

Review Article

Evidence and Potential Mechanisms of Traditional Chinese Medicine for the Adjuvant Treatment of Coronary Heart Disease in Patients with Diabetes Mellitus: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis with Trial Sequential Analysis

Yu Wei^(b),^{1,2} Qi-You Ding^(b),^{1,2} Chak Yeung^(b),¹ Yi-shan Huang^(b),¹ Bo-xun Zhang^(b),¹ Li-li Zhang^(b),¹ Run-Yu Miao^(b),^{1,2} Sha Di^(b),³ Lin-Hua Zhao^(b),¹ and Xiao-Lin Tong^(b)

¹Institute of Metabolic Diseases, Guang'anmen Hospital, China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, Beijing, China ²Graduate College, Beijing University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Beijing 100029, China ³Department of Endocrinology, Guang'anmen Hospital, China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, Beijing 100053, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Sha Di; 13051153725@163.com, Lin-Hua Zhao; melonzhao@163.com, and Xiao-Lin Tong; tongxiaolin@vip.163.com

Received 8 September 2021; Revised 9 March 2022; Accepted 27 July 2022; Published 31 August 2022

Academic Editor: ruozhi zhao

Copyright © 2022 Yu Wei et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) has long been used to treat diabetes mellitus and angina. It has also gained widespread clinical applications in China as a common adjuvant treatment. Although there is high-quality evidence that TCM is effective in regulating glucose and lipid metabolism, the cardiovascular protective effect of TCM in the treatment of diabetes mellitus has not been fully elucidated, especially in patients with both diabetes mellitus and coronary heart disease (CHD). We systematically assessed the efficacy and safety of TCM for the adjuvant treatment of patients with CHD and diabetes mellitus and examined the pharmacological effects and potential mechanisms of TCM medication/herbs on diabetes mellitus with CHD. We found that TCM could improve the control effect of conventional treatment on cardiac function, hemorheology, blood glucose, blood lipid, and inflammation, thus reducing the frequency of angina and the incidence of cardiovascular events and all-cause mortality. These findings indicate that TCM may be used as a complementary approach for patients with diabetes mellitus and CHD. Nevertheless, more rigorously designed randomized controlled trials and long-term evaluations are needed to support these findings.

1. Introduction

The global population of patients with diabetes mellitus (DM) is approximately 463 million, which is expected to rise to 700 million by 2040 [1]. As an independent risk factor for coronary heart disease (CHD), DM is considered as "coronary heart disease equivalent" [2], which is associated with increased CHD morbidity and mortality [3]. In patients with DM, the risk of mortality due to CHD is twice that of those who do not have DM [4]. Clinical outcomes and complications following coronary interventions are also worse in such high-risk patients [5]. Several medical resources are spent on DM every year. The total global health expenditure due to

DM was estimated to be \$673 billion in 2015 [6], with cardiovascular diseases attributable to diabetes accounting for the highest proportion (47.9%) of overall costs [7]. However, the need to control cardiovascular diseases is still unmet. At present, the treatment for DM, especially in those with a high atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease risk, mainly focuses on comprehensively controlling multiple risk factors [8]. However, the complexity of multidrug regimens may induce the chance of nonadherence among patients, while long-term use of high-intensity antiplatelet drugs and lipid-lowering statins may increase the incidence of side effects, such as bleeding, muscle complaints, increased liver or muscle enzymes, or various neurological symptoms [9].



FIGURE 1: Flow chart of study inclusion.

Therefore, clinicians have begun considering traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) as an adjuvant or alternative treatment for DM.

TCM has been widely used to treat diabetes and angina for 1000 years. The holistic and multitarget approaches of TCM have unique advantages in controlling complex diseases, such as DM [10]. In recent years, large-scale clinical trials have demonstrated that TCM effectively reduces hyperglycemia [11-13] and improves vascular inflammation, lowers lipids, and improves cardiac function [14, 15]. A large-sample prospective cohort study also showed that TCM alone is as efficacious as Western medicines in preventing diabetes from being complicated with coronary artery disease [16]. Moreover, patients who used more types of TCM tended to use much less Western medicine recommended by current guidelines [17]. At the same time, some therapeutic mechanisms underlying TCM's potency have been uncovered with the application of modern science and technology.

A number of clinical trials in China have shown that TCM is effective in treating DM or CHD. However, because of the insufficient sample size, short experimental period, and lack of empirical validity of efficacy evaluation in many studies, the results have been questioned and rejected. To date, there are very few systemic analyses that have focused on the comprehensive regulation effect of TCM adjuvant treatment on both DM and CHD. Considering the aforementioned limitations, we selected more credible studies for a systematic review and meta-analysis to comprehensively evaluate the clinical efficacy and safety of TCM for patients with CHD and DM.

2. Materials and Methods

We conducted and reported this systematic review following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement [18].

2.1. Search Strategy. The first three authors independently searched for papers published from January 2005 to May 2021 on the following databases: the China National Knowledge Internet, Wanfang, VIP databases, the China Biology Medicine, Web of Science, Pubmed, Medline; Embase, International Pharmaceutical Abstracts, and the Cochrane Library. Search terms were as follows: ("coronary heart disease" or "cardiovascular disease" or "angina" or "myocardial ischemia" or "myocardial infarction" or "acute coronary syndrome" or "coronary atherosclerosis") and ("diabetes" or "diabetes mellitus" or "Xiaoke syndrome") and ("randomized controlled trial" or "controlled clinical trial" or "random" or "randomly" or "randomized" or "control" or "RCT") and ("TCM" or "traditional Chinese medicine" or "Chinese medicinal herb" or "Chinese herbal medicine" or "decoction" or "formula" or "prescription" or "Chinese patent medicine" or "Chinese patent drug" or "Chinese herbal compound prescription"). The PRISMA flow chart for the study is presented in Figure 1.

Stor day	Dationt moun	Participa	nt (M/W)	Tre	eatment measure	Duration	Outcomes
Study	Patient group	Control	Treatment	Control	Treatment	Duration	Outcomes
Chen 2019	T2DM&CHD 128	64 (29/35)	64 (31/33)	СТ	Yanshi Yixin decoction+CT	3 m	BG, BL, SAA, MMP-9, TBIL
Cheng 2019	T2DM&CHD 118	60	58	СТ	Supplemented Yuye decoction+CT	3 m	BG, BL, IM, SOD, MDA, GSH-Px, 8-ios- PGF2a
Feng 2020	T2DM&CHD 130	65 (33/32)	65 (35/30)	CT	Shexiang Baoxin Pill+CT	3 m	BL
Gao 2018	T2DM&CHD 100	50 (27/23)	50 (24/26)	СТ	Shengmai powder+CT	2 m	BG, BL, FGF-21, Ghrelin
Li 2008	DM&CHD 100	50 (33/17)	50 (32/18)	СТ	Jiangtang Shengmai decoction+CT	2 m	BG, BL, HI
Li 2021	T2DM&CHD 286	143 (75/68)	143 (76/67)	CT	Tongxinluo capsule+CT	3 m	CF, BL, BG
Liu 2008	T2DM&CHD 260	130 (95/35)	130 (89/41)	СТ	Xinshu pill+CT	2 m	BG, IM, DD, LFTs, KFTs
Liu 2019	T2DM&CHD 108	54 (29/25)	54 (28/26)	СТ	Shexiang Yangxin powder+CT	3 m	BG, BL, HI
Ni 2010	T2DM&CHD 173	45 (21/24)	128 (55/73)	СТ	Qizhi Jiangtang capsule+CT	2 m	BG, dynamic ECG
Ning 2017	T2DM&CHD 150	75 (34/41)	75 (35/40)	СТ	Yindan Xinnaotong soft capsule+CT	3 m	HI, angina frequency
Pan 2019	T2DM&SAP 120	60 (29/31)	60 (28/32)	СТ	Yiqi Yangyin Huoxue decoction_CT	3 m	BG, BL, CRP, Hcy, UMA
Qian 2017	DM&ACS 294	147 (97/50)	147 (102/45)	СТ	Xinyue capsule+CT	6 m	CE, BG, NT-proBNP, CK-MB
Qian 2018	T2DM&CHD 150	75 (43/32)	75 (45/30)	СТ	Tongguan Huoxue decoction+Naoxintong capsule+CT	3 m	BG, BL, HMGB1, Omentin-1
Ren 2020	T2DM&CHD 320	160 (85/75)	160 (78/82)	СТ	Tongguan Huoxue decoction+Naoxintong capsule+CT	3 m	CF, BG
Shi 2020	T2DM&CHD 120	60 (16/44)	60 (18/42)	СТ	Yindan Xinnaotong soft capsule+CT	3 m	Angina frequency, BL
Tao 2017	T2DM&CHD 118	55 (33/22)	63 (43/20)	СТ	Hedan pill+CT	6 m	BL, IM, SOD, MDA, NO
Zhang 2015	T2DM&CHD 102	51 (30/21)	51 (28/23)	СТ	Shuxin Huoxue decoction+CT	2 m	BL, IM, HI
Zhang 2019	T2DM&SAP 179	81 (43/38)	98 (49/49)	СТ	Yiqi Yangyin decoction+CT	3 m	CE, BG, BL, HI, IM
Zhang 2020	DM&CHD 134	67 (36/31)	67 (34/33)	СТ	Xuezhikang+CT		BG, BL, HI, IM, CF
Zhao 2007	T2DM&CHD 591	285 (198/87)	306 (226/80)	Placebo+CT	Xuezhikang+CT	4 y	ACM, CE, BL

TABLE 1: Summary of included studies.

ACS: acute coronary syndrome; SAP: stable angina pectoris; CT: conventional treatment; ACM: all-cause mortality; CE: cardiovascular events; CF: cardiac function; HI: hemorrheologic indices; IM: inflammatory marks; BG: blood glucose; BL: blood lipid.

2.2. Inclusion Criteria and Study Selection. Clinical studies were included if they satisfied the following criteria: (1) study participants had a definite diagnosis of both DM and CHD and were randomly assigned to receive TCM, contemporary medication, or placebo; (2) the sample size was ≥ 100 ; (3) the duration in each study group was ≥ 8 weeks. Clinical studies with the following features were excluded: (1) nonrandomized trials; (2) the participants had no definite diagnosis; and (3) only symptomatic changes of participants were reported, without objective laboratory measurements or physical examination. As many randomized controlled trials (RCTs) on TCM have been published in Chinese, published reports in both English and Chinese were included. The first two authors (Ding and Wei) independently reviewed all titles and abstracts. If the information was insufficient, the full text was retrieved for further judgment. If the two authors failed to reach a consensus, the senior author (Zhao) made the final judgment.

2.3. Quality Assessment. The quality of the included studies was assessed independently by the first two authors (Wei and Ding) as defined by the Cochrane's risk of bias tool [19]. The risk of bias was assigned as low, unclear, or high for the following items: random sequence generation,

TABLE 2: Composition of TCM in the study.

Study	Prescription	Medicine	Article
Chen 2019	Yan's yixin prescription	Dasheng (Radix Codonopsis), Huangqi (Radix Astragali seu Hedysari), Juemingzi (Semen Cassiae), Gegen (Radix Puerariae), Shichangpu (Rhizoma Acori Tatarinowii), Jiangxiang (Lignum Dalbergiae Odoriferae), Danshen (Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae), Chuanxiong(Rhizoma Ligustici Chuanxiong), Chishao (Radix Paeoniae Rubra), Shenzha (Fructus Crataegi), Fabanxia (Rhizoma Pinelliae Preparatum), Zhiqiao (Fructus Aurantii)	[22]
Cheng 2019	Modified Yuyetang	Shanyao (Rhizoma Dioscoreae), Taizishen (Radix Pseudostellariae), Huangqi (Radix Astragali seu Hedysari), Zhimu (Rhizoma Anemarrhenae), Gegen (Radix Puerariae), Wuweizi (Fructus Schisandrae Chinensis), Tianhuafen (Radix Trichosanthis), Jineijin (Endothelium Corneum Gigeriae Galli), Danshen (Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae), Sanqi (Radix Notoginseng), Chuanxiong (Rhizoma Ligustici Chuanxiong), Hongqu (fermentum rubrum), Fabanxia (Rhizoma Pinelliae Preparatum), Chenpi (Pericarpium Citri Reticulatae), Gancao (Radix Glycyrrhizae)	[23]
Feng 2020	Shexiang Baoxin Pill	Shexiang (Moschus), Renshen (Radix Ginseng), Niuhuang (Calculus Bovis), Rougui (Cortex Cinnamomi), Anxixiang (Benzoinum), Chansu (Venenum Bufonis), Bingpian (Borneolum Syntheticum)	[46]
Gao 2018	Shengmai powder	Gancao (Radix Glycyrrhizae), Wuweizi (Fructus Schisandrae Chinensis), Huangqi (Radix Astragali seu Hedysari), Renshen (Radix Ginseng), Chuanxiong(Rhizoma Ligustici Chuanxiong), Maidong (Radix Ophiopogonis), Gegen (Radix Puerariae), Danshen (Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae), Sanqi (Radix Notoginseng)	[24]
Li 2008	Shengmai powder	Renshen (Radix Ginseng), Huangqi (Radix Astragali seu Hedysari), Shanyao (Rhizoma Dioscoreae), Cangzhu (Rhizoma Atractylodis), Xuanshen (Radix Scrophulariae), Danggui (Radix Angelicae Sinensis), Shudi (Radix Rehmanniae Preparata), Maidong (Radix Ophiopogonis), Wuweizi (Fructus Schisandrae Chinensis), Danshen (Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae), Chuanxiong (Rhizoma Ligustici Chuanxiong), Chishao (Radix Paeoniae Rubra), Yanhusuo (Rhizoma Corydalis), Gancao (Radix Glycyrrhizae)	[25]
Li 2021	Tongxinluo	Renshen (Radix Ginseng), Shuizhi (Hirudo), Quanxie (Scorpio), Chishao (Radix Paeoniae Rubra), Chantui (Periostracum Cicadae), Tubiechong (Eupolyphaga Seu Steleophaga), Wugong (Scolopendra), Tanxiang (Lignum Santali Albi)	[47]
Liu 2008	Xinshu pills	Huangqi (Radix Astragali seu Hedysari), Danshen (Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae), Gegen (Radix Puerariae), Dasheng (Radix Codonopsis), Shuizhi (Hirudo), Jiezi (Semen Sinapis Albae), Huangjing (Rhizoma Polygonati), Maidong (Radix Ophiopogonis), Wuweizi (Fructus Schisandrae Chinensis)	[26]
Liu 2019	Shexiangyangxin powder	Danshen (Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae), Xiebai (Bulbus Allii Macrostemonis), Maidong (Radix Ophiopogonis), Chishao (Radix Paeoniae Rubra), Renshen (Radix Ginseng), Guizhi (Ramulus Cinnamomi), Wuweizi (Fructus Schisandrae Chinensis), Sharen (Fructus Amomi Villosi), Tanxiang (Lignum Santali Albi), Bingpian (Borneolum Syntheticum), Shexiang (Moschus)	[27]
Ni 2010	Qizhi jiangtang capsule	Huangqi (Radix Astragali seu Hedysari), Shudi (Radix Rehmanniae Preparata), Huangjing (Rhizoma Polygonati), Shuizhi (Hirudo)	[48]
Ning 2017	Yindan Xinnaotong soft capsule	Yinxingye (Folium Ginkgo), Danshen (Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae), Xixin (Herba Asari), Jiaogulan (Gynostemma pentaphylla), Shanzha (Fructus Crataegi), Sanqi (Radix Notoginseng), Aiye (Folium Artemisiae Argyi)	[29]
Pan 2019	Yiqiyangyin huoxue recipe	Taizishen (Radix Pseudostellariae), Huangqi (Radix Astragali seu Hedysari), Danggui (Radix Angelicae Sinensis), Shudi (Radix Rehmanniae Preparata), Danshen (Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae), Guijianyu (Ramuli euonymi), Xuanshen (Radix Scrophulariae), Danpi (Cortex Moutan Radicis), Shanzhuyu (Fructus Corni), Gegen (Radix Puerariae), Sanqi (Radix Notoginseng)	[35]
Qian 2017	Moods capsule	Panax quinquefolium saponin	[32]
Qian 2018	Tongguan huoxue decoction	Shudi (Radix Rehmanniae Preparata), Xiyangshen (Radix Panacis Quinquefolii), Huangjing (Rhizoma Polygonati), Huangqi (Radix Astragali seu Hedysari), Maidong (Radix Ophiopogonis), Gualou (Fructus Trichosanthis), Honghua (Flos Carthami), Chuanxiong (Rhizoma Ligustici Chuanxiong), Dilong (Lumbricus), Chaihu (Radix Bupleuri), Gancao (Radix Glycyrrhizae)	[21]
Ren 2020	Tongxinluo, Jinlida	Renshen (Radix Ginseng), Shuizhi (Hirudo), Quanxie (Scorpio), Chishao (Radix Paeoniae Rubra), Chantui (Periostracum Cicadae), Tubiechong (Eupolyphaga Seu Steleophaga), Wugong (Scolopendra), Tanxiang (Lignum Santali Albi), Cangzhu (Rhizoma	[47, 49]

Study	Prescription	Medicine	Article
Shi 2020	Yindan Xinnaotong soft capsule	Atractylodis), Baizhu (Rhizoma Atractylodis Macrocephalae), Gegen (Radix Puerariae), Yuzhu (Rhizoma Polygonati Odorati), Fuling (Poria) Yinxingye (Folium Ginkgo), Danshen (Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae), Xixin (Herba Asari), Jiaogulan (Gynostemma pentaphylla), Shanzha (Fructus Crataegi),Sanqi (Radix	[39]
Tao 2017	Hedan tablets	Notoginseng), Aiye (Folium Artemisiae Argyi) Heye (Folium Nelumbinis), Danshen (Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae), Shanzha (Fructus Crataegi), Fanxieve (Folium Sennae), Buguzhi (Fructus Psoraleae)	[30]
Zhang 2015	Shuxin huoxue decoction	Huangqi (Radix Astragali seu Hedysari), Maidong (Radix Ophiopogonis), Danshen (Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae), Huangjing (Rhizoma Polygonati), Shuizhi (Hirudo), Jiezi (Semen Sinapis Albae), Dasheng (Radix Codonopsis), Wuweizi (Fructus Schisandrae Chinensis)	[33]
Zhang 2017	Shexiang Baoxin Pill	Danshen (Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae), Xiebai (Bulbus Allii Macrostemonis), Maidong (Radix Ophiopogonis), Chishao (Radix Paeoniae Rubra), Renshen (Radix Ginseng), Guizhi (Ramulus Cinnamomi), Wuweizi (Fructus Schisandrae Chinensis), Sharen (Fructus Amomi Villosi), Tanxiang (Lignum Santali Albi), Bingpian (Borneolum Syntheticum), Shexiang (Moschus)	[34]
Zhang 2019	Yiqi yangyin basic prescription	Taizishen (Radix Pseudostellariae), Huangqi (Radix Astragali seu Hedysari), Gegen (Radix Puerariae), Shudi (Radix Rehmanniae Preparata), Danggui (Radix Angelicae Sinensis), Guijianyu (Ramuli euonymi), Danpi (Cortex Moutan Radicis), Shanzhuyu (Fructus Corni)	[31]
Zhang 2020, Zhao 2007	Xuezhikang	Hongqu (fermentum rubrum)	[41, 36]
● ● ● ● 2 0 ● ● ● 0 0 0 0 ● ● 0 0 0 0 0	Binudo do la constructiona Binudo do la constructiona Chang 2019 Binudo do la constructiona Binudo do la constructiona Chang 2015 Binudo do la constructiona Binudo do la constructiona Chang 2015 Binudo do la constructiona Binudo do la constructiona Chang 2015 Constructiona Binudo do la constructiona Chang 2015 Constructiona Constructiona Constructiona Constructiona Constructiona Constructiona Constructiona Constructiona Constructiona Constructiona Constructiona Constructiona	6007 uit 0 <td>as)</td>	as)
	Blinding of Inco	outcome assessment (detection bias)	
		 Low risk of bias Unclear risk of bias High risk of bias 	

TABLE 2: Continued.

FIGURE 2: Evaluation of the risk of bias for each included study using the Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool.

allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data, selective reporting, and other bias. 2.4. Data Extraction and Analysis. Data extraction was individually performed by the same authors (Wei and Ding) in an unblended standardized manner. In case of discrepancies,

		Experiment		Control						
Study	Intervention	Events	Total	Events	Total				RR (95% CI)	%Weight
Qian 2017	Moods capsule	0	147	6	147	•			0.077(0.004,1.353)	12.24
Zhang 2019	Yiqi yangyin basic prescription	0	98	0	81	-	•		0.559(0.357,0.876)	87.76
Zhao 2007	Xuezhikang	27	306	45	285				(Excluded)	0
Overall (I-squared	= 46.6%, <i>p</i> = 0.171)					-			0.5 (0.322, 0.775)	
						0	0.5	1 1.	5	
							Effect (95% CI)			





Required information size is a two-sided graph

FIGURE 4: Trial sequential analysis of mortality.

		Experim	ent	Control						
Study	Intervention	Events	Total	Events	Tota	1			RR (95% CI)	%Weight
Qian 2017		13	147	32	147				0.406 (0.222, 0.742)	31.99
Zhao 2007		28	306	53	285				0.492 (0.321, 0.755)	54.87
Zhang 2019		8	98	12	81				0.551 (0.237, 1.283)	13.14
Overall (I-squared = 0.	0%, <i>p</i> = 0.818)								0.472 (0.342, 0.652)	100
						0 0.5	1	1	1 .5	
						Effect (95% CI)			

FIGURE 5: Forest plot of relative risk of incidence of cardiovascular events. RR: relative risk; CI: confidence interval.

Cumulative Z-score

> 8 7

6 5

-1

-2

-3

-4 -5 -6 -7 -8

Favours TCM+CT

Favours CT



Required information size is a two-sided graph



1044

		Experiment		Control			
Study	Intervention	Mean±SD	No of subject	Events	No of subject	MD (95% CI)	%Weight
Ning 2017	Yindan Xinnaotong soft capsule	1.54±0.87	75	2.23±1.07	75	-0.708 (-1.038, -0.378)	57.59
Shi 2020	Yindan Xinnaotong soft capsule	4.27±2.1	60	7.84±4.06	60	-1.105 (-1.489, -0.72)	42.41
Overall (I-squared = 57.6	%, <i>p</i> = 0.125)					-0.876 (-1.126, -0.625)	100
					-1.5 -1 -0.5 0 Effect (95% CI)		

FIGURE 7: Forest plot of frequency of angina pectoris. MD: mean difference; CI: confidence interval.

the third author (Yeung) was consulted. Relevant reports' information on the author, year, number of participants, intervention (weeks, frequency, and dosage), and passive control condition were extracted and recorded in an excel spreadsheet. The authors of the identified papers were contacted for additional information if necessary. The primary outcomes were all-cause mortality and cardiovascular (CV) events. The frequency of angina contributed to efficacy assessment and was included as a secondary outcome.

Hemorheology indices and cardiac function were also included as secondary outcomes, as abnormalities of these laboratory measurements are associated with CV events. The secondary outcomes also included the levels of fasting blood glucose, postprandial blood glucose (PBG), glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c), total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TG), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C),

high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), C-reaction protein (CRP), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and tumor necrosis factor α (TNF- α).

RevMan 5.3 (Nordic Cochrane Center, Copenhagen, Denmark) and Stata version 15.0 (Stata Corp., College Station, TX, USA) were used to analyze the data. Continuous outcomes were pooled to find the weighted mean differences (WMDs) accompanied by 95% confidence intervals (CIs), when the outcomes were based on the same scale; otherwise, the standard mean differences (SMDs) were used. Categorical outcomes were pooled to find relative risks (RRs) and were accompanied by 95% CIs. I^2 statistics were used to measure heterogeneity. A fixed-effect model was used if $I^2 < 50\%$; otherwise, the random-effect model was used. Publication bias was explored through a funnelplot analysis.

Number of

patients

(linear scaled)

		Experiment		Control								
Study	Intervention	Mean±SD	No of subject	Mean±SD	No of subject	t					MD (95% CI)	%Weight
LVESD (mm)												
Li 2021	Tongxinluo	35.54±3.54	143	40.56±3.68	143						-5.02 (-5.857, -4.183)	45.51
Ren 2020	Tongxinluo, Jinlida	37.56±4.34	160	42.45±4.11	160						-4.89 (-5.816, -3.964)	37.16
Zhang 2020	Xuezhikang	31.67±3.92	67	36.53±4.09	67						-4.86 (-6.217, -3.503)	17.32
Sbutotoal (I–squared = 0.0%, $p = 0.971$)							•				-4.944 (-5.509, -4.379)	100
LVEDD (mm)												
Li 2021	Tongxinluo	42.42±4.43	143	50.37±4.28	143						-7.95 (-8.96, -6.94)	33.54
Ren 2020	Tongxinluo, Jinlida	45.23±3.79	160	48.90±2.13	160		-				-3.67 (-4.344, -2.996)	34.35
Zhang 2020	Xuezhikang	46.65±4.23	67	52.22±4.32	67	_	•				-5.57 (-7.018, -4.122)	32.11
Sbutotoal (I–squared = 95.9%, <i>p</i> = 0.000)*											-5.716 (-8.556, -2.875)	100
LVEF (%)												
Li 2021	Tongxinluo	61.72±3.23	143	50.67±3.38	143					-	▶ 11.05 (10.284, 11.816)	33.9
Ren 2020	Tongxinluo, Jinlida	54.24±3.78	160	48.23±4.22	160				-	-	6.01 (5.132, 6.888)	33.77
Zhang 2020	Xuezhikang	57.95±5.19	67	53.33±4.87	67					-	4.62 (2.916, 6.324)	32.33
Sbutotoal (I–squared = 97.9%, <i>p</i> = 0.000)*											7.269 (3.249, 11.289)	100
*Weights are from random effects analysis												
						-9		0			11.8	
								Effect (9	5% CI)			

FIGURE 8: Forest plot of cardiac function. LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction; LVEDD: left ventricular end diastolic diameter; LVESD: left ventricular end-systolic diameters; MD: mean difference; CI: confidence interval.

2.5. Trial Sequential Analysis. In this systematic review and meta-analysis, the curative effect was exaggerated because of random errors, especially when the number of included trials or the total sample size was too small. Thus, the Trial Sequential Analysis (TSA) software version 0.9 Beta (Copenhagen Trial Unit, Copenhagen, Denmark) was used to conduct TSA analysis on the primary outcomes that could be combined and to estimate the meta-analysis sample size and the value strength of the outcome effect. In this study, an overall 5% risk of a type I error was maintained with a power of 80% [20]; the predicted risk reduction was set as 20%, and the relative event rate of the control group was derived from the meta-analysis data.

3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of the Included Trials. A total of 21 RCTs [21–41] were included in this systematic review. The study by Zhang [34] showed that the HDL levels decreased after treatment, which was contrary to the description of elevated HDL levels. Therefore, this study was excluded from our analysis. Therefore, 20 RCTs were included. One was in English, and the others were in Chinese. The publication years of these studies ranged from 2007 to 2021. The main characteristics of the individual trials are summarized in Table 1. A total of 3565 patients were included; the number of participants in each study ranged from 100 to 591 patients. Only one trial [23] did not indicate the exact num-

ber of female and male patients. The overall male to female ratio was approximately 4:3. The study period ranged from 8 weeks to 4 years. In all 20 studies, the diagnostic criteria for both DM and CHD were specified. Three studies focused on patients with stable angina pectoris, unstable angina pectoris, or acute coronary syndrome. Chinese medicines used in these studies included both herbal medicines and Chinese patent medicines. The intervention measures in the control group mainly included conventional Western medicines in line with clinical practice and lifestyle modifications, such as exercise and dietary control. Only one study reported that the placebo was delivered to participants in the control group. All 20 studies evaluated multiple outcomes at the end of treatment. Three, two, and the remaining 15 studies reported cardiovascular events, all-cause mortality, and at least two secondary outcome measures, respectively. The specific composition of TCM in the studies is listed in Table 2. Moreover, 12 trials reported adverse events.

3.2. Methodological Quality. All 20 trials claimed that the groups were randomized; nine trials [22–24, 26–28, 30, 32, 35] clearly stated the aforementioned using a random number table, while the others did not specify the method used for random sequence generation. No trials described allocation concealment. Only one trial explicitly mentioned blinding the participants and personnel [36]. The blinding of outcome assessment was not specified in any of the trials. Five studies reported cases of loss to follow-up [23, 28, 33,

Journal of Diabetes Research

		Experiment		Control		
Study	Intervention	Mean±SD	No of subject	Mean±SD	No of subject MD (95% CI)	%Weight
Plasma viscosity						
Li 2008	Shengmaipowder	1.59±0.74	50	2.66±0.68	50 -1.07 (-1.345	, -0.791) 11.91
Liu 2019	Shexiangyangxinpowder	1.77±0.12	54	2.13±0.17	54 -0.36 (-0.416	, -0.304) 17.77
Ning 2017	YindanXinnaotongsoftcapsule	1.14±0.13	75	1.31±0.16	75 -0.17 (-0.212	, -0.123) 17.88
Zhang 2015	Shuxinhuoxuedecoction	1.32±0.25	68	1.75±0.34	60 -0.43 (-0.53	, -0.325) 16.9
Zhang 2017	ShexiangBaoxinPill	1.79±0.01	51	2.32±0.08	51 -0.53 (-0.55	', −0.508) 18.08
Zhang 2020	Xuezhikang	1.58±0.20	67	1.81±0.25	67 -0.23 (-0.30)	', -0.153) 17.45
Sbutotoal (I–squared = 98.0%, p = 0.000)*					-0.43 (-0.595	i, -0.266) 100
FIB						
Li 2008	Shengmaipowder	3.61±1.58	50	4.98±1.59	50 -0.864 (-1.27	'5, -0.454) 22.09
Liu 2019	Shexiangyangxinpowder	2.34±0.85	54	3.21±0.96	54 -0.96 (-1.358	l, -0.561) 23.39
Zhang 2017	ShexiangBaoxinPill	2.31±1.52	51	3.31±1.37	51 -0.691 (-1.09	1, -0.291) 23.26
Zhang 2020	Xuezhikang	2.51±0.56	67	2.85±0.69	67	36, -0.196) 31.26
Sbutotoal (I–squared = 0.0%, $p = 0.415$)					-0.745 (-0.92	8, -0.552) 100
Whole blood high shear rate						
Ning 2017	YindanXinnaotongsoftcapsule	3.48±1.11	75	4.68±1.13	75	-0.842) 43.17
Zhang 2020	Xuezhikang	3.47±0.85	67	4.54±0.99	67 -1.07 (-1.382	l, -0.758) 56.83
Sbutotoal (I–squared = 0.0%, $p = 0.592$)					-1.126 (-1.36	2, -0.891) 100
Whole blood low shear rate						
Ning 2017	YindanXinnaotongsoftcapsule	3.48±1.11	75	4.68±1.13	75 -1.385 (-1.74	2, -1.028) 50.52
Zhang 2020	Xuezhikang	3.47±0.85	67	4.54±0.99	67 -1.027 (-1.38	8, -0.667) 49.48
Sbutotoal (I–squared = 47.7%, $p = 0.167$)					-1.208 (-1.40	2, -0.954) 100
*Weights are from random effects analysis						
					-1.5 -1 -0.5 0 Effect (95% CI)	

FIGURE 9: Forest plot of hemorheology. FIB: fibrinogen; MD: mean difference; CI: confidence interval.

35, 36]. Overall, the methodological quality of the included trials was low according to the Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool (Figure 2).

3.3. Primary Outcomes. Three studies reported mortality and cardiovascular events. One study found no death events because of the short follow-up period. Compared to patients in the control group, the beneficial effect of TCM participation on mortality was observed (RR, 0.50; 95% CI, 0.32, 0.78; and P = 0.002) with low heterogeneity ($I^2 = 47\%$, P = 0.17; Figure 3).

However, the TSA result indicated a possibility of a false positive conclusion, and more trials should be included to confirm the efficacy (Figure 4). The results were more reliable when it came to reducing cardiovascular events, showing that TCM adjuvant therapy could effectively reduce the incidence of cardiovascular events (RR, 0.47; 95% CI, 0.34, 0.65; and P < 0.001) without heterogeneity ($I^2 = 0\%$; P =0.82; Figure 5). The TSA results also supported this conclusion, as shown in Figure 6. The pooled results (Z curve, blue lines) crossed both the conventional boundary of benefit (dotted line) and the TSA boundary value curve (full line), indicating that a positive conclusion was reached even though the required information size (n = 3102) had not yet been reached (Figure 6).

3.4. Secondary Outcomes

3.4.1. Frequency of Angina Pectoris. Three studies reported data on the change in angina frequency with large heterogeneity. Considering that heterogeneity may be caused by different prescriptions, the pooled effect size analysis was performed according to Ning et al. [29] and Shi et al. [40], with a significant benefit of TCM (MD, -0.88; 95% CI, -1.13, -0.63; and P < 0.001), both of which used the Yindan Xinnaotong soft capsules (Figure 7).

3.4.2. Cardiac Function. Three studies showed an improvement of cardiac function with TCM. Compared to the control group, TCM decreased the left ventricular end-systolic

Study	Intervention	Experiment Mean±SD	No of subject	Control Mean±SD	No of subject		MD (95% CI)	%Weight
IL-6								
Cheng 2019	ModifiedYuyetang	17.19±3.79	58	22.82±4.48	60 <		-5.63 (-7.125, -4.135)	10.01
Tao 2017	HedanTablets	12.42±5.93	75	17.68±5.43	75 <		-5.26 (-7.08, -3.44)	9.19
Zhang 2020	Xuezhikang	7.56±1.76	67	10.22±2.01	67		-2.66 (-3.3, -2.02)	11.81
Sbutotoal (I–squared = 88.6%, p = 0.000)*							-4.416 (-6.62-2.212)	100
CRP								
Cheng 2019	Modified yuyetang	5.59±1.43	58	8.05±1.64	60	_	-2.46 (-3.015, -1.905)	11.93
Liu 2008	Xinshu pills	6.67±4.7	130	8.24±5.67	130	_	-1.57 (-2.836, -0.304)	10.57
Pan 2019	Yiqiyangyin huoxue recipe	5.89±3.26	98	7.11±3.51	81	_	-1.22 (-2.22, -0.22)	11.16
Tao 2017	Hedan tablets	9.58±3.16	75	12.14±3.28	75	-	-2.56 (-3.591, -1.529)	11.1
Zhang 2019	Yiqi yangyin basic prescription	1.26±0.4	60	1.4±0.4	60	•	-0.14 (-0.283, 0.003)	12.28
Zhang 2020	Xuezhikang	5.3±1.43	67	6.88±1.72	67	_	-1.58 (-2.116, -1.044)	11.95
Sbutotoal (I–squared = 95.3%, $p=0.000)^{\ast}$							-1.565 (-2.628, -0.503)	100
TNF-a								
Cheng 2019	ModifiedYuyetang	15.62±3.36	58	20.27±3.55	60	•	-4.65 (-5.897, -3.403)	46.18
Tao 2017	HedanTablets	13.26±4.78	75	18.85±5.06	75 <		-5.59 (-7.165, -4.015)	28.93
Zhang 2020	Xuezhikang	11.8±4.99	67	15.9±5.04	67	•	-4.1 (-5.798, -2.402)	24.89
Sbutotoal (I–squared = 0.0%, $p = 0.433$)							-4.785 (-5.632, -3.938)	100
*Weights are from random effects analysis								
					-7	-4 ()	
						Effect (95% CI)		

FIGURE 10: Forest plot of inflammatory factors.

diameters (LVESD) (WMD, -4.94; 95% CI, -5.51, -4.38; P = 0.971; and $I^2 = 0$) and left ventricular end-diastolic diameter (LVEDD) (WMD, -5.06; 95% CI, -5.59, -4.54; P < 0.001; and $I^2 = 95.9\%$). TCM significantly increased the left ventricular ejection fraction compared to the control group (WMD, 8.43; 95% CI, 7.89, 8.98; P < 0.001; and $I^2 = 97.9\%$; Figure 8).

3.4.3. Hemorheology. Five articles reported changes in the fibrinogen (FIB) levels. The sensitivity analysis found that Ning et al. [29] reported a large effect on heterogeneity; hence, this study was removed from the analysis, and the remaining studies showed that TCM reduced the FIB levels (SMD, -0.75; 95% CI, -0.94, -0.55; P = 0.415; and $I^2 = 0\%$). Six articles reported a decrease in plasma viscosity (WMD, -0.44; 95% CI, -0.46, -0.42; P < 0.001; and $I^2 = 98\%$). Two articles reported that TCM had an obvious curative effect on whole blood high shear and whole blood low shear (Figure 9).

3.4.4. Systematic Inflammatory Factors. Six studies showed that TCM significantly lowered the TNF- α (WMD, -4.79; 95% CI, -5.63, -3.94; *P* = 0.433; and *I*² = 0%), IL-6 (WMD, -3.32; 95% CI, -3.88, -2.76; *P* < 0.001; and *I*² = 88.6%), and CRP (WMD, -0.43; 95% CI, -2.12, -1.04; *P* < 0.001; and *I*² = 95.3%; Figure 10) levels.

3.4.5. Blood Lipid Levels. Fifteen studies reported the blood lipids levels. Eleven studies reported the outcomes of HDL-

C. These studies showed that TCM adjuvant therapy improved the HDL-C levels more effectively (WMD, 0.18; 95% CI, 0.15, 0.21; P < 0.001; and $I^2 = 98.1\%$). Thirteen studies showed the advantages of TCM adjuvant therapy in reducing TC levels (WMD, -0.83; 95% CI, -0.89, -0.76; P < 0.001; and $I^2 = 95\%$). Thirteen studies showed that combined TCM treatment may benefit TG reduction more (WMD, -0.55; 95% CI, -0.6, -0.5; P < 0.001; and $I^2 = 89.7$ %). Moreover, 14 studies showed that combined TCM treatment (WMD, -0.66; 95% CI, -0.7, -0.62; P < 0.001; and $I^2 = 95.3\%$; Figure 11). The subgroup analysis of follow-up and prescription is presented in Table 3.

3.4.6. Blood Glucose Levels. There were nine studies that reported a change in the HbA1c levels, showing statistically significant differences between the conventional and adjuvant TCM treatments (WMD, -1.29; 95% CI, -1.38, -1.2; P < 0.001; and $I^2 = 96.7\%$). Eleven studies showed that adjuvant TCM treatment had advantages in controlling FBC (WMD, -0.49; 95% CI, -0.59, -0.4; P < 0.001; and $I^2 = 80.8$ %). Further, 11 studies found that TCM intervention reduced the PBG levels more effectively (WMD, -0.98; 95% CI, -1.1, -0.85; P < 0.001; and $I^2 = 96.4\%$; Figure 12). The subgroup analysis of follow-up and prescription is presented in Table 3.

3.5. Adverse Events. Among the 12 studies that mentioned adverse events, four declared that no significant adverse

Journal of Diabetes Research

		Experiment		Control		
Study	Intervention	Mean±SD	No of subject	Mean±SD	No of subject MD (95% CI)	%Weight
TC						
Chen 2019	Yan's yixin prescription	5.03±0.64	64	5.58±0.87	64 -0.55 (-0.815, -0.285)	2.06
Cheng 2019	Modified yuyetang	4.58±0.62	58	4.79±0.74	60 -0.21 (-0.456, 0.036)	2.07
Feng 2020	Shexiang baoxin Pill	5.1±0.5	65	5.4±0.7	65 -0.3 (-0.509, -0.091)	2.09
Gao 2018	Shengmai powder	7.58±0.86	50	8.06±0.98	50 -0.48 (-0.841, -0.119)	1.98
Li 2008	Shengmai powder	5.02 ± 1.31	50	6.33±1.38	50 -1.31 (-1.837, -0.783)	1.81
Liu 2019	Shexiangyangxin powder	4.32±0.91	54	6.81±1.02	54 -2.49 (-2.855, -2.125)	1.98
Pan 2019	Yiqiyangyin huoxue recipe	3.73±0.39	98	4.67±0.45	81 -0.94 (-1.065, -0.815)	2.13
Qian 2018	Tongguan huoxue decoction	4.21±0.64	75	5.08±0.96	75 -0.87 (-1.131, -0.609)	2.06
Tao 2017	Hedan tablets	4.06±1.57	75	5.2±1.15	75 -1.14 (-1.58, -0.7)	1.9
Zhang 2015	Shuxin huoxue decoction	3.34±0.75	68	5.03±0.41	60 -1.69 (-1.896, -1.484)	2.09
Zhang 2017	Shexiang baoxin pill	6.56±1.89	51	8.45±1.57	51 -1.89 (-2.564, -1.216)	1.65
Zhang 2019	Yiqi yangyin basic prescription	4.82 ± 1.78	60	4.5±1.55	60 0.32 (-0.277, 0.917)	1.74
Zhang 2020	Xuezhikang	4.07±0.92	67	5.1±1.11	67 -1.03 (-1.375, -0.685)	1.99
Sbutotoal (I–squared = 94.8%, $p = 0.000$)*					-0.961 (-1.3, -0.621)	100
TG						
Chen 2019	Yan's yixin prescription	1.8±0.24	64	2.31±0.29	64 -0.51 (-0.602, -0.418)	2.14
Cheng 2019	Modified yuyetang	1.42±0.43	58	1.56±0.49	60 -0.14 (-0.306, 0.026)	2.12
Gao 2018	Shengmai powder	1.62±2.44	50	1.59±0.18	50 0.03 (-0.648, 0.708)	1.64
Li 2008	Shengmai powder	1.57±0.52	50	2.37±0.56	50 -0.8 (-1.012, -0.588)	2.09
Liu 2019	Shexiangyangxin powder	1.37±0.29	54	2.31±0.34	54 -0.94 (-1.059, -0.821)	2.13
Pan 2019	Yiqiyangyin huoxue recipe	1.5±0.76	98	2.21±1.08	81 -0.71 (-0.989, -0.431)	2.05
Qian 2018	Tongguan huoxue decoction	1.14±0.48	75	1.57±0.71	75 -0.43 (-0.624, -0.236)	2.1
Shi 2020	Yindan xinnaotong soft capsule	1.52±0.76	60	2.13±1.09	60 -0.61 (-0.946, -0.274)	2
Tao 2017	Hedan tablets	1.41±0.52	75	1.79±0.57	75 -0.38 (-0.555, -0.205)	2.11
Zhang 2015	Shuxin huoxue decoction	1.2±0.46	68	2.26±0.84	-1.06 (−1.299, −0.821)	2.07
Zhang 2017	Shexiang baoxin pill	2.79±1.01	51	3.29±1.08	51 -0.5 (-0.906, -0.094)	1.94
Zhang 2019	Yiqi yangyin basic prescription	1.46±1.54	60	1.77±0.96	-0.31 (-0.769, 0.149)	1.89
Zhang 2020	Xuezhikang	1.76±0.47	67	2.26±0.64	67 -0.5 (-0.69, -0.31)	2.1
Sbutotoal (I–squared = 87.7%, p = 0.000)* HDL					-0.558 (-0.719, -0.397) 100
Chen 2019	Yan's yixin prescription	1.42±0.3	64	1.27±0.28	64 • 0.15 (0.049, 0.251)	2.14
Cheng 2019	Modified yuyetang	1.43 ± 0.37	58	1.17±0.36	60 0.26 (0.128, 0.392)	2.13
Liu 2019	Shexiangyangxin powder	1.68±0.33	54	1.42±0.27	54 0.26 (0.146, 0.374)	2.14
Pan 2019	Yiqiyangyin huoxue recipe	2.2±0.78	98	1.66 ± 0.64	81 0.54 (0.332, 0.748)	2.09
Qian 2018	Tongguan huoxue decoction	1.95 ± 0.47	75	1.49±0.39	75 0.46 (0.322, 0.598)	2.13
Tao 2017	Hedan tablets	1.26 ± 0.87	75	1.18±0.79	75 0.08 (-0.186, 0.346)	2.06
Zhang 2015	Shuxin huoxue decoction	1.72 ± 0.41	68	1.22±0.29	60 • 0.5 (0.378, 0.622)	2.13
Zhang 2017	Shexiang baoxin pill	1.43 ± 0.41	51	3.03±0.42	51 1.6 (1.439, 1.761)	2.12
Zhang 2019	Yiqi yangyin basic prescription	2.15 ± 0.4	60	1.89 ± 0.68	60 0.26 (0.06, 0.46)	2.1
Zhang 2020	Xuezhikang	1.62 ± 0.52	67	1.38 ± 0.44	67 0.24 (0.077, 0.403)	2.12
Sbutotoal (I–squared = 96.6%, $p = 0.000$)*					0.436 (0.187, 0.685)	100
LDL						
Chen 2019	Yan's yixin prescription	1.42±0.3	64	1.27±0.28	64 -0.45 (-0.66, -0.24)	10.2
Cheng 2019	Modified yuyetang	1.43±0.37	58	1.17±0.36	60 -0.53 (-0.735, -0.325)	10.24
Liu 2019	Shexiangyangxin powder	1.68±0.33	54	1.42±0.27	54 -1.6 (-1.789, -1.411)	10.36
Pan 2019	Yiqiyangyin huoxue recipe	2.2±0.78	98	1.66±0.64	81 -0.48 (-0.602, -0.358)	10.79
Qian 2018	Tongguan huoxue decoction	1.95±0.47	75	1.49±0.39	75 -0.63 (-0.871, -0.389)	9.94
Tao 2017	Hedan tablets	1.26±0.87	75	1.18±0.79	75 -0.62 (-0.841, -0.399)	10.11
Zhang 2015	Shuxin huoxue decoction	1.72 ± 0.41	68	1.22±0.29	60 -0.78 (-1.078, -0.482)	9.41
Zhang 2017	Shexiang baoxin pill	1.43 ± 0.41	51	3.03±0.42	60 -0.64 (-0.815, -0.465)	10.46
Zhang 2019	Yiqi yangyin basic prescription	2.15±0.4	60	1.89 ± 0.68	51 -0.46 (-0.834, -0.086)	8.65
Zhang 2020	Xuezhikang	1.62 ± 0.52	67	1.38 ± 0.44	67 -0.48 (-0.731, -0.229)	9.85
Sbutotoal (I-squared = 92%, p = 0.000)*					-0.646 (-0.837, -0.456) 100
*Weights are from random effects analysis						
					-2 -1 0 1 2	
					Effect (95% CI)	
					· ·	

FIGURE 11: Forest plot of blood lipids.

events occurred with TCM. Gastrointestinal symptoms were reported in the remaining studies; Zhang et al. reported three cases of hypoglycemia, six cases of anorexia, and two cases of headache [41]. Zhao et al. reported two cases of edema, one case of mental-neurological symptoms in the experimental group, and one case of erectile dysfunction in the placebo group [36]. Other adverse events included fever [31], mild headache [25], edema, and itchy skin [21, 30, 31]. There was no statistically significant difference in the incidence of adverse events between the experimental and control groups.

3.6. Publication Bias. Funnel plots were drawn in cases where there were >10 articles to examine publication bias regarding the secondary outcomes. Because of the large heterogeneity of the studies, which was difficult to judge by funnel plots alone, Egger's test was performed.

More than 10 studies reported information concerning the TC, TG, LDL, HDL, and 2hPG levels, of which all showed *P* values > 0.05 by Egger's test, indicating no publication bias in the included studies. The funnel plot and Edger's test results for TC, TG, LDL, HDL, and 2hPG are presented in Supplementary materials (available here).

4. Discussion

Diabetes combined with CHD increases the incidence of and mortality due to CV events. This review revealed that the use of TCM in addition to conventional treatment showed potential benefits in the treatment of DM with multiple types of CHD. Compared to the existing integrated management of glucose and cardiovascular risk factors, the addition of many TCM prescriptions formed by the delicate compatibility of herbal ingredients showed better efficacy in reducing cardiovascular events. The Standards of Medical Care for Type 2 Diabetes in China 2019 included a dedicated section focusing on TCM treatment [42].

TABLE 3: The subgroup analysis of blood lipids and blood glucose.

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Outcomes	No. of studies	No. of experiment	No. of control	MD	95% CI	P value	I ² (%)
Total 13 1141 1097 -1.03 -1.46, -0.60 <0.001	ТС							
Subgroup: follow-up	Total	13	1141	1097	-1.03	-1.46, -0.60	< 0.001	95.2
x months 3 168 160 -1.41 -2.67, -0.15 <0.001 96.1 3 months 8 541 526 -1.02 -1.64, 0.42 <0.001	Subgroup: follow-up							
3 months 8 541 526 -1.02 -1.61, -0.42 <0.001 95.1 6 months 1 285 306 -0.29 -0.45, -0.13 Subgroup: prescription Total 13 1141 1097 -0.93 -1.32, -0.53 <0.001	2 months	3	168	160	-1.41	-2.67, -0.15	< 0.001	96.1
6 months 1 75 75 -0.83 -1.16, -0.49 4 years 1 25 306 -0.29 -0.45, -0.13 Subgroup: prescription 3 352 -0.63 -1.34, 0.07 <0.001	3 months	8	541	526	-1.02	-1.61,-0.42	< 0.001	95.1
4 years 1 285 306 -0.29 -0.45, -0.13 Subgroup: prescription	6 months	1	75	75	-0.83	-1.16, -0.49		
Subgroup: prescription Number of the second se	4 vears	1	285	306	-0.29	-0.45, -0.13		
Name Nam Name Name	Subgroup: prescription					,		
TG Total 13 1141 1097 -0.93 -1.32, -0.53 <0.001 94,3 Subgroup: follow-up 2 2000ths 3 168 160 -1.02 -2.06, -0.03 <0.001	Xuezhikang	2	373	352	-0.63	-1.34, 0.07	< 0.001	92.1
Total 13 1141 1097 -0.93 -1.32, -0.53 <0.001	TG					,		
Subgroup: follow-up No. 1 No. 1 <td>Total</td> <td>13</td> <td>1141</td> <td>1097</td> <td>-0.93</td> <td>-1.32, -0.53</td> <td>< 0.001</td> <td>94.3</td>	Total	13	1141	1097	-0.93	-1.32, -0.53	< 0.001	94.3
Solution3168160-1.02-2.06, -0.03<0.0194.83 months8541526-1.03-1.54, -0.53<0.001	Subgroup: follow-up					,		
3 months8541526-1.03-1.54, -0.53<0.00193.36 months17575-0.697-1.026, -0.36793.34 years1285306-0.022-0.223, 0.09993.33 bugbroup: prescription2373352-0.46-1.27, 0.35<0.001	2 months	3	168	160	-1.02	-2.06, -0.03	< 0.001	94.8
6months17575-0.697-1.026, -0.3674 years1285306-0.062-0.223, 0.099Subgroup: prescriptionXuezhikang2373352-0.46-1.27, 0.35<0.001	3 months	8	541	526	-1.03	-1.54, -0.53	< 0.001	93.3
A years 1 285 306 -0.062 -0.223, 0.099 Subgroup: prescription	6 months	1	75	75	-0.697	-1.026, -0.367		
Subgroup: prescription Number of the second se	4 vears	1	285	306	-0.062	-0.223, 0.099		
Normalized for the formal state of the formation of	Subgroup: prescription							
HDL International and the second	Xuezhikang	2	373	352	-0.46	-1.27.0.35	< 0.001	94.2
Total 11 1068 1024 0.72 0.41, 1.02 <0.01	HDL					,		
Subgroup: follow-up International and the second seco	Total	11	1068	1024	0.72	0.41, 1.02	< 0.001	90.7
No. 1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	Subgroup: follow-up					,		
3 months 8 619 604 0.8 0.54, 1.06 <0.001 79.5 6 months 1 75 75 0.096 -0.224, 0.417 4 4 years 1 306 285 0.141 -0.02,0.3 5 Subgroup:prescription Xuezhikang 2 373 352 0.29 -0.06, 0.63 0.066 70.5 LDL -	2 months	1	68	60	1.39	1.01, 1.78		
6 months 1 75 75 0.096 -0.224, 0.417 4 years 1 306 285 0.141 -0.02,0,3 Subgroup:prescription Xuezhikang 2 373 352 0.29 -0.06, 0.63 0.066 70.5 LDL Total 14 1243 1199 -1.20 -1.61, -0.79 <0.001	3 months	8	619	604	0.8	0.54, 1.06	< 0.001	79.5
Initial I Other Diff Diff <thdif< th=""> Diff Diff <thd< td=""><td>6 months</td><td>1</td><td>75</td><td>75</td><td>0.096</td><td>-0.224, 0.417</td><td></td><td></td></thd<></thdif<>	6 months	1	75	75	0.096	-0.224, 0.417		
Subgroup:prescription Xuezhikang 2 373 352 0.29 -0.06, 0.63 0.066 70.5 LDL Total 14 1243 1199 -1.20 -1.61, -0.79 <0.001	4 vears	1	306	285	0.141	-0.02.0.3		
Xuezhikang 2 373 352 0.29 -0.06, 0.63 0.066 70.5 LDL Total 14 1243 1199 -1.20 -1.61, -0.79 <0.001	Subgroup:prescription					···· , ····		
LDL International and the first of th	Xuezhikang	2	373	352	0.29	-0.06, 0.63	0.066	70.5
Total 14 1243 1199 -1.20 -1.61, -0.79 <0.001	LDL							
Subgroup: follow-up 2 months 2 110 118 -0.88 -1.15, -0.6 0.711 0 3 months 10 744 729 -1.38 -1.95, -0.81 <0.001	Total	14	1243	1199	-1.20	-1.61, -0.79	< 0.001	95.2
2 months 2 110 118 -0.88 -1.15, -0.6 0.711 0 3 months 10 744 729 -1.38 -1.95, -0.81 <0.001	Subgroup: follow-up					,		
3 months 10 744 729 -1.38 -1.95, -0.81 <0.001	2 months	2	110	118	-0.88	-1.15, -0.6	0.711	0
6 months 1 75 75 -0.898 -1.23, -0.56 4 years 1 306 285 -0.459 -0.62, -0.3 Subgroup: prescription Xuezhikang 2 373 352 -0.49 -0.64, -0.34 0.337 0 FBG Total 13 1143 1045 -0.5 -0.67, -0.33 <0.001 72.4 Subgroup: follow-up 2 months 4 358 275 -0.44 -0.73, -0.15 0.04 64.9 3 months 8 725 710 -0.49 -0.7, -0.27 <0.001 76.5 6 months 1 60 60 -0.886 -1.26, -0.51 Subgroup: prescription Tongxinluo 2 303 303 -0.43 -0.86, -0.01 0.009 85.2 HbA1c	3 months	10	744	729	-1.38	-1.95, -0.81	< 0.001	95.8
4 years 1 306 285 -0.459 -0.62, -0.3 Subgroup: prescription Xuezhikang 2 373 352 -0.49 -0.64, -0.34 0.337 0 FBG Total 13 1143 1045 -0.5 -0.67, -0.33 <0.001	6 months	1	75	75	-0.898	-1.23, -0.56		
Subgroup: prescription Xuezhikang 2 373 352 -0.49 -0.64, -0.34 0.337 0 FBG 13 1143 1045 -0.5 -0.67, -0.33 <0.001	4 vears	1	306	285	-0.459	-0.62, -0.3		
Xuezhikang 2 373 352 -0.49 -0.64, -0.34 0.337 0 FBG 70tal 13 1143 1045 -0.5 -0.67, -0.33 <0.001	Subgroup: prescription					,		
FBG Total 13 1143 1045 -0.5 -0.67, -0.33 <0.001 72.4 Subgroup: follow-up 2 months 4 358 275 -0.44 -0.73, -0.15 0.04 64.9 3 months 8 725 710 -0.49 -0.7, -0.27 <0.001 76.5 6 months 1 60 60 -0.886 -1.26, -0.51 U Subgroup: prescription 7 303 -0.43 -0.86, -0.01 0.009 85.2 HbA1c U	Xuezhikang	2	373	352	-0.49	-0.64, -0.34	0.337	0
Total 13 1143 1045 -0.5 -0.67, -0.33 <0.001 72.4 Subgroup: follow-up 2 358 275 -0.44 -0.73, -0.15 0.04 64.9 3 months 8 725 710 -0.49 -0.7, -0.27 <0.001	FBG					,		
Subgroup: follow-up 2 358 275 -0.44 -0.73, -0.15 0.04 64.9 3 months 8 725 710 -0.49 -0.7, -0.27 <0.001	Total	13	1143	1045	-0.5	-0.67, -0.33	< 0.001	72.4
2 months 4 358 275 -0.44 -0.73, -0.15 0.04 64.9 3 months 8 725 710 -0.49 -0.7, -0.27 <0.001	Subgroup: follow-up					,		
3 months 8 725 710 -0.49 -0.7, -0.27 <0.001	2 months	4	358	275	-0.44	-0.73, -0.15	0.04	64.9
6 months 1 60 60 -0.886 -1.26, -0.51 Subgroup: prescription Tongxinluo 2 303 303 -0.43 -0.86, -0.01 0.009 85.2 HbA1c	3 months	8	725	710	-0.49	-0.7, -0.27	< 0.001	76.5
Subgroup: prescription 2 303 303 -0.43 -0.86, -0.01 0.009 85.2 HbA1c Image: State of the state o	6 months	1	60	60	-0.886	-1.26, -0.51		
Tongxinluo 2 303 303 -0.43 -0.86, -0.01 0.009 85.2 HbA1c	Subgroup: prescription	-				,		
HbA1c	Tongxinluo	2	303	303	-0.43	-0.86, -0.01	0.009	85.2
	HbA1c	-				,		2012
Total 9 679 664 -1.159 -1.85, -0.47 <0.001 96.9	Total	9	679	664	-1.159	-1.850.47	< 0.001	96.9

TABLE 3: Continued.

Outcomes	No. of studies	No. of experiment	No. of control	MD	95% CI	P value	I ² (%)
Subgroup: follow-up							
3 months	8	619	604	-0.95	-1.63, -0.28	< 0.001	96.6
6 months	1	60	60	-2.85	-3.36,-2,3		
2hPG							
Total	10	948	850	-0.58	-1, -0.16	< 0.001	94.5
Subgroup: follow-up							
2 months	4	358	275	-0.42	-0.72, -0.11	0.02	68.4
3 months	6	575	590	-0.69	-1.37, -0.01	< 0.001	96.6
Subgroup: prescription							
Tongxinluo	2	303	303	-1.42	-3.33, 0.49	< 0.001	99

		Experiment		Control						
Study	Intervention	Mean±SD	No of subject	Mean±SD	No of subject				MD (95% CI)	%Weight
TC										
Chen 2019	Yan's yixin prescription	5.03±0.64	64	5.58±0.87	64	_	-		-0.55 (-0.815, -0.285)	2.06
Cheng 2019	Modified yuyetang	4.58±0.62	58	4.79±0.74	60				-0.21 (-0.456, 0.036)	2.07
Feng 2020	Shexiang baoxin pill	5.1±0.5	65	5.4±0.7	65				-0.3 (-0.509, -0.091)	2.09
Gao 2018	Shengmai powder	7.58±0.86	50	8.06±0.98	50		•		-0.48 (-0.841, -0.119)	1.98
Li 2008	Shengmai powder	5.02±1.31	50	6.33±1.38	50	—			-1.31 (-1.837, -0.783)	1.81
Liu 2019	Shexiangyangxin powder	4.32±0.91	54	6.81±1.02	54	÷			-2.49 (-2.855, -2.125)	1.98
Pan 2019	Yiqiyangyin huoxue recipe	3.73±0.39	98	4.67±0.45	81				-0.94 (-1.065, -0.815)	2.13
Qian 2018	Tongguan huoxue decoction	4.21±0.64	75	5.08±0.96	75				-0.87 (-1.131, -0.609)	2.06
Tao 2017	Hedan tablets	4.06±1.57	75	5.2±1.15	75				-1.14 (-1.58, -0.7)	1.9
Zhang 2015	Shuxin huoxue decoction	3.34±0.75	68	5.03±0.41	60				-1.69(-1.896, -1.484)	2.09
Zhang 2017	Shexiang baoxin pill	6.56±1.89	51	8.45±1.57	51				-1.89 (-2.564, -1.216)	1.65
Zhang 2019	Yiqi yangyin basic prescription	4.82+1.78	60	4.5+1.55	60				0.32 (-0.277, 0.917)	1.74
Zhang 2020	Xuezhikang	4.07+0.92	67	5.1+1.11	67				-1.03 (-1.375, -0.685)	1.99
Shutotoal (I-squared = 94.8% $p = 0.000$)*						-			-0.961 (-1.3 -0.621)	100
TG									0.501 (1.5, 0.021)	100
Chen 2019	Van's vivin prescription	1 8+0 24	64	2 31+0 29	64		•		-0.51(-0.602, -0.418)	2.14
Chang 2019	Modified www.eteng	1.010.24	59	1 56±0 40	60				0.14 (0.305 0.026)	2.14
Circleng 2019	Shanamai navadan	1.42±0.43	50	1.50±0.49	50				-0.14 (-0.506, 0.026)	2.12
Ga0 2018	Shengmai powder	1.62±2.44	50	1.39±0.18	50	-			0.03 (-0.848, 0.708)	1.04
11 2008	Shengmai powder	1.5/±0.52	50	2.3/±0.56	50				-0.8 (-1.012, -0.588)	2.09
Liu 2019	Snexiangyangxin powder	1.3/±0.29	54	2.31±0.34	54	- - -			-0.94 (-1.059, -0.821)	2.13
Pan 2019	Yiqiyangyin huoxue recipe	1.5±0.76	98	2.21±1.08	81		-		-0.71 (-0.989, -0.431)	2.05
Qian 2018	Tongguan huoxue decoction	1.14±0.48	75	1.57±0.71	75	-	- -		-0.43 (-0.624, -0.236)	2.1
Shi 2020	Yindan xinnaotong soft capsule	1.52±0.76	60	2.13±1.09	60				-0.61 (-0.946, -0.274)	2
Tao 2017	Hedan tablets	1.41±0.52	75	1.79±0.57	75				-0.38 (-0.555, -0.205)	2.11
Zhang 2015	Shuxin huoxue decoction	1.2±0.46	68	2.26±0.84	60				-1.06 (-1.299, -0.821)	2.07
Zhang 2017	Shexiang baoxin pill	2.79±1.01	51	3.29±1.08	51		•		-0.5 (-0.906, -0.094)	1.94
Zhang 2019	Yiqi yangyin basic prescription	1.46±1.54	60	1.77±0.96	60				-0.31 (-0.769, 0.149)	1.89
Zhang 2020	Xuezhikang	1.76±0.47	67	2.26±0.64	67	-	←		-0.5 (-0.69, -0.31)	2.1
Sbutotoal (I–squared = 87.7% , $p = 0.000$)*						-	-		-0.558 (-0.719, -0.397)	100
HDL										
Chen 2019	Yan's yixin prescription	1.42±0.3	64	1.27±0.28	64				0.15 (0.049, 0.251)	2.14
Cheng 2019	Modified yuyetang	1.43±0.37	58	1.17±0.36	60		-	-	0.26 (0.128, 0.392)	2.13
Liu 2019	Shexiangyangxin powder	1.68±0.33	54	1.42 ± 0.27	54			F	0.26 (0.146, 0.374)	2.14
Pan 2019	Yiqiyangyin huoxue recipe	2.2±0.78	98	1.66 ± 0.64	81				0.54 (0.332, 0.748)	2.09
Qian 2018	Tongguan huoxue decoction	1.95±0.47	75	1.49±0.39	75				0.46 (0.322, 0.598)	2.13
Tao 2017	Hedan Tablets	1.26±0.87	75	1.18±0.79	75		_ -	-	0.08 (-0.186, 0.346)	2.06
Zhang 2015	Shuxin huoxue decoction	1.72±0.41	68	1.22±0.29	60				0.5 (0.378, 0.622)	2.13
Zhang 2017	Shexiang baoxin pill	1.43±0.41	51	3.03±0.42	51				- 1.6 (1.439, 1.761)	2.12
Zhang 2019	Yiqi vangvin basic prescription	2.15±0.4	60	1.89±0.68	60			⊢ ·	0.26 (0.06, 0.46)	2.1
Zhang 2020	Xuezhikang	1.62±0.52	67	1.38±0.44	67			–	0.24 (0.077, 0.403)	2.12
Shutotoal (I-squared = 96.6% $p = 0.000$)*	0							-	0.436 (0.187, 0.685)	100
IDI									0.150 (0.107, 0.005)	100
Chen 2019	Van's vixin prescription	1 42+0 3	64	1 27+0 28	64	_	_		-0.45(-0.66, -0.24)	10.2
Chang 2019	Modified aniversity	1 42+0 27	59	1 17+0 26	60				0.53 (0.735 0.325)	10.24
Lin 2010	Shariangrangrin pourdar	1.49±0.37	50	1.42±0.27	54	_	• ·		16(1789, 1411)	10.24
Dap 2019	Vigiwanggin huoyua racina	2 2±0 78	08	1.42±0.27	91				-1.0 (-1.765, -1.411)	10.50
Qian 2019	Tananian huanna daaatian	1.05+0.47	58 75	1.00±0.04	75				-0.48 (-0.002, -0.338)	10.75
Qian 2018	Leden tehlete	1.95±0.47	75	1.49±0.39	75	_	_		-0.65 (-0.871, -0.589)	9.94
7h-m-2015	Chunin human dana stia	1.2010.6/	15	1.10±0.79	15	_			-0.02 (-0.641, -0.399)	10.11
Zhang 2015	Shuxin huoxue decoction	1./2±0.41	00	1.22±0.29	51		-		-0./8 (-1.0/8, -0.482)	9.41
Znang 2017	Snexiang baoxin pill	1.43±0.41	51	5.03±0.42	51	-•			-0.64 (-0.815, -0.465)	10.46
Znang 2019	r iqi yangyin basic prescription	2.15±0.4	60	1.89±0.68	60		-		-0.46 (-0.834, -0.086)	8.65
Zhang 2020	Xuezhikang	1.62±0.52	67	1.38±0.44	67		-		-0.48 (-0.731, -0.229)	9.85
Sbutotoal (I-squared = 92% , $p = 0.000$)*						-	-		-0.646 (-0.837, -0.456)	100
*Weights are from random effects analysis										
						-2 -1	ò	i	2	
							Effect (95%)	CI)		

FIGURE 12: Forest plot of blood glucose levels.

The use of TSA analysis in this paper overcomes the shortcomings of a traditional systematic review or meta-analysis by avoiding hasty conclusions and ignoring the accumulation of random errors. However, it also has certain limitations: it cannot compensate for the defects in the methodological quality of the trial or the errors caused by outcome reporting bias [43]. Articles have compared the efficacy of TCM in the treatment of diabetes and CHD [14], but the outcomes were clinical effectiveness rates, which varied greatly in different studies with no unified standard.

However, combined with the results of previous studies, the use of TCM for the treatment of patients with DM and CHD should be explored further to promote its application.

TCM is characterized by multitargeted effects. The study herein showed beneficial ameliorative effects in the risk factors (blood glucose, lipid, cardiac function, hemorheology, and inflammatory factors) of DM combined with CHD. At present, many Chinese medicines are used to treat cases of DM combined with CHD, but the related clinical studies are insufficient, and the underlying mechanism requires further research. High-quality, randomized, double-blind clinical trials are needed to understand the associated mechanisms.

4.1. Limitations. Most of the clinical trials included in this study were high-risk trials. Although all trials claimed to use randomization, only nine described specific randomization methods. Improper randomization often leads to selection bias. At the same time, only one out of 20 studies used a double-blind design, indicating the possibility of potential errors. As the allocation is not concealed, the effect of the intervention may be exaggerated by 30–40% [44]. The reason may be that it is difficult to make a convincing placebo of TCM. This is also a prominent defect of most clinical trials of TCM.

Sensitivity analysis revealed that three studies [25, 29, 36] had a large effect on the heterogeneity of different outcome measures. The work by Zhao et al. [36] was a randomized double-blind trial with intervention for 4 years, which was much longer than the corresponding period in other clinical trials. Interestingly, they reported a clear efficacy on regulating blood lipid indicators [45]. The study suggested that long-term TCM administration may modulate blood lipids better than conventional treatment. The patients included in the study by Li et al. [25] were aged 65-85 (mean age, 74.54) years, which was higher than the corresponding mean ages in other trials. The poor glycemic control, which was possibly attributed to islet cell function, was gradually lost in elderly patients with DM. The patients in the study by Ning et al. [29] received nitrate ester, which can improve hemodynamics and can explain the better control in FIB than other study.

The main sources of heterogeneity were the following: (1) Study protocol designs were not rigorous. (2) The interventions differed greatly in composition, dosage, dosage form, and intervention time. In the theory of TCM, individuals adapted in different formulas for treating DM and CHD are different. Meanwhile, the different dosage forms of TCMs also indicate problems in the quality control of TCMs. (3) Basal interventions differed.

Considering the complicated sources of heterogeneity, we found it difficult to reduce the high heterogeneity through subgroup analysis.

On the one hand, different TCM interventions are main sources of heterogeneity. On the other hand, there are differences in the type of heterogeneity. In addition, although patient baselines were comparable in independent studies, patient conditions, including the severity of CHD, varied between studies.

5. Conclusions

Through this systematic review and meta-analysis of adjuvant TCM treatment of CHD in patients with DM, we found that TCM could improve the control effect of conventional treatment on blood glucose, blood lipid, and inflammation, thereby reducing the frequency of angina and the incidence of cardiovascular events and all-cause mortality; this indicates that TCM may be used as a complementary approach to the tertiary prevention of DM. Nevertheless, more rigorously designed RCTs and long-term evaluations are needed to support these findings. The relationship between cardiovascular benefits and TCM control of inflammation, cardiac function, and hemodynamics should be further examined.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are included within the article.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article.

Authors' Contributions

Yu Wei and Qiyou Ding, the first two authors, made equal contribution to the work.

Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by the Innovation Team and Talents Cultivation Program of National Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine (grant number: ZYYCXTD-D-202001), the Young Elite Scientists Sponsorship Program by CAST (grant numbers: YESS20170034 and 2018QNRC2-C10), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant numbers: 81904187, 81274000, and 81803923), the Special Scientific Research for Traditional Chinese Medicine of China (grant number: 201507s001-11), and the Outstanding Young Scientific and Technological Talents Program (grant number: ZZ13-YQ-026). We thank the Free Statistics team for providing valuable tools for data visualization.

Supplementary Materials

Supplementary Materials The metaregression analysis of sample, publication year, and sensitivity analysis are available in supplementary materials. (*Supplementary Materials*)

References

[1] P. Saeedi, I. Petersohn, P. Salpea et al., "Global and regional diabetes prevalence estimates for 2019 and projections for

2030 and 2045: results from the International Diabetes Federation Diabetes Atlas," *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice*, vol. 157, p. 107843, 2019.

- [2] "Executive summary of the third report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) expert panel on detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood cholesterol in adults (adult treatment panel III)," *Journal of the American Medical Association*, vol. 285, no. 19, pp. 2486–2497, 2001.
- [3] M. Laakso, "Cardiovascular disease in type 2 diabetes: challenge for treatment and prevention," *Journal of Internal Medicine*, vol. 249, no. 3, pp. 225–235, 2001.
- [4] S. Rao Kondapally Seshasai, S. Kaptoge, A. Thompson, E. Di Angelantonio, and Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration, "Diabetes mellitus, fasting glucose, and risk of cause-specific death," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 364, no. 9, pp. 829–841, 2011.
- [5] N. Li, Y. G. Yang, and M. H. Chen, "Comparing the adverse clinical outcomes in patients with non-insulin treated type 2 diabetes mellitus and patients without type 2 diabetes mellitus following percutaneous coronary intervention: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *BMC Cardiovascular Disorders*, vol. 16, no. 1, p. 238, 2016.
- [6] J. Tian, Q. Jin, Q. D. Bao et al., "Evidence and potential mechanisms of traditional Chinese medicine for the treatment of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *Diabetes, Obesity & Metabolism*, vol. 21, no. 8, pp. 1801–1816, 2019.
- [7] M. Quarti Machado Rosa, R. dos Santos Rosa, M. G. Correia, D. V. Araujo, L. R. Bahia, and C. M. Toscano, "Disease and economic burden of hospitalizations attributable to diabetes mellitus and its complications: a nationwide study in Brazil," *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, vol. 15, no. 2, p. 294, 2018.
- [8] "9. Cardiovascular disease and risk management: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2018," *Diabetes Care*, vol. 41, Supplement 1, pp. S86–S104, 2018.
- [9] J. R. Guyton, H. E. Bays, S. M. Grundy, T. A. Jacobson, and The National Lipid Association Statin Intolerance Panel, "An assessment by the Statin Intolerance Panel: 2014 update," *Journal of Clinical Lipidology*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. S72–S81, 2014.
- [10] X. L. Tong, L. Dong, L. Chen, and Z. Zhen, "Treatment of diabetes using traditional Chinese medicine: past, present and future," *The American Journal of Chinese Medicine*, vol. 40, no. 5, pp. 877–886, 2012.
- [11] X. L. Tong, S. T. Wu, F. M. Lian et al., "The safety and effectiveness of TM81, a Chinese herbal medicine, in the treatment of type 2 diabetes: a randomized double-blind placebocontrolled trial," *Diabetes, Obesity & Metabolism*, vol. 15, no. 5, pp. 448–454, 2013.
- [12] J. Xu, F. Lian, L. Zhao et al., "Structural modulation of gut microbiota during alleviation of type 2 diabetes with a Chinese herbal formula," *The ISME Journal*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 552–562, 2015.
- [13] F. Lian, J. Tian, X. Chen et al., "The efficacy and safety of Chinese herbal medicine Jinlida as add-on medication in type 2 diabetes patients ineffectively managed by metformin monotherapy: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, multicenter trial," *PLoS One*, vol. 10, no. 6, p. e0130550, 2015.
- [14] K. J. Zhang, Q. Zheng, P. C. Zhu et al., "Traditional Chinese medicine for coronary heart disease: clinical evidence and possible mechanisms," *Frontiers in Pharmacology*, vol. 10, p. 844, 2019.

- [15] X. Yu, L. Xu, Q. Zhou et al., "The efficacy and safety of the Chinese herbal formula, JTTZ, for the treatment of type 2 diabetes with obesity and hyperlipidemia: a multicenter randomized, positive-controlled, open-label clinical trial," *International Journal of Endocrinology*, vol. 2018, Article ID 9519231, 11 pages, 2018.
- [16] H. S. Fang, C. C. Liu, C. H. Jia, Y. H. Chen, and C. Y. Li, "Risk of developing coronary artery disease in patients with type 2 diabetes receiving traditional Chinese medicine therapy," *Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine*, vol. 21, no. 10, pp. 604–609, 2015.
- [17] X. Y. Guo, L. I. U. Jing, L. I. U. Jun et al., "Use of traditional Chinese medicine in Chinese patients with coronary heart disease," *Biomedical and Environmental Sciences*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 303–310, 2013.
- [18] M. J. Page, J. E. McKenzie, P. M. Bossuyt et al., "The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews," *BMJ*, vol. 372, article n71, 2021.
- [19] J. P. Higgins, D. G. Altman, P. C. Gotzsche et al., "The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials," *BMJ*, vol. 343, no. oct18 2, p. d5928, 2011.
- [20] J. Wetterslev, K. Thorlund, J. Brok, and C. Gluud, "Estimating required information size by quantifying diversity in randomeffects model meta-analyses," *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, vol. 9, no. 1, p. 86, 2009.
- [21] C. H. Qian and Q. Yu, "The therapeutic effect of tongguan huoxue decoction and naoxintong capsule on diabetic patients with coronary heart disease and its effects on glycolipid, HMGB1 and Omentin-1 levels," *Modern Journal of Integrated Traditional Chinese and Western Medicine*, vol. 27, no. 13, pp. 1435–1438, 2018.
- [22] C. Chen, J. H. Zhang, X. Liu, D. P. Liu, P. Sun, and S. B. Liu, "Effects of Yan's Yixin prescription and Levocarnitine on serum amyloid a, matrix metalloproteinase-9 and bilirubin in patients with diabetes and angina pectoris," *Chinese Journal* of Integrative Medicine on Cardio-Cerebrovascular Disease, vol. 17, no. 7, pp. 1032–1036, 2019.
- [23] L. Cheng, Z. C. Li, and J. G. Liang, "Clinical efficiency of modified Yuyetang to type 2 diabetes mellitus combined with coronary heart disease," *Chinese Journal of Experimental Traditional Medical Formulae*, vol. 25, no. 21, pp. 78–83, 2019.
- [24] S. Gao, X. Tian, W. N. Jiang, and Y. Ma, "Clinical efficacy of integrated traditional Chinese and Western medicine in the treatment of type 2 diabetes complicated with coronary heart disease and its effect on serum fibroblast growth factor-21 and ghrelin," *Journal of Hainan Medical University*, vol. 24, no. 12, pp. 1954–1958, 2018.
- [25] C. L. Li, J. J. Sun, and W. X. Zhang, "Clinical observation of 100 cases of diabetes mellitus with myocardial ischemic coronary heart disease treated with Jiangtangshengmaiyin," *Lishizhen Medicine and Materia Medica Research*, vol. 7, pp. 1685-1686, 2008.
- [26] S. Y. Liu, P. H. Song, and P. N. Zhang, "Clinical observation of 130 cases of type 2 diabetes mellitus with coronary heart disease treated with Xinshu pill," *Journal of New Chinese Medicine*, vol. 1, pp. 40–42, 2008.
- [27] Y. Liu, J. K. Han, and Q. Wang, "Effect of Shexiang Yangxin powder on diabetic mellitus complicated with coronary atherosclerotic heart disease and its influence on blood lipid, hemorheological index and coagulation function," *Shaanxi Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 40, no. 5, pp. 576–579, 2019.

- [28] Q. Ni, X. F. Yan, and L. Lin, "Clinical study on the effect of Qizhi Jiangtang capsule in treating 128 patients with diabetic coronary heart disease and angina," *Chinese Journal of Information on Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 17, no. 10, pp. 9–11, 2010.
- [29] G. J. Ning, M. X. Li, W. D. Ren, and W. J. Deng, "Effect of Yindan Xinnaotong soft capsule on the oxidative stress and vascular endothelial function in patients with T2DM complicated with CHD," *Journal of Bengbu Medical College*, vol. 42, no. 11, pp. 1472–1475, 2017.
- [30] Z. M. Tao, D. P. Wang, S. Z. Zhang, and R. Kong, "Effects of Hedan tablets on the levels of blood lipid, inflammatory factors and oxidative stress in coronary heart disease patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus," *China Pharmacy*, vol. 28, no. 23, pp. 3244–3247, 2017.
- [31] C. Zhang and Y. C. Pan, "Effect of traditional Chinese medicine prescription on type 2 diabetes mellitus with stable angina pectoris," *Chinese Journal of Public Health Engineering*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 306–308, 2019.
- [32] D. Z. Qian, "Clinical research moods capsule intervention in diabetic patients with acut coronary syndrome treatment," *Chinese Journal of Heart and Heart Rhythm (Electronic Edition)*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 103–105, 2017.
- [33] X. Y. Zhang and J. C. Hu, "Clinical effect of Shuxin huoxue decoction on type 2 diabetes mellitus combined with coronary heart disease," *Chinese Journal of Clinical Rational Drug Use*, vol. 8, no. 29, pp. 44-45, 2015.
- [34] H. Y. Zhang, "Effect of Shexiang Baoxin Pill combined with trimetazidine on diabetes mellitus combined with coronary heart disease and its effect on hemorheology and blood lipid," *Chinese Journal of Integrative Medicine on Cardio-Cerebrovascular Disease*, vol. 15, no. 10, pp. 1231–1233, 2017.
- [35] J. Zhang, Y. Liu, J. Y. Wang et al., "Yiqi Yangyin therapy in the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus with stable angina pectoris," *Chinese Journal of Integrative Medicine on Cardio-Cerebrovascular Disease*, vol. 17, no. 15, pp. 2249–2253, 2019.
- [36] S. P. Zhao, Z. L. Lu, B. M. Du et al., "Xuezhikang, an extract of cholestin, reduces cardiovascular events in type 2 diabetes patients with coronary heart disease: subgroup analysis of patients with type 2 diabetes from China coronary secondary prevention study (CCSPS)," *Journal of Cardiovascular Pharmacology*, vol. 49, no. 2, pp. 81–84, 2007.
- [37] F. Tong, "Clinical analysis of Shexiang Baoxin Pill combined with Trimetazidine in treating diabetes complicated with coronary heart disease," *Diabetes World*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 11-12, 2020.
- [38] L. Li, "Effect of Tongxinluo capsules combined with trimetazidine in the treatment of coronary heart disease associated with diabetes mellitus," *Women's Health Research*, vol. 1, pp. 23-24, 2021.
- [39] R. E. N. Yujun, B. Jianxue, Z. Mingde, and C. Yingjiang, "Effect of Tongxinluo, Jinlida combined with metoprolol in the treatment of patients with diabetes mellitus complicated with coronary heart disease and the influence on cardiac function and blood glucose indicators," *Clinical Medical & Engineering*, vol. 27, no. 9, pp. 1199-1200, 2020.
- [40] S. Hang, L. Liqun, and C. Jiye, "Clinical efficacy of yin Dan Xinnao soft capsule for the treatment of elderly patients with unstable angina and type 2 diabetes mellitus," *Chinese Journal* of Integrative Medicine on Cardio/Cerebrovascular Disease, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 183–185, 2020.

- [41] Z. Mao, Y. Qi-Cai, J. Rong-Lu, and S. H. E. N. Bing, "Therapeutic effect of Xuezhikang combined liraglutide and metformin on DM+CHD patients," *Chinese Journal of Cardiovascular Rehabilitation Medicine*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 326–332, 2020.
- [42] W. Jia, J. Weng, D. Zhu et al., "Standards of medical care for type 2 diabetes in China 2019," *Diabetes/Metabolism Research* and Reviews, vol. 35, no. 6, p. e3158, 2019.
- [43] J. Wetterslev, K. Thorlund, J. Brok, and C. Gluud, "Trial sequential analysis may establish when firm evidence is reached in cumulative meta-analysis," *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, vol. 61, no. 1, pp. 64–75, 2008.
- [44] H. Yin, Y. Zhang, L. Yang, G. Bai, D. Shi, and K. Chen, "The effects of PQS on glucose transport, GLUT4 translocation and CAP mRNA expression of adipocytes," *Chinese Pharmacological Bulletin*, vol. 23, no. 10, pp. 1332–1337, 2007.
- [45] M. Li, Q. He, Y. Chen et al., "Xuezhikang capsule for type 2 diabetes with hyperlipemia: a systematic review and metaanalysis of randomized clinical trails," *Evidence-based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, vol. 2015, Article ID 468520, 13 pages, 2015.
- [46] M. Wang, Y. Shan, W. Sun et al., "Effects of Shexiang Baoxin Pill for coronary microvascular function: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *Frontiers in Pharmacology*, vol. 12, p. 751050, 2021.
- [47] P. Yang, P. Liu, and R. Yang, "Systematic review of Tongxinluo capsule on the therapeutic effect and Hemorheology of patients with transient ischemic attack," *Evidence-based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, vol. 2021, 10 pages, 2021.
- [48] B. Liu, C. J. Yu, X. B. Meng et al., "Effects of Qizhi Jiangtang capsule on dermal ulcer in type 2 diabetic rats," *Zhongguo Zhong Yao Za Zhi*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 118–123, 2016.
- [49] J. Pan, Y. Xu, S. Chen et al., "The effectiveness of traditional Chinese medicine Jinlida granules on glycemic variability in newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes: a double-blinded, randomized trial," *Journal Diabetes Research*, vol. 2021, p. 6303063, 2021.