

Research Article

On Some Relationships of Certain K – Uniformly Analytic Functions Associated with Mittag-Leffler Function

Adel A. Attiya ^{1,2}, Ekram E. Ali,^{1,3} Taher S. Hassan ^{1,2} and Abeer M. Albalahi¹

¹Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Ha'il, Ha'il 81451, Saudi Arabia

²Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura 35516, Egypt

³Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Science, Port Said University, Port Said 42521, Egypt

Correspondence should be addressed to Adel A. Attiya; aattiy@mans.edu.eg

Received 14 April 2021; Accepted 30 April 2021; Published 28 May 2021

Academic Editor: Gangadharan Murugusundaramoorthy

Copyright © 2021 Adel A. Attiya et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In this paper, we introduce and investigate several inclusion relationships of new k -uniformly classes of analytic functions defined by the Mittag-Leffler function. Also, integral-preserving properties of these classes associated with the certain integral operator are also obtained.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} be the class of analytic functions in the open unit disc $\mathbb{U} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ which in the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n. \quad (1)$$

For $f(z)$ and $g(z) \in \mathcal{A}$, we say that the function $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$, written symbolically as follows:

$$f \prec g \text{ or } f(z) \prec g(z), \quad (2)$$

if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$, which (by definition) is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$, ($z \in \mathbb{U}$), such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$. In particular, if the function $g(z)$ is univalent in \mathbb{U} , then we have the following equivalence relation (cf., e.g., [1, 2]; see also [3]):

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \Leftrightarrow f(0) \prec g(0) \text{ and } f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}). \quad (3)$$

Let f be as in (1) and $h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$, then Hadamard product (or convolution) of $f(z)$ and $h(z)$ is given by

$$(f * h)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (4)$$

For $\zeta, \eta \in [0, 1)$, we denote by $S^*(\zeta)$, $C(\zeta)$, $K(\zeta, \eta)$, and $K^*(\zeta, \eta)$ the subclasses of \mathcal{A} consisting of all analytic functions which are, respectively, starlike of order ζ , convex of order ζ , close-to-convex of order ζ and type η , and quasiconvex of order ζ and type η in \mathbb{U} .

Also, let the subclasses $US(\mu, \zeta)$, $UC(\mu, \zeta)$, $USK(\mu, \zeta, \eta)$, and $UCK(\mu, \zeta, \eta)$ of \mathcal{A} ($\eta \in [0, 1)$; $\mu \geq 0$) be defined as follows:

$$US(\mu, \zeta) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \Re \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \zeta \right) > \mu \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \right\},$$

$$UC(\mu, \zeta) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \Re \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \zeta \right) > \mu \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \right\},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 USK(\mu, \zeta, \eta) &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \exists h \in US(\mu, \zeta) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. s.t. \Re \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{h(z)} - \zeta \right) > \mu \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{h(z)} - 1 \right| \right\} \\
 UCK(\mu, \zeta, \eta) &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \exists h \in UC(\mu, \zeta) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. s.t. \Re \left(\frac{(zf'(z))'}{h'(z)} - \zeta \right) > \mu \left| \frac{(zf'(z))'}{h'(z)} - 1 \right| \right\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

We note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 US(0, \zeta) &= S^*(\zeta), \quad UC(0, \zeta) = C(\zeta), \\
 USK(0, \zeta, \eta) &= K(\zeta, \eta) \text{ and } UCK(0, \zeta, \eta) \\
 &= K^*(\zeta, \eta) \quad (0 \leq \zeta; \eta < 1).
 \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Moreover, let $q_{\mu, \zeta}(z)$ be an analytic function which maps \mathbb{U} onto the conic domain $\Phi_{\mu, \zeta} = \{u + iv : u > k \sqrt{(u-1)^2 + v^2 + \zeta}\}$ such that $1 \in \Phi_{\mu, \zeta}$ defined as follows:

$$q_{\mu, \zeta}(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1 + (1 - 2\zeta)z}{1 - z} & (\mu = 0), \\ \frac{1 - \zeta}{1 - \mu^2} \cos \left\{ \frac{2}{\pi} (\cos^{-1} \mu) i \log \frac{1 + \sqrt{z}}{1 - \sqrt{z}} \right\} - \frac{\mu^2 - \zeta}{1 - \mu^2} & (0 < \mu < 1), \\ 1 + \frac{2(1 - \zeta)}{\pi^2} \left(\log \frac{1 + \sqrt{z}}{1 - \sqrt{z}} \right)^2 & (\mu = 1), \\ \frac{1 - \zeta}{\mu^2 - 1} \sin \left\{ \frac{\pi}{2\zeta(\mu)} \int_0^{\frac{u(z)}{\sqrt{\mu}}} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1 - t^2} \sqrt{1 - \mu^2 t^2}} \right\} + \frac{\mu^2 - \zeta}{\mu^2 - 1} & (\mu > 1), \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

where $u(z) = (z - \sqrt{\mu}) / (1 - \sqrt{\mu}z)$ and $\zeta(\mu)$ is such that $\mu = \cosh(\pi \zeta'(z) / 4\zeta(z))$. By virtue of properties of the conic domain $\Phi_{\mu, \zeta}$ (cf., e.g., [4, 5]), we have

$$\Re \left\{ q_{\mu, \zeta}(z) \right\} > \frac{\mu + \zeta}{\mu + 1}. \tag{8}$$

Making use of the principal of subordination and the definition of $q_{\mu, \zeta}(z)$, we may rewrite the subclasses $US(\mu, \zeta)$, $UC(\mu, \zeta)$, $USK(\mu, \zeta, \eta)$, and $UCK(\mu, \zeta, \eta)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 US(\mu, \zeta) &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} < q_{\mu, \zeta}(z) \right\}, \\
 UC(\mu, \zeta) &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} < q_{\mu, \zeta}(z) \right\}, \\
 USK(\mu, \zeta, \eta) &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \exists h \in US(\mu, \zeta) s.t. \frac{zf'(z)}{h(z)} < q_{\mu, \zeta}(z) \right\}
 \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 UCK(\mu, \zeta, \eta) &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \exists h \in UC(\mu, \zeta) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. s.t. \frac{(zf'(z))'}{h'(z)} < q_{\mu, \zeta}(z) \right\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Attiya [6] introduced the operator $H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k}(f)$, where $H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k}(f): \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is defined by

$$H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k}(f) = \mu_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k} * f(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \tag{11}$$

with $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, $\text{Re}(\alpha) > \max\{0, \text{Re}(k) - 1\}$ and $\text{Re}(k) > 0$. Also, $\text{Re}(\alpha) = 0$ when $\text{Re}(k) = 1$; $\beta \neq 0$. Here, $\mu_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k}$ is the generalized Mittag-Leffler function defined by [7], see also [6], and the symbol $(*)$ denotes the Hadamard product.

Due to the importance of the Mittag-Leffler function, it is involved in many problems in natural and applied science. A detailed investigation of the Mittag-Leffler function has been studied by many authors (see, e.g., [7-12]).

Attiya [6] noted that

$$H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}(f)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + nk)\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\gamma + k)\Gamma(\beta + \alpha n)n!} a_n z^n. \quad (12)$$

Also, Attiya [6] showed that

$$z \left(H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}(f(z))' \right) = \left(\frac{\gamma + k}{k} \right) \left(H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma+1,k}(f(z)) \right) - \frac{\gamma}{k} \left(H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}(f(z)) \right), \quad (13)$$

and

$$z \left(H_{\alpha,\beta+1}^{\gamma,k}(f(z))' \right) = \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta}{\alpha} \right) \left(H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}(f(z)) \right) - \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(H_{\alpha,\beta+1}^{\gamma,k}(f(z)) \right). \quad (14)$$

Next, by using the operator $H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}(f)$, we introduce the following subclasses of analytic functions in \mathbb{U}

$$\begin{aligned} US_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta) &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}(f(z)) \in US(\mu, \zeta) \right\}, \\ UC_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta) &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}(f(z)) \in UC(\mu, \zeta) \right\}, \\ USK_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta, \eta) &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}(f(z)) \in USK(\mu, \zeta, \eta) \right\}, \\ UCK_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta, \eta) &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}(f(z)) \in UCK(\mu, \zeta, \eta) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Re(\alpha) > \max\{0, \Re(k) - 1\}$ and $\Re(k) > 0$. Also, $\Re(\alpha) = 0$ when $\Re(k) = 1$; $\beta \neq 0$.

Also, we note that

$$f(z) \in UC_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta) \Leftrightarrow zf'(z) \in US_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta), \quad (16)$$

$$f(z) \in UCK_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta, \eta) \Leftrightarrow zf'(z) \in USK_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta, \eta). \quad (17)$$

In this paper, we introduce several inclusion properties of the classes $US_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta)$, $UC_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta)$, $USK_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta, \eta)$, and $UCK_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta, \eta)$. Also, integral-preserving properties of these classes associated with generalized Libera integral operator are also obtained.

2. Inclusion Properties Associated with $H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}(f(z))$

Lemma 1 (see [13]). *If $h(z)$ is convex univalent in \mathbb{U} with $h(0) = 1$ and $\Re\{\xi h(z) + \zeta\} > 0 (\xi \in \mathbb{C})$. Let $p(z)$ be analytic in \mathbb{U} with $p(0) = 1$ which satisfy the following subordination relation*

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\xi p(z) + \zeta} < h(z), \quad (18)$$

then

$$p(z) < h(z). \quad (19)$$

Lemma 2 (see [2]). *If $h(z)$ is convex univalent in \mathbb{U} and let w be analytic in \mathbb{U} with $\Re\{w(z)\} \geq 0$. Let $p(z)$ be analytic in \mathbb{U} and $p(0) = h(0)$ which satisfy the following subordination relation*

$$p(z) + w(z)zp'(z) < h(z), \quad (20)$$

then

$$p(z) < h(z). \quad (21)$$

Theorem 3. *If $\Re(\gamma/k) > -(\mu + \zeta)/(\mu + 1)$, then $US_{\beta}^{\gamma+1}(\mu, \zeta) \subset US_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta)$.*

Proof. Let $f(z) \in US_{\beta}^{\gamma+1}(\mu, \zeta)$, put

$$p(z) = \frac{z \left(H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}(f(z))' \right)}{H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}(f(z))} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (22)$$

we note that $p(z)$ is analytic in \mathbb{U} and $p(0) = 1$. From (13) and (22), we have

$$\frac{H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma+1,k}(f(z))}{H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}(f(z))} = \frac{k}{\gamma + k} \left(p(z) + \frac{\gamma}{k} \right). \quad (23)$$

Differentiating (23) with respect to z , we obtain

$$\frac{z \left(H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma+1,k}(f(z))' \right)}{H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma+1,k}(f(z))} = p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z) + (\gamma/k)}. \quad (24)$$

From the above relation and using (7), we may write

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z) + (\gamma/k)} < q_{\mu,\zeta}(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (25)$$

Since $\Re\{q_{\mu,\zeta}(z)\} > (\mu + \zeta)/(\mu + 1)$, we see that

$$\Re \left(q_{\mu,\zeta}(z) + \frac{\gamma}{k} \right) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (26)$$

Applying Lemma 1, it follows that $p(z) < q_{\mu,\zeta}(z)$, that is, $f(z) \in US_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta)$.

Using the same technique in Theorem 3 with relation (14), we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4. *If $\Re(\alpha/\beta) > -(\mu + \zeta)/(\mu + 1)$, then $US_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta) \subset US_{\beta+1}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta)$.*

Theorem 5. If $\Re(\gamma/k) > -(\mu + \zeta)/(\mu + 1)$, then $UC_{\beta}^{\gamma+1}(\mu, \zeta) \subset UC_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta)$.

Proof. Applying Theorem 3 and relation (16), we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) \in UC_{\beta}^{\gamma+1}(\mu, \zeta) &\Leftrightarrow zf'(z) \in US_{\beta}^{\gamma+1}(\mu, \zeta) \\ &\Rightarrow zf'(z) \in US_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta) \Leftrightarrow f(z) \in UC_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta), \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

which evidently proves Theorem 5.

Similarly, we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 6. If $\Re(\alpha/\beta) > -(\mu + \zeta)/(\mu + 1)$, then $UC_{\beta+1}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta) \subset UC_{\beta+1}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta)$.

Theorem 7. If $\Re(\gamma/k) > -(\mu + \zeta)/(\mu + 1)$, then $USK_{\beta}^{\gamma+1}(\mu, \zeta, \eta) \subset USK_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta, \eta)$.

Proof. Let $f(z) \in USK_{\beta}^{\gamma+1}(\mu, \zeta, \eta)$. Then, there exists a function $r(z) \in US(\mu, \zeta)$ such that

$$\frac{z(H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma+1,k}f(z))'}{r(z)} < q_{\mu,\zeta}(z). \quad (28)$$

We can choose the function $h(z)$ such that $H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma+1,k}h(z) = r(z)$. Then, $h(z) \in US_{\beta}^{\gamma+1}(\mu, \zeta)$ and

$$\frac{z(H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma+1,k}f(z))'}{H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma+1,k}h(z)} < q_{\mu,\zeta}(z). \quad (29)$$

Now, let

$$p(z) = \frac{z(H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}f(z))'}{H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}h(z)}, \quad (30)$$

where $p(z)$ is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $p(0) = 1$. Since $h(z) \in US_{\beta}^{\gamma+1}(\mu, \zeta)$, by Theorem 3, we know that $h(z) \in US_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta)$. Let

$$t(z) = \frac{z(H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}h(z))'}{H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}h(z)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (31)$$

where $t(z)$ is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $\Re\{t(z)\} > -(\mu + \zeta)/(\mu + 1)$. Also, from (30), we note that

$$z(H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}f(z))' = H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}zf'(z) = (H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}h(z))p(z). \quad (32)$$

Differentiating both sides of (32) with respect to z , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z(H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}zf'(z))'}{H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}h(z)} &= \frac{z(H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}h(z))'}{H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}h(z)}p(z) + zp'(z) \\ &= t(z)p(z) + zp'(z). \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Now, using (13) and (33), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z(H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}f(z))'}{H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}h(z)} &= \frac{H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma+1,k}zf'(z)}{H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma+1,k}h(z)} = \frac{z(H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}zf'(z))' + (\gamma/k)H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}zf'(z)}{z(H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}h(z))' + (\gamma/k)H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}h(z)} \\ &= \frac{(z(H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}zf'(z))' / H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}h(z)) + (\gamma/k)(z(H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}f(z))' / H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}h(z))}{(z(H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}h(z))' / H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}h(z)) + (\gamma/k)} \\ &= \frac{t(z)p(z) + zp'(z) + (\gamma/k)p(z)}{t(z) + (\gamma/k)} = p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{t(z) + (\gamma/k)}. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Since $\Re(\gamma/k) > -(\mu + \zeta)/(\mu + 1)$, we see that

$$\Re\left\{t(z) + \frac{\gamma}{k}\right\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (34)$$

Hence, applying Lemma 2, we can show that $p(z) < q_{\mu,\zeta}$

(z), so that $f(z) \in USK_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta, \eta)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 7.

Similarly, we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 8. If $\Re(\alpha/\beta) > -(\mu + \zeta)/(\mu + 1)$, then $USK_{\beta+1}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta, \eta) \subset USK_{\beta+1}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta, \eta)$.

We can also prove Theorem 9 by using Theorem 7 and relation (17).

Theorem 9. *If $\Re(\gamma/k) > -(\mu + \zeta)/(\mu + 1)$, then $UCK_{\beta}^{\gamma+1}(\mu, \zeta, \eta) \subset UCK_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta, \eta)$.*

Also, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 10. *If $\Re(\alpha/\beta) > -(\mu + \zeta)/(\mu + 1)$, then $UCK_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta, \eta) \subset UCK_{\beta+1}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta, \eta)$.*

Now, we obtain squeeze theorems for inclusion by combining the above theorems as follows:

Combining both theorems 3 and 4, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 11. *If $(\mu + \zeta)/(\mu + 1) > -\min\{\Re(\gamma/k), \Re(\alpha/\beta)\}$, then*

$$US_{\beta}^{\gamma+1}(\mu, \zeta) \subset US_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta) \subset US_{\beta+1}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta). \quad (36)$$

Combining both theorems 5 and 6, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 12. *If $(\mu + \zeta)/(\mu + 1) > -\min\{\Re(\gamma/k), \Re(\alpha/\beta)\}$, then*

$$UC_{\beta}^{\gamma+1}(\mu, \zeta) \subset UC_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta) \subset UC_{\beta+1}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta). \quad (37)$$

Combining both theorems 7 and 8, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 13. *If $(\mu + \zeta)/(\mu + 1) > -\min\{\Re(\gamma/k), \Re(\alpha/\beta)\}$, then*

$$USK_{\beta}^{\gamma+1}(\mu, \zeta, \eta) \subset USK_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta, \eta) \subset USK_{\beta+1}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta, \eta). \quad (38)$$

Combining both theorems 9 and 10, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 14. *If $(\mu + \zeta)/(\mu + 1) > -\min\{\Re(\gamma/k), \Re(\alpha/\beta)\}$, then*

$$UCK_{\beta}^{\gamma+1}(\mu, \zeta, \eta) \subset UCK_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta, \eta) \subset UCK_{\beta+1}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta, \eta). \quad (39)$$

3. Integral Preserving Properties Associated with F_{δ}

The generalized Libera integral operator F_{δ} (see [14–16], also, see related topics [17–19]) is defined by

$$F_{\delta}(f)(z) = \frac{\delta + 1}{z^{\delta}} \int_0^z t^{\delta-1} f(t) dt, \quad (40)$$

where $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\delta > -1$.

Theorem 15. *Let $\delta > -(\mu + \zeta)/(\mu + 1)$. If $f \in US_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta)$, then $F_{\delta}(f) \in US_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta)$.*

Proof. Let $f \in US_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta)$ and set

$$p(z) = \frac{z \left(H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k} F_{\delta}(f)(z) \right)'}{H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k} F_{\delta}(f)(z)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (41)$$

where $p(z)$ is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $p(0) = 1$. From definition of $H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k}(f)$ and (40), we have

$$z \left(H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k} F_{\delta}(f)(z) \right)' = (\delta + 1) H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k} f(z) - \delta H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k} F_{\delta}(f)(z). \quad (42)$$

Then, by using (41) and (42), we obtain

$$(\delta + 1) \frac{H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k} f(z)}{H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k} F_{\delta}(f)(z)} = p(z) + \delta. \quad (43)$$

Taking the logarithmic differentiation on both sides of (43) and simple calculations, we have

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z) + \delta} = \frac{z \left(H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k} f(z) \right)'}{H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k} f(z)} < q_{\mu, \zeta}(z). \quad (44)$$

Since $\Re(q_{\mu, \zeta} + \delta) > ((\mu + \zeta)/(\mu + 1) + \delta) > 0$, by virtue of Lemma 1, we conclude that $p(z) < q_{\mu, \zeta}(z)$ in \mathbb{U} , which implies that $F_{\delta}(f) \in US_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta)$.

Theorem 16. *Let $\delta > -(\mu + \zeta)/(\mu + 1)$. If $f \in UC_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta)$, then $F_{\delta}(f) \in UC_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta)$.*

Proof. By applying Theorem 15, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) \in UC_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta) &\Leftrightarrow zf'(z) \in US_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta) \\ &\Rightarrow F_{\delta} \left(zf' \right) (z) \in US_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta) \\ &\Leftrightarrow z \left(F_{\delta}(f)(z) \right)' \in US_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta) \\ &\Leftrightarrow F_{\delta}(f)(z) \in UC_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta), \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

which proves Theorem 16.

Theorem 17. *Let $\delta > -(\mu + \zeta)/(\mu + 1)$. If $f \in USK_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta, \eta)$, then $F_{\delta}(f) \in USK_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta, \eta)$.*

Proof. Let $f(z) \in USK_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta, \eta)$. Then, there exists a function $h(z) \in US_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta)$ such that

$$\frac{z \left(H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k} f(z) \right)'}{H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k} h(z)} < q_{\mu, \zeta}(z). \quad (46)$$

Thus, we set

$$p(z) = \frac{z \left(H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k} F_{\delta}(f)(z) \right)'}{H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k} F_{\delta}(h)(z)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (47)$$

where $p(z)$ is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $p(0) = 1$. Since $h(z) \in US_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta)$, we see from Theorem 15 that $F_{\delta}(h) \in US_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta)$. Let

$$t(z) = \frac{z \left(H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k} F_{\delta}(h)(z) \right)'}{H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k} F_{\delta}(h)(z)}, \quad (48)$$

where $t(z)$ is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $\Re\{t(z)\} > (\mu + \zeta)/(\mu + 1)$. Using (47), we have

$$H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k} z F_{\delta}'(f)(z) = \left(H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k} F_{\delta}(h)(z) \right) p(z). \quad (49)$$

Differentiating both sides of (49) with respect to z and simple calculations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z \left(H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k} z F_{\delta}'(f)(z) \right)'}{H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k} F_{\delta}(h)(z)} &= \frac{z \left(H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k} F_{\delta}(h)(z) \right)'}{H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k} F_{\delta}(h)(z)} p(z) + z p'(z) \\ &= t(z) p(z) + z p'(z). \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

Now, using the identity (42) and (50), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z \left(H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k} f(z) \right)'}{H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k} h(z)} &= \frac{H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k} z f'(z)}{H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k} h(z)} = \frac{z \left(H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k} z F_{\delta}'(f)(z) \right)'}{z \left(H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k} F_{\delta}(h)(z) \right)' + \delta H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k} F_{\delta}(h)(z)} \\ &= \frac{\left(z \left(H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k} z F_{\delta}'(f)(z) \right)' / H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k} F_{\delta}(h)(z) \right) + \delta \left(z \left(H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k} f(z) \right)' / H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k} F_{\delta}(h)(z) \right)}{\left(z \left(H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k} F_{\delta}(h)(z) \right)' / H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k} F_{\delta}(h)(z) \right) + \delta} \\ &= \frac{t(z) p(z) + z p'(z) + \delta p(z)}{t(z) + \delta} = p(z) + \frac{z p'(z)}{t(z) + \delta}. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

Since $\delta > -(\mu + \zeta)/(\mu + 1)$ and $\Re\{t(z)\} > (\mu + \zeta)/(\mu + 1)$, we see that

$$\Re\{t(z) + \delta\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (52)$$

Applying Lemma 2 into relation (51), it follows that $p(z) < q_{\mu, \zeta}(z)$, which is $F_{\delta}(f) \in USK_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta, \eta)$.

We can deduce the integral-preserving property asserted by 18 by using Theorem 17 and relation (17).

Theorem 18. Let $\delta > -(\mu + \zeta)/(\mu + 1)$. If $f \in UCK_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta, \eta)$, then $F_{\delta}(f) \in UCK_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\mu, \zeta, \eta)$.

Data Availability

All data are available in this paper.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Authors' Contributions

The authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

This research has been funded by Scientific Research Deanship at the University of Ha'il, Saudi Arabia, through project number RG-20020.

References

- [1] T. Bulboacă, *Differential Subordinations and Superordinations New Results*, House of Scientific Book Publ, Cluj-Napoca, Romania, 2005.
- [2] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, "Differential subordinations and univalent functions," *Michigan Mathematical Journal*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 157–172, 1981.
- [3] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, "Differential subordinations theory and applications," in *Series on Monographs and*

Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 225, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, NY, USA; Basel, Switzerland, 2000.

- [4] R. Aghalary and J. M. Jahangiri, "Inclusion relations for k -uniformly starlike and related functions under certain integral operators," *Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 623–629, 2005.
- [5] M. K. Aouf and T. M. Seoudy, "Inclusion properties for certain k -uniformly subclasses of analytic functions associated with Dziok-Srivastava operator," *Acta Universitatis Apulensis*, vol. 29, pp. 17–29, 2012.
- [6] A. A. Attiya, "Some Application of Mittag-Leffler Function in the Unit Disk," *Filomat*, vol. 30, no. 7, pp. 2075–2081, 2016.
- [7] H. M. Srivastava and Z. Tomovski, "Fractional calculus with an integral operator containing a generalized Mittag-Leffler function in the kernel," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 211, no. 1, pp. 198–210, 2009.
- [8] M. K. Aouf and T. M. Seoudy, "Certain subclasses of multivalently non-Bazilevic functions involving a generalized Mittag-Leffler function," *ROMAI Journal*, vol. 15, pp. 13–24, 2019.
- [9] M. K. Aouf and A. O. Mostafa, "Certain inequalities of meromorphic univalent functions associated with the Mittag-Leffler function," *Journal of Applied Analysis*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 173–178, 2019.
- [10] A. A. Attiya, M. K. Aouf, E. E. Ali, and M. F. Yassen, "Differential subordination and superordination results associated with Mittag-Leffler function," *Mathematics*, vol. 9, no. 3, p. 226, 2021.
- [11] M. F. Yassen, "Subordination results for certain class of analytic functions associated with Mittag-Leffler function," *Journal of Computational Analysis & Applications*, vol. 27, pp. 738–746, 2019.
- [12] M. F. Yassen, A. A. Attiya, and P. Agarwal, "Subordination and superordination properties for certain family of analytic functions associated with Mittag-Leffler function," *Symmetry*, vol. 12, no. 10, p. 1724, 2020.
- [13] T. Bulboacă, "Classes of first-order differential subordinations," *Demonstratio Mathematica*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 287–392, 2002.
- [14] S. D. Bernardi, "Convex and univalent functions," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 135, pp. 429–446, 1996.
- [15] R. J. Libera, "Some classes of regular univalent functions," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 755–758, 1965.
- [16] A. E. Livingston, "On the radius of univalence of certain analytic functions," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 352–357, 1966.
- [17] M. K. Aouf, H. M. Hossen, and H. M. Srivastava, "Some families of multivalent functions," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 39, no. 7-8, pp. 39–48, 2000.
- [18] M. K. Aouf and T. Bulboacă, "Subordination and superordination properties of multivalent functions defined by certain integral operator," *Journal of the Franklin Institute*, vol. 347, no. 3, pp. 641–653, 2010.
- [19] S. Owa and A. A. Attiya, "An application of differential subordinations to the class of certain analytic functions," *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 13, no. 2A, pp. 369–375, 2009.