

## Research Article

# Nontrivial Solutions of the Kirchhoff-Type Fractional $p$ -Laplacian Dirichlet Problem

Taiyong Chen , Wenbin Liu, and Hua Jin 

School of Mathematics, China University of Mining and Technology, Xuzhou 221116, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Hua Jin; huajin@cumt.edu.cn

Received 27 September 2019; Accepted 18 March 2020; Published 20 June 2020

Academic Editor: Stanislav Hencl

Copyright © 2020 Taiyong Chen et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In this article, we consider the new results for the Kirchhoff-type  $p$ -Laplacian Dirichlet problem containing the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative operators. By using the mountain pass theorem and the genus properties in the critical point theory, we get some new results on the existence and multiplicity of nontrivial weak solutions for such Dirichlet problem.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with the existence and multiplicity of nontrivial weak solutions for the Kirchhoff-type fractional Dirichlet problem with  $p$ -Laplacian of the form

$$\begin{cases} \left( a + b \int_0^T |{}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)|^p dt \right)^{p-1} {}_tD_T^\alpha \phi_p({}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) = f(t, u(t)), & t \in (0, T), \\ u(0) = u(T) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $a, b > 0$ , and  $p > 1$  are constants,  ${}_0D_t^\alpha$  and  ${}_tD_T^\alpha$  are the left and right Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives of order  $\alpha \in (1/p, 1]$ , respectively, and  $\phi_p : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the  $p$ -Laplacian [1] defined by

$$\phi_p(s) = |s|^{p-2}s, \quad s \neq 0, \phi_p(0) = 0, \quad (2)$$

and  $f \in C([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ . It should be pointed out that the weak solutions of the boundary value problem (BVP for short) (1) mean the critical points of the associated energy functional.

The fractional derivative  ${}_0D_t^\alpha$  is nonlocal and reduces to the local first-order differential operator when  $\alpha = 1$ . Moreover, the  $p$ -Laplacian  $\phi_p$  is nonlinear and reduces to the linear

identity operator when  $p = 2$ . If  $b = 0$ , BVP (1) reduces to the following fractional  $p$ -Laplacian BVP [2]:

$$\begin{cases} {}_tD_T^\alpha \phi_p({}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) = f(t, u(t)), & t \in (0, T), \\ u(0) = u(T) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

In contrast to BVP (3), if  $b \neq 0$ , another nonlocal term,

$$\int_0^T |{}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)|^p dt, \quad (4)$$

makes BVP (1) rough when one deals with it by the variational methods.

Recently, many important results on the fractional differential equations [3–18] and the Kirchhoff equations [19–24] have been obtained. Motivated by the above works, we study the solvability of BVP (1). More precisely, we prove that BVP (1) possesses at least one nontrivial weak solution when  $f(t, x)$  is  $(p^2 - 1)$ -superlinear or  $(p^2 - 1)$ -sublinear in  $x$  at infinity and possesses infinitely many nontrivial weak solutions when  $f(t, x)$  is  $(p^2 - 1)$ -sublinear in  $x$  at infinity. The main ingredients used here are the mountain pass theorem and the genus properties in the critical point theory.

Note that, since the Kirchhoff-type  $p$ -Laplacian is a nonlinear operator, it is usually difficult to verify the Palais-Smale

condition ((PS)-condition for short). Now, we make the following assumptions on the nonlinearity  $f$ .

( $H_{11}$ ). There exist two constants  $\mu > p^2, R > 0$  such that

$$0 < \mu F(t, x) \leq xf(t, x), \quad \forall t \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ with } |x| \geq R, \quad (5)$$

where  $F(t, x) = \int_0^x f(t, s) ds$ .

( $H_{12}$ ).  $f(t, x) = o(|x|^{p-1})$  as  $|x| \rightarrow 0$  uniformly for  $\forall t \in [0, T]$ .

( $H_{21}$ ). There exists a constant  $1 < r_1 < p^2$  and a function  $d \in L^1([0, T], \mathbb{R}^+)$  such that

$$|f(t, x)| \leq r_1 d(t) |x|^{r_1-1}, \quad \forall (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}. \quad (6)$$

( $H_{22}$ ). There exists an open interval  $\mathbb{I} \subset [0, T]$  and three constants  $\eta, \delta > 0, 1 < r_2 < p^2$  such that

$$F(t, x) \geq \eta |x|^{r_2}, \quad \forall (t, x) \in \mathbb{I} \times [-\delta, \delta]. \quad (7)$$

( $H_{23}$ ).  $f(t, x) = -f(t, -x), \forall (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}$ .

We are now to state our main results.

**Theorem 1.** *Let ( $H_{11}$ ) and ( $H_{12}$ ) be satisfied. Then, BVP (1) possesses at least one nontrivial weak solution.*

**Theorem 2.** *Let ( $H_{21}$ ) and ( $H_{22}$ ) be satisfied. Then, BVP (1) possesses at least one nontrivial weak solution.*

**Theorem 3.** *Let ( $H_{21}$ )–( $H_{23}$ ) be satisfied. Then, BVP (1) possesses infinitely many nontrivial weak solutions.*

## 2. Preliminaries

**2.1. Fractional Sobolev Space.** In this subsection, we present some basic definitions and notations of the fractional calculus [25, 26]. Moreover, we introduce a fractional Sobolev space and some properties of this space [14].

**Definition 4** (see [25]). For  $\beta > 0$ , the left and right Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals of order  $\beta$  of a function  $u : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} {}_a D_t^{-\beta} u(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} u(s) ds, \\ {}_t D_b^{-\beta} u(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_t^b (s-t)^{\beta-1} u(s) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

respectively, provided that the right-hand-side integrals are pointwise defined on  $[a, b]$ , where  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  is the gamma function.

**Definition 5** (see [25]). For  $n-1 \leq \beta < n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ), the left and right Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives of order  $\beta$  of a function  $u : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} {}_a D_t^\beta u(t) &= \frac{d^n}{dt^n} {}_a D_t^{\beta-n} u(t), \\ {}_t D_b^\beta u(t) &= (-1)^n \frac{d^n}{dt^n} {}_t D_b^{\beta-n} u(t). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

**Remark 6.** When  $\beta = 1$ , one can obtain from Definitions 4 and 5 that

$$\begin{aligned} {}_a D_t^1 u(t) &= u'(t), \\ {}_t D_b^1 u(t) &= -u'(t), \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where  $u^0$  is the usual first-order derivative of  $u$ .

**Definition 7** (see [14]). For  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ , the fractional derivative space  $E_0^{\alpha,p}$  is defined by the closure of  $C_0^\infty((0, T), \mathbb{R})$  with respect to the following norm:

$$\|u\|_{E^{\alpha,p}} = \left( \|u\|_{L^p}^p + \|{}_0 D_t^\alpha u\|_{L^p}^p \right)^{1/p}, \quad (11)$$

where  $\|u\|_{L^p} = \left( \int_0^T |u(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p}$  is the norm of  $L^p((0, T), \mathbb{R})$ .

**Remark 8.** It is obvious that, for  $u \in E_0^{\alpha,p}$ , one has

$${}_0 D_t^\alpha u \in L^p((0, T), \mathbb{R}), \quad u(0) = u(T) = 0. \quad (12)$$

**Lemma 9** (see [14]). *Let  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ . The fractional derivative space  $E_0^{\alpha,p}$  is a reflexive and separable Banach space.*

**Lemma 10** (see [14]). *Let  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ . For  $u \in E_0^{\alpha,p}$ , one has*

$$\|u\|_{L^p} \leq C_p \|{}_0 D_t^\alpha u\|_{L^p}, \quad (13)$$

where

$$C_p = \frac{T\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} > 0, \quad (14)$$

is a constant. Moreover, if  $\alpha > 1/p$ , then

$$\|u\|_\infty \leq C_\infty \|{}_0 D_t^\alpha u\|_{L^p}, \quad (15)$$

where  $\|u\|_\infty = \max_{t \in [0, T]} |u(t)|$  is the norm of  $C([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ ,

$$C_\infty = \frac{T^{\alpha-(1/p)}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(\alpha q - q + 1)^{1/q}} > 0, \quad q = \frac{p}{p-1} > 1. \quad (16)$$

**Remark 11.** By (13), we can consider the space  $E_0^{\alpha,p}$  with norm

$$\|u\|_{E^{\alpha,p}} = \|{}_0 D_t^\alpha u\|_{L^p}, \quad (17)$$

in what follows.

**Lemma 12** (see [14]). *Let  $1/p < \alpha \leq 1$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ . The imbedding of  $E_0^{\alpha,p}$  in  $C([0, T], \mathbb{R})$  is compact.*

**2.2. Critical Point Theory.** Now, we present some necessary definitions and theorems of the critical point theory [27, 28].

Let  $X$  be a real Banach space, and  $I \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$  which means that  $I$  is a continuously Fréchet differentiable functional. Moreover, let  $B_\rho(0)$  be an open ball in  $X$  and  $\partial B_\rho(0)$  denote its boundary.

**Definition 13** (see [27]). Let  $I \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ . If any sequence  $\{u_k\} \subset X$  for which  $\{I(u_k)\}$  is bounded and  $I'(u_k) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  possesses a convergent subsequence in  $X$ , then we say that  $I$  satisfies the (PS)-condition.

**Lemma 14** (see [28]). *Let  $X$  be a real Banach space, and  $I \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$  satisfying the (PS)-condition. Suppose that  $I(0) = 0$  and  $(C_1)$ , there are constants  $\rho, \sigma > 0$  such that  $I|_{\partial B_\rho(0)} \geq \sigma$ ;  $(C_2)$  there is an  $e \in X \setminus \overline{B_\rho(0)}$  such that  $I(e) \leq 0$ .*

*Then,  $I$  possesses a critical value  $c \geq \sigma$ . Moreover,  $c$  can be characterized as*

$$c = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{s \in [0,1]} I(\gamma(s)), \tag{18}$$

where

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], X) | \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = e\}. \tag{19}$$

**Lemma 15** (see [27]). *Let  $X$  be a real Banach space, and  $I \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$  satisfies the (PS)-condition. If  $I$  is bounded from below, then  $c = \inf_X I$  is a critical value of  $I$ .*

In order to find the infinitely many critical points of  $I$ , we introduce the following genus properties. Let

$$\Sigma = \{A \subset X - \{0\} | A \text{ is closed in } X \text{ and symmetric with respect to } 0\},$$

$$K_c = \{u \in X | I(u) = c, I'(u) = 0\},$$

$$I^c = \{u \in X | I(u) \leq c\}.$$

$$\tag{20}$$

**Definition 16** (see [28]). For  $A \in \Sigma$ , we say that the genus of  $A$  is  $n$  denoted by  $\gamma(A) = n$  if there is an odd map  $G \in C(A, \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$  and  $n$  is the smallest integer with this property.

**Lemma 17** (see [28]). *Let  $I$  be an even  $C^1$  functional on  $X$  and satisfy the (PS)-condition. For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , set*

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_n &= \{A \in \Sigma | \gamma(A) \geq n\}, \\ c_n &= \inf_{A \in \Sigma_n} \sup_{u \in A} I(u). \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

(i) *If  $\Sigma_n \neq \emptyset$  and  $c_n \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $c_n$  is a critical value of  $I$*

(ii) *If there exists  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $c_n = c_{n+1} = \dots = c_{n+l} = c \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $c \neq I(0)$ , then  $\gamma(K_c) \geq l + 1$*

**Remark 18.** From Remark 7.3 in [28], we know that if  $K_c \in \Sigma$  and  $\gamma(K_c) > 1$ , then  $K_c$  contains infinitely many distinct points; that is,  $I$  has infinitely many distinct critical points in  $X$ .

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1

In this section, we discuss the existence of nontrivial weak solutions of BVP (1) when the nonlinearity  $f(t, x)$  is  $(p^2 - 1)$ -superlinear in  $x$  at infinity.

Define the functional  $I : E_0^{\alpha,p} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} I(u) &= \frac{1}{bp^2} \left( a + b \int_0^T |{}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)|^p dt \right)^p \\ &\quad - \int_0^T F(t, u(t)) dt - \frac{a^p}{bp^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{bp^2} \left( a + b \|u\|_{E^{\alpha,p}}^p - \int_0^T F(t, u(t)) dt - \frac{a^p}{bp^2} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

It is easy to verify from (15), (17), and  $f \in C([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  that the functional  $I$  is well defined on  $E_0^{\alpha,p}$  and is a continuously Fréchet differentiable functional; that is,  $I \in C^1(E_0^{\alpha,p}, \mathbb{R})$ . Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle I'(u), v \rangle &= (a + b \|u\|_{E^{\alpha,p}}^p)^{p-1} \int_0^T \phi_p({}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) {}_0D_t^\alpha v(t) dt \\ &\quad - \int_0^T f(t, u(t)) v(t) dt, \quad \forall u, v \in E_0^{\alpha,p}, \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

which yields

$$\langle I'(u), u \rangle = (a + b \|u\|_{E^{\alpha,p}}^p)^{p-1} \|u\|_{E^{\alpha,p}}^p - \int_0^T f(t, u(t)) u(t) dt. \tag{24}$$

In the following, for simplicity, let

$$\begin{aligned} M_{pk} &= a + b \|uk\|_{E^{\alpha,p}}^p, \\ M_p &= a + b \|u\|_{E^{\alpha,p}}^p. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

**Lemma 19.** *Assume that  $(H_{11})$  holds. Then,  $I$  satisfies the (PS)-condition in  $E_0^{\alpha,p}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{u_k\} \subset E_0^{\alpha,p}$  be a sequence such that

$$\begin{aligned} |I(u_k)| &\leq K, \\ I'(u_k) &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where  $K > 0$  is a constant. We first prove that  $\{u_k\}$  is

bounded in  $E_0^{\alpha,p}$ . From the continuity of  $\mu F(t, x) - xf(t, x)$  and  $(H_{11})$ , we obtain that there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that

$$F(t, x) \leq \frac{1}{\mu}xf(t, x) + c, \quad \forall (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}. \quad (27)$$

Thus, by (22) and (24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} K \geq I(u_k) &\geq \frac{1}{bp^2}M_{pk}^p - \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^T f(t, u_k(t))u_k(t)dt - cT - \frac{a^p}{bp^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{bp^2}M_{pk}^p - \frac{1}{\mu}M_{pk}^{p-1} \|u_k\|_{E^{\alpha,p}}^p + \frac{1}{\mu} \langle I'(u_k), u_k \rangle \\ &\quad - cT - \frac{a^p}{bp^2} \geq M_{pk}^{p-1} \left( \left( \frac{1}{p^2} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \|u_k\|_{E^{\alpha,p}}^p + \frac{a}{bp^2} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\mu} \|I'(u_k)\|_{(E_0^{\alpha,p})^*} \|u_k\|_{E^{\alpha,p}} - cT - \frac{a^p}{bp^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

which together with  $I'(u_k) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} K &\geq M_{pk}^{p-1} \left( \left( \frac{1}{p^2} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \|u_k\|_{E^{\alpha,p}}^p + \frac{a}{bp^2} \right) \\ &\quad - \|u_k\|_{E^{\alpha,p}} - cT - \frac{a^p}{bp^2} \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Then, it follows from  $\mu > p^2$  that  $\{u_k\}$  is bounded in  $E_0^{\alpha,p}$ .

Since  $E_0^{\alpha,p}$  is a reflexive Banach space (see Lemma 9), going if necessary to a subsequence, we can assume  $u_k \rightarrow u$  in  $E_0^{\alpha,p}$ . Hence, from  $I'(u_k) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and the definition of weak convergence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle I'(u_k) - I'(u), u_k - u \rangle \\ &= \langle I'(u_k), u_k - u \rangle - \langle I'(u), u_k - u \rangle \\ &\leq \|I'(u_k)\|_{(E_0^{\alpha,p})^*} \|u_k - u\|_{E^{\alpha,p}} \\ &\quad - \langle I'(u), u_k - u \rangle \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

In addition, we obtain from (15), (17), and Lemma 12 that  $\{u_k\}$  is bounded in  $C([0, T], \mathbb{R})$  and  $\|u_k - u\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus, there exists a constant  $c_1 > 0$  such that

$$|f(t, u_k(t)) - f(t, u(t))| \leq c_1, \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad (31)$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_0^T (f(t, u_k(t)) - f(t, u(t)))(u_k(t) - u(t))dt \right| \\ &\leq c_1 T \|u_k - u\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Moreover, by the boundedness of  $\{u_k\}$  in  $E_0^{\alpha,p}$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( M_{pk}^{p-1} - M_p^{p-1} \right) \int_0^T \phi_p({}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) ({}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t) - {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) dt \\ &= \left( M_{pk}^{p-1} - M_p^{p-1} \right) \langle I'_1(u), u_k - u \rangle \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where  $I'_1$  is the Fréchet derivative of  $I_1 : E_0^{\alpha,p} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$I_1(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_0^T |{}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)|^p dt. \quad (34)$$

From (23), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle I'(u_k) - I'(u), u_k - u \rangle \\ &+ \int_0^T (f(t, u_k(t)) - f(t, u(t)))(u_k(t) - u(t)) \\ &\cdot dt = M_{pk}^{p-1} \int_0^T \phi_p({}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t)) ({}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t) - {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) \\ &\cdot dt - M_p^{p-1} \int_0^T \phi_p({}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) ({}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t) - {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) \\ &\cdot dt = M_{pk}^{p-1} \int_0^T \left( \phi_p({}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t)) - \phi_p({}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) \right) \\ &\cdot ({}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t) - {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) dt + \left( M_{pk}^{p-1} - M_p^{p-1} \right) \int_0^T \phi_p({}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) \\ &\cdot ({}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t) - {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

which together with (30)–(33) yields

$$\int_0^T \left( \phi_p({}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t)) - \phi_p({}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) \right) ({}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t) - {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) dt \rightarrow 0, \quad (36)$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

Following (2.10) in [29], there exist two constants  $c_2, c_3 > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^T \left( \phi_p({}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t)) - \phi_p({}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) \right) ({}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t) - {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) \\ &\cdot dt \geq \begin{cases} c_2 \int_0^T |{}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t) - {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)|^p dt, & p \geq 2, \\ c_3 \int_0^T \frac{|{}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t) - {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)|^2}{(|{}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t)| + |{}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)|)^{2-p}} dt, & 1 < p < 2. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

When  $1 < p < 2$ , based on the Hölder inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T |{}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t) - {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)|^p \\ & \cdot dt \leq \left( \int_0^T \frac{|{}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t) - {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)|^2}{(|{}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t)| + |{}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)|)^{2-p}} dt \right)^{p/2} \\ & \cdot \left( \int_0^T (|{}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t)| + |{}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)|)^p dt \right)^{(2-p)/2} \quad (38) \\ & \leq c_4 (\|u_k\|_{E^{\alpha,p}}^p + \|u\|_{E^{\alpha,p}}^p)^{(2-p)/2} \\ & \cdot \left( \int_0^T \frac{|{}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t) - {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)|^2}{(|{}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t)| + |{}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)|)^{2-p}} dt \right)^{p/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_4 = 2^{(p-1)(2-p)/2} > 0$  is a constant, which together with (37) implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \left( \phi_p({}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t)) - \phi_p({}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) \right) \\ & \cdot ({}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t) - {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) \quad (39) \\ & \cdot dt \geq c_3 c_4^{-2/p} (\|u_k\|_{E^{\alpha,p}}^p + \|u\|_{E^{\alpha,p}}^p)^{(p-2)/p} \|u_k - u\|_{E^{\alpha,p}}^2, \\ & \quad 1 < p < 2. \end{aligned}$$

When  $p \geq 2$ , by (37), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \left( \phi_p({}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t)) - \phi_p({}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) \right) ({}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t) - {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) \\ & \cdot dt \geq c_2 \|u_k - u\|_{E^{\alpha,p}}^p, \quad p \geq 2. \quad (40) \end{aligned}$$

Then, it follows from (36), (39), and (40) that

$$\|u_k - u\|_{E^{\alpha,p}} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \longrightarrow \infty. \quad (41)$$

Hence,  $I$  satisfies the (PS)-condition.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* From  $(H_{12})$ , there exist two constants  $0 < \varepsilon < 1, \delta > 0$  such that

$$F(t, x) \leq \frac{(1-\varepsilon)a^{p-1}}{pC_p^p} |x|^p, \quad \forall t \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ with } |x| \leq \delta, \quad (42)$$

where  $C_p > 0$  is a constant defined in (13). Let  $\rho = \delta/C_\infty$  and  $\sigma = \varepsilon a^{p-1} \rho^p/p$ , where  $C_\infty > 0$  is a constant defined in (15). Then, by (15) and (17), we have

$$\|u\|_\infty \leq C_\infty \|u\|_{E^{\alpha,p}} = \delta, \quad \forall u \in E_0^{\alpha,p} \text{ with } \|u\|_{E^{\alpha,p}} = \rho, \quad (43)$$

which together with (13), (17), (22), and (42) implies

$$\begin{aligned} I(u) &= \frac{1}{bp^2} (a + b\|u\|_{E^{\alpha,p}}^p)^p - \int_0^T F(t, u(t)) \\ & \cdot dt - \frac{a^p}{bp^2} \geq \frac{a^{p-1}}{p} \|u\|_{E^{\alpha,p}}^p - \frac{(1-\varepsilon)a^{p-1}}{pC_p^p} \int_0^T |u(t)|^p \\ & \cdot dt \geq \frac{a^{p-1}}{p} \|u\|_{E^{\alpha,p}}^p - \frac{(1-\varepsilon)a^{p-1}}{p} \|u\|_{E^{\alpha,p}}^p = \frac{\varepsilon a^{p-1}}{p} \|u\|_{E^{\alpha,p}}^p = \sigma, \\ & \quad \forall u \in E_0^{\alpha,p} \text{ with } \|u\|_{E^{\alpha,p}} = \rho, \quad (44) \end{aligned}$$

which means that the condition  $(C_1)$  in Lemma 14 is satisfied.

From  $(H_{11})$ , a simple argument using the very definition of the derivative shows that there exist two constants  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  such that

$$F(t, x) \geq c_1 |x|^\mu - c_2, \quad \forall (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}. \quad (45)$$

Then, for any  $u \in E_0^{\alpha,p} \setminus \{0\}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , we can obtain from (22) and  $\mu > p^2$  that

$$\begin{aligned} I(\xi u) &= \frac{1}{bp^2} (a + b\|\xi u\|_{E^{\alpha,p}}^p)^p - \int_0^T F(t, \xi u(t)) \\ & \cdot dt - \frac{a^p}{bp^2} \leq \frac{1}{bp^2} (a + b\|\xi u\|_{E^{\alpha,p}}^p)^p \\ & - c_1 \int_0^T |\xi u(t)|^\mu dt + c_2 T - \frac{a^p}{bp^2} \quad (46) \\ & = \frac{1}{bp^2} (a + b\xi^p \|u\|_{E^{\alpha,p}}^p)^p - c_1 \xi^\mu \|u\|_{L^\mu}^\mu \\ & + c_2 T - \frac{a^p}{bp^2} \longrightarrow -\infty \text{ as } \xi \longrightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, taking  $\xi_0$  large enough and letting  $e = \xi_0 u$ , we have  $I(e) \leq 0$ . Hence, the condition  $(C_2)$  in Lemma 14 is also satisfied.

Finally, by  $I(0) = 0$ , Lemmas 14 and 19, we get a critical point  $u^*$  of  $I$  satisfying  $I(u^*) \geq \sigma > 0$ , and so  $u^*$  is a nontrivial solution of BVP (1).

#### 4. Proofs of Theorems 2 and 3

In this section, we discuss the existence and multiplicity of nontrivial weak solutions of BVP (1) when the nonlinearity  $f(t, x)$  is  $(p^2 - 1)$ -sublinear in  $x$  at infinity.

**Lemma 20.** *Suppose that  $(H_{21})$  is satisfied. Then,  $I$  is bounded from below in  $E_0^{\alpha,p}$ .*

*Proof.* From  $(H_{21})$ , one has

$$|F(t, u)| \leq d(t)|u|^{r_1}, \quad \forall (t, u) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}, \quad (47)$$

which together with (15)–(22) yields

$$\begin{aligned} I(u) &\geq \frac{1}{bp^2} (a + b\|u\|_{E^{\alpha,p}}^p)^p - \int_0^T d(t)|u(t)|^{r_1} \\ &\quad \cdot dt - \frac{a^p}{bp^2} \geq \frac{1}{bp^2} (a + b\|u\|_{E^{\alpha,p}}^p)^p - \|d\|_{L^1} \|u\|_{E^{\alpha,p}}^{r_1} \\ &\quad - \frac{a^p}{bp^2} \geq \frac{1}{bp^2} (a + b\|u\|_{E^{\alpha,p}}^p)^p - C_\infty^{r_1} \|d\|_{L^1} \|u\|_{E^{\alpha,p}}^{r_1} - \frac{a^p}{bp^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Since  $1 < r_1 < p^2$ , (48) yields  $I(u) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\|u\|_{E^{\alpha,p}} \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence,  $I$  is bounded from below.

**Lemma 21.** *Assume that  $(H_{21})$  holds. Then,  $I$  satisfies the (PS)-condition in  $E_0^{\alpha,p}$ .*

*Proof.* Let be a sequence such that

$$\begin{aligned} |I(u_k)| &\leq K, \\ I'(u_k) &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

where  $K > 0$  is a constant. Then, (48) implies that  $\{u_k\}$  is bounded in  $E_0^{\alpha,p}$ . The remainder of proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 19, so we omit the details.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* From Lemmas 15, 20, and 21, we obtain  $c = \inf_{E_0^{\alpha,p}} I(u)$  which is a critical value of  $I$ ; that is, there exists a critical point  $u^* \in E_0^{\alpha,p}$  such that  $I(u^*) = c$ .

Now, we show  $u^* \neq 0$ . Let  $u_0 \in (W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{I}, \mathbb{R}) \cap E_0^{\alpha,p}) \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\|u_0\|_\infty = 1$ , from (22) and  $(H_{22})$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} I(su_0) &= \frac{1}{bp^2} (a + b\|su_0\|_{E^{\alpha,p}}^p)^p - \int_0^T F(t, su_0(t)) \\ &\quad \cdot dt - \frac{a^p}{bp^2} = \frac{1}{bp^2} (a + bs^p\|u_0\|_{E^{\alpha,p}}^p)^p - \int_{\mathbb{I}} F(\cdot, su_0(t)) \\ &\quad \cdot dt - \frac{a^p}{bp^2} \leq \frac{1}{bp^2} (a + bs^p\|u_0\|_{E^{\alpha,p}}^p)^p - \eta s^{r_2} \int_{\mathbb{I}} |u_0(t)|^{r_2} \\ &\quad \cdot dt - \frac{a^p}{bp^2}, \quad 0 < s \leq \delta. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

Since  $1 < r_2 < p^2$ , (50) implies  $I(su_0) < 0$  for  $s > 0$  small enough. Then,  $I(u^*) = c < 0$ ; hence,  $u^*$  is a nontrivial critical point of  $I$ , and so  $u^*$  is a nontrivial solution of BVP (1).

*Proof of Theorem 3.* From Lemmas 20 and 21, we obtain that  $I \in C^1(E_0^{\alpha,p}, \mathbb{R})$  is bounded from below and satisfies the (PS)-condition. In addition, (22) and  $(H_{23})$  show that  $I$  is even and  $I(0) = 0$ .

Fixing  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we take  $n$  disjoint open intervals  $\mathbb{I}_i$  such that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}_i \subset \mathbb{I}$ .

Let  $u_i \in (W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{I}_i, \mathbb{R}) \cap E_0^{\alpha,p}) \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\|u_i\|_{E^{\alpha,p}} = 1$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} E_n &= \text{span}\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}, \\ S_n &= \{u \in E_n \mid \|u\|_{E^{\alpha,p}} = 1\}. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

For  $u \in E_n$ , there exists  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ , such that

$$u(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i u_i(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \quad (52)$$

Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{E^{\alpha,p}}^p &= \int_0^T |{}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)|^p dt = \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|^p \int_{\mathbb{I}_i} |{}_0D_t^\alpha u_i(t)|^p \\ &\quad \cdot dt = \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|^p \int_0^T |{}_0D_t^\alpha u_i(t)|^p dt = \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|^p \|u_i\|_{E^{\alpha,p}}^p \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|^p, \quad \forall u \in E_n. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

From (15)–(22), (52), and  $(H_{22})$ , for  $u \in S_n$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} I(su) &= \frac{1}{bp^2} (a + b\|su\|_{E^{\alpha,p}}^p)^p - \int_0^T F(t, su(t)) \\ &\quad \cdot dt - \frac{a^p}{bp^2} = \frac{1}{bp^2} (a + bs^p)^p - \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{I}_i} F(t, s\lambda_i u_i(t)) \\ &\quad \cdot dt - \frac{a^p}{bp^2} \leq \frac{1}{bp^2} (a + bs^p)^p - \eta s^{r_2} \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|^{r_2} \int_{\mathbb{I}_i} |u_i(t)|^{r_2} \\ &\quad \cdot dt - \frac{a^p}{bp^2}, \quad 0 < s \leq \frac{\delta}{C_\infty \lambda^*}, \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

where  $\lambda^* = \max_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}} |\lambda_i| > 0$  is a constant. Since  $1 < r_2 < p^2$ , it follows from (54) that there exist constants  $\epsilon, \sigma > 0$  such that

$$I(\sigma u) < -\epsilon, \quad \forall u \in S_n. \quad (55)$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} S_n^\sigma &= \{\sigma u \mid u \in S_n\}, \\ \Lambda &= \left\{ (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|^p < \sigma^p \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

Then, we obtain from (55) that

$$I(u) < -\epsilon, \quad \forall u \in S_n^\sigma, \quad (57)$$

which, together with the fact that  $I$  is even and  $I(0) = 0$ , yields

$$S_n^\sigma \subset I^{-\epsilon} \in \Sigma. \quad (58)$$



From (53), it is seen that the mapping  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i u_i(t)$  from  $\partial\Lambda$  to  $S_n^\sigma$  is odd and homeomorphic. Hence, by some properties of the genus (Propositions 7.5 and 7.7 in [28]), we deduce that

$$\gamma(I^{-\varepsilon}) \geq \gamma(S_n^\sigma) = n. \quad (59)$$

Thus,  $I^{-\varepsilon} \in \Sigma_n$  and so  $\Sigma_n \neq \emptyset$ . Let

$$c_n = \inf_{A \in \Sigma_n} \sup_{u \in A} I(u). \quad (60)$$

It follows from  $I$  is bounded from below that  $-\infty < c_n \leq -\varepsilon < 0$ . That is, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $c_n$  is a real negative number. Hence, by Lemma 17,  $I$  admits infinitely many nontrivial critical points, and so BVP (1) possesses infinitely many nontrivial negative energy solutions.

Obviously the following assumption implies  $(H_{21})$ – $(H_{23})$ .

$(H_{24})$ .  $f(t, x) = rg(t)|x|^{r-2}x$ , where  $1 < r < p^2$  is a constant,  $g \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ , and there exists an open interval  $I \subset [0, T]$  such that  $g(t) > 0, \forall t \in I$ .

As a direct result, we have the following result.

**Corollary 22.** *Let  $(H_{24})$  be satisfied. Then, BVP (1) possesses infinitely many nontrivial weak solutions.*

## Data Availability

No data were used to support this study.

## Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

## Acknowledgments

This research is supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2019XKQYMS90).

## References

- [1] L. S. Leibenson, "General problem of the movement of a compressible fluid in a porous medium," *Izvestiia Akademii Nauk Kirgizsko SSSR*, vol. 9, pp. 7–10, 1983.
- [2] T. Chen and W. Liu, "Solvability of fractional boundary value problem with  $p$ -Laplacian via critical point theory," *Boundary Value Problems*, vol. 2016, no. 1, 2016.
- [3] R. P. Agarwal, D. O'Regan, and S. Staněk, "Positive solutions for Dirichlet problems of singular nonlinear fractional differential equations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 371, no. 1, pp. 57–68, 2010.
- [4] Z. Bai and H. Lü, "Positive solutions for boundary value problem of nonlinear fractional differential equation," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 311, no. 2, pp. 495–505, 2005.
- [5] M. Benchohra, S. Hamani, and S. K. Ntouyas, "Boundary value problems for differential equations with fractional order and nonlocal conditions," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 71, no. 7-8, pp. 2391–2396, 2009.
- [6] D. A. Benson, S. W. Wheatcraft, and M. M. Meerschaert, "The fractional-order governing equation of Lévy motion," *Water Resources Research*, vol. 36, no. 6, pp. 1413–1423, 2000.
- [7] G. M. Bisci and D. Repovš, "Higher nonlocal problems with bounded potential," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 420, no. 1, pp. 167–176, 2014.
- [8] J. Cresson, "Inverse problem of fractional calculus of variations for partial differential equations," *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 987–996, 2010.
- [9] M. A. Darwish and S. K. Ntouyas, "On initial and boundary value problems for fractional order mixed type functional differential inclusions," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 1253–1265, 2010.
- [10] V. J. Ervin and J. P. Roop, "Variational formulation for the stationary fractional advection dispersion equation," *Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 558–576, 2006.
- [11] G. J. Fix and J. P. Roof, "Least squares finite-element solution of a fractional order two-point boundary value problem," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 48, no. 7-8, pp. 1017–1033, 2004.
- [12] W. Jiang, "The existence of solutions to boundary value problems of fractional differential equations at resonance," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 74, no. 5, pp. 1987–1994, 2011.
- [13] F. Jiao and Y. Zhou, "Existence of solutions for a class of fractional boundary value problems via critical point theory," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 62, no. 3, pp. 1181–1199, 2011.
- [14] F. Jiao and Y. Zhou, "Existence results for fractional boundary value problem via critical point theory," *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos*, vol. 22, no. 4, article 1250086, 2012.
- [15] T. Ren, S. Li, X. Zhang, and L. Liu, "Maximum and minimum solutions for a nonlocal  $p$ -Laplacian fractional differential system from eco-economical processes," *Boundary Value Problems*, vol. 2017, no. 1, 2017.
- [16] X. Zhang, L. Liu, and Y. Wu, "Variational structure and multiple solutions for a fractional advection-dispersion equation," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 68, no. 12, pp. 1794–1805, 2014.
- [17] X. Zhang, L. Liu, Y. Wu, and B. Wiwatanapataphee, "Nontrivial solutions for a fractional advection dispersion equation in anomalous diffusion," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 66, pp. 1–8, 2017.
- [18] Z. Zhang and R. Yuan, "Infinitely-many solutions for subquadratic fractional Hamiltonian systems with potential changing sign," *Advances in Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 59–72, 2015.
- [19] A. Arosio and S. Panizzi, "On the well-posedness of the Kirchhoff string," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 348, no. 1, pp. 305–331, 1996.
- [20] S. Bernstein, "Sur une classe d'équations fonctionnelles aux dérivées partielles," *Izvestiya Rossiiskoi Akademii Nauk Seriya Matematicheskaya*, vol. 4, pp. 17–26, 1940.
- [21] M. M. Cavalcanti, C. V. N. Domingos, and J. A. Soriano, "Global existence and uniform decay rates for the Kirchhoff-Carrier equation with nonlinear dissipation," *Advances in Differential Equations*, vol. 6, pp. 701–730, 2001.

- [22] P. D’Ancona and S. Spagnolo, “Global solvability for the degenerate Kirchhoff equation with real analytic data,” *Inventiones Mathematicae*, vol. 108, no. 1, pp. 247–262, 1992.
- [23] J. L. Lions, “On some questions in boundary value problems of mathematical physics,” *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*, vol. 30, pp. 284–346, 1978.
- [24] S. I. Pohožaev, “A certain class of quasilinear hyperbolic equations,” *Matematicheskii Sbornik*, vol. 96, pp. 152–166, 1975.
- [25] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, and J. J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006.
- [26] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas, and O. I. Marichev, *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives: Theory and Applications*, Gordon and Breach, New York, NY, USA, 1993.
- [27] J. Mawhin and M. Willem, *Critical Point Theory and Hamiltonian Systems*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1989.
- [28] P. Rabinowitz, *Minimax Methods in Critical Point Theory with Applications to Differential Equations*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1986.
- [29] J. Simon, “Régularité de la solution d’un problème aux limites non linéaires,” *Annales de la Faculté des Sciences de Toulouse Mathématiques*, vol. 3, no. 3-4, pp. 247–274, 1981.