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The effects of the percentage volume of reinforcement, the ratio of reinforcement, and the matrix size of particles on the wear behavior of AA5052/B₄C metal matrix composites (MMCs) examine. This research examines a model function developed from an artificial neural network (ANN). AA5052/B₄C composites bent using a powder metallurgy technique to hardness and ball-on-disc wear testing. There are two exemptions such as (1) when the percentage volume of reinforcement is less than 8% and (2) when the ratio of reinforcement particle size (Rs) and matrix particle size (Ms) increases before decreasing. The results show that wear loss decreases with increasing percentage volume of reinforcement and ratio of Rs and Ms. In the second case, wear loss is increased at high levels of percentage volume (14%) since the proportion of reinforcement and matrix size of the particle is close to 1. When the volume percentage of reinforcement is high (14%) and the matrix and reinforcement particle sizes are substantial (120 m), the reinforcement particles become dislodged and break. Because these broken-up particles are easily removed from the surface, the material’s wear resistance is reduced. In this case, raising the volume fraction yields a uniformly higher hardness for all Rs/Ms values; hence, composites with lower reinforcement volume percentages show better wear resistance. Hardness and wear resistance have no relationship with one another.
1. Introduction

MMCs are an emerging material class with a wide range of desirable properties, including low weight, high strength, specific modulus, low density, low elongation, and high stiffness [1]. Excellent operating performance, wear resistance, thermal stabilization, minimal thermal extension, and maximum flexibility to experience the distortion process by conventional methods such as powder metallurgy and casting have contributed to the increase in emerging of AMMC strengthened with ceramics in both the industry and academia. As a result of its compatibility with a wide variety of metallic and ceramic substrate plating materials, AA5052/B4Cp is useful in microelectronic packing for aviation, automation, and microapplications.

Because of its unique qualities, including the lack of undesired reaction products and less processing cost, solid-state powder metallurgy (PM) is frequently employed as a production method for Al-based MMCs [2, 3]. However, this approach also has drawbacks, such as a lack of homogeneity and a low density from the pores [4].

Sintering, pressing, mixing settings (external factors), and material aspects all influence the qualities of particulate-reinforced MMCs made through solid-state powder metallurgy. Material factors (internal factors) considered in this investigation include Ms and Rs and the percentage volume of reinforcement particles.

Wear resistance in Al-based MMCs has been found to increase with both Rs and volume percentage of reinforcement [5]. Although internal parameters have a significant bearing on tribological features and reinforcement particle clustering, the impact of the matrix size of the particle and the ratio of Rs/Ms has not been extensively studied.

Analysts frequently resort to analytical approaches to investigate the effects of MMCs' material features [6]. The use of an ANN is currently one of the most widely adopted and successful approaches. Characterizing the material and providing insight into the effective manufacturing material and processing parameters are two additional benefits of providing insight into the effective manufacturing material and processing parameters. Clustering, an undesirable phenomenon, is reported to increase the volume percentage of reinforcement. Authors [14] also investigated the impact of the reinforcing volume fraction. The researchers discovered that squeeze-cast AA5052/B4C MMCs with a higher volume proportion of B4C particles had better wear resistance [15–17]. They also found that an increase in percentage volume led to a higher critical transition temperature between the moderate and severe regimes of wear loss [17]. Additional instances of the successful application of ANN to the characterization of MMCs can be found in the scholarly literature [18].

This research looked at how the Rs/Ms ratio affected the wear behavior of AA5052/B4Cp MMCs. The studies relied on a model function determined with the help of a neural network simulator and the data collected in the experiments. Micrographs of the microstructures were acquired before and after the wear testing, allowing for a comparison of the two data sets. To get a more in-depth look at AA5052/B4Cp MMCs to wear, an ANN was used with wear loss and hardness as input factors and percentage volume of reinforcement, Ms and Rs as output parameters.

2. Materials and Experimental Procedure

Production of AA5052-B4C composites was achieved through powder metallurgy. Five different volume fractions of B4C particles were used to strengthen the aluminum particles (99.5% purity). Matrix and reinforcement particle sizes of 70, 95, 120, 145, and 170 μm were tested and found to be optimal. In a triaxial mixer, particles of aluminum and B4C of varying sizes were mixed for a one-hour cold compact at a pressure 450 MPa. After compacting, the particle mixes were sintered at 600 C for 8 hours.

The hardness of the composite specimen was evaluated using a Brinell hardness tester (DM-AKB-3000, Navin Engineering) outfitted with a ball indenter measuring 2.5 mm in diameter and a 62.5 kgf force. The wear tests were conducted in the ball-on-disc-type machine with dry sliding circumstances. Steel ball bearings with a diameter of 6 mm and a hardness of 62HRC were employed as the counter-face material. Normal loads of 10 N were applied for the wear testing, and the sliding velocity was held constant at 0.421 ms⁻¹, with the sliding distance set at 550 m. The starting and ending weights of every sample were recorded to calculate wear.

Table 1 displays the wear loss and hardness test results for all the specimens tested, including those with a wide variety of matrix particle sizes, reinforcement particle sizes, and reinforcement volume fractions.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample no.</th>
<th>Reinforcement volume fraction (%)</th>
<th>Size of reinforcement particle ((R_s) (\mu m))</th>
<th>Size of matrix particle ((M_s) (\mu m))</th>
<th>Rs/Ms proportion</th>
<th>Wear loss ((g))</th>
<th>Hardness ((HB))</th>
<th>Clustering rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.049891</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>No cluster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.013212</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>3.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.030286</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>2.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.025552</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.044321</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.008251</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>9.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.003065</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>8.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.006964</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>6.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.020632</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>4.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>0.027553</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>3.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.002255</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>13.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.003668</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>8.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.002815</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>10.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>0.003793</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>6.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.001894</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>15.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Artificial Neural Network

Digital models of the nervous systems and artificial neural networks are based on the biology of intelligence [19]. They are typically depicted as networks of neurons that can calculate values from inputs when data are fed into the system. The ability of artificial neural network models to infer a function from observation is often cited as proof of their usefulness. This is especially helpful when it would be impractical to design such a function by hand, as is the case when working with complex data or tasks. This means that ANNs can provide meaning for the interrelationships between the variables of a high-dimensional space. When asked to represent intricate linear and nonlinear connections, ANNs have excelled well. Compared to statistical approaches, ANNs provide a radically new way to describe materials and manage their processing.

Neural networks can be programmed to do a variety of tasks. The neural network is seen in Figure 1. The weight matrices (w), bias vectors (b), transfer function (f), reinforcement (R), and outputs (a) in this network are R, f, w, b, and a, respectively.

Training a neural network needs to receive examples of data that may be used as inputs. Each input is multiplied by a variant known as the weight and added to a variant known as the bias beforehand, incoming the neuron; the preliminary range of both variations can be specified in advance if the neural network has only one layer [18]. The experimental output value is compared with the neuron's output, which is the functional transfer input. The input is the total of the values received from each input. If the resulting error value exceeds the allowed error value, the result is sent back to the network to adjust the weights and biases until the intended effect is achieved. Figure 2 depicts the iterative process of network training and evaluation, with various techniques used to explore the resulting model's performance.

3.1. Implementation of the Neural Network. Numerous parameters can be adjusted during installation to optimize the performance, speed, and accuracy of an ANN [20]. Parameters include the network learning rate, the number of layers, the number of neurons in each layer, and many others. In this research, the neural network was trained using abrasive resistance and hardness as input factors and the Rs, Ms, and the reinforcement volume fraction. The network was educated using the most popular and effective approach for training, backpropagation error. According to authors [21], the Pearson correlation coefficient is the other artificial neural network metric that demonstrates how successfully a network is trained. The research shows that Pearson correlation coefficient values greater than 0.9 are considered satisfactory for this parameter [22].

Dispersion in the training data can significantly affect the number of layers and neurons; similarly, variation in the input and output factors might cause difficulties in the network learning process. Data are normalized to reduce the variation in such circumstances. Similar to the method used by authors [23], all parameter values were normalized in this study by dividing them by the most significant value of the relevant parameter to place them in a uniform range from 0 to 1. The optimal PCC (postclassification comparison) value was found by trial and error. Table 2 provides the artificial neural network's specific architecture and the relevant factors' values. There were three distinct layers to the network. The ANN structure gave nominal values for the sum of neurons in the input, hidden, and output layers. Authors [24] indicate that growing the number of neurons, being the minor process units, does not result in enhanced network performance and accuracy. This is something to remember while choosing the number of layers and neurons. The rate at which a network learns is another crucial variable that must be considered during deployment. Authors [25] discussed that a low value for this parameter results in slow network convergence, which slows down the time it takes to obtain the desired response. In that case, there is a risk that the training process may become unstable, increasing the amount of inaccuracy in the response from cycle to cycle.

3.2. Model Function. The values of w, f, p, and b parameters can be acquired upon successful training of the neural network. Figure 3 indicates the overall structure of the neural network used in this research. Using these values, one may determine the function that connects the manufacturing process parameters as inputs to the measured outcomes (wear loss and hardness) as outputs. The model function can be derived as follows:
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Figure 2: Network training, exploration of ANN training results, and evaluation of result composition of the technique.

Table 2: Assembly and the factors of ANN.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANN framework</th>
<th>PCC</th>
<th>Rate of learning</th>
<th>Number of epochs</th>
<th>Mean error (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$3 \times 8 \times 2$</td>
<td>0.9991</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>150000</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Results and Discussions

4.1. Artificial Neural Network. For wear loss as well as hardness, as can be shown in Figures 4(a) and 4(b), the ANN predictions are close to the experimental results. The ANN model has a 1% margin of error for making predictions (Table 2). This means that the projected findings agree well with the experimented data. The results show that an artificial neural network is a valuable tool for predicting the wear behavior of particle-strengthened MMCs and can be utilized in conjunction with experimental results.

4.2. Analysis of Microstructure. Figure 5 shows the optical microstructures of AA5052-B₄Cp MMCs. Microscopically, 8% volume fraction composites have a homogeneous distribution of reinforcing particles (Figures 5(a) and 5(b)). In these composites, the reinforcement (Rs) and the matrix (Ms) particle size ratio is more than one or equal to 1. While the reinforcement volume percentage is less, Figure 5(c) shows that few particle clusters arise in the microstructure when the reinforcement size is lesser than the matrix size of the particle (Rs/Ms < 1) (8%). However, particle clusters are more numerous in composites with a large volume fraction.
(18%), which is true regardless of the Rs/Ms (Figures 5(d)–5(f)). This research determined the clustering rate of reinforcement particles by comparing the cluster area to the total microstructure area. Seven micrographs were obtained from each sample, the parameter value was determined for each, and the average was used to determine the clustering rate. Comparing only specimens with 18% of reinforcement reveals that the clustering of strengthening particles becomes less severe with an increasing Rs/Ms ratio.

It can also be seen clearly from Table 1 and Figure 5 that when the volume proportion rises and the Rs/Ms ratio falls, the degree of reinforcement particle clustering increases. These findings suggest that reinforcement particles’ distribution and cluster formation are controlled by the Rs/Ms ratio in addition to the volume fraction. The dashed arrows represent particle clusters with space in Figure 5. There are two distinct ways these gaps can emerge. Inefficient bonding between the groups of reinforcement particles makes them
easy to dislodge or remove from the matrix. In this case, sliding wear tests have not yet been conducted. Second, when deformed plastically, the matrix material has difficulty filling the gaps between the reinforcement particles. This is because the reinforcing particles are clustered too closely together, which impedes the flow of the matrix material during the pressing and sintering processes. It explains how the reinforcement particle clustering affects the AA5052/B4Cp MMCs’ wear behavior.

4.3. Wear Behavior. Figure 6 shows that AA5052/B4Cp composites’ wear behavior is affected by reinforcement volume percentage and the Rs/Ms factors. The percentage of reinforcement volume in a material’s total volume is essential in determining its wear resistance. As the volume fraction of reinforcement rises, wear loss falls. However, as seen in Figures 6(a)–6(d), wear loss rises with the increasing matrix size of the particle. The impact of particle size reinforcement on wear resistance is complex and cannot be analyzed in isolation. Once the strengthening volume percentage is more significant than 12% and the Rs is less than half that of the Ms (Rs/Ms 0.5), the wear loss appears to decrease slightly. However, wear loss starts to diminish as the relative Rs increases drastically. There is a minimum in the wear loss’s lowering trend at high reinforcement volume fraction and Rs, which then starts to climb again. The wear behavior of AA5052/B4Cp MMCs can be better examined and comprehended if a second parameter is defined to account for the size of the reinforcement particles. This study developed a new metric, Rs/Ms, to accomplish this.

The model function (Figure 6, Table 1) and experimented data show wear loss reductions as the ratio of Rs and Ms increases. Previously established, this ratio demonstrates

Figure 6: Predicted wear loss for various matrix particle sizes (a) 70 µm, (b) 95 µm, (c) 120 µm, and (d) 145 µm.
how many reinforcement particles there are for every hundred matrix particles [26]. As the mixture is stirred, the smaller matrix particles can more effectively fill the spaces between the larger reinforcement particles. Wear resistance improves as the ratio of Rs/Ms increases. With just two exceptions, wear loss reduces with increasing Rs/Ms. In the first scenario, the volume fraction is between 6 and 8%. As shown in Figure 6, the wear rate decreases after reaching a maximum. In this second scenario, the volume percentage of reinforcement is more than 14%, the reinforcement and matrix particle size proportion is close to 1, and the matrix particle size is more than 120 $\mu$m. When the particle size of the matrix increases, the wear loss falls until a minimum is attained, and then the minimum moves to a lesser range of the ratio of Rs and Ms (Figures 6(c) and 6(d)).

Figure 7(a) demonstrates that the reinforcement particles cannot prevent substantial plastic distortion in the first situation, while the sample surface is in metal-metal contact when the testing load is relatively high. Larger reinforcement particles induce extensive plastic deformation and wear loss, which may be attributed to their poorer strength due to harboring more defects, as observed by authors [27, 28]. In addition, low Rs/Ms can cause particle clusters, resulting in a significant amount of wear loss. But after wear loss has plateaued at a given reinforcing particle size, further increases in particle size reduce the rate of wear (Figure 6). Because larger reinforcement particles are lodged so profoundly in the matrix, they are better able to shield the matrix from damage and hence prevent the plastic distortion of the specimen’s surface.

As shown in Figure 5, the volume proportion of reinforcement particles and the number of particle clusters raise the reinforcement and matrix size particle ratio to a specific fixed maximum value of volume percentage. Authors [29–31] conducted a few other study teams that have come to similar conclusions. Wear loss decreases initially despite an increase in Rs/Ms in another case when the percentage volume of reinforcement is more significant than 14% and the Ms is $>120 \mu$m (Figures 6(c) and 6(d)). A maximum volume proportion of reinforcement ($>14\%$) is responsible for the observed upward trend in wear loss. The minimum process temperature of the MMC prevents diffusion between the $\text{B}_4\text{C}$ and AA5052 particles, resulting in weak bonding in the clustered particles that make up the microstructure of MMCs [32, 33]. Because of this, particles are easily knocked off the sample’s surfaces during the wear test [34]. The spaces left behind by considerable dislodged particles are not always filled. Depending on the particle cluster, the voids created in the present investigation may be noticed in Figure 5 well before the wear test was performed. As shown in Figure 7(b), the wear loss increases because the dislodged particles become imprisoned among the sample surface and counter-face, breaking apart (Figures 6(c) and 6(d)). When the percentage volume of reinforcement (14%) and the percentage volume of the matrix (120 $\mu$m) are both high, the high Rs/Ms cannot improve the wear resistance of MMCs.
4.4. Hardness. Hardness increases with increasing volume fraction, as demonstrated by investigations by the authors [35–37]. Dislodging and fracturing of reinforcing particles diminish wear resistance, as seen in Figure 8. In contrast to the wear loss trends, which show an increase as a function of rising volume fraction, it is clear that hardness improves for all ranges of Rs/Ms. This suggests an inverse relationship between hardness and wear resistance in this region.

5. Conclusions

Using a numerical model derived from a trained ANN, this research examined the wear resistance as well as hardness of AA5052/B4Cp metal matrix composites and found the following:

(1) Percentage volume of reinforcement, followed by the ratio of particle size reinforcement to particle size matrix, is the essential factor in defining the wear resistance of AA5052/B4Cp MMCs, and more accurate results can be obtained.

(2) Except in two situations, wear resistance improves with an increase in the Rs/Ms ratio: for (a), the reinforcement volume fraction must be less than 8%. Here, (a) the percentage volume is greater than 14%, (b) the Rs/Ms is close to 1, and (c) the Ms is more significant than 120 μm; wear loss diminishes as the Rs/Ms ratio increases. The lost wear reduces until it reaches a minimum and then rises again.

(3) Reinforcing particle clustering has a significant impact on wear loss. The wear resistance goes down with the number of clusters. The proportion of reinforcement volume to particle volume and the strengthening stiffness to particle stiffness are essential factors in particle clustering. Wear resistance is inversely proportional to the Rs/Ms ratio, which means that raising the volume percentage increases the particle clustering.

(4) The reinforcement particles become dislodged and break when the volume percentage of reinforcement is high (14%) and when the particle sizes of both the reinforcement and the matrix are significant (120 μm). The material's wear resistance is decreased because these fragmented particles can be readily cleaned off the surface. Here, increasing the volume fraction results in a greater hardness across the board for all Rs/Ms values; hence, composites with lower reinforcement volume percentages exhibit more excellent wear resistance. There is no correlation between wear resistance and hardness.

(5) Through the use of a qualified ANN, the wear behavior of particle-reinforced metal matrix composites was successfully characterized for the first time. The network took as input parameters the particle size and volume fraction of the reinforcement in the matrix, and the network output factors were the wear loss and hardness of the composite.
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