

## Research Article

# Endpoint Estimates for Oscillatory Singular Integrals with Hölder Class Kernels

Hussain Al-Qassem <sup>1</sup>, Leslie Cheng,<sup>2</sup> and Yibiao Pan <sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics and Physics, Qatar University, Doha, Qatar

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Bryn Mawr College, Bryn Mawr, PA 19010, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA

Correspondence should be addressed to Hussain Al-Qassem; husseink@qu.edu.qa

Received 29 November 2018; Accepted 1 January 2019; Published 16 January 2019

Academic Editor: Alberto Fiorenza

Copyright © 2019 Hussain Al-Qassem et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We prove the uniform  $L^1 \rightarrow L^{1,\infty}$  and  $H_E^1 \rightarrow L^1$  boundedness of oscillatory singular integral operators whose kernels are the products of an oscillatory factor with bilinear phase and a Calderón-Zygmund kernel  $K(x, y)$  satisfying a Hölder condition. This Hölder condition appreciably weakens the  $C^1$  condition imposed in existing literature.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We shall consider the following oscillatory singular integral operator:

$$T_{B,K} : f \longrightarrow \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{iB(x,y)} K(x, y) f(y) dy \quad (1)$$

where  $B(\cdot, \cdot)$  is a real-valued bilinear form. In past studies of this type of operators,  $K(x, y)$  is typically assumed to be a Calderón-Zygmund kernel satisfying a  $C^1$  condition away from the diagonal  $\Delta = \{(x, x) : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ , i.e., there exists an  $A > 0$  such that

(i) for all  $(x, y) \in (\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \Delta$ ,

$$|K(x, y)| \leq \frac{A}{|x - y|^n}; \quad (2)$$

(ii)  $K(x, y) \in C^1((\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \Delta)$ , and for  $(x, y) \in (\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \Delta$

$$|\nabla_x K(x, y)| + |\nabla_y K(x, y)| \leq \frac{A}{|x - y|^{n+1}}; \quad (3)$$

(iii)

$$\|T_o\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq A \quad (4)$$

where

$$T_o f(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x, y) f(y) dy. \quad (5)$$

Under conditions (i), (ii), and (iii), Phong and Stein proved the  $L^p$  boundedness of  $T_{B,K}$  for  $1 < p < \infty$  ([1]). The  $L^p$  result of Phong and Stein was then extended to operators with polynomial phases by Ricci and Stein ([2]), under the same conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) on  $K(x, y)$ , while the weak (1,1) boundedness of such operators was subsequently established by Chanillo and Christ in [3] (for all polynomial phase functions, bilinear or otherwise).

The  $C^1$  property of  $K$  in condition (ii) was instrumental when van der Corput's lemma, a standard tool in the treatment of oscillatory integrals, was used in past studies, including the seminal papers cited above. There has been widespread interest in finding out what happens when the  $C^1$  kernel  $K(x, y)$  is replaced by a "rougher" kernel. Many interesting results have been obtained for kernels that are homogeneous and of convolutional type but lack smoothness (i.e.,  $K(x, y) = |x - y|^{-n} \Omega((x - y)/|x - y|)$ ). See, for example, [4–6].

In this paper we are interested in general kernels  $K(x, y)$  for which condition (ii) is replaced by the following weaker condition of Hölder type:

(ii)' there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$|K(x, y) - K(x', y)| \leq \frac{A|x - x'|^\delta}{(|x - y| + |x' - y|)^{n+\delta}} \quad (6)$$

whenever  $|x - x'| < (1/2)\max\{|x - y|, |x' - y|\}$  and

$$|K(x, y) - K(x, y')| \leq \frac{A|y - y'|^\delta}{(|x - y| + |x - y'|)^{n+\delta}} \quad (7)$$

whenever  $|y - y'| < (1/2)\max\{|x - y|, |x - y'|\}$ .

In a recent paper, we were able to prove the following uniform  $L^p$  boundedness of  $T_{B,K}$  for  $1 < p < \infty$ :

**Theorem 1** (see [7]). *Let  $A, \delta > 0$  and  $T_{B,K}$  be given as in (1). Suppose that  $K(x, y)$  satisfies (i), (ii)', and (iii). Then, for  $1 < p < \infty$ , there exists a positive  $C_p$  which may depend on  $p, n, \delta$ , and  $A$  but is independent of the bilinear form  $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ , such that*

$$\|T_{B,K}f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad (8)$$

for all  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

In this paper we shall investigate the endpoint case  $p = 1$  and obtain both the weak type (1,1) and Hardy space bounds. We begin with the weak (1,1) result.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $A, \delta > 0$  and  $T_{B,K}$  be given as in (1). Suppose that  $K(x, y)$  satisfies (i), (ii)', and (iii). Then,  $T_{B,K}$  is of weak type (1,1), i.e., there exists a positive  $C$  such that*

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |T_{B,K}f(x)| > \alpha\}| \leq C\alpha^{-1} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad (9)$$

for all  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\alpha > 0$ . Moreover, while the constant  $C$  may depend on  $n, \delta$ , and  $A$ , it is otherwise independent of  $B(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $K(\cdot, \cdot)$ .

In the statement above, we used  $|S|$  to denote the Lebesgue measure of a measurable set  $S$ .

In order to describe our result on Hardy spaces, let  $H_E^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be the Hardy space introduced by Phong and Stein in [1] as a variant of the standard Hardy space  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  suitable for the study of oscillatory singular integrals. It was proved there that under conditions (i), (ii), and (iii)  $T_{B,K}$  is a bounded operator from  $H_E^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . As an improvement over their result, we have the following.

**Theorem 3.** *Under conditions (i), (ii)', and (iii),  $T_{B,K}$  is a bounded operator from  $H_E^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Moreover, the bound for the operator norm may depend on  $n, \delta$ , and  $A$  but is otherwise independent of  $B(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $K(\cdot, \cdot)$ .*

It is already well-known that analogous results do not hold for  $H^p$  when  $p < 1$ .

The proof of the weak type (1,1) estimate will appear in Sections 2 and 3. It follows a  $L^1 \rightarrow L^2$  strategy pioneered by C. Fefferman in [8] (see also [3, 4, 9, 10]). The proof of the Hardy space estimate will be given in Section 4.

We now close this section by posing the following natural question which should be of interest to many working in this

field: are the  $L^p$  and endpoint results for oscillatory singular integrals in Theorems 1–3 still true when the bilinear phase functions are replaced by general polynomials in  $x, y$  with real coefficients?

## 2. Basic Reductions for the $L^1 \rightarrow L^{1,\infty}$ Bounds

We shall begin the proof of Theorem 2 with a few reductions. Since the one-dimensional case is relatively easier, we shall focus our attention on  $n \geq 2$ . For  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n), y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $B(x, y)$  can be expressed as

$$B(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n b_{jk} x_j y_k. \quad (10)$$

If  $b_{jk} = 0$  for all  $j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , then  $T_{B,K} = T_o$ . It is well-known that, under the conditions (2), (4), and (6)–(7),  $T_o$  is bounded from  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  as well as from  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $1 < p < \infty$ . Thus, from this point on, we may assume that  $b_{jk} \neq 0$  holds for at least one pair  $(j, k)$ . Let

$$b = \max\{|b_{jk}| : 1 \leq j, k \leq n\}. \quad (11)$$

If we let  $\rho$  denote the dilation operator

$$g(\cdot) \rightarrow g\left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{b}}\right), \quad (12)$$

then

$$\rho \circ T_{B,K} = T_{\rho B, b^{-n/2} \rho K} \circ \rho. \quad (13)$$

Since  $b^{-n/2} \rho K$  satisfies (i), (ii)', and (iii) with the same constants  $A$  and  $\delta$  as  $K$ , it suffices to establish (9) under the additional assumption that, for some  $k_0 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $|b_{1k_0}| = b = 1$  (after reindexing the variables if necessary). Clearly, we may also assume that  $\delta \leq 1$ .

For any cube  $Q$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , let  $l(Q)$  and  $x_Q$  denote its sidelength and center, respectively. For any  $\beta > 0$ , we let  $\beta Q$  denote the cube that has the same center as  $Q$  and sidelength  $\beta l(Q)$ . Also, let  $j_Q = \log_2(l(Q))$ .

Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\alpha > 0$ . Then there is a collection of dyadic cubes  $\mathcal{F}$  with disjoint interiors such that the following are satisfied:

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega^c)} \leq \alpha \quad \text{where } \Omega = \bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{F}} Q; \quad (14)$$

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f| \leq C\alpha \quad \forall Q \in \mathcal{F}; \quad (15)$$

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}} |Q| \leq C\alpha^{-1} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}; \quad (16)$$

$$|j_Q - j_{Q'}| \leq C \quad (17)$$

whenever  $Q, Q' \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\text{dist}(Q, Q') \leq 2l(Q)$ .

For  $s \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , let  $K_s(x, y) = K(x, y)\chi_{[2^s, \infty)}(|x - y|)$ . Let  $\tilde{K}(x, y) = K(x, y) - K_0(x, y)$ ,

$$\mathcal{G} = \{Q \in \mathcal{F} : l(Q) \geq 2\} \quad (18)$$

and

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{G} = \{Q \in \mathcal{F} : l(Q) \leq 1\}. \quad (19)$$

Then,

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |T_{B,K}f(x)| > \alpha\} \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^5 U_j \quad (20)$$

where

$$U_1 = \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |T_{B,K_0}(f\chi_{\Omega^c})(x)| > \frac{\alpha}{4}\right\}, \quad (21)$$

$$U_2 = \bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{F}} 4Q,$$

$$U_3 = \left\{x \in \left(\bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{F}} 4Q\right)^c : \left|\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{L}} T_{B,K_0}(f\chi_Q)(x)\right| > \frac{\alpha}{4}\right\}, \quad (22)$$

$$U_4 = \left\{x \in \left(\bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{F}} 4Q\right)^c : \left|\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{L}} T_{B,K_0}(f\chi_Q)(x)\right| > \frac{\alpha}{4}\right\}, \quad (23)$$

and

$$U_5 = \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |T_{B,\bar{K}}f(x)| > \frac{\alpha}{4}\right\}. \quad (24)$$

It follows from Theorem 1 and a standard argument that (8) remains valid when  $K$  is replaced by  $K_0$  or  $\bar{K}$ . Thus, by (14)-(15),

$$\begin{aligned} |U_1| &\leq \left(\frac{\alpha}{4}\right)^{-2} \|T_{B,K_0}(f\chi_{\Omega^c})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\alpha^{-2} \int_{\Omega^c} |f|^2 \\ &\leq C\alpha^{-1} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

and

$$|U_2| = 4^n \left(\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}} |Q|\right) \leq C\alpha^{-1} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (26)$$

The set  $U_5$  can be treated by a finite overlapping argument. Let  $Q_0 = (-1/2, 1/2)^n$ . For each  $h \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , let  $h + Q_0 = \{h + y : y \in Q_0\}$  and

$$f_h(y) = e^{iB(y,y-h)} \chi_{h+Q_0}(y) f(y). \quad (27)$$

Clearly,

$$\text{supp}(T_{B,\bar{K}}(\chi_{h+Q_0}f)) \subseteq h + 3Q_0. \quad (28)$$

By (2) and  $b = 1$ , for  $x \in h + 3Q_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &|T_{B,\bar{K}}(\chi_{h+Q_0}f)(x) - e^{iB(x,h)} T_0(f_h)(x)| \\ &\leq C \left( \int_{|x-y| \leq 1} \frac{|\chi_{h+Q_0}(y) f(y)|}{|x-y|^{n-1}} dy \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{1 \leq |x-y| \leq 2\sqrt{n}} \frac{|\chi_{h+Q_0}(y) f(y)|}{|x-y|^n} dy \right) \\ &\leq C (g_0 * |\chi_{h+Q_0}f|)(x), \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where  $g_0(x) = |x|^{-n+1} \chi_{[0,2\sqrt{n}]}(|x|)$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &|U_5| \\ &\leq \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |T_{B,\bar{K}}(\chi_{h+Q_0}f)(x)| > (4 \cdot 10^n)^{-1} \alpha\}| \\ &\leq \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^n} (|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |T_0(f_h)(x)| > (8 \cdot 10^n)^{-1} \alpha\}| \\ &\quad + |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |(g_0 * |\chi_{h+Q_0}f|)(x)| > (8C \cdot 10^n)^{-1} \alpha\}|) \quad (30) \\ &\leq C \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^n} (\alpha^{-1} \|f_h\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\quad + \alpha^{-1} \|g_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\chi_{h+Q_0}f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}) \\ &\leq C\alpha^{-1} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem 2 has thus been reduced to the verification of the following:

$$|U_j| \leq C\alpha^{-1} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \text{for } j = 3, 4. \quad (31)$$

### 3. Estimates for $|U_3|$ and $|U_4|$

For two nonnegative integers  $s$  and  $m$ , let

$$L_{sm}(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{iB(z,x-y)} K_s(z-x) \overline{K_m(z-y)} dz. \quad (32)$$

For  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $r > 0$ , let  $\Gamma(a, r) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - a| \geq r\}$ . Let

$$P(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n b_{1k} x_k. \quad (33)$$

Observe that

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k| \leq |P(x)| + \sum_{k \neq k_0} |x_k| \leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|. \quad (34)$$

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $s, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  such that  $s \geq m$ . Suppose that  $K$  satisfies (2) and (6)-(7).*

(i) *For any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,*

$$|L_{sm}(x, y)| \leq C2^{-sn} (1 + s - m) \quad (35)$$

(ii) *For any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,*

$$|L_{sm}(x, y)| \leq C|x-y|^{-n} \ln(2 + 2^{-m}|x-y|) \quad (36)$$

(iii) *For any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying  $|x-y| > 2^{s+1}$  and  $|P(x-y)| > 4\pi$ ,*

$$|L_{sm}(x, y)| \leq C|P(x-y)|^{-\delta} |x-y|^{-n}. \quad (37)$$

*Proof.* We shall omit the arguments for (i) and (ii) because they utilize (2) only and therefore can be found in [3] (see page 152).

Suppose that  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $|x-y| > 2^{s+1}$  and  $|P(x-y)| > 4\pi$ . For any  $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = (z_1, \bar{z})$ , let  $z^\pm = (z_1 \pm \theta, \bar{z})$  where  $\theta = \pi/P(x-y)$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
|L_{s,m}(x,y)| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{iz_1 P(x-y)} K_s(z,x) \overline{K_m(z,y)} dz_1 \right| d\bar{z} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{iz_1 P(x-y)} \left( K_s(z,x) \overline{K_m(z,y)} - K_s(z^+,x) \overline{K_m(z^+,y)} \right) dz_1 \right| d\bar{z} \\
&\leq M_1(x,y) + M_2(x,y) + M_3(x,y) + M_4(x,y)
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
M_1(x,y) &= \int_{\Gamma(x,2^s) \cap \Gamma(y,2^m)} |K(z,x) - K(z^+,x)| \\
&\quad \cdot |K(z,y)| dz, \\
M_2(x,y) &= \int_{(\Gamma(x,2^s) \Delta \Gamma(x^-,2^s)) \cap \Gamma(y,2^m)} |K(z^+,x)| \\
&\quad \cdot |K(z,y)| dz, \\
M_3(x,y) &= \int_{\Gamma(x^-,2^s) \cap \Gamma(y,2^m)} |K(z,y) - K(z^+,y)| \\
&\quad \cdot |K(z^+,x)| dz, \\
M_4(x,y) &= \int_{(\Gamma(y,2^m) \Delta \Gamma(y^-,2^m)) \cap \Gamma(x^-,2^s)} |K(z^+,y)| \\
&\quad \cdot |K(z^+,x)| dz.
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

When  $z \in \Gamma(x, 2^s)$ , we have

$$|z-x| \geq 2^s \geq 2|\theta| = 2|z-z^+|. \tag{40}$$

It follows from (2) and (6) that

$$\begin{aligned}
M_1(x,y) &\leq C|\theta|^\delta \int_{\Gamma(x,2^s) \cap \Gamma(y,2^m)} \frac{dz}{|z-x|^{n+\delta} |z-y|^n} \\
&\leq C|\theta|^\delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{dz}{(1+|z-x|)^{n+\delta} (1+|z-y|)^n} \\
&\leq C|\theta|^\delta \left( \int_{|z-y| \geq |x-y|/2} \frac{dz}{(1+|z-x|)^{n+\delta} |z-y|^n} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{|z-x| \geq |x-y|/2 \geq |z-y|} \frac{dz}{|z-x|^n (1+|z-x|)^\delta (1+|z-y|)^n} \right) \\
&\leq C|\theta|^\delta |x-y|^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{dz}{(1+|z|)^{n+\delta}} = C|P(x-y)|^{-\delta} |x \\
&\quad -y|^{-n}.
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

When  $z \in \Gamma(x^-, 2^s)$ ,

$$|z-x| \leq |z-x^-| + |\theta| \leq 2|z-x^-| = 2|z^+-x|. \tag{42}$$

Thus,

$$M_3(x,y) \leq C|\theta|^\delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{dz}{(1+|z-y|)^{n+\delta} (1+|z-x|)^n}. \tag{43}$$

It follows from the the arguments for  $M_1(x,y)$  that

$$M_3(x,y) \leq C|P(x-y)|^{-\delta} |x-y|^{-n}. \tag{44}$$

When  $z \in \Gamma(x, 2^s) \Delta \Gamma(x^-, 2^s)$ , we have

$$\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)|z-x| \leq |z^+-x| \leq \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)|z-x| \tag{45}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)2^s &\leq |z-x|, \\
|z^+-x| &\leq \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)2^s.
\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Thus,

$$|z-x| \leq \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)2^s \leq \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)|x-y| \tag{47}$$

and

$$|z-y| \geq |x-y| - |z-x| \geq \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)|x-y|. \tag{48}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
M_2(x,y) &\leq C \int_{\Gamma(x,2^s) \Delta \Gamma(x^-,2^s)} |z^+-x|^{-n} |z-y|^{-n} dz \\
&\leq C2^{-ns} |x-y|^{-n} |\Gamma(x,2^s) \Delta \Gamma(x^-,2^s)| \\
&\leq C2^{-ns} |x-y|^{-n} 2^{s(n-1)} |\theta| \\
&\leq C|P(x-y)|^{-1} |x-y|^{-n}.
\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
M_4(x,y) &\leq C2^{-nm} |x-y|^{-n} 2^{m(n-1)} |\theta| \\
&\leq C|P(x-y)|^{-1} |x-y|^{-n}.
\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

The proof of Lemma 4 is now complete.  $\square$

Let  $d(S_1, S_2)$  denote the distance between two sets  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ . For  $Q \in \mathcal{E}$ , let

$$\mathcal{E}(Q) = \{Q' \in \mathcal{E} : l(Q') \leq l(Q)\}. \tag{51}$$

**Lemma 5.** *If  $Q \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $x \in Q$ , then*

$$\sum_{Q' \in \mathcal{E}(Q)} \int_{Q'} |L_{j_Q, j_{Q'}}(x,y) f(y)| dy \leq C\alpha. \tag{52}$$

*Proof.* Let  $Q \in \mathcal{G}$  and

$$\mathcal{G}_1(Q) = \{Q' \in \mathcal{G}(Q) : d(Q', Q) \leq 2l(Q)\}. \quad (53)$$

For each  $x \in Q$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_2(Q, x) &= \left\{Q' \in \mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{G}_1(Q) : \inf_{y \in Q'} |P(x - y)| \right. \\ &\leq (8n)l(Q') \left. \right\}; \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_3(Q, x) &= \left\{Q' \in \mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{G}_1(Q) : \inf_{y \in Q'} |P(x - y)| \right. \\ &> (8n)l(Q') \left. \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

It follows from (15), (17), and Lemma 4(i) that the cardinality of  $\mathcal{G}_1(Q)$  is bounded by a constant and

$$\sum_{Q' \in \mathcal{G}_1(Q)} \int_{Q'} |L_{j_Q, j_{Q'}}(x, y) f(y)| dy \quad (56)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C \sum_{Q' \in \mathcal{G}_1(Q)} (1 + l(Q) - l(Q')) |Q|^{-1} \int_{Q'} |f(y)| dy \\ &\leq C\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

When  $Q' \in \mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{G}_1(Q)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{y \in Q'} \left( \frac{\ln(2 + 2^{-j_{Q'}} |x - y|)}{|x - y|^n} \right) \\ &\leq C \inf_{y \in Q'} \left( \frac{\ln(2 + 2^{-j_{Q'}} |x - y|)}{|x - y|^n} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

Also, for any  $Q' \in \mathcal{G}_2(Q, x)$  and  $y \in Q'$ ,

$$|P(x - y)| \leq \inf_{v \in Q'} |P(x - v)| + nl(Q') \leq 9nl(Q'). \quad (59)$$

Thus, by Lemma 4(ii), (15), and (58),

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{Q' \in \mathcal{G}_2(Q, x)} \int_{Q'} |L_{j_Q, j_{Q'}}(x, y) f(y)| dy \\ &\leq C\alpha \sum_{Q' \in \mathcal{G}_2(Q, x)} \int_{Q'} |x - y|^{-n} \ln(2 + 2^{-j_{Q'}} |x - y|) dy \\ &\leq C\alpha \sum_{m=1}^{j_Q} \int_{\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |P(x-y)| \leq (9n)2^m\}} (l(Q) + |x - y|)^{-n} \\ &\quad \cdot \ln(2 + 2^{-m} |x - y|) dy \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= C\alpha \sum_{m=1}^{j_Q} \int_{\{u \in \mathbb{R}^n : |P(u)| \leq 9n\}} (2^{j_Q - m} + |u|)^{-n} \ln(2 + |u|) du \\ &\leq C\alpha \sum_{m=1}^{j_Q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \int_{|v_1| \leq 9n} (2^{j_Q - m} + |\tilde{v}| + |v_1|)^{-n} \\ &\quad \cdot \ln(2 + |\tilde{v}| + |v_1|) dv_1 d\tilde{v} \\ &\leq C\alpha \sum_{m=1}^{j_Q} (1 + j_Q - m) 2^{-(j_Q - m)} \leq C\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

For any  $Q' \in \mathcal{G}_3(Q, x)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{y \in Q'} |P(x - y)| &\leq \inf_{y \in Q'} |P(x - y)| + nl(Q') \\ &\leq 2 \inf_{y \in Q'} |P(x - y)|. \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

It follows from Lemma 4(iii), (15), (58), and (61) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{Q' \in \mathcal{G}_3(Q, x)} \int_{Q'} |L_{j_Q, j_{Q'}}(x, y) f(y)| dy \\ &\leq C\alpha \sum_{Q' \in \mathcal{G}_3(Q, x)} \int_{Q'} |P(x - y)|^{-\delta} |x - y|^{-n} dy \\ &\leq C\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |P(u)|)^{-\delta} (1 + |u|)^{-n} du \\ &\leq C\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |v_1|)^{-\delta} (1 + |v|)^{-n} dv \leq C\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

Lemma 5 is proved.  $\square$

For  $Q \in \mathcal{H}$ , let  $\mathcal{H}(Q) = \{Q' \in \mathcal{H} : l(Q') \leq l(Q)\}$ .

**Lemma 6.** *If  $Q \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $x \in Q$ , then*

$$\sum_{Q' \in \mathcal{H}(Q)} \int_{Q'} |L_{0,0}(x, y) f(y)| dy \leq C\alpha. \quad (63)$$

*Proof.* The argument is very similar to the proof of the previous lemma. We will point out the differences but omit most of the details.

Let  $Q \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $x \in Q$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_1(Q) &= \{Q' \in \mathcal{H}(Q) : d(Q', Q) \leq 2\}, \\ \mathcal{H}_2(Q, x) &= \left\{Q' \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{H}_1(Q) : \inf_{y \in Q'} |P(x - y)| \leq 4\pi \right\}; \\ \mathcal{H}_3(Q, x) &= \left\{Q' \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{H}_1(Q) : \inf_{y \in Q'} |P(x - y)| > 4\pi \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

While there is no uniform bound on the cardinality of  $\mathcal{H}_1(Q)$  (unlike  $\mathcal{S}_1(Q)$ ), by using  $|L_{0,0}(x, y)| \leq C$ , we still have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{Q' \in \mathcal{H}_1(Q)} \int_{Q'} |L_{0,0}(x, y) f(y)| dy &\leq C\alpha \sum_{Q' \in \mathcal{H}_1(Q)} |Q'| \\ &\leq C\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

By  $|L_{0,0}(x, y)| \leq C|x - y|^{-n} \ln(2 + |x - y|)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{Q' \in \mathcal{H}_2(Q, x)} \int_{Q'} |L_{0,0}(x, y) f(y)| dy \\ \leq C\alpha \int_{\{u \in \mathbb{R}^n : |P(u)| \leq 4\pi + n\}} (1 + |u|)^{-n} \ln(2 + |u|) du \\ \leq C\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

Finally,  $\mathcal{H}_3(Q, x)$  can be treated the same as  $\mathcal{S}_3(Q, x)$ , which finishes the proof of Lemma 6.  $\square$

We now employ a well-known  $L^2 \rightarrow L^1$  technique to obtain the desired estimates for  $|U_3|$  and  $|U_4|$  (see, for example, [3, 8–11]). By Lemma 5,

$$\begin{aligned} |U_3| &\leq C\alpha^{-2} \left\| \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{E}} T_{B, K_0}(f\chi_Q) \right\|_{L^2((\cup_{Q \in \mathcal{E}} 4Q)^c)}^2 \\ &\leq C\alpha^{-2} \sum_Q \int_Q \left( \sum_{Q' \in \mathcal{S}(Q)} |L_{j_Q, j_{Q'}}(x, y) f(y)| dy \right) \\ &\quad \cdot |f(x)| dx \\ &\leq C\alpha^{-1} \sum_Q \int_Q |f(x)| dx \leq C\alpha^{-1} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

By Lemma 6,

$$\begin{aligned} |U_4| &\leq C\alpha^{-2} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{H}} \int_Q \left( \sum_{Q' \in \mathcal{H}(Q)} |L_{0,0}(x, y) f(y)| dy \right) \\ &\quad \cdot |f(x)| dx \\ &\leq C\alpha^{-1} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{H}} \int_Q |f(x)| dx \leq C\alpha^{-1} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

The proof of Theorem 2 is now complete.

#### 4. $H_E^1 \rightarrow L^1$ Boundedness

We shall begin by recalling the definition of the function space  $H_E^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Definition 7.* A measurable function  $a(\cdot)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is called an atom if there exists a cube  $Q$  such that  $\text{supp}(a) \subseteq Q$ ,  $\|a\|_\infty \leq |Q|^{-1}$  and

$$\int_Q e^{iB(x_Q, y)} a(y) dy = 0. \quad (69)$$

A function  $f$  is in  $H_E^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if there exist a sequence  $\{\lambda_j\}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  and a sequence of atoms  $\{a_j\}$  such that

$$f = \sum_j \lambda_j a_j. \quad (70)$$

The  $H_E^1$  norm of  $f$  is the infimum of  $\sum_j |\lambda_j|$  over all possible expressions of  $f$  described in (70).

In order to prove Theorem 3, it suffices to establish that, for every atom  $a(\cdot)$ ,

$$\|T_{B, K} a\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C. \quad (71)$$

By using a dilation (as in Section 2) as well as a translation, we can further reduce the task to the verification of (71) under the assumption that, for some  $j_0 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $h > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \max \{|b_{jk}| : 1 \leq j, k \leq n\} &= |b_{j_0 1}| = 1, \\ \text{supp}(a) &\subseteq [-h, h]^{-n}, \\ \|a\|_\infty &\leq (2h)^{-n} \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

$$\text{and } \int_{[-h, h]^n} a(y) dy = 0.$$

Let  $\eta = \max\{2nh, h^{-1}\}$ . Then

$$\|T_{B, K} a\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 \quad (73)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_{|x| \leq 2nh} |T_{B, K} a(x)| dx, \\ I_2 &= \int_{|x| \geq 2nh} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{iB(x, y)} (K(x, y) - K(x, 0)) \right. \\ &\quad \cdot a(y) dy \Big| dx, \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

$$I_3 = \int_{2nh \leq |x| \leq \eta} \left| K(x, 0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{iB(x, y)} a(y) dy \right| dx,$$

$$I_4 = \int_{|x| \geq \eta} \left| K(x, 0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{iB(x, y)} a(y) dy \right| dx.$$

By Theorem 1,

$$I_1 \leq Ch^{n/2} \|T_{B, K} a\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq Ch^{n/2} \|a\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C. \quad (75)$$

By (7),

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq \int_{|x| \geq 2nh} \left( \int_{y \in [-h, h]^n} \frac{|y|^\delta |a(y)| dy}{|x|^{n+\delta}} \right) dx \\ &\leq Ch^\delta \|a\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \int_{|x| \geq 2nh} |x|^{-n-\delta} dx \leq C. \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

By the vanishing integral property of  $a(\cdot)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= \int_{2nh \leq |x| \leq \eta} |K(x, 0)| \\ &\quad \cdot \left| \int_{y \in [-h, h]^n} (e^{iB(x, y)} - 1) a(y) dy \right| dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C \int_{2nh \leq |x| \leq \eta} |x|^{-n+1} \left( \int_{y \in [-h, h]^n} |y| |a(y)| dy \right) dx \\
&\leq C \|a\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} h(\eta - 2nh) \leq C.
\end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}_1$  denote the partial Fourier transform in the first variable. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_4 &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\eta + |x|)^{-n} \int_{\tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \left| \mathcal{F}_1(a(\cdot, \tilde{y})) \right. \\
&\quad \cdot \left. \left( -\sum_{j=1}^n b_{j1} x_j \right) \right| d\tilde{y} dx \\
&\leq C \int_{\tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \int_{u \in \mathbb{R}^n} (\eta + |u|)^{-n} \\
&\quad \cdot |\mathcal{F}_1(a(\cdot, \tilde{y}))(u_1)| dud\tilde{y} \\
&\leq C \int_{\tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \int_{\tilde{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{du_1}{(\eta + |u|)^{2n}} \right)^{1/2} \\
&\quad \cdot \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{F}_1(a(\cdot, \tilde{y}))(u_1)|^2 du_1 \right)^{1/2} d\tilde{u} d\tilde{y} \\
&\leq C \left( \int_{\tilde{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}} (\eta + |\tilde{u}|)^{-n+1/2} d\tilde{u} \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \int_{\tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |a(y)|^2 dy_1 \right)^{1/2} d\tilde{y} \\
&\leq C(\eta h)^{-1/2} \leq C.
\end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

The proof of Theorem 3 is now complete.

## Data Availability

No data were used to support this study.

## Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

## References

- [1] D. H. Phong and E. M. Stein, "Hilbert integrals, singular integrals, and Radon transforms. I," *Acta Mathematica*, vol. 157, no. 1-2, pp. 99–157, 1986.
- [2] F. Ricci and E. M. Stein, "Harmonic analysis on nilpotent groups and singular integrals. I. Oscillatory integrals," *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol. 73, no. 1, pp. 179–194, 1987.
- [3] S. Chanillo and M. Christ, "Weak (1,1) bounds for oscillatory singular integrals," *Duke Mathematical Journal*, vol. 55, no. 1, pp. 141–155, 1987.
- [4] D. Fan and S. Sato, "Weak type (1,1) estimates for Marcinkiewicz integrals with rough kernels," *The Tohoku Mathematical Journal*, vol. 53, no. 2, pp. 265–284, 2001.
- [5] S. Z. Lu and Y. Zhang, "Criterion on  $L_p$ -boundedness for a class of oscillatory singular integrals with rough kernels," *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 201–219, 1992.
- [6] L. Tang and D. Yang, "Oscillatory singular integrals with variable rough kernel, II," *Analysis in Theory and Applications*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 1–13, 2003.
- [7] H. Al-Qassem, L. Cheng, and Y. Pan, "Oscillatory singular integral operators with Hölder class kernels," *Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications*, pp. 1–9, 2019.
- [8] C. Fefferman, "Inequalities for strongly singular convolution operators," *Acta Mathematica*, vol. 124, pp. 9–36, 1970.
- [9] Y. Pan, "Weak (1,1) estimates for oscillatory singular integrals with real-analytic phases," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 120, no. 3, pp. 789–802, 1994.
- [10] S. Sato, "Weighted weak type (1,1) estimates for oscillatory singular integrals," *Studia Mathematica*, vol. 141, no. 1, pp. 1–24, 2000.
- [11] M. Folch-Gabayet and J. Wright, "Weak-type (1,1) bounds for oscillatory singular integrals with rational phases," *Studia Mathematica*, vol. 210, no. 1, pp. 57–76, 2012.

