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#### Abstract

Let $X$ be a completely regular Hausdorff space, and let $\left(E,\|\cdot\|_{E}\right)$ and $\left(F,\|\cdot\|_{F}\right)$ be Banach spaces. Let $C_{b}(X, E)$ be the space of all $E$-valued bounded, continuous functions defined on $X$, equipped with the strict topologies $\beta_{z}$, where $z=\sigma, \infty, p, \tau, t$. General integral representation theorems of $\left(\beta_{z},\|\cdot\|_{F}\right)$-continuous linear operators $T: C_{b}(X, E) \rightarrow F$ with respect to the corresponding operator-valued measures are established. Strongly bounded and $\left(\beta_{z},\|\cdot\|_{F}\right)$-continuous operators $T: C_{b}(X, E) \rightarrow F$ are studied. We extend to "the completely regular setting" some classical results concerning operators on the spaces $C(X, E)$ and $C_{o}(X, E)$, where $X$ is a compact or a locally compact space.


## 1. Introduction and Terminology

Throughout the paper let $\left(E,\|\cdot\|_{E}\right)$ and $\left(F,\|\cdot\|_{F}\right)$ be real Banach spaces, and let $E^{\prime}$ and $F^{\prime}$ denote the Banach duals of $E$ and $F$, respectively. By $B_{F^{\prime}}$ and $B_{E}$ we denote the closed unit ball in $F^{\prime}$ and $E$, respectively. By $\mathscr{L}(E, F)$ we denote the space of all bounded linear operators $U: E \rightarrow F$. Given a locally convex space $(L, \xi)$ by $(L, \xi)^{\prime}$ or $L_{\xi}^{\prime}$ we will denote its topological dual. We denote by $\sigma(L, K)$ the weak topology on $L$ with respect to a dual pair $\langle L, K\rangle$.

Assume that $X$ is a completely regular Hausdorff space. Let $C_{b}(X, E)$ stand for the Banach space of all bounded continuous, $E$-valued functions on $X$ provided with the uniform norm $\|\cdot\|$. We write $C_{b}(X)$ instead of $C_{b}(X, \mathbb{R})$. By $C_{b}(X, E)^{\prime}$ we denote the Banach dual of $C_{b}(X, E)$. For $f \in C_{b}(X, E)$ let $\tilde{f}(t)=\|f(t)\|_{E}$ for $t \in X$.

Let $\mathscr{B}$ (resp., $\mathscr{B} a$ ) be the algebra (resp., $\sigma$-algebra) of Baire sets in $X$, which is the algebra (resp., $\sigma$-algebra) generated by the class $\mathscr{E}$ of all zero sets of functions of $C_{b}(X)$. By $\mathscr{P}$ we denote the family of all cozero sets in $X$. Let $B(\mathscr{B}, E)$ stand for the Banach space of all totally $\mathscr{B}$-measurable functions $f: X \rightarrow E$ (the uniform limits of sequences of $E$-valued $\mathscr{B}$-simple functions) provided with the uniform norm $\|\cdot\|$ (see $[1,2])$. We will write $B(\mathscr{B})$ instead of $B(\mathscr{B}, \mathbb{R})$.

Strict topologies $\beta_{z}$ on $C_{b}(X)$ and $C_{b}(X, E)$ (for $z=\sigma$, $\infty, p, \tau, t)$ play an important role in the topological measure theory (see [3-12] for definitions and more details). Recall that a subset $H$ of $C_{b}(X, E)$ is said to be solid if $f_{1} \in C_{b}(X, E)$ and $f_{2} \in H$ with $\tilde{f}_{1}(t) \leq \widetilde{f}_{2}(t)$ for $t \in X$ imply that $f_{1} \in H$. Then $\beta_{z}$ are locally convex-solid topologies on $C_{b}(X, E)$; that is, they have a local base at 0 consisting of convex and solid sets (see [6, Theorem 8.1], [10, Theorem 5]). We have $\beta_{t} \subset$ $\beta_{\tau} \subset \beta_{\infty} \subset \beta_{\sigma} \subset \mathscr{T}_{\|\cdot\|}$ and $\beta_{t} \subset \beta_{p} \subset \beta_{\sigma}$. For a net $\left(f_{\alpha}\right)$ in $C_{b}(X, E), f_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0$ for $\beta_{z}$ if and only if $\widetilde{f}_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0$ for $\beta_{z}$ in $C_{b}(X)$ (see $\left.[6,10]\right)$.

Let $C_{b}(X) \otimes E$ stand for the algebraic tensor product of $C_{b}(X)$ and $E$; that is, $C_{b}(X) \otimes E$ is the space of all functions $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(u_{i} \otimes x_{i}\right)$, where $u_{i} \in C_{b}(X), x_{i} \in E$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$, and $\left(u_{i} \otimes x_{i}\right)(t)=u_{i}(t) x_{i}$ for $t \in X$. Then $C_{b}(X) \otimes E$ is dense in $\left(C_{b}(X, E), \beta_{z}\right)$ for $z=\infty, \tau, t$ (see $\left.[6,8]\right)$. Moreover, $C_{b}(X) \otimes$ $E$ is dense in $\left(C_{b}(X, E), \beta_{\sigma}\right)$ if $X$ or $E$ is a $D$-space (see [6, Theorem 5.2], [13]) and in $\left(C_{b}(X, E), \beta_{p}\right)$ if $X$ is real-compact (see [10, Theorem 7]).

Let $C_{r c}(X, E)$ denote the Banach space of all continuous functions $h: X \rightarrow E$ such that $h(X)$ is a relatively compact set in $E$, provided with the uniform norm $\|\cdot\|$. Then $C_{b}(X) \otimes$ $E \subset C_{r c}(X, E) \subset B(\mathscr{B}, E)$.

Linear operators from the spaces $C_{r c}(X, E)$ and $C_{b}(X, E)$, equipped with the strict topologies $\beta_{z}(z=\sigma, \infty, \tau)$ to a locally convex space $(F, \xi)$, were studied by Katsaras and Liu [14], Aguayo-Garrido, Nova-Yanéz and Sanchez [15, 16], and Khurana [17]. In particular, Katsaras and Liu found an integral representation of weakly compact operators $S$ : $C_{r c}(X, E) \rightarrow F$ and characterizations of $\left(\beta_{z}, \xi\right)$-continuous and weakly compact operators $S: C_{r c}(X, E) \rightarrow F$ for $z=\sigma, \tau$ (see [14, Theorems 3, 4, 5]). Aguayo-Arrido and Nova-Yanéz derived a Riesz representation theorem for $\left(\beta_{z}, \xi\right)$-continuous and weakly compact operators $T: C_{b}(X, E) \rightarrow F$ for $z=$ $\infty, \tau$ in terms of their representing operator measures (see [15, Theorems 5 and 6]). If $X$ is a locally compact space, continuous operators on $C_{o}(X, E)$ were studied by Dobrakov (see [18]) and Mitter and Young (see [19]).

In this paper we develop the theory of continuous linear operators from $C_{b}(X, E)$, equipped with the strict topologies $\beta_{z}(z=\sigma, \infty, p, \tau, t)$ to a Banach space $\left(F,\|\cdot\|_{F}\right)$. In particular, we extend to "the completely regular setting" some classical results of Brooks and Lewis (see [20, Theorem 5], [21, Theorem 5.2], [22, Theorem 2.1]) concerning operators on the spaces $C(X, E)$ and $C_{o}(X, E)$, where $X$ is a compact or a locally compact space, respectively. In Section 2, using the device of embedding the space $B(\mathscr{B}, E)$ into $C_{r c}(X, E)^{\prime \prime}$ (the Banach bidual of $C_{r c}(X, E)$ ), we state the integral representation of bounded linear operators from $C_{r c}(X, E)$ to $F$. In Section 3 we derive general Riesz representation theorems for $\left(\beta_{z},\|\cdot\|_{F}\right)$-continuous linear operators $T: C_{b}(X, E) \rightarrow$ $F(z=\sigma, \infty, p, \tau, t)$ with respect to the corresponding measures $m: \mathscr{B} \rightarrow \mathscr{L}\left(E, F^{\prime \prime}\right)$ (see Theorems 9 and 14 below). Section 4 is devoted to the study of $\left(\beta_{\sigma},\|\cdot\|_{F}\right)$ continuous and strongly bounded operators $T: C_{b}(X, E) \rightarrow$ $F$.

## 2. Integral Representation of Bounded Linear Operators on $C_{r c}(X, E)$

Let $M(X)$ stand for the Banach lattice of all Baire measures on $\mathscr{B}$, provided with the norm $\|\nu\|=|\nu|(X)(=$ the total variation of $\nu$ ). Due to the Alexandrov representation theorem $C_{b}(X)^{\prime}$ can be identified with $M(X)$ through the lattice isomorphism $M(X) \ni v \mapsto \varphi_{v} \in C_{b}(X)^{\prime}$, where $\varphi_{\nu}(u)=\int_{X} u d v$ for $u \in$ $C_{b}(X)$ and $\left\|\varphi_{\nu}\right\|=\|\nu\|$ (see [4, Theorem 5.1]).

By $M\left(X, E^{\prime}\right)$ we denote the set of all finitely additive measures $\mu: \mathscr{B} \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ with the following properties:
(i) for each $x \in E$, the function $\mu_{x}: \mathscr{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\mu_{x}(A)=\mu(A)(x)$ belongs to $M(X)$,
(ii) $|\mu|(X)<\infty$, where $|\mu|(A)$ stands for the variation of $\mu$ on $A \in \mathscr{B}$.

In view of [23, Theorem 2.5] $C_{r c}(X, E)^{\prime}$ can be identified with $M\left(X, E^{\prime}\right)$ through the linear mapping $M\left(X, E^{\prime}\right) \ni \mu \mapsto$ $\Phi_{\mu} \in C_{r c}(X, E)^{\prime}$, where $\Phi_{\mu}(h)=\int_{X} h d \mu$ for $h \in C_{r c}(X, E)$ and $\left\|\Phi_{\mu}\right\|=|\mu|(X)$. Then one can embed $B(\mathscr{B}, E)$ into $C_{r c}(X, E)^{\prime \prime}$
by the mapping $\pi: B(\mathscr{B}, E) \rightarrow C_{r c}(X, E)^{\prime \prime}$, where for $g \in$ $B(\mathscr{B}, E)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(g)\left(\Phi_{\mu}\right):=\int_{X} g d \mu \quad \text { for } \mu \in M\left(X, E^{\prime}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $i_{F}: F \rightarrow F^{\prime \prime}$ denote the canonical embedding; that is, $i_{F}(y)\left(y^{\prime}\right)=y^{\prime}(y)$ for $y \in F, y^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}$. Moreover, let $j_{F}$ : $i_{F}(F) \rightarrow F$ stand for the left inverse of $i_{F}$; that is, $j_{F} \circ i_{F}=i d_{F}$.

Assume that $S: C_{r c}(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is a bounded linear operator. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{S}:=S^{\prime \prime} \circ \pi: B(\mathscr{B}, E) \longrightarrow F^{\prime \prime} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S^{\prime}: F^{\prime} \rightarrow C_{r c}(X, E)^{\prime}$ and $S^{\prime \prime}: C_{r c}(X, E)^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow F^{\prime \prime}$ denote the conjugate and biconjugate operators of $S$, respectively. Then we can define a measure $m: \mathscr{B} \rightarrow \mathscr{L}\left(E, F^{\prime \prime}\right)$ (called a representing measure of $S$ ) by

$$
\begin{align*}
m(A)(x):=\widehat{S}\left(\mathbb{1}_{A} \otimes x\right)= & \left(S^{\prime \prime} \circ \otimes \pi\right)\left(\mathbb{1}_{A} \otimes x\right)  \tag{3}\\
& \text { for } A \in \mathscr{B}, x \in E .
\end{align*}
$$

Then $\widetilde{m}(X)<\infty$, where the semivariation $\widetilde{m}(A)$ of $m$ on $A \in \mathscr{B}$ is defined by $\widetilde{m}(A):=\sup \left\|\sum m\left(A_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}\right)\right\|_{F^{\prime \prime}}$, where the supremum is taken over all finite $\mathscr{B}$-partitions $\left(A_{i}\right)$ of $A$ and $x_{i} \in B_{E}$ for each $i$. For $y^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}$ let us put

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{y^{\prime}}(A)(x):=(m(A)(x))\left(y^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { for } A \in \mathscr{B}, x \in E \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left|m_{y^{\prime}}\right|(A)$ stand for the variation of $m_{y^{\prime}}$ on $A$. Then (see [1, Section 4, Proposition 5])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{m}(A)=\sup \left\{\left|m_{y^{\prime}}\right|(A): y^{\prime} \in B_{F^{\prime}}\right\} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following general properties of the operator $\widehat{S}$ : $B(\mathscr{B}, E) \rightarrow F^{\prime \prime}$ are well known (see [1, Section 6], [2, Section 1], $[13,24])$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{S}(g)=\int_{X} g d m \quad \text { for } g \in B(\mathscr{B}, E),\|\widehat{S}\|=\widetilde{m}(X) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for each $y^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{S}(g)\left(y^{\prime}\right)=\int_{X} g d m_{y^{\prime}} \quad \text { for } g \in B(\mathscr{B}, E) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $A \in \mathscr{B}$ let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{A} g d m:=\int_{X} \mathbb{1}_{A} g d m \quad \text { for } g \in B(\mathscr{B}, E) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the general properties of $\widehat{S}$ it follows that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\widehat{S}\left(C_{r c}(X, E)\right) \subset i_{F}(F) \\
S(h)=j_{F}\left(\int_{X} h d m\right) \text { for } h \in C_{r c}(X, E) \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence for each $y^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(S(h))=\int_{X} h d m_{y^{\prime}} \quad \text { for } h \in C_{r c}(X, E) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence $m_{y^{\prime}} \in M\left(X, E^{\prime}\right)$. Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\|S\| & =\left\|S^{\prime}\right\| \\
& =\sup \left\{\left\|S^{\prime}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right\|: y^{\prime} \in B_{F^{\prime}}\right\} \\
& =\sup \left\{\left\|y^{\prime} \circ S\right\|: y^{\prime} \in B_{F^{\prime}}\right\}  \tag{11}\\
& =\sup \left\{\left\|\Phi_{m_{y^{\prime}}}\right\|: y^{\prime} \in B_{F^{\prime}}\right\} \\
& =\sup \left\{\left|m_{y^{\prime}}\right|(X): y^{\prime} \in B_{F^{\prime}}\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

and using (5) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|S\|=\widetilde{m}(X) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $M\left(X, \mathscr{L}\left(E, F^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$ we will denote the space of all measures $m: \mathscr{B} \rightarrow \mathscr{L}\left(E, F^{\prime \prime}\right)$ such that $\widetilde{m}(X)<\infty$ and $m_{y^{\prime}} \in M\left(X, E^{\prime}\right)$ for each $y^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}$. Thus the representing measure $m$ of $S$ belongs to $M\left(X, \mathscr{L}\left(E, F^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$.

For any $x \in E$ define

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{x}(u):=S(u \otimes x) \quad \text { for } u \in C_{b}(X),  \tag{13}\\
m_{x}(A):=m(A)(x) \quad \text { for } A \in \mathscr{B} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Then $S_{x}: C_{b}(X) \rightarrow F$ is a bounded linear operator. Let $\chi$ : $B(\mathscr{B}) \rightarrow C_{b}(X)^{\prime \prime}$ stand for the canonical embedding; that is, for $u \in B(\mathscr{B})$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(u)\left(\varphi_{v}\right)=\int_{X} u d v \quad \text { for } v \in M(X) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{S}_{x}:=\left(S_{x}\right)^{\prime \prime} \circ \chi: B(\mathscr{B}) \longrightarrow F^{\prime \prime} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\widehat{S}_{x}\left(C_{b}(X)\right) \subset i_{F}(F), \\
S_{x}(u)=j_{F}\left(\widehat{S}_{x}(u)\right) \quad \text { for } u \in C_{b}(X) . \tag{16}
\end{gather*}
$$

The following lemma will be useful.
Lemma 1. Let $S: C_{r c}(X, E) \rightarrow F$ be a bounded linear operator. Then $S^{\prime \prime}\left(\pi\left(\mathbb{1}_{A} \otimes x\right)\right)=\left(S_{x}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(\chi\left(\mathbb{1}_{A}\right)\right)$ for any $x \in E$ and $A \in \mathscr{B}$.

Proof. Let $y^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}$. Then for each $u \in C_{b}(X)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(y^{\prime} \circ S_{x}\right)(u) & =y^{\prime}(S(u \otimes x)) \\
& =\int_{X}(u \otimes x) d m_{y^{\prime}}=\int_{X} u d m_{x, y^{\prime}} \\
& =\varphi_{m_{x, y^{\prime}}}(u)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(S_{x}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(\chi\left(\mathbb{1}_{A}\right)\right)\left(y^{\prime}\right) \\
&=\chi\left(\mathbb{1}_{A}\right)\left(S_{x}^{\prime}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
&=\chi\left(\mathbb{1}_{A}\right)\left(y^{\prime} \circ S_{x}\right)=\chi\left(\mathbb{1}_{A}\right)\left(\varphi_{m_{x, y^{\prime}}}\right)  \tag{18}\\
& \quad=\int_{X} \mathbb{1}_{A} d m_{x, y^{\prime}}=m_{x, y^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{1}_{A}\right)=m_{x}\left(\mathbb{1}_{A}\right)\left(y^{\prime}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, for each $h \in C_{r c}(X, E),\left(y^{\prime} \circ S\right)(h)=$ $\int_{X} h d m_{y^{\prime}}=\Phi_{m_{y^{\prime}}}(h)$, and hence

$$
\begin{align*}
S^{\prime \prime} & \left(\pi\left(\mathbb{1}_{A} \otimes x\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\mathbb{1}_{A} \otimes x\right)\left(S^{\prime}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right)=\pi\left(\mathbb{1}_{A} \otimes x\right)\left(y^{\prime} \circ S\right) \\
& =\pi\left(\mathbb{1}_{A} \otimes x\right)\left(\Phi_{m_{y^{\prime}}}\right)=\Phi_{m_{y^{\prime}}}\left(\mathbb{1}_{A} \otimes x\right) \\
& =\int_{X}\left(\mathbb{1}_{A} \otimes x\right) d m_{y^{\prime}}=m_{y^{\prime}}(A)(x)=m_{x}\left(\mathbb{1}_{A}\right)\left(y^{\prime}\right) . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that $S^{\prime \prime}\left(\pi\left(\mathbb{1}_{A} \otimes x\right)\right)=\left(S_{x}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(\chi\left(\mathbb{1}_{A}\right)\right)$, as desired.
From Lemma 1 for $A \in \mathscr{B}$ and $x \in E$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{x}(A):=\widehat{S}\left(\mathbb{1}_{A} \otimes x\right)=S^{\prime \prime}\left(\pi\left(\mathbb{1}_{A} \otimes x\right)\right)=\left(S_{x}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(\chi\left(\mathbb{1}_{A}\right)\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{x}(A)=\widehat{S}_{x}\left(\mathbb{1}_{A}\right), \quad \widehat{S}_{x}(u)=\int_{X} u d m_{x} \quad \text { for } u \in B(\mathscr{B}) . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we are ready to prove the following Bartle-DunfordSchwartz type theorem (see [25, Theorem 5, pages 153-154]).

Theorem 2. Let $S: C_{r c}(X, E) \rightarrow F$ be a bounded linear operator and let $M\left(X, \mathscr{L}\left(E, F^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$ be its representing measure. Then for each $x \in E$ the following statements are equivalent.
(i) $S_{x}: C_{b}(X) \rightarrow F$ is weakly compact.
(ii) $m(A)(x) \in i_{F}(F)$ for each $A \in \mathscr{B}$ and $\left\{j_{F}(m(A)(x))\right.$ : $A \in \mathscr{B}\}$ is a relatively weakly compact set in $F$.
(iii) $m_{x}: \mathscr{B} \rightarrow F^{\prime \prime}$ is strongly bounded.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Assume that $S_{x}$ is weakly compact. Then by the Gantmacher theorem $\left(S_{x}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(C_{b}(X)^{\prime \prime}\right) \subset i_{F}(F)$ and $\left(S_{x}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ : $C_{b}(X)^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow F^{\prime \prime}$ is weakly compact (see [26, Theorem 17.2]). Hence $\widehat{S}_{x}(B(\mathscr{B})) \subset i_{F}(F)$ and $\widehat{S}_{x}: B(\mathscr{B}) \rightarrow F^{\prime \prime}$ is weakly compact. In view of (21) for each $x \in E, m_{x}(A) \in i_{F}(F)$ for $A \in \mathscr{B}$ and $m_{x}: \mathscr{B} \rightarrow F^{\prime \prime}$ is strongly bounded (see [25, Theorem 1, page 148]). It follows that $\left\{j_{F}(m(A)(x)): A \in \mathscr{B}\right\}$ is a relatively weakly compact subset of $F$ (see [24, Theorem 7]).
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) It follows from [24, Theorem 7].
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Assume that $m_{x}: \mathscr{B} \rightarrow F^{\prime \prime}$ is strongly bounded. Then by (21) $\widehat{S}_{x}: B(\mathscr{B}) \rightarrow F^{\prime \prime}$ is weakly compact and in view of (16) we derive that $S_{x}$ is weakly compact.

## 3. Integral Representation of Continuous Linear Operators on $C_{b}(X, E)$

The spaces of all $\sigma$-additive, $u$-additive, perfect, $\tau$-additive, and tight members of $M(X)$ will be denoted by $M_{\sigma}(X)$, $M_{\infty}(X), M_{p}(X), M_{\tau}(X)$, and $M_{t}(X)$, respectively (see $[3,4]$ ). Then $\left(C_{b}(X), \beta_{z}\right)^{\prime}=\left\{\varphi_{v}: \nu \in M_{z}(X)\right\}$ for $z=\sigma, \infty, p, \tau, t$.

For the integration theory of functions $f \in C_{b}(X, E)$ with respect to $\mu \in M_{z}\left(X, E^{\prime}\right)$ we refer the reader to [ 6 , page 197], [5, Definition 3.10], [27, page 375]. For $z=\sigma, \infty, p, \tau, t$ let

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{z}\left(X, E^{\prime}\right) \\
& \quad:=\left\{\mu \in M\left(X, E^{\prime}\right): \mu_{x} \in M_{z}(X) \text { for each } x \in E\right\} . \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Then $|\mu| \in M_{z}(X)$ if $\mu \in M_{z}\left(X, E^{\prime}\right)$ (see [5, Proposition 3.9], [6, Theorem 3.1], [10, Theorem 1]). For $\Phi \in C_{b}(X, E)^{\prime}$ let us put, for $u \in C_{b}(X)^{+}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\Phi|(u):=\sup \left\{|\Phi(f)|: f \in C_{b}(X, E), \tilde{f} \leq u\right\} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that $|\Phi|: C_{b}(X)^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is additive and positively homogeneous and can be extended to a linear functional on $C_{b}(X)$ (denoted by $|\Phi|$ again) by $|\Phi|(u)=|\Phi|\left(u^{+}\right)-|\Phi|\left(u^{-}\right)$ for $u \in C_{b}(X)$.

Theorem 3. Assume that $z=\sigma$ and $C_{b}(X) \otimes E$ is dense in $\left(C_{b}(X, E), \beta_{\sigma}\right)$ (resp., $z=\infty ; z=p$ and $C_{b}(X) \otimes E$ is dense in $\left.\left(C_{b}(X, E), \beta_{p}\right) ; z=\tau ; z=t\right)$. Then the following statements hold.
(i) For a linear functional $\Phi$ on $C_{b}(X, E)$ the following conditions are equivalent.
(a) $\Phi$ is $\beta_{z}$-continuous.
(b) There exists a unique $\mu \in M_{z}\left(X, E^{\prime}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(f)=\Phi_{\mu}(f)=\int_{X} f d \mu \quad \text { for } f \in C_{b}(X, E) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) For $\mu \in M_{z}\left(X, E^{\prime}\right),\left|\Phi_{\mu}\right|(u)=\int_{X} u d|\mu|=\varphi_{|\mu|}(u)$ for $u \in C_{b}(X)$.

Proof. (i) See [6, Theorems 5.3 and 4.2, Corollary 3.9], [5, Theorem 3.13], and [10, Theorem 8].
(ii) See [6, Theorem 2.1].

Assume that $\mathscr{M}$ is a subset of $M_{z}\left(X, E^{\prime}\right)$ and $\sup _{\mu \in, M}|\mu|(X)<\infty$, where $z=\sigma, \infty, p, \tau, t$. Then we say that $\mathscr{M}$ satisfies the condition $\left(C_{z}\right)$ if we have the following:
(1) for $z=\sigma: \sup \left\{|\mu|\left(Z_{n}\right): \mu \in \mathscr{M}\right\} \rightarrow 0$ whenever $Z_{n} \downarrow \emptyset,\left(Z_{n}\right) \subset \mathscr{Z}$;
(2) for $z=\infty$ : for every partition of unity $\left(u_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \mathscr{A}}$ for $X$ and every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a finite set $\mathscr{A}_{\varepsilon}$ in $\mathscr{A}$ such that $\sup _{\mu \in \mathscr{M}} \int_{X}\left(1-\sum_{\alpha \in \mathscr{A} \varepsilon} u_{\alpha}\right) d|\mu|<\varepsilon$;
(3) for $z=p$ : for every continuous function $f$ from $X$ onto a separable metric space $Y$ and every $\varepsilon>0$, there is a compact subset $K$ of $Y$ such that $\sup _{\mu \in, \mu}|\mu|(X \backslash$ $\left.\bar{f}^{1}(K)\right) \leq \varepsilon ;$
(4) for $z=\tau: \sup \left\{|\mu|\left(Z_{\alpha}\right): \mu \in \mathscr{M}\right\} \rightarrow 0$ whenever $Z_{\alpha} \downarrow \emptyset,\left(Z_{\alpha}\right) \subset \mathscr{Z}$;
(5) for $z=t$ : for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a compact subset $K$ of $X$ such that $\sup \{|\mu|(Z): Z \in \mathscr{Z}, Z \subset X \backslash K\} \leq \varepsilon$ for each $\mu \in \mathscr{M}$.

The following lemmas will be useful.
Lemma 4. Assume that $\mathscr{M}$ is a subset of $M_{z}\left(X, E^{\prime}\right)$ and $\sup _{\mu \in, M}|\mu|(X)<\infty$, where $z=\sigma$ and $C_{b}(X) \otimes E$ is $\beta_{\sigma}$-dense in $C_{b}(X, E)$ (resp., $z=\infty ; z=p$ and $C_{b}(X) \otimes E$ is $\beta_{p}$-dense in $\left.C_{b}(X, E) ; z=\tau ; z=t\right)$. Then the following statements are equivalent.
(i) $\left\{\Phi_{\mu}: \mu \in \mathscr{M}\right\}$ is $\beta_{z}$-equicontinuous.
(ii) $\left\{\left|\Phi_{\mu}\right|: \mu \in \mathscr{M}\right\}$ is $\beta_{z}$-equicontinuous.
(iii) $\left\{\varphi_{|\mu|}: \mu \in \mathscr{M}\right\}$ is $\beta_{z}$-equicontinuous.
(iv) The condition $\left(C_{z}\right)$ holds.

Proof. (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) See [9, Lemma 2].
(ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii) It follows from Theorem 3.
(iii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv) See [4, Theorem 11.14] for $z=\sigma$; [28, Proposition 3.6] for $z=\infty$; [28, Proposition 2.6] for $z=p$; [4, Theorem 11.24] for $z=\tau$; and [28, Proposition 1.1] for $z=$ $t$.

Lemma 5. Assume that $z=\sigma$ and $C_{b}(X) \otimes E$ is $\beta_{\sigma}$-dense in $C_{b}(X, E)$ (resp., $z=\infty ; z=p$, and $C_{b}(X) \otimes E$ is $\beta_{p}$-dense in $\left.C_{b}(X, E) ; z=\tau ; z=t\right)$. Let $\mu \in M_{z}\left(X, E^{\prime}\right)$. Then for $A \in \mathscr{B}$ the following statements hold.
(i) A functional $\Phi_{A}: C_{r c}(X, E) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\Phi_{A}(h)=$ $\int_{A} h d \mu$ is $\left.\beta_{z}\right|_{C_{r c}(X, E)}$-continuous and can by uniquely extended to a $\beta_{z}$-continuous linear functional $\overline{\Phi_{A}}$ : $C_{b}(X, E) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and one will write the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{A} f d \mu:=\overline{\Phi_{A}}(f) \quad \text { for } f \in C_{b}(X, E) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) $\left|\int_{A} f d \mu\right| \leq \int_{A} \widetilde{f} d|\mu|$ for $f \in C_{b}(X, E)$.

Proof. (i) Assume that $\left(h_{\alpha}\right)$ is a net in $C_{r c}(X, E)$ such that $h_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0$ for $\beta_{z}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Phi_{A}\left(h_{\alpha}\right)\right|=\left|\int_{A} h_{\alpha} d \mu\right| \leq \int_{A} \widetilde{h}_{\alpha} d|\mu| \leq \int_{X} \widetilde{h}_{\alpha} d|\mu| \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\widetilde{h}_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0$ for $\beta_{z}$ in $C_{b}(X)$ and $|\mu| \in M_{z}(X)$, we obtain that $\Phi_{A}\left(h_{\alpha}\right) \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} 0$; that is, $\Phi_{A}$ is $\left.\beta_{z}\right|_{C_{r c}(X, E)}$-continuous. Since $C_{r c}(X, E)$ is dense in $\left(C_{b}(X, E), \beta_{z}\right), \Phi_{A}$ can be uniquely extended to a $\beta_{z}$-continuous linear functional $\overline{\Phi_{A}}: C_{b}(X, E) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (see [29, Theorem 2.6]).
(ii) Assume that $f \in C_{b}(X, E)$. Choose a net $\left(h_{\alpha}\right)$ in $C_{r c}(X, E)$ such that $h_{\alpha} \rightarrow f$ for $\beta_{z}$. Then $\widetilde{h}_{\alpha} \rightarrow \widetilde{f}$ for $\beta_{z}$ in $C_{b}(X)$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\int_{A} \tilde{h}_{\alpha} d\right| \mu\left|-\int_{A} \tilde{f} d\right| \mu|\mid & \leq \int_{A}\left|\widetilde{h}_{\alpha}-\widetilde{f}\right| d|\mu|  \tag{27}\\
& \leq \int_{X}\left|\widetilde{h}_{\alpha}-\widetilde{f}\right| d|\mu|
\end{align*}
$$

and hence $\int_{A} \tilde{f} d|\mu|=\lim _{\alpha} \int_{A} \widetilde{h}_{\alpha} d|\mu|$. Since $\int_{A} f d \mu=$ $\overline{\Phi_{A}}(f)=\lim _{\alpha} \int_{A} h_{\alpha} d \mu$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\int_{A} f d \mu\right| & =\lim _{\alpha}\left|\int_{A} h_{\alpha} d \mu\right|  \tag{28}\\
& \leq \lim _{\alpha} \int_{A} \widetilde{h}_{\alpha} d|\mu|=\int_{A} \widetilde{f} d|\mu|
\end{align*}
$$

For $z=\sigma, \infty, p, \tau, t$ let us put

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{z}\left(X, \mathscr{L}\left(E, F^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) \\
& :=\left\{m \in M\left(X, \mathscr{L}\left(E, F^{\prime \prime}\right)\right): m_{y^{\prime}} \in M_{z}\left(X, E^{\prime}\right)\right.  \tag{29}\\
& \left.\quad \text { for each } y^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 6. Assume that $z=\sigma$ and $C_{b}(X) \otimes E$ is $\beta_{\sigma}$-dense in $C_{b}(X, E)$ (resp., $z=\infty ; z=p$, and $C_{b}(X) \otimes E$ is $\beta_{p}$-dense in $\left.C_{b}(X, E) ; z=\tau ; z=t\right)$. Assume that $m \in M_{z}\left(X, \mathscr{L}\left(E, F^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$ and the set $\left\{m_{y^{\prime}}: y^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}\right\}$ satisfies the condition $\left(C_{z}\right)$. Then for $A \in \mathscr{B}$ the following statements hold.
(i) An operator $S_{A}: C_{r c}(X, E) \rightarrow F^{\prime \prime}$ defined by $S_{A}(h)=$ $\int_{A} h d m$ is $\left(\left.\beta_{z}\right|_{C_{r c}(X, E)},\|\cdot\|_{F^{\prime \prime}}\right)$-continuous and can be uniquely extended to a $\left(\beta_{z},\|\cdot\|_{F^{\prime \prime}}\right)$-continuous linear operator $\overline{S_{A}}: C_{b}(X, E) \rightarrow F^{\prime \prime}$, and one will write the following.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{A} f d m:=\overline{S_{A}}(f) \quad \text { for } f \in C_{b}(X, E) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) For each $y^{\prime} \in F^{\prime},\left(\int_{A} f d m\right)\left(y^{\prime}\right)=\int_{A} f d m_{y^{\prime}}$ for $f \in$ $C_{b}(X, E)$.

Proof. (i) In view of Lemma 5 the set $\left\{\varphi_{\left|m_{y^{\prime}}\right|}: y^{\prime} \in B_{F^{\prime}}\right\}$ is $\beta_{z}$-equicontinuous in $C_{b}(X)_{\beta_{z}}^{\prime}$. Assume that $\left(h_{\alpha}\right)$ is a net in $C_{r c}(X, E)$ such that $h_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0$ for $\beta_{z}$. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be given. Then there exists a neighborhood $V_{\varepsilon}$ of 0 for $\beta_{z}$ in $C_{b}(X)$ such that $\sup _{y^{\prime} \in B_{F^{\prime}}}\left|\int_{X} u d\right| m_{y^{\prime}}| | \leq \varepsilon$ for $u \in V_{\varepsilon}$. Since $\widetilde{h}_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0$ for $\beta_{z}$ in $C_{b}(X)$, choose $\alpha_{\varepsilon}$ such that $h_{\alpha} \in V_{\varepsilon}$ for $\alpha \geq \alpha_{\varepsilon}$. Hence $\sup _{y^{\prime} \in B_{F^{\prime}}} \int_{X} \widetilde{h}_{\alpha} d\left|m_{y^{\prime}}\right| \leq \varepsilon$ for $\alpha \geq \alpha_{\varepsilon}$. It follows that, for $\alpha \geq \alpha_{\varepsilon}$ and each $y^{\prime} \in B_{F^{\prime}}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\left(\int_{A} h_{\alpha} d m\right)\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right| & =\left|\int_{A} h_{\alpha} d m_{y^{\prime}}\right|  \tag{31}\\
& \leq \int_{A} \widetilde{h}_{\alpha} d\left|m_{y^{\prime}}\right| \leq \int_{X} \widetilde{h}_{\alpha} d\left|m_{y^{\prime}}\right| \leq \varepsilon
\end{align*}
$$

and hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{A}\left(h_{\alpha}\right)\right\|_{F^{\prime \prime}}=\sup \left\{\left|S_{A}\left(h_{\alpha}\right)\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right|: y^{\prime} \in B_{F^{\prime}}\right\} \leq \varepsilon \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that $S_{A}: C_{r c}(X, E) \rightarrow F^{\prime \prime}$ is $\left(\left.\beta_{z}\right|_{C_{r c}(X, E)},\|\cdot\|_{F^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ continuous. Since $C_{r c}(X, E)$ is $\beta_{z}$-dense in $\left(C_{b}(X, E), \beta_{z}\right), S_{A}$ possesses a unique $\left(\beta_{z},\|\cdot\|_{F^{\prime \prime}}\right)$-continuous extension $\overline{S_{A}}$ : $C_{b}(X, E) \rightarrow F^{\prime \prime}($ see $[29$, Theorem 2.6]). Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{A} f d m:=\overline{S_{A}}(f) \quad \text { for } f \in C_{b}(X, E) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) Let $f \in C_{b}(X, E)$. Choose a net $\left(h_{\alpha}\right)$ in $C_{r c}(X, E)$ such that $h_{\alpha} \rightarrow f$ for $\beta_{z}$. By Lemma 5 and (7) for $y^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\int_{A} f d m\right)\left(y^{\prime}\right) & =\left(\lim _{\alpha}\left(\int_{A} h_{\alpha} d m\right)\right)\left(y^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\lim _{\alpha}\left(\int_{A} h_{\alpha} d m_{y^{\prime}}\right)\left(y^{\prime}\right)  \tag{34}\\
& =\lim _{\alpha} \int_{A} h_{\alpha} d m_{y^{\prime}}=\int_{A} f d m_{y^{\prime}}
\end{align*}
$$

Corollary 7. Assume that $z=\sigma$ and $C_{b}(X) \otimes E$ is $\beta_{\sigma}$-dense in $C_{b}(X, E)$ (resp., $z=\infty ; z=p$ and $C_{b}(X) \otimes E$ is $\beta_{p}$-dense in $\left.C_{b}(X, E) ; z=\tau ; z=t\right)$. Assume that $m \in M_{z}\left(X, \mathscr{L}\left(E, F^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$ and the set $\left\{m_{y^{\prime}}: y^{\prime} \in B_{F^{\prime}}\right\}$ satisfies the condition $\left(C_{z}\right)$. Then for $A \in \mathscr{B}$ the following statements hold:
(a) $\left|m_{y^{\prime}}\right|(A)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\sup \left\{\left|\int_{A} h d m_{y^{\prime}}\right|: h \in C_{b}(X) \otimes E,\|h\| \leq 1\right\} \\
& =\sup \left\{\left|\int_{A} f d m_{y^{\prime}}\right|: f \in C_{b}(X, E),\|f\| \leq 1\right\} \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

(b) $\widetilde{m}(A)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sup \left\{\left\|\int_{A} h d m\right\|_{F^{\prime \prime}}: h \in C_{b}(X) \otimes E,\|h\| \leq 1\right\} \\
& =\sup \left\{\left\|\int_{A} f d m\right\|_{F^{\prime \prime}}: f \in C_{b}(X, E),\|f\| \leq 1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, if $U \in \mathscr{P}$, then
(c) $\left|m_{y^{\prime}}\right|(U)=\sup \left\{\left|\int_{U} h d m_{y^{\prime}}\right|: h \in C_{b}(X) \otimes E\right.$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|h\| \leq 1, \quad \operatorname{supp} h \subset U\} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite disjoint supported collections $\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right\} \subset C_{b}(X)$ with $\left\|u_{i}\right\| \leq 1$ and supp $u_{i} \subset$ $U$ and $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} \subset B_{E}$. One has
(d) $\widetilde{m}(U)=\sup \left\{\left\|\int_{U} h d m\right\|_{F^{\prime \prime}}: h \in C_{b}(X) \otimes E\right.$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|h\| \leq 1, \operatorname{supp} h \subset U\} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
=\sup \left\{\left\|\int_{U} f d m\right\|_{F^{\prime \prime}}: f \in C_{b}(X, E)\right.
$$

$$
\|f\| \leq 1, \operatorname{supp} f \subset U\}
$$

Proof. Let $A \in \mathscr{B}$ and $y^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}$. Then by Lemma 5 for $f \in$ $C_{b}(X, E)$ with $\|f\| \leq 1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{A} f d m_{y^{\prime}}\right| \leq \int_{A} \tilde{f} d\left|m_{y^{\prime}}\right| \leq\left|m_{y^{\prime}}\right|(A) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, let $\varepsilon>0$ be given. Then there exist a finite $\mathscr{B}$-partition $\left(A_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ of $A$ and $x_{i} \in B_{E}, i=1, \ldots, n$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|m_{y^{\prime}}\right|(A)-\frac{\varepsilon}{3} \leq\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(m\left(A_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}\right)\right)\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right|=\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{x_{i}, y^{\prime}}\left(A_{i}\right)\right| . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the regularity of $m_{x_{i}, y^{\prime}} \in M_{z}(X)$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$, we can choose $Z_{i} \in \mathscr{Z}, Z_{i} \subset A_{i}$ such that $\left|m_{x_{i}, y^{\prime}}\right|\left(A_{i} \backslash Z_{i}\right) \leq \varepsilon / 3 n$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Choose pairwise disjoint $V_{i} \in \mathscr{P}$ with $Z_{i} \subset V_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$ such that $\left|m_{x_{i}, y^{\prime}}\right|\left(V_{i} \backslash Z_{i}\right) \leq \varepsilon / 3 n$. Then for $i=1, \ldots, n$ we can choose $v_{i} \in C_{b}(X)$ with $0 \leq$ $v_{i} \leq \mathbb{1}_{X},\left.v_{i}\right|_{Z_{i}} \equiv 1$, and $\left.v_{i}\right|_{X \backslash V_{i}} \equiv 0$ (see [4, page 115]). Define $h_{o}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(v_{i} \otimes x_{i}\right)$. Then $\left\|h_{o}\right\| \leq 1$ and $\int_{A} h_{o} d m_{y^{\prime}}=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{A} v_{i} d m_{x_{i}, y^{\prime}}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{V_{i} \cap A} v_{i} d m_{x_{i}, y^{\prime}}$. Hence we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|m_{y^{\prime}}\right|(A)-\frac{\varepsilon}{3} \leq & \left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{x_{i}, y^{\prime}}\left(A_{i}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{x_{i}, y^{\prime}}\left(Z_{i}\right)\right| \\
& +\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{Z_{i}} v_{i} d m_{x_{i}, y^{\prime}}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{V_{i} \cap A} v_{i} d m_{x_{i}, y^{\prime}}\right| \\
& +\left|\int_{A} h_{o} d m_{y^{\prime}}\right| \\
\leq & \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|m_{x_{i}, y^{\prime}}\right|\left(A_{i} \backslash Z_{i}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|m_{x_{i}, y^{\prime}}\right|\left(V_{i} \backslash Z_{i}\right) \\
& +\left|\int_{A} h_{o} d m_{y^{\prime}}\right| \\
\leq & \frac{\varepsilon}{3}+\frac{\varepsilon}{3}+\left|\int_{A} h_{o} d m_{y^{\prime}}\right| \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

and hence $\left|m_{y^{\prime}}\right|(A) \leq\left|\int_{A} h_{o} d m_{y^{\prime}}\right|+\varepsilon$. Thus the proof of (a) is complete.

In view of (5), (a), and Lemma 6 we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{m}(A)= & \sup \left\{\left|m_{y^{\prime}}\right|(A): y^{\prime} \in B_{F^{\prime}}\right\} \\
= & \sup \left\{\left|\left(\int_{A} h d m\right)\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right|: h \in C_{b}(X) \otimes E,\right. \\
& \left.\|h\| \leq 1, y^{\prime} \in B_{F^{\prime}}\right\} \\
= & \sup \left\{\left|\left(\int_{A} f d m\right)\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right|: f \in C_{b}(X, E),\right. \\
& \left.\|f\| \leq 1, y^{\prime} \in B_{F^{\prime}}\right\} \\
= & \sup \left\{\left\|\left(\int_{A} h d m\right)\right\|_{F^{\prime \prime}}: h \in C_{b}(X) \otimes E,\|h\| \leq 1\right\} \\
= & \sup \left\{\left\|\left(\int_{A} f d m\right)\right\|_{F^{\prime \prime}}: f \in C_{b}(X, E),\|f\| \leq 1\right\} ; \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

that is, (b) holds.
Assume now that $U \in \mathscr{P}$. Let $U_{i}=V_{i} \cap U \in \mathscr{P}$ for $i=$ $1, \ldots, n$. Then $\left|m_{x_{i}, y^{\prime}}\right|\left(U_{i} \backslash Z_{i}\right) \leq\left|m_{x_{i}, y^{\prime}}\right|\left(V_{i} \backslash Z_{i}\right) \leq \varepsilon / 3 n$ for $i=$ $1, \ldots, n$. For $i=1, \ldots, n$ choose $u_{i} \in C_{b}(X)$ with $0 \leq u_{i} \leq \mathbb{1}_{X}$, $\left.u_{i}\right|_{Z_{i}} \equiv 1$, and $\left.u_{i}\right|_{X \backslash U_{i}} \equiv 0$. Let $h_{o}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(u_{i} \otimes x_{i}\right)$. Then $\left\|h_{o}\right\| \leq 1$ and $\operatorname{supp} h_{o} \subset U$; and hence by (a), $\left|m_{y^{\prime}}\right|(U) \leq\left|\int_{U} h_{o} d m_{y^{\prime}}\right|+$ $\varepsilon$. Note that $\int_{U} h_{o} d m_{y^{\prime}}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{X} u_{i} d m_{x_{i}, y^{\prime}}$, where supp $u_{i}$ are pairwise disjoint and $\operatorname{supp} u_{i} \subset U$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Thus (c) holds.

Using (c) we easily show that (d) holds. Thus the proof is complete.

Definition 8. Let $T: C_{b}(X, E) \rightarrow F$ be a bounded linear operator. Then the measure $m \in M\left(X, \mathscr{L}\left(E, F^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$ defined by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
m(A)(x):=\left(\left(\left.T\right|_{C_{r c}(X, E)}\right)^{\prime \prime} \circ \pi\right)\left(\mathbb{1}_{A} \otimes x\right)  \tag{42}\\
\text { for } A \in \mathscr{B}, x \in E
\end{array}
$$

will be called a representing measure of $T$.
Now we state general Riesz representation theorems for continuous linear operators on $C_{b}(X, E)$, provided with the strict topologies $\beta_{z}$, where $z=\sigma, \infty, p, \tau, t$.

Theorem 9. Assume that $z=\sigma$ and $C_{b}(X) \otimes E$ is $\beta_{\sigma}$-dense in $C_{b}(X, E)$ (resp., $z=\infty ; z=p$, and $C_{b}(X) \otimes E$ is $\beta_{p}$-dense in $\left.C_{b}(X, E) ; z=\tau ; z=t\right)$.
(I) Let $T: C_{b}(X, E) \rightarrow F$ be a $\left(\beta_{z},\|\cdot\|_{F}\right)$-continuous linear operator and let $m \in M\left(X, \mathscr{L}\left(E, F^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$ be its representing measure. Then the following statements hold.
(i) $m \in M_{z}\left(X, \mathscr{L}\left(E, F^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$ and $\left\{m_{y^{\prime}}: y^{\prime} \in B_{F^{\prime}}\right\}$ satisfies the condition $\left(C_{z}\right)$.
(ii) For each $y^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}, y^{\prime}(T(f))=\int_{X} f d m_{y^{\prime}}$ for $f \in$ $C_{b}(X, E)$.
(iii) For each $f \in C_{b}(X, E)$ and $A \in \mathscr{B}$ there exists a unique vector in $F^{\prime \prime}$, denoted by $\int_{A} f d m$, such that $\left(\int_{A} f d m\right)\left(y^{\prime}\right)=\int_{A} f d m_{y^{\prime}}$ for each $y^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}$.
(iv) For each $A \in \mathscr{B}$, the mapping $C_{b}(X, E) \ni f \mapsto$ $\int_{A} f d m \in F^{\prime \prime}$ is a $\left(\beta_{z},\|\cdot\|_{F^{\prime \prime}}\right)$-continuous linear operator.
(v) For $f \in C_{b}(X, E), \int_{X} f d m \in i_{F}(F)$ and $T(f)=$ $j_{F}\left(\int_{X} f d m\right)$.
(vi) $\|T\|=\widetilde{m}(X)$.
(II) Let $m \in M_{z}\left(X, \mathscr{L}\left(E, F^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$ and let the set $\left\{m_{y^{\prime}}: y^{\prime} \in\right.$ $\left.B_{F^{\prime}}\right\}$ satisfy the condition $\left(C_{z}\right)$. Then the statements (iii) and (iv) hold and for $f \in C_{b}(X, E), \int_{X} f d m \in i_{F}(F)$ and the mapping $T: C_{b}(X, E) \rightarrow F$ defined by $T(f):=j_{F}\left(\int_{X} f d m\right)$ is a $\left(\beta_{z},\|\cdot\|_{F}\right)$-continuous linear operator. Moreover, $m$ coincides with the representing measure of $T$ and the statements (ii) and (vi) hold.

Proof. (I) In view of (10) for each $y^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}, y^{\prime}(T(h))=$ $\int_{X} h d m_{y^{\prime}}$ for $h \in C_{r c}(X, E)$. By Theorem 3 for each $y^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}$ there exists a unique $\mu_{y^{\prime} \circ T} \in M_{z}\left(X, E^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\left(y^{\prime} \circ T\right)(f)=$ $\int_{X} f d \mu_{y^{\prime} \circ T}$ for $f \in C_{b}(X, E)$. It follows that, for each $y^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}$, $m_{y^{\prime}}=\mu_{y^{\prime} \circ T}$ (see [23, Theorem 2.5]) and this means that $m \in M_{z}\left(X, \mathscr{L}\left(E, F^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(T(f))=\int_{X} f d m_{y^{\prime}} \quad \text { for } f \in C_{b}(X, E) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\{y^{\prime} \circ T: y^{\prime} \in B_{F^{\prime}}\right\}$ is $\beta_{z}$-equicontinuous in $C_{b}(X, E)_{\beta_{z}}^{\prime}$, by Lemma 4 the set $\left\{m_{y^{\prime}}: y^{\prime} \in B_{F^{\prime}}\right\}$ satisfies the condition $\left(C_{z}\right)$. Thus (i) and (ii) hold. In view of Lemma 6, (iii) and (iv) are satisfied.

According to (9) for each $h \in C_{r c}(X, E), \int_{X} h d m \in i_{F}(F)$ and $T(h)=j_{F}\left(\int_{X} h d m\right)$. Hence by Lemma 6, $\int_{X} f d m \in i_{F}(F)$. Let $f \in C_{b}(X, E)$. Choose a net $\left(h_{\alpha}\right)$ in $C_{r c}(X, E)$ such that $h_{\alpha} \rightarrow f$ for $\beta_{z}$. Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
T(f) & =\lim _{\alpha} T\left(h_{\alpha}\right)=\lim _{\alpha} j_{F}\left(\int_{X} h_{\alpha} d m\right)  \tag{44}\\
& =j_{F}\left(\lim _{\alpha} \int_{X} h_{\alpha} d m\right)=j_{F}\left(\int_{X} f d m\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus (v) holds. Using (v) and Corollary 7 we get $\|T\|=\widetilde{m}(X)$.
(II) By Lemma 6 the statements (iii) and (iv) are satisfied.

Now let $f \in C_{b}(X, E)$. Choose a net $\left(h_{\alpha}\right)$ in $C_{r c}(X, E)$ such that $h_{\alpha} \rightarrow f$ for $\beta_{z}$. Then by Lemma $6, \int_{X} f d m=\overline{S_{X}}(f)=$ $\lim _{\alpha} \int_{X} h_{\alpha} d m \in i_{F}(F)$ because $\int_{X} h_{\alpha} d m \in i_{F}(F)$, and it follows that $T\left(=j_{F} \circ \overline{S_{X}}\right)$ is $\left(\beta_{z},\|\cdot\|_{F}\right)$-continuous.

Let $m_{o} \in M\left(X, \mathscr{L}\left(E, F^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$ stand for the representing measure of $T$. Note that, for $A \in \mathscr{B}, x \in E$, and $y^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(m_{o}(A)(x)\right)\left(y^{\prime}\right) & =\left(\left(\left(\left.T\right|_{C_{r c}(X, E)}\right)^{\prime \prime} \circ \pi\right)\left(\mathbb{1}_{A} \otimes x\right)\right)\left(y^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\pi\left(\mathbb{1}_{A} \otimes x\right)\left(\left(\left.T\right|_{C_{r c}(X, E)}\right)^{\prime}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& =\pi\left(\mathbb{1}_{A} \otimes x\right)\left(y^{\prime} \circ\left(\left.T\right|_{C_{r c}(X, E)}\right)\right) \\
& =\int_{X}\left(\mathbb{1}_{A} \otimes x\right) d m_{y^{\prime}}=\int_{X} \mathbb{1}_{A} d m_{x, y^{\prime}} \\
& =(m(A)(x))\left(y^{\prime}\right) \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

that is, $m_{o}=m$. By the first part of the proof (ii) and (vi) hold. Thus the proof is complete.

Following [14, 27] by $M_{\sigma}(\mathscr{B} a)$ we denote the space of all bounded countably additive, real-valued, regular (with respect to zero sets) measures on $\mathscr{B} a$.

We define $M_{\sigma}\left(\mathscr{B} a, E^{\prime}\right)$ to be the set of all measures $\mu$ : $\mathscr{B} a \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ such that the following two conditions are satisfied.
(i) For each $x \in E$, the function $\mu_{x}: \mathscr{B} a \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by $\mu_{x}(A)=\mu(A)(x)$ for $A \in \mathscr{B} a$, belongs to $M_{\sigma}(\mathscr{B} a)$.
(ii) $|\mu|(X)<\infty$, where for each $A \in \mathscr{B} a$, we define $|\mu|(A)=\sup \left|\sum \mu\left(A_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}\right)\right|$, where the supremum is taken over all finite $\mathscr{B} a$-partitions $\left(A_{i}\right)$ of $A$ and all finite collections $x_{i} \in B_{E}$.
It is known that if $\mu \in M_{\sigma}\left(\mathscr{B} a, E^{\prime}\right)$, then $|\mu| \in M_{\sigma}(\mathscr{B} a)$ (see [27, Lemma 2.1]).

The following result will be of importance (see [27, Theorem 2.5]).

Theorem 10. Let $\mu \in M_{\sigma}\left(X, E^{\prime}\right)$. Then $\mu$ possesses a unique extension $\bar{\mu} \in M_{\sigma}\left(\mathscr{B} a, E^{\prime}\right)$ and $|\bar{\mu}|(X)=|\mu|(X)$.

Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 6 we can obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 11. Assume that $C_{b}(X) \otimes E$ is $\beta_{\sigma}$-dense in $C_{b}(X, E)$ and $\mu \in M_{\sigma}\left(X, E^{\prime}\right)$. Then for $A \in \mathscr{B}$ a the following statements hold.
(i) A functional $\Phi_{A}: C_{r c}(X, E) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\Phi_{A}(h)=$ $\int_{A} h d \bar{\mu}$ is $\left.\beta_{\sigma}\right|_{C_{r c}(X, E)}$-continuous and can be uniquely extended to a $\beta_{\sigma}$-continuous linear functional $\overline{\Phi_{A}}$ : $C_{b}(X, E) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and one will write the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{A} f d \bar{\mu}:=\overline{\Phi_{A}}(f) \quad \text { for } f \in C_{b}(X, E) \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) For $f \in C_{b}(X, E),\left|\int_{A} f d \bar{\mu}\right| \leq \int_{A} \widetilde{f} d|\bar{\mu}|$.

By $M_{\sigma}(X, \mathscr{L}(E, F))$ we will denote the space of all operator measures $m: \mathscr{B} \rightarrow \mathscr{L}(E, F)$ such that $\widetilde{m}(X)<\infty$ and
$m_{y^{\prime}} \in M_{\sigma}\left(X, E^{\prime}\right)$ for each $y^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}$. By $M_{\sigma}(\mathscr{B} a, \mathscr{L}(E, F))$ we will denote the space of all operator measures $m: \mathscr{B} a \rightarrow$ $\mathscr{L}(E, F)$ with $\widetilde{m}(X)<\infty$ such that $m_{y^{\prime}} \in M_{\sigma}\left(\mathscr{B} a, E^{\prime}\right)$ for each $y^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}$.

Remark 12. Note that in view of the Orlicz-Pettis theorem every $m \in M_{\sigma}(\mathscr{B} a, \mathscr{L}(E, F))$ is countably additive in the strong operator topology; that is, for each $x \in E$, the measure $m_{x}: \mathscr{B} a \rightarrow F$ defined by $m_{x}(A):=m(A)(x)$ for $A \in \mathscr{B} a$ is countably additive. Moreover, in view of [30, Theorem 2] for each $x \in E, m_{x}$ is inner regular by zero sets and outer regular by cozero sets; that is, for each $A \in \mathscr{B} a$ and $\varepsilon>0$ there exist $Z \in \mathscr{Z}$ with $Z \subset A$ and $P \in \mathscr{P}$ with $A \subset \mathscr{P}$ such that $\left\|m_{x}\right\|(A \backslash Z) \leq \varepsilon$ and $\left\|m_{x}\right\|(P \backslash A) \leq \varepsilon$, $\left(\left\|m_{x}\right\|(A)\right.$ denotes the semivariation of $m_{x}$ on $\left.A \in \mathscr{B} a\right)$.

According to [14, Theorem 7] we have the following theorem.

Theorem 13. Assume that $m \in M_{\sigma}(X, \mathscr{L}(E, F))$ and $\{m(A)(x): A \in \mathscr{B}\}$ is a relatively weakly compact subset of $F$ for each $x \in E$. Then $m$ possesses a unique extension $\bar{m} \in$ $M_{\sigma}(\mathscr{B} a, \mathscr{L}(E, F))$ such that $\widetilde{\bar{m}}(X)=\widetilde{m}(X)$.

For a linear operator $T: C_{b}(X, E) \rightarrow F$ and $x \in E$ let $T_{x}(u):=T(u \otimes x)$ for $u \in C_{b}(X)$. For $m \in M_{\sigma}\left(\mathscr{B}, \mathscr{L}\left(E, F^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$ and $x \in E$ let $m_{x}(A):=m(A)(x)$ for $A \in \mathscr{B}$.

Theorem 14. Assume that $C_{b}(X) \otimes E$ is $\beta_{\sigma}$-dense in $C_{b}(X, E)$.
(I) Let $T: C_{b}(X, E) \rightarrow F$ be $a\left(\beta_{\sigma},\|\cdot\|_{F}\right)$-continuous linear operator such that $T_{x}: C_{b}(X) \rightarrow F$ is weakly compact for each $x \in E$, and let $m \in M\left(X, \mathscr{L}\left(E, F^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$ be the representing measure of $T$. Then the following statements hold.
(i) $m \in M_{\sigma}\left(X, \mathscr{L}\left(E, F^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$ and $\widetilde{m}\left(Z_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ whenever $Z_{n} \downarrow \emptyset,\left(Z_{n}\right) \subset \mathscr{Z}$.
(ii) $m(A)(x) \in i_{F}(F)$, for each $A \in \mathscr{B}, x \in E$, and the measure $m_{F}: \mathscr{B} \rightarrow \mathscr{L}(E, F)$, defined by $m_{F}(A)(x):=j_{F}(m(A)(x))$ for $A \in \mathscr{B}, x \in$ $E$, belongs to $M_{\sigma}(X, \mathscr{L}(E, F))$ and possesses a unique extension $\bar{m} \in M_{\sigma}(\mathscr{B} a, \mathscr{L}(E, F))$ with $\widetilde{\bar{m}}(X)=\widetilde{m}(X)$ which is countably additive both in the strong operator topology and in the weak star operator topology. Moreover, $\bar{m}_{y^{\prime}}=\bar{m}_{y^{\prime}}$ for $y^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}$.
(iii) For every $f \in C_{b}(X, E)$ and $A \in \mathscr{B} a$ there exists a unique vector in $F$, denoted by $\int_{A} f d \bar{m}$, such that, for each $y^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\left(\int_{A} f d \bar{m}\right)=\int_{A} f d \bar{m}_{y^{\prime}}$.
(iv) For each $A \in \mathscr{B} a$, the mapping $T_{A}: C_{b}(X, E) \rightarrow$ $F$ defined by $T_{A}(f)=\int_{A} f d \bar{m}$ is a $\left(\beta_{\sigma},\|\cdot\|_{F}\right)$ continuous linear operator.
(v) $T(f)=T_{X}(f)=\int_{X} f d \bar{m}$ for $f \in C_{b}(X, E)$.
(II) Let $m \in M_{\sigma}\left(X, \mathscr{L}\left(E, F^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$ be such that $\widetilde{m}\left(Z_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ whenever $Z_{n} \downarrow \emptyset,\left(Z_{n}\right) \subset \mathscr{Z}$ and for each $x \in E$, let $m_{x}: \mathscr{B} \rightarrow F^{\prime \prime}$ be strongly bounded. Then the operator
$T: C_{b}(X, E) \rightarrow F$ defined by $T(f)=j_{F}\left(\int_{X} f d m\right)$ is $\left(\beta_{\sigma},\|\cdot\|_{F}\right)$-continuous and $T_{x}: C_{b}(X) \rightarrow F$ is weakly compact for each $x \in E$, and the statements (ii)-(v) hold.

Proof. (I) (i) It follows from Theorem 9.
(ii) In view of Theorem $2 m(A)(x) \in i_{F}(F)$ for $A \in \mathscr{B}$, $x \in E$, and $\left\{m_{F}(A)(x): A \in \mathscr{B}\right\}$ is a relatively weakly compact in $F$ for each $x \in E$. Since $m_{F} \in M_{\sigma}(X, \mathscr{L}(E, F))$, by Theorem $13 m_{F}$ possesses a unique extension $\bar{m} \in$ $M_{\sigma}(\mathscr{B} a, \mathscr{L}(E, F))$ with $\widetilde{\bar{m}}(X)=\widetilde{m}(X)$. By the Orlicz-Pettis theorem $\bar{m}$ is countably additive in the strong operator topology. Moreover, since, for each $y^{\prime} \in F^{\prime},\left|\bar{m}_{y^{\prime}}\right| \in$ $M_{\sigma}(\mathscr{B} a)=c a(\mathscr{B} a)$, we obtain that $\bar{m}_{y^{\prime}} \in c a\left(\mathscr{B} a, E^{\prime}\right)$. This means that $\bar{m}: \mathscr{B} a \rightarrow \mathscr{L}(E, F)$ is countably additive in the weak star operator topology.

Let $y^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}$. Then for $A \in \mathscr{B}$ and $x \in E$ we have $\bar{m}_{y^{\prime}}(A)(x)=m_{y^{\prime}}(A)(x)$, and by Theorem 10, $\bar{m}_{y^{\prime}}=\overline{m_{y^{\prime}}}$.
(iii) For $A \in \mathscr{B} a$ let $S_{A}(h):=\int_{A} f d \bar{m}$ for $h \in C_{r c}(X, E)$. Proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 6 we can show that $S_{A}: C_{r c}(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is a $\left(\left.\beta_{\sigma}\right|_{C_{r c}(X, E)},\|\cdot\|_{F}\right)$-continuous linear operator, and hence $S_{A}$ possesses a unique $\left(\beta_{\sigma},\|\cdot\|_{F}\right)$ continuous linear extension $T_{A}: C_{b}(X, E) \rightarrow F$ (see [29, Theorem 2.6]). Let us write the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{A} f d \bar{m}:=T_{A}(f) \quad \text { for } f \in C_{b}(X, E) \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f \in C_{b}(X, E)$. Choose a net $\left(h_{\alpha}\right)$ in $C_{r c}(X, E)$ such that $h_{\alpha} \rightarrow f$ for $\beta_{\sigma}$. For each $y^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}, \bar{m}_{y^{\prime}}=\overline{m_{y^{\prime}}}$ (see (i)) and by Lemma 11 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{\prime}\left(\int_{A} f d \bar{m}\right) & =y^{\prime}\left(\lim _{\alpha} \int_{A} h_{\alpha} d \bar{m}\right)=\lim _{\alpha}\left(y^{\prime}\left(\int_{A} h_{\alpha} d \bar{m}\right)\right) \\
& =\lim _{\alpha} \int_{A} h_{\alpha} d \bar{m}_{y^{\prime}}=\lim _{\alpha} \int_{A} h_{\alpha} d \overline{m_{y^{\prime}}} \\
& =\int_{A} f d \overline{m_{y^{\prime}}}=\int_{A} f d \bar{m}_{y^{\prime}} . \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

(iv) It follows from the proof of (iii).
(v) Let $f \in C_{b}(X, E)$. In view of Theorem 9, for each $y^{\prime} \in$ $F^{\prime}, y^{\prime}(T(f))=\int_{X} f d m_{y^{\prime}}$. On the other hand by (ii) for $y^{\prime} \in$ $F^{\prime}$ we have $y^{\prime}\left(\int_{X} f d \bar{m}\right)=\int_{X} f d \bar{m}_{y^{\prime}}=\int_{X} f d m_{y^{\prime}}$. It follows that $T(f)=\int_{X} f d \bar{m}$.
(II) Since $\left\{m_{y^{\prime}}: y^{\prime} \in B_{F^{\prime}}\right\}$ satisfies the condition $\left(C_{\sigma}\right)$, by Theorem 9 for $f \in C_{b}(X, E), \int_{X} f d m \in i_{F}(F)$ and the mapping $T: C_{b}(X, E) \rightarrow F$ defined by $T(f):=$ $j_{F}\left(\int_{X} f d m\right)$ is a $\left(\beta_{\sigma},\|\cdot\|_{F}\right)$-continuous linear operator, and $m$ coincides with the representing measure of $T$. Hence in view of Theorem $2 T_{x}: C_{b}(X) \rightarrow F$ is a weakly compact operator. Thus by the first part of the proof the statements (ii)-(v) are satisfied.

## 4. Strongly Bounded Operators on $C_{b}(X, E)$

Definition 15. A bounded linear operator $T: C_{b}(X, E) \rightarrow$ $F$ is said to be strongly bounded if its representing measure
$m \in M\left(X, \mathscr{L}\left(E, F^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$ is strongly bounded; that is, $\widetilde{m}\left(A_{n}\right) \rightarrow$ 0 whenever $\left(A_{n}\right)$ is a pairwise disjoint sequence in $\mathscr{B}$.

Note that $m \in M\left(X, \mathscr{L}\left(E, F^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$ is strongly bounded if and only if the family $\left\{\left|m_{y^{\prime}}\right|: y^{\prime} \in B_{F^{\prime}}\right\}$ is uniformly strongly additive.

Now we are ready to state our main results that extend some classical results of Lewis (see [20, Theorem 5], [31, Lemma 1]) and Brooks and Lewis (see [22, Theorem 2.1], [21, Theorem 5.2]) concerning operators on the spaces $C(X, E)$ and $C_{o}(X, E)$, where $X$ is a compact or a locally compact space, respectively.

Theorem 16. Assume that $C_{b}(X) \otimes E$ is $\beta_{\sigma}$-dense in $C_{b}(X, E)$. Let $T: C_{b}(X, E) \rightarrow F$ be a $\left(\beta_{\sigma},\|\cdot\|_{F}\right)$-continuous linear operator and let $m \in M\left(X, \mathscr{L}\left(E, F^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$ be its representing measure. Then $m \in M_{\sigma}\left(X, \mathscr{L}\left(E, F^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$ and the following statements are equivalent.
(i) $T$ is strongly bounded.
(ii) sup $\left\{\left|\overline{m_{y^{\prime}}}\right|\left(A_{n}\right): y^{\prime} \in B_{F^{\prime}}\right\} \rightarrow 0$ whenever $A_{n} \downarrow \emptyset$, $\left(A_{n}\right) \subset \mathscr{B} a$ (here $\overline{m_{y^{\prime}}} \in M_{\sigma}\left(\mathscr{B} a, E^{\prime}\right)$ denotes the unique extension of $\left.m_{y^{\prime}} \in M_{\sigma}\left(X, E^{\prime}\right)\right)$.
(iii) If $\left(A_{n}\right)$ is a sequence in $\mathscr{B}$ a such that $A_{n} \downarrow \emptyset$, then there exists a nested sequence $\left(U_{n}\right)$ in $\mathscr{P}$ such that $A_{n} \subset U_{n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sup \left\{\|T(f)\|_{F}: f \in C_{b}(X, E),\|f\| \leq 1\right.$ and $\left.\operatorname{supp} f \subset U_{n}\right\} \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. In view of Theorem $9 m \in M_{\sigma}\left(X, \mathscr{L}\left(E, F^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$.
(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Assume that $T$ is strongly bounded. Since the family $\left\{\left|m_{y^{\prime}}\right|: y^{\prime} \in B_{F^{\prime}}\right\}$ is uniformly strongly additive, according to [25, Lemma 1, page 26] the family $\left\{\left|\overline{m_{y^{\prime}}}\right|: y^{\prime} \in\right.$ $\left.B_{F^{\prime}}\right\}$ is uniformly countably additive (see Theorem 16).
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) It follows from [25, Lemma 1, page 26].
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Assume that (ii) holds and $\left(A_{n}\right)$ is a sequence in $\mathscr{B} a$ such that $A_{n} \downarrow \emptyset$. Then there exists $\lambda \in c a(\mathscr{B} a)^{+}$such that $\left\{\left|\overline{m_{y^{\prime}}}\right|: y^{\prime} \in B_{F^{\prime}}\right\}$ is uniformly $\lambda$-continuous (see [25, Theorem 4, pages 11-12]). Let $\varepsilon>0$ be given. Hence there exists $\delta>0$ such that $\sup \left\{\left|\overline{m_{y^{\prime}}}\right|(A): y^{\prime} \in B_{F^{\prime}}\right\} \leq \varepsilon / 2$ whenever $\lambda(A) \leq \delta$ and $A \in \mathscr{B} a$. Since $\lambda$ is zero-set regular, there exists a nested sequence $\left(U_{n}\right)$ in $\mathscr{P}$ so that $A_{n} \subset U_{n}$ and $\lambda\left(U_{n} \backslash A_{n}\right) \leq \delta$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $\sup \left\{\left|\overline{m_{y^{\prime}}}\right|\left(U_{n} \backslash A_{n}\right): y^{\prime} \in\right.$ $\left.B_{F^{\prime}}\right\} \leq \varepsilon / 2$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In view of (ii) there exists $n_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sup \left\{\left|\overline{m_{y^{\prime}}}\right|\left(A_{n}\right): y^{\prime} \in B_{F^{\prime}}\right\} \leq \varepsilon / 2$ for $n \geq n_{\varepsilon}$. Hence $\sup \left\{\left|m_{y^{\prime}}\right|\left(U_{n}\right): y^{\prime} \in B_{F^{\prime}}\right\} \leq \varepsilon$ for $n \geq n_{\varepsilon}$; that is, $\sup \left\{\left|m_{y^{\prime}}\right|\left(U_{n}\right): y^{\prime} \in B_{F^{\prime}}\right\} \rightarrow 0$.

Let $f \in C_{b}(X, E),\|f\| \leq 1$, and supp $f \subset U_{n}$. Then by Theorem 9 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\|T(f)\|_{F} & =\sup \left\{\left|\int_{X} f d m_{y^{\prime}}\right|: y^{\prime} \in B_{F^{\prime}}\right\} \\
& \leq \sup \left\{\int_{X} \tilde{f} d\left|m_{y^{\prime}}\right|: y^{\prime} \in B_{F^{\prime}}\right\}  \tag{49}\\
& \leq \sup \left\{\left|m_{y^{\prime}}\right|\left(U_{n}\right): y^{\prime} \in B_{F^{\prime}}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that $\sup \left\{\|T(f)\|_{F}: f \in C_{b}(X, E),\|f\| \leq 1\right.$, supp $\left.f \subset U_{n}\right\} \rightarrow 0$.
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Assume that (iii) holds and $A_{n} \downarrow \emptyset,\left(A_{n}\right) \subset \mathscr{B} a$. Then there exists a nested sequence $\left(U_{n}\right)$ in $\mathscr{P}$ such that $A_{n} \subset$ $U_{n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup \left\{\|T(f)\|_{F}: f \in C_{b}(X, E),\|f\| \leq 1, \operatorname{supp} f_{n} \subset U_{n}\right\}  \tag{50}\\
& \quad \longrightarrow 0
\end{align*}
$$

Assume that (ii) does not hold. Then there exist $\varepsilon>0$ and $n_{\varepsilon} \in$ $\mathbb{N}$ such that $\sup \left\{\left|\overline{m_{y^{\prime}}}\right|\left(A_{n_{\varepsilon}}\right): y^{\prime} \in B_{F^{\prime}}\right\} \geq \varepsilon$ and $\|T(f)\|_{F} \leq$ $(1 / 8) \varepsilon$ whenever $f \in C_{b}(X, E),\|f\| \leq 1$, and $\operatorname{supp} f \subset U_{n_{\varepsilon}}$. It follows that there exists $y_{o}^{\prime} \in B_{F^{\prime}}$ such that $\left|\overline{m_{y^{\prime}}}\right|\left(A_{n_{\varepsilon}}\right) \geq \varepsilon$.
Hence there exist a finite $\mathscr{B} a$-partition $\left(B_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{k}$ of $A_{n_{\varepsilon}}$ and $x_{i} \in$ $B_{E}, i=1, \ldots, k$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\overline{m_{y_{o}^{\prime}}}\right|\left(A_{n_{\varepsilon}}\right)-\frac{\varepsilon}{4} \leq\left|\sum_{i=1}^{k} \overline{m_{y_{o}^{\prime}}}\left(B_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}\right)\right|=\left|\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\overline{m_{y_{o}^{\prime}}}\right)_{x_{i}}\left(B_{i}\right)\right| . \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left|\left(\overline{m_{y_{o}^{\prime}}}\right)_{x_{i}}\right| \in M_{\sigma}(\mathscr{B} a)$ is zero-set regular (see [4, page 118]), we can choose $Z_{i} \in \mathscr{Z}, Z_{i} \subset B_{i}$, such that $\left|\left(\overline{m_{y_{o}^{\prime}}}\right)_{x_{i}}\right|\left(B_{i} \mid\right.$ $\left.Z_{i}\right) \leq \varepsilon / 4 k$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$. Choose pairwise disjoint $V_{i} \in \mathscr{P}$ with $Z_{i} \subset V_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$ such that $\left|m_{x_{i}, y_{0}^{\prime}}\right|\left(V_{i} \backslash Z_{i}\right) \leq \varepsilon / 4 k$. Let $U_{i}=V_{i} \cap U_{n_{\varepsilon}}$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$. Then $U_{i} \in \mathscr{P}$ and $\left|m_{x_{i}, y_{o}^{\prime}}\right|\left(U_{i} \mid\right.$ $\left.Z_{i}\right) \leq \varepsilon / 4 k$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$. For $i=1, \ldots, k$ choose $u_{i} \in C_{b}(X)$ such that $0 \leq u_{i} \leq \mathbb{1}_{X},\left.u_{i}\right|_{Z_{i}} \equiv 0$, and $\left.u_{i}\right|_{X \backslash U_{i}} \equiv 0$ (see [4, page 115]). Let $h_{o}=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(u_{i} \otimes x_{i}\right)$. Then $\left\|h_{o}\right\| \leq 1, \operatorname{supp} h_{o} \subset U_{n_{\varepsilon}}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{U_{n_{\varepsilon}}} h_{o} d m_{y_{o}^{\prime}}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{U_{i}} u_{i} d m_{x_{i}, y_{o}^{\prime}} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\mid \overline{m_{y_{o}^{\prime}}} & \left(A_{n_{\varepsilon}}\right)-\frac{\varepsilon}{4} \\
\leq & \left|\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\overline{m_{y_{o}^{\prime}}}\right)_{x_{i}}\left(B_{i}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\overline{m_{y_{o}^{\prime}}}\right)_{x_{i}}\left(Z_{i}\right)\right| \\
& +\left|\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{Z_{i}} u_{i} d m_{x_{i}, y_{o}^{\prime}}-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{U_{i}} u_{i} d m_{x_{i}, y_{o}^{\prime}}\right| \\
& +\left|\int_{U_{n_{e}}} h_{o} d m_{y_{o}^{\prime}}\right|  \tag{53}\\
\leq & \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|\left(\overline{m_{y_{o}^{\prime}}}\right)_{x_{i}}\right|\left(B_{i} \backslash Z_{i}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{k}\left|m_{x_{i}, y_{o}^{\prime}}\right|\left(U_{i} \backslash Z_{i}\right) \\
& +\left|\int_{U_{n_{\varepsilon}}} h_{o} d m_{y_{o}^{\prime}}\right| \\
\leq & \frac{\varepsilon}{4}+\frac{\varepsilon}{4}+\left|\int_{U_{n_{\varepsilon}}} h_{o} d m_{y_{o}^{\prime}}\right|
\end{align*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\int_{U_{n_{\varepsilon}}} h_{o} d m_{y_{o}^{\prime}}\right| \geq\left|\overline{m_{y_{o}^{\prime}}}\right|\left(A_{n_{\varepsilon}}\right)-\frac{3}{4} \varepsilon \geq \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon, \\
\left\|T\left(h_{o}\right)\right\|_{F} \geq\left|y_{o}^{\prime}\left(T\left(h_{o}\right)\right)\right|=\left|\int_{X} h_{o} d m_{y_{o}^{\prime}}\right|  \tag{54}\\
=\left|\int_{U_{n_{\varepsilon}}} h_{o} d m_{y_{o}^{\prime}}\right| \geq \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon .
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus we get a contradiction to $\left\|T\left(h_{o}\right)\right\|_{F} \leq(1 / 8) \varepsilon$.
Thus the proof is complete.
Theorem 17. Assume that $C_{b}(X) \otimes E$ is $\beta_{\sigma}$-dense in $C_{b}(X, E)$. Let $T: C_{b}(X, E) \rightarrow F$ be a $\left(\beta_{\sigma},\|\cdot\|_{F}\right)$-continuous and strongly bounded operator and let $m \in M\left(X, \mathscr{L}\left(E, F^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$ be its representing measure. Then the following statements hold.
(i) $m \in M_{\sigma}\left(X, \mathscr{L}\left(E, F^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$ and $m(A)(x) \in i_{F}(F)$ for $A \in \mathscr{B}, x \in E$, and the measure $m_{F}: \mathscr{B} \rightarrow \mathscr{L}(E, F)$, defined by $m_{F}(A)(x):=j_{F}(m(A)(x))$ for $A \in \mathscr{B}$, $x \in E$, belongs to $M_{\sigma}(X, \mathscr{L}(E, F))$ and possesses a unique extension $\bar{m} \in M_{\sigma}(\mathscr{B} a, \mathscr{L}(E, F))$ with $\widetilde{\bar{m}}(X)=$ $\widetilde{m}_{F}(X)=\widetilde{m}(X)$ which is variationally semiregular; that is, $\widetilde{\bar{m}}\left(A_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ whenever $A_{n} \downarrow \emptyset,\left(A_{n}\right) \subset \mathscr{B} a$.
(ii) For every $f \in C_{b}(X, E)$ and $A \in \mathscr{B} a$ there exists a unique vector in $F$, denoted by $\int_{A} f d \bar{m}$, such that, for each $y^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\left(\int_{A} f d \bar{m}\right)=\int_{A} f d \bar{m}_{y^{\prime}}$.
(iii) For each $A \in \mathscr{B} a, \int_{A} f_{n} d \bar{m} \rightarrow 0$ whenever $\left(f_{n}\right)$ is a uniformly bounded sequence in $C_{b}(X, E)$ such that $f_{n}(t) \rightarrow 0$ for $t \in X$.
(iv) $T(f)=\int_{X} f d \bar{m}$ for $f \in C_{b}(X, E)$.
(v) $T\left(f_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ whenever $\left(f_{n}\right)$ is a uniformly bounded sequence in $C_{b}(X, E)$ such that $f_{n}(t) \rightarrow 0$ for $t \in X$.

Proof. (i) Note that, for $x \in E,\left\|m_{x}(A)\right\|_{F^{\prime \prime}} \leq \widetilde{m}(A)\|x\|_{E}$ for $A \in \mathscr{B}$. Hence $m_{x}: \mathscr{B} \rightarrow F^{\prime \prime}$ is strongly bounded, and by Theorems 2 and $14 m(A)(x) \in i_{F}(F)$ and $m_{F}$ possesses a unique extension $\bar{m} \in M_{\sigma}(\mathscr{B} a, \mathscr{L}(E, F))$ with $\widetilde{\bar{m}}(X)=$ $\widetilde{m}_{F}(X)=\widetilde{m}(X)$. Since $\bar{m}_{y^{\prime}}=\overline{m_{y^{\prime}}}$ for $y^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}$, by Theorem 16 we have $\widetilde{\bar{m}}\left(A_{n}\right)=\sup \left\{\left|\bar{m}_{y^{\prime}}\right|\left(A_{n}\right): y^{\prime} \in B_{F^{\prime}}\right\} \rightarrow 0$ whenever $A_{n} \downarrow \emptyset,\left(A_{n}\right) \subset \mathscr{B} a$.
(ii) It follows from Theorem 14 because for each $x \in E$, $T_{x}: C_{c}(X) \rightarrow F$ is weakly compact (see Theorem 2 ).
(iii) In view of (i) there exists $\lambda \in c a(\mathscr{B} a)^{+}$such that $\left\{\left|\bar{m}_{y^{\prime}}\right|: y^{\prime} \in B_{F^{\prime}}\right\}$ is $\lambda$-continuous (see [25, Theorem 4, pages 11-12]). Let $\left(f_{n}\right)$ be a sequence in $C_{b}(X, E)$ such that $\sup _{n}\left\|f_{n}\right\|=M<\infty$ and $f_{n}(t) \rightarrow 0$ for every $t \in X$. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be given. Then there exists $\delta>0$ such that $\sup \left\{\left|\bar{m}_{y^{\prime}}\right|(A): y^{\prime} \in\right.$ $\left.B_{F^{\prime}}\right\} \leq \varepsilon / 2 M$ whenever $\lambda(A) \leq \delta, A \in \mathscr{B} a$. Since $\widetilde{f}_{n} \in B(\mathscr{B})$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by the Egoroff theorem there exists $A_{\delta} \in \mathscr{B} a$ with $\lambda\left(X \backslash A_{\delta}\right) \leq \delta$ and $\sup _{t \in A_{\delta}} \tilde{f}_{n}(t) \rightarrow 0$. Choose $n_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sup _{t \in A_{\delta}} \widetilde{f}_{n}(t) \leq \varepsilon / 2 \widetilde{m}(X)$ for $n \geq n_{\varepsilon}$.

Let $A \in \mathscr{B} a$. Note that $\bar{m}_{y^{\prime}}=\overline{m_{y^{\prime}}}$ for $y^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}$. Then by Lemma 11 and (ii), for $n \geq n_{\varepsilon}$ and $y^{\prime} \in B_{F^{\prime}}$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|y^{\prime}\left(\int_{A} f_{n} d \bar{m}\right)\right| \\
& \quad=\left|\int_{A} f_{n} d \bar{m}_{y^{\prime}}\right| \\
& \quad \leq \int_{A} \widetilde{f}_{n} d\left|\bar{m}_{y^{\prime}}\right| \leq \int_{X} \widetilde{f}_{n} d\left|\bar{m}_{y^{\prime}}\right| \\
& \quad=\int_{A_{\delta}} \widetilde{f}_{n} d\left|\bar{m}_{y^{\prime}}\right|+\int_{X \backslash A_{\delta}} \widetilde{f}_{n} d\left|\bar{m}_{y^{\prime}}\right|  \tag{55}\\
& \quad \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2 \widetilde{m}(X)}\left|\bar{m}_{y^{\prime}}\right|\left(A_{\delta}\right)+M \cdot\left|\bar{m}_{y^{\prime}}\right|\left(X \backslash A_{\delta}\right) \\
& \quad \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2 \widetilde{m}(X)}\left|m_{y^{\prime}}\right|(X)+M \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{2 M} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}=\varepsilon .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence \| $\int_{A} f_{n} d \bar{m} \|_{F} \leq \varepsilon$ for $n \geq n_{\varepsilon}$, as desired.
(iv) It follows from Theorem 14.
(v) It follows from (iii) and (iv).

Let $\mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\mathscr{R} a, E)$ stand for the Banach space of all bounded strongly $\mathscr{B} a$-measurable functions $g: X \rightarrow E$, equipped with the uniform norm $\|\cdot\|$. Assume that $m: \mathscr{B} \rightarrow \mathscr{L}(E, F)$ with $\widetilde{m}(X)<\infty$ is variationally semiregular. Then every $g \in$ $\mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\mathscr{B} a, E)$ is $m$-integrable (see [32, Definition 2, page 523 and Theorem 5, page 524]) and $\int_{X} g_{n} d m \rightarrow 0$ whenever $\left(g_{n}\right)$ is a uniformly bounded sequence in $\mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\mathscr{B} a, E)$ converging pointwise to 0 (see [33, Proposition 2.2]).

Recall that a series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} z_{i}$ in a Banach space $G$ is called weakly unconditionally Cauchy (wuc) if, for each $z^{\prime} \in G^{\prime}$, $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|z^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|<\infty$. We say that a linear operator $T: G \rightarrow F$ is unconditionally converging if for every weakly unconditionally Cauchy series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} z_{i}$ in $G$, the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} T\left(z_{i}\right)$ converges unconditionally in a Banach space $F$.

As an application of Theorem 17 we have the following result.

Corollary 18. Assume that $C_{b}(X) \otimes E$ is $\beta_{\sigma}$-dense in $C_{b}(X, E)$, where $E$ is a separable Banach space which contains no isomorphic copy of $c_{o}$. Let $T: C_{b}(X, E) \rightarrow F$ be a $\left(\beta_{\sigma},\|\cdot\|_{F}\right)$-continuous and strongly bounded operator. Then $T$ is unconditionally converging.

Proof. Assume that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_{i}$ is a wuc series in the Banach space $C_{b}(X, E)$. Hence $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|x^{\prime}\left(f_{i}(t)\right)\right|<\infty$ for each $t \in X$ and $x^{\prime} \in$ $E^{\prime}$ because $\delta_{t, x^{\prime}} \in C_{b}(X, E)^{\prime}$, where $\delta_{t, x^{\prime}}(f)=x^{\prime}(f(t))$ for $f \in C_{b}(X, E)$. It follows that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_{i}(t)$ is an unconditionally convergent series in $E$ for each $t \in X$ because $E$ contains no isomorphic copy of $c_{o}$ (see [34]). Let $g_{o}(t)=\lim _{n} S_{n}(t)$ for $t \in$ $X$, where $S_{n}(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(t)$ for $t \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\sup _{n}\left\|S_{n}\right\|<$ $\infty$ because $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_{i}$ is wuc (see [34]) and $S_{n} \in \mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\mathscr{B} a, E)$ because $E$ is assumed to be separable (see [2, Theorem 21, page 9]). Hence $g_{o} \in \mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\mathscr{B} a, E)$ (see [2, Theorem 10, page 6]).

Let $m \in M_{\sigma}\left(X, \mathscr{L}\left(E, F^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$ be the representing measure of $T$ and let $\bar{m} \in M_{\sigma}(\mathscr{B} a, \mathscr{L}(E, F))$ be a unique extension of $m_{F} \in M_{\sigma}(\mathscr{B}, \mathscr{L}(E, F))$ (see Theorem 17). Since $\bar{m}$ is
variationally semiregular, in view of [33, Proposition 2.2] we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} T\left(f_{i}\right)=\lim _{n} \int_{X} S_{n} d \bar{m}=\int_{X} g_{o} d \bar{m} \in E . \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} T\left(f_{i}\right)=\int_{X} g_{o} d \bar{m}$. Finally, if $\left(n_{j}\right)$ is any permutation of $\mathbb{N}$, then $\lim _{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{n_{j}}(t)=g_{o}(t)$ for $t \in X$. Then $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} T\left(f_{n_{j}}\right)=\int_{X} g_{o} d \bar{m}$, as desired.

Remark 19. A related result to Corollary 18 for strongly bounded operators on the space $C_{o}(X, E)$ of $E$-valued continuous functions vanishing at infinity defined on a locally compact space $X$ was obtained by Brooks and Lewis (see [21, Theorem 5.2]).

Recall that a Banach space $E$ is said to be a Schur space if every weakly convergent sequence in $E$ is norm convergent.

As a consequence of Theorem 17 we derive the following Dunford-Pettis type theorem for operators on $C_{b}(X, E)$.

Theorem 20. Assume that $C_{b}(X) \otimes E$ is $\beta_{\sigma}$-dense in $C_{b}(X, E)$, where $E$ is a Schur space. Let T : $C_{b}(X, E) \rightarrow F$ be a $\left(\beta_{\sigma},\|\cdot\|_{F}\right)$ continuous and strongly bounded operator. Then $T\left(f_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ in $F$ whenever $\left(f_{n}\right)$ is a $\sigma\left(C_{b}(X, E), M_{\sigma}\left(X, E^{\prime}\right)\right)$ convergent to 0 sequence in $C_{b}(X, E)$.

Proof. Assume that $f_{n} \rightarrow 0$ for $\sigma\left(C_{b}(X, E), M_{\sigma}\left(X, E^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Then according to [11, Corollary 5], we obtain that $\sup _{n}\left\|f_{n}\right\|<$ $\infty$ and $f_{n}(t) \rightarrow 0$ in $\sigma\left(E, E^{\prime}\right)$ for each $t \in X$. It follows that $\left\|f_{n}(t)\right\|_{E} \rightarrow 0$ for $t \in X$ because $E$ is supposed to be a Schur space. Using Theorem 17 we derive that $T\left(f_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ in $F$, as desired.
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