N(13) and N(32)), respectively, upon complexation. This can be observed by a reduction in the concentration of charges around these atoms. Similarly, on average, the thiophene sulphur (S(12) and S(28)) is less nucleophilic. Also, the water molecules in the coordination sphere are characterized by electrophilic oxygens (O(14) and O(16)) and nucleophilic hydrogens (H(15), H(17), H(18), and H(19)) associated with highly positive and negative values of f^−_k and ∆f_k, respectively.

3.2.4. Molecular Electrostatic Potential (MEP) Analysis. To further elucidate the reactive sites of the molecules studied, their MEP surfaces were computed at the RJCOSX-M06/def2-TZVP level of theory and are displayed in Figure 4.

The different colours at the MEP surfaces, red to yellow, are indicative of regions of low electrostatic potential, which are characteristics of electrophilic sites, while green to blue is indicative of regions of high electrostatic potential, which are

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical species</th>
<th>IP_μ</th>
<th>EA_σ</th>
<th>η</th>
<th>χ</th>
<th>μ</th>
<th>ω</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>7.83</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>3.532</td>
<td>4.300</td>
<td>−4.300</td>
<td>9.247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe(L)₂(H₂O)₂]²⁺</td>
<td>48.03</td>
<td>−28.21</td>
<td>38.121</td>
<td>9.908</td>
<td>−9.908</td>
<td>49.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Co(L)₂(H₂O)₂]²⁺</td>
<td>13.84</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>2.972</td>
<td>10.869</td>
<td>−10.869</td>
<td>59.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ni(L)₂(H₂O)₂]²⁺</td>
<td>13.86</td>
<td>7.72</td>
<td>3.069</td>
<td>10.792</td>
<td>−10.792</td>
<td>58.232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Cu(L)₂(H₂O)₂]²⁺</td>
<td>13.61</td>
<td>9.65</td>
<td>1.979</td>
<td>11.629</td>
<td>−11.629</td>
<td>67.619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Zn(L)₂(H₂O)₂]²⁺</td>
<td>12.51</td>
<td>8.03</td>
<td>2.239</td>
<td>10.267</td>
<td>−10.267</td>
<td>52.710</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
characteristics of nucleophilic sites. Figure 4 shows the isoxazole rings in general, where the O and N atoms, in particular, are the most susceptible to nucleophilic attacks. Moreover, all the H atoms of the isoxazole and thiophene rings of the ligand are acidic and favourable sites for electrophilic attacks. Upon complexation, a different scenario is observed as most H atoms become less acidic. The most nucleophilic sites in the investigated complexes are the H atoms of water molecules and that of the azomethine bond. Hence, these hydrogen atoms can likely take part in intra- and intermolecular hydrogen bonding with the molecule.

3.3. Charge Transfer Properties

3.3.1. Reorganization Energies, Charge Transfer Integrals, Rates, and Mobilities. IP and EA are important parameters in defining the mobilities of organic semiconductors. The mobilities and charge injection efficiency are important parameters that affect the performance of an OFET device [67, 68]. For suitable OFET materials, it suffices that the electrode materials have a work function capable of injecting holes and electrons into the HOMO and LUMO of semiconductor molecules. In this light, the organic semiconductor requires high electron affinity and low ionization potential enough to allow efficient injection of electrons and holes into empty LUMO and HOMO, respectively. Moreover, for high mobilities, the organic semiconductor requires low reorganization energies [37] and high charge transfer integrals with charge transfer rates being the governing factor. The reorganization energies and charge transfer integrals, as well as charge transfer rates and charge transfer mobilities, were calculated using M06/D3/def2-TZVP and PBE0/D3/def2-TZVP levels of theories, and their results are reported in Tables 6 and 7 respectively.

Analyses of Tables 6 and 7 indicate that transition metal complexation leads to a significant modification in the values of the reorganization energies, charge transfer integrals, charge transfer rates, and charge transfer mobilities of holes and electrons. The values of the reorganization

Figure 4: Calculated electrostatic potential surfaces for molecules studied. Regions of higher electron density are displayed in red and lower electron density in blue.
energies and charge transfer integrals calculated at the M06/ D3/def2-TZVP are similar to those obtained using the PBE0/ D3/def2-TZVP method though with slight disparity in their trend (see Table 6). Similar observations have been made for the charge transfer rates and mobilities with the PBE0/D3/ def2-TZVP method in most cases showing an increase in the values of $\lambda_{e/h}$ and $V_{e/h}$ and a somewhat opposite effect on the corresponding $k_{e/h}$ and $\mu_{e/h}$ values. However, discussions of result in this work is solely based on the former as the M06 functional contains more Hartree–Fock (HF) exchange (27%) and is more appropriate for energy calculations of transition metal complexes [37] as compared to the latter with 25% HF exchange. For comparison, tris(8-hydroxyquinolinato) aluminium (Alq3) ($\lambda_e = 0.276$ eV) [37, 69] and N,N’-diphenyl-N,N’-bis(3-methylphenyl-(1,1’-biphenyl)-4,4’)-diamine (TPD) ($\lambda_h = 0.290$ eV) [37, 70] were considered reference molecules for electrons and holes reorganization energies, respectively.

The predicted $\lambda_e$ and $\lambda_h$ values vary in the order $D > E > A > B > C > L$ and $E > A > D > L > C > B$, respectively. This may suggest that the ligand and with $\lambda_e = 0.2426$ eV and $\lambda_h = 0.0085$ eV, respectively, are potential contastants for electron and hole-transport materials as their reorganization energies are smaller relative to that of the reference molecules and therefore possess the highest charge transfer rates and mobilities as expected. Meanwhile, the electron ($\mu_e$) and hole ($\mu_h$) charge transfer mobilities follow the order: $B > C > L > A > E > D$ and $C > B > A > E > D$ with $B$ and $C$ having the highest electron and hole mobilities, respectively (see Table 7). Moreover, the respective values of electron and hole mobilities of $B$ (39.5401 cm²·V⁻¹·S⁻¹) and $C$ (366.4740 cm²·V⁻¹·S⁻¹) are remarkably larger than those of the ligand. These results further ascertain the modification of charge transfer properties upon complexation. Hence, based on charge transfer mobilities, $B$ and $C$ are outstanding with good electron and hole-transporting properties. Compound $B$ has a good balance between electron and hole mobilities and may serve as a good ambipolar transport material, while compound $C$ has a remarkable hole mobility and can be exploited as a hole-transporting material. Hence, compounds $B$ and $C$ may stand as promising molecules for the manufacture of electrons and hole-transport layer materials highly employed in the construction of OLED and OFET devices. This observation further suggest that the charge transport properties of organic systems may be fine-tuned by transition metal complexation.

### Table 6: Computed values of reorganization energies ($\lambda_{e/h}$) and charge transfer integrals ($V_{e/h}$) at the M06/D3/def2-TZVP and PBE0/D3/ def2-TZVP levels of theories in the gas phase.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>$\lambda_e$ (eV) M06</th>
<th>$\lambda_e$ (eV) PBE0</th>
<th>$\lambda_h$ (eV) M06</th>
<th>$\lambda_h$ (eV) PBE0</th>
<th>$V_e$ (eV) M06</th>
<th>$V_e$ (eV) PBE0</th>
<th>$V_h$ (eV) M06</th>
<th>$V_h$ (eV) PBE0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>0.2426</td>
<td>0.3130</td>
<td>0.4784</td>
<td>0.4431</td>
<td>0.1205</td>
<td>0.1247</td>
<td>0.0685</td>
<td>0.0723</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.4625</td>
<td>0.6023</td>
<td>0.8015</td>
<td>0.7648</td>
<td>0.3362</td>
<td>0.1976</td>
<td>1.0690</td>
<td>0.8016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.3643</td>
<td>0.6176</td>
<td>0.0085</td>
<td>0.2102</td>
<td>1.2538</td>
<td>0.6380</td>
<td>0.1013</td>
<td>0.0676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.2890</td>
<td>0.1825</td>
<td>0.1222</td>
<td>0.1197</td>
<td>0.2571</td>
<td>0.1715</td>
<td>0.4950</td>
<td>0.2223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.6880</td>
<td>1.8137</td>
<td>0.6129</td>
<td>0.70287</td>
<td>0.1424</td>
<td>0.1001</td>
<td>0.0029</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>0.7160</td>
<td>0.9767</td>
<td>1.3309</td>
<td>1.6800</td>
<td>0.0446</td>
<td>0.0506</td>
<td>0.6863</td>
<td>0.6881</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 7: Computed values of charge transfer rates ($k_{e/h}$) and charge transfer mobilities ($\mu_{e/h}$) at the M06/D3/def2-TZVP and PBE0/D3/def2- TZVP levels of theories in the gas phase.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>$k_e$ (s⁻¹) M06</th>
<th>$k_e$ (s⁻¹) PBE0</th>
<th>$k_h$ (s⁻¹) M06</th>
<th>$k_h$ (s⁻¹) PBE0</th>
<th>$\mu_e$ (cm²·V⁻¹·S⁻¹) M06</th>
<th>$\mu_e$ (cm²·V⁻¹·S⁻¹) PBE0</th>
<th>$\mu_h$ (cm²·V⁻¹·S⁻¹) M06</th>
<th>$\mu_h$ (cm²·V⁻¹·S⁻¹) PBE0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>4.690 × 10⁻¹⁴</td>
<td>9.901 × 10⁻¹⁴</td>
<td>1.110 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>1.775 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>1.4591</td>
<td>3.0800</td>
<td>0.0350</td>
<td>5.5214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>3.125 × 10⁻¹³</td>
<td>3.955 × 10⁻¹³</td>
<td>8.810 × 10⁻¹²</td>
<td>9.237 × 10⁻¹³</td>
<td>0.9722</td>
<td>1.2431</td>
<td>0.2740</td>
<td>2.8735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.9288 × 10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>2.700 × 10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>4.984 × 10⁻⁸</td>
<td>7.820 × 10⁻⁸</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>3.8110 × 10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>3.294 × 10⁻⁰</td>
<td>1.644 × 10⁰</td>
<td>4.890 × 10⁰</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4. Absorption Spectra. To gain insight into the electronic transition of the compounds investigated in the gas phase, quantum calculations employing the time-dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT) at the PBE0/D3BJ/ma-def2-TZVP/def2/| level of theory were performed. To predict the electronic absorption spectra of the compounds, transitions to the first 20 excited states were calculated where the dominant transitions with the highest oscillator strengths were considered (as presented in Table 8), and the simulated UV-visible absorption spectra of the investigated compounds are depicted in Figure S3. This table presents the absorption wavelength ($\lambda_{max}$), oscillator strength ($f$), and the main assignment, as well as the vertical excitation energies ($E_{exc}$). Inspection of Table 7 shows that complexation leads to an increase in the wavelength (redshift). Moreover, the $\lambda_{max}$ and $f$ values of the two most intense excitations of E are similar to that of the ligand though having slight bathochromic shifts. This may suggest that the substitution of the central metal ion by Zn²⁺ does not affect the absorption spectra significantly.
3.5. Electron Excitation Analysis. Here, the molecular orbitals from TD-DFT calculations were used while employing its output files and that of Molden generated by ORCA. Upon the absorption of a photon in a semiconductor device, there is the excitation of electrons from the valence band to the conduction band, leading to the creation of holes in the valence band [71, 72]. This can equally be regarded as a HOMO-LUMO transition, whereby electrons are promoted to the LUMO while creating holes in the HOMO. Excitations may be classified as local or charge transfer (CT) excitations. In the former, electrons and holes occupy similar spatial regions, while in the latter, they occupy different spatial leading to the substantial displacement of charge density and hence charge transfer [73].

Hence, to characterize the nature of excitation and the electron-hole distribution in the studied chemical species, some important parameters were considered. These parameters include the overlap function between the hole and electron distribution ($S_i$), the magnitude of charge transfer length ($D_{index}$), the overall measure of the degree of the spatial extension of the hole and electron distribution in the charge transfer direction ($H_{index}$), and the separation degree of hole and electron in the charge transfer direction ($t$). Generally, values of $t_{index}$ > 0 imply there is a substantial separation of holes and electrons else not separated [73–75]. The values of these parameters are reported in Table 7, and the hole-electron distribution maps are depicted in Figure 5.

The figure shows a high degree of overlap between holes and electrons in the ligand as they widely spread over the entire molecule specifically on O and N (of the isoxazole ring), S (thiophene ring), and N (azomethine bond), respectively. By combining hole-electron maps and the indices, it can be deduced that the ligand excitation $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ may be regarded as a CT excitation characterized by large values of $S_i$ (0.759 Å) and $D_{index}$ (0.360 Å) [76] as reported in Table 8.

Additionally, the large values of the $H_{index}$ of the excitation $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ (see Table 8) is as a result of the widespread of holes and electrons over the entire molecule (ligand). Moreover, the electron-hole distribution map for ligand shows that electrons and holes occupy different spatial regions, hence suggesting a CT excitation for this transition. Similar observations were made in all the complexes studied with a larger degree of separation of electrons and holes in space, suggesting even better charge transfer in the complexes. Furthermore, it can be seen that complexion leads to a drastic drop in the overlap ($S_r$) between hole and electrons and the magnitude of the charge transfer length ($D_{index}$). All $D_{index}$ in the complexes is small (except for Fe, $S_0 \rightarrow S_0$), while $S_r$ indices are on average large. Also, all $f$ values are negative (except for complex A) indicating that some electrons and holes may still occupy the same region. This may be associated with the somewhat symmetric nature of the investigated molecules, and thus, charge transfer is multidirectional.

For the $S_0 \rightarrow S_{20}$ excitation in C, electrons are highly localized on the azomethine bond with little contribution from the thiophene ring, while holes on the other hand have a contribution from the central metal, water molecules, and azomethine bond. This may serve as plausible evidence of charge transfer involving metal centres. A similar situation is observed in all the other complexes with big or small contributions from metal centres to hole-electron distribution. It is important to note that in D and E, there are little or no contributions from metal centres, respectively, as hole-electron distribution is mainly localized on the ligand, and hence, very low charge transfer mobilities have been observed. This suggests that in these complexes, charge transfer mobilities are greatly influenced by charge transfers involving metal centres. Hence, from the table, it is evident that although the nature of excitation may not be directly related to the charge transfer mobilities of the studied compounds, excitation involving metal centres significantly improves the charge transfer mobilities of the studied compounds.

3.6. Nonlinear Optical (NLO) Properties. In this study, the effect of complexion on the static and dynamic NLO response on the parent molecular framework (L) was equally investigated. The static mean polarizability ($\langle \alpha \rangle$), the total static first hyperpolarizability ($\beta_{tot}$), the vector component of the first hyperpolarizability ($\beta_{vec}$), and the average second hyperpolarizability ($\gamma$) are calculated based on the following equations:

$$\langle \alpha \rangle = \frac{1}{3} \left( \alpha_{xx} + \alpha_{yy} + \alpha_{zz} \right), \quad (19)$$

$$\beta_{tot} = \left( \beta_x^2 + \beta_y^2 + \beta_z^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad (20)$$

where $\beta_x = (\beta_{xxx} + \beta_{xyy} + \beta_{xzz})$; $\beta_y = (\beta_{yyy} + \beta_{yzz} + \beta_{yxx})$; $\beta_z = (\beta_{zzz} + \beta_{xzx} + \beta_{yxz})$; and $\beta_{vec} = \beta_x i + \beta_y j + \beta_z k$. In these expressions, $\alpha_{ij}$ denotes the elements of the second polarizability tensor and $\beta_{ij}$ denotes the elements of the hyperpolarizability tensor.
\[ \beta_z = (\beta_{zzz} + \beta_{zxx} + \beta_{zyy}), \]
\[ \beta_{rec} = (\beta_x^2 + \beta_y^2 + \beta_z^2)^{1/2}, \]
where \( \beta_i (i = x, y, z) \) is given by

\[ \beta_i = \left( \frac{1}{3} \right) \sum_{j=x,y,z} (\beta_{ijj} + \beta_{jij} + \beta_{jji}), \]

\[ \langle \gamma \rangle = \frac{1}{5} (\gamma_{xxxx} + \gamma_{yyny} + \gamma_{zzzz} + 2\gamma_{xxyy} + 2\gamma_{zzzz} + 2\gamma_{yzyz}). \]

Figure 5: Hole-electron distribution maps of L, B, and C; the blue and green colours represent holes and electrons, respectively.

The values of the static mean polarizability \( (\alpha (0; 0)) \), static first hyperpolarizability \( (\beta (0; 0, 0)) \), and the static second hyperpolarizability \( (\gamma (0; 0, 0, 0)) \), and their frequency-dependent NLO properties were determined at the wB97X-D/6-311++G level of theory. The range-separated functional wB97X-D was used since the range-separated exchange renders them more appropriate for the calculation NLO properties [77]. All calculations related to the NLO properties have been carried out using the Gaussian 09 software [78], and related values are reported in Tables 9–13. The conversion factors between atomic units and electrostatic units (esu) have been used as 1 a.u. = 0.5 \times 10^{-24} electrostatic units (esu) for static polarizability,
Table 9: Static average isotropic polarizabilities (⟨α⟩) alongside some of its components for L, transition metal complexes, and p-NA, calculated at ω = 0 at the wB97X/6-31++G level of theory.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>α_{xx}</th>
<th>α_{yx}</th>
<th>α_{yy}</th>
<th>α_{xy}</th>
<th>α_{yz}</th>
<th>α_{zx}</th>
<th>⟨α⟩ × 10^{-22} esu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>211.89</td>
<td>−49.90</td>
<td>161.96</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>75.02</td>
<td>2.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>379.22</td>
<td>−39.49</td>
<td>311.10</td>
<td>65.46</td>
<td>−23.34</td>
<td>251.83</td>
<td>4.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>290.10</td>
<td>−70.00</td>
<td>278.06</td>
<td>51.14</td>
<td>−10.35</td>
<td>152.55</td>
<td>3.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>329.09</td>
<td>−132.83</td>
<td>280.96</td>
<td>16.17</td>
<td>−44.37</td>
<td>307.78</td>
<td>4.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>378.73</td>
<td>−18.05</td>
<td>310.22</td>
<td>109.73</td>
<td>−90.63</td>
<td>271.71</td>
<td>4.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>438.24</td>
<td>66.00</td>
<td>313.49</td>
<td>15.94</td>
<td>−41.53</td>
<td>181.96</td>
<td>4.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-NA</td>
<td>49.16</td>
<td>−20.17</td>
<td>98.07</td>
<td>−11.43</td>
<td>−49.21</td>
<td>144.07</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10: Total static average first hyperpolarizabilities (β_{tot}) alongside some of its components for L, transition metal complexes, and p-NA, calculated at ω = 0 at the wB97X/6-31++G level of theory.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>β_{xxx}</th>
<th>β_{yyy}</th>
<th>β_{zzz}</th>
<th>β_{xx}</th>
<th>β_{yy}</th>
<th>β_{zz}</th>
<th>β_{tot} × 10^{-33} esu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>570.02</td>
<td>54.45</td>
<td>−0.86</td>
<td>1846.13</td>
<td>−575.21</td>
<td>27.75</td>
<td>167.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>227.10</td>
<td>82.59</td>
<td>196.64</td>
<td>898.67</td>
<td>135.35</td>
<td>1292.29</td>
<td>136.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.231</td>
<td>−3.738</td>
<td>−0.001</td>
<td>1.422</td>
<td>−4.253</td>
<td>−0.010</td>
<td>38.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>−0.74</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>9.29</td>
<td>−7.21</td>
<td>10.54</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>−7.10</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>−0.17</td>
<td>−35.93</td>
<td>−6.20</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>3.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-NA</td>
<td>−5.53</td>
<td>−20.20</td>
<td>−1869.94</td>
<td>685.67</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>−5062.73</td>
<td>441.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11: Total static average second hyperpolarizabilities (γ) alongside some of its components for ligand, transition metal complexes, and p-NA, calculated at ω = 0 at the wB97X/6-31+1+G level of theory.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>γ_{xxx}</th>
<th>γ_{yyy}</th>
<th>γ_{zzz}</th>
<th>γ_{xxy}</th>
<th>γ_{xyy}</th>
<th>γ_{xzz}</th>
<th>γ_{yyyy}</th>
<th>γ_{zzzz}</th>
<th>γ_{xyyz}</th>
<th>γ_{xzzz}</th>
<th>γ_{zyzz}</th>
<th>γ_{zzzz}</th>
<th>γ_{tot} × 10^{-33} esu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>170216.00</td>
<td>300671.10</td>
<td>16564.10</td>
<td>−45593.20</td>
<td>8425.45</td>
<td>7730.04</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>149721.00</td>
<td>73022.90</td>
<td>51336.50</td>
<td>34998.40</td>
<td>37425.60</td>
<td>17165.30</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>−98196.00</td>
<td>362035.00</td>
<td>65901.61</td>
<td>−120.70</td>
<td>−120.70</td>
<td>−587.20</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>67306.10</td>
<td>63713.10</td>
<td>68945.50</td>
<td>41197.60</td>
<td>25898.70</td>
<td>33808.10</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>116850.00</td>
<td>35176.60</td>
<td>41211.60</td>
<td>29410.40</td>
<td>37492.40</td>
<td>17671.80</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>184082.00</td>
<td>48635.00</td>
<td>21773.00</td>
<td>27534.90</td>
<td>11170.80</td>
<td>8755.63</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-NA</td>
<td>10364.90</td>
<td>10020.20</td>
<td>120405.10</td>
<td>4647.18</td>
<td>5024.00</td>
<td>10375.17</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 12: Values of selected components of the frequency-dependent first hyperpolarizability, calculated at ω = 0.04282 a.u (1064 nm) at the wB97X/6-31+1+G level of theory.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>β_{xxx}</th>
<th>β_{yyy}</th>
<th>β_{zzz}</th>
<th>β_{xx}</th>
<th>β_{yy}</th>
<th>β_{zz}</th>
<th>β_{tot} × 10^{-33} esu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>873.29</td>
<td>40.43</td>
<td>−0.01</td>
<td>2879.06</td>
<td>−0.0001</td>
<td>39.74</td>
<td>248.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>451.92</td>
<td>112.46</td>
<td>253.23</td>
<td>1672.53</td>
<td>−23.74</td>
<td>1622.37</td>
<td>201.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>−1.163</td>
<td>4.487</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>−4.919</td>
<td>6.924</td>
<td>−1.563</td>
<td>74.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>−0.16</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>−1.02</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>−1.18</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td>−18.12</td>
<td>17.13</td>
<td>2.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>−8.96</td>
<td>−0.79</td>
<td>−1.48</td>
<td>51.89</td>
<td>−43.62</td>
<td>−2.02</td>
<td>5.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-NA</td>
<td>−6.37</td>
<td>−23.83</td>
<td>−2964.70</td>
<td>1092.88</td>
<td>6.511</td>
<td>−8271.56</td>
<td>720.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 13: Average values of frequency-dependent first and second hyperpolarizabilities (in a.u.) corresponding to some NLO properties of ligand and its transition metal complexes, calculated at frequency ω = 0.04282 a.u. at the wB97XD/6-311++G level of theory.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NLO property</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>p-NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SHG ((−2ω; ω, ω))</td>
<td>609.73</td>
<td>466.05</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>13.56</td>
<td>1668.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EOPE (β (−ω; ω, 0))</td>
<td>434.97</td>
<td>352.18</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>9.94</td>
<td>1182.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THG (γ (−3ω; ω, ω, ω))</td>
<td>76689.10</td>
<td>100955.00</td>
<td>71190.60</td>
<td>88208.80</td>
<td>81461.60</td>
<td>78885.70</td>
<td>37284.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFISHG ((−2ω; ω, ω, 0))</td>
<td>100647.00</td>
<td>132708.00</td>
<td>26216.91</td>
<td>115765.00</td>
<td>104969.00</td>
<td>102025.00</td>
<td>54826.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
from $\beta_x$ from multiple directions with little or negative contributions. In the complexes, the dominant components are the ligand, suggesting that charge transfer occurs primarily in the $\pi$-conjugated framework. In the complexes, the dominant components are from multiple directions with little or negative contributions from $\beta_x$ and $\beta_{xxx}$ components.

Also, the vector component of the first hyperpolarizability ($\beta_{vec}$) alongside some NLO frequency-dependent properties were computed at the typical Nd: YAG laser frequency of 0.04282 a.u. (corresponding to the wavelength ($\lambda$) = 1064 nm) and are reported in Tables 4 and 5. Analysis of Table 4 shows that the ligand and its complexes have $\alpha$ (0; 0) and $\gamma$ (0; 0, 0) values larger than those of p-NA (see Tables 9–11). Thus, suggesting that all the investigated molecules are potential candidates for third-order NLO applications. Table 10 shows a drastic drop in the $\beta$ (0; 0, 0) values upon coordination to transition metal. This observation may be related to the fact that the dominant components of $\beta$ (0; 0, 0) are from $\beta_x$ and $\beta_{xxx}$ in ligand, suggesting that charge transfer occurs primarily in the $x$-direction where majority of the $\pi$-conjugated framework lies. In the complexes, the dominant components are from multiple directions with little or negative contributions from $\beta_x$ and $\beta_{xxx}$ components.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this article.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the support of the Research Unit of Noxious and Environmental Engineering (RUNOCHEE), Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Dschang, Cameroon.

Supplementary Materials

Figure S1: Gas-phase optimized geometries of investigated molecules. Figure S2: Molecular graphs of L complexes based on their gas-phase optimized geometries. Figure S3: Simulated UV-visible absorption spectrum L and its complexes at the PBE0-D3/ma-def2-TZVP level of theory. Table S1: Computed values of the condensed Fukui functions ($f_{k^+}, f_{k^-}$) and the dual descriptor ($\Delta f_k$) in a.u. for L and complexes A and B. Table S2: Computed values of the condensed Fukui functions ($f_{k^+}, f_{k^-}$) and the dual descriptor ($\Delta f_k$) in a.u. for complexes C, D, and E. Table S3: Cartesian coordinates of the gas-phase optimized geometry of (L). Table S4: Cartesian coordinates of the gas-phase optimized geometry of complex A. Table S5: Cartesian coordinates of the gas-phase optimized geometry of complex B. Table S6: Cartesian coordinates of the gas-phase optimized geometry of complex C. Table S7: Cartesian coordinates of the gas-phase optimized geometry of complex D. Table S8: Cartesian coordinates of the gas-phase optimized geometry of complex E. (Supplementary Materials)

References


[33] M. Qiu, W. Pei, Q. Lu, Z. Li, Y. Li, and J. Liang, “DFT characteristics of charge transport in DBTIP based hole


