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The paper aimed to analyze the dynamic response of a new type penetrating missile in rotary attacking warship. The dynamic response characteristics of the penetrator become more complex when attacking the ship target due to the special materials of the deck such as stiffeners. Therefore, different from attacking other targets, the article reveals the design rules of precession penetration ammunition and fuze. A physical model of the missile target is established to study the numerical simulation of the penetration process of rotating projectile into a stiffened target based on finite element analysis (FEA) software. We studied the dynamic response characteristics of different projectile positions, rotational speeds, and positions that act in precession penetration. As experimental results show, the residual velocity of the precession penetrator decreases with the distance between the projectile point and stiffener. When the projectile penetrates the second target plate, the acceleration is greater than that in the first layer. It is proved that the deflection angle of the shell body is affected by comprehensive factors. The rotational speed in the projectile has less contribution to precession penetration ammunition. In addition, the change of acceleration in the missile’s central section can be significantly perceived in the direction perpendicular to the penetration direction.

1. Introduction

1.1. Background. The rotating penetrating projectile is not only a new type of penetration ammunition but also an effective supplement when it is applied to attack ship targets. With the improvement of the warship’s armor structure design, the antipenetrating effectiveness of the deck is showing a substantial promotion. Unlike the traditional homogeneous target plate, the special design of the ship deck (e.g., transverse or longitudinal T-stiffener) will have a great influence on the performance of the projectile.


The results of the relevant literature show that the numerical analysis method for penetration is mature. However, it can be seen that there is relatively little research in the ship
of the rotating penetrating, and the relevant laws are not clearly understood, which has caused certain constraints on the design of the rotating penetrating missile.

1.2. Contributions

(1) In this paper, we established the physical model of missile into the target. Under different working conditions, the numerical simulation is applied to analyze the penetration process of the projectile to the stiffened target by FEA.

(2) This research provides five contributions. The residual speed of the novel rotating penetrating missile decreases with the reduction of the distance of the projectile point relative to the reinforcing ribs. The acceleration of the missile penetrating the second target is larger than that of the first one. The combined factors affect the deflection angle of the missile. The rotational speed of the projectile affects the penetration of precession ammunition weakly. Finally, the acceleration change perpendicular to the penetration direction is more obvious in the middle of the missile.

2. Simulation Model

2.1. Procedure. As follows, the research is based on ANSYS/workbench LS-DYNA for simulation analysis.

Step 1: 3D modeling software was used to build a simulation geometry model
Step 2: call the LS-DYNA module on the ANSYS/WORKBENCH, add geometric models, and set material parameters
Step 3: preprocessing: specifically, including meshing, defining contact, applying loads, and boundary conditions, etc.
Step 4: solve: The LS-DYNA module is used to solve it with its own solver
Step 5: postprocessing: the relevant result file generated after step 4 is solved, imported into LS-POST post-processing software, and generated the required data and charts
Step 6: analysis of simulation results.

2.2. Finite Element Model. Assuming the target is a warship, the steel is HY-80, its characteristics are comparable with the 921A steel, so choose the 921A steel in the software material library [14, 16]. Target plate size settings: 1400mm long; 1000mm wide; deck thickness, 15.2mm; large rib height, 1000mm wide; deck thickness, 15.2mm; large rib height, 600mm wide; small rib spacing, 125mm; large rib spacing, 600mm wide. Library [14, 16]. Target plate size settings: 1400mm long; 1000mm wide; deck thickness, 15.2mm; large rib height, 1000mm wide; deck thickness, 15.2mm; large rib height, 600mm wide; small rib spacing, 125mm; large rib spacing, 600mm wide, the steel is HY-80, its characteristics are comparable with the 2.3. Constitutive Equations. Since both 921A steel and 30CrMnSiNi2A are temperature-sensitive materials, the Johnson–Cook model is used. The equation for this structure is [14–16]

\[ \sigma_p = (A + B \varepsilon_p^n)(1 + C \ln \dot{\varepsilon}) (1 - T^m) \]

where \( A, B, C, n, \) and \( m \) is the material in the formula, \( \varepsilon_p \) is an equivalent plastic strain, \( \varepsilon = \varepsilon_p^{\gamma/\varepsilon_0} \) is the plastic strain ratio, generally taken \( \varepsilon_0 = 1.0 \) s^{-1}, \( T^* = (T - T_r)(T_m - T_r) \) is the relative temperature, \( T_r \) is the room temperature, and \( T_m \) is the melting point temperature.
Break strain is

$$\varepsilon_f = (D_1 + D_2 \exp D_3 \sigma^*) \left(1 + D_4 \ln \varepsilon^* \right) \left(1 + D_5 T^* \right), \quad (2)$$

where \( \sigma^* = p/\sigma \) is the ratio of hydrostatic pressure to equivalent stress and \(D_1\) is a constant in the formula.

When defining a material with the Johnson–Cook model, it is necessary to combine the Gruneisen state equation, which can define the relationship between the pressure volume in two ways to determine whether the material is compressed or expanded. The Gruneisen state equation with a three-dimensional impact velocity of one particle defines the pressure of the compressed material as follows:

$$P = \rho_0 C^2 \mu [1 + (1 - \gamma_0/2)]\mu - a/2\mu^2 \left[1 - (S - 1)\mu \right]^\gamma + (\gamma_0 + a\mu)E. \quad (3)$$

In the formula, \(C\) is the intercept of the \(v_S - v_p\) curve, \(S\) is the \(v_s - v_p\) slope coefficient, \(\gamma_0\) is the Gruneisen constant, and \(a\) is the first-order volume correction of \(\mu = \rho/\rho_0^3\). The material model parameters of the target system are set in Tables 2 and 3.

2.3.1. Boundary Condition Settings. A fixed restraint is used on the four sides of the reinforced rib target plate. For the structure, the type of the contact set is CONTACT_AUTOMATIC_GENERAL which ensures effective contact between individual components and between components. In the calculation of complex structural, contact nodes and surfaces are difficult to predict, and the contact type is introduced to avoid penetration by unpredictable contact. The type of contact between the missile and the target board is CONTACT_ERODING_SINGLE_SURFACE. Erosion contact is a common method in simulation calculation. During the invasion, the phenomenon of fragmentation of the missile and target plate is obvious, and the failed unit is deleted in large numbers, thus causing the original contact interface to be destroyed. To make the surface unit be largely deleted, the internal unit can still effectively contact, do not penetrate, use erosion contact, and can effectively solve the contact problem.

2.3.2. Time Integration Scenario. The calculation time is set to 1.2 ms. The maximum energy error’s value is 0. The time step safety factor is 0.6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Unit size of each part.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target plate dense area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target plate sparse area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bullet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: 921A steel John–Cook material model constant [14, 15, 16].</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\rho) (kg/m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0114</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3: 30CrMnSiNi2A steel John–Cook material model constant [14, 15, 16].</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\rho) (kg/m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.040</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Numerical Simulation Results and Analysis

3.1. Impact of Missile Position. The target deck composed of stiffeners is heterogeneous, which leads to the different impact points of the projectile relative to the target. Therefore, the forces on the structure of the missile are also different. The relative position relationship between the projectile point target plate reinforcement is shown in Figure 3. Table 4 describes the six main working conditions of the impact point. Because most warships use the vertical skeleton type, the spacing of the horizontal reinforcing ribs is usually large, the probability of working conditions 2 and 4 is relatively small, and is not the most dangerous case of target plate breakage failure. Therefore, this paper mainly studies the dynamic response characteristics of the cyclones under working conditions 1, 3, 5, and 6.

It is assumed that the impact velocity of the missile penetrating the target is 1300 m/s and the rotation velocity is 10000 r/min. When the missile is hitting the target plate, the above four conditions (condition 1, condition 3, condition 5, and condition 6) are simulated, respectively.

Figure 4 shows a stress cloud map of the missile in four working conditions that have just penetrated through the second target plate. It can be seen that the projectile point position is different and the speed drop of the body also has obvious differences. It is caused by the different positions of the missile relative to the plate and the corresponding different equivalent penetration thickness. The equivalent penetration thickness of the missile to the stiffener is inversely proportional to the residual velocity of the projectile. Figure 7 shows the graph of the acceleration in the Z-direction during the penetration of speed fixing. From Figure 7, it can be seen that the overall trend of acceleration changing over time in the four working conditions is the same, while the acceleration when the missile body penetrates the second target plate is significantly greater than the acceleration when penetrating the first target plate. The reason of this phenomenon is the plastic deformation of the missile in the process of penetrating the target plate, which makes the warhead blunt. Because of the increase of the contact area between the projectile and the target, the penetration resistance of the missile is forced to increase from the direction of it under its impact. This phenomenon is induced by the intrusion of the missile. Under the action of compressive resistance, the target plate material in the minimum resistance direction produces plastic flow, which makes the back surface of the target bulge in the direction of penetration. Then, cracks are formed so that the missile body is exposed from the bulge to form the lip behind the target. At the same time, on the stiffeners perpendicular to the plane of the target plate, the bending phenomenon tends to deviate from the direction of the projectile.

Figures 6, 7, and 8 show the time curve of the speed, acceleration, and ballistic offset angle changes in the Z-direction of the missile centroid under the above four working conditions.

As can be seen from Figure 6 that when penetrating the reinforcing rib target plate, the projectile point position is different and the speed drop of the body also has obvious differences. It is caused by the different positions of the missile relative to the plate and the corresponding different equivalent penetration thickness. The equivalent penetration thickness of the missile to the stiffener is inversely proportional to the residual velocity of the projectile. Figure 7 shows the graph of the acceleration in the Z-direction during the penetrating of speed fixing. From Figure 7, it can be seen that the overall trend of acceleration changing over time in the four working conditions is the same, while the acceleration when the missile body penetrates the second target plate is significantly greater than the acceleration when penetrating the first target plate. The reason of this phenomenon is the plastic deformation of the missile in the process of penetrating the target plate, which makes the warhead blunt. Because of the increase of the contact area between the projectile and the target, the penetration resistance of the missile is forced to increase from the direction of it under its impact. This phenomenon is induced by the intrusion of the missile. Under the action of compressive resistance, the target plate material in the minimum resistance direction produces plastic flow, which makes the back surface of the target bulge in the direction of penetration. Then, cracks are formed so that the missile body is exposed from the bulge to form the lip behind the target. At the same time, on the stiffeners perpendicular to the plane of the target plate, the bending phenomenon tends to deviate from the direction of the projectile.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Working condition 1</th>
<th>Working condition 2</th>
<th>Working condition 3</th>
<th>Working condition 4</th>
<th>Working condition 5</th>
<th>Working condition 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Missile position</td>
<td>Hitting the plate</td>
<td>Hitting the big ribs</td>
<td>Hitting the little</td>
<td>Intercepting the arc</td>
<td>Intercepting the arc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>between the（reinforcing ribs）</td>
<td>ribs</td>
<td>ribs intersection point</td>
<td>of the head penetrate the big ribs</td>
<td>of the head penetrate the small ribs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3: Missile position.
during the penetration of the second target plate. In addition, due to the different impact points, the erosion and pier thickness of the warhead are different when the missile penetrates the first target plate. Therefore, the acceleration difference is obvious when the body penetrates the second one. Figure 8 shows speed fixed when the trajectory deflection angle changes the time graph. A number of factors work together to determine the angle of deflection of the missile. When the projectile body impacts the flat part of the target plate in the forward direction, the overturning torque of the missile is small due to the uniform equivalent penetration thickness. Therefore, the main performance of the system is the gyro stability, and the deflection angle of the missile body is the minimum simultaneously. When the truncated surface invades the stiffener, the flip torque produced by the projectile is greater than the gyro stabilization effect. Therefore, the ballistic offset angle increases, which is due to the different equivalent penetration thickness on both sides of the missile.

3.2. Speed Effects. It is the most common phenomenon that the curved part of the truncated body penetrates the reinforcing ribs of the target. In order to study the influence of rotational speed on precession penetration, the above condition (5) is simulated as the research object. Among
the initial velocity of the missile is 1300 m/s and the rotational angular velocity is 0 r/min, 5000 r/min, 10000 r/min, and 20000 r/min.

Figures 9, 10, and 11 show the time curve of the velocity, acceleration, and ballistic offset angle changing in the Z-direction of the missile at different speed. It can be seen that the rotational speed has a little effect on the penetration process when it penetrates the first target. The variation of velocity, acceleration, and trajectory deviation angle with...
time is similar, and the curves coincide approximately. When the projectile penetrates the second target plate, the residual velocity of the missile increases, and the speed decreases, and the acceleration change curve closes. Ballistic offset angle is the maximum at 5000 r/min and minimum at 20000 r/min, and the ballistic offset angle of the missile at 0 r/min and 10000 r/min is approximately equal between the two working conditions above. This is due to the length of the penetrating missile far greater than the thickness of the metal target plate; the penetrating process can be regarded as the projectile body penetration of the metal sheet process, and the form of destruction is ductility perforated armor. When the missile body heads through the target plate, the projectile hole will be further expanded. The missile hole diameter is slightly larger than the projectile body diameter. With the penetrating, the sidewall of the missile body will no longer be in direct contact with the metal target plate. At this time, the missile body was penetrated resistance, and the flip torque disappeared; the body only under the original dynamic energy and gravity acceleration, in the missile body to break through the first target plate before the second target plate of this process, and the projectile body’s velocity and approximate acceleration remain unchanged. The ballistic offset angle continues to increase with the speed direction after the first target plate is broken, and this process corresponds to the curve between 0.12 and 0.28 ms in Figures 9, 10, and 11. As the penetration proceeds, the penetration process starts again when the head of the missile touches the second plate. After the missile penetrated the first target, the warhead will erode and the pier will be thick. When the warhead becomes blunt, the velocity decreases and the acceleration increases obviously. The accumulation of trajectory offset angle will lead to further increase of offset angle. The above process corresponds to the curve after $t = 0.28$ ms.

### 3.3. Changes in Parameters at Different Positions of the Missile Body

As the acceleration sensor of the fuze is arranged in different positions, the penetrating parameters obtained are also different. To understand the design law of rotating penetrating projectile, the following simulation analysis is carried out on this problem.

Condition 5 is still selected as the research object. The front, middle, and back positions on the projectile axis are selected as the measurement points, as shown Figure 12.

Figures 13, 14, and 15 show the acceleration change over time in the $X$, $Y$, and $Z$ directions of the different positions of the missile. As can be seen from Figures 13 and 14, the acceleration of the missile in the direction perpendicular to the penetration direction is obvious at points A and C, showing two obvious peaks, and the direction of the two points is opposite. The reason is that, in the process of penetration, there will be an approximate deflection around the center of mass, and the deflection of its head and tail is opposite, resulting in the opposite acceleration direction of the two parts in the same direction simultaneously. When the deflection angle acceleration of the projectile is constant, the deflection acceleration is larger at the position far away from the centroid because the centroid of the missile is close to point B. Far away from the missile body, the center position is subjected to deflection, and acceleration is larger, so the head and tail of the missile
perpendicular to the direction of the acceleration change sensing are more obvious. As plotted in Figure 15, the acceleration curve of the B in the middle of the missile shows two distinct peaks in the Z-direction, which correspond to the acceleration of the two target layers when the missile invades, and the point A and C acceleration mutations are relatively not obvious. This is due to the fact that the missile in the process of penetrating will be around its own deflection, the head and tail in the Z-direction of the acceleration component is significantly larger than the middle. By the acceleration vector synthesis principle, the missile deflection of the two parts of the acceleration interference in the Z-direction is larger and the central position is relatively small. Therefore, the central position of the missile body is more obvious to the acceleration of the body in the direction of penetration. Furthermore, when swirling into the arrangement of the fuze accelerometer of the intrusive ammunition, the accelerometer should be arranged as far as possible in the position of the relatively ballistic center.

4. Conclusions
The numerical results showed that (1) the residual velocity of rotating penetrator decreases with the compression of the relative distance between missile point and reinforcing ribs. (2) The acceleration of the missile penetrating the second target is larger than that of the first layer. (3) The deflection ballistic offset angle is affected by comprehensive factors. (4) The rotational speed of missile has less effect on precession penetration ammunition. (5) The acceleration perpendicular to the penetration direction is obviously perceived in the middle of the missile. The research results will provide a reference for the design of the rotating penetrating missile and fuze obtained above.

In this paper, vertical penetration is mainly considered, while oblique penetration and composite deck are rarely considered. For the problems, we will study them in another research.
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