

Research Article

Uniform Boundedness Principle for Nonlinear Operators on Cones of Functions

Aljoša Peperko ^{1,2}

¹Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Ljubljana, Aškerčeva 6, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

²Institute of Mathematics, Physics and Mechanics, Jadranska 19, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

Correspondence should be addressed to Aljoša Peperko; aljosa.peperko@fs.uni-lj.si

Received 29 December 2017; Revised 7 March 2018; Accepted 15 March 2018; Published 23 April 2018

Academic Editor: Adrian Petrusel

Copyright © 2018 Aljoša Peperko. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We prove a uniform boundedness principle for the Lipschitz seminorm of continuous, monotone, positively homogeneous, and subadditive mappings on suitable cones of functions. The result is applicable to several classes of classically nonlinear operators.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Uniform boundedness principle for bounded linear operators (Banach-Steinhaus theorem) is one of the cornerstones of classical functional analysis (see, e.g., [1–3] and the references cited therein). In this article we prove a new uniform boundedness principle for monotone, positively homogeneous, subadditive, and Lipschitz mappings defined on a suitable cone of functions (Theorem 2). This result is applicable to several classes of classically nonlinear operators (Examples 4 and 5 and Remarks 7 and 8).

Let Ω be a nonempty set. Throughout the article let X denote a vector space of all functions $\varphi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ or a vector space of all equivalence classes of (almost equal everywhere) real measurable functions on Ω , if $(\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ is a measure space. As usual, $|\varphi|$ denotes the absolute value of $\varphi \in X$.

Let $Y \subset X$ be a vector space and let Y_+ denote the positive cone of Y , that is, the set of all $\varphi \in Y$ such that $\varphi(\omega) \geq 0$ for all (almost all) $\omega \in \Omega$. The space Y is called an ordered vector space with the partial ordering induced by the cone Y_+ . If, in addition, Y is a normed space it is called an ordered normed space. The vector space $Y \subset X$ is called a vector lattice (or a Riesz space) if for every $\varphi, \psi \in Y$ we have a supremum and infimum (greatest lower bound) in Y . If, in addition, Y is a normed space and if $|\varphi| \leq |\psi|$ implies $\|\varphi\| \leq \|\psi\|$, then Y is a called normed vector lattice (or a normed Riesz space). Note that in a normed vector lattice Y we have $\|\varphi\| = \|\varphi\|$ for all

$\varphi \in Y$. A complete normed vector lattice is called a Banach lattice. Observe that X itself is a vector lattice.

Let $Y \subset X$ be a normed space. The cone Y_+ is called normal if and only if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $\|\varphi\| \leq C\|\psi\|$ whenever $\varphi \leq \psi$, $\varphi, \psi \in Y_+$. A cone Y_+ is normal if and only if there exists an equivalent monotone norm $|||\cdot|||$ on Y ; that is, $|||\varphi||| \leq |||\psi|||$ whenever $0 \leq \varphi \leq \psi$ (see, e.g., [4, Theorem 2.38]). A positive cone of a normed vector lattice is closed and normal. Every closed cone in a finite dimensional Banach space is necessarily normal.

Let $Z \subset Y$ be a cone (not necessarily equal to Y_+). A cone Z is said to be complete if it is a complete metric space in the topology induced by Y . In the case when Y is a Banach space this is equivalent to Z being closed in Y .

A mapping $A : Z \rightarrow Z$ is called positively homogeneous (of degree 1) if $A(t\varphi) = tA(\varphi)$ for all $t \geq 0$ and $\varphi \in Z$. A mapping $A : Z \rightarrow Z$ is called Lipschitz if there exists $L > 0$ such that $\|A\varphi - A\psi\| \leq L\|\varphi - \psi\|$ for all $\varphi, \psi \in Z$ and we denote

$$\|A\|_{\text{LIP}} = \sup_{\varphi, \psi \in Z, \varphi \neq \psi} \frac{\|A\varphi - A\psi\|}{\|\varphi - \psi\|}. \quad (1)$$

If A is Lipschitz and positively homogeneous, then

$$\|A\|_{\text{LIP}} = \sup_{\varphi, \psi \in Z, \|\varphi - \psi\|=1} \|A\varphi - A\psi\|. \quad (2)$$

Note also that a Lipschitz and positively homogeneous mapping A on Z is always bounded on Z ; that is,

$$\|A\| = \sup_{\varphi \in Z, \varphi \neq 0} \frac{\|A\varphi\|}{\|\varphi\|} = \sup_{\varphi \in Z, \|\varphi\|=1} \|A\varphi\| \quad (3)$$

is finite and it holds that $\|A\| \leq \|A\|_{\text{LIP}}$. Moreover, a positively homogeneous mapping $A : Z \rightarrow Z$, which is continuous at 0, is bounded on Z .

A set $K \subset Y$ is called a wedge if $K + K \subset K$ and if $tK \subset K$ for all $t \geq 0$. A wedge K induces on Y a vector preordering \leq_K ($\varphi \leq_K \psi$ if and only if $\psi - \varphi \in K$), which is reflexive and transitive, but not necessarily antisymmetric.

If $K \subset Y$ is a wedge, then $A : K \rightarrow Y$ is called subadditive if $A(\varphi + \psi) \leq A\varphi + A\psi$ for $\varphi, \psi \in K$ and is called monotone (order preserving) if $A\varphi \leq A\psi$ whenever $\varphi \leq \psi$, $\varphi, \psi \in K$. Note that in this definition of subadditivity and monotonicity we consider on Y (and on K) a partial ordering \leq_{Y_+} induced by Y_+ (not a preordering \leq_K). One of the reasons for this choice is that, for example, it may happen that a nonlinear map is monotone with respect to the ordering \leq_{Y_+} , but it is not monotone with respect to the preordering \leq_K (see, e.g., [5, Section 5] and max-type operators, or [6] and the “renormalization operators” which occur in discussing diffusion on fractals). Moreover, for similar reasons wherever in our article we consider a subcone $Z \subset Y_+$ we consider on Z a partial ordering \leq_{Y_+} induced by Y_+ (not a partial ordering \leq_Z). Observe that in this setting the set $Z - Z$ is a vector subspace in Y and thus a wedge.

In our main result (Theorem 2) we will consider a normed space $Y \subset X$ with a normal cone Y_+ and a complete subcone $Z \subset Y_+$ that satisfies $|\varphi - \psi| \in Z$ for all $\varphi, \psi \in Z$ and such that $\|\varphi\| = \|\varphi\|$ for all $\varphi = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2$, where $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in Z$. Since X itself is a vector lattice the above assumptions make sense. Note also that a positive cone $Z = Y_+$ of each Banach lattice Y or, in particular, of each Banach function space (see, e.g., [2, 7–11] and the references cited therein) satisfies these properties. For the theory of cones, wedges, linear and nonlinear operators on cones and wedges, Banach ordered spaces, Banach function spaces, vector and Banach lattices, and applications, for example, in financial mathematics, we refer the reader to [2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 12–19] and the references cited therein.

2. Results

We will need the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let $Y \subset X$ be a vector space and let $Z \subset Y_+$ be a subcone such that $|\varphi - \psi| \in Z$ for all $\varphi, \psi \in Z$. If $A : Z - Z \rightarrow Y$ is a subadditive and monotone mapping, then*

$$|A\varphi - A\psi| \leq A|\varphi - \psi|, \quad (4)$$

for all $\varphi, \psi \in Z$.

If, in addition, Y is a normed space such that Y_+ is normal and $Z \subset Y_+$ is a subcone such that $\|\varphi\| = \|\varphi\|$ for all $\varphi = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2$, where $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in Z$, and if $AZ \subset Z$ and A is bounded on Z , then A is Lipschitz on Z .

Proof. Let $\varphi, \psi \in Z$. Since $A : Z - Z \rightarrow Y$ is a subadditive, we have

$$A\varphi = A(\varphi - \psi + \psi) \leq A(\varphi - \psi) + A\psi. \quad (5)$$

It follows that $A\varphi - A\psi \leq A(\varphi - \psi) \leq A|\varphi - \psi|$, since A is monotone and $\varphi - \psi \leq |\varphi - \psi|$. Similarly one obtains that $A\psi - A\varphi \leq A|\varphi - \psi|$, which proves (4).

Assume that, in addition, Y is a normed space such that Y_+ is normal (with a normality constant C) and $Z \subset Y_+$ a subcone such that $\|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\| = \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\|$ for all $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in Z$ and that $AZ \subset Z$ and A is bounded on Z . It follows from (4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\varphi - A\psi\| &= \| |A\varphi - A\psi| \| \leq C \|A|\varphi - \psi|\| \\ &\leq C \|A\| \|\varphi - \psi\|, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

and thus A is Lipschitz on Z (and $\|A\|_{\text{LIP}} \leq C\|A\|$), which completes the proof. \square

The following uniform boundedness principle is the central result of this article.

Theorem 2. *Let $Y \subset X$ be a normed space such that Y_+ is normal and let $Z \subset Y_+$ be a complete subcone, such that $|\varphi - \psi| \in Z$ for all $\varphi, \psi \in Z$ and such that $\|\varphi\| = \|\varphi\|$ for all $\varphi \in Z - Z$. Assume that \mathcal{A} is a set of subadditive and monotone mappings $A : Z - Z \rightarrow Y$ such that $AZ \subset Z$ and that each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is positively homogeneous and continuous on Z .*

If the set $\{A\varphi : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is bounded for each $\varphi \in Z$ (i.e., for each $\varphi \in Z$ there exists $M_\varphi > 0$ such that $\|A\varphi\| \leq M_\varphi$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$), then there exists $M > 0$ such that $\|A\|_{\text{LIP}} \leq M$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. Since Z is closed and each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is continuous on Z the set

$$A_n = \{\varphi \in Z : \|A\varphi\| \leq n \ \forall A \in \mathcal{A}\} \quad (7)$$

is closed in Y for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, Z is a complete metric space and $Z = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$. By Baire's theorem there exist $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varphi_0 \in Z$, and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that an open ball $\mathcal{O}(\varphi_0, 3\varepsilon C) = \{\varphi \in Z : \|\varphi - \varphi_0\| < 3\varepsilon C\} \subset A_{n_0}$, where C is the normality constant of Y_+ .

Let $\varphi, \psi \in Z$ such that $\|\varphi - \psi\| = 1$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Since Z is a normal cone and A is positively homogeneous on Z , we have by (4)

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\varphi - A\psi\| &= \| |A\varphi - A\psi| \| \leq C \|A|\varphi - \psi|\| \\ &= \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \|A(\varepsilon|\varphi - \psi|)\|. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Since A is subadditive and monotone on $Z - Z$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(\varepsilon|\varphi - \psi|) &= A(\varphi_0 + \varepsilon|\varphi - \psi| - \varphi_0) \\ &\leq A\varphi_0 + A(\varepsilon|\varphi - \psi| - \varphi_0) \\ &\leq A\varphi_0 + A(|\varepsilon\varphi - \varepsilon\psi| - \varphi_0), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

which together with (8) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\varphi - A\psi\| &\leq \frac{C^2}{\varepsilon} (\|A\varphi_0\| + \|A|\varepsilon|\varphi - \psi| - \varphi_0\|) \\ &\leq \frac{C^2}{\varepsilon} (n_0 + \|A|\varepsilon|\varphi - \psi| - \varphi_0\|). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

We also have

$$|\varepsilon|\varphi - \psi| - \varphi_0| - \varphi_0| < 3\varepsilon|\varphi - \psi|. \quad (11)$$

Indeed, if $\varepsilon|\varphi(\omega) - \psi(\omega)| - \varphi_0(\omega) \leq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &|\varepsilon|\varphi(\omega) - \psi(\omega)| - \varphi_0(\omega)| - \varphi_0(\omega)| \\ &= \varepsilon|\varphi(\omega) - \psi(\omega)|, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

and if $\varepsilon|\varphi(\omega) - \psi(\omega)| - \varphi_0(\omega) > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &|\varepsilon|\varphi(\omega) - \psi(\omega)| - \varphi_0(\omega)| - \varphi_0(\omega)| \\ &= |\varepsilon|\varphi(\omega) - \psi(\omega)| - 2\varphi_0(\omega)| \\ &\leq \varepsilon|\varphi(\omega) - \psi(\omega)| + 2\varphi_0(\omega) < 3\varepsilon|\varphi(\omega) - \psi(\omega)|, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

which proves (11).

It follows from (11) that $\|\varepsilon|\varphi - \psi| - \varphi_0| - \varphi_0\| \leq 3C\varepsilon\|\varphi - \psi\| = 3C\varepsilon$ and thus $|\varepsilon|\varphi - \psi| - \varphi_0| \in A_{n_0}$ and so $\|A|\varepsilon|\varphi - \psi| - \varphi_0\| \leq n_0$. Therefore

$$\|A\varphi - A\psi\| \leq \frac{2C^2n_0}{\varepsilon}, \quad (14)$$

and so $\|A\|_{\text{LIP}} \leq 2C^2n_0/\varepsilon$. \square

Remark 3. (i) Each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies $\|A\| \leq \|A\|_{\text{LIP}} \leq C\|A\|$ (see the proof of Lemma 1). Therefore we could alternatively set $\psi = 0$ in the proof above and prove a uniform upper bound $\|A\| \leq 2Cn_0/\varepsilon$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$, which gives the same conclusion.

(ii) In the proofs of Lemma 1 and Theorem 2 we did not need the assumption $Z \cap (-Z) = \{0\}$, so it suffices to assume that Z is a wedge in these two results (not necessarily a cone).

(iii) Also the assumption on normality of Y_+ can be slightly weakened in Lemma 1 and Theorem 2. Instead of normality of Y_+ it suffices to assume that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $\|\varphi\| \leq C\|\psi\|$ whenever $\varphi \leq \psi$, $\varphi, \psi \in Z$ (where again $\varphi \leq \psi$ means $\varphi \leq_{Y_+} \psi$).

Our results can be applied to various classes of nonlinear operators. In particular, they apply to various max-kernel operators (and their isomorphic versions) appearing in the literature (see, e.g., [5, 19–21] and the references cited therein). We point out the following two related examples from [5, 17–19].

Example 4. Given $a > 0$, let $Y = C[0, a]$ be Banach lattice of continuous functions on $[0, a]$ equipped with $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ norm. Consider the following max-type kernel operators $A : C[0, a] \rightarrow C[0, a]$ of the form

$$(A(\varphi))(s) = \max_{t \in [\alpha(s), \beta(s)]} k(s, t) \varphi(t), \quad (15)$$

where $\varphi \in C[0, a]$ and $\alpha, \beta : [0, a] \rightarrow [0, a]$ are given continuous functions satisfying $\alpha \leq \beta$. The kernel $k : S \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a given nonnegative continuous function, where S denotes the compact set

$$S = \{(s, t) \in [0, a] \times [0, a] : t \in [\alpha(s), \beta(s)]\}. \quad (16)$$

It is clear that for $Z = C_+[0, a]$ it holds that $AZ \subset Z$. The eigenproblem of these operators arises in the study of periodic solutions of a class of differential-delay equations

$$\varepsilon y'(t) = g(y(t), y(t - \tau)), \quad \tau = \tau(y(t)), \quad (17)$$

with state-dependent delay (see, e.g., [19]).

The mapping $A : Y \rightarrow Y$ is subadditive and monotone and is positively homogeneous and Lipschitz on Z . Moreover, $\|A\|_{\text{LIP}} = \|A\| = \max_{(s_0, s_1) \in \mathcal{S}_1} k(s_0, s_1)$, where $\mathcal{S}_1 = \{(s_0, s_1) : s_0 \in [0, a], s_1 \in [\alpha(s_0), \beta(s_0)]\}$. Clearly, Theorem 2 applies to sets of such mappings.

Consequently, Theorem 2 applies also to isomorphic max-plus mappings (see, e.g., [19] and the references cited therein) and a Lipschitz seminorm with respect to a suitably induced metric. Note that a related result for uniform boundedness (in fact contractivity) result for a Lipschitz seminorm of semigroups of max-plus mappings was stated in [22, 23]. However, observe that the Lipschitz seminorm there is defined with respect to a different metric than that in our case.

We also point out the following related example from [17, 18].

Example 5. Let M be a nonempty set and let Y be the set of all bounded real functions on M . With the norm $\|f\|_\infty = \sup\{|f(t)| : t \in M\}$ and natural operations, Y is a Banach lattice. Let $Z = Y_+$ and let $k : M \times M \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfy $\sup\{k(t, s) : t, s \in M\} < \infty$. Let $A : Y \rightarrow Y$ be defined by $(Af)(s) = \sup\{k(s, t)f(t) : t \in M\}$. Then $A : Y \rightarrow Y$ is subadditive and monotone mapping that satisfies $AZ \subset Z$ and is positively homogeneous and Lipschitz on Z ; therefore Theorem 2 applies to sets of such mappings. It also holds that $\|A\|_{\text{LIP}} = \|A\| = \sup\{k(t, s) : t, s \in M\}$. In particular, if M is the set of all natural numbers \mathbb{N} , our results apply to infinite bounded nonnegative matrices $k = [k(i, j)]$ (i.e., $k(i, j) \geq 0$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\|k\|_\infty = \sup_{i, j \in \mathbb{N}} k(i, j) < \infty$). In this case, $Y = l^\infty$ and $Z = l_+^\infty$ and $\|A\|_{\text{LIP}} = \|A\| = \|k\|_\infty$.

Remark 6. The special case of Example 5 when $M = \{1, \dots, n\}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is well known and studied under the name max-algebra (an analogue of linear algebra). Together with its isomorphic versions (max-plus algebra and min-plus algebra also known as tropical algebra) it provides an attractive way of describing a class of nonlinear problems appearing, for instance, in manufacturing and transportation scheduling, information technology, discrete event-dynamic systems, combinatorial optimization, mathematical physics, and DNA analysis (see, e.g., [24–29] and the references cited therein).

Remark 7. Our results apply also to more general max-type operators studied in [5, Section 5]. The authors considered

there finite sums of more general operators than in Example 4 defined on a Banach space of continuous functions and their restrictions to suitable closed cones. The assumptions of our results are satisfied also for these mappings and therefore also for a special case of cone-linear Perron-Frobenius operators studied there.

Remark 8. Theorem 2 applies to several classes of nonlinear integral operators (under suitable assumptions on the kernels and on the defining nonlinearities) including Hammerstein type operators (see, e.g., [12, Chapter 12, p. 338] and [30, 31]), Uryson type operators (see, e.g., [12, Chapter 12, p. 339] and [32]), and Hardy-Littlewood type operators (see, e.g., [33–36]).

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares that they have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

The author acknowledges a partial support of the Slovenian Research Agency (Grants P1-0222 and J1-8133).

References

- [1] J. B. Conway, *A Course in Functional Analysis*, vol. 96 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, 2nd edition, 1990.
- [2] Y. A. Abramovich and C. D. Aliprantis, *An Invitation to Operator Theory*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, 2002.
- [3] K.-J. Engel and R. Nagel, *One-Parameter Semigroups for Linear Evolution Equations*, vol. 194 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, 2000.
- [4] C. D. Aliprantis and R. Tourky, *Cones and Duality*, vol. 84 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, 2007.
- [5] J. Mallet-Paret and R. D. Nussbaum, “Generalizing the Krein-Rutman theorem, measures of noncompactness and the fixed point index,” *Journal of Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 103–143, 2010.
- [6] B. Lins and R. Nussbaum, “Denjoy-Wolff theorems, Hilbert metric nonexpansive maps and reproduction-decimation operators,” *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol. 254, no. 9, pp. 2365–2386, 2008.
- [7] C. D. Aliprantis and O. Burkinshaw, *Positive Operators*, Springer, Dordrecht, Netherlands, 2006, Reprint of the 1985 original.
- [8] A. C. Zaanen, *Riesz spaces II*, vol. 30 of *North-Holland Mathematical Library*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.
- [9] A. Peperko, “Bounds on the joint and generalized spectral radius of the Hadamard geometric mean of bounded sets of positive kernel operators,” *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, vol. 533, pp. 418–427, 2017.
- [10] R. Drnovšek and A. Peperko, “Inequalities on the spectral radius and the operator norm of hadamard products of positive operators on sequence spaces,” *Banach Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 800–814, 2016.
- [11] A. Peperko, “Inequalities on the spectral radius, operator norm and numerical radius of the Hadamard weighted geometric mean of positive kernel operators, 2016,” <https://arxiv.org/abs/1612.01767>.
- [12] J. Appell, E. De Pascale, and A. Vignoli, *Nonlinear Spectral Theory*, Walter de Gruyter GmbH and Co. KG, Berlin, Germany, 2004.
- [13] W. Wnuk, *Banach Lattices with Order Continuous Norms*, Polish Scientific Publishers PWN, Warszawa, Poland, 1999.
- [14] C. D. Aliprantis, D. J. Brown, and O. Burkinshaw, *Existence and Optimality of Competitive Equilibria*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany, 1990.
- [15] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces I and II*, Springer, 1996, A reprint of the 1977 and 1979 editions.
- [16] M. de Jeu and M. Messerschmidt, “A strong open mapping theorem for surjections from cones onto Banach spaces,” *Advances in Mathematics*, vol. 259, pp. 43–66, 2014.
- [17] V. Müller and A. Peperko, “On the bonsall cone spectral radius and the approximate point spectrum,” *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems- Series A*, vol. 37, no. 10, pp. 5337–5354, 2017.
- [18] V. Müller and A. Peperko, “Lower spectral radius and spectral mapping theorem for suprema preserving mappings,” <https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.00340>.
- [19] J. Mallet-Paret and R. D. Nussbaum, “Eigenvalues for a class of homogeneous cone maps arising from max-plus operators,” *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems - Series A*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 519–562, 2002.
- [20] V. N. Kolokoltsov and V. P. Maslov, *Idempotent analysis and Its applications*, vol. 401 of *Mathematics and Its Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1997.
- [21] M. Akian, S. Gaubert, and R. D. Nussbaum, *A Collatz-Wielandt characterization of the spectral radius of order-preserving homogeneous maps on cones*, 2011, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1112.5968>.
- [22] M. K. Fijavž, A. Peperko, and E. Sikolya, “Semigroups of max-plus linear operators,” *Semigroup Forum*, vol. 94, no. 2, 2017.
- [23] B. Andreianov, M. Kramar Fijavž, A. s. Peperko, and E. Sikolya, “Erratum to: Semigroups of max-plus linear operators,” *Semigroup Forum*, vol. 94, no. 2, pp. 477–479, 2017.
- [24] R. B. Bapat, “A max version of the Perron-Frobenius theorem,” *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, vol. 275, no. 276, pp. 3–18, 1998.
- [25] P. Butkovic, *Max-Linear Systems: Theory and Algorithms*, Springer-Verlag, London, UK, 2010.
- [26] V. Müller and A. Peperko, “On the spectrum in max algebra,” *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, vol. 485, pp. 250–266, 2015.
- [27] V. Müller and A. Peperko, “Generalized spectral radius and its max algebra version,” *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, vol. 439, no. 4, pp. 1006–1016, 2013.
- [28] N. Guglielmi, O. Mason, and F. Wirth, “Barabanov norms, Lipschitz continuity and monotonicity for the max algebraic joint spectral radius, 2017,” <https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.02008>.
- [29] L. Pachter and B. Sturmfels, *Algebraic Statistics for Computational Biology*, L. Pachter and B. Sturmfels, Eds., Cambridge University Press, New York, NY, USA, 2005.
- [30] H. m. Brezis and F. E. Browder, “Nonlinear integral equations and systems of Hammerstein type,” *Advances in Mathematics*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 115–147, 1975.
- [31] H. A. Salem, “On the nonlinear Hammerstein integral equations in Banach spaces and application to the boundary value

- problem of fractional order,” *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 48, no. 7-8, pp. 1178–1190, 2008.
- [32] I. A. Ibrahim, “On the existence of solutions of functional integral equation of Urysohn type,” *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 57, no. 10, pp. 1609–1614, 2009.
- [33] E. I. Berezhnoj and E. I. Smirnov, “Countable Semiadditive Functionals and the Hardy-Littlewood Maximal Operator,” *Studies in Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 55–60, 2013.
- [34] T. Iida, “The boundedness of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator and multilinear maximal operator in weighted Morrey type spaces,” *Journal of Function Spaces*, Article ID 648251, 8 pages, 2014.
- [35] M. Mastilo and C. Pérez, “The Hardy-Littlewood maximal type operators between Banach function spaces,” *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, vol. 61, no. 3, pp. 883–900, 2012.
- [36] C. Niu, Z. Liu, and P. Wang, “Two-weight norm inequality for the one-sided Hardy-Littlewood maximal operators in variable Lebesgue spaces,” *Journal of Function Spaces*, Article ID 1648281, 8 pages, 2016.

