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Energy is one of the most important needy resources that found in the form of renewable and nonrenewable sources. The world demand for energy grows rapidly, and therefore, it is a time to look alternative and renewable energy resources to replace the rapidly depleting supply of fossil fuels. This study is aimed at analyzing the effects of temperature (°C), retention time (days), and potential of animal waste on the biogas production and its %CH4 compositions as responses to the factors of the study. The materials used in this study were cow dung, sheep, and pig manures. Anaerobic batch digesters (plastic water bottle) with a total volume of 2000 ml were used as digester (bioreactor) in this experiment. The glucose drip (tube) was fitted to the lids of each digester. Average temperature of digester was increased starting from the 6th to 10th day in cow dung and sheep manure. In this experiment, optimum time for best biogas yield was recorded. Analysis of the gas component shows the significant volume of methane component recorded in cow dung (66.9%) followed by sheep manure (62.1%). Cow dung was one of the best in producing biogas, while the sheep manure was medium, and pig manures are fewer producers as compared to others.

1. Introduction

Energy is one of the most important needy resources that found in the form of renewable and nonrenewable sources. Renewable energy sources began to grow more strongly in the early seventies due to the oil crisis that hits the energy market at that time [1]. Biomass is one of the versatile renewable energy sources that can be obtained from trees, timber waste, wood chips, corn, rice hulls, peanut shells, sugar cane, grass cuttings, leaves, manure, sewage, and municipal solid waste [2, 3]. The world demand for energy grows rapidly, and therefore, it is a time to look alternative and renewable energy resources to replace the rapidly depleting supply of fossil [4, 5]. Unlike developed country that uses electrical energy to improve their life, the developing country uses firewood for improving their life which results in global warming due to deforestation for firewood. Many countries have realized that biogas is a source of energy which is too much needed for sustainability transition. However, the total production volume of biogas in developing country is still relatively low. Such slow development raises a fundamental question—what are the current barriers hindering the wider uptake of biogas as a source of energy [6]. For instance, biogas was first introduced in Ethiopia by Ambo Agricultural College (Recent Ambo University) around 1957 to supply the energy for welding agricultural tools, and then in the 1970s, two biogas plants were introduced by the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) as pilot projects to promote the technology [7].

In Ethiopia, even if the production of biogas started in the last long year, still there are too much need to optimize the biogas resources, adoption, and technologies that will ease the burden for women and children who spend up to 10 hours a week gathering wood in some rural areas to reduce indoor pollution and improve prospects for small farmers [8]. This study is aimed at analyzing the effects of temperature (°C), retention time (days), and potential of animal waste...
on the biogas production and its \%CH4 compositions as responses to the factors of the study.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials. The materials used in this study were cow dung, sheep and pig manures.

2.2. Description of the Study Area. This study was conducted at Ambo University which is located in West Shoa Zone Oromia Regional State in Ethiopia and lying between 8056′30″-8059′30″N latitude and 37047′30″-37055′15″E longitude. The temperature ranges from 15°C-29°C.

2.3. Experimental Design and Setup. Anaerobic batch digesters (plastic water bottle) with a total volume of 2000 ml were used as digester (bioreactor) in this experiment. The glucose drip (tube) was fitted to the lids of each digester. The glucose drip bags were used to transfer biogas produced from the digester (Figure 1).

3. Measurement of Gas Volume

Gases were collected in gas bags with a volume of 2.5 and balloon [9, 10].

3.1. Determination of the Methane Contents. Biogas was measured regularly at set intervals in 30 days. The biogas yields were carried out in accordance with the VDI 4630 guideline of Parajuli [11].

3.2. Experimental Design and Setup. Fresh cow dung and pig manures were collected from Ambo University where cows and pigs are breeding, and sheep manure was collected from Ambo town and around. Equal amount of animal manure were mixed with equal amount of water till the appropriate homogenization is reach (Table 1). Temperatures and pH of all raw materials (cow dung, sheep manure, and pig manures) were recorded in intervals of five days.

4. Result and Discussions

Average temperature of digester was increased starting from the 6th day to 10th day in cow dung and sheep manure. This finding is in agreement with obtaining maximum temperature to recover methane gas [12], while the pig digester shows temperature increment at the intervals of 11th day to 15th day (Table 2).

\[
\text{pH of digester was increased starting from the 1st day to 10th day in all digesters which is similar with previous findings [6], while all the digester shows pH decrement on the 10th day (Table 3).}
\]

In the treatment of equal amount of water and sample size, the maximum biogas (1100 ml) was produced from cow dung. Similarly, good quality of biogas was produced from sheep manure. The good quality of methane yield (58%) from sheep manure was found as optimum value from the previous experiments [13]. But, the list volume (200 ml) of biogas was recorded from pig manure (Figure 2).

In this experiment, optimum time for best biogas yield was recorded. Thus, the best time to recover maximum biogas (1200 ml) from cow dung and sheep manure was on the twelfth day of fermentation, while there was extension time (15 days) to recover maximum gas from pig manure (Figure 3). The finding from this study was similar with studies on effect of retention time on biogas production from poultry droppings and cassava peels and effect of hydraulic retention time on biogas production from cow dung in a
semincontious anaerobic digester, respectively [14, 15]. The changes of temperature in all substrates (cow dung, sheep manure, and pig manure) had an effect on the production of temperature.

Analysis of the gas component shows the significant volume of methane component recorded in cow dung (66.9%) followed by sheep manure (62.1%) [9]. Pig manure has the least (56.1%) volume of methane component among the tested substrates (Figure 4).

At room temperature (23°C–28°C), the biogas from cow dung and sheep manure produced burnable gas after 20 days of retention (Figure 5).

5. Conclusion

The retention time and pH of the studied animal manures have a correlation with the potential of biogas production. Cow dung was one of the best in producing biogas, while the sheep manure was medium, and pig manure is fewer producers as compared to others.
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