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A necessary and sufficient condition is given for a positive measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{D}$ to be a $K$-Carleson measure. We give the predual of $Q_{K}$ spaces in terms of this condition.

## 1. Introduction

In study of a function space, it is of interest to study the dual and predual of function space. It is well known that Fefferman's and Sarason's theorems are $(V M O A)^{*} \cong H^{1}$ and $\left(H^{1}\right)^{*} \cong B M O A$. Anderson et al. gave the similar results on the Bloch space in [1]. The reader can refer to [2, 3] about the predual of $Q_{p}$ spaces. We note that $Q_{p}$ spaces are a kind of $Q_{K}$ spaces. Now our question is what is the predual of $Q_{K}$ spaces. But the technique that is used to prove the predual of $Q_{p}$ spaces does not work for $Q_{K}$ spaces. Enlightened by [4], we started from the characterizations of $K$-Carleson measure by an integral operator which contains the normalized nonnegative Borel measure on the unit disk. In this paper, we obtain a principal result that the predual of $Q_{K}$ spaces is the analytic space $\mathscr{C}_{K}$, which is introduced in Section 3. We now recall a few fundamental definitions and establish some notation.

Let $g(a, z)=-\log \left|\varphi_{a}(z)\right|$ be the Green function on the unit disk $\mathbb{D}=\{z:|z|<1\}$ with logarithmic singularity at $a \in \mathbb{D}$, where $\varphi_{a}(z)=(a-z)(1-\bar{a} z)^{-1}$ is the Möbius transformation of $\mathbb{D}$. Denote by $H(\mathbb{D})$ the set of all analytic functions on $\mathbb{D}$.

Let $K:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a right-continuous and nondecreasing function. The space $Q_{K}$ consists of all functions $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{Q_{K}}^{2}=\sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} K(g(a, z)) d A(z)<\infty \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d A$ is an area measure on $\mathbb{D}$ normalized so that $A(\mathbb{D})=$ 1.

Equipped with the norm $|f(0)|+\|f\|_{\mathrm{Q}_{K}}$, the space $Q_{K}$ is Banach. It is easy to check that the space $Q_{K}$ is Möbius invariant in the sense that $\left\|f \circ \varphi_{a}\right\|_{Q_{K}}=\|f\|_{Q_{K}}$ for any $f \in Q_{K}$ and $a \in \mathbb{D}$. See $[5,6]$ for a general theory of $Q_{K}$ spaces. Note that the space $Q_{K}$ gives $Q_{p}$ if we choose $K(t)=t^{p}$ for $0<p<\infty$. See [7, 8] for a summary of recent research for $Q_{p}$ spaces.

Recall that a function $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ is said to belong to the Bloch space, denoted by $\mathscr{B}$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\mathscr{B}}=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|<\infty . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By [5], we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{K} \subset \mathscr{B} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following two conditions have played a crucial role in the study of $Q_{K}$ spaces during the last years:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{1}^{\infty} \varphi_{K}(t) \frac{d t}{t^{2}}<\infty  \tag{4}\\
& \int_{0}^{1} \varphi_{K}(t) \frac{d t}{t}<\infty \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{K}(t)=\sup _{0<s<1} \frac{K(s t)}{K(s)}, \quad 0<t<\infty . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Throughout the paper, $K$ satisfies the following condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} K\left(\log \frac{1}{r}\right) r d r<\infty \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Otherwise, the space $Q_{K}$ only contains constant functions (cf. [5]). By Theorem 2.1 in [5], we may assume that $K$ is defined on $[0,1]$ and extend its domain to $[0, \infty)$ by setting $K(t)=$ $K(1)$ for $t>1$. As the discussion in [6], we may assume that $K(2 t) \approx K(t)$.

For a subarc $I \subset \partial \mathbb{D}$, the boundary of $\mathbb{D}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(I)=\{r \zeta \in \mathbb{D}: 1-|I|<r<1, \zeta \in I\} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $|I| \geqslant 1$, then we set $S(I)=\mathbb{D}$. A positive measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{D}$ is said to be a $K$-Carleson measure if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mu\|_{K}=\sup _{I \subset \partial \mathbb{D}} \int_{S(I)} K\left(\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{|I|}\right) d \mu(z)<\infty . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By results in [6], we know that a function $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ belongs to the space $Q_{K}$ if and only if $\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d A(z)$ is a $K$-Carleson measure.

In the paper, we say that $K_{1} \lesssim K_{2}$ (for two functions $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ ) if there is a constant $C>0$ (independent of $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ ) such that $K_{1} \leq C K_{2}$. We say $K_{1} \approx K_{2}$ (i.e., $K_{1}$ is comparable with $K_{2}$ ) whenever $K_{1} \lesssim K_{2} \lesssim K_{1}$.

## 2. K-Carleson Measure

For any $I \subset \partial \mathbb{D}$ and the nondecreasing $K$, denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{I, K}(z)=K\left(\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{|I|}\right) \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \chi_{I}(\xi) P_{z}(\xi)|d \xi|, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{z}(\xi)=\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{|\xi-z|^{2}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the poisson kernel and $\chi_{I}(\xi)=1$ for $\xi \in I, \chi_{I}(\xi)=0$ for $\xi \in \partial \mathbb{D} \backslash I$.

Lemma 1. Let $\mu$ be a nonnegative measure on $\mathbb{D}$. Let $K$ satisfy condition (4). Then for any $\operatorname{arc} I \subset \partial \mathbb{D}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{D}} \omega_{I, K}(z) d \mu(z)<\infty \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds if and only if $\mu$ is a $K$-Carleson measure.
Proof. First we assume that (12) holds. Now we show that $\mu$ is a $K$-Carleson measure. For any given $z \in S(I)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \chi_{I}(\xi) P_{z}(\xi)|d \xi| \geq \frac{1}{4} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega_{I, K}(z) & =K\left(\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{|I|}\right) \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \chi_{I}(\xi) P_{z}(\xi)|d \xi| \\
& \geq \frac{1}{4} K\left(\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{|I|}\right) . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S(I)} K\left(\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{|I|}\right) d \mu(z) \leq 4 \int_{\mathbb{D}} \omega_{I, K}(z) d \mu(z)<\infty \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above inequality shows that $\mu$ is a $K$-Carleson measure.
Conversely, suppose that $\mu$ is a $K$-Carleson measure. For a nonnegative integer $n$, we use $I_{n}$ for the arc in $\partial \mathbb{D}$ which has the same center as $I$ and length $2^{n}|I|$. For $\xi \in I_{n}$ and $n \geq 1$, we have the following estimate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{z}(\xi) \leqslant \frac{1}{2^{n}|I|}, \quad z \in S\left(I_{n+1}\right) \backslash S\left(I_{n}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $z \in S\left(I_{1}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega_{I, K}(z) \\
& \quad=K\left(\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{|I|}\right) \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \chi_{I}(\xi) P_{z}(\xi)|d \xi| \lesssim K\left(\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{|I|}\right) . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

If $z \in S\left(I_{n+1}\right) \backslash S\left(I_{n}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega_{I, K}(z) \\
& \quad=K\left(\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{|I|}\right) \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \chi_{I}(\xi) P_{z}(\xi)|d \xi| \lesssim \frac{1}{2^{n}} K\left(\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{|I|}\right) . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{D}} \omega_{I, K}(z) d \mu(z)= & \int_{S\left(I_{1}\right)} \omega_{I, K}(z) d \mu(z) \\
& +\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{S\left(I_{n+1}\right) \backslash S\left(I_{n}\right)} \omega_{I, K}(z) d \mu(z) \\
\leq & \|\mu\|_{K} \\
& +\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \int_{S\left(I_{n+1}\right) \backslash S\left(I_{n}\right)} K\left(\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{|I|}\right) d \mu(z) \\
\leq & \|\mu\|_{K} \\
& +\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_{K}\left(2^{n}\right)}{2^{n}} \int_{S\left(I_{n+1}\right)} K\left(\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{2^{n+1}|I|}\right) d \mu(z) \\
\leq & \|\mu\|_{K}\left(1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_{K}\left(2^{n}\right)}{2^{n}}\right) \\
& \leq\|\mu\|_{K}\left(1+\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_{K}(s)}{s^{2}} d s\right) \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

We have the desired result by condition (4).
Let $\mathbb{M}$ be the set of all nonnegative measure $\sigma$ on $\mathbb{D}$ with the normalized condition $\sigma(\mathbb{D})=1$. For $\xi \in \partial \mathbb{D}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(\xi)=\{z \in \mathbb{D},|z-\xi|<2(1-|z|)\} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $z \in \mathbb{D}$, denote

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega_{\sigma, K, z}(\xi) & =\int_{\Gamma(\xi)} K\left(\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{1-|w|}\right) d \sigma(w)  \tag{21}\\
\omega_{\sigma, K}(z) & =\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \omega_{\sigma, K, z}(\xi) P_{z}(\xi)|d \xi| \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

The following estimate can be found in [9]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \chi_{\Gamma(\xi)}(w)|d \xi| \\
& \quad=4 \arcsin \left(\min \left(1,(1-|w|) \sqrt{\frac{4}{|w|}}\right)\right) \approx 1-|w|^{2} . \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \omega_{\sigma, K, z}(\xi)|d \xi| \\
& \quad=\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \int_{\Gamma(\xi)} K\left(\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{1-|w|}\right) d \sigma(w)|d \xi| \\
& \quad=\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} K\left(\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{1-|w|}\right) \chi_{\Gamma(\xi)}(w) d \sigma(w)|d \xi|  \tag{24}\\
& \quad=\int_{\mathbb{D}} K\left(\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{1-|w|}\right)\left(\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \chi_{\Gamma(\xi)}(w)|d \xi|\right) d \sigma(w) \\
& \quad \leq K(1) \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(1-|w|^{2}\right) d \sigma(w) \\
& \quad \leq K(1) \sigma(\mathbb{D}) .
\end{align*}
$$

This shows that $\omega_{\sigma, K, z} \in L^{1}(\partial \mathbb{D})$. Hence, the definition of $\omega_{\sigma, K}$ is logical.

Theorem 2. Let $K$ satisfy condition (4). For all $\sigma \in \mathbb{M}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{D}} \omega_{\sigma, K}(z) d \mu(z)<\infty \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if $\mu$ is a $K$-Carleson measure.
Proof. Suppose that $\mu$ is a $K$-Carleson measure. For any $w \in$ $\mathbb{D}$, denote $I_{w}=\{\xi \in \partial \mathbb{D}: w \in \Gamma(\xi)\}$. Clearly, $I_{w}$ is an $\operatorname{arc}$ on $\partial \mathbb{D}$ with the midpoint $w /|w|$. We obtain the following estimate by (23):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{w}\right|=\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \chi_{I_{w}}(\xi)|d \xi| \approx 1-|w| . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $K(t) \approx K(2 t)$ for any $0<t<\infty$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega_{\sigma, K}(z) & =\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \omega_{\sigma, K, z}(\xi) P_{z}(\xi)|d \xi| \\
& =\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \int_{\Gamma(\xi)} K\left(\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{1-|w|}\right) P_{z}(\xi) d \sigma(w)|d \xi| \\
& =\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} K\left(\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{1-|w|}\right) \chi_{\Gamma(\xi)}(w) P_{z}(\xi) d \sigma(w)|d \xi| \\
& \approx \int_{\mathbb{D}} K\left(\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{1-|w|}\right)\left(\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \chi_{I_{w}}(\xi) P_{z}(\xi)|d \xi|\right) d \sigma(w) \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{D}} \omega_{I_{w}, K}(z) d \sigma(w) \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, by Lemma 1 and Fubini's theorem,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{D}} \omega_{\sigma, K}(z) d \mu(z) & \approx \int_{\mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \omega_{I_{w}, K}(z) d \sigma(w) d \mu(z) \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} \omega_{I_{w}, K}(z) d \mu(z)\right) d \sigma(w)  \tag{28}\\
& <\infty
\end{align*}
$$

Conversely, we assume that (25) holds. For any arc $I$, let $w$ be the point in $\mathbb{D}$ such that $I_{w}=I$. Let $\sigma$ be the point mass at $w$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\sigma, K}(z)=K\left(\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{1-|w|}\right) \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \chi_{I_{w}}(\xi) P_{z}(\xi)|d \xi|=\omega_{I_{w}, K}(z) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

This and Lemma 1 give that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{D}} \omega_{\sigma, K}(z) d \mu(z)=\int_{\mathbb{D}} \omega_{I_{w}, K}(z) d \mu(z)<\infty \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof is complete.

## 3. Predual of $Q_{K}$ Spaces

In this section, we will apply Theorem 2 to get the predual of $Q_{K}$ spaces.

Definition 3. Let $K$ be a right-continuous and nondecreasing function. Denote by $M_{K}$ the set of all measurable functions $G$ on $\mathbb{D}$ such that the measure $|G(z)|^{2} d A(z)$ is a $K$-Carleson measure.

By Theorem 2, we can define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|G\|_{M_{K}}=\sup _{\sigma \in \mathbb{M}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}}|G(z)|^{2} \omega_{\sigma, K}(z) d A(z)\right)^{1 / 2} . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to check that $\|\cdot\|_{M_{K}}$ is a norm.

Denote by $M^{K}$ the set of all measurable functions $F$ on $\mathbb{D}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left||F| \|_{M^{K}}=\inf _{\sigma \in \mathbb{M}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}}|F(z)|^{2} \frac{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}{\omega_{\sigma, K}(z)} d A(z)\right)^{1 / 2}<\infty\right.\right. \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4. For the space $M^{K}$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|F\|_{M^{K}}=\sup _{G \in M_{K}} \frac{|\langle F, G\rangle|}{\|G\|_{M_{K}}}, \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle F, G\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{D}} F(z) \overline{G(z)}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) d A(z) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\|\cdot\|_{M^{K}}$ is a norm.
Proof. It is obvious that $F=0$ a.e., then $\|F\|_{M^{K}}=0$. Conversely, if we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z)=\frac{F(z)}{|F(z)|}, \quad F \in M^{K} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle F, G\rangle & =\int F(z) \overline{G(z)}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) d A(z) \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{D}}|F(z)|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) d A(z)=0 \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

This implies $F=0$ a.e. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|F\|_{M^{K}}=0 \Longleftrightarrow F=0 \text { a.e. } \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any given $a \in \mathbb{C}$, it is easy to see that
$\|a F\|_{M^{K}}=\sup _{G \in M_{K}} \frac{|\langle a F, G\rangle|}{\|G\|_{M_{K}}}=|a| \sup _{G \in M_{K}} \frac{|\langle F, G\rangle|}{\|G\|_{M_{K}}}=|a|\|F\|_{M^{K}}$.

Given $F_{1}, F_{2} \in M^{K}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|F_{1}+F_{2}\right\|_{M^{K}} & =\sup _{G \in M_{K}} \frac{\left|\left\langle F_{1}+F_{2}, G\right\rangle\right|}{\|G\|_{M_{K}}} \\
& \leq \sup _{G \in M_{K}} \frac{\left|\left\langle F_{1}, G\right\rangle\right|}{\|G\|_{M_{K}}}+\sup _{G \in M_{K}} \frac{\left|\left\langle F_{2}, G\right\rangle\right|}{\|G\|_{M_{K}}}  \tag{39}\\
& =\left\|F_{1}\right\|_{M^{K}}+\left\|F_{2}\right\|_{M^{K}} .
\end{align*}
$$

The proof is complete.

Remark 5. Note that $\|F\|_{M^{K}} \leq\left\|\left||F| \|_{M^{K}}\right.\right.$. In fact, for any $\sigma \in$ $\mathbb{M}, F \in M^{K}$, and $G \in M_{K}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
|\langle F, G\rangle|= & \left|\int_{\mathbb{D}} F(z) \overline{G(z)}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) d A(z)\right| \\
\leq & \left(\int_{D}|F(z)|^{2} \frac{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}{\omega_{\sigma, K}(z)} d A(z)\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \times\left(\int_{D}|G(z)|^{2} \omega_{\sigma, K}(z) d A(z)\right)^{1 / 2}  \tag{40}\\
\leq & \left(\int_{D}|F(z)|^{2} \frac{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}{\omega_{\sigma, K}(z)} d A(z)\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \times\|G\|_{M_{K}} .
\end{align*}
$$

This shows that $|\langle F, G\rangle| \leq\| \| F\| \|_{M^{K}}\|G\|_{M_{K}}$. Hence, $\|F\|_{M^{K}} \leq$ $\left|\left||f| \|_{M^{K}}\right.\right.$.

Theorem 6. Let $K$ satisfy condition (4). If $M^{K}$ is equipped with the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|F\|_{M^{K}}=\sup _{G \in M_{K}} \frac{|\langle F, G\rangle|}{\|G\|_{M_{K}}}, \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\left(M^{K}\right)^{*} \cong M_{K}$ under the pairing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle F, G\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{D}} F(z) \overline{G(z)}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) d A(z) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For any given $G \in M_{K}$, it is easy to see that $|G(z)|^{2} d A(z)$ is a $K$-Carleson measure. By Theorem 2, for any $\sigma \in \mathbb{M}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{D}}|G(z)|^{2} \omega_{\sigma, K}(z) d A(z)<\infty \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 4, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\langle F, G\rangle| \leq\|F\|_{M^{K}}\|G\|_{M_{K}} . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows that $L(F)=\langle F, G\rangle$ is a bounded functional on $M^{K}$ for $F \in M^{K}$. We have $\|L\|=\|G\|_{M_{K}}$ by the elementary knowledge of functional analysis, where $\|\cdot\|$ is norm of $L$. This gives $G \in\left(M^{K}\right)^{*}$.

Conversely, let $L$ be a bounded linear functional on $M^{K}$. For any given $F \in M^{K}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
|L(F)| & \leq\|L\|\|F\|_{M^{K}} \leq\|L\| \cdot\|| | F \mid\|_{M^{K}} \\
& =\|L\| \inf _{\sigma \in M} \int_{\mathbb{D}}|F(z)|^{2} \left\lvert\, \frac{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}{\omega_{\sigma, K}(z)} d A(z)\right., \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is norm of $L$. So for any fixed $\sigma \in \mathbb{M}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|L(F)| \leq\|L\| \cdot\|F\|_{L^{2}(\sigma, K, 2)} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the space $L^{2}(\sigma, K, 2)$ consists of all Lebesgue measure functions $F$ on $\mathbb{D}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|F\|_{L^{2}(\sigma, K, 2)}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}}|F(z)|^{2} \frac{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}{\omega_{\sigma, K}(z)} d A(z)\right)^{1 / 2}<\infty \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $L$ can be extended to $L_{\sigma}$ as a bounded linear functional on $L^{2}(\sigma, K, 2)$ such that $L(F)=L_{\sigma}(F)$ for any $F \in M^{K}$ and $\left\|L_{\sigma}\right\| \leq\|L\|$. By the Hölder inequality, we obtain that the dual of $L^{2}(\sigma, K, 2)$ is $L^{2}\left(\omega_{\sigma, K}(z) d A(z)\right)$ under the pair

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle F, G\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{D}} F(z) \overline{G(z)}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) d A(z) \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the space $L^{2}\left(\omega_{\sigma, K}(z) d A(z)\right)$ consists of all Lebesgue measurable functions $F$ on $\mathbb{D}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{D}}|F(z)|^{2} \omega_{\sigma, K}(z) d A(z)<\infty \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists a $G_{\sigma} \in L^{2}\left(\omega_{\sigma, K}(z) d A(z)\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\sigma}(h)=\left\langle h, G_{\sigma}\right\rangle, \quad h \in L^{2}(\sigma, K, 2) \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the function $G_{\sigma}$ is independent of $\sigma$. In fact, for any given $\tau \in \mathbb{M}$ which is different from $\sigma$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\tau}(h)=\left\langle h, G_{\tau}\right\rangle, \quad h \in L^{2}(\tau, K, 2) \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given any $z_{0} \in \mathbb{D}$, consider the Bergman disk $B\left(z_{0}, r\right)=\{z \in$ $\left.\mathbb{D},\left|\varphi_{z_{0}}(z)\right|<r\right\}$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=e^{i \arg \left\{G_{\sigma}(z)-G_{\tau}(z)\right\}} \chi_{B\left(z_{0}, r\right)}(z) \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

to be the test function. It is easy to see that $h \in M^{K} \subset$ $L^{2}(\sigma, K, 2) \cap L^{2}(\tau, K, 2)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle h, G_{\sigma}\right\rangle=L_{\sigma}(h)=L_{\tau}(h)=\left\langle h, G_{\tau}\right\rangle . \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above equalities show that

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\left\langle h, G_{\sigma}-G_{\tau}\right\rangle \\
& =\int_{B\left(z_{0}, r\right)} h(z) \overline{\left(G_{\sigma}(z)-G_{\tau}(z)\right)}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) d A(z)  \tag{54}\\
& =\int_{B\left(z_{0}, r\right)}\left|G_{\sigma}(z)-G_{\tau}(z)\right|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) d A(z)
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, $G_{\sigma}=G_{\tau}$ a.e. on $B\left(z_{0}, r\right)$ for any given $z_{0} \in \mathbb{D}$. This implies that $G_{\sigma}=G_{\tau}$ a.e. on $\mathbb{D}$. We now have a $G \in$ $L^{2}\left(\omega_{\sigma, K}(z) d A(z)\right)$ so that, for any $\sigma \in \mathbb{M}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
L(F)=\langle F, G\rangle, \quad F \in L^{2}(\sigma, K, 2),  \tag{55}\\
\int_{\mathbb{D}}|G(z)|^{2} \omega_{\sigma, K}(z) d A(z)=\left\|L_{\sigma}\right\| \leq\|L\|<\infty \tag{56}
\end{gather*}
$$

Theorem 2 shows that $|G(z)|^{2} d A(z)$ is a $K$-Carleson measure. Hence, $G \in M_{K}$.

Definition 7. Let $K$ be a right-continuous and nondecreasing function. Let $\mathbb{M}$ be the set of all nonnegative measure $\sigma$ on $\mathbb{D}$ with the normalized condition $\sigma(\mathbb{D})=1$. Denote by $\mathscr{C}_{K}$ the set of all analytic functions $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\|\left.f\left|\|_{C_{K}}=\inf _{\sigma \in \mathbb{M}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\right| f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} \frac{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}{\omega_{\sigma, K}(z)} d A(z)<\infty\right.\right. \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{\sigma, K}$ is defined as in (22).
Remark 8. In fact, $f \in C_{K}$ if and only if $f^{\prime} \in L^{2}(\sigma, K, 2)$ for any $\sigma \in \mathbb{M}$. Obviously, we have $\||f|\|_{\mathscr{C}_{K}}=\inf _{\sigma \in \mathbb{M}}\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\sigma, K, 2)}$. See (47) about the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{L^{2}(\sigma, K, 2)}$.

We need the following result to proof the main theorem (cf. [10]). Let $\psi \in H(\mathbb{D})$. Define an operator on $H(\mathbb{D})$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \psi(z)=\int_{\mathbb{D}}|\psi(w)| \frac{\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{b-1}}{|1-\bar{w} z|^{b+1}} d A(w), \quad b \geq 1 \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 9. Suppose $K$ satisfies conditions (4) and (5). If $d \mu(z)=|\psi(z)|^{2} d A(z)$ is a K-Carleson measure, then $|T \psi(z)|^{2} d A(z)$ is a $K$-Carleson measure.

Theorem 10. Let $K$ satisfy conditions (4) and (5). The dual of $\mathscr{C}_{K}$ is $Q_{K}$ under the pairing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle f, g\rangle_{C}=\int_{\mathbb{D}} f^{\prime}(z) \overline{g^{\prime}(z)}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) d A(z) \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Choose $g \in Q_{K}$. Then $\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d A(z)$ is a $K$-Carleson measure. Theorem 2 gives that, for any $\sigma \in \mathbb{M}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} \omega_{\sigma, K}(z) d A(z)<\infty \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Hölder inequality, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\langle f, g\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}\right|= & \left|\int_{\mathbb{D}} f^{\prime}(z) \overline{g^{\prime}(z)}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) d A(z)\right| \\
\leq & \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} \frac{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}{\omega_{\sigma, K}(z)} d A(z)\right)^{1 / 2}  \tag{61}\\
& \times\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} \omega_{\sigma, K}(z) d A(z)\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{align*}
$$

This and Theorem 2 give that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\langle f, g\rangle_{C}\right| \lesssim \inf _{\sigma \in M}\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} \frac{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}{\omega_{\sigma, K}(z)} d A(z)\right)^{1 / 2}\left\|g^{\prime}\right\|_{M_{K}} \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $g \in\left(\mathscr{C}^{K}\right)^{*}$.
Conversely, let $L$ be a bounded linear function on $\mathscr{C}_{K}$. Since $f \in \mathscr{C}_{K} \Leftrightarrow f^{\prime} \in H(\mathbb{D}) \cap M^{K}, L$ can be viewed as a bounded linear functional $\widetilde{L}$ on $M^{K}$; that is, $L(f)=\widetilde{L}\left(f^{\prime}\right)$. By

Theorem 6, there exists a $G \in M_{K}$ such that $\widetilde{L}(F)=\langle F, G\rangle$ for any $F \in M^{K}$.

Consider the Bergman projection $P$ from $L^{2}(\mathbb{D})$ to the Bergman space $A^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(G)(z)=\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{G(w)}{(1-\bar{w} z)^{2}} d A(w), \quad G \in L^{2}(\mathbb{D}) \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|P(G)(z)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|G(w)|}{|1-\bar{w} z|^{2}} d A(w) \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $|P(G)(z)|^{2} d A(z)$ is a $K$-Carleson measure by Lemma 9. This shows that $P(G)$ is analytic and in $M_{K}$. Let $g$ be the function satisfying $g^{\prime}=P(G)$. Then $g \in Q_{K}$.

For $f \in \mathscr{C}_{K}$, we have $f^{\prime} \in H(\mathbb{D}) \cap M^{K}$. The Bergman projection $P$ is self-adjoint. Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
L(f) & =\widetilde{L}\left(f^{\prime}\right)=\left\langle f^{\prime}, G\right\rangle=\left\langle f^{\prime}, P(G)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle f^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right\rangle=\langle f, g\rangle_{C} \tag{65}
\end{align*}
$$

We obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(f)=\int_{\mathbb{D}} f^{\prime}(z) \overline{g^{\prime}(z)}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) d A(z), \quad f \in C_{K} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

We complete the proof of the predual Theorem 10.
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