

Research Article

Fixed Point Results for Rational Orbitally (Θ, δ_b) -Contractions with an Application

Zhenhua Ma,¹ Jamshaid Ahmad ,² Abdullah Eqal Al-Mazrooei,² and Durdana Lateef³

¹Department of Mathematics and Physics, Hebei University of Architecture, Zhangjiakou 075024, China

²Department of Mathematics, University of Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

³Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Taibah University, Al Madina Al Munawwara, Madina 41411, Saudi Arabia

Correspondence should be addressed to Jamshaid Ahmad; jamshaid_jasim@yahoo.com

Received 6 March 2021; Revised 17 April 2021; Accepted 12 June 2021; Published 29 June 2021

Academic Editor: Huseyin Isik

Copyright © 2021 Zhenhua Ma et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The purpose of this paper is to define a rational orbitally (Θ, δ_b) -contraction and prove some new results in the context of b -metric spaces. Our results extend, generalize, and unify some known results in the literature. As application of our main result, we investigate the solution of Fredholm integral inclusion. We also provide an example to substantiate the advantage and usefulness of obtained results.

1. Introduction

The fixed point theory is a very essential tool for nonlinear analysis of solvability of nonlinear integral equations and others. A suitable selection of a generalized and extended metric space allows to get nontrivial conditions guaranteeing the existence of solutions for a considered equation. Therefore, it is necessary to flourish the fixed point theory in various generalization of metric spaces. One of the famous extensions of metric space is the notion of b -metric space which has been given by Bakhtin [1] in 1989. It was properly defined by Czerwik [2] with the aspect of relaxing triangle inequality in metric spaces in 1993 and proved famous Banach Contraction Principle in this generalized metric space. Khamsi and Hussain [3] discussed the topology of b -metric space and established fixed point results for KKM mappings in metric type spaces. Van An et al. [4] proved the Stone-type theorem on b -metric spaces and obtained a sufficient condition for a b -metric space to be metrizable. On the other, Czerwik [5, 6] introduced set-valued mappings in b -metric spaces and generalized Nadler's fixed point theorem. In 2012, Aydi et al. [7, 8] gave fixed point and common fixed point theorems for set-valued quasicontraction mappings and set-valued weak ϕ -contraction mappings in the

setting of b -metric spaces, respectively. Many authors followed the concept of b -metric space and established impressive results [9–19].

In 2012, Jleli and Samet [20] introduced a new type of contraction named as Θ -contraction and obtained a fixed point result to generalize the celebrated Banach Contraction Principle in Branciari metric spaces. Ali et al. [21] defined multivalued Suzuki-type θ -contractions and obtained some generalized fixed point results. Afterwards, Jleli et al. [22] established a new fixed point theorem for Θ -contraction in the setting of Branciari metric spaces and extended the main result of Jleli and Samet [20]. Recently, Alamri et al. [23] adapted Jleli's approach to the b -metric space and obtained some generalized fixed point results. For more details in the direction of Θ -contractions, we refer the reader to [21–30].

In this paper, we define the notion of the rational (Θ, δ_b) -contraction in b -metric spaces and explore the existence of solutions for certain integral problems of Fredholm type as applications of our main results. We obtain our results by using fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings, under new contractive conditions, in the setting of complete b -metric spaces. Evidently, the given results generalized some notable results of the literature to b -metric spaces.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give some fundamental notations, definitions, and lemmas which will be used throughout the paper. Throughout this paper, we denote \mathbb{N} the set of positive integers and \mathbb{R}^+ the set of all nonnegative real numbers.

Czerwik [2] gave the notion of the b -metric space as follows.

Definition 1 (see [2]). Let \mathcal{M} be a nonempty set \mathcal{M} and $s \geq 1$. A function $\sigma_b: \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ is called a b -metric if these assertions hold: for all $\rho, \omega, \omega \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$(B1) \sigma_b(\rho, \omega) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \rho = \omega$$

$$(B2) \sigma_b(\rho, \omega) = \sigma_b(\omega, \rho)$$

$$(B3) \sigma_b(\rho, \omega) \leq s(\sigma_b(\rho, \omega) + \sigma_b(\omega, \omega))$$

The triple $(\mathcal{M}, \sigma_b, s)$ is called a b -metric space.

Now, we give an elementary example of a b -metric space, but it is not a metric space as follows.

Example 2 (see [2]). Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma_b: \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a mapping defined by

$$\sigma_b(\rho, \omega) = |\rho - \omega|^2, \quad \forall \rho, \omega \in \mathcal{M}, s = 2. \quad (1)$$

Then, $(\mathcal{M}, \sigma_b, s)$ is a b -metric space which is not a metric space.

A brief but short history for multivalued mappings defined in $(\mathcal{M}, \sigma_b, s)$ is given in this way.

Let $P_{cb}(\mathcal{M})$ be the family of all bounded and closed subsets of \mathcal{M} . For any $E_1, E_2, E_3 \in P_b(\mathcal{M})$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_b(E_1, E_2) &= \inf \{ \sigma_b(\rho, \omega) : \rho \in E_1, \omega \in E_2 \}, \\ \delta_b(E_1, E_2) &= \sup \{ \sigma_b(\rho, \omega) : \rho \in E_1, \omega \in E_2 \}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

with

$$\sigma_b(\rho, E_3) = \sigma_b(\{\rho\}, E_3) = \inf \{ \sigma_b(\rho, \omega) : \rho \in E_1, \omega \in E_3 \}. \quad (3)$$

Here, we provide some useful properties of δ_b and σ_b (see [2, 5, 6]):

- (1) If $E_1 = \{\rho\}$ and $E_2 = \{\omega\}$, then $\sigma_b(E_1, E_2) = \delta_b(E_1, E_2) = \sigma_b(\rho, \omega)$
- (2) $\sigma_b(E_1, E_2) \leq \delta_b(E_1, E_2)$
- (3) $\sigma_b(\rho, E_2) \leq \sigma_b(\rho, \omega)$ for any $\omega \in E_2$
- (4) $\delta_b(E_1, E_3) \leq s[\delta_b(E_1, E_2) + \delta_b(E_2, E_3)]$
- (5) $\delta_b(E_1, E_2) = 0 \Leftrightarrow E_1 = E_2 = \{\rho\}$

Moreover, we will always suppose that

- (6) the function σ_b is continuous in its variables

Now, we present the concepts of orbit and orbital continuity of a mapping in the setting of $(\mathcal{M}, \sigma_b, s)$ which are gen-

eralization and extensions of the same notions for metric spaces given in [31, 32].

Definition 3. Let $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2: (\mathcal{M}, \sigma_b, s) \rightarrow P_b(\mathcal{M})$.

- (1) An orbit $O(\rho_0, \mathcal{F})$ of \mathcal{F} at ρ_0 is any sequence $\{\rho_n\}$ such that $\rho_n \in \mathcal{F}\rho_{n-1}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$
- (2) If, for any $\rho_0 \in \mathcal{M}$, there exists $\{\rho_n\}$ in \mathcal{M} such that $\rho_{2n+1} \in \mathcal{F}_2\rho_{2n}$ and $\rho_{2n+2} \in \mathcal{F}_1\rho_{2n+1}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, then $O(\rho_0, \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2) = \{\rho_n\}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is said to be an orbit of $(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2)$ at ρ_0
- (3) $(\mathcal{M}, \sigma_b, s)$ is said to be $(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2)$ -orbitally complete if any Cauchy subsequence $\{\rho_{n_i}\}$ of $O(\rho_0, \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2)$ converges in \mathcal{M} . Specifically, for $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{F}$, \mathcal{M} is said to be \mathcal{F} -orbitally complete
- (4) \mathcal{F} is said to be orbitally continuous at $\rho_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ if, for any $\{\rho_n\} \subset O(\rho_0, \mathcal{F})$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\rho^* \in \mathcal{M}, \sigma_b(\rho_n, \rho^*) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ gives that $\delta_b(\mathcal{F}\rho_n, \mathcal{F}\rho^*) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$
- (5) A graph $G(\mathcal{F})$ of \mathcal{F} is constructed as follows:

$$G(\mathcal{F}) = \{(\rho, \omega) : \rho \in \mathcal{M}, \omega \in \mathcal{F}\rho\} \quad (4)$$

We need the following property of $G(\mathcal{F})$ in our proof:

(G_b) $G(\mathcal{F})$ is said to be \mathcal{F} -orbitally closed if, for any sequence $\{\rho_n\}$ in \mathcal{M} , we get $(\rho^*, \rho^*) \in G(\mathcal{F})$ whenever $(\rho_n, \rho_{n+1}) \in G(\mathcal{F})$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_n = \rho^*$

In 2012, Jleli and Samet [20] gave the notion of Θ -contractions and proved a contemporary fixed point theorem for these contractions in generalized metric spaces. Motivated by Jleli and Samet [20], Alamri et al. [23] present the following definition.

Definition 4 (see [23]). Let $\Omega_s (s \geq 1)$ denote the family of all mappings $\Theta: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow (1, \infty)$ which satisfy these conditions:

- (Θ_1) Θ is nondecreasing
- (Θ_2) For any $\{\rho_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Theta(\rho_n) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_n = 0$
- (Θ_3) There exist $h \in (0, 1)$ and $l \in (0, \infty]$ such that $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \Theta(\rho) - 1/\rho^h = l$
- (Θ_4) For $\{\rho_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\Theta(s\rho_n) \leq \Theta(\rho_{n-1})^k$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and some $0 < k < 1$, then $\Theta(s^n \rho) \leq \Theta(s^{n-1} \rho_{n-1})^k$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$

They provided the following example.

Example 5. Let $\Theta: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow (1, \infty)$ be a mapping given by $\theta(\zeta) = e^{\sqrt{\zeta}}$. Clearly, Θ satisfies the conditions (Θ_1) - (Θ_4) . Here, we show only the condition (Θ_4) . Suppose that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and some $0 < k < 1$, we have $\theta(s\rho_n) \leq \theta(\rho_{n-1})^k$. This implies that

$$e^{\sqrt{s\rho_n e^{s\rho_n}}} \leq \left[e^{\sqrt{\rho_{n-1} e^{\rho_{n-1}}}} \right]^k, \quad (5)$$

i.e.,

$$\sqrt{s\rho_n e^{s\rho_n}} \leq k\sqrt{\rho_{n-1} e^{\rho_{n-1}}}. \tag{6}$$

This implies that

$$\sqrt{s\rho_n e^{s\rho_n - \rho_{n-1}}} \leq k\sqrt{\rho_{n-1}}. \tag{7}$$

It follows that $\theta(s\rho_n) \leq \theta(\rho_{n-1})]^k \leq \theta(\rho_{n-1})$ and θ is non-decreasing, and so, $s\rho_n \leq \rho_{n-1}$ and $s\rho_n - \rho_{n-1} \leq 0$ implies $e^{s\rho_n - \rho_{n-1}} \leq e^{\rho_{n-1}}$. Therefore, (7) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{s\rho_n e^{s\rho_n - \rho_{n-1}}} &\leq k\sqrt{\rho_{n-1}} \Rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{s\rho_n e^{s\rho_n}}{e^{s\rho_n - \rho_{n-1}}}} \leq k\sqrt{\rho_{n-1}} \Rightarrow \sqrt{s\rho_n e^{s\rho_n}} \\ &\leq k\sqrt{\rho_{n-1} e^{s\rho_n - \rho_{n-1}}} \Rightarrow \sqrt{s^n \rho_n e^{s^n \rho_n}} \\ &\leq k\sqrt{s^{n-1} \rho_{n-1} e^{s^{n-1} \rho_{n-1}}} \Rightarrow e^{\sqrt{s^n \rho_n e^{s^n \rho_n}}} \\ &\leq e^{k\sqrt{s^{n-1} \rho_{n-1} e^{s^{n-1} \rho_{n-1}}}} \Rightarrow \theta(s^n \rho_n) \leq [\theta(s^{n-1} \rho_{n-1})]^k, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

and hence, the condition (Θ_4) holds.

3. Main Results

In this way, we define the notion of rational (Θ, δ_b) -contraction.

Definition 6. Let (\mathcal{M}, σ_b) be a b -metric space. A mapping $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow P_b(\mathcal{M})$ is called a rational (Θ, δ_b) -contraction if $\exists \Theta \in \Omega_s, 0 < k < 1$ and $0 \leq L$ such that

$$\Theta(s\delta_b(\mathcal{F}\rho, \mathcal{F}\omega)) \leq [\Theta(m_1(\rho, \omega) + Lm_2(\rho, \omega))]^k, \tag{9}$$

$\forall \rho, \omega \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\min \{\delta_b(\mathcal{F}\rho, \mathcal{F}\omega), \sigma_b(\rho, \omega)\} > 0$, where

$$m_1(\rho, \omega) = \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\sigma_b(\rho, \omega), \sigma_b(\rho, \mathcal{F}\rho), \sigma_b(\omega, \mathcal{F}\omega), \frac{\sigma_b(\rho, \mathcal{F}\omega) + \sigma_b(\omega, \mathcal{F}\rho)}{2s}, \\ &\frac{\sigma_b(\omega, \mathcal{F}\omega)[1 + \sigma_b(\rho, \mathcal{F}\rho)]}{s[1 + \sigma_b(\rho, \omega)]}, \frac{\sigma_b(\omega, \mathcal{F}\rho)[1 + \sigma_b(\rho, \mathcal{F}\rho)]}{s[1 + \sigma_b(\rho, \omega)]} \end{aligned} \right\},$$

$$m_2(\rho, \omega) = \min \{\sigma_b(\rho, \mathcal{F}\rho), \sigma_b(\omega, \mathcal{F}\omega), \sigma_b(\rho, \mathcal{F}\omega), \sigma_b(\omega, \mathcal{F}\rho)\}. \tag{10}$$

If (9) holds for all $\rho, \omega \in O(\rho_0, \mathcal{F})$ for some $\rho_0 \in \mathcal{M}$, then \mathcal{F} is called a rational orbitally (Θ, δ_b) -contraction.

Theorem 7. Let (\mathcal{M}, σ_b) be a b -metric space such that σ_b is a continuous functional and $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow P_b(\mathcal{M})$ be a rational orbitally (Θ, δ_b) -contraction. If the following conditions hold:

- (a) $(\mathcal{M}, \sigma_b, s)$ is \mathcal{F} -orbitally complete for some $\rho_0 \in \mathcal{M}$
- (b) Θ is continuous and $\mathcal{F}\rho$ is closed, $\forall \rho \in O(\rho_0, \mathcal{F})$ or the property $(G\rho)$ holds, then there exists $\rho^* \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\rho^* \in \mathcal{F}\rho^*$

Proof. For any $\rho_0 \in \mathcal{M}$, we generate a sequence $\{\rho_n\}$ in \mathcal{M} as $\rho_{n+1} \in \mathcal{F}\rho_n$ for each $n \geq 0$.

If there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ for which $\rho_{n_0} = \rho_{n_0+1}$, then ρ_{n_0} is a fixed point of \mathcal{F} , and so, the proof is completed. Thus, assume that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \rho_n \neq \rho_{n+1}$. So, we have $\sigma_b(\rho_{n+1}, \rho_{n+2}) > 0$ and $\delta_b(\mathcal{F}\rho_n, \mathcal{F}\rho_{n+1}) > 0$ for each $n \geq 0$. Then, it follows from (9) with $\rho = \rho_n$ and $\omega = \rho_{n+1}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(s\sigma_b(\rho_{n+1}, \rho_{n+2})) &\leq \Theta(s\delta_b(\mathcal{F}\rho_n, \mathcal{F}\rho_{n+1})) \\ &\leq [\Theta(m_1(\rho_n, \rho_{n+1}) + Lm_2(\rho_n, \rho_{n+1}))]^k, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} m_1(\rho_n, \rho_{n+1}) &= \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\sigma_b(\rho_n, \rho_{n+1}), \sigma_b(\rho_n, \mathcal{F}\rho_n), \sigma_b(\rho_{n+1}, \mathcal{F}\rho_{n+1}), \frac{\sigma_b(\rho_n, \mathcal{F}\rho_{n+1}) + \sigma_b(\rho_{n+1}, \mathcal{F}\rho_n)}{2s}, \\ &\frac{\sigma_b(\rho_{n+1}, \mathcal{F}\rho_{n+1})[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_n, \mathcal{F}\rho_n)]}{s[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_n, \rho_{n+1})]}, \frac{\sigma_b(\rho_{n+1}, \mathcal{F}\rho_n)[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_n, \mathcal{F}\rho_n)]}{s[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_n, \rho_{n+1})]} \end{aligned} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \sigma_b(\rho_n, \rho_{n+1}), \sigma_b(\rho_n, \rho_{n+1}), \sigma_b(\rho_{n+1}, \rho_{n+2}), \frac{1}{2s}\sigma_b(\rho_n, \rho_{n+2}), \frac{1}{s}\sigma_b(\rho_{n+1}, \rho_{n+2}) \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \sigma_b(\rho_n, \rho_{n+1}), \sigma_b(\rho_{n+1}, \rho_{n+2}), \frac{1}{2s}\sigma_b(\rho_n, \rho_{n+2}) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$m_2(\rho_n, \rho_{n+1}) = \min \{\sigma_b(\rho_n, \mathcal{F}\rho_n), \sigma_b(\rho_{n+1}, \mathcal{F}\rho_{n+1}), \sigma_b(\rho_n, \mathcal{F}\rho_{n+1}), \sigma_b(\rho_{n+1}, \mathcal{F}\rho_n)\} = 0. \tag{12}$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{2s}\sigma_b(\rho_n, \rho_{n+2}) \leq \max \{\sigma_b(\rho_n, \rho_{n+1}), \sigma_b(\rho_{n+1}, \rho_{n+2})\}, \quad (13)$$

it follows from (11) that

$$\Theta(s\sigma_b(\rho_{n+1}, \rho_{n+2})) \leq [\Theta(\max \{\sigma_b(\rho_n, \rho_{n+1}), \sigma_b(\rho_{n+1}, \rho_{n+2})\})]^k. \quad (14)$$

Assume that $\sigma_b(\rho_n, \rho_{n+1}) \leq \sigma_b(\rho_{n+1}, \rho_{n+2})$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, from (14), we get

$$\Theta(s\sigma_b(\rho_{n+1}, \rho_{n+2})) \leq [\Theta(\sigma_b(\rho_{n+1}, \rho_{n+2}))]^k, \quad (15)$$

which is a contradiction with (Θ_1) . Hence, we have

$$\max \{\sigma_b(\rho_n, \rho_{n+1}), \sigma_b(\rho_{n+1}, \rho_{n+2})\} = \sigma_b(\rho_n, \rho_{n+1}), \quad (16)$$

and consequently,

$$\Theta(s\sigma_b(\rho_{n+1}, \rho_{n+2})) \leq [\Theta(\sigma_b(\rho_n, \rho_{n+1}))]^k, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}. \quad (17)$$

It follows from (17) and (Θ_4) that

$$\Theta(s^n \sigma_b(\rho_n, \rho_{n+1})) \leq [\Theta(s^{n-1} \sigma_b(\rho_{n-1}, \rho_n))]^k, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}. \quad (18)$$

Let us represent $\lambda_n = \sigma_b(\rho_n, \rho_{n+1})$ for $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Then, $\lambda_n > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(s^n \lambda_n) &\leq [\Theta(s^{n-1} \lambda_{n-1})]^k \leq [\Theta(s^{n-2} \lambda_{n-2})]^{k^2} \\ &\leq \dots \leq [\Theta(\lambda_0)]^{k^n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (19), we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Theta(s^n \lambda_n) = 1, \quad (20)$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s^n \lambda_n = 0, \quad (21)$$

by (Θ_2) . By (Θ_3) , $\exists h \in (0, 1)$ and $\tau \in (0, \infty]$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Theta(s^n \lambda_n) - 1}{(s^n \lambda_n)^h} = \tau. \quad (22)$$

Assume that $\tau < \infty$. For this case, let $q_2 = \tau/2 > 0$. So, $\exists n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left| \frac{\Theta(s^n \lambda_n) - 1}{(s^n \lambda_n)^h} - \tau \right| \leq q_2, \quad \forall n > n_1, \quad (23)$$

which implies that

$$\frac{\Theta(s^n \lambda_n) - 1}{(s^n \lambda_n)^h} \geq \tau - q_2 = \frac{\tau}{2} = q_2, \quad \forall n > n_1. \quad (24)$$

Then, we have

$$n(s^n \lambda_n)^h \leq q_1 n[\Theta(s^n \lambda_n) - 1], \quad \forall n > n_1, \quad (25)$$

where $q_1 = 1/q_2$.

Now, assume that $\tau = \infty$. Let $q_2 > 0$. So, $\exists n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$q_2 \leq \frac{\Theta(s^n \lambda_n) - 1}{(s^n \lambda_n)^h}, \quad \forall n > n_1, \quad (26)$$

which implies that

$$n(s^n \lambda_n)^h \leq q_1 n[\Theta(s^n \lambda_n) - 1], \quad \forall n > n_1, \quad (27)$$

where $q_1 = 1/q_2$. Thus, in all cases, there exist $q_1 > 0$ and $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$n(s^n \lambda_n)^h \leq q_1 n[\Theta(s^n \lambda_n) - 1], \quad \forall n > n_1. \quad (28)$$

Hence, by (19) and (28), we get

$$n(s^n \lambda_n)^h \leq q_1 n \left([\Theta(\lambda_0)]^{k^n} - 1 \right). \quad (29)$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (29), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n(s^n \lambda_n)^h = 0, \quad (30)$$

and hence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1/h} s^n \lambda_n = 0$, which yields that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s^n \lambda_n$ is convergent. Thus, $\{\rho_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $O(\rho_0, \mathcal{F})$. Since \mathcal{M} is \mathcal{F} -orbitally complete, there exists $\rho^* \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$\rho_n \rightarrow \rho^* \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (31)$$

Suppose that $\mathcal{F}\rho^*$ is closed. We notice that if $\exists \{n_k\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $\rho_{n_k} \in \mathcal{F}\rho^*$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\mathcal{F}\rho^*$ is closed and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{n_k} = \rho^*$, we conclude that $\rho^* \in \mathcal{F}\rho^*$, and so, the proof is finished. Hence, we suppose that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\rho_n \in \mathcal{F}\rho^*$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq n_0$. This implies that $\delta_b(\mathcal{F}\rho_n, \mathcal{F}\rho^*) > 0$ for each $n \geq n_0$. Then, it follows from (9) with $\rho = \rho_n$ and $\bar{\omega} = \rho^*$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(s\sigma_b(\rho_{n+1}, \mathcal{F}\rho^*)) &= \Theta(s\delta_b(\mathcal{F}\rho_n, \mathcal{F}\rho^*)) \\ &\leq [\Theta(m_1(\rho_n, \rho^*) + Lm_2(\rho_n, \rho^*))]^k, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 m_1(\rho_n, \rho^*) &= \max \left\{ \sigma_b(\rho_n, \rho^*), \sigma_b(\rho_n, \mathcal{F}\rho_n), \sigma_b(\rho^*, \mathcal{F}\rho^*), \frac{\sigma_b(\rho_n, \mathcal{F}\rho^*) + \sigma_b(\rho^*, \mathcal{F}\rho_n)}{2s}, \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \frac{\sigma_b(\rho^*, \mathcal{F}\rho^*)[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_n, \mathcal{F}\rho_n)]}{s[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_n, \rho^*)]}, \frac{\sigma_b(\rho^*, \mathcal{F}\rho_n)[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_n, \mathcal{F}\rho_n)]}{s[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_n, \rho^*)]} \right\} \\
 &\leq \max \left\{ \sigma_b(\rho_n, \rho^*), \sigma_b(\rho_n, \rho_{n+1}), \sigma_b(\rho^*, \mathcal{F}\rho^*), \frac{\sigma_b(\rho_n, \mathcal{F}\rho^*) + \sigma_b(\rho^*, \rho_{n+1})}{2s}, \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \frac{\sigma_b(\rho^*, \mathcal{F}\rho^*)[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_n, \rho_{n+1})]}{s[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_n, \rho^*)]}, \frac{\sigma_b(\rho^*, \rho_{n+1})[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_n, \rho_{n+1})]}{s[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_n, \rho^*)]} \right\} \\
 &\longrightarrow \sigma_b(\rho^*, \mathcal{F}\rho^*) \text{ as } n \longrightarrow \infty, \\
 m_2(\rho_n, \rho^*) &= \min \{ \sigma_b(\rho_n, \mathcal{F}\rho_n), \sigma_b(\rho^*, \mathcal{F}\rho^*), \sigma_b(\rho_n, \mathcal{F}\rho^*), \sigma_b(\rho^*, \mathcal{F}\rho_n) \} \\
 &\leq \min \{ \sigma_b(\rho_n, \rho_{n+1}), \sigma_b(\rho^*, \mathcal{F}\rho^*), \sigma_b(\rho_n, \mathcal{F}\rho^*), \sigma_b(\rho^*, \rho_{n+1}) \} \\
 &\longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \longrightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Using the continuity of Θ and σ_b , so applying the limit of (32) as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\Theta(s\sigma_b(\rho^*, \mathcal{F}\rho^*)) \leq [\Theta(\sigma_b(\rho^*, \mathcal{F}\rho^*))]^k, \tag{34}$$

which is impossible from $0 < k < 1$ and $s \geq 1$. By the condition (Θ_1) , we get $\sigma_b(\rho^*, \mathcal{F}\rho^*) = 0$. Since $\mathcal{F}\rho^*$ is closed, thus we get $\rho^* \in \mathcal{F}\rho^*$. Assume that $G(\mathcal{F})$ is \mathcal{F} -orbitally closed. Since $(\rho_n, \rho_{n+1}) \in G(\mathcal{F})$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_n = \rho^* \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we get $(\rho^*, \rho^*) \in G(\mathcal{F})$. Hence, $\rho^* \in \mathcal{F}\rho^*$. This completes the proof. \square

If $\Theta(\zeta) = e^{\sqrt{\zeta}}$ for any $\zeta > 0$ in Theorem 7, we get following result.

Corollary 8. Let (\mathcal{M}, σ_b) be a b -metric space such that σ_b is a continuous functional and $\mathcal{F} : (\mathcal{M}, \sigma_b) \rightarrow P_b(\mathcal{M})$ be a mapping satisfying the following condition: for some $0 < k < 1$, $\rho_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ and $L \geq 0$,

$$s\delta_b(\mathcal{F}\rho, \mathcal{F}\omega) \leq k(m_1(\rho, \omega) + Lm_2(\rho, \omega)) \tag{35}$$

for all $\rho, \omega \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\min \{ \delta_b(\mathcal{F}\rho, \mathcal{F}\omega), \sigma_b(\rho, \omega) \} > 0$, where

$$m_1(\rho, \omega) = \max \left\{ \sigma_b(\rho, \omega), \sigma_b(\rho, \mathcal{F}\rho), \sigma_b(\omega, \mathcal{F}\omega), \frac{\sigma_b(\rho, \mathcal{F}\omega) + \sigma_b(\omega, \mathcal{F}\rho)}{2s}, \right. \\
 \left. \frac{\sigma_b(\omega, \mathcal{F}\omega)[1 + \sigma_b(\rho, \mathcal{F}\rho)]}{s[1 + \sigma_b(\rho, \omega)]}, \frac{\sigma_b(\omega, \mathcal{F}\rho)[1 + \sigma_b(\rho, \mathcal{F}\rho)]}{s[1 + \sigma_b(\rho, \omega)]} \right\},$$

$$m_2(\rho, \omega) = \min \{ \sigma_b(\rho, \mathcal{F}\rho), \sigma_b(\omega, \mathcal{F}\omega), \sigma_b(\rho, \mathcal{F}\omega), \sigma_b(\omega, \mathcal{F}\rho) \} \tag{36}$$

for all $\rho, \omega \in O(\rho_0, \mathcal{F})$. Assume that $(\mathcal{M}, \sigma_b, s)$ is \mathcal{F} -orbitally complete for some $\rho_0 \in \mathcal{M}$. If $\mathcal{F}\rho$ is closed, for all $\rho \in O(\rho_0, \mathcal{F})$ or the property (Gp) holds, then $\exists \rho^* \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\rho^* \in \mathcal{F}\rho^*$.

Example 9. Let $\mathcal{M} = [0, 1]$. The b -metric is defined by

$$\sigma_b(\rho, \omega) = (\rho - \omega)^2, \tag{37}$$

with coefficient $s = 2$. Define $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow P_{cb}(\mathcal{M})$ given by

$$\mathcal{F}\rho = \begin{cases} \left\{ \frac{1}{3} \right\}, & 0 \leq \rho < 1, \\ \left[0, \frac{1}{4} \right], & \rho = 1. \end{cases} \tag{38}$$

If $\rho, \omega \in [0, 1]$, then $\delta_b(\mathcal{F}\rho, \mathcal{F}\omega) = 0$. Let $\rho \in [0, 1)$ and $\omega = 1$. Then, $\mathcal{F}\rho = \{1/3\}$, $\mathcal{F}\omega = [0, 1/4]$, and $\delta_b(\mathcal{F}\rho, \mathcal{F}\omega) = 1/12$,

$$m_1(\rho, \omega) = \max \left\{ (1-\rho)^2, \left(\frac{1}{3} - \rho\right)^2, \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^2, \frac{1}{4} \left[\sigma_b(\rho, \mathcal{F}\omega) + \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^2 \right], \right. \\
 \left. \frac{(3/4)^2 [1 + (1/3 - \rho)^2]}{2[1 + (1 - \rho)^2]}, \frac{(2/3)^2 [1 + (1/3 - \rho)^2]}{2[1 + (1 - \rho)^2]} \right\} \geq \frac{9}{16},$$

$$m_2(\rho, \omega) = \min \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{3} - \rho\right)^2, \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^2, \sigma_b(\rho, \mathcal{F}\omega), \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^2 \right\} \geq 0. \tag{39}$$

Take $k = 2/9$, $\Theta(\zeta) = e^{\sqrt{\zeta}}$ and $0 \leq L$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Theta(s\delta_b(\mathcal{F}\rho, \mathcal{F}\omega)) &= \Theta\left(2 \cdot \frac{1}{12}\right) = e^{\sqrt{1/6}} < e^{2/3} = e^{8/9 \cdot 3/4} \\
 &= [\Theta(m_1(\rho, \omega) + Lm_2(\rho, \omega))]^k.
 \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Thus, all conditions of Theorem 7 are satisfied and $\rho^* = 1/3$ is the required point.

The family Ω_s contains a wide set of functions; that is, if we take

$$\Theta(\rho) = 2 - \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan \left(\frac{1}{\rho^\beta} \right), \quad (41)$$

where $\rho > 0$ and $0 < \beta < 1$, then we can obtain the following corollary from Theorem 7.

Corollary 10. *Let (\mathcal{M}, σ_b) be a b -metric space such that σ_b is a continuous functional. Assume that $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow P_b(\mathcal{M})$ be a mapping such that for some $0 < k, \beta < 1, \rho_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ and $0 \leq L$,*

$$2 - \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan \left(\frac{1}{(s\delta_b(\mathcal{F}\rho, \mathcal{F}\omega))^\beta} \right) \leq \left[2 - \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan \left(\frac{1}{(m_1(\rho, \omega) + Lm_2(\rho, \omega))^\beta} \right) \right]^k, \quad (42)$$

$\forall \rho, \omega \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\min \{ \delta_b(\mathcal{F}\rho, \mathcal{F}\omega), \sigma_b(\rho, \omega) \} > 0$, where

$$m_1(\rho, \omega) = \max \left\{ \sigma_b(\rho, \omega), \sigma_b(\rho, \mathcal{F}\rho), \sigma_b(\omega, \mathcal{F}\omega), \frac{\sigma_b(\rho, \mathcal{F}\omega) + \sigma_b(\omega, \mathcal{F}\rho)}{2s}, \frac{\sigma_b(\omega, \mathcal{F}\omega)[1 + \sigma_b(\rho, \mathcal{F}\rho)]}{s[1 + \sigma_b(\rho, \omega)]}, \frac{\sigma_b(\omega, \mathcal{F}\rho)[1 + \sigma_b(\rho, \mathcal{F}\rho)]}{s[1 + \sigma_b(\rho, \omega)]} \right\},$$

$$m_2(\rho, \omega) = \min \{ \sigma_b(\rho, \mathcal{F}\rho), \sigma_b(\omega, \mathcal{F}\omega), \sigma_b(\rho, \mathcal{F}\omega), \sigma_b(\omega, \mathcal{F}\rho) \} \quad (43)$$

for all $\rho, \omega \in O(\rho_0, \mathcal{F})$. Suppose that $(\mathcal{M}, \sigma_b, s)$ is \mathcal{F} -orbitally complete for some $\rho_0 \in \mathcal{M}$. If $\mathcal{F}\rho$ is closed for all $\rho \in O(\rho_0, \mathcal{F})$ or the property (Gp) holds, then there exists $\rho^* \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\rho^* \in \mathcal{F}\rho^*$.

If we replace self-mapping $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ on the place of multivalued mapping in Theorem 7, we can get following results as consequences.

Corollary 11. *Let (\mathcal{M}, σ_b) be a b -metric space such that σ_b is a continuous functional and $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a mapping such that \mathcal{M} is \mathcal{F} -orbitally complete at some ρ_0 . Assume that there exist $\Theta \in \Omega_s, k \in (0, 1)$ and $L \geq 0$ such that*

$$\Theta(s\sigma_b(\mathcal{F}\rho, \mathcal{F}\omega)) \leq [\Theta(m'_1(\rho, \omega) + Lm'_2(\rho, \omega))]^k \quad (44)$$

for all $\rho, \omega \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\min \{ \sigma_b(\mathcal{F}\rho, \mathcal{F}\omega), \sigma_b(\rho, \omega) \} > 0$, where

$$m'_1(\rho, \omega) = \max \left\{ \sigma_b(\rho, \omega), \sigma_b(\rho, \mathcal{F}\rho), \sigma_b(\omega, \mathcal{F}\omega), \frac{\sigma_b(\rho, \mathcal{F}\omega) + \sigma_b(\omega, \mathcal{F}\rho)}{2s}, \frac{\sigma_b(\omega, \mathcal{F}\omega)[1 + \sigma_b(\rho, \mathcal{F}\rho)]}{s[1 + \sigma_b(\rho, \omega)]}, \frac{\sigma_b(\omega, \mathcal{F}\rho)[1 + \sigma_b(\rho, \mathcal{F}\rho)]}{s[1 + \sigma_b(\rho, \omega)]} \right\},$$

$$m'_2(\rho, \omega) = \min \{ \sigma_b(\rho, \mathcal{F}\rho), \sigma_b(\omega, \mathcal{F}\omega), \sigma_b(\rho, \mathcal{F}\omega), \sigma_b(\omega, \mathcal{F}\rho) \}. \quad (45)$$

If Θ is continuous, then there exists $\rho^* \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\rho^* = \mathcal{F}\rho^*$.

4. Applications

In this section, we solve the Fredholm integral inclusion:

$$\rho(\varsigma) \in g(\varsigma) + \int_a^b K(\varsigma, \zeta, \rho(\zeta))\sigma\zeta, \quad \forall \varsigma \in [a, b], \quad (46)$$

given in the start of this paper.

Let σ_b on $C[a, b]$ be given by

$$\sigma_b(\rho, \omega) = \left(\max_{\varsigma \in [a, b]} |\rho(\varsigma) - \omega(\varsigma)| \right)^p = \max_{\varsigma \in [a, b]} |\rho(\varsigma) - \omega(\varsigma)|^p, \quad \text{with } p \geq 1. \quad (47)$$

Then, $(C[a, b], \sigma_b, 2^{p-1})$ is a complete b -metric space. Assume that for $\varsigma, \zeta \in [a, b]$, these conditions hold:

- (a) $\forall \rho \in C[a, b], K_\rho(\varsigma, \zeta) = K(\varsigma, \zeta, \rho(\zeta))$ is continuous
- (b) $\exists Y : [a, b] \times [a, b] \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ such that

$$|k_\varphi(\varsigma, \zeta) - k_\psi(\varsigma, \zeta)|^p \leq Y(\varsigma, \zeta) \left[\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sigma_b(\varphi(\zeta), \psi(\zeta)), \sigma_b(\varphi(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \varphi(\zeta))), \\ \sigma_b(\psi(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \psi(\zeta))), \\ \frac{\sigma_b(\varphi(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \psi(\zeta))) + \sigma_b(\psi(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \varphi(\zeta)))}{2^p}, \\ \frac{\sigma_b(\psi(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \psi(\zeta)))[1 + \sigma_b(\varphi(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \varphi(\zeta)))]}{2^{p-1}[1 + \sigma_b(\varphi(\zeta), \psi(\zeta))]}, \\ \frac{\sigma_b(\psi(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \varphi(\zeta)))[1 + \sigma_b(\varphi(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \varphi(\zeta)))]}{2^{p-1}[1 + \sigma_b(\varphi(\zeta), \psi(\zeta))]}, \\ \lambda \min \{ \sigma_b(\varphi(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \varphi(\zeta))), \sigma_b(\psi(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \psi(\zeta))), \\ \sigma_b(\varphi(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \psi(\zeta))), \sigma_b(\psi(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \varphi(\zeta))) \} \end{array} \right\} + \right] \quad (48)$$

$\forall \varsigma, \zeta \in [a, b], \varphi, \psi \in C[a, b]$ and $k_\varphi(\varsigma, \zeta) \in K_\varphi(\varsigma, \zeta), k_\psi(\varsigma, \zeta) \in K_\psi(\varsigma, \zeta)$, where $p > 1$ and $\lambda \geq 0$

- (c) $\exists 0 < k < 1$ such that

$$\sup_{\varsigma \in [a, b]} \int_a^b Y(\varsigma, \zeta)\sigma\zeta \leq \frac{k}{2^{p-1}} \quad (49)$$

Theorem 12. *Under the conditions (a)–(c), the integral inclusion given in (46) has a solution in $C[a, b]$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M} = C[a, b]$. Define b -metric σ_b as in (47) and a multivalued mapping $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow P_{cb}(\mathcal{M})$ by

$$\mathcal{F}\rho = \left\{ \omega \in \mathcal{M} : \omega(\varsigma) \in g(\varsigma) + \int_a^b K(\varsigma, \zeta, \rho(\zeta))\sigma\zeta, \varsigma \in [a, b] \right\}. \quad (50)$$

Let $\rho \in \mathcal{M}$. For a mapping $K_\rho(\varsigma, \zeta) : [a, b] \times [a, b] \rightarrow P_{cb}(\mathbb{R})$, there exists $k_\rho(\varsigma, \zeta) : [a, b] \times [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$k_\rho(\varsigma, \zeta) \in K_\rho(\varsigma, \zeta), \quad (51)$$

$\forall \varsigma, \zeta \in [a, b]$. Then,

$$g(\varsigma) + \int_a^b k_\rho(\varsigma, \zeta) \sigma \zeta \in \mathcal{F}\rho. \quad (52)$$

Hence, $\mathcal{F}\rho \neq \emptyset$. Since $K_\rho(\varsigma, \zeta)$ is continuous and $g \in C([a, b])$, the ranges of both functions are bounded. This shows that $\mathcal{F}\rho$ is also bounded.

Now, we show that (9) holds for any \mathcal{F} on \mathcal{M} with some $0 < k < 1$, $0 \leq L$ and $\Theta \in \Omega_\zeta$, i.e.,

$$\Theta(\zeta \delta_b(\mathcal{F}\rho_1, \mathcal{F}\rho_2)) \leq \left[\Theta \left[\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sigma_b(\rho_1, \rho_2), \sigma_b(\rho_1, \mathcal{F}\rho_1), \sigma_b(\rho_2, \mathcal{F}\rho_2), \\ \frac{\sigma_b(\rho_1, \mathcal{F}\rho_2) + \sigma_b(\rho_2, \mathcal{F}\rho_1)}{2^p}, \\ \frac{\sigma_b(\rho_2, \mathcal{F}\rho_2)[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_1, \mathcal{F}\rho_1)]}{2^{p-1}[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_1, \rho_2)]}, \\ \frac{\sigma_b(\rho_2, \mathcal{F}\rho_1)[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_1, \mathcal{F}\rho_1)]}{2^{p-1}[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_1, \rho_2)]} \end{array} \right\} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. +L \min \{ \sigma_b(\rho_1, \mathcal{F}\rho_1), \sigma_b(\rho_2, \mathcal{F}\rho_2), \sigma_b(\rho_1, \mathcal{F}\rho_2), \sigma_b(\rho_2, \mathcal{F}\rho_1) \} \right] \right]^k \quad (53)$$

for all $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in \mathcal{M}$. Let $\omega_1 \in \mathcal{F}\rho_1$ be such that

$$\omega_1(\varsigma) \in g(\varsigma) + \int_a^b K(\varsigma, \zeta, \rho_1(\zeta)) \sigma \zeta, \quad \forall \varsigma \in [a, b]. \quad (54)$$

It follows that $\exists k_{\rho_1}(\varsigma, \zeta) \in K_{\rho_1}(\varsigma, \zeta) = K(\varsigma, \zeta, \rho_1(\zeta))$ such that

$$\omega_1(\varsigma) = g(\varsigma) + \int_a^b k_{\rho_1}(\varsigma, \zeta) \sigma \zeta, \quad \forall \varsigma, \zeta \in [a, b]. \quad (55)$$

Now, for all $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in \mathcal{M}$, it follows from (b) that

$$\left| k_{\rho_1}(\varsigma, \zeta) - k_{\rho_2}(\varsigma, \zeta) \right|^p \leq Y(\varsigma, \zeta) \left[\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sigma_b(\rho_1(\zeta), \rho_2(\zeta)), \sigma_b(\rho_1(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \rho_1(\zeta))), \\ \sigma_b(\rho_2(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \rho_2(\zeta))), \\ \frac{\sigma_b(\rho_1(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \rho_2(\zeta))) + \sigma_b(\rho_2(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \rho_1(\zeta)))}{2^p}, \\ \frac{\sigma_b(\rho_2(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \rho_2(\zeta)))[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_1(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \rho_1(\zeta)))]}{2^{p-1}[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_1(\zeta), \rho_2(\zeta))]}, \\ \frac{\sigma_b(\rho_2(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \rho_1(\zeta)))[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_1(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \rho_1(\zeta)))]}{2^{p-1}[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_1(\zeta), \rho_2(\zeta))]} \end{array} \right\} \right. \\ \left. +L \min \{ \sigma_b(\rho_1(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \rho_1(\zeta))), \sigma_b(\rho_2(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \rho_2(\zeta))), \sigma_b(\rho_1(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \rho_2(\zeta))), \sigma_b(\rho_2(\rho), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \rho_1(\zeta))) \} \right] \quad (56)$$

It follows that $\exists \omega(\varsigma, \zeta) \in K_{\rho_2}(\varsigma, \zeta)$ such that

$$\left| k_{\rho_1}(\varsigma, \zeta) - \omega(\varsigma, \zeta) \right|^p \leq Y(\varsigma, \zeta) \left[\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sigma_b(\rho_1(\zeta), \rho_2(\zeta)), \sigma_b(\rho_1(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \rho_1(\zeta))), \\ \sigma_b(\rho_2(\zeta), \omega(\varsigma, \zeta)), \\ \frac{\sigma_b(\rho_1(\zeta), \omega(\varsigma, \zeta)) + \sigma_b(\rho_2(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \rho_1(\zeta)))}{2^p}, \\ \frac{\sigma_b(\rho_2(\zeta), \omega(\varsigma, \zeta))[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_1(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \rho_1(\zeta)))]}{2^{p-1}[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_1(\zeta), \rho_2(\zeta))]}, \\ \frac{\sigma_b(\rho_2(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \rho_1(\zeta)))[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_1(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \rho_1(\zeta)))]}{2^{p-1}[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_1(\zeta), \rho_2(\zeta))]} \end{array} \right\} \right. \\ \left. +L \min \{ \sigma_b(\rho_1(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \rho_1(\zeta))), \sigma_b(\rho_2(\zeta), \omega(\varsigma, \zeta)), \sigma_b(\rho_1(\zeta), \omega(\varsigma, \zeta)), \sigma_b(\rho_2(\rho), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \rho_1(\zeta))) \} \right] \\ = R(\varsigma, \zeta), \forall \varsigma, \zeta \in [a, b]. \quad (57)$$

Now, we show the function $U(\varsigma, \zeta): [a, b] \times [a, b] \rightarrow P_{cb}(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$U(\varsigma, \zeta) = K_{\rho_2}(\varsigma, \zeta) \cap \left\{ \varphi \in \mathbb{R} : \sigma_b(k_{\rho_1}(\varsigma, \zeta), \varphi) \leq R(\varsigma, \zeta) \right\}. \quad (58)$$

Thus, by (a), U is lower semicontinuous; this implies that there exists $k_{\rho_2}(\varsigma, \zeta): [a, b] \times [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $k_{\rho_2}(\varsigma, \zeta) \in U(\varsigma, \zeta), \forall \varsigma, \zeta \in [a, b]$. Then, $\omega_2(\varsigma) = g(\varsigma) + \int_a^b k_{\rho_1}(\varsigma, \zeta) \sigma \zeta$ satisfies

$$\omega_1(\varsigma) \in g(\varsigma) + \int_a^b K(\varsigma, \zeta, \rho_1(\zeta)) \sigma \zeta, \quad \forall \varsigma \in [a, b], \quad (59)$$

which implies that $\omega_2 \in \mathcal{F}\rho_2$ and

$$\sigma_b(\omega_1, \omega_2) \leq \max_{\varsigma, \zeta \in [a, b]} \int_a^b \left| k_{\rho_1}(\varsigma, \zeta) - k_{\rho_2}(\varsigma, \zeta) \right|^p \sigma \zeta \leq \max_{\varsigma, \zeta \in [a, b]} \int_a^b Y(\varsigma, \zeta) \left[\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sigma_b(\rho_1(\zeta), \rho_2(\zeta)), \sigma_b(\rho_1(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \rho_1(\zeta))), \\ \sigma_b(\rho_2(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \rho_2(\zeta))), \\ \frac{\sigma_b(\rho_1(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \rho_2(\zeta))) + \sigma_b(\rho_2(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \rho_1(\zeta)))}{2^p}, \\ \frac{\sigma_b(\rho_2(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \rho_2(\zeta)))[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_1(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \rho_1(\zeta)))]}{2^{p-1}[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_1(\zeta), \rho_2(\zeta))]}, \\ \frac{\sigma_b(\rho_2(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \rho_1(\zeta)))[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_1(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \rho_1(\zeta)))]}{2^{p-1}[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_1(\zeta), \rho_2(\zeta))]} \end{array} \right\} \right. \\ \left. +L \min \{ \sigma_b(\rho_1(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \rho_1(\zeta))), \sigma_b(\rho_2(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \rho_2(\zeta))), \sigma_b(\rho_1(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \rho_2(\zeta))), \sigma_b(\rho_1(\zeta), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \rho_2(\zeta))), \sigma_b(\rho_2(\rho), K(\varsigma, \zeta, \rho_1(\zeta))) \} \right] \sigma \zeta \leq \frac{k}{2^{p-1}} \\ \left[\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sigma_b(\rho_1, \rho_2), \sigma_b(\rho_1, \mathcal{F}\rho_1), \sigma_b(\rho_2, \mathcal{F}\rho_2), \frac{\sigma_b(\rho_1, \mathcal{F}\rho_2) + \sigma_b(\rho_2, \mathcal{F}\rho_1)}{2^p}, \\ \frac{\sigma_b(\rho_2, \mathcal{F}\rho_2)[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_1, \mathcal{F}\rho_1)]}{\zeta[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_1, \rho_2)]}, \frac{\sigma_b(\rho_2, \mathcal{F}\rho_1)[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_1, \mathcal{F}\rho_1)]}{\zeta[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_1, \rho_2)]} \end{array} \right\} \right. \\ \left. +L \min \{ \sigma_b(\rho_1, \mathcal{F}\rho_1), \sigma_b(\rho_2, \mathcal{F}\rho_2), \sigma_b(\rho_1, \mathcal{F}\rho_2), \sigma_b(\rho_2, \mathcal{F}\rho_1) \} \right] \quad (60)$$

$\forall \zeta, \zeta \in [a, b]$. Thus, we get

$$\delta_b(\mathcal{F}\rho_1, \mathcal{F}\rho_2) \leq \frac{k}{2^{p-1}} \left[\max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\sigma_b(\rho_1, \rho_2), \sigma_b(\rho_1, \mathcal{F}\rho_1), \sigma_b(\rho_2, \mathcal{F}\rho_2), \\ &\frac{\sigma_b(\rho_1, \mathcal{F}\rho_2) + \sigma_b(\rho_2, \mathcal{F}\rho_1)}{2^p}, \\ &\frac{\sigma_b(\rho_2, \mathcal{F}\rho_2)[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_1, \mathcal{F}\rho_1)]}{2^{p-1}[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_1, \rho_2)]}, \\ &\frac{\sigma_b(\rho_2, \mathcal{F}\rho_1)[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_1, \mathcal{F}\rho_1)]}{2^{p-1}[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_1, \rho_2)]} \end{aligned} \right\} + L \min \{ \sigma_b(\rho_1, \mathcal{F}\rho_1), \sigma_b(\rho_2, \mathcal{F}\rho_2), \sigma_b(\rho_1, \mathcal{F}\rho_2), \sigma_b(\rho_2, \mathcal{F}\rho_1) \} \right]$$

$$\zeta \delta_b(\mathcal{F}\rho_1, \mathcal{F}\rho_2) \leq k \left[\max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\sigma_b(\rho_1, \rho_2), \sigma_b(\rho_1, \mathcal{F}\rho_1), \sigma_b(\rho_2, \mathcal{F}\rho_2), \\ &\frac{\sigma_b(\rho_1, \mathcal{F}\rho_2) + \sigma_b(\rho_2, \mathcal{F}\rho_1)}{2^p}, \\ &\frac{\sigma_b(\rho_2, \mathcal{F}\rho_2)[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_1, \mathcal{F}\rho_1)]}{2^{p-1}[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_1, \rho_2)]}, \\ &\frac{\sigma_b(\rho_2, \mathcal{F}\rho_1)[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_1, \mathcal{F}\rho_1)]}{2^{p-1}[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_1, \rho_2)]} \end{aligned} \right\} + L \min \{ \sigma_b(\rho_1, \mathcal{F}\rho_1), \sigma_b(\rho_2, \mathcal{F}\rho_2), \sigma_b(\rho_1, \mathcal{F}\rho_2), \sigma_b(\rho_2, \mathcal{F}\rho_1) \} \right]. \tag{61}$$

Taking the exponential on both sides, we have

$$e^{\zeta \delta_b(\mathcal{F}\rho_1, \mathcal{F}\rho_2)} \delta_b(\mathcal{F}\rho_1, \mathcal{F}\rho_2) \leq ek \left[\max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\sigma_b(\rho_1, \rho_2), \sigma_b(\rho_1, \mathcal{F}\rho_1), \sigma_b(\rho_2, \mathcal{F}\rho_2), \\ &\frac{\sigma_b(\rho_1, \mathcal{F}\rho_2) + \sigma_b(\rho_2, \mathcal{F}\rho_1)}{2^p}, \\ &\frac{\sigma_b(\rho_2, \mathcal{F}\rho_2)[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_1, \mathcal{F}\rho_1)]}{2^{p-1}[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_1, \rho_2)]}, \\ &\frac{\sigma_b(\rho_2, \mathcal{F}\rho_1)[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_1, \mathcal{F}\rho_1)]}{2^{p-1}[1 + \sigma_b(\rho_1, \rho_2)]} \end{aligned} \right\} + L \min \{ \sigma_b(\rho_1, \mathcal{F}\rho_1), \sigma_b(\rho_2, \mathcal{F}\rho_2), \sigma_b(\rho_1, \mathcal{F}\rho_2), \sigma_b(\rho_2, \mathcal{F}\rho_1) \} \right]. \tag{62}$$

Taking the function $\Theta \in \Omega_\zeta$, it follows that (18) is fulfilled. Therefore, by Theorem 7, we show that the integral inclusion (46) has a solution. This completes the proof. \square

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we have defined a rational (Θ, δ_b) -contraction and established some fixed point results in b -metric spaces. In this way, we generalized some known results of literature. We also discussed the solution of Fredholm integral inclusion as application of our obtained result. We expect that the results given in this article will make new directions for those who are working in the theory of fixed points.

In this direction, our future work will pivot on studying the fixed points of fuzzy mappings and L -fuzzy mappings for Θ -contractions in b -metric spaces, with fractional differential inclusion problems as applications.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are included within the article.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' Contributions

All authors contributed equally and significantly in writing this paper. All authors read and approved the final paper.

Acknowledgments

The research is supported by the Research project of basic scientific research business expenses of provincial colleges and universities in Hebei Province: 2021QNJS11, by the Innovation and improvement project of academic team of Hebei University of Architecture (Mathematics and Applied Mathematics) No. TD202006, and by The Major Project of Education Department of Hebei Province (No. ZD2021039).

References

- [1] I. A. Bakhtin, "The contraction mapping principle in almost metric spaces," *Funct. Anal. God. Ped. Instead., Unianowsk.*, vol. 30, pp. 26–37, 1989.
- [2] S. Czerwik, "Contraction mappings in b -metric spaces," *Acta mathematica et informatica universitatis ostraviensis*, vol. 1, pp. 5–11, 1993.
- [3] M. A. Khamsi and N. Hussain, "KKM mappings in metric type spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 7, pp. 3123–3129, 2010.
- [4] T. Van An, L. Q. Tuyen, and N. V. Dung, "Stone-type theorem on b -metric spaces and applications," *Topology and its Applications*, vol. 186, pp. 50–64, 2015.
- [5] S. Czerwik, K. Dlutek, and S. L. Singh, "Round-off stability of iteration procedures for operators in b -metric spaces," *J. Nature Phys Sci.*, vol. 11, pp. 87–94, 1997.
- [6] S. Czerwik, "Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in b -metric spaces," *Atti del Seminario Matematico e Fisico dell'Università di Modena*, vol. 46, pp. 263–276, 1998.
- [7] H. Aydi, M. F. Bota, E. Karapinar, and S. Mitrović, "A fixed point theorem for set-valued quasi-contractions in b -metric spaces," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2012, no. 1, 2012.
- [8] H. Aydi, M. F. Bota, E. Karapinar, and S. Moradi, "A common fixed point for weak φ -contractions on b -metric spaces," *Fixed Point Theory*, vol. 13, pp. 337–346, 2012.
- [9] H. Afshari, H. Aydi, and E. Karapinar, "On generalized α - ψ -Geraghty contractions on b -metric spaces," *Georgian Mathematical Journal*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 9–21, 2020.
- [10] E. Karapinar, A. Fulga, and A. Petrusel, "On Istrătescu type contractions in b -metric spaces," *Mathematics*, vol. 8, no. 3, p. 388, 2020.
- [11] H. K. Nashine, R. P. Agarwal, and Z. Kadelburg, "Solution to Fredholm integral inclusions via $(F-\delta_b)$ contractions," *Open Mathematics*, vol. 14, pp. 1053–1064, 2016.
- [12] M. Cosentino, M. Jleli, B. Samet, and C. Vetro, "Solvability of integrodifferential problems via fixed point theory in b

- metric spaces," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2015, no. 1, 2015.
- [13] E. Karapinar and M. Noorwali, "Dragomir and Gosa type inequalities on b -metric spaces," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2019, no. 1, 2019.
- [14] E. Karapinar and A. Fulga, "New hybrid contractions on b -metric spaces," *Mathematics*, vol. 7, no. 7, p. 578, 2019.
- [15] Z. D. Mitrovic, H. Işık, and S. Radenovic, "The new results in extended b -metric spaces and applications," *International Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Applications*, vol. 11, pp. 473–482, 2020.
- [16] H. Işık, B. Mohammadi, V. Parvaneh, and C. Park, "Extended quasi b -metric-like spaces and some fixed point theorems for contractive mappings," *Applied Mathematics E-Notes*, vol. 20, pp. 204–214, 2020.
- [17] M. Younis, D. Singh, M. Asadi, and V. Joshi, "Results on contractions of Reich type in graphical b -metric spaces with applications," *Univerzitet u Nišu*, vol. 33, pp. 5723–5735, 2019.
- [18] M. Younis, D. Singh, S. Radenovic, and M. Imdad, "Convergence theorems for generalized contractions and applications," *Filomat*, vol. 34, pp. 945–964, 2020.
- [19] O. Ege, "Complex valued rectangular b -metric spaces and an application to linear equations," *Journal of Nonlinear Science and Applications*, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 1014–1021, 2015.
- [20] M. Jleli and B. Samet, "A new generalization of the Banach contraction principle," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2014, no. 1, 2014.
- [21] A. Ali, K. H. Is, H. Aydi, E. Ameer, J. R. Lee, and M. Arshad, "On multivalued Suzuki-type θ -contractions and related applications," *Open Mathematics*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 386–399, 2020.
- [22] M. Jleli, E. Karapinar, and B. Samet, "Further generalizations of the Banach contraction principle," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2014, no. 1, 2014.
- [23] B. Alamri, R. P. Agarwal, and J. Ahmad, "Some new fixed point theorems in b -metric spaces with application," *Mathematics*, vol. 8, no. 5, p. 725, 2020.
- [24] N. Hussain, A. E. Al-Mazrooei, and J. Ahmad, "Fixed point results for generalized $(\alpha-\eta)$ - Θ contractions with applications," *The Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications*, vol. 10, no. 8, pp. 4197–4208, 2017.
- [25] N. Hussain, J. Ahmad, L. Ćirić, and A. Azam, "Coincidence point theorems for generalized contractions with application to integral equations," *Fixed Point Theory Appl*, vol. 2015, p. 78, 2015.
- [26] N. Hussain, V. Parvaneh, B. Samet, and C. Vetro, "Some fixed point theorems for generalized contractive mappings in complete metric spaces," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2015, no. 1, 2015.
- [27] N. Hussain, J. Ahmad, and A. Azam, "On Suzuki-Wardowski type fixed point theorems," *Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications*, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 1095–1111, 2015.
- [28] J. Ahmad, N. Hussain, A. R. Khan, and A. Azam, "Fixed point results for generalized multi-valued contractions," *Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications*, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 909–918, 2015.
- [29] J. Ahmad, A. E. Al-Mazrooei, Y. J. Cho, and Y. O. Yang, "Fixed point results for generalized theta-contractions," *Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 2350–2358, 2017.
- [30] A. Al-Rawashdeh and J. Ahmad, "Common fixed point theorems for JS- contractions," *Bulletin of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 8, pp. 12–22, 2016.
- [31] M. S. Khan, Y. J. Cho, W. T. Park, and T. Mumtaz, "Coincidence and common fixed points of hybrid contractions," *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society. Series A. Pure Mathematics and Statistics*, vol. 55, no. 3, pp. 369–385, 1993.
- [32] B. E. Rhoades, S. L. Singh, and C. Kulshrestha, "Coincidence theorems for some multivalued mappings," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 7, no. 3, 434 pages, 1984.