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Objective. Diabetic kidney disease is one of the most common microvascular complications of diabetes mellitus. We aimed to analyze the association of thyroid parameters with kidney disorders, especially in euthyroid participants.

Methods. The data were obtained from a cross-sectional study, the METAL study. Thyroid parameters, including thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH), free triiodothyronine (FT₃), free thyroxine (FT₄), triiodothyronine (T₃), thyroxin (T₄), thyroid peroxidase antibody (TPOAb), and thyroglobulin antibody (TgAb), of 4136 participants with type 2 diabetes were measured. Two structure parameters of thyroid homeostasis, including the sum activity of step-up deiodinases (SPINA-G D) and thyroid secretory capacity (SPINA-G T), and two pituitary thyrotropic function indices, including Jostel’s TSH index (TSHI) and the thyrotroph thyroid hormone resistance index (TTSI), were also calculated. Kidney disorders were described according to the presence of reduced estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) and/or higher urinary albumin to creatinine ratio (UACR).

Results. The prevalence of kidney disorders increased with decreasing FT₃ or T₃ and increasing FT₄ or T₄ quartile levels (all \( P < 0.05 \)). After full adjustment, linear regression showed that UACR levels were negatively associated with FT₃ and T₃ (all \( P < 0.001 \)). In addition, eGFR was positively associated with FT₃ and T₃ and was negatively associated with TSH and FT₄ levels and TgAb positivity (all \( P < 0.05 \)). By using binary logistic regression, higher TSH and FT₄ and lower FT₃ and T₃ were associated with kidney disorders (all \( P < 0.05 \)). Similar results were seen in sensitivity analyses, which were performed in 3035 euthyroid diabetic participants; however, TSH was no longer related to them. The area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) of lower FT₃ for existing kidney disorder was greater than that for any other thyroid hormones (all \( P < 0.001 \)). The cutoff value of FT₃ for reduced eGFR was 4.39 pmol/L. Regarding thyroid homeostasis parameters, SPINA-Gₐ was negatively associated with three statuses of kidney disorders, and TSHI and TTSI were positively associated with reduced eGFR (all \( P < 0.05 \)). Conclusions. Among patients with type 2 diabetes, elevated TSH and FT₄ (or T₄), lower FT₃ (or T₃), TgAb positivity, lower SPINA-Gₐ, and higher TSHI and TTSI were associated with kidney disorders. The lower FT₃, even within the normal range (<4.38 pmol/L), may be the factor most related to reduced eGFR compared with other thyroid hormones in diabetic patients.

1. Introduction

Diabetes mellitus (DM) and its complications have become highly prevalent and have gained increasing attention [1], especially in developing countries. The estimated prevalence of diabetes among a representative sample of Chinese adults was 11.6%, which indicates the importance of diabetes as a public health problem in China [2]. Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is defined by the sustained presence of reduced kidney function or damage, often resulting from diabetes mellitus (DM) and hypertension [3]. Approximately 13% of individuals in the general US population have CKD, and the inci-
idence is increasing globally [4–6]. Diabetic kidney disease (DKD) is one of the most common microvascular complications of diabetes mellitus and the leading cause of end-stage renal disease (ESRD) worldwide [7]. Approximately 20%–40% of patients with diabetes progress to DKD, and 40% also progress to ESRD [8]. Reduced estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) and higher urinary albumin to creatinine ratio (UACR) levels are two main markers of diabetic kidney status, quantifying renal function and serving as a proxy of renal damage severity, respectively [3, 9].

The thyroid gland is one of the most important organs in the human body. It regulates the majority of the body’s physiological actions [10]. Thyroid hormone has an impact on renal tubular function and the renin-angiotensin system and is associated with hemodynamic and cardiovascular alterations that interfere with renal blood flow [11]. Conversely, the kidney is not only an organ for the metabolism and elimination of TH but also a target organ of some of the actions of the iodothyronines [12]. Acute kidney injury and chronic kidney disease are accompanied by notable alterations that interfere with renal blood flow [11]. Reduced eGFR was defined as ≤60 mL/min/1.73 m², and chronic kidney disease was defined as ≥30% albuminuria (UACR ≥30 mg/g) [22] and regardless of whether the UACR was high. Higher UACR was defined as UACR ≥30 mg/g, suggested by an ADA statement [23] and regardless of the value of eGFR. Higher UACR and/or reduced eGFR was defined as the positivity of higher UACR and/or reduced eGFR.

2. Methods

2.1. Study Design and Participants. The data of this study were obtained from a cross-sectional study, the METAL study (Environmental Pollutant Exposure and Metabolic Diseases in Shanghai, http://www.chictr.org.cn, ChiCTR1800017573) [17, 18], which was designed to investigate the association between exposure to heavy metals and diabetic complications in Chinese diabetic adults. We enrolled participants from seven communities in the Huangpu and Pudong districts in Shanghai. In 2018, we obtained the list of diabetic patients who were Chinese citizens ≥18 years old and had lived in their current area for ≥6 months from the registration platform in each community healthcare center and then randomly selected 50% of them (n = 4937) to receive the examination by using SPSS Statistics, Version 22 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). We excluded participants who were missing laboratory results (n = 8) or questionnaire data (n = 116), those who were missing thyroid parameters (n = 6) or UACR results (n = 234), those having a history of thyroid surgery or thyroid disorder treatment (n = 265), or those having a history of glucocorticoid or amiodarone treatment (n = 172) in this study. Finally, 4136 participants were involved in this study. Then, we excluded subjects with abnormal TSH, FT₄, or T₃ levels and those with FT₃ or T₃ levels below the lower limits of their reference ranges for sensitivity analysis (Figure 1). All participants provided written informed consent before data collection. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Shanghai Ninth People’s Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine. All procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and with the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki, as revised in 2008.

2.2. Laboratory Measurements. Serum samples for laboratorial assays were obtained by venipuncture after an 8-hour fast. Blood samples were stored at -20°C when collected and shipped by air in dry ice to one central laboratory, which was certified by the College of American Pathologists (CAP), within 2-4 hours of collection.

Serum TSH, FT₃, FT₄, T₃, T₄, TPOAb, and TgAb were measured by electrochemiluminescence (Roche, E601, Germany). The normal reference ranges for TSH, FT₃, FT₄, T₃, T₄, TPOAb, and TgAb were 0.27–4.20 mIU/L, 3.10–6.80 pmol/L, 12.00–22.00 pmol/L, 1.30–3.10 nmol/L, 66.00–181.00 nmol/L, 0.34–0.00 mIU/L, and 0–115.00 U/mL, respectively. Euthyroid was defined as TSH, FT₄, and T₃ levels within normal limits and without FT₃ or T₃ levels below the lower limits. Two structure parameters of thyroid homeostasis, SPINA-G₁ and SPINA-G₂, as well as two pituitary thyrotrrophic function indices, TSHI and TTSI, were calculated according to previous studies [19–21].

Creatinine (Cr) and uric acid (UA) were measured by Beckman Coulter AU680 (Brea, USA). Using morning fasting spot urine samples, the concentrations of albumin and Cr were measured with a Beckman Coulter AU680 (Brea, USA) using a turbidimetric immunoassay and an enzymatic method, respectively. Reduced eGFR was defined as eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73m² according to the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) for “Asian origin” [22] and regardless of whether the UACR was high. Higher UACR was defined as UACR ≥30 mg/g, suggested by an ADA statement [23] and regardless of the value of eGFR. Higher UACR and/or reduced eGFR was defined as the positivity of higher UACR and/or reduced eGFR.

Lipid profiles, including triglycerides (TG), total cholesterol (TC), and high- (HDL-C) and low-density lipoprotein (LDL-C), were measured by Beckman Coulter AU680 (Brea, USA). Glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) was assessed by high-performance liquid chromatography (MQ-2000PT, Med-conn, Shanghai, China).
2.3. Clinical Parameters. A questionnaire about sociodemographic characteristics, medical history, family history, and lifestyle factors was adopted during the interview. The same group of trained and experienced personnel in the SPECT-China study (Survey on Prevalence in East China for Metabolic Diseases and Risk Factors, ChiCTR-ECS-14005052, http://www.chictr.org.cn) [24, 25] conducted the interviews and clinical examinations, including measurements of weight, height, and blood pressure, according to a standard protocol. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared. Current smoking was defined as having smoked at least 100 cigarettes in one’s lifetime and currently smoking cigarettes [2]. Hypertension was assessed by systolic blood pressure ≥ 140 mmHg, diastolic blood pressure ≥ 90 mmHg, or self-reported previous diagnosis of hypertension by physicians or medication use for hypertension. Dyslipidemia was defined as TC ≥ 6.22 mmol/L, TG ≥ 2.26 mmol/L, LDL-C ≥ 4.14 mmol/L, HDL-C < 1.04 mmol/L, self-reported previous diagnosis of hyperlipidemia by physicians, or medication use for dyslipidemia, according to the modified National Cholesterol Education Program-Adult Treatment Panel III.

2.4. Statistical Analysis. We performed survey analyses with IBM SPSS Statistics. All analyses were two-sided. A P value < 0.05 was taken to indicate a significant difference. Normally and nonnormally distributed continuous variables were expressed as mean ± SD and median (interquartile range), respectively. Categorical variables are presented as percentages. Pearson’s χ² test was used for dichotomous variables. Associations among thyroid parameters and two kidney parameters (UACR and eGFR) were analyzed using linear regression models with each measure as the outcome. The regression models were adjusted for age, sex, HbA1c, BMI, UA, the duration of diabetes, current smoking, dyslipidemia, hypertension, and the use of metformin. UACR and eGFR were ln-transformed because of their skewed distribution. The results were expressed as B values and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The relationship of thyroid hormones and parameters of thyroid homeostasis with reduced eGFR, higher UACR, and higher UACR and/or reduced eGFR (all categorical variables) were assessed by logistic regression. The regression models were adjusted for age, sex, HbA1c, BMI, UA, the duration of diabetes, current smoking, dyslipidemia, hypertension, and the use of metformin. The results were expressed as odds ratios (ORs) (95% CIs). Area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) analyses were used to compare the predictive powers of thyroid parameters for reduced eGFR, higher UACR, and higher UACR and/or reduced eGFR. Sensitivity analyses were performed in euthyroid participants with type 2 diabetes.

3. Results

3.1. Clinical Characteristics of the Participants. This study recruited 4136 participants with type 2 diabetes, with a mean age of 67.23 years old (SD 8.63, max 99, min 23); among them, 1983 were men. The clinical characteristics of all these participants are shown in Table 1. The mean duration of diabetes of the participants was 9 years, and the mean level of HbA1c was 7.2%. The prevalence of reduced eGFR, higher UACR, and higher UACR and/or reduced eGFR was 5.58%, 26.0%, and 28.1%, respectively.

3.2. Prevalence of Kidney Disorders according to Thyroid Hormone Quartile Levels. Then, we analyzed the prevalence of reduced eGFR, higher UACR, and higher UACR and/or reduced eGFR according to the quartile levels of thyroid hormones (Figure 2). In all participants, we observed that the prevalence of reduced eGFR decreased with increasing levels of FT₃ and T₄ quartiles (both P < 0.001). In terms of the prevalence of higher UACR and higher UACR and/or reduced eGFR, we found that they were negatively associated with quartiles of FT₃ or T₃ (both P < 0.001) and were positively associated with quartiles of FT₄ (P = 0.029 and 0.011, respectively). Although there was a significant difference between the prevalence of higher UACR and/or reduced eGFR and TSH levels, it was not linearly correlated but was instead a "U shape"; that is, the lowest and highest quartiles of TSH have a higher prevalence of higher UACR and/or reduced eGFR, and UA, the duration of diabetes, current smoking, dyslipidemia, hypertension, and the use of metformin. UACR and eGFR were ln-transformed because of their skewed distribution. The results were expressed as B values and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The relationship of thyroid hormones and parameters of thyroid homeostasis with reduced eGFR, higher UACR, and higher UACR and/or reduced eGFR (all categorical variables) were assessed by logistic regression. The regression models were adjusted for age, sex, HbA1c, BMI, UA, the duration of diabetes, current smoking, dyslipidemia, hypertension, and the use of metformin. The results were expressed as odds ratios (ORs) (95% CIs). Area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) analyses were used to compare the predictive powers of thyroid parameters for reduced eGFR, higher UACR, and higher UACR and/or reduced eGFR. Sensitivity analyses were performed in euthyroid participants with type 2 diabetes.

Figure 1: Flowchart of the inclusion and exclusion of participants.
Table 1: Clinical characteristics of all participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>4136</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>2153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>67.23 ± 8.63</td>
<td>67.50 ± 8.66</td>
<td>66.97 ± 8.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of diabetes (yr)</td>
<td>9.00 (12.00)</td>
<td>10.00 (12.00)</td>
<td>8.00 (12.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoking (%)</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>24.97 ± 3.58</td>
<td>24.99 ± 3.29</td>
<td>24.96 ± 3.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
<td>7.20 (1.60)</td>
<td>7.30 (1.60)</td>
<td>7.10 (1.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UA (μmol/L)</td>
<td>327.55 ± 81.41</td>
<td>343.79 ± 82.08</td>
<td>312.60 ± 77.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension (%)</td>
<td>84.6</td>
<td>84.5</td>
<td>84.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia (%)</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>60.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UACR (mg/g Cr)</td>
<td>13.00 (23.00)</td>
<td>13.00 (24.00)</td>
<td>14.00 (23.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR (ml/min/1.73 m²)</td>
<td>95.63 (18.59)</td>
<td>94.56 (18.64)</td>
<td>96.66 (18.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced eGFR (%)</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher UACR (%)</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>26.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher UACR and/or reduced eGFR (%)</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>28.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Thyroid parameters**

- TSH (mIU/L): 2.53 (1.78), 2.53 (1.78), 2.73 (1.97)
- FT3 (pmol/L): 4.63 ± 0.60, 4.78 ± 0.60, 4.50 ± 0.57
- FT4 (pmol/L): 16.76 ± 2.29, 16.96 ± 2.30, 16.58 ± 2.26
- T3 (nmol/L): 1.65 ± 0.29, 1.66 ± 0.30, 1.64 ± 0.28
- T4 (nmol/L): 101.05 ± 17.84, 98.95 ± 17.99, 102.99 ± 17.49
- TPOAb positive (%): 7.7, 5.2, 10.0
- TgAb positive (%): 6.2, 3.3, 9.0

**Thyroid homeostasis parameters**

- SPINA-GT (nmol/s): 15.33 ± 3.12, 15.25 ± 3.23, 15.41 ± 3.02
- SPINA-GT (pmol/s): 2.31 (1.05), 2.38 (1.05), 2.25 (1.06)
- TSHI: 3.17 ± 0.58, 3.13 ± 0.57, 3.22 ± 0.59
- TTSI (m/L): 192.32 (132.76), 179.96 (122.53), 207.08 (143.21)

3.3. Association of Thyroid Parameters with UACR and eGFR. To more effectively reveal the relationship of thyroid parameters with two main important indicators (UACR and eGFR) of diabetic kidney status, linear regression analysis was used. Table 2 summarizes the results of the models. UACR and eGFR were both ln-transformed because of their skewed distribution. After adjusting for age, sex, the duration of diabetes, current smoking, HbA1c, UA, BMI, dyslipidemia, hypertension, and current use of metformin, UACR levels were negatively associated with the level of FT3 (B = -0.171; 95% CI -0.242, -0.099; and P < 0.001) and T3 (B = -0.269; 95% CI -0.408, -0.130; and P < 0.001). In addition, in all participants, eGFR was positively associated with the level of FT3 (B = 0.069; 95% CI 0.057, 0.080; and P < 0.001) and T3 (B = 0.096; 95% CI 0.074, 0.118; and P < 0.001) and was negatively associated with the level of TSH (B = -0.007; 95% CI -0.010, -0.004; and P < 0.001), FT4 (B = -0.003; 95% CI -0.006, 0.000; and P = 0.031), and TgAb positivity (B = -0.029; 95% CI -0.056, -0.003; and P = 0.028). Moreover, there was no other significant association of UACR and eGFR with thyroid parameters (P > 0.05).

3.4. Association of Thyroid Hormones and Antibodies with the Prevalence of Kidney Disorders. Given that thyroid status was associated with kidney disease risk factors (UACR and eGFR), we evaluated the adjusted odds ratios (ORs) for the prevalence of kidney disorder status (reduced eGFR, higher UACR, and higher UACR and/or reduced eGFR). Adjusted ORs were calculated after adjusting for age, sex, the duration of diabetes, current smoking, HbA1c, UA, BMI, dyslipidemia, hypertension, and current use of metformin using binary logistic regression models. As shown in Figure 3, higher TSH (OR 1.062; 95% CI 1.004, 1.124; and P = 0.036) and lower FT4 (OR 1.090; 95% CI 1.020, 1.164; and P = 0.011) and lower FT3 (OR 0.276; 95% CI 0.200, 0.380; and P < 0.001) and T3 (OR 0.119; 95% CI 0.063, 0.226; and P <
were associated with higher prevalence of reduced eGFR. Higher FT4 (OR 1.038; 95% CI 1.003, 1.075; and \( P = 0.033 \)) and lower FT3 (OR 0.796; 95% CI 0.687, 0.922; and \( P = 0.002 \)) and T3 (OR 0.682; 95% CI 0.514, 0.906; and \( P = 0.008 \)) were associated with a higher prevalence of higher UACR. Similarly, higher FT4 (OR 1.050; 95% CI 1.015, 1.086; and \( P = 0.005 \)) and lower FT3 (OR 0.739; 95% CI 0.639, 0.855; and \( P < 0.001 \)) and T3 (OR 0.593; 95% CI 0.447, 0.785; and \( P < 0.001 \)) were associated with a higher prevalence of higher UACR and/or reduced eGFR and/or reduced eGFR. No thyroid antibodies were associated with kidney disorders.

We then compared the AUROC for reduced eGFR, higher UACR, and higher UACR and/or reduced eGFR between TSH, FT3, T3, FT4, and T4. We found that the AUROC for FT3 was 0.742 for reduced eGFR, 0.552 for higher UACR, and 0.571 for higher UACR and/or reduced eGFR, which was higher than other thyroid parameters (Table 3). Considering the efficiency of the AUROC
we calculated only the cutoff values of FT3 and T3 for reduced eGFR; they were 4.38 pmol/L and 1.49 nmol/L, respectively.

3.5. Association of Thyroid Homeostasis Parameters with Kidney Disorders. To reveal the relationship between thyroid homeostasis parameters and kidney disorders, we further evaluated the adjusted odds ratios (ORs) of SPINA-GD, SPINA-GT, TSHI, and TTSI for the prevalence of kidney disorders. Adjusted ORs were calculated. As shown in Table 4, lower SPINA-GD was significantly associated with these three kidney disorder statuses, and higher TSHI and TTSI were also significantly associated with reduced eGFR (all \( P < 0.05 \)).

3.6. Sensitivity Analyses. Further, we wanted to explore the relationship between thyroid parameters and reduced kidney function in participants with normal thyroid function and without euthyroid sick syndrome (ESS). Similar results were found for all participants.

Among these euthyroid participants, the prevalence of reduced eGFR, higher UACR, and higher UACR and/or reduced eGFR increased significantly along with decreasing levels of FT3 and/or T3. We also found that the prevalence of higher UACR and higher eGFR and/or reduced eGFR increased with the levels of FT4 and T4 (Figure 2). UACR levels were negatively associated with the level of FT3, while eGFR was positively associated with the level of FT3 and T3 but was negatively associated with the level of FT4 and T4, and TgAb positivity (Table 2). In logistic analysis, we also found lower FT3 or T3 levels, but higher FT4 or T4 levels were associated with the increased risks of reduced eGFR and higher UACR and/or reduced eGFR. Higher UACR was only negatively associated with FT3 (Figure 3). The AUROC of FT3 was 0.698 for reduced eGFR, 0.548 for higher UACR, and 0.562 for higher UACR and/or reduced eGFR, which was higher than other thyroid parameters (Table 3). Regarding the association of thyroid homeostasis parameters with kidney disorders in euthyroid participants, it was found that only lower SPINA-GD was significantly associated with these three kidney disorder statuses (Table 4).

4. Discussion

Diabetic nephropathy, as one of the complications of diabetes, is related to the health and longevity of diabetic patients. The gold standard for the diagnosis of DKD is through kidney biopsy, which is difficult to achieve in a large population of samples. Several studies have suggested that morning UACR, as an important risk predictor for diabetic nephropathy and renal events that may provide prognostic information [26, 27], is an easy way to obtain the same information as a 24 h urine collection, which has been considered the gold standard [26, 28]. Thus, in our study, we focused on two main indicators of CKD and DKD [29], reduced eGFR and higher UACR.

Metformin, as a first-line treatment for type 2 diabetes, was reported to have some effect on the hypothalamic-pituitary-thyroid axis [30], and it was also associated with a decrease in the levels of TSH, possibly by enhancing the effects of thyroid hormones in the pituitary and activating adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase (AMPK) [31]. Thus, in our study, the current use of metformin was taken into account in all regression analyses.

In our study, among all participants, we found that the lowest and highest quartile levels of TSH had a higher prevalence of reduced eGFR, higher UACR, and higher UACR and/or reduced eGFR. UACR levels were negatively associated with the level of FT3, while eGFR was positively associated with the level of FT3 and T3 but was negatively associated with the level of FT4 and T4, and TgAb positivity (Table 2). In logistic analysis, we also found lower FT3 or T3 levels, but higher FT4 or T4 levels were associated with the increased risks of reduced eGFR and higher UACR and/or reduced eGFR. Higher UACR was only negatively associated with FT3 (Figure 3). The AUROC of FT3 was 0.698 for reduced eGFR, 0.548 for higher UACR, and 0.562 for higher UACR and/or reduced eGFR, which was higher than other thyroid parameters (Table 3). Regarding the association of thyroid homeostasis parameters with kidney disorders in euthyroid participants, it was found that only lower SPINA-GD was significantly associated with these three kidney disorder statuses (Table 4).
and/or reduced eGFR. Previous studies reported that both hypothyroidism and hyperthyroidism worsen kidney function directly by affecting renal blood flow, GFR, tubular function, electrolyte homeostasis, electrolyte pump functions, and kidney structure (e.g., decreased glomerular volume and area) and increasing peripheral vascular resistance [14–16], leading to higher serum CR concentrations and a lower eGFR. Several researchers also found an association of subclinical hypothyroidism with renal injury, such as macroalbuminuria in DM subjects [32–35]. Similarly, in our current study, the prevalence of these three kidney disorders was significantly different when all participants were grouped by the reference range of TSH, the distribution of which looked like a “U” shape. Thus, we hypothesized that thyroid dysfunction, including overt and subclinical hypothyroidism or hyperthyroidism, was associated with kidney disorders in DM participants. On the other hand, it is worth pointing out that this association failed to be found when it was analyzed among participants with normal thyroid function in this study. Conflicting evidence has been

**Figure 3:** Associations of thyroid hormones and antibodies with reduced eGFR, higher UACR, and higher UACR and/or reduced eGFR. They were analyzed by using logistic regression. The regression models were adjusted for age, sex, HbA1c, BMI, UA, the duration of diabetes, current smoking, dyslipidemia, hypertension, and current use of metformin.
reported about the association of TSH and kidney status in euthyroid DM subjects [14, 36, 37]. The HUNT study reported that TSH within the reference range was negatively associated with eGFR [14] in type 2 diabetes, while others [7, 38] reached a different conclusion.

In terms of other thyroid hormones, we observed increased prevalence of reduced eGFR, higher UACR, and higher UACR and/or reduced eGFR along with decreased levels of FT3 and T3. After full adjustment, FT3 and T3 levels were positively associated with eGFR. In logistic analysis, lower FT3 and T3 were also found to be associated with increased risks of reduced eGFR, higher UACR, and higher UACR and/or reduced eGFR. Furthermore, though we found that the AUROC of FT3 for these three patterns of kidney disorders was much higher than that for any other thyroid hormones, only the AUROC of FT3 and T3 for reduced eGFR in all participants was larger than 0.7, which may give some significant values. The cutoff values of lower FT3 and T3 for reduced eGFR were 4.38 pmol/L and 1.49 nmol/L, respectively. These results were in agreement with some other cohort and cross-sectional studies that suggested that lower T3 has been consistently found to be the most common disturbance in patients with kidney disorders and that it was an independent predictor of survival in various illness states [12, 36, 39–42]. However, only a few studies have focused on the relationship between normal thyroid hormone levels and renal events in DM participants. In our present study, these results were strongly consistent even though FT3 and T3 levels were in the normal reference range. In addition, FT3 but not FT4 was reduced in kidney disorders, suggesting that low FT3 is unlikely to be an in vitro artifact because substances inhibiting binding are expected to affect FT4 to a greater extent than FT3. Thus, reduced FT3 seems to reflect a true selective T3 deficiency due to the inhibition of 5′-deiodinase, which is a catalyzing enzyme for the production of T3 from circulating T4 [39, 43]. Higher FT4 and lower T3 concentrations were reported to be strongly and independently associated with renal events [44]. In this study, we obtained the same results.

It has been suggested that autoimmune thyroid disease may lead to the deposition of immunocomplexes in the renal glomeruli and may be responsible for endothelial dysfunction and subsequent microalbuminuria [45]. In the present

### Table 3: Comparison of AUROC between thyroid hormones for kidney disorders.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Reduced eGFR</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Higher UACR</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Higher UACR and/or reduced eGFR</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total participants</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSH</td>
<td>0.549</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.502</td>
<td>0.881</td>
<td>0.506</td>
<td>0.517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FT3</td>
<td>0.742</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.552</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.571</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FT4</td>
<td>0.521</td>
<td>0.276</td>
<td>0.528</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.531</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>0.705</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.535</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.551</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>0.486</td>
<td>0.479</td>
<td>0.515</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.515</td>
<td>0.123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Euthyroid participants</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSH</td>
<td>0.518</td>
<td>0.490</td>
<td>0.486</td>
<td>0.241</td>
<td>0.486</td>
<td>0.242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FT3</td>
<td>0.698</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.548</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.562</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FT4</td>
<td>0.544</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.529</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.533</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>0.645</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.511</td>
<td>0.368</td>
<td>0.525</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>0.542</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>0.535</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.536</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as the area under the receiver operating characteristic curves (AUROC).

### Table 4: Associations of thyroid homeostasis parameters with kidney disorders.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Reduced eGFR</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Higher UACR</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Higher UACR or reduced eGFR</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total participants</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPINA-GD</td>
<td>0.801 (0.754, 0.852)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.951 (0.926, 0.977)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.935 (0.910, 0.960)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPINA-GT</td>
<td>0.993 (0.937, 1.052)</td>
<td>0.814</td>
<td>1.013 (0.994, 1.032)</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>1.012 (0.994, 1.030)</td>
<td>0.197</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSHI</td>
<td>1.349 (1.021, 1.783)</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>1.027 (0.896, 1.178)</td>
<td>0.703</td>
<td>1.050 (0.917, 1.202)</td>
<td>0.479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTSI</td>
<td>1.001 (1.000, 1.002)</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>1.000 (1.000, 1.001)</td>
<td>0.294</td>
<td>1.000 (1.000, 1.001)</td>
<td>0.276</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Euthyroid participants</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPINA-GD</td>
<td>0.831 (0.755, 0.913)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.961 (0.926, 0.996)</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.947 (0.914, 0.982)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPINA-GT</td>
<td>1.054 (0.866, 1.283)</td>
<td>0.601</td>
<td>1.064 (0.973, 1.162)</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>1.065 (0.976, 1.162)</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSHI</td>
<td>1.449 (0.934, 2.248)</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>1.000 (0.821, 1.218)</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>1.017 (0.837, 1.235)</td>
<td>0.866</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTSI</td>
<td>1.000 (1.000, 1.000)</td>
<td>0.437</td>
<td>1.000 (1.000, 1.000)</td>
<td>0.538</td>
<td>1.000 (1.000, 1.000)</td>
<td>0.501</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

They were analyzed by using logistic regression. The regression models were adjusted for age, sex, HbA1c, BMI, UA, the duration of diabetes, current smoking, dyslipidemia, hypertension, and current use of metformin.
study, both among all participants and among euthyroid participants, TgAb positivity was significantly associated with reduced eGFR, which suggested that thyroid autoimmunity may be involved in renal injury. However, there are different points of view. Suher et al. reported that the association with eGFR was roughly similar for hypothyroidism with and without TPOAb positivity, which suggested that hypothyroidism-associated kidney dysfunction seems to be more related to the decline in thyroid hormone levels than to thyroid autoimmunity [46].

Finally, we explored the relationship of thyroid homeostasis parameters, including two structural parameters of thyroid homeostasis (SPINA-G_T and SPINA-G_T) and two pituitary thyrotropic function indices (TSHI and TTSI), with kidney status. By extending the classic concept of separate measurements of thyroid hormone parameters, these markers add new qualitative and quantitative dimensions to the evaluation of thyroid homeostasis, offer a more integrated and systemic view, and deliver important insights into the physiology of pituitary-thyroid feedback control [20]. Though it was reported that SPINA-G_T was correlated with creatinine clearance [20, 21], we found that lower SPINA-G_T was significantly associated with three kidney disorder statuses, and higher TSHI and TTSI were significantly associated with reduced eGFR. Therefore, it was suggested that kidney disorders may be related to the maximum stimulated activity of step-up deiodination and pituitary thyrotropic function.

Though our study had some strengths, including novelty, the analysis of community dwelling participants with a relatively large sample size, and strong quality control, there were also some limitations. First, because of the cross-sectional study, we can evaluate association but not causality. We conducted a Mendelian randomization (MR) analysis to examine the causality of the association between TSH and eGFR in 10603 participants recruited from the Survey on Prevalence in East China for Metabolic Diseases and Risk Factors (SPECT-China, ChiCTR-ECS-14005052, http://www.chictr.org.cn) [25, 47]. By a genetic approach that limits residual confounding and reverse causation in observational conventional epidemiological studies, TSH and eGFR were not causally associated, which suggested that genetically elevated TSH concentrations may not affect renal function [48]. The mechanism linking kidney function and thyroid homeostasis remains unclear. Thyroid dysfunction may trigger kidney failure, and renal failure might trigger an allostatic response of thyroid function as well, i.e., euthyroid sick syndrome [49] or thyroid allostatic in critical illness, tumors, uremia, and starvation (TACITUS) [50], albeit in slight form. This is known from previous studies and is plausible because the kidney expresses deiodinases that catalyze the conversion of T_4 to T_3. Further prospective and longitudinal studies are needed once, which could result in misleading classifications. Third, the levels of rT_3 were not measured in this study.

5. Conclusion
Among type 2 diabetes patients, elevated TSH and FT_4 (or T_4), lower FT_3 (or T_3), and TgAb positivity were associated with higher UACR and lower eGFR levels. Elevated TSH and FT_4 (or T_4), lower FT_3 (or T_3) levels, and thyroid homeostasis parameters (SPINA-G_T, TSHI, and TTSI) were associated with the prevalence of kidney disorders. The lower FT_3, even within a normal reference range, was the factor most related to reduced eGFR compared with all other thyroid hormones. Multidisciplinary collaborative care, including nephrologists, endocrinologists, or internal medicine specialists, is needed and appears to positively impact the clinical, humanistic, and economic outcomes of patients with diabetes [51, 52].
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