

Research Article

Existence of Solutions for Fractional Boundary Value Problems with a Quadratic Growth of Fractional Derivative

Yaning Li,¹ Quanguo Zhang,² and Baoyan Sun ³

¹College of Mathematics & Statistics, Nanjing University of Information Science & Technology, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210044, China

²Department of Mathematics, Luoyang Normal University, Luoyang, Henan 471022, China

³School of Mathematics and Information Sciences, Yantai University, Yantai, Shandong 264005, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Baoyan Sun; bysun@ytu.edu.cn

Received 25 March 2020; Accepted 24 April 2020; Published 13 May 2020

Academic Editor: Liguang Wang

Copyright © 2020 Yaning Li et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In this paper, we deal with two fractional boundary value problems which have linear growth and quadratic growth about the fractional derivative in the nonlinearity term. By using variational methods coupled with the iterative methods, we obtain the existence results of solutions. To the best of the authors' knowledge, there are no results on the solutions to the fractional boundary problem which have quadratic growth about the fractional derivative in the nonlinearity term.

1. Introduction

It has been seen that fractional differential equations have better effects in many realistic applications than the classical ones. Qualitative theory and its applications in physics, engineering, economics, biology, and ecology are extensively discussed and demonstrated in [1–4] and the references therein. Some recent contributions to the theory of fractional differential equation can be seen in [5–10].

Some classical tools such as fixed point theorems [5], the method of upper and lower solutions, and monotone iterative technique [11, 12] have been widely used to study the fractional differential equation. Recently, the study of fractional differential equations has attracted much attention by using variational methods, for example, [7, 8, 13–19]. We also mention that in the recent works [20, 21], the authors have developed a general approach concerning the existence of solutions.

Fractional differential equations containing left and right fractional differential operators have received attention from scientists due to their applications in physical phenomena exhibiting anomalous diffusion. In [7], appropriate fractional derivative spaces were defined and existence and uniqueness results for a fractional boundary value problem were proven using the Lax-Milgram theorem.

Jiao and Zhou [8] showed the variational structure of a fractional boundary value problem under an appropriate functional space; they used the least action principle and the Mountain Pass theorem to obtain the existence of at least one solution. Sun and Zhang [22] obtained the existence result for a fractional boundary value problem by using the Mountain Pass method and an iterative technique. In [23], the authors discussed the existence of a fractional boundary value problem with linear growth about the fractional derivative in a nonlinearity term. Compared with some integral-order partial differential equations such as [6, 24–31], the fractional derivatives have hereditary and nonlocal properties so that they are much more suitable for describing long-memory processes than the classical integer-order derivatives.

Motivated by the above papers, in this paper, we first investigated the existence of solutions for the following fractional boundary value problems:

$$\begin{cases} {}_t D_T^\alpha {}_t D_t^\alpha u(t) + {}_0 D_t^\alpha {}_0 D_T^\alpha u(t) = f(t, u(t), {}_0 D_t^\alpha u(t)), \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T], \\ u(0) = u(T) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where ${}_0D_t^\alpha$ and ${}_tD_T^\alpha$ are the left and right fractional Riemann-Liouville derivatives of order $1/2 < \alpha < 1$, respectively, $f : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Note that problem (1) is not variational due to the fractional derivative contained in nonlinearity, so we cannot find a functional such that its critical point is the weak solution corresponding to (1). In order to overcome this difficulty, we consider the following fractional boundary value problem which is independent on the fractional derivative of the solution

$$\begin{cases} {}_tD_{T0}^\alpha D_t^\alpha u(t) + {}_0D_{tT}^\alpha D_T^\alpha u(t) = f(t, u(t), {}_0D_t^\alpha w(t)), \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T], \\ u(0) = u(T) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where w is an element of fractional Sobolev space E^α . First, by using variational methods, we obtain the existence of solutions for (2). Then, under the assumption that f is linear growth about the fractional derivative and based on iterative methods, we show there exists a solution for (1). Our conditions are weaker than that in [23].

We also discuss the following fractional boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} {}_tD_{T0}^\alpha D_t^\alpha u(t) + {}_0D_{tT}^\alpha D_T^\alpha u(t) = a(t)g(u(t)) + \lambda |{}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)|^2, \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T], \\ u(0) = u(T) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where $a \in L^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}^+)$, λ is a parameter, and $g \in C(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$. Compared with (1), the nonlinearity of (3) is quadratic growth about a fractional derivative. By using variational methods and an iterative technique, we obtain that there exists solutions for (3) when λ and a satisfy suitable conditions. To the best of the authors' knowledge, there are no results on the solutions to the fractional boundary problem which have quadratic growth about the fractional derivative in the nonlinearity term.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will list some important properties of the basic functional space. We show the existence results for (1) and (3) in Section 3 and Section 4, respectively.

2. Preliminary

Let us briefly recall the property of a fractional derivative which will be used to construct the variational functional.

Lemma 1 [1]. *For $0 < \beta \leq 1$, if $f \in L^p([a, b]; \mathbb{R})$ with $p(1 - \beta) > 1$, ${}_0J_t^{1-\beta} f(t)$ is absolutely continuous, and $g : ([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ is absolutely continuous with $g(b) = 0$, then*

$$\int_a^b [{}_aD_t^\beta f(t)] g(t) dt = \int_a^b [{}_tD_b^\beta g(t)] f(t) dt. \quad (4)$$

Now, we recall some properties of the basic function space which have been studied in [32].

Throughout this paper, let $1/2 < \alpha < 1$.

The fractional derivative space E^α is defined by the completion of $C_0^\infty(0, T)$ with respect to the norm $\|u\| = (\int_0^T |u(t)|^2 dt + \int_0^T |{}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)|^2 dt)^{1/2}$, where ${}_0D_t^\alpha$ is the α -order left Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative. Then, E^α is a reflexive and separable Hilbert space. And the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative exists for the elements in E^α [22].

Lemma 2 [32]. *For all $u \in E^\alpha$, we have*

$$\|u\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{T^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \|{}_0D_t^\alpha u\|_{L^2}, \quad (5)$$

$$\|u\|_\infty \leq \frac{T^{\alpha-(1/2)}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(2\alpha-1)^{1/2}} \|{}_0D_t^\alpha u\|_{L^2}. \quad (6)$$

According to (5), one can consider E^α with respect to the equivalent norm

$$\|u\|_\alpha = \|{}_0D_t^\alpha u\|_{L^2}. \quad (7)$$

Lemma 3 [32]. *If the sequence $\{u_k\}$ converges weakly to u in E^α , i.e., $u_k \rightharpoonup u$, then $u_k \rightarrow u$ in $C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^N)$, i.e., $\|u - u_k\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.*

By the proof of Proposition 4.1 in [8], we have the following property.

Lemma 4. *For any $u \in E^\alpha$,*

$$|\cos(\pi\alpha)|^2 \|u\|_\alpha^2 \leq \|{}_tD_T^\alpha u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \frac{1}{|\cos(\pi\alpha)|^2} \|u\|_\alpha^2. \quad (8)$$

3. Existence Result for (1)

We assume that $f(t, x, y)$ satisfies the following conditions:

(H_0) $f : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable in $t \in [0, T]$ for every $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ and continuous in $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$, and there exist $a \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$, $b \in L^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}^+)$, such that

$$|f(t, x, y)| \leq a(|x|)b(t) + y^2, \quad (9)$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

(H_1) There are constants $\mu > 2$ and $R > 0$ such that, for $|x| \geq R$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$0 < \mu F(t, x, y) \leq f(t, x, y)x, \quad (10)$$

where $F(t, x, y) = \int_0^x f(t, s, y) ds$.

(H_2) $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} (f(t, x, y)/x) = 0$ uniformly for $t \in [0, T]$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}$.

In order to derive a weak solution of (1), we suppose that u is a solution of (1), and multiplying (1) by an arbitrary $v \in C_0^\infty(0, T)$ and by Lemma 1, we have

$$\int_0^T {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t) {}_0D_t^\alpha v(t) + {}_tD_T^\alpha u(t) {}_tD_T^\alpha v(t) dt - \int_0^T f(t, u(t), {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) v(t) dt = 0. \quad (11)$$

Since (11) is well defined for $u, v \in E^\alpha$, the weak solution of (1) may be defined as follows.

Definition 5. A weak solution of (1) is a function $u \in E^\alpha$ such that

$$\int_0^T {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t) {}_0D_t^\alpha v(t) + {}_tD_T^\alpha u(t) {}_tD_T^\alpha v(t) dt - \int_0^T f(t, u(t), {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) v(t) dt = 0, \quad (12)$$

for every $v \in E^\alpha$.

Definition 6. A function u is called a solution of (1), if ${}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)$ and ${}_tD_T^\alpha u(t)$ exist, $(-{}_tJ_T^{1-\alpha} {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t) + {}_0J_t^{1-\alpha} {}_tD_T^\alpha u(t))$ is derivable for almost every $t \in [0, T]$, and u satisfies (1).

For a given $w \in E^\alpha$, we consider the functional $\varphi_w : E^\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$\varphi_w(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |{}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)|^2 + |{}_tD_T^\alpha u(t)|^2 dt - \int_0^T F(t, u(t), {}_0D_t^\alpha w(t)) dt. \quad (13)$$

In view of assumption (H_0) , we know that φ is continuously differentiable and

$$\varphi'_w(u)v = \int_0^T {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t) {}_0D_t^\alpha v(t) + {}_tD_T^\alpha u(t) {}_tD_T^\alpha v(t) dt - \int_0^T f(t, u(t), {}_0D_t^\alpha w(t)) v(t) dt, \quad (14)$$

for $u, v \in E^\alpha$. Hence, a critical point of φ_w gives us a weak solution of (2).

Lemma 7. If u is a weak solution of (1), then u is also a solution of (1).

Proof. Let u be a weak solution of (1), then $u \in E^\alpha$, so ${}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)$ and ${}_tD_T^\alpha u(t)$ exist and $u(0) = u(T) = 0$. For every $v \in C_0^\infty$,

we have

$$\int_0^T {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t) {}_0D_t^\alpha v(t) + {}_tD_T^\alpha u(t) {}_tD_T^\alpha v(t) dt - \int_0^T f(t, u(t), {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) v(t) dt = 0. \quad (15)$$

Since $v \in C_0^\infty$, we have ${}_0D_t^\alpha v(t) = {}_0J_t^\alpha v'(t)$ and ${}_tD_T^\alpha v(t) = -{}_tJ_T^\alpha v'(t)$, so

$$\int_0^T \left(-{}_tJ_T^{1-\alpha} {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t) + {}_0J_t^{1-\alpha} {}_tD_T^\alpha u(t) - \int_0^t f(s, u(s), {}_0D_s^\alpha u(s)) ds \right) v'(t) dt = 0. \quad (16)$$

Then, there exists a constant C such that

$$-{}_tJ_T^{1-\alpha} {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t) + {}_0J_t^{1-\alpha} {}_tD_T^\alpha u(t) - \int_0^t f(s, u(s), {}_0D_s^\alpha u(s)) ds = C, \quad (17)$$

thus,

$${}_tD_{T0}^\alpha {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t) + {}_0D_t^\alpha {}_tD_T^\alpha u(t) = f(t, u(t), {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)), t \in [0, T]. \quad (18)$$

Lemma 8. Suppose (H_0) and (H_1) hold, then φ_w satisfies the (PS) condition.

Proof. Let $\{u_n\} \subset E^\alpha$, $\{\varphi_w(u_n)\}$ is bounded, and $\varphi'_w(u_n) \rightarrow 0$; we first show that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded.

It follows from (H_1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \varphi_w(u_n) - \varphi'_w(u_n) u_n &= \left(\frac{\mu}{2} - 1\right) \int_0^T |{}_0D_t^\alpha u_n(t)|^2 + |{}_tD_T^\alpha u_n(t)|^2 dt \\ &+ \int_{\{t \in [0, T] \mid \|u_n(t)\| \geq R\}} f(t, u_n(t), {}_0D_t^\alpha w(t)) u_n(t) dt \\ &- \mu F(t, u_n(t), {}_0D_t^\alpha w(t)) dt \\ &+ \int_{\{t \in [0, T] \mid \|u_n(t)\| < R\}} f(t, u_n(t), {}_0D_t^\alpha w(t)) u_n(t) dt \\ &- \mu F(t, u_n(t), {}_0D_t^\alpha w(t)) dt \\ &\geq \left(\frac{\mu}{2} - 1\right) \int_0^T |{}_0D_t^\alpha u_n(t)|^2 + |{}_tD_T^\alpha u_n(t)|^2 dt \\ &+ \int_{\{t \in [0, T] \mid \|u_n(t)\| < R\}} (f(t, u_n(t), {}_0D_t^\alpha w(t)) u_n(t) \\ &- \mu F(t, u_n(t), {}_0D_t^\alpha w(t))), \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

which implies that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded.

From the reflexivity of E^α , we may extract a weakly convergent subsequence that, for simplicity, we call $\{u_n\}$, $u_n \rightarrow u$ then $\|u_n - u\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$. Next, we will prove that $\{u_n\}$ strongly converges to u . By (H_0) , we know that

$$\int_0^T (f(t, u_n(t), {}_0D_t^\alpha w(t)) - f(t, u(t), {}_0D_t^\alpha w(t)))(u_n(t) - u(t)) dt \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (20)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi'_w(u_n) - \varphi'_w(u))(u_n - u) &= \int_0^T |{}_0D_t^\alpha(u_n(t) - u(t))|^2 \\ &+ |{}_tD_T^\alpha(u_n(t) - u(t))|^2 dt - \int_0^T (f(t, u_n(t), {}_0D_t^\alpha w(t)) \\ &- f(t, u(t), {}_0D_t^\alpha w(t)))(u_n(t) - u(t)) dt \geq (1 + |\cos \pi\alpha|^2) \|u_n - u\|_\alpha^2 \\ &- \int_0^T (f(t, u_n(t), {}_0D_t^\alpha w(t)) - f(t, u(t), {}_0D_t^\alpha w(t)))(u_n(t) - u(t)) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

By $\varphi'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ and $u_n \rightarrow u$, we obtain that

$$(\varphi'(u_n) - \varphi'(u))(u_n - u) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (22)$$

Thus, $\|u_n - u\|_\alpha \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, φ_w satisfies the (PS) condition.

Lemma 9. *Let $w \in E^\alpha$ and suppose (H_0) , (H_1) , and (H_2) hold, then (2) has at least one nontrivial solution.*

Proof. The proof relies on the Mountain Pass theorem [33, 34]. It is clear that $\varphi_w \in C^1(E^\alpha, \mathbb{R})$, $\varphi_w(0) = 0$, and φ_w satisfies the (PS) condition from Lemma 8. By (H_2) , for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$F(t, x, y) \leq \varepsilon|x|^2, \quad |x| < \delta, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad y \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (23)$$

Let $\rho = \delta\Gamma(\alpha)(2\alpha - 1)^{1/2}/2T^{\alpha-(1/2)}$ and choose $\|u\|_\alpha = \rho$; by the above inequality and (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_w(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T (|{}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)|^2 + |{}_tD_T^\alpha u(t)|^2) dt \\ &- \int_0^T F(t, u(t), {}_0D_t^\alpha w(t)) dt \geq \frac{1 + |\cos \pi\alpha|^2}{2} \|u\|_\alpha^2 \\ &- \varepsilon T \|u\|_\infty^2 \geq \left(\frac{1 + |\cos \pi\alpha|^2}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon T^{2\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)^2(2\alpha - 1)} \right) \|u\|_\alpha^2. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

It suffices to choose $\varepsilon = (1 + |\cos \pi\alpha|^2)\Gamma(\alpha)^2(2\alpha - 1)/4T^{2\alpha}$ and $\sigma = ((1 + |\cos \pi\alpha|^2)/4)\rho$ to get $\varphi_w(u) \geq \sigma$. Thus, there exist positive numbers ρ and σ which are independent of w such that $\varphi_w(u) \geq \sigma$ for $u \in E^\alpha$ satisfies $\|u\|_\alpha = \rho$.

It follows from (H_1) that there exist $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that

$$F(t, x, y) \geq c_1|x|^\mu - c_2, \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (25)$$

Choosing $\tilde{u} \in E^\alpha$ satisfies $\|\tilde{u}\|_\alpha = 1$, and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_w(r\tilde{u}) &= \frac{r^2}{2} \int_0^T (|{}_0D_t^\alpha \tilde{u}(t)|^2 + |{}_tD_T^\alpha \tilde{u}(t)|^2) dt \\ &- \int_0^T F(t, r\tilde{u}(t), {}_0D_t^\alpha w(t)) dt \leq \frac{r^2}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{|\cos \pi\alpha|^2} \right) \\ &- c_1 r^\mu \int_0^T |\tilde{u}|^\mu dt + c_2 T, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

which implies that $\varphi(r\tilde{u}) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, we obtain that there exists a $\beta > 0$ independent of u_1 and w such that $\varphi_w(u) \leq 0$ for all $\|u\|_\alpha > \beta$.

The above discussions combined with the Mountain Pass theorem show that (2) has at least one nontrivial solution u_w which can be characterized as

$$\varphi'_w(u_w) = 0, \quad \varphi_w(u_w) = \inf_{g \in \Gamma} \max_{u \in g([0,1])} \varphi_w(u), \quad (27)$$

where $\Gamma = \{g \in C([0, 1], E^\alpha) | g(0) = 0, g(1) = \beta\tilde{u}\}$.

In order to obtain the existence of solutions for (1), we need the following Lipschitz condition.

(H_3) There exist $L_1, L_2 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t, x_1, y) - f(t, x_2, y)| &\leq L_1|x_1 - x_2|, \\ \forall t \in [0, T], \quad x_1, x_2 \in [0, r_1], \quad y \in \mathbb{R}, \\ |f(t, x, y_1) - f(t, x, y_2)| &\leq L_2|y_1 - y_2|, \\ \forall t \in [0, T], \quad x \in [0, r_1], \quad y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where $r_1 = c_2 T^{\alpha-(1/2)}/\Gamma(\alpha)(2\alpha - 1)^{1/2}$; C_2 will be determined later.

Theorem 10. *Let $\gamma = L_2 T^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1) / ((1 + |\cos \pi\alpha|^2)\Gamma(\alpha + 1)^2 - L_1 T^{2\alpha})$, suppose (H_0) – (H_3) hold, $2R/(1 + |\cos \pi\alpha|^2) < 1$, and $0 < \gamma < 1$, then problem (1) has a nontrivial solution.*

Proof. For $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $u_1 = 0$, we construct a sequence $\{u_n\}$, where u_n is the solution of the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} {}_tD_{T0}^\alpha {}_tD_t^\alpha u_n(t) + {}_0D_{tT}^\alpha {}_0D_T^\alpha u_n(t) = F(t, u_n(t), {}_0D_t^\alpha u_{n-1}(t)), \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T], \\ u_n(0) = u_n(T) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

Now, we assume $\|u_{n-1}\|_\alpha \leq C_2$; by the mathematical induction, we will prove that $\|u_n\|_\alpha \leq C_2$. It follows from u_n satisfying (29) that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{u_{n-1}}(u_n) &= \inf_{g \in \Gamma} \max_{u \in g([0,1])} \varphi_{u_{n-1}}((u) \leq \max_{r \in [0,\beta]} \varphi_{u_{n-1}}((r\tilde{u})) \\ &\leq \max_{r \in [0,\beta]} \left(\frac{r^2}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{|\cos \pi\alpha|^2} \right) - c_1 r^\mu \int_0^T |\tilde{u}|^\mu dt + c_2 T \right). \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Let

$$h(r) = \frac{r^2}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{|\cos \pi\alpha|^2} \right) - c_1 r^\mu \int_0^T |\tilde{u}|^\mu dt + c_2 T, \quad (31)$$

then $h(r)$ can achieve its maximum at $\tilde{r} = ((|\cos \pi\alpha|^2 + 1)/\cos \pi\alpha)^2 c_1 \mu \int_0^T |u_1|^\mu dt)^{1/(\mu-2)}$, so $\varphi_{u_{n-1}}(u_n) \leq h(\tilde{r})$. By (H_1) and (H_0) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(\tilde{r}) &\geq \varphi_{u_{n-1}}(u_n) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |{}_0D_t^\alpha u_n(t)|^2 + |{}_tD_t^\alpha u_n(t)|^2 dt \\ &\quad - \int_0^T F(t, u_n(t), {}_0D_t^\alpha u_{n-1}(t)) dt \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} (1 + |\cos \pi\alpha|^2) \|u_n\|_\alpha^2 - \int_{\{t \in [0,T] \mid \|u_n(t)\| \leq R\}} F(t, u_n(t), {}_0D_t^\alpha u_{n-1}(t)) dt \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} (1 + |\cos \pi\alpha|^2) \|u_n\|_\alpha^2 - \int_{\{t \in [0,T] \mid \|u_n(t)\| \leq R\}} \int_0^{u_n(t)} f(t, s, {}_0D_t^\alpha u_{n-1}(t)) ds dt \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} (1 + |\cos \pi\alpha|^2) \|u_n\|_\alpha^2 - \int_{\{t \in [0,T] \mid \|u_n(t)\| \leq R\}} \int_0^R a(|s|) b(t) ds dt \\ &\quad - R \int_0^t |{}_0D_t^\alpha u_{n-1}(t)|^2 dt \geq \frac{1}{2} (1 + |\cos \pi\alpha|^2) \|u_n\|_\alpha^2 \\ &\quad - \int_0^T b(t) dt \int_0^R a(|s|) ds - R \|u_{n-1}\|_\alpha^2. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n\|_\alpha^2 &\leq \frac{2R}{1 + |\cos \pi\alpha|^2} \|u_{n-1}\|_\alpha^2 + \frac{2(h(\tilde{r}) + \int_0^T b(t) dt \int_0^R a(|s|) ds)}{1 + |\cos \pi\alpha|^2} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{2R}{1 + |\cos \pi\alpha|^2} \right)^{n-1} \|u_1\|_\alpha^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{2(h(\tilde{r}) + \int_0^T b(t) dt \int_0^R a(|s|) ds)}{1 + |\cos \pi\alpha|^2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \left(\frac{2R}{1 + |\cos \pi\alpha|^2} \right)^k \\ &= \left(\frac{2R}{1 + |\cos \pi\alpha|^2} \right)^{n-1} \|u_1\|_\alpha^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{2(1 + |\cos \pi\alpha|^2)(h(\tilde{r}) + \int_0^T b(t) dt \int_0^R a(|s|) ds)}{(1 + |\cos \pi\alpha|^2)(1 + |\cos \pi\alpha|^2 - 2R)}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Thus, we can choose $C_2 = 2(1 + |\cos \pi\alpha|^2)(h(\tilde{r}) + \int_0^T b(t) dt \int_0^R a(|s|) ds)/(1 + |\cos \pi\alpha|^2)(1 + |\cos \pi\alpha|^2 - 2R)$.

Since $(\varphi'(u_{n+1}) - \varphi'(u_n))(u_{n+1} - u_n) = 0$ and $\|u_n\|_\alpha \leq C_2$, then $\|u_n\|_\infty \leq r_1$, where r_1 is given by (H_3) . From (H_3) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^T |{}_0D_t^\alpha(u_{n+1}(t) - u_n(t))|^2 + |{}_tD_t^\alpha(u_{n+1}(t) - u_n(t))|^2 dt \\ &= \int_0^T (f(t, u_{n+1}(t), {}_0D_t^\alpha u_n(t)) \\ &\quad - f(t, u_n(t), {}_0D_t^\alpha u_{n-1}(t)))(u_{n+1}(t) - u_n(t)) dt \\ &= \int_0^T (f(t, u_{n+1}(t), {}_0D_t^\alpha u_n(t)) - f(t, u_n(t), {}_0D_t^\alpha u_n(t)))(u_{n+1}(t) \\ &\quad - u_n(t)) dt + \int_0^T (f(t, u_n(t), {}_0D_t^\alpha u_n(t)) \\ &\quad - f(t, u_n(t), {}_0D_t^\alpha u_{n-1}(t)))(u_{n+1}(t) - u_n(t)) dt \\ &\leq L_1 \|u_{n+1} - u_n\|_{L^2}^2 + L_2 \int_0^T |{}_0D_t^\alpha u_n(t) - {}_0D_t^\alpha u_{n-1}(t)| (|u_{n+1}(t) - u_n(t)|) dt \\ &\leq L_1 \|u_{n+1} - u_n\|_{L^2}^2 + L_2 \|u_n - u_{n-1}\|_\alpha \|u_{n+1} - u_n\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Combining the above estimates with (8) and (5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(1 + |\cos \pi\alpha|^2 - \frac{L_1 T^{2\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)^2} \right) \|u_{n+1} - u_n\|_\alpha \\ &\leq \frac{L_2 T^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \|u_n - u_{n-1}\|_\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

that is $\|u_{n+1} - u_n\|_\alpha \leq \gamma \|u_n - u_{n-1}\|_\alpha$. Since $0 < \gamma < 1$, the above inequality implies that $\{u_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in E^α . Thus, there is a u such that $\{u_n\}$ converges strongly to u in E^α .

Now, we show that $u \equiv 0$. By the proof of Lemma 9, we know $\varphi(u_n) \geq \sigma$.

If $\varphi(u_n) \rightarrow \varphi(u)$, then $\varphi(u) > \sigma$, and since $\varphi(0) = 0$, we obtain $u \equiv 0$. In order to show $\varphi(u_n) \rightarrow \varphi(u)$, we only need to show $\int_0^T F(t, u_n, {}_0D_t^\alpha u_{n-1}(t)) dt \rightarrow \int_0^T F(t, u, {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) dt$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^T F(t, u_n, {}_0D_t^\alpha u_{n-1}(t)) dt - \int_0^T F(t, u, {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_0^{u_n} f(t, x, {}_0D_t^\alpha u_{n-1}(t)) dx dt - \int_0^T \int_0^u f(t, x, {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) dx dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_u^{u_n} f(t, x, {}_0D_t^\alpha u_{n-1}(t)) - f(t, x, {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) dx dt \\ &\leq L_2 \int_0^T |{}_0D_t^\alpha u_{n-1}(t) - {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)| \|u_n - u\| dt \\ &\leq L_2 \|{}_0D_t^\alpha u_{n-1}(t) - {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)\| \|L^2\| \|u_n - u\| \|L^2\| \\ &\leq \frac{L_2 T^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \|u_n - u\|_\alpha^2 \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Next, we show that for any $v \in E^\alpha$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t) {}_0D_t^\alpha v(t) + {}_tD_T^\alpha u(t) {}_tD_T^\alpha v(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^T f(t, u(t), {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) v(t) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

It remains only to show

$$\int_0^T f(t, u_n(t), {}_0D_t^\alpha u_{n-1}(t)) v(t) dt \longrightarrow \int_0^T f(t, u(t), {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) v(t) dt. \quad (38)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T (f(t, u_n(t), {}_0D_t^\alpha u_{n-1}(t)) - f(t, u(t), {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t))) v(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^T (f(t, u_n(t), {}_0D_t^\alpha u_{n-1}(t)) - (f(t, u(t), {}_0D_t^\alpha u_{n-1}(t)) v(t) dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^T (f(t, u(t), {}_0D_t^\alpha u_{n-1}(t)) - f(t, u(t), {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t))) v(t) dt \\ &\leq L_1 \|u_n - u\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{L^2} + L_2 \|u_{n-1} - u\|_\alpha \|v\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C (\|u_n - u\|_\alpha + \|u_{n-1} - u\|_\alpha) \|v\|_\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

where C is a constant. Thus, we obtain a nontrivial solution of problem (1).

4. Existence Result for (3)

In Section 3, condition (H_3) implies that the nonlinearity is linear growth about a fractional derivative of solutions; this section will consider the fractional boundary value problem (3) in which the nonlinearity is quadratic growth about the fractional derivative of solutions.

Assume that g satisfies the following conditions:

(H_1') There are constants $\mu > 2$ and $R > 0$ such that, for $|x| \geq R$, $0 < \mu G(x) \leq g(x)x$, where $G(x) = \int_0^x g(s) ds$.

(H_2') $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} (g(x)/x) = 0$.

Similar to Section 3, since (3) is not variational, given $w \in E^\alpha$, we consider the following problem which is independent on the fractional derivative of the solution:

$$\begin{cases} {}_tD_T^\alpha {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t) + {}_0D_t^\alpha {}_tD_T^\alpha u(t) = a(t)g(u(t)) + \lambda |{}_0D_t^\alpha w(t)|^2, \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T], \\ u(0) = u(T) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (40)$$

Then, the corresponding functional $\phi : E^\alpha \longrightarrow E^\alpha$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_w(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T (|{}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)|^2 + |{}_tD_T^\alpha u(t)|^2) dt - \int_0^T a(t)G(u(t)) dt \\ &\quad - \lambda \int_0^T |{}_0D_t^\alpha w(t)|^2 u(t) dt, \\ \phi'_w(u)v &= \int_0^T ({}_0D_t^\alpha u(t) {}_0D_t^\alpha v(t) + {}_tD_T^\alpha u(t) {}_tD_T^\alpha v(t)) dt \\ &\quad - \int_0^T a(t)g(u(t))v(t) dt - \lambda \int_0^T |{}_0D_t^\alpha w(t)|^2 v(t) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

where $v \in E^\alpha$.

(H_1') implies that there are constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that

$$G(x) \geq c_1 |x|^\mu - c_2. \quad (42)$$

By (H_2') , there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $|x| \leq \delta$ implies

$$g(x) \leq \frac{(\Gamma(\alpha))^2 (2\alpha - 1) (1 + |\cos \pi\alpha|^2)}{4 \int_0^T a(t) dt T^{2\alpha-1}} |x|^2. \quad (43)$$

For convenience of our statement, let us give some notations and denote

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \frac{(\mu - 2)(1 + |\cos \pi\alpha|^2)}{2\mu}, \\ b &= \frac{\lambda(\mu - 1)T^{\alpha-(1/2)}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(2\alpha - 1)^{1/2}\mu}, \\ d &= \frac{(\mu - 1)\Gamma(\alpha)(2\alpha - 1)^{1/2}\delta(1 + |\cos \pi\alpha|^2)}{32T^{\alpha-(1/2)}\mu}, \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

where μ is given in (H_1') and δ is given in (43). Assuming $a^2 > 4bd$, let

$$\varepsilon_1 = \frac{a - \sqrt{a^2 - 4bd}}{2b}, \quad \varepsilon_2 = \frac{a + \sqrt{a^2 - 4bd}}{2b}. \quad (45)$$

For a fixed function $v \in E^\alpha$ with $\|v\| = 1$, we denote

$$\begin{aligned} m(t) &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{|\cos \pi\alpha|^2}\right) r^2 - c_1 r^\mu \int_0^T a(t)|v|^\mu dt \\ &\quad + \frac{r\Gamma(\alpha)(2\alpha - 1)^{1/2}\delta(1 + |\cos \pi\alpha|^2)}{4T^{\alpha-(1/2)}} + c_2 \int_0^T a(t), \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

$$M = \max_{r \in (0, \infty)} m(t), \quad M_1 = M + \int_0^T a(t) dt \max_{|x| \leq R} \left(G(x) - \frac{1}{\mu} g(x)\right). \quad (47)$$

For $\varepsilon \in (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$, denote

$$R_1 = R_1(\varepsilon) = \frac{M_1 \varepsilon}{a\varepsilon - b\varepsilon^2 - d}, R_2 = R_2(\varepsilon) = \frac{R_1 T^{\alpha-(1/2)}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(2\alpha - 1)^{1/2}}. \tag{48}$$

We also need the following Lipschitz condition:

(H₃') There exists $L_{R_2} > 0$ such that $|g(x_1) - g(x_2)| \leq L_{R_2}|x_1 - x_2|$ for $0 < x_1, x_2 < R_2$. Then we have the following result.

Theorem 11. Suppose (H₁')–(H₃') hold, $a^2 > 4bd$; if there exists $\varepsilon \in (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$, such that

$$\lambda R_1^2 \leq \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)^2(2\alpha - 1)\delta(1 + |\cos \pi\alpha|^2)}{8T^{2\alpha-1}}, \tag{49}$$

$$\frac{2\lambda R_1 T^{\alpha-(1/2)}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(2\alpha - 1)^{1/2}} < 1 + |\cos \pi\alpha|^2 - \frac{T^{2\alpha-1}L_{R_2} \int_0^T a(t)dt}{(\Gamma(\alpha))^2(2\alpha - 1)}. \tag{50}$$

Then, (3) has a nontrivial weak solution.

Proof. We first verify that for a given $w \in E^\alpha$ with $\|w\|_\alpha \leq R_1$, (40) has at least a nontrivial weak solution.

In order to use the Mountain Pass theorem, we first show that ϕ satisfies the (PS) condition. Let $\{u_n\} \subset E^\alpha$, $\{\phi_w(u_n)\}$ is bounded, and $\phi'_w(u_n) \rightarrow 0$, we show that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded.

In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\phi_w(u_n) - \phi'_w(u_n)u_n &= \left(\frac{\mu}{2} - 1\right) \int_0^T |{}_0D_t^\alpha u_n(t)|^2 + |{}_tD_T^\alpha u_n(t)|^2 dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^T a(t)(g(u_n)u_n - \mu G(u_n))dt + \lambda(1 - \mu) \int_0^T |{}_0D_t^\alpha \omega(t)|^2 u_n(t)dt \\ &\geq \left(\frac{\mu}{2} - 1\right) (1 + |\cos \pi\alpha|^2) \|u_n\|_\alpha^2 + \lambda(1 - \mu) \|\omega\|_\alpha^2 \|u_n\|_\alpha \\ &\quad + \int_{\{t \in [0, T] \mid |u_n(t)| \leq R\}} a(t)(u_n(t)g(u_n(t)) - \mu G(u_n(t)))dt \\ &\geq \left(\frac{\mu}{2} - 1\right) (1 + |\cos \pi\alpha|^2) \|u_n\|_\alpha^2 + \frac{\lambda R_1^2(1 - \mu)T^{\alpha-(1/2)}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(2\alpha - 1)^{1/2}} \|u_n\|_\alpha \\ &\quad + \int_{\{t \in [0, T] \mid |u_n(t)| \leq R\}} a(t)(u_n(t)g(u_n(t)) - \mu G(u_n(t)))dt, \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

which implies that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded, and similar to the last part of Lemma 8, we get that $\phi_w(u)$ satisfies the (PS) condition.

Let $\rho = \Gamma(\alpha)(2\alpha - 1)^{1/2}\delta/T^{\alpha-(1/2)}$ and choose $u \in E^\alpha$ with $\|u\| = \rho$, then $\|u\|_\infty \leq \delta$. From (43), (6), and (49), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_w(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |{}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)|^2 + |{}_tD_T^\alpha u(t)|^2 dt - \int_0^T a(t)G(u(t))dt \\ &\quad - \lambda \int_0^T |{}_0D_t^\alpha \omega(t)|^2 u(t)dt \geq \frac{1}{2} (1 + |\cos \pi\alpha|^2) \|u\|_\alpha^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)^2(2\alpha - 1)(1 + |\cos \pi\alpha|^2)}{4T^{2\alpha-1}} \|u\|_\infty^2 - \lambda \|w\|_\alpha^2 \|u\|_\infty \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} (1 + |\cos \pi\alpha|^2) \|u\|_\alpha^2 - \frac{\lambda T^{\alpha-(1/2)} R_1^2}{\Gamma(\alpha)(2\alpha - 1)^{1/2}} \|u\|_\alpha \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{4} (1 + |\cos \pi\alpha|^2) \rho - \frac{\lambda T^{\alpha-(1/2)} R_1^2}{\Gamma(\alpha)(2\alpha - 1)^{1/2}} \right) \rho \\ &\geq \frac{1}{8} (1 + |\cos \pi\alpha|^2) \rho^2. \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Then, we obtain that there exists $\beta > 0$ such that for $\|u\|_\alpha = \rho$, $\phi_w(u) \geq \beta$ uniformly for $w \in E^\alpha$ with $\|w\|_\alpha \leq R_1$.

Let $v \in E^\alpha$ with $\|v\| = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_w(rv) &\leq \frac{r^2}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{|\cos \pi\alpha|^2} \right) - c_1 r^\mu \int_0^T a(t)|v|^\mu dt \\ &\quad + \lambda r \int_0^T |{}_0D_t^\alpha \omega(t)|^2 v dt + c_2 \int_0^T a(t)dt \\ &\leq \frac{r^2}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{|\cos \pi\alpha|^2} \right) - c_1 r^\mu \int_0^T a(t)|v|^\mu dt \\ &\quad + \frac{r\lambda R_1^2 T^{\alpha-(1/2)}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(2\alpha - 1)^{1/2}} \|v\|_\alpha \\ &\quad + c_2 \int_0^T a(t)dt \rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

which implies there exists $r_0 > \rho$ such that $\phi_w(r_0 v) < 0$. Then, from the Mountain Pass theorem, we get that ϕ_w has a nontrivial weak solution u_w which can be characterized as

$$\phi'_w(u_w) = 0, \phi_w(u_w) = \inf_{\eta \in \Gamma} \max_{u \in \eta([0, 1])} \phi_w(u), \tag{54}$$

where $\Gamma = \{\eta \in C([0, 1], E^\alpha) \mid \eta(0) = 0, \eta(1) = r_0 v\}$.

Let $u_1 = 0$, we can obtain that ϕ_{u_1} has a nontrivial critical point u_2 . For $n = 1, 2, \dots$, we construct a sequence $\{u_n\}$, where u_n is the critical point of $\phi_{u_{n-1}}$. Now, we assume that $\|u_{n-1}\|_\alpha \leq R_1$; by the mathematical induction, we will prove that $\|u_n\|_\alpha \leq R_1$. In fact, by (54), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{u_{n-1}}(u_n) &\leq \max_{r \in (0, r_0)} \phi_{u_{n-1}}(rv) \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{|\cos \pi \alpha|^2}\right) r^2 \\
&\quad - \int_0^T a(t)(c_1 r^\mu |v|^\mu - c_2) dt + \lambda r \int_0^T |{}_0 D_t^\alpha u_{n-1}(t)|^2 v(t) dt \\
&\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{|\cos \pi \alpha|^2}\right) r^2 - c_1 r^\mu \int_0^T a(t) |v|^\mu dt \\
&\quad + \frac{\lambda r T^{\alpha-(1/2)}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(2\alpha-1)^{1/2}} \|u_{n-1}\|_\alpha^2 + c_2 \int_0^T a(t) dt \\
&\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{|\cos \pi \alpha|^2}\right) r^2 - c_1 r^\mu \int_0^T a(t) |v|^\mu dt \\
&\quad + \frac{\lambda r T^{\alpha-(1/2)} R_1^2}{\Gamma(\alpha)(2\alpha-1)^{1/2}} + c_2 \int_0^T a(t) dt \\
&\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{|\cos \pi \alpha|^2}\right) r^2 - c_1 r^\mu \int_0^T a(t) |v|^\mu dt \\
&\quad + \frac{r \Gamma(\alpha)(2\alpha-1)^{1/2} \delta (1 + |\cos \pi \alpha|^2)}{4 T^{\alpha-(1/2)}} \\
&\quad + c_2 \int_0^T a(t) dt \leq M,
\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

where M is given in (47).

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
M &\geq \phi_{u_{n-1}}(u_n) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |{}_0 D_t^\alpha u_n(t)|^2 + |{}_t D_T^\alpha u_n(t)|^2 dt \\
&\quad - \int_0^T a(t) G(u_n(t)) dt - \lambda \int_0^T |{}_0 D_t^\alpha u_{n-1}(t)|^2 u_n(t) dt \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |{}_0 D_t^\alpha u_n(t)|^2 + |{}_t D_T^\alpha u_n(t)|^2 dt \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^T a(t) g(u_n(t)) u_n(t) dt - \lambda \int_0^T |{}_0 D_t^\alpha u_{n-1}(t)|^2 u_n(t) dt \\
&\quad - \int_0^T a(t) dt \max_{|x| \leq R} \left(G(x) - \frac{1}{\mu} g(x)\right) \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) \int_0^T |{}_0 D_t^\alpha u_n(t)|^2 + |{}_t D_T^\alpha u_n(t)|^2 dt \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\mu} \phi_{u_{n-1}}(u_n) u_n - \lambda \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) \int_0^T |{}_0 D_t^\alpha u_{n-1}(t)|^2 u_n(t) dt \\
&\quad - \int_0^T a(t) dt \max_{|x| \leq R} \left(G(x) - \frac{1}{\mu} g(x)\right) \\
&\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) (1 + |\cos \pi \alpha|^2) \|u_n\|_\alpha^2 \\
&\quad - \lambda \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) \int_0^T |{}_0 D_t^\alpha u_{n-1}(t)|^2 u_n(t) dt \\
&\quad - \int_0^T a(t) dt \max_{|x| \leq R} \left(G(x) - \frac{1}{\mu} g(x)\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) (1 + |\cos \pi \alpha|^2) \|u_n\|_\alpha^2 \leq M \\
&\quad + \lambda \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) \int_0^T |{}_0 D_t^\alpha u_{n-1}(t)|^2 u_n(t) dt \\
&\quad + \int_0^T a(t) dt \max_{|x| \leq R} \left(G(x) - \frac{1}{\mu} g(x)\right) \leq M_1 \\
&\quad + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) \frac{\lambda T^{\alpha-(1/2)}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(2\alpha-1)^{1/2}} \|u_{n-1}\|_\alpha^2 \|u_n\|_\alpha \leq M_1 \\
&\quad + \frac{\lambda(\mu-1) T^{\alpha-(1/2)}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(2\alpha-1)^{1/2} \mu} \left(\varepsilon \|u_n\|_\alpha^2 + \frac{R_1^2}{4\varepsilon} \|u_{n-1}\|_\alpha^2\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

By (49), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{(\mu-2)(1 + |\cos \pi \alpha|^2)}{2\mu} - \frac{\lambda \varepsilon (\mu-1) T^{\alpha-(1/2)}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(2\alpha-1)^{1/2} \mu}\right) \|u_n\|_\alpha^2 \\
&\leq \frac{\lambda R_1^2 (\mu-1) T^{\alpha-(1/2)}}{4\varepsilon \Gamma(\alpha)(2\alpha-1)^{1/2} \mu} \|u_{n-1}\|_\alpha^2 + M_1 \\
&\leq \frac{(\mu-1) \Gamma(\alpha)(2\alpha-1)^{1/2} \delta (1 + |\cos \pi \alpha|^2)}{32 T^{\alpha-(1/2)} \mu \varepsilon} \|u_{n-1}\|_\alpha^2 + M_1.
\end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

That is

$$(a - b\varepsilon) \|u_n\|_\alpha^2 \leq \frac{d}{\varepsilon} \|u_{n-1}\|_\alpha^2 + M_1. \tag{59}$$

When $\varepsilon \in (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$, where ε_1 and ε_2 are given in (45), we have

$$\frac{d}{\varepsilon} < a - b\varepsilon. \tag{60}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u_n\|_\alpha^2 &\leq \frac{d/\varepsilon}{a - b\varepsilon} \|u_{n-1}\|_\alpha^2 + \frac{M_1}{a - b\varepsilon} \\
&\leq \left(\frac{d/\varepsilon}{a - b\varepsilon}\right)^{n-1} \|u_1\|_\alpha^2 + \frac{M_1}{a - b\varepsilon} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \left(\frac{d/\varepsilon}{a - b\varepsilon}\right)^k \\
&\leq \|u_1\|_\alpha^2 + \frac{M_1 \varepsilon}{a\varepsilon - b\varepsilon^2 - d}.
\end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

Therefore, the above argument implies that $\|u_n\|_\alpha \leq R_1$.

Finally, we show that $\{u_n\}$ is convergent to a nontrivial solution of (3).

Since $\|u_n\|_\alpha \leq R_1$, we have $\|u_n\|_\infty \leq R_2$, then by (H'_3) , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1 + |\cos \pi\alpha|^2) \|u_{n+1} - u_n\|_\alpha^2 \leq \int_0^T |{}_0D_t^\alpha(u_{n+1} - u_n(t))|^2 \\
& \quad + |{}_tD_T^\alpha(u_{n+1} - u_n(t))|^2 dt \\
& = \left(\phi'_{u_n}(u_{n+1}) - \phi'_{u_{n-1}}(u_n) \right) (u_{n+1} - u_n(t)) \\
& \quad + \int_0^T a(t)(f(u_n) - f(u_{n+1}))(u_{n+1} - u_n) dt \\
& \quad + \lambda \int_0^T \left(|{}_0D_t^\alpha u_n(t)|^2 - |{}_0D_t^\alpha u_{n-1}(t)|^2 \right) (u_{n+1} - u_n(t)) dt \\
& \leq L_{R_2} \|u_{n+1} - u_n\|_\infty^2 \int_0^T a(t) dt \\
& \quad + \lambda \int_0^T {}_0D_t^\alpha u_n(t) {}_0D_t^\alpha (u_n - u_{n-1}) dt \|u_{n+1} - u_n\|_\infty \\
& \quad + \lambda \int_0^T {}_0D_t^\alpha u_{n-1}(t) {}_0D_t^\alpha (u_n - u_{n-1}) dt \|u_{n+1} - u_n\|_\infty \\
& \leq L_{R_2} \|u_{n+1} - u_n\|_\infty^2 \int_0^T a(t) dt + \lambda \|u_n\|_\alpha \|u_n - u_{n-1}\|_\alpha \|u_{n+1} - u_n\|_\infty \\
& \quad + \lambda \|u_{n-1}\|_\alpha \|u_n - u_{n-1}\|_\alpha \|u_{n+1} - u_n\|_\infty \\
& \leq \frac{T^{2\alpha-1} L_{R_2} \int_0^T a(t) dt}{(\Gamma(\alpha))^2 (2\alpha-1)} \|u_{n+1} - u_n\|_\alpha^2 \\
& \quad + \frac{2\lambda R_1 T^{\alpha-(1/2)}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(2\alpha-1)^{1/2}} \|u_n - u_{n-1}\|_\alpha \|u_{n+1} - u_n\|_\alpha.
\end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(1 + |\cos \pi\alpha|^2 - \frac{T^{2\alpha-1} L_{R_2} \int_0^T a(t) dt}{(\Gamma(\alpha))^2 (2\alpha-1)} \right) \|u_{n+1} - u_n\|_\alpha \\
& \leq \frac{2\lambda R_1 T^{\alpha-(1/2)}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(2\alpha-1)^{1/2}} \|u_n - u_{n-1}\|_\alpha.
\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

From (50), we know that $\{u_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in E^α and u is a weak solution of (3). Since $\phi_{u_{n-1}}(u_n) > \beta > 0$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and β does not depend on n , we obtain that u is a nontrivial weak solution of (3).

Corollary 12. Suppose (H'_1) – (H'_3) hold; if the right-hand side of (50) is greater than 0, then there exists a constant $\lambda_0 > 0$, such that (1) has a solution when $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$.

Data Availability

No data were used to support this study.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by NSF of China (11626132, 11801276) and the Scientific Research Foundation of Yantai University (2219008).

References

- [1] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, and J. J. Trujillo, *Theory and applications of fractional differential equations*, vol. 204 of North-Holland Mathematics Studies, Elsevier Science B.V, Amsterdam, 2006.
- [2] K. S. Miller and B. Ross, *An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Differential Equations*, John Wiley, New York, 1993.
- [3] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1999.
- [4] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas, and O. I. Marichev, *Fractional Integral and Derivatives: Theory and Applications*, Gordon and Breach, Longhorne, PA, 1993.
- [5] Z. Bai and H. Lü, “Positive solutions for boundary value problem of nonlinear fractional differential equation,” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 311, no. 2, pp. 495–505, 2005.
- [6] C. Chen, H. Liu, X. Zheng, and H. Wang, “A two-grid MMOC finite element method for nonlinear variable-order time-fractional mobile/immobile advection–diffusion equations,” *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 79, no. 9, pp. 2771–2783, 2020.
- [7] V. J. Ervin and J. P. Roop, “Variational formulation for the stationary fractional advection dispersion equation,” *Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 558–576, 2006.
- [8] F. Jiao and Y. Zhou, “Existence of solutions for a class of fractional boundary value problems via critical point theory,” *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 62, no. 3, pp. 1181–1199, 2011.
- [9] X. Zhang, L. Liu, and Y. Wu, “Multiple positive solutions of a singular fractional differential equation with negatively perturbed term,” *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 55, no. 3–4, pp. 1263–1274, 2012.
- [10] X. Zhang, Y. Wu, and L. Caccetta, “Nonlocal fractional order differential equations with changing-sign singular perturbation,” *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, vol. 39, no. 21, pp. 6543–6552, 2015.
- [11] S. Liang and J. Zhang, “Positive solutions for boundary value problems of nonlinear fractional differential equation,” *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 71, no. 11, pp. 5545–5550, 2009.
- [12] S. Zhang, “Existence of a solution for the fractional differential equation with nonlinear boundary conditions,” *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 1202–1208, 2011.
- [13] L. Bourdin, “Existence of a weak solution for fractional Euler–Lagrange equations,” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 399, no. 1, pp. 239–251, 2013.
- [14] L. Bourdin and D. Idczak, “A fractional fundamental lemma and a fractional integration by parts formula-applications to critical points of Bolza functionals and to linear boundary value problems,” *Advances in Differential Equations*, vol. 20, pp. 213–232, 2015.
- [15] L. Bourdin, T. Odziejewicz, and D. F. M. Torres, “Existence of minimizers for generalized Lagrangian functionals and a

- necessary optimality condition- application to fractional variational problems,” *Differential and Integral Equations*, vol. 27, pp. 743–766, 2014.
- [16] Y. N. Li and H. R. Sun, “Existence of solutions to fractional boundary-value problems with a parameter,” *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 2013, no. 141, pp. 1–12, 2013.
- [17] Q. G. Zhang, H. R. Sun, and Y. N. Li, “Existence of solution for a fractional advection dispersion equation in R^N ,” *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, vol. 38, no. 15-16, pp. 4062–4075, 2014.
- [18] W. Zhang and W. Liu, “Variational approach to fractional Dirichlet problem with instantaneous and non-instantaneous impulses,” *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 99, p. 105993, 2020.
- [19] X. Zhang, L. Liu, and Y. Wu, “Variational structure and multiple solutions for a fractional advection–dispersion equation,” *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 68, no. 12, pp. 1794–1805, 2014.
- [20] X. Zhang, J. Jiang, Y. Wu, and Y. Cui, “Existence and asymptotic properties of solutions for a nonlinear Schrödinger elliptic equation from geophysical fluid flows,” *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 90, pp. 229–237, 2019.
- [21] X. Zhang, L. Liu, Y. Wu, and Y. Cui, “A sufficient and necessary condition of existence of blow-up radial solutions for a k -Hessian equation with a nonlinear operator,” *Nonlinear Analysis: Modelling and Control*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 126–143, 2020.
- [22] H. R. Sun and Q. G. Zhang, “Existence of solutions for a fractional boundary value problem via the Mountain Pass method and an iterative technique,” *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 64, no. 10, pp. 3436–3443, 2012.
- [23] W. Xie, J. Xiao, and Z. Luo, “Existence of solutions for fractional boundary value problem with nonlinear derivative dependence,” *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2014, 812918 pages, 2014.
- [24] C. Bi and M. Liu, “A discontinuous finite volume element method for second order elliptic problems,” *Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 425–440, 2012.
- [25] C. Bi, Y. Lin, and M. Yang, “Finite volume element method for monotone nonlinear elliptic problems,” *Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations*, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 1097–1120, 2013.
- [26] C. Chen, K. Li, Y. Chen, and Y. Huang, “Two-grid finite element methods combined with Crank-Nicolson scheme for nonlinear Sobolev equations,” *Advances in Computational Mathematics*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 611–630, 2019.
- [27] C. Chen, W. Liu, and C. Bi, “A two-grid characteristic finite volume element method for semilinear advection-dominated diffusion equations,” *Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 1543–1562, 2013.
- [28] C. Chen, X. Zhang, G. Zhang, and Y. Zhang, “A two-grid finite element method for nonlinear parabolic integro-differential equations,” *International Journal of Computer Mathematics*, vol. 96, no. 10, pp. 2010–2023, 2018.
- [29] C. Chen and X. Zhao, “A posteriori error estimate for finite volume element method of the parabolic equations,” *Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 259–275, 2017.
- [30] C. Chen, X. Zhao, and Y. Zhang, “A posteriori error estimate for finite volume element method of the second-order hyperbolic equations,” *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, vol. 2015, Article ID 510241, 11 pages, 2015.
- [31] M. Yang, “Higher-order finite volume element methods based on Barlow points for one-dimensional elliptic and parabolic problems,” *Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations*, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 977–994, 2015.
- [32] F. Jiao and Y. Zhou, “Existence results for fractional boundary value problem via critical point theory,” *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos*, vol. 22, no. 4, article 1250086, 2012.
- [33] J. Mawhin and M. Willem, *Critical Point Theory and Hamiltonian Systems*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [34] P. H. Rabinowitz, *Minimax Methods in Critical Point Theory with Applications to Differential Equations*, vol. 65 of CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1986.