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Antimicrobial Resistance Trends in *Staphylococcus aureus* Strains Carried by Poultry in North of Morocco: A Preliminary Analysis
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The transmission of antibiotic resistance to human population through food consumption is a global public health threat. This study aimed to assess the nasopharyngeal carriage of *S. aureus* in poultry and to investigate antimicrobial susceptibility and virulence-associated genes. Nasopharyngeal swabs were collected from chickens at the slaughterhouse of Tangier and immediately transported to the microbiological laboratory for phenotypic identification and assessment of antibiotic susceptibility. The presence of 16S rRNA, *nuc*, *mecA*, *mecC*, Panton–Valentine leukocidin (PVL), and the toxic shock syndrome toxin 1 (TSST-1) genes were detected by PCR analysis for all isolates. Overall, 548 nasopharyngeal swabs were collected, of which 17 (3.4%) were *S. aureus* positive. More than half of the strains (54%) were resistant to penicillin, 29.4% to tetracycline, 23.5% to erythromycin, and 17% showed resistance to ciprofloxacin. *mecA* and *mecC* were not identified in any of the recovered isolates. Of the *S. aureus* recovered, 29.41% of the isolates were found to be toxinogenic; 17.64% and 11.76% were positive for PVL and TSST-1 encoding genes, respectively. The trends of antibiotic resistance and the toxinogenic *S. aureus* carried by the poultry intended for consumption in Tangier present a huge concern. Preventive and containment measures should be implemented in order to limit the dissemination of resistance genes through the food chain and to reduce their increased rate.

1. Introduction

As stated by the World Health Organization (WHO), antibiotic resistance (AR) is considered as a rising global human health threat [1, 2]. According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), at least 2.8 million individuals get an antibiotic-resistant infection, and more than 35,000 individuals died as a result [3]. A British study on antimicrobial resistance (AMR) estimated that 700,000 persons are dying each year worldwide due to antibiotic-resistant infections [4].

Industrial food animal production facilities are known to be a source of human exposure to antibiotic-resistant *S. aureus* including methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) [5, 6]. This system uses intensive confinement that requires a regular implementation of antibiotics for therapeutic and/or preventive purposes, in tandem with using antibiotics as growth promoters in poultry production systems in order to ensure sufficient amount of food to an ever-growing world population [5, 7, 8]. The enormous use of animals intended for food promotes the selection of new antibiotics resistant bacteria, which will contribute to increasing the risk of zoonotic transmission [6]. Zoonotic resistant bacteria and resistance genes could be transferred not only to people with occupational livestock exposure but also other persons in the
community through direct contact with animals, via the food chain or by environment [9, 10].

*S. aureus* is a pathogen, which is known to colonize and infect both humans and animals and to contaminate food as well [6, 11, 12]. The pathogenicity of *S. aureus* is related to the expression of a large number of virulence factors that promote adhesion and evasion of the host immunologic responses [13]. Certain toxins are strongly associated with specific diseases and a high mortality rate, such as toxic shock syndrome toxin (TSST) and Panton–Valentine leukocidin (PVL) toxin, which is directly involved in the pathology of necrotizing pneumonia and inducing leukocytosis and tissue necrosis [12–14].

The evolution of *S. aureus* in the antibiotic era has revealed the emergence of virulent strains, many of which include acquisition of antibiotic resistant to methicillin [15, 16]. The high burden of MRSA has been first reported in healthcare facilities, second in community, and last in livestock settings worldwide [6, 10, 15–18].

MRSA strains may spread in all geographic regions between different ecological niches resulting in major healthcare costs [2–4, 6, 9, 10, 17] and relevant economic losses in the food animal industry [2, 11, 15–17].

Despite the significant potential consequences for AMR in livestock, our knowledge about antibiotic-resistant *S. aureus* carriage among food-producing animals in Tangier is still limited.

To the best of our knowledge, the present study aimed to determine, for the first time in Tangier, *S. aureus* nasopharyngeal carriage in poultry to describe antimicrobial susceptibility and the distribution of TSST and PVL toxins genes among the recovered strains.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Isolation of *S. aureus* and MRSA from Chickens. Sampling was performed from February to May 2016 from large chicken slaughterhouse in Tangier. Nasopharyngeal samples were randomly taken in batches from chickens using common sterile dry cotton swabs (Euroturbo, Deltalab, Spain) immediately after slaughter. All samples were stored at 4°C until be analyzed by phenotypic and molecular tests.

2.2. Detection of *S. aureus* and MRSA. Swabs were inoculated into 10 ml of Mueller–Hinton broth (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) containing 6.5% NaCl, then incubated overnight at 37°C, and subcultured on selective media chromogen (UriSelect™ 4 Medium). One *S. aureus* colony was streaked for isolation per a plate.

Phenotypic identification was further performed for presumptive *S. aureus* colonies by catalase, Gram staining, and DNase test.

2.3. Molecular Identification by PCR. All isolates were screened by PCR analysis for the presence of the genes coding 16S rRNA, *nuc*, and *mecA* [19]. Resistance to methicillin was also tested for the presence of the *mecC* genes by PCR assays as previously described by García-Álvarez et al. [20].

Screening for the presence of the genes coding for PVL and TSST-1 was carried out using PCR as reported by Denis et al. [21]. *S. aureus* strains ATCC 29213 (*nuc*-positive), ATCC 20115359 (*mecC*-positive), ATCC 43300 (*mecA*-positive), FRI913 (*tst*-positive), and MW2 (luxS/F-PV-positive) were used as positive controls for PCR.

2.4. Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing. All the *S. aureus* isolates obtained were assessed for susceptibility with 11 antibiotics: penicillin, cefoxitin, tetracycline, chloramphenicol, sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim, clindamycin, erythromycin, ciprofloxacin, fusidic acid, gentamicin, and kanamycin. Breakpoints established for each antimicrobial susceptibility were used according to the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) recommendations [22]. Susceptibility for vancomycin (vancomycin hydrochloride; Sigma-Aldrich; Lot#050M1312 V) MICs was determined by broth microdilution for all isolates using the method adapted from EUCAST [22].

3. Results

3.1. Antibiotic Susceptibility. *S. aureus* was detected in 17 out of the 542 tested samples (3.1%). We found that approximately two-thirds of the isolates (11/17, 64.7%) exhibited resistance to one antibiotic, and eight isolates (47.05%) showed resistance to at least two antibiotics. Multidrug resistance patterns of the methicillin-susceptible *S. aureus* strains are presented in Table 1. Overall, nine isolates (52.94%) were resistant to penicillin, five isolates (29.4%) to tetracycline, four (23.5%) to erythromycin, and three isolates showed resistance (17.64%) to ciprofloxacin. None of the 17 *S. aureus* isolates were resistant to cefoxitin, clindamycin, chloramphenicol, gentamicin, kanamycin, sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim, and vancomycin.

3.2. Toxin Genes Screening. Overall, from the 17 *S. aureus* recovered, five (29.41%) isolates were toxinogenic, three of which (17.64%) showed positive results for PVL, and two (11.76%) for TSST-1 encoding genes. None of these *S. aureus* colonies carried out *mecA* and *mecC* genes.

4. Discussion

Several studies with particular focus on the risk of foodborne transmission of antimicrobial resistance have reported the presence of *S. aureus* in poultry with different rates [18, 23–26].

The present study demonstrated a low prevalence of *S. aureus* nasopharyngeal carriage (17/542; 3.1%) in chickens. Unfortunately, we could not compare our findings to Moroccan data as no studies on nasopharyngeal carriage of *S. aureus* in poultry are available in the national scale. We recently published a study [27] carried out in Tangier in order to identify the nasal carriage rate of *S. aureus* in farm animals. However, comparing both studies is quite difficult.
In our previous work, animal farms did not have a history of antibiotic therapy during three months prior to the screening; in contrast, we do not have information about using antibiotic therapy in this work.

Our prevalence of *S. aureus* nasopharyngeal carriage in poultry remains very lower compared to a study conducted in different Algerian areas that reported a rate which varied by poultry species and ranged between 48.4% and 73.6% [23]. Another study from Nigeria described a rate of 38.33% in chickens [25]. Higher rates of *S. aureus* varying between 40% and 54% were found in broiler chicken slaughterhouses and retail outlets around the Durban metropolitan area in South Africa [24].

Data of occurrence and susceptibility of strains have been shown to change over time, depending on the country of origin, resources settings, poultry specimens, methods of sampling, and hygienic practices during slaughtering [23, 24, 26]. A meta-analysis aiming to determine the overall occurrence of *S. aureus* in the poultry production in various geographic areas [26], revealed that pooled MRSA prevalence was 16% in Africa, 15% in Europe, 27% in South America, 1% in North America, and 2% in Asia. Based on molecular typing, data from this meta-analysis suggested that MRSA strains may spread along the production chain from animals to humans or vice versa. A higher frequency of MRSA isolated from poultry and poultry products has been described in several African countries, which is not in agreement with our results, Algeria with 36% [23], Nigeria with 38.33% [25], and South Africa with 32.60% [24]. Whether the presence of these opportunistic pathogens on poultry meat was before/immediately after slaughter or as a consequence of possible contamination by meat handlers, it remains a threat to food safety and a great concern for public health [5]. No MRSA strains were found in our study.

In our study, we showed that 52.94% was resistant to penicillin, 29.4% to tetracycline, 23.5% to erythromycin, and 17.64% of isolates were resistant to ciprofloxacin. Whereas, none of our isolates was resistant to cefoxitin, clindamycin, chloramphenicol, gentamicin, kanamycin, sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim, and vancomycin. Our drug resistance patterns are generally lower than published data. Strains recovered from poultry in Mkhize et al. study exhibited higher rate of resistance to tetracycline (79.4%), followed by ampicillin (65.1%), cefoxitin 60.3%, and erythromycin 57.1% [24]. Another recent report by Benrabia et al. showed resistance to tetracycline in 82.5%, erythromycin in 70.6%, clindamycin in 68.6%, and ciprofloxacin in 50% of cases [23]. However, the majority of our drug resistance patterns remains higher than findings from another study carried out on oral carriage poultry by Mairi et al., who reported antimicrobial resistance rates of 73.9%, 4.3%, 1.4%, 8.6%, and 1.4% observed for penicillin, cefoxitin, ofloxacin, erythromycin, and minocycline, respectively [18]. The rates of antimicrobial resistance in the current study were higher than those we previously reported in farm animals (29.4% and 23.5% versus 16.7% and 11.9% for tetracycline and macrolides, respectively). Interestingly, we observed a resistance rate of 17.64% for ciprofloxacin in the present work, while this antimicrobial resistance was not present in the aforementioned study [27].

In general, strains carried by poultry intended for consumption in Tangier showed lower resistance rates compared to those listed above in the majority of African countries [23–25]. However, the presented rates cause huge concern given the nature of the molecules affected by resistance and the potential consequences that can result if preventive and containment measures are not taken to limit the increase rate and dissemination of resistance genes. In fact, these resistance genes can be exchanged between bacteria of the same host by horizontal transfer [28], as well as they can escape the barriers to reach other species by direct contact with animals or through the food chain to the community and to the environment [6, 10].

Interestingly, it seems that the antibiotics showing resistance are those used in poultry farming worldwide and in Morocco [7, 29]. The frequent use of this type of antibiotics and constant exposure can create a selective environment for mutants resistant to these antibiotics. Therefore, these resistances can translate a massive use in our institution or can be used as a marker to follow the zoonotic transmission [30, 31]. In addition, the resistance observed among poultry isolates to fluoroquinolones confirms that the big problem in Morocco is the inappropriate use of this molecule in human medicine, veterinary medicine, or inbreeding which leads to developing a resistance to various bacteria such as *S. aureus* and other strains of the intestinal microbiota [7, 8, 29, 32].

Aware of the burden of antibiotic resistance, Morocco has tried to regulate poultry farming since 2002 by law (49–99; 2002). The National Office for Health Security of Food Products (ONSSA) through its food safety monitoring program confirmed that poultry farming fulfills international safety standards [33]. However, the surveillance and control system remains insufficient and still facing several challenges, particularly in rationalizing the antibiotic use and containing the prescription of antibiotics for a curative, preventive, or fattening purpose [7, 8]. The overuse of antimicrobial agents, especially tetracycline, in food animal production such as growth stimulating antibiotics, is considered as one of the most important practices, which may induce selection of resistant mutants [7, 8]. It is still possible for Morocco to contain easily the problem of antibiotic resistance. Still, we should seriously consider implementing the “One Health” concept which recommended effective

### Table 1: Multidrug resistant pattern of the *Staphylococcus aureus* strains carried by poultry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resistance pattern</th>
<th>No. of resistant isolates (n* (%)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>02 (11.76)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>01 (5.88)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E, P</td>
<td>03 (17.64)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te, P</td>
<td>02 (11.76)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cip, P</td>
<td>01 (5.88)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E, Te, P</td>
<td>01 (5.88)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cip, Te, P</td>
<td>11 (64.70)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>01 (5.88)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cip, ciprofloxacin; E, erythromycin; P, penicillin; Te, tetracycline. *The number of isolates tested with the percentage of resistance in parenthesis (%).
multisectoral, multidisciplinary, and transnational collaboration in all aspects of healthcare for humans, animals, and the environment [34, 35].

Beyond resistance, the relatively higher rate of toxigenic \textit{S. aureus} strains recovered from chickens gained a great interest from many researchers due to their impact in animal and human health [10, 14, 18]. The occurrence of the same virulence genes carried by healthy humans in the community [36] or by farm animals in the same geographical area [27] showed the possibility of dissemination and distribution of such virulence genes [10, 12]. Although the phylogenetic relationship between the different strains has not been evaluated, the ability of virulence genes to cross the species barrier might be suggested.

Our work presented some limitations. It is a monocentric study, which was carried out for a short duration and identified a lower number of isolates. Thus, it is difficult to consider this study as epidemiology relevant. The absence of molecular typing technologies for the identification of the epidemic clones of this pathogen is another limitation of our work. Hence, we could not provide firm conclusions about the nasopharyngeal carriage of \textit{S. aureus}, antibiotic resistance patterns, and the spread of virulence genes in poultry in our geographic area.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, our study presented, to the best of our knowledge, for the first time in north of Morocco, the patterns of antibiotic resistance and revealed the presence of a higher rate of toxigenic \textit{S. aureus} in poultry intended for human consumption. These findings require further investigation. Assessing the risk of transmission of antibiotic resistance through the food chain is of great importance in order to manage this global burden.
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