

# Research Article On Some Integral Inequalities in Quantum Calculus

## Mohamed Jleli 🕞 and Bessem Samet 🕞

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, King Saud University, P.O. Box 2455, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia

Correspondence should be addressed to Bessem Samet; bsamet@ksu.edu.sa

Received 18 July 2020; Revised 29 August 2020; Accepted 16 September 2020; Published 6 October 2020

Academic Editor: Serkan Araci

Copyright © 2020 Mohamed Jleli and Bessem Samet. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The objective of this paper is to establish *q*-analogue of some well-known inequalities in analysis, namely, Poincaré-type inequalities, Sobolev-type inequalities, and Lyapunov-type inequalities. Our obtained results may serve as a useful source of inspiration for future works in quantum calculus.

## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Mathematical inequalities play a crucial role in the development of various branches of mathematics as well as other disciplines of science. In particular, integral inequalities involving the function and its gradient provide important tools in the proof of regularity of solutions to differential and partial differential equations, stability, boundedness, and approximations. One of these categories of inequalities is the Poincaré-type inequality. Namely, if  $\Omega$  is a bounded (or bounded at least in one direction) domain of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , then, there exists a constant  $C = C(\Omega) > 0$  such that for all  $u \in H_0^1$  $(\Omega)$ ,

For a smooth bounded domain  $\Omega$ , the best constant C satisfying the above inequality is equal to  $\lambda(\Omega)^{-1}$ , where  $\lambda(\Omega)$  is the first eigenvalue of  $-\Delta$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ , and  $\Delta$  is the Laplacian operator (see, e.g., [1–5]). Due to the importance of Poincaré inequality in the qualitative analysis of partial differential equations and also in numerical analysis, numerous contributions dealing with generalizations and extensions of this inequality appeared in the literature (see, e.g., [6–17] and the references therein). Another important inequality involving the function and its gradient is the Sobolev inequality

(see [18, 19]). Namely, if u is a smooth function of compact support in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u^4(x) \, dx \le \frac{\kappa}{2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u^2(x) \, dx \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \nabla u(x) \right|^2 \, dx \right), \quad (2)$$

where  $\kappa > 0$  is a dimensionless constant and  $\nabla u$  denotes the gradient of *u*. For further results related to Sobolevtype inequalities and their applications, see, for example, [20-26].

Lyapunov's inequality is one of the important results in analysis. It was shown that this inequality is very useful in the study of spectral properties of differential equations, namely, stability of solutions, eigenvalues, and disconjugacy criteria. More precisely, consider the second order differential equation

$$-\vartheta''(t) = f(t)\vartheta(t), \ m_1 < t < m_2, \tag{3}$$

under the Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$\vartheta(m_i) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \tag{4}$$

where  $f \in C([m_1, m_2])$ . Obviously, the trivial function  $\vartheta \equiv 0$  is a solution to (3)–(4). Lyapunov's inequality provides a necessary criterion for the existence of a nontrivial solution. Namely, if  $\vartheta \in C^1([m_1, m_2])$  is a nontrivial solution to (3)–(4), then (see Lyapunov [27] and Borg

[28])

$$\int_{m_1}^{m_2} \boxtimes |f(t)| \, dt > \frac{4}{m_1 - m_2} \,. \tag{5}$$

Since the appearance of the above result, numerous contributions related to Lyapunov-type inequalities have been published (see, e.g., [18, 29–32] and the references therein).

On the other hand, because of its usefulness in several areas of physics (thermostatistics, conformal quantum mechanics, nuclear and high energy physics, black holes, etc.), the theory of quantum calculus received a considerable attention by many researchers from various disciplines (see, e.g., [33–35]).

In this paper, motivated by the abovementioned contributions, our goal is to derive *q*-analogs of some Poincarétype inequalities, Sobolev-type inequalities, and Lyapunovtype inequalities. Notice that only the one dimensional case is considered in this work.

We recall below some notions and properties related to q -calculus (see, e.g., [36–51] and the references therein).

We first fix  $q \in (0, 1)$ . Let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the set of positive natural numbers, i.e.,  $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ , and  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .

*Definition 1.* The *q* -derivative of a function  $\vartheta \in C^1([0, T])$  ( *T* > 0) is defined by

$$D_q \vartheta(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\vartheta(t) - \vartheta(qt)}{(1-q)t} & \text{if } 0 < t \le T, \\ \vartheta'(0) & \text{if } t = 0. \end{cases}$$
(6)

Remark 2. Using L'Hospital's rule, one obtains

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} D_q \vartheta(t) = \vartheta'(0), \tag{7}$$

which shows that  $D_q \vartheta \in C([0, T])$  for all  $\vartheta \in C^1([0, T])$ .

Remark 3. It can be easily seen that

$$\lim_{q \to 1^{-}} D_{q} \vartheta(t) = \vartheta'(t), \quad 0 \le t \le T.$$
(8)

**Lemma 4** (see [45]). Let  $\vartheta, \rho \in C^1([0, T])$ . Then

$$D_q(\vartheta\rho)(t) = \vartheta(qt)D_q\rho(t) + \rho(t)D_q\vartheta(t). \tag{9}$$

Definition 5. The q-integral of a function  $\vartheta \in C([0, T])$  is defined by

$$\int_{0}^{t} \vartheta(\xi) d_{q} \xi = (1-q) t \sum_{\sigma=0}^{\infty} q^{\sigma} \vartheta(q^{\sigma} t), \quad 0 \le t \le T,$$
(10)

and

$$\int_{s}^{t} \boxtimes \vartheta(\xi) d_{q} \xi = \int_{0}^{t} \boxtimes \vartheta(\xi) d_{q} \xi - \int_{0}^{s} \boxtimes \vartheta(\xi) d_{q} \xi, \quad 0 < s \le t \le T.$$
(11)

*Remark 6.* Obviously, if  $\vartheta \in C([0, T])$ , then

$$\int_{0}^{t} \vartheta(\xi) d_{q} \xi < \infty, \int_{s}^{t} \boxtimes \vartheta(\xi) d_{q} \xi < \infty, \quad 0 < s \le t \le T.$$
 (12)

**Lemma 7** (see [39]). Let  $\vartheta, \rho \in C([0, T]), 0 \le t \le T, p > 1$  and p' = p/p - 1. Then

(i) 
$$\left|\int_{0}^{t} \vartheta(\xi) d_{q}\xi\right| \leq \int_{0}^{t} |\vartheta(\xi)| d_{q}\xi$$

- (ii) For all  $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\vartheta(q^{\sigma}t) \le \rho(q^{\sigma}t) \int_0^t \vartheta(\xi) d_q \xi \le \int_0^t \rho(\xi) d_q \xi$
- (iii)  $\int_{0}^{T} |\vartheta(\xi)| |\rho(\xi)| d_{q}\xi \leq \left(\int_{0}^{T} |\vartheta(\xi)|^{p} d_{q}\xi\right)^{1/p} \\ \left(\int_{0}^{T} |\rho(\xi)|^{p'} d_{q}\xi\right)^{1/p'}.$

**Lemma 8** (see [45]). Let  $\vartheta \in C^1([0, T])$ . Then

(i) 
$$\int_{s}^{t} D_{q} \vartheta(\xi) d_{q} \xi = \vartheta(t) - \vartheta(s), 0 \le s \le t \le T$$
  
(ii) 
$$D_{q} \int_{0}^{t} \vartheta(\xi) d_{q} \xi = \vartheta(t), 0 < t \le T$$

*Remark 9.* Notice that in general, for  $0 < s < t \le T$ ,

$$\left| \int_{s}^{t} \vartheta(\xi) d_{q} \xi \right| \leq \int_{s}^{t} |\vartheta(\xi)| d_{q} \xi.$$
(13)

Namely, following [40], consider the function  $\vartheta:[0,1]\to\mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\vartheta(\xi) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1-q} (4q^{-n}\xi - 1 - 3q) & \text{if } q^{n+1} \le \xi \le \frac{q^n(1+q)}{2}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \\ \frac{4}{1-q} (-\xi q^{-n} + 1) - 1 & \text{if } \frac{q^n(1+q)}{2} \le \xi \le q^n, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \xi = 0. \end{cases}$$
(14)

Then, one has

$$\vartheta(q^n) = -1$$
 and  $\vartheta\left(\frac{q^n(1+q)}{2}\right) = 1$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .  
(15)

Therefore, an elementary calculation shows that

$$\int_{1+q/2}^{1} \vartheta(\xi) d_q \xi = -\frac{3+q}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{1+q/2}^{1} |\vartheta(\xi)| d_q \xi = \frac{1-q}{2}.$$
(16)

Hence, one has

$$\left| \int_{1+q/2}^{1} \vartheta(\xi) \, d_q \xi \right| > \int_{1+q/2}^{1} |\vartheta(\xi)| d_q \xi. \tag{17}$$

We have the following integration by parts rule.

**Lemma 10** (see [45]). Let  $\vartheta_i \in C^1([0, T])$ , i = 1, 2. Then

$$\int_{0}^{T} \vartheta_{1}(\xi) \left( D_{q} \vartheta_{2} \right)(\xi) \, d_{q} \xi = \left[ \vartheta_{1}(\xi) \vartheta_{2}(\xi) \right]_{\xi=0}^{T} - \int_{0}^{T} \vartheta_{2}(q\xi) \left( D_{q} \vartheta_{1} \right)(\xi) \, d_{q} \xi.$$

$$\tag{18}$$

Let us introduce the set

$$\Lambda_q = \{q^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0\}.$$
<sup>(19)</sup>

Let  $T \in \Lambda_q$ , T > 0, i.e.,

$$T = q^k, \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{20}$$

and  $I_q = [0, T] \cap \Lambda_q$ , i.e.,

$$I_q = \left\{ q^{i+k} : i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\} \cup \{0\}.$$

$$(21)$$

Let  $s, t \in I_q$  be such that 0 < s < t, i.e.,  $t = q^{i+k}$  for some  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $s = q^{i+k+j}$  for some  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . In this case, for  $\vartheta \in C([0, T])$ , by Definition 5, one has

$$\begin{split} \int_{s}^{t} \vartheta(\xi) \, d_{q}\xi &= \int_{0}^{t} \vartheta(\xi) \, d_{q}\xi - \int_{0}^{s} \vartheta(\xi) \, d_{q}\xi = (1-q)t \sum_{\sigma=0}^{\infty} q^{\sigma} \vartheta(q^{\sigma}t) \\ &- (1-q)s \sum_{\sigma=0}^{\infty} q^{\sigma} \vartheta(q^{\sigma}s) = (1-q) \left( \sum_{\sigma=0}^{\infty} tq^{\sigma} \vartheta(q^{\sigma}t) \right) \\ &- \sum_{\sigma=0}^{\infty} sq^{\sigma} \vartheta(q^{\sigma}s) \right) = (1-q) \left( \sum_{\sigma=0}^{\infty} q^{\sigma+i+k} \vartheta\left(q^{\sigma+i+k}\right) \right) \\ &- \sum_{\sigma=0}^{\infty} q^{\sigma+i+k+j} \vartheta\left(q^{\sigma+i+k+j}\right) \right) = (1-q) \\ &\left( \sum_{n=i+k}^{\infty} q^{n} \vartheta(q^{n}) - \sum_{n=i+k+j}^{\infty} q^{n} \vartheta(q^{n}) \right) \\ &= (1-q) \sum_{n=i+k}^{i+k+j-1} q^{n} \vartheta(q^{n}), \end{split}$$

$$(22)$$

which is a finite sum. Hence, one deduces the following property.

**Lemma 11.** Let  $\vartheta$ ,  $\rho \in C([0, T])$ , where  $T \in \Lambda_q$ , T > 0. Let s,  $t \in I_a$  be such that 0 < s < t. Then

$$\left| \int_{s}^{t} \vartheta(\xi) \, d_{q} \xi \right| \leq \int_{s}^{t} |\vartheta(\xi)| \, d_{q} \xi.$$
(23)

## 2. Poincaré and Sobolev Type Inequalities

Let  $q \in (0, 1)$  be fixed.

**Theorem 12.** Let p > 1 and  $T \in \Lambda_q$ , T > 0. Let  $\vartheta \in C^1([0, T])$  be such that

$$\vartheta(0) = \vartheta(T) = 0. \tag{24}$$

Then

$$\int_{0}^{T} \left|\vartheta(\xi)\right|^{p} d_{q}\xi \leq \left(\frac{T}{2}\right)^{p} \int_{0}^{T} \left|D_{q}\vartheta(\xi)\right|^{p} d_{q}\xi.$$
 (25)

*Proof.* Let  $t = q^{\sigma}T$ , where  $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}$ . Notice that since  $T \in \Lambda_q$ , then  $t \in I_q$ . By property (i) of Lemma 8, one has

$$\vartheta(t) - \vartheta(0) = \int_0^t D_q \vartheta(\xi) d_q \xi.$$
 (26)

Since  $\vartheta(0) = 0$ , it holds that

$$\vartheta(t) = \int_0^t D_q \vartheta(\xi) d_q \xi. \tag{27}$$

Next, by property (i) of Lemma 7, one obtains

$$|\vartheta(t)| \le \int_0^t \left| D_q \vartheta(\xi) \right| d_q \xi.$$
(28)

Again, using property (i) of Lemma 8, and the fact that  $\vartheta(T) = 0$ , one obtains

$$-\vartheta(t) = \int_{t}^{T} D_{q} \vartheta(\xi) d_{q} \xi.$$
<sup>(29)</sup>

Hence, by Lemma 11, one deduces that

$$\left|\vartheta(t)\right| \le \int_{t}^{T} \left|D_{q}\vartheta(\xi)\right| d_{q}\xi.$$
(30)

Combining (28) with (30), it holds that

$$|\vartheta(t)| \le \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \left| D_q \vartheta(\xi) \right| d_q \xi. \tag{31}$$

On the other hand, by Hölder's inequality (see property

(iii) of Lemma 7), one has

$$\int_{0}^{T} \left| D_{q} \vartheta(\xi) \right| d_{q} \xi \leq \left( \int_{0}^{T} \left| D_{q} \vartheta(\xi) \right|^{p} d_{q} \xi \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_{0}^{T} 1 d_{q} \xi \right)^{p-1/p}.$$
(32)

Notice that

$$\int_{0}^{T} 1d_{q}\xi = (1-q)T\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{n} = T.$$
 (33)

Therefore,

$$\int_{0}^{T} \left| D_{q} \vartheta(\xi) \right| d_{q} \xi \leq T^{p-1/p} \left( \int_{0}^{T} \left| D_{q} \vartheta(\xi) \right|^{p} d_{q} \xi \right)^{1/p}.$$
(34)

Combining (31) with (34), one deduces that

$$|\vartheta(t)| \le \frac{T^{p-1/p}}{2} \left( \int_0^T \left| D_q \vartheta(\xi) \right|^p d_q \xi \right)^{1/p}, \tag{35}$$

which yields

$$\left|\vartheta(t)\right|^{p} \leq \frac{T^{p-1}}{2^{p}} \int_{0}^{T} \left|D_{q}\vartheta(\xi)\right|^{p} d_{q}\xi,\tag{36}$$

i.e.,

$$|\vartheta(q^{\sigma}T)|^{p} \leq \frac{T^{p-1}}{2^{p}} \int_{0}^{T} \left| D_{q}\vartheta(\xi) \right|^{p} d_{q}\xi, \quad \sigma \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(37)

Notice that since  $\vartheta(T) = 0$ , the above inequality is also true for  $\sigma = 0$ . Hence, by property (ii) of Lemma 7, one deduces that

$$\int_{0}^{T} |\vartheta(\xi)|^{p} d_{q}\xi \leq \frac{T^{p-1}}{2^{p}} \int_{0}^{T} |D_{q}\vartheta(\xi)|^{p} d_{q}\xi \int_{0}^{T} 1 d_{q}\xi.$$
(38)

Finally, (25) follows from (33) and (38).

*Remark 13.* Inequality (25) is the one dimensional q-analog of the Poincaré-type inequality derived by Pachpatte [11].

**Theorem 14.** Let  $p_1, p_2 > 1$  and  $T \in \Lambda_q$ , T > 0. Let  $\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2 \in C^1([0, T])$  be such that

$$\vartheta_i(0) = \vartheta_i(T) = 0, i = 1, 2.$$
(39)

Then

$$\int_{0}^{T} |\vartheta_{1}(\xi)|^{p_{1}} |\vartheta_{2}(\xi)|^{p_{2}} d_{q}\xi \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{T}{2}\right)^{p_{1}+p_{2}} \int_{0}^{T} \left(\left|D_{q}\vartheta_{1}(\xi)\right|^{2p_{1}} + \left|D_{q}\vartheta_{2}(\xi)\right|^{2p_{2}}\right) d_{q}\xi.$$

$$(40)$$

Proof. From (37) and (70), one has

$$\left|\vartheta_1(q^{\sigma}T)\right|^{p_1} \le \frac{T^{p_1-1}}{2^{p_1}} \int_0^T \left|D_q\vartheta_1(\xi)\right|^{p_1} d_q\xi, \sigma \in \mathbb{N}_0, \qquad (41)$$

$$\left|\vartheta_{2}(q^{\sigma}T)\right|^{p_{2}} \leq \frac{T^{p_{2}-1}}{2^{p_{2}}} \int_{0}^{T} \left|D_{q}\vartheta_{2}(\xi)\right|^{p_{2}} d_{q}\xi, \quad \sigma \in \mathbb{N}_{0}.$$
(42)

Multiplying (41) by (42), one obtains

$$\begin{split} \vartheta_{1}(q^{\sigma}T)|^{p_{1}}|\vartheta_{2}(q^{\sigma}T)|^{p_{2}} &\leq \frac{T^{p_{1}+p_{2}-2}}{2^{p_{1}+p_{2}}}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|D_{q}\vartheta_{1}(\xi)\right|^{p_{1}}d_{q}\xi\right) \\ &\cdot\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|D_{q}\vartheta_{2}(\xi)\right|^{p_{2}}d_{q}\xi\right). \end{split}$$

Next, using the inequality  $2AB \le A^2 + B^2$ ,  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$ , one deduces that

$$\begin{aligned} |\vartheta_{1}(q^{\sigma}T)|^{p_{1}}|\vartheta_{2}(q^{\sigma}T)|^{p_{2}} \\ \leq & \frac{T^{p_{1}+p_{2}-2}}{2^{p_{1}+p_{2}+1}} \left[ \left( \int_{0}^{T} \left| D_{q}\vartheta_{1}(\xi) \right|^{p_{1}} d_{q}\xi \right)^{2} + \left( \int_{0}^{T} \left| D_{q}\vartheta_{2}(\xi) \right|^{p_{2}} d_{q}\xi \right)^{2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

$$(44)$$

On the other hand, by Hölder's inequality (see property (iii) of Lemma 7), for i = 1, 2, one has

$$\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|D_{q}\vartheta_{i}(\xi)\right|^{p_{i}}d_{q}\xi\right)^{2} \leq T\int_{0}^{T}\left|D_{q}\vartheta_{i}(\xi)\right|^{2p_{i}}d_{q}\xi.$$
(45)

Hence, combining (44) with (45), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} |\vartheta_{1}(q^{\sigma}T)|^{p_{1}}|\vartheta_{2}(q^{\sigma}T)|^{p_{2}} &\leq \frac{T^{p_{1}+p_{2}-1}}{2^{p_{1}+p_{2}+1}} \int_{0}^{T} \\ &\cdot \left(\left|D_{q}\vartheta_{1}(\xi)\right|^{2p_{1}} + \left|D_{q}\vartheta_{2}(\xi)\right|^{2p_{2}}\right) d_{q}\xi. \end{aligned}$$
(46)

Since the above inequality holds for all  $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , by property (ii) of Lemma 7, one deduces that

$$\int_{0}^{T} |\vartheta_{1}(\xi)|^{p_{1}} |\vartheta_{2}(\xi)|^{p_{2}} d_{q}\xi \leq \frac{T^{p_{1}+p_{2}-1}}{2^{p_{1}+p_{2}+1}} \int_{0}^{T} \left( \left| D_{q}\vartheta_{1}(\xi) \right|^{2p_{1}} + \left| D_{q}\vartheta_{2}(\xi) \right| \right) d_{q}\xi \int_{0}^{T} 1 d_{q}\xi.$$
(47)

Finally, (40) follows from (33) and (47).

*Remark 15.* Inequality (40) is the one dimensional q-analog of the Poincaré-type inequality derived by Pachpatte [10].

**Theorem 16.** Let p > 1, m > (p/2(p-1)),  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $T \in \Lambda_q$ , T > 0. Let  $\vartheta_i \in C^1([0, T])$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$  be such that

$$\vartheta_i(0) = \vartheta_i(T) = 0. \tag{48}$$

Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} \int_{0}^{T} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\vartheta_{i}(\xi)|^{2} \right)^{p/p-1} d_{q} \xi \end{bmatrix}^{2m(p-1)/p} \\ \cdot \leq \frac{1}{N} \left( \frac{N}{4} \right)^{2m} T^{(6m-1)p-2m/p} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{T} \left| D_{q} \vartheta_{i}(\xi) \right|^{4m} d_{q} \xi.$$
(49)

*Proof.* Let  $t = q^{\sigma}T$ , where  $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}$ . From (31), one has

$$|\vartheta_{i}(t)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} |D_{q}\vartheta_{i}(\xi)| \, d_{q}\xi, \, i = 1, 2, \cdots, N.$$
 (50)

On the other hand, by Hölder's inequality (see property (iii) of Lemma 7) and (33), one has

$$\left(\int_{0}^{T} |D_{q}\vartheta_{i}(\xi)| d_{q}\xi\right)^{2} \leq T \int_{0}^{T} \left|D_{q}\vartheta_{i}(\xi)\right|^{2} d_{q}\xi.$$
(51)

Hence, by (50), one deduces that

$$\left|\vartheta_{i}(t)\right|^{2} \leq \frac{T}{4} \int_{0}^{T} \left|D_{q}\vartheta_{i}(\xi)\right|^{2} d_{q}\xi, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, N,$$
(52)

which yields

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left|\vartheta_{i}(t)\right|^{2}\right)^{p/p-1} \leq \left(\frac{T}{4}\right)^{p/p-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{T} \left|D_{q}\vartheta_{i}(\xi)\right|^{2} d_{q}\xi\right)^{p/p-1}.$$
(53)

Next, using the discrete version of Hölder's inequality, one obtains

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} |\vartheta_{i}(t)|^{2}\right)^{p/p-1} \leq \left(\frac{T}{4}\right)^{p/p-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} 1\right)^{1/p-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\int_{0}^{T} |D_{q}\vartheta_{i}(\xi)|^{2} d_{q}\xi\right)^{p/p-1} = N^{1/p-1} \left(\frac{T}{4}\right)^{p/p-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\int_{0}^{T} |D_{q}\vartheta_{i}(\xi)|^{2} d_{q}\xi\right)^{p/p-1}.$$
(54)

On the other hand, by Hölder's inequality (see property (iii) of Lemma 7) and (33), one has

$$\int_{0}^{T} \left| D_{q} \vartheta_{i}(\xi) \right|^{2} d_{q} \xi$$

$$\leq \left( \int_{0}^{T} 1 d_{q} \xi \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_{0}^{T} \left| D_{q} \vartheta_{i}(\xi) \right|^{2p/p-1} d_{q} \xi \right)^{p-1/p} \qquad (55)$$

$$= T^{1/p} \left( \int_{0}^{T} \left| D_{q} \vartheta_{i}(\xi) \right|^{2p/p-1} d_{q} \xi \right)^{p-1/p}.$$

Therefore, by (54), one deduces that

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} |\vartheta_{i}(t)|^{2}\right)^{p/p-1} \leq N^{1/p-1} \left(\frac{T}{4}\right)^{p/p-1} T^{1/p-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{T} \left|D_{q} \vartheta_{i}(t)\right|^{2p/p-1} d_{q} \xi.$$
(56)

Since the above inequality is true for all  $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}_0$  (recall that  $t = q^{\sigma}T$ ), by property (ii) of Lemma 7, and using (33), one deduces that

$$\int_{0}^{T} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left| \vartheta_{i}(\xi) \right|^{2} \right)^{p/p-1} d_{q}\xi \leq N^{1/p-1} \left( \frac{T^{2}}{4} \right)^{p/p-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{T} \left| D_{q}\vartheta_{i}(t) \right|^{2p/p-1} d_{q}\xi,$$
(57)

which yields

$$\begin{bmatrix} \int_{0}^{T} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} |\vartheta_{i}(\xi)|^{2}\right)^{p/p-1} d_{q}\xi \end{bmatrix}^{2m(p-1)/p} \\ \cdot \leq N^{2m/p} \left(\frac{T^{2}}{4}\right)^{2m} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{T} |D_{q}\vartheta_{i}(t)|^{2p/p-1} d_{q}\xi \right)^{2m(p-1)/p} .$$

$$(58)$$

Next, using Hölder's inequality with exponents 2m(p-1)/2m(p-1) - p and 2m(p-1)/p (notice that 2m(p-1)/p > 1 by assumption), one obtains

$$\begin{split} & \int_{0}^{T} \left| D_{q} \vartheta_{i}(t) \right|^{2p/p-1} d_{q} \xi \\ \leq & \left( \int_{0}^{T} 1 \, d_{q} \xi \right)^{2m(p-1)-p/2m(p-1)} \left( \int_{0}^{T} \left| D_{q} \vartheta_{i}(t) \right|^{4m} d_{q} \xi \right)^{p/2m(p-1)} \\ & = T^{2m(p-1)-p/2m(p-1)} \left( \int_{0}^{T} \left| D_{q} \vartheta_{i}(t) \right|^{4m} d_{q} \xi \right)^{p/2m(p-1)}, \end{split}$$

$$(59)$$

which yields

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{T} \left| D_{q} \vartheta_{i}(t) \right|^{2p/p-1} d_{q} \xi \leq T^{2m(p-1)-p/2m(p-1)}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \int_{0}^{T} \left| D_{q} \vartheta_{i}(t) \right|^{4m} d_{q} \xi \right)^{p/2m(p-1)}.$$
(60)

Furthermore, the discrete Hölder's inequality shows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \int_{0}^{T} \left| D_{q} \vartheta_{i}(t) \right|^{4m} d_{q} \xi \right)^{p/2m(p-1)} \leq N^{2m(p-1)-p/2m(p-1)} \\ \cdot \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{T} \left| D_{q} \vartheta_{i}(t) \right|^{4m} d_{q} \xi \right)^{p/2m(p-1)} .$$
(61)

Hence, by (60), one deduces that

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{T} \left| D_{q} \vartheta_{i}(t) \right|^{2p/p-1} d_{q} \xi \leq T^{2m(p-1)-p/2m(p-1)} N^{2m(p-1)-p/2m(p-1)} \\ & \cdot \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{T} \left| D_{q} \vartheta_{i}(t) \right|^{4m} d_{q} \xi \right)^{p/2m(p-1)} . \end{split}$$

$$(62)$$

Finally, combining (58) with (62), (49) follows.

*Remark 17.* Inequality (49) is the one dimensional q-analog of the Poincaré-type inequality derived by Pachpatte [12].

**Theorem 18.** Let  $T \in \Lambda_a$ , T > 0. Let  $\vartheta \in C^1([0, T])$  be such that

$$\vartheta(0) = \vartheta(T) = 0. \tag{63}$$

Then

$$\int_{0}^{T} \vartheta^{2}(\xi) \, d_{q}\xi \leq \frac{T}{2} \left( \int_{0}^{T} \left( |\vartheta(q\xi)| + |\vartheta(\xi)| \right)^{2} d_{q}\xi \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{0}^{T} \left| D_{q}\vartheta(\xi) \right|^{2} d_{q}\xi \right)^{1/2}. \tag{64}$$

*Proof.* Let  $t = q^{\sigma}T$ , where  $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Lemma 4, property (i) of Lemma 8, and using the boundary conditions, one has

$$\vartheta^{2}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} \left(\vartheta(q\xi) + \vartheta(\xi)\right) D_{q}\vartheta(\xi) \, d_{q}\xi \tag{65}$$

and

$$-\vartheta^{2}(t) = \int_{t}^{T} \left(\vartheta(q\xi) + \vartheta(\xi)\right) D_{q}\vartheta(\xi) \, d_{q}\xi.$$
(66)

Combining (65) with (66), it holds that

$$\vartheta^{2}(t) \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \left( \left| \vartheta(q\xi) \right| + \left| \vartheta(\xi) \right| \right) \left| D_{q} \vartheta(\xi) \right| d_{q} \xi.$$
 (67)

Using Hölder's inequality, one obtains

$$\vartheta^{2}(t) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_{0}^{T} \left( \left| \vartheta(q\xi) \right| + \left| \vartheta(\xi) \right| \right)^{2} d_{q}\xi \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{0}^{T} \left| D_{q}\vartheta(\xi) \right|^{2} d_{q}\xi \right)^{1/2}.$$
(68)

Since the above inequality holds for all  $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , using property (ii) of Lemma 7, integrating over (0, T), and using (33), (64) follows.

*Remark 19.* Inequality (64) is the one dimensional *q*-analog of the Sobolev-type inequality derived by Pachpatte [11].

## 3. Lyapunov-Type Inequalities

We fix  $q \in (0, 1)$  and  $T \in \Lambda_q$ , T > 0. Consider the second order *q*-difference equation

$$-D_q (D_q \vartheta)(t/q) + a(t)D_q \vartheta(t) = f(t)\varphi(\vartheta(t)), \quad 0 < t < T,$$
(69)

under the boundary conditions

$$\vartheta(0) = \vartheta(T) = 0, \tag{70}$$

where  $a, f \in C([0, T])$  and  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ . We suppose that there exists a constant  $L_{\varphi} > 0$  such that

$$|\varphi(x)| \le L_{\varphi}|x|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(71)

Obviously, from (71), one has  $\varphi(0) = 0$ . Hence,  $\vartheta \equiv 0$  is a trivial solution to (69) and (70). The following theorem provides a necessary condition for the existence of a nontrivial solution to (69) and (70) satisfying  $\vartheta(t) \neq 0, 0 < t < T$ .

**Theorem 20.** Suppose that  $\vartheta \in C^1([0, T])$  is a solution to (69) and (70) satisfying

$$\vartheta(t) \neq 0, \quad 0 < t < T. \tag{72}$$

Then

$$1 \le L_{\varphi} \int_{0}^{T} \sqrt{\frac{\xi(T-\xi)}{4}} |f(\xi)| d_{q}\xi + \left(\int_{0}^{T} \sqrt{\frac{\xi(T-\xi)}{4}} |a(\xi)|^{2} d_{q}\xi\right)^{1/2}.$$
(73)

*Proof.* Let  $s = q^{\sigma}T$ , where  $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\vartheta(0) = 0$ , using property (i) of Lemma 8, one has

$$\vartheta(s) = \int_0^s D_q \vartheta(\xi) d_q \xi. \tag{74}$$

By Hölder's inequality (see property (iii) of Lemma 7) and (33), one obtains

$$|\vartheta(s)| \le \sqrt{s} \left( \int_0^s \left| D_q \vartheta(\xi) \right|^2 d_q \xi \right)^{1/2}, \tag{75}$$

which yields

$$\left|\vartheta(s)\right|^2 \le s \int_0^s \left|D_q \vartheta(\xi)\right|^2 d_q \xi. \tag{76}$$

Similarly, since  $\vartheta(T) = 0$ , one has

$$-\vartheta(s) = \int_{s}^{T} D_{q} \vartheta(\xi) d_{q} \xi, \qquad (77)$$

which implies that (see Lemma 11)

$$|\vartheta(s)| \le \int_{s}^{T} \left| D_{q} \vartheta(\xi) \right| d_{q} \xi.$$
(78)

Since  $s, T \in I_q$ , then  $\int_s^T |D_q \vartheta(\xi)| d_q \xi$  is a finite sum (see (22)). Hence, we can apply Hölder's inequality to get

$$\left|\vartheta(s)\right|^{2} \leq (T-s) \int_{s}^{T} \left|D_{q}\vartheta(\xi)\right|^{2} d_{q}\xi.$$
(79)

Multiplying (76) by (79), one obtains

$$\left|\vartheta(s)\right|^{4} \le s(T-s)\left(\int_{0}^{s}\left|D_{q}\vartheta(\xi)\right|^{2}d_{q}\xi\right)\left(\int_{s}^{T}\left|D_{q}\vartheta(\xi)\right|^{2}d_{q}\xi\right),$$
(80)

i.e.,

$$|\vartheta(s)|^{2} \leq \sqrt{s(T-s)} \left( \int_{0}^{s} \left| D_{q} \vartheta(\xi) \right|^{2} d_{q} \xi \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{s}^{T} \left| D_{q} \vartheta(\xi) \right|^{2} d_{q} \xi \right)^{1/2}.$$
(81)

Using the inequality  $2AB \le A^2 + B^2$ ,  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$ , it holds that

$$|\vartheta(s)|^{2} \leq \frac{\sqrt{s(T-s)}}{2} \left[ \int_{0}^{s} \left| D_{q} \vartheta(\xi) \right|^{2} d_{q} \xi + \int_{s}^{T} \left| D_{q} \vartheta(\xi) \right|^{2} d_{q} \xi \right],$$

$$(82)$$

i.e., (recall that  $s = q^{\sigma}T$  and  $\vartheta(T) = 0$ )

$$\left|\vartheta(q^{\sigma}T)\right|^{2} \leq \frac{\sqrt{q^{\sigma}T(T-q^{\sigma}T)}}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \left|D_{q}\vartheta(\xi)\right|^{2} d_{q}\xi, \quad \sigma \in \mathbb{N}_{0}.$$
(83)

Consider now the function

$$w(t) = D_q \vartheta(t/q), \quad 0 < t < T.$$
(84)

By (69), one has

$$-D_q w(t) + a(t) D_q \vartheta(t)(t) = f(t) \varphi(\vartheta(t)), \quad 0 < t < T.$$
(85)

Multiplying (85) by  $\vartheta(t)$  and integrating over (0, T), one obtains

$$-\int_{0}^{T} \vartheta(\xi) D_{q} w(\xi) d_{q} \xi + \int_{0}^{T} a(\xi) \vartheta(\xi) D_{q} \vartheta(\xi) d_{q} \xi = \int_{0}^{T} f(\xi) \varphi(\vartheta(\xi)) \vartheta(\xi) d_{q} \xi.$$
(86)

On the other hand, using the integration by parts rule

(see Lemma 10) and the boundary conditions (70), one has

$$-\int_0^T \vartheta(\xi) D_q w(\xi) d_q \xi = \int_0^T w(q\xi) D_q \vartheta(\xi) d_q \xi.$$
(87)

Hence, by (86) and the definition of w, one deduces that

$$\int_{0}^{T} \left| D_{q} \vartheta(\xi) \right|^{2} d_{q} \xi = \int_{0}^{T} f(\xi) \varphi(\vartheta(\xi)) \vartheta(\xi) d_{q} \xi - \int_{0}^{T} \boxtimes a(\xi) \vartheta(\xi) D_{q} \vartheta(\xi) d_{q} \xi.$$

$$\tag{88}$$

Next, using (71), one obtains

$$\int_{0}^{T} \left| D_{q} \vartheta(\xi) \right|^{2} d_{q} \xi \leq L_{\varphi} \int_{0}^{T} \left| f(\xi) \right| \vartheta^{2}(\xi) d_{q} \xi + \int_{0}^{T} \left| a(\xi) \right| \left| \vartheta(\xi) \right| \left| D_{q} \vartheta(\xi) \right| d_{q} \xi.$$

$$\tag{89}$$

Furthermore, by (83) and property (ii) of Lemma 7, one deduces that

$$\int_{0}^{T} |D_{q}\vartheta(\xi)|^{2} d_{q}\xi \leq \frac{L_{\varphi}}{2} \left( \int_{0}^{T} |D_{q}\vartheta(\xi)|^{2} d_{q}\xi \right) \\ \cdot \left( \int_{0}^{T} |f(\xi)| \sqrt{\xi(T-\xi)} d_{q}\xi \right) \\ + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \int_{0}^{T} |a(\xi)| [\xi(T-\xi)]^{1/4} |D_{q}\vartheta(\xi)| d_{q}\xi \right) \\ \cdot \left( \int_{0}^{T} |D_{q}\vartheta(\xi)|^{2} d_{q}\xi \right)^{1/2}.$$

$$(90)$$

Therefore, by Hölder's inequality, it holds that

$$\int_{0}^{T} |D_{q}\vartheta(\xi)|^{2} d_{q}\xi \leq \frac{L_{\varphi}}{2} \left( \int_{0}^{T} |D_{q}\vartheta(\xi)|^{2} d_{q}\xi \right)$$

$$\cdot \left( \int_{0}^{T} |f(\xi)| \sqrt{\xi(T-\xi)} d_{q}\xi \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \int_{0}^{T} |a(\xi)|^{2} \sqrt{\xi(T-\xi)} d_{q}\xi \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\cdot \left( \int_{0}^{T} |D_{q}\vartheta(\xi)|^{2} d_{q}\xi \right).$$
(91)

Next, we claim that

$$\int_{0}^{T} \left| D_{q} \vartheta(\xi) \right|^{2} d_{q} \xi \neq 0.$$
(92)

Indeed, suppose that  $\int_0^T |D_q \vartheta(\xi)|^2 d_q \xi = 0$ . By Definition 5, one obtains

$$(1-q)T\sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} q^{\tau} |D_q \vartheta(q^{\tau}T)| |D_q \vartheta(q^{\tau}T)|^2 = 0, \qquad (93)$$

which yields

$$D_q \vartheta(q^\tau T) = 0, \, \tau \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$
(94)

In particular, for  $\tau = 1$ , one has

$$D_a \vartheta(T) = 0, \tag{95}$$

i.e.,

$$\vartheta(T) - \vartheta(qT) = 0. \tag{96}$$

Since  $\vartheta(T) = 0$ , one deduces that  $\vartheta(qT) = 0$ , which contradicts (72). This proves (92). Now, dividing (91) by  $\int_0^T |D_q \vartheta(\xi)|^2 d_q \xi > 0$ , it holds that

$$1 \le \frac{L_{\varphi}}{2} \int_{0}^{T} |f(\xi)| \sqrt{\xi(T-\xi)} \, d_{q}\xi + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \int_{0}^{T} |a(\xi)|^{2} \sqrt{\xi(T-\xi)} \, d_{q}\xi \right)^{1/2},$$
(97)

which yields (73).

Using the inequality

$$\xi(T-\xi) \le \frac{T^2}{4}, \quad 0 < \xi < T,$$
(98)

one deduces from Theorem 20 the following result.

**Corollary 21.** Suppose that  $\vartheta \in C^1([0, T])$  is a solution to (69) and (70) satisfying (72). Then

$$1 \le \frac{L_{\varphi}T}{4} \int_{0}^{T} |f(\xi)| \, d_{q}\xi + \frac{\sqrt{T}}{2} \left( \int_{0}^{T} |a(\xi)|^{2} \, d_{q}\xi \right)^{1/2}.$$
 (99)

Consider now the second order *q*-difference equation

$$-D_q (D_q \vartheta)(t/q) = f(t)\varphi(\vartheta(t)), \quad 0 < t < T,$$
(100)

under the boundary conditions (70), where  $f \in C([0, T])$ and  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfies (71). Notice that (100) is a special case of (69) with  $a \equiv 0$ . Hence, by Theorem 20 and Corollary 21, one deduces the following results.

**Corollary 3.2.** Suppose that  $\vartheta \in C^1([0, T])$  is a solution to (100) and (70) satisfying (72). Then

$$\int_{0}^{T} \sqrt{\xi(T-\xi)} |f(\xi)| \, d_q \xi \ge \frac{2}{L_{\varphi}}.$$
(101)

**Corollary 22.** Suppose that  $\vartheta \in C^1([0, T])$  is a solution to (100) and (70) satisfying (72). Then

$$\int_{0}^{T} |f(\xi)| \, d_q \xi \ge \frac{4}{L_{\varphi} T}.$$
(102)

*Remark 23.* Inequality (102) with  $\varphi(x) = x$  ( $L_{\varphi} = 1$ ) is the q

-analogue of Lyapunov inequality (5) with  $m_1 = 0$  and  $m_2 = T$ .

# 4. Conclusion

Integral inequalities involving the function and its gradient are very useful in the study of existence, uniqueness, and qualitative properties of solutions to ordinary and partial differential equations. Motivated by the importance of q-calculus in applications, integral inequalities involving the function and its q-derivative are obtained. Namely, we derived the q-analogue of some Poincaré-type inequalities and Sobolev-type inequalities. We also established the q-analogue of some Lyapunov-type inequalities. We hope that our results will serve as a useful inspiration for future works in the context of q-calculus.

#### **Data Availability**

No data were used in this study.

## **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### **Authors' Contributions**

All authors contributed equally to this work.

# Acknowledgments

The authors extend their appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Saud University for funding this work through research group No. RGP–237.

## References

- E. F. Beckenbach and R. Bellman, Inequalities, Second revised printing, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Neue Folge, vol. 30, Springer-Verlag, New York, NY, USA, 1965.
- [2] H. Brézis, Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations, Universitext, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2011.
- [3] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, University Press, Cambridge, MA, USA, 2nd edition, 1952.
- [4] V. G. Maz'ja, Sobolev Spaces, Springer-Verlag, 1985.
- [5] P. A. Raviart and J. M. Thomas, Introduction à l'analyse numérique des équations aux dérivées partielles, Collection Mathématiques Appliqueées pour la Maîtrise [Collection of Applied Mathematics for the Master's Degree], Masson, Paris, 1983.
- [6] L. H. Y. Chen, "Poincaré-type inequalities via stochastic integrals," Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verwandte Gebiete, vol. 69, no. 2, pp. 251–277, 1985.
- [7] W. S. Cheung, "On Poincaré type integral inequalities," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 119, no. 3, pp. 857–863, 1993.
- [8] C. O. Horgan, "Integral bounds for solutions of nonlinear reaction-diffusion equations," *Zeitschrift für Angewandte Mathematik und Physik*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 197–204, 1977.

- B. G. Pachpatte, "On Poincaré type integral inequalities," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 114, no. 1, pp. 111–115, 1986.
- [10] B. G. Pachpatte, "A note on two multidimensional integral inequalities," *Utilitas Mathematica*, vol. 30, pp. 123–129, 1986.
- [11] B. G. Pachpatte, "A note on Poincaré and Sobolev type integral inequalities," *Tamkang Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 18, pp. 1– 7, 1987.
- [12] B. G. Pachpatte, "On Sobolev-Lieb-Thirring type inequalities," *Chinese Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 18, 397 pages, 1990.
- [13] B. G. Pachpatte, *Mathematical Inequalities*, vol. 67, Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, Holland, 2005.
- [14] N. S. Papageorgiou, C. Vetro, and F. Vetro, "(p,2)-equations resonant at any variational eigenvalue," *Complex Variables* and Elliptic Equations, vol. 65, no. 7, pp. 1077–1103, 2020.
- [15] N. S. Papageorgiou, C. Vetro, and F. Vetro, "(p, 2)-equations with a crossing nonlinearity and concave terms," *Applied Mathematics & Optimization*, vol. 81, no. 1, pp. 221–251, 2020.
- [16] N. S. Papageorgiou, C. Vetro, and F. Vetro, "Relaxation for a class of control systems with unilateral constraints," *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae*, vol. 167, no. 1, pp. 99–115, 2020.
- [17] T. M. Rassias, "Un contre-exemple à l'inégalité de Poincaré," *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences*, vol. 284, pp. 409–412, 1977.
- [18] C. O. Horgan and L. T. Wheeler, "Spatial decay estimates for the Navier-stokes equations with application to the problem of entry flow," *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 97–116, 1978.
- [19] S. L. Sobolev, On some Applications of Functional Analysis to Mathematical Physics [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow, 1988.
- [20] W. Beckner and M. Pearson, "On sharp Sobolev embedding and the logarithmic Sobolev inequality," *The Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 80–84, 1998.
- [21] J. F. Escobar, "Sharp constant in a Sobolev trace inequality," *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 687–698, 1988.
- [22] B. G. Pachpatte, "On Sobolev type integral inequalities," *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh*, vol. 103, no. 1-2, pp. 1–14, 1986.
- [23] B. G. Pachpatte, "A note on Sobolev type inequalities in two independent variables," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis* and Applications, vol. 122, no. 1, pp. 114–121, 1987.
- [24] B. G. Pachpatte, "On two inequalities of the Sobolev type," *Chinese Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 15, 252 pages, 1987.
- [25] L. Saloff-Coste, Aspects of Sobolev-type inequalities, vol. 289, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [26] J. Xiao, "The sharp Sobolev and isoperimetric inequalities split twice," *Advances in Mathematics*, vol. 211, no. 2, pp. 417–435, 2007.
- [27] A. M. Lyapunov, "Problème général de la stabilité du mouvement," Annales de la faculté des sciences de Toulouse Mathématiques, vol. 9, pp. 203–474, 1907.
- [28] G. Borg, "On a Liapounoff criterion of stability," American Journal of Mathematics, vol. 71, no. 1, pp. 67–70, 1949.
- [29] R. C. Brown and D. B. Hinton, "Lyapunov inequalities and their applications," in *Survey on Classical Inequalities*, vol. 517, Springer, 2000.
- [30] K. M. Das and A. S. Vatsala, "Green's function for n-n boundary value problem and an analogue of Hartman's result," *Jour-*

nal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 670–677, 1975.

- [31] C. F. Lee, C. C. Yeh, C. H. Hong, and R. P. Agarwal, "Lyapunov and Wirtinger inequalities," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 17, no. 7, pp. 847–853, 2004.
- [32] B. G. Pachpatte, "Lyapunov type integral inequalities for certain differential equations," *Georgian Mathematical Journal*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 139–148, 1997.
- [33] A. Lavagno, A. M. Scarfone, and P. N. Swamy, "Basicdeformed thermostatistics," *Journal of Physics A*, vol. 40, no. 30, pp. 8635–8654, 2007.
- [34] A. Strominger, "Black hole statistics," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 71, no. 21, pp. 3397–3400, 1993.
- [35] D. Youm, "q-deformed conformal quantum mechanics," Physical Review D, vol. 62, no. 9, 2000.
- [36] T. Abdeljawad, J. Alzabut, and H. Zhou, "A Krasnoselskii existence result for nonlinear delay Caputo *q*-fractional difference equations with applications to Lotka-Volterra competition model," *Applied Mathematics E-Notes*, vol. 17, pp. 307–318, 2017.
- [37] J. Alzabut and T. Abdeljawad, "Perron's theorem for q-delay difference equations," *Applied Mathematics & Information Sciences*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 74–84, 2011.
- [38] J. Alzabut, B. Mohammadaliee, and M. E. Samei, "Solutions of two fractional q-integro-differential equations under sum and integral boundary value conditions on a time scale," *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2020, no. 1, 2020.
- [39] G. A. Anastassiou, *Intelligent mathematics: computational analysis*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2011.
- [40] M. H. Annaby and Z. S. Mansour, *q-fractional calculus and equations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2012.
- [41] S. Araci, E. Agyuz, and M. Acikgoz, "On a q-analog of some numbers and polynomials," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2015, no. 1, 2015.
- [42] A. De Sole and V. G. Kac, "On integral representations of q -gamma and q-beta functions," Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti Lincei. Matematica e Applicazioni, vol. 16, pp. 11–29, 2005.
- [43] T. Ernst, *The history of q-calculus and a new method, UUDM Report 2000*, Department of Mathematics, Uppsala University, 2000.
- [44] T. Ernst, A Comprehensive Treatment of q-Calculus, Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [45] V. G. Kac and P. Cheung, *Quantum Calculus*, Universitext, Springer, New York, 2002.
- [46] W. A. Khan, I. A. Khan, and M. Ali, "A note on *q*-analogue of Hermite poly-Bernoulli numbers and polynomials," *Mathematica Morvica*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 1–16, 2019.
- [47] W. A. Khan, I. A. Khan, and M. Ali, "Degenerate Hermite poly-Bernoulli numbers and polynomials with *q*-parameter," *Studia Universitatis Babes-Bolyai, Mathematica*, vol. 65, no. 1, pp. 3–15, 2020.
- [48] P. Rajković, S. Marinković, and M. Stanković, "Fractional integrals and derivatives in q-calculus," *Applicable Analy*sis and Discrete Mathematics, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 311–323, 2007.
- [49] H. M. Srivastava, G. Yasmin, A. Muhyi, and S. Araci, "Certain results for the twice-iterated 2D q-Appell polynomials," *Symmetry*, vol. 11, no. 10, p. 1307, 2019.

- [50] G. Yasmin, A. Muhyi, and S. Araci, "Certain results of q-Sheffer-Appell polynomials," *Symmetry*, vol. 11, no. 2, p. 159, 2019.
- [51] G. Yasmin and A. Muhyi, "Certain results of 2-variable qgeneralized tangent-Apostol-type polynomials," *Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science*, vol. 22, pp. 238–251, 2020.