

Research Article

Certain Analytic Functions Defined by Generalized Mittag-Leffler Function Associated with Conic Domain

Adel A. Attiya,^{1,2} T. M. Seoudy,^{3,4} M. K. Aouf,² and Abeer M. Albalahi¹

¹Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Ha'il, Ha'il 81451, Saudi Arabia

²Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura 35516, Egypt

³Department of Mathematics, Jamoum University College, Umm Al-Qura University, Makkah, Saudi Arabia

⁴Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Fayoum University, Fayoum 63514, Egypt

Correspondence should be addressed to Adel A. Attiya; aattiy@mans.edu.eg

Received 8 March 2022; Accepted 21 May 2022; Published 9 June 2022

Academic Editor: Salah Mahmoud Boulaaras

Copyright © 2022 Adel A. Attiya et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In the present paper, we investigate and introduce several properties of certain families of analytic functions in the open unit disc, which are defined by *q*-analogue of Mittag-Leffler function associated with conic domain. A number of coefficient estimates of the functions in these classes have been obtained. Sufficient conditions for the functions belong to these classes are also considered.

1. Introduction

Denoted by \mathbb{A} , the class of all analytic functions in $\mathbb{D} = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : |\zeta| < 1\}$ has the following form:

$$g(\zeta) = \zeta + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} b_m \zeta^m.$$
 (1)

For two functions $g_1(\zeta)$ and $g_2(\zeta)$, analytic in \mathbb{D} , we say that the function $g_1(\zeta)$ is subordinate to $g_2(\zeta)$, written as $g_1(\zeta) \prec g_2(\zeta)$, if there exists a Schwarz function $w(\zeta)$, which is analytic in \mathbb{D} with w(0) = 0 and $|w(\zeta)| < 1$ such that $g_1(\zeta) = g_2(w(\zeta))$. Furthermore, if the function $g_2(\zeta)$ is univalent in \mathbb{D} , then we have the following equivalence:

$$\begin{split} g_1(z) \prec g_2(z) & \Longleftrightarrow g_1(0) = g_2(0), \\ g_1(\mathbb{D}) \in g_2(\mathbb{D}). \end{split} \tag{2}$$

Let S be the subclass of \mathbb{A} consisting of univalent functions. Also, let $S^*(\eta)$ and $C(\eta)$ denote the subclasses of univalent starlike and convex functions of order η ($0 \le \eta < 1$). A function $g \in S$ is said to be *k*-starlike function, written as $g \in S\mathcal{T}(k)$, if

$$\Re\left\{\frac{\zeta g'(\zeta)}{g(\zeta)}\right\} > k \left|\frac{\zeta g'(\zeta)}{g(\zeta)} - 1\right| (0 \le k < \infty; \zeta \in \mathbb{D}).$$
(3)

A function $g \in \mathcal{S}$ is said to be k-convex function, written as $g \in \mathcal{UCV}(k),$ if

$$\Re\left\{\frac{\left(\zeta g(\zeta)\right)'}{g'(\zeta)}\right\} > k \left|\frac{\left(\zeta g(\zeta)\right)'}{g'(\zeta)} - 1\right| \left(0 \le k < \infty; \zeta \in \mathbb{D}\right).$$
(4)

The classes k - ST and k - UCV were introduced and studied by Kanas and Wiśniowska [1, 2] (see also, [3–8]). In particular, when k = 1, we get $ST(1) \equiv ST$ and $UCV(1) \equiv$ UCV, where ST and UCV are the familiar classes of uniformly starlike functions and uniformly convex functions in \mathbb{D} , respectively (see [9]). A function $g \in \mathbb{A}$ is said to be in the class $ST(k, \eta)$ that are *k*-starlike functions of order η with $0 \leq \eta < 1$ if

$$\Re\left\{\frac{\zeta g'(\zeta)}{g(\zeta)} - \eta\right\} > k \left|\frac{\zeta g'(\zeta)}{g(\zeta)} - 1\right| (0 \le k < \infty; \zeta \in \mathbb{D}).$$
(5)

A function $g \in \mathbb{A}$ is said to be in the class $\mathscr{UCV}(k, \eta)$ that are *k*-convex functions of order η with $0 \le \eta < 1$ if

$$\Re\left\{\frac{\left(\zeta g(\zeta)\right)'}{g'(\zeta)} - \eta\right\} > k \left|\frac{\left(\zeta g(\zeta)\right)'}{g'(\zeta)} - 1\right| \left(0 \le k < \infty; \zeta \in \mathbb{D}\right).$$
(6)

The classes $S\mathcal{T}(k,\eta)$ and $\mathcal{UCV}(k,\eta)$ have been studied by Kanas and Răducanu [10]. We note that $S\mathcal{T}(k,0) = k - S$ \mathcal{T} and $\mathcal{UCV}(k,0) = k - \mathcal{UCV}$. The classes $S\mathcal{T}(1,\eta) \equiv S\mathcal{T}(\eta)$ and $\mathcal{UCV}(1,\eta) \equiv \mathcal{UCV}(\eta)$ were investigated in [11–13].

The study of quantum calculus (or *q*-calculus) attracted the researches due to its applications in various branches of mathematics and physics, for example, in the areas of special functions, *q*-difference, ordinary fractional calculus, *q*-integral equations, and in *q*-transform analysis (see [14–23]).

For 0 < q < 1 and $g \in A$ given by (1), the *q*-derivative of *g* is defined by (see [24–29]):

$$D_{q}g(\zeta) = \begin{cases} g'(0) & \text{if } \zeta = 0, \\ \frac{g(\zeta) - g(q\zeta)}{(1 - q)\zeta} & \text{if } \zeta \neq 0, \end{cases}$$
(7)

provided that g'(0) exists. From (1) and (7), we have

$$D_{q}g(\zeta) = 1 + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} [m]_{q}b_{m}\zeta^{m-1} \quad (\zeta \neq 0),$$
 (8)

where $[m]_q$ is q-integer number m defined by

$$[m]_q = \frac{1 - q^m}{1 - q} = 1 + q + q^2 + \dots + q^{m-1} \quad (0 < q < 1).$$
(9)

We note that

$$\lim_{q \to 1^{-}} D_{q} g(\zeta) = \lim_{q \to 1^{-}} \frac{g(\zeta) - g(q\zeta)}{(1-q)\zeta} = g'(\zeta), \quad (10)$$

for a function g which is differentiable in \mathbb{D} . Making use of the q-derivative operator D_q given by (7), we introduce the subclasses $S\mathcal{F}_q(k,\eta)$ and $\mathcal{UCV}_q(k,\eta)$ in \mathbb{D} as follows.

Definition 1. For 0 < q < 1, $0 \le \eta < 1$, $0 \le k < \infty$, and $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$, let $\mathcal{ST}_q(k, \eta)$ and $\mathcal{UCV}_q(k, \eta)$ be the subclasses of \mathbb{A} consisting of functions g of the form (1) and satisfy the analytic criterion:

$$\Re\left\{\frac{\zeta D_q g(\zeta)}{g(\zeta)} - \eta\right\} > k \left|\frac{\zeta D_q g(\zeta)}{g(\zeta)} - 1\right|, \tag{11}$$

$$\Re\left\{\frac{D_q(\zeta D_q g(\zeta))}{D_q g(\zeta)} - \eta\right\} > k \left|\frac{D_q(\zeta D_q g(\zeta))}{D_q g(\zeta)} - 1\right|.$$
(12)

From (11) and (12), it follows that

$$g \in \mathscr{UCV}_q(k,\eta) \Longleftrightarrow \zeta D_q g \in \mathscr{ST}_q(k,\eta). \tag{13}$$

The *q*-shifted factorials, for any complex number α , are defined by

$$(\alpha; q)_{n} = \begin{cases} 1 & (n = 0), \\ \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 - \alpha q^{k}) & (n \in \mathbb{N} \ll \{1, 2, 3, \cdots\}). \end{cases}$$
(14)

The definition (14) remains meaningful for $n = \infty$ as a convergent infinite product

$$(\alpha; q)_{\infty} = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 - \alpha q^j) \text{ for } |q| < 1.$$
(15)

Furthermore, in terms of the q-gamma function $\Gamma_q(\zeta)$ defined by

$$\Gamma_q(\zeta) \coloneqq \frac{(q;q)_{\infty}(1-q)^{1-\zeta}}{(q^{\zeta};q)_{\infty}} (0 < q < 1; \zeta \in \mathbb{C}), \qquad (16)$$

so that

$$\lim_{q \to 1^{-}} \left\{ \Gamma_q(\zeta) \right\} = \Gamma(\zeta), \tag{17}$$

for the familiar gamma function $\Gamma(\zeta)$, we find from (14) that

$$(q^{\alpha};q)_{n} = \frac{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha+n)}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} (1-q)^{n} (n \in \mathbb{N}; \alpha \in \mathbb{C}).$$
(18)

We note that

$$\lim_{q \to 1^-} \frac{(q^{\alpha}; q)_n}{(1-q)^n} = (\alpha)_n, \tag{19}$$

where

$$(\alpha)_n = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+n)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} = \begin{cases} 1 & (n=0), \\ \alpha(\alpha+1)\cdots(\alpha+n-1) & (n\in\mathbb{N}). \end{cases}$$
(20)

For 0 < q < 1, α , β , $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Re(\alpha) > 0$, $\Re(\beta) > 0$, and $\Re(\gamma) > 0$, consider the *q*-analogue of Mittag-Leffler defined by Sharma and Jain [30], for generalized Mittag-Leffler function (see, e.g., [31–33])

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}(\zeta;q) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q^{\gamma};q)_m}{(q;q)_m} \frac{\zeta^m}{\Gamma_q(\alpha m + \beta)}.$$
 (21)

As $q \longrightarrow 1^-$, the operator $E_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}(\zeta;q)$ reduces to $E_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}(\zeta)$ introduced by Prabhakar [34]. Now, let us define

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}(\zeta;q) &\coloneqq \zeta \Gamma_{q}(\beta) E_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}(\zeta;q) \\ &= \zeta + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{(q^{\gamma};q)_{m-1}}{(q;q)_{m-1}} \frac{\Gamma_{q}(\beta)}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha(m-1)+\beta)} \zeta^{m}. \end{split}$$
(22)

We remark that:

(i)
$$\mathbb{E}^{1}_{1,1}(\zeta; q) = \zeta e_{q}(\zeta)$$

(ii) $\mathbb{E}^{1}_{1,2}(\zeta; q) = e_{q}(\zeta) - 1$

where $e_q(\zeta)$ is one of the *q*-analogues of the exponential function e^{ζ} given by

$$e_{q}(\zeta) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta^{m}}{\Gamma_{q}(m+1)} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-q)^{m} \zeta^{m}}{(q;q)_{m}} = \frac{1}{(\zeta;q)_{\infty}}.$$
 (23)

Using the Hadamard product (or convolution), we define the linear operator $\mathscr{H}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma} : \mathbb{A} \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{H}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}g(\zeta) &= \mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}(\zeta\,;\,q)\,\ast\,g(\zeta) \\ &= \zeta + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{(q^{\gamma}\,;\,q)_{m-1}}{(q\,;\,q)_{m-1}} \frac{\Gamma_{q}(\beta)}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha(m-1)+\beta)} b_{m}\zeta^{m}(\zeta\in\mathbb{D}). \end{aligned}$$

$$(24)$$

We note that (see [35, 36])

$$\lim_{q \to 1^{-}} \mathscr{H}^{\gamma}_{q,\alpha,\beta} g(\zeta) = \mathscr{H}^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta} g(\zeta)$$
$$= \zeta + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma)_{m-1} \Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha(m-1) + \beta) (m-1)!} b_m \zeta^m (\zeta \in \mathbb{D}).$$
(25)

Motivated by the works of Kanas and Yaguchi [37] and Kanas and Răducanu [10], we define the following classes of functions with the theory of q-calculus.

Definition 2. For 0 < q < 1, α , β , $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Re(\alpha) > 0$, $\Re(\beta) > 0$, $\Re(\gamma) > 0$, $0 \le k < \infty$, and $0 \le \eta < 1$, let

$$\mathcal{ST}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}(k,\eta) = \left\{ g \in \mathbb{A} : \mathcal{H}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}g \in \mathcal{ST}_{q}(k,\eta) \right\},$$
$$\mathcal{UCV}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}(k,\eta) = \left\{ g \in \mathbb{A} : \mathcal{H}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}g \in \mathcal{UCV}_{q}(k,\eta) \right\}.$$
(26)

It is easy to check that

$$g \in \mathscr{UCV}^{\gamma}_{q,\alpha,\beta}(k,\eta) \longleftrightarrow \zeta D_q g \in \mathscr{ST}^{\gamma}_{q,\alpha,\beta}(k,\eta).$$
(27)

Taking $q \longrightarrow 1^-$ in Definition 2, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \lim_{q \longrightarrow 1^{-}} \mathcal{ST}^{\gamma}_{q,\alpha,\beta}(k,\eta) &= \mathcal{ST}^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(k,\eta) \\ &= \left\{ g \in \mathbb{A} : \Re\left\{ \frac{\zeta\left(\mathcal{H}^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}g(\zeta)\right)'}{\mathcal{H}^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}g(\zeta)} - \eta \right\} \\ &> k \left| \frac{\zeta\left(\mathcal{H}^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}g(\zeta)\right)'}{\mathcal{H}^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}g(\zeta)} - 1 \right| \right\}, \end{split}$$

$$\mathcal{ST}^{1}_{q,0,\beta}(k,\eta) = \mathcal{ST}_{q}(k,\eta), \lim_{q \longrightarrow 1^{-}} \mathcal{ST}^{1}_{q,0,\beta}(k,\eta) = \mathcal{ST}(k,\eta),$$
(28)

$$\begin{split} \lim_{q \to 1^{-}} \mathscr{UCV}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}(k,\eta) &= \mathscr{UCV}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}(k,\eta) \\ &= \left\{ g \in \mathbb{A} : \Re\left\{ \frac{\left(\zeta\left(\mathscr{H}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}g(\zeta)\right)'\right)'}{\left(\mathscr{H}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}g(\zeta)\right)'} - \eta \right\} \right. \\ &> k \left| \frac{\left(\zeta\left(\mathscr{H}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}g(\zeta)\right)'\right)'}{\left(\mathscr{H}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}g(\zeta)\right)'} - 1 \right| \right\}, \end{split}$$
$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{UCV}_{q,0,\beta}^{1}(k,\eta) &= \mathscr{UCV}_{q}(k,\eta), \lim_{q \to 1^{-}} \mathscr{UCV}_{q,0,\beta}^{1}(k,\eta) = \mathscr{UCV}(k,\eta). \end{split}$$
(29)

Motivated by the works mentioned above, in this paper, we will investigate some important properties, coefficient estimates, and the familiar Fekete–Szegö type inequalities for the subclasses $\mathcal{ST}^{\gamma}_{q,\alpha,\beta}(k,\eta)$ and $\mathcal{UCV}^{\gamma}_{q,\alpha,\beta}(k,\eta)$.

2. Some Results of Functions in $S\mathcal{F}^{\gamma}_{q,\alpha,\beta}(k,\eta)$ and $\mathscr{USV}^{\gamma}_{q,\alpha,\beta}(k,\eta)$

Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume throughout this paper that 0 < q < 1, α , β , $\gamma > 0$, $0 \le k < \infty$, $0 \le \eta < 1$, and $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$. Let $g \in S\mathcal{T}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}(k,\eta)$, we have

$$\Re\left\{\frac{\zeta D_{q}\left(\mathscr{H}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}g(\zeta)\right)}{\mathscr{H}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}g(\zeta)}-\eta\right\} > k \left|\frac{\zeta D_{q}\left(\mathscr{H}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}g(\zeta)\right)}{\mathscr{H}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}g(\zeta)}-1\right| (\zeta \in \mathbb{D}).$$
(30)

Consider

$$\psi(\zeta) = \frac{\zeta D_q\left(\mathscr{H}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}g(\zeta)\right)}{\mathscr{H}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}g(\zeta)} (\zeta \in \mathbb{D}).$$
(31)

The condition (30) may be rewritten into the form

$$\Re\{\psi(\zeta) - \eta\} > k|\psi(\zeta) - 1| (\zeta \in \mathbb{D}).$$
(32)

It follows that the range of the expression $\psi(\zeta), \zeta \in \mathbb{D}$, is a conical domain

$$\Lambda_{k,\eta} = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : \Re\{w - \eta\} > k|w - 1| \},$$
(33)

or

$$\Lambda_{k,\eta} = \left\{ w = u + iv \in \mathbb{C} : u - \eta > k\sqrt{(u-1)^2 + v^2} \right\}, \quad (34)$$

where $0 \le k < \infty$ and $0 \le \eta < 1$. Note that $\Lambda_{k,\eta}$ is such that $1 \in \Lambda_{k,\eta}$ and $\partial \Lambda_{k,\eta}$ is a curve defined by

$$\partial \Lambda_{k,\eta} = \left\{ w = u + iv \in \mathbb{C} : (u - \eta)^2 = k^2 (u - 1)^2 + k^2 v^2 \right\}.$$
(35)

Any w = u + iv in $\partial \Lambda_{k,\eta}$ is a quadratic equation in two variables u and v that have no uv term; it is well known that it is a symmetrical conic section about the real axis (for more details, see [1]). It follows that the domain $\Lambda_{k,\eta}$ is bounded by an ellipse for k > 1, by a parabola for k = 1 and by a hyperbola if 0 < k < 1.

Finally, for k = 0, $\Lambda_{k,\eta}$ is the right half plane $\Re\{w\} > \eta$. From (30), we obtain that $g \in \Lambda_{k,\eta}$ if and only if, for $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$,

$$\frac{\zeta D_q\left(\mathscr{H}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}g(\zeta)\right)}{\mathscr{H}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}g(\zeta)} \in \Lambda_{k,\eta}(\zeta \in \mathbb{D}).$$
(36)

Making use of the properties of the domain $\Lambda_{k,\eta}$ and (36), it follows that if $g \in \Lambda_{k,\eta}$, then

$$\begin{split} \Re \left\{ \frac{\zeta D_q \left(\mathscr{H}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma} g(\zeta) \right)}{\mathscr{H}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma} g(\zeta)} \right\} &> \frac{k+\eta}{k+1} (\zeta \in \mathbb{D}), \\ \left| \arg \left| \frac{\zeta D_q \left(\mathscr{H}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma} g(\zeta) \right)}{\mathscr{H}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma} g(\zeta)} \right| &\leq \begin{cases} \arctan \frac{1-\eta}{\sqrt{\left|k^2 - \eta^2\right|}} & (k>0), \\ \frac{\pi}{2} & (k=0). \end{cases} \end{split}$$

$$\end{split}$$

$$(37)$$

Denote by \mathscr{P} the class of analytic and normalized Carathéodory functions and by $\psi_{k,\eta} \in \mathscr{P}$, the function such that $\psi_{k,\eta} = \Lambda_{k,\eta}$. Following the notation applied by Ma and Minda [38], for $0 \le k < \infty$ and $0 \le \eta < 1$, let $\mathscr{P}(\psi_{k,\eta})$ denote the following class of functions:

$$\mathscr{P}\left(\psi_{k,\eta}\right) = \left\{\psi \in \mathscr{P} : \psi(\mathbb{D}) \subset \Lambda_{k,\eta}\right\} = \left\{\psi \in \mathscr{P} : \psi \prec \psi_{k,\eta} \text{ in } \mathbb{D}\right\}.$$
(38)

The functions which play the role of extremal functions for the class $\mathscr{P}(\psi_{k,\eta})$, see [10] (see also [8, 39]) and are defined by

$$\left(\frac{1+(1-2\eta)\zeta}{1-\zeta}\right)$$
 (k = 0)

$$\psi_{k,\eta}(\zeta) = \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{2(1-\eta)}{\pi^2} \left(\log \frac{1+\sqrt{\zeta}}{1-\sqrt{\zeta}} \right)^2 & (k=1), \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{1-\eta}{1-k^2}\cos\left(A(k)i\log\frac{1+\sqrt{\zeta}}{1-\sqrt{\zeta}}\right) - \frac{k^2-\eta}{1-k^2} & (0 < k < 1) \\
\frac{1-\eta}{k^2-1}\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2\kappa(t)}\int_0^{u(\zeta)/\sqrt{t}}\frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}\sqrt{1-t^2x^2}}\right) + \frac{k^2-\eta}{k^2-1} & (k > 1),
\end{cases}$$
(39)

with $A(k) = (2/\pi) \arccos(k)$, $u(\zeta) = ((\zeta - \sqrt{t})/(1 - \sqrt{t\zeta}))(0 < t < 1, \zeta \in \mathbb{D})$, where *t* is so such that $t = \cosh(\pi \kappa'(t)/4\kappa(t))$ and $\kappa(t)$ is Legendre's complete elliptic integral of the first kind and $\kappa'(t)$ the complementary integral of $\kappa(t)$.

Obviously, if k = 0, then

$$\psi_{0,\eta}(\zeta) = 1 + 2(1-\eta)\zeta + 2(1-\eta)\zeta^2 + \cdots \quad . \tag{40}$$

For *k* = 1, we have (see [40, 41])

$$\psi_{1,\eta}(\zeta) = 1 + \frac{8}{\pi^2} (1-\eta)\zeta + \frac{16}{3\pi^2} (1-\eta)\zeta^2 + \cdots \qquad (41)$$

Using the Taylor series in [1, 4], for 0 < k < 1, we have

$$\psi_{k,\eta}(\zeta) = 1 + \frac{1-\eta}{1-k^2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{l=1}^{2m} 2^l \binom{A(k)}{l} \binom{2m-1}{2m-l} \right] \zeta^m.$$
(42)

Finally, when k > 1

$$\psi_{k,\eta}(\zeta) = 1 + \frac{\pi^2(1-\eta)}{4\sqrt{t}(k^2-1)\kappa^2(t)(1+t)} \left\{ \zeta + \frac{4\kappa^2(t)(t^2+6t+1)-\pi^2}{24\sqrt{t}\kappa^2(t)(1+t)} \zeta^2 + \cdots \right\},$$
(43)

so that, denoting

$$\psi_{k,\eta}(\zeta) = 1 + L_1 \zeta + L_2 \zeta^2 + \cdots \quad (L_j = L_j(k,\eta); j = 1, 2, \cdots),$$
(44)

we get

$$L_{1} = \begin{cases} \frac{8(1-\eta)(\arccos k)^{2}}{\pi^{2}(1-k^{2})} & (0 \le k < 1), \\ \frac{8}{\pi^{2}}(1-\eta) & (k=1), \\ \frac{\pi^{2}(1-\eta)}{4\sqrt{t}(k^{2}-1)\kappa^{2}(t)(1+t)} & (k > 1). \end{cases}$$
(45)

Let $g_{k,\eta}(\zeta) = \zeta + B_2 \zeta^2 + B_3 \zeta^3 + \cdots$ be the extremal function in the class $S \mathcal{F}^{\gamma}_{q,\alpha,\beta}(k,\eta)$. Then, the relation between the extremal functions in the classes $\mathscr{P}(\psi_{k,\eta})$ and $\mathscr{ST}^{\gamma}_{q,\alpha,\beta}(k,\eta)$ is given by

$$\psi_{k,\eta}(\zeta) = \frac{\zeta D_q \left(\mathscr{H}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma} \mathcal{G}_{k,\eta}(\zeta) \right)}{\mathscr{H}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma} \mathcal{G}_{k,\eta}(\zeta)} (\zeta \in \mathbb{D}).$$
(46)

Making use of (24), (30), and (46), we obtain the following coefficient relation for $\psi_{k,n}(\zeta)$:

$$\frac{\left([m]_{q}-1\right)(q^{\gamma};q)_{m-1}}{(q;q)_{m-1}\Gamma_{q}(\alpha(m-1)+\beta)}B_{m} = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1}\frac{(q^{\gamma};q)_{i-1}}{(q;q)_{i-1}\Gamma_{q}(\alpha(i-1)+\beta)}B_{i}L_{m-i}, B_{1} = 1.$$
(47)

In particular, by a direct computation, we have

$$B_2 = \frac{\Gamma_q(\alpha + \beta)}{q[\gamma]_q \Gamma_q(\beta)} L_1, \tag{48}$$

$$B_{3} = \frac{\Gamma_{q}(2\alpha + \beta)}{q^{2}[\gamma]_{q}[\gamma + 1]_{q}\Gamma_{q}(\beta)} \left(qL_{2} + L_{1}^{2}\right).$$
(49)

Since α , β , $\gamma > 0$, 0 < q < 1 and the L'_n 's are nonnegative, it follows that the B'_n 's are nonnegative.

Theorem 3. If g given by (1) belongs to $\mathcal{ST}^{\gamma}_{q,\alpha,\beta}(k,\eta)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |b_2| \le B_2, \\ |b_3| \le B_3. \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

Proof. Let

$$\psi(\zeta) = \frac{\zeta D_q\left(\mathscr{H}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma} g(\zeta)\right)}{\mathscr{H}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma} g(\zeta)} (\zeta \in \mathbb{D}).$$
(51)

Using the relation (24) for $\psi(\zeta) = 1 + \rho_1 \zeta + \rho_2 \zeta^2 + \cdots$, we have

$$\frac{\left([m]_{q}-1\right)(q^{\gamma};q)_{m-1}}{(q;q)_{m-1}\Gamma_{q}(\alpha(m-1)+\beta)}b_{m} = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1}\frac{(q^{\gamma};q)_{i-1}}{(q;q)_{i-1}\Gamma_{q}(\alpha(i-1)+\beta)}b_{i}\rho_{m-i}, b_{1} = 1.$$
(52)

Since $\psi_{k,\eta}$ is univalent in \mathbb{D} , the function

$$p(\zeta) = \frac{1 + \psi_{k,\eta}^{-1}(\psi(\zeta))}{1 - \psi_{k,\eta}^{-1}(\psi(\zeta))} = 1 + c_1 \zeta + c_2 \zeta^2 + \cdots,$$
(53)

is analytic in \mathbb{D} and $\Re{q(\zeta)} > 0$. From

$$\psi(\zeta) = \psi_{k,\eta} \left(\frac{p(\zeta) - 1}{p(\zeta) + 1} \right) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}c_1 L_1 \zeta + \left(\frac{1}{2}c_2 L_1 + \frac{1}{4}c_1^2 (L_2 - L_1) \right) \zeta^2 + \cdots,$$
(54)

we have

$$b_{2}| = \frac{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha + \beta)}{q[\gamma]_{q}\Gamma_{q}(\beta)} |\rho_{1}| = \frac{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha + \beta)}{2q[\gamma]_{q}\Gamma_{q}(\beta)} |c_{1}L_{1}| \le \frac{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha + \beta)}{q[\gamma]_{q}\Gamma_{q}(\beta)} L_{1} = B_{2},$$
(55)

where we used the inequality $|c_n| \le 2$ and equation (48). From this relation $|\rho_1|^2 + |\rho_2| \le L_1^2 + L_2$ (see [4]) and equation (49), we have

$$\begin{split} |b_{3}| &= \frac{\Gamma_{q}(2\alpha + \beta) \left| q\rho_{2} + \rho_{1}^{2} \right|}{q^{2}[\gamma]_{q}[\gamma + 1]_{q}\Gamma_{q}(\beta)} \\ &\leq \frac{\Gamma_{q}(2\alpha + \beta) \left[q(|\rho_{2}| + |\rho_{1}|^{2}) + (1 - q)|\rho_{1}|^{2} \right]}{q^{2}[\gamma]_{q}[\gamma + 1]_{q}\Gamma_{q}(\beta)} \\ &\leq \frac{\Gamma_{q}(2\alpha + \beta) \left[q(L_{2} + L_{1}^{2}) + (1 - q)L_{1}^{2} \right]}{q^{2}[\gamma]_{q}[\gamma + 1]_{q}\Gamma_{q}(\beta)} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma_{q}(2\alpha + \beta) \left[qL_{2} + L_{1}^{2} \right]}{q^{2}[\gamma]_{q}[\gamma + 1]_{q}\Gamma_{q}(\beta)} = A3. \end{split}$$

$$(56)$$

So, Theorem 3 has been proven.

Theorem 4. If g given by (1) belongs to $S\mathcal{T}^{\gamma}_{q,\alpha,\beta}(k,\eta)$, then

$$|b_{m}| \leq \frac{\left(\prod_{j=0}^{m-2} \left(L_{1} + q[j]_{q}\right)\right) \Gamma_{q}((m-1)\alpha + \beta)}{q^{m-1} \left(\prod_{j=0}^{m-2} [\gamma+j]_{q}\right) \Gamma_{q}(\beta)} (m \geq 2).$$
(57)

Proof. The result is clearly true for m = 2. Let *m* be an integer with $m \ge 2$, and assume that the inequality is true for all $i \le m - 1$. Making use of (47), we have

$$\begin{split} |b_{m}| &= \frac{(q;q)_{m-1}\Gamma_{q}(\alpha(m-1)+\beta)}{\left([m]_{q}-1\right)(q^{\gamma};q)_{m-1}\Gamma_{q}(\beta)} \left| \mathbf{Q}_{m-1} + \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} \frac{(q^{\gamma};q)_{i-1}\Gamma_{q}(\beta)}{(q;q)_{i-1}\Gamma_{q}(\alpha(i-1)+\beta)} b_{i}\mathbf{Q}_{m-i} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha(m-1)+\beta)\left[m-2\right]_{q}!}{q\Gamma_{q}(\beta)\left(\prod_{j=0}^{m-2}[\gamma+j]_{q}\right)} \left[L_{1} + \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} \frac{\left(\prod_{j=0}^{i-2}[\gamma+j]_{q}\right)\Gamma_{q}(\beta)}{(i-1)_{q}!\Gamma_{q}(\alpha(i-1)+\beta)} |b_{i}|L_{1} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha(m-1)+\beta)[m-2]_{q}!}{q\Gamma_{q}(\beta)\left(\prod_{j=0}^{m-2}[\gamma+j]_{q}\right)} L_{1} \\ &\cdot \left[1 + \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} \frac{\left(\prod_{j=0}^{i-2}[\gamma+j]_{q}\right)\Gamma_{q}(\beta)}{(i-1)_{q}!\Gamma_{q}(\alpha(i-1)+\beta)} \frac{\left(\prod_{j=0}^{i-2}\left(L_{1}+q[j]_{q}\right)\right)\Gamma_{q}((i-1)\alpha+\beta)}{q^{i-1}\left(\prod_{j=0}^{i-2}[\gamma+j]_{q}\right)\Gamma_{q}(\beta)} \right], \end{split}$$
(58)

where we applied the induction hypothesis to $|b_m|$ and the Rogosinski result $|\varrho_2| \le L_1$ (see [42]). Therefore,

$$|b_{m}| \leq \frac{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha(m-1)+\beta)[m-2]_{q}!}{q\Gamma_{q}(\beta)\prod_{j=0}^{m-2}[\gamma+j]_{q}}L_{1}\left[1+\sum_{i=2}^{m-1}\frac{\left(\prod_{j=0}^{i-2}\left(L_{1}+q[j]_{q}\right)\right)}{q^{i-1}[i-1]_{q}!}\right].$$
(59)

Applying the principle of mathematical induction, we find that

$$1 + \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} \frac{\left(\prod_{j=0}^{i-2} \left(L_1 + q[j]_q\right)\right)}{q^{i-1}[i-1]_q!} = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m-2} \left(L_1 + q[j]_q\right)}{q^{m-2}[m-2]_q!}, \quad (60)$$

from which the inequality (57) follows.

Similarly, we can prove the following.

Theorem 5. If g of the form (1) belongs to the class \mathcal{UC} $\mathcal{V}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}(k,\eta)$, then

$$\begin{split} |b_2| &\leq \frac{B_2}{[2]_q}, \\ |b_3| &\leq \frac{B_3}{[2]_q}. \end{split} \tag{61}$$

Theorem 6. If g of the form (1) belongs to the class \mathcal{UC} $\mathcal{V}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}(k,\eta)$, then

$$|b_{m}| \leq \frac{\left(\prod_{j=0}^{m-2} \left(L_{1} + q[j]_{q}\right)\right) \Gamma_{q}((m-1)\alpha + \beta)}{q^{m-1}[m]_{q} \left(\prod_{j=0}^{m-2} [\gamma+j]_{q}\right) \Gamma_{q}(\beta)} (m \geq 2).$$
(62)

Theorem 7. Let $g \in \mathbb{A}$ be given by (1). If the inequality

$$\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left[(k+1)[m]_q - k - \eta \right] \frac{(q^{\gamma};q)_{m-1} \Gamma_q(\beta)}{(q;q)_{m-1} \Gamma_q(\alpha(m-1) + \beta)} |b_m| < 1 - \eta,$$
(63)

holds true, then $g \in \mathcal{ST}^{\gamma}_{q,\alpha,\beta}(k,\eta)$.

Proof. Making use of the definition (30) it suffices to prove that

$$k \left| \frac{\zeta D_q \left(\mathcal{H}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma} g(\zeta) \right)}{\mathcal{H}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma} g(\zeta)} - 1 \right| - \Re \left\{ \frac{\zeta D_q \left(\mathcal{H}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma} g(\zeta) \right)}{\mathcal{H}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma} g(\zeta)} - 1 \right\} < 1 - \eta.$$

$$\tag{64}$$

Observe that

$$k \left| \frac{\zeta D_{q} \left(\mathscr{H}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma} g(\zeta) \right)}{\mathscr{H}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma} g(\zeta)} - 1 \right| - \Re \left\{ \frac{\zeta D_{q} \left(\mathscr{H}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma} g(\zeta) \right)}{\mathscr{H}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma} g(\zeta)} - 1 \right\} < (k+1) \left| \frac{\zeta D_{q} \left(\mathscr{H}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma} g(\zeta) \right)}{\mathscr{H}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma} g(\zeta)} - 1 \right|$$

$$= (k+1) \left| \frac{\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left([m]_{q} - 1 \right) \left((q^{\gamma}; q)_{m-1} \Gamma_{q}(\beta) / (q; q)_{m-1} \Gamma_{q}(\alpha(m-1) + \beta) \right) b_{m} \zeta^{m-1}}{1 + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left((q^{\gamma}; q)_{m-1} \Gamma_{q}(\beta) / (q; q)_{m-1} \Gamma_{q}(\alpha(m-1) + \beta) \right) b_{m} \zeta^{m-1}} \right|$$

$$\leq (k+1) \frac{\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left([m]_{q} - 1 \right) \left((q^{\gamma}; q)_{m-1} \Gamma_{q}(\beta) / (q; q)_{m-1} \Gamma_{q}(\alpha(m-1) + \beta) \right) |b_{m}|}{1 - \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left((q^{\gamma}; q)_{m-1} \Gamma_{q}(\beta) / (q; q)_{m-1} \Gamma_{q}(\alpha(m-1) + \beta) \right) |b_{m}|}.$$
(65)

The last expression is bounded by $1 - \eta$ if inequality (63) holds.

Similarly, we can prove the following.

Theorem 8. Let $g \in \mathbb{A}$ be given by (1). If the inequality

$$\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} [m]_{q} \Big[(k+1)[m]_{q} - k - \eta \Big] \frac{(q^{\gamma};q)_{m-1} \Gamma_{q}(\beta)}{(q;q)_{m-1} \Gamma_{q}(\alpha(m-1) + \beta)} |b_{m}| < 1 - \eta,$$
(66)

holds true, then $g \in \mathcal{UCV}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}(k,\eta)$.

Now, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 9 (see [38]). If $\varphi(\zeta) = 1 + \varkappa_1 \zeta + \varkappa_2 \zeta^2 + \cdots$ is a function with positive real part in \mathbb{D} and ν is a complex number, then

$$|\kappa_2 - \nu \kappa_1^2| \le 2\max\{1, |2\nu - 1|\}.$$
 (67)

The result is sharp for the functions given by $\varphi(\zeta) = (1+\zeta^2)/(1-\zeta^2)$ or $\varphi(\zeta) = (1+\zeta)/(1-\zeta)$.

Lemma 10 (see [38]). If $\varphi(\zeta) = 1 + \varkappa_1 \zeta + \varkappa_2 \zeta^2 + \cdots$ is an analytic function with a positive real part in \mathbb{D} , then

$$|\varkappa_{2} - \nu \varkappa_{1}^{2}| \leq \begin{cases} -4\nu + 2 & \text{if } \nu \leq 0, \\ 2 & \text{if } 0 \leq \nu \leq 1, \\ 4\nu - 2 & \text{if } \nu \geq 1, \end{cases}$$
(68)

when v < 0 or v > 1, the equality holds if and only if $\varphi(\zeta) = (1 + \zeta)/(1 - \zeta)$ or one of its rotations. If 0 < v < 1, then the equality holds if and only if $\varphi(\zeta) = (1 + \zeta^2)/(1 - \zeta^2)$ or one of its rotations. If v = 0, the equality holds if and only if

$$\varphi(\zeta) = \left(\frac{1+\lambda}{2}\right)\frac{1+\zeta}{1-\zeta} + \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{2}\right)\frac{1-\zeta}{1+\zeta} (0 \le \lambda \le 1), \quad (69)$$

or one of its rotations. If v = 1, the equality holds if and only if φ is the reciprocal of one of the functions such that equality holds in the case of v = 0.

Also, the above upper bound is sharp, and it can be improved as follows when $0 < \nu < 1$:

$$|\varkappa_{2} - \nu \varkappa_{1}^{2}| + \nu |\varkappa_{1}|^{2} \leq 2\left(0 \leq \nu \leq \frac{1}{2}\right),$$

$$|\varkappa_{2} - \nu \varkappa_{1}^{2}| + (1 - \nu)|\varkappa_{1}|^{2} \leq 2\left(\frac{1}{2} \leq \nu \leq 1\right).$$
(70)

Theorem 11. If g given by (1) belongs to $\mathcal{ST}^{\gamma}_{q,\alpha,\beta}(k,\eta)$, then

$$\begin{split} \left| b_{3} - \mu b_{2}^{2} \right| &\leq \frac{\Gamma_{q}(2\alpha + \beta)L_{1}}{q[\gamma]_{q}[\gamma + 1]_{q}\Gamma_{q}(\beta)} \max \\ &\cdot \left\{ 1 ; \left| \frac{L_{2}}{L_{1}} + \frac{L_{1}}{q} \left(1 - \frac{[\gamma + 1]_{q}\Gamma_{q}^{2}(\alpha + \beta)\mu}{[\gamma]_{q}\Gamma_{q}(\beta)\Gamma_{q}(2\alpha + \beta)} \right) \right| \right\}. \end{split}$$

$$(71)$$

Proof. If $f \in \mathcal{ST}^{\gamma}_{q,\alpha,\beta}(k,\eta)$, we have

$$\frac{\zeta D_q\left(\mathscr{H}^{\gamma}_{q,\alpha,\beta}g(\zeta)\right)}{\mathscr{H}^{\gamma}_{q,\alpha,\beta}g(\zeta)} \prec \psi_{k,\eta}(\zeta),\tag{72}$$

where $\psi_{k,q}(z)$ is given by (39). From the definition of subordination, we have

$$\frac{\zeta D_q\left(\mathscr{H}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}g(\zeta)\right)}{\mathscr{H}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}g(\zeta)} = \psi_{k,\eta}(w(\zeta))(z \in \mathbb{D}), \tag{73}$$

where $w(\zeta)$ is a Schwarz function with w(0) = 0 and $|w(\zeta)| < 1$. Let $h(\zeta)$ be a function with positive real part in \mathbb{D} defined by

$$h(z) = \frac{1 + w(\zeta)}{1 - w(\zeta)} = 1 + \varkappa_1 \zeta + \varkappa_2 \zeta^2 + \dots (\zeta \in \mathbb{D}).$$
(74)

This gives

$$w(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2}\varkappa_1 \zeta + \frac{1}{2} \left(\varkappa_2 - \frac{\varkappa_1^2}{2}\right) \zeta^2 + \cdots,$$
(75)

$$\psi_{k,\eta}(w(\zeta)) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}\varkappa_1 L_1 \zeta + \left(\frac{1}{2}\varkappa_2 L_1 + \frac{1}{4}\varkappa_1^2 (L_2 - L_1)\right)\zeta^2 + \cdots.$$
(76)

Using (76) in (73), we obtain

$$b_{2} = \frac{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha + \beta)}{2q[\gamma]_{q}\Gamma_{q}(\beta)}\varkappa_{1}L_{1},$$

$$b_{3} = \frac{\Gamma_{q}(2\alpha + \beta)}{2q[\gamma]_{q}[\gamma + 1]_{q}\Gamma_{q}(\beta)}\left[\varkappa_{2}L_{1} + \frac{\varkappa_{1}^{2}(L_{2} - L_{1})}{2} + \frac{\varkappa_{1}^{2}L_{1}^{2}}{2q}\right].$$
(77)

For any complex number μ , we have

$$b_{3} - \mu b_{2}^{2} = \frac{\Gamma_{q}(2\alpha + \beta)L_{1}}{2q[\gamma]_{q}[\gamma + 1]_{q}\Gamma_{q}(\beta)} \left\{\varkappa_{2} - \nu c_{1}^{2}\right\},$$
(78)

where

$$\nu = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{L_2}{L_1} - \frac{L_1}{q} \left(1 - \mu \frac{[\gamma + 1]_q \Gamma_q^2(\alpha + \beta)}{[\gamma]_q \Gamma_q(\beta) \Gamma_q(2\alpha + \beta)} \right) \right].$$
(79)

Our result now follows by an application of Lemma 9. This completes the proof of Theorem 11. $\hfill \Box$

Example 1. Taking $q \rightarrow 1^-$, $\alpha = k = 0$, and $\gamma = 1$ in Theorem 11, we obtain the following result:

If g given by (1) satisfies the following inequality

$$\Re\left\{\frac{\zeta g'(\zeta)}{g(\zeta)}\right\} > \eta, \tag{80}$$

then

$$|b_3 - \mu b_2^2| \le (1 - \eta) \max\{1; |1 + 2(1 - \eta)(1 - 2\mu)|\}.$$
 (81)

Similarly, we can prove the following theorem for the subclass $\mathscr{UCV}^{\gamma}_{q,\alpha,\beta}(k,\eta)$.

Theorem 12. If g given by (1) belongs to $\mathcal{UCV}^{\gamma}_{q,\alpha,\beta}(k,\eta)$, then

$$\begin{split} \left| b_{3} - \mu b_{2}^{2} \right| &\leq \frac{\Gamma_{q}(2\alpha + \beta)L_{1}}{q[3]_{q}[\gamma]_{q}[\gamma + 1]_{q}\Gamma_{q}(\beta)} \max \\ &\cdot \left\{ 1 ; \left| \frac{L_{2}}{L_{1}} + \frac{L_{1}}{q} \left(1 - \frac{[3]_{q}[\gamma + 1]_{q}\Gamma_{q}^{2}(\alpha + \beta)\mu}{\left([2]_{q} \right)^{2}[\gamma]_{q}\Gamma_{q}(\beta)\Gamma_{q}(2\alpha + \beta)} \right) \right| \right\}. \end{split}$$

$$(82)$$

Example 2. Taking $q \rightarrow 1^-$, $\alpha = k = 0$, and $\gamma = 1$ in Theorem 12, we get the following result:

If g given by (1) satisfies the following inequality

$$\Re\left\{1+\frac{\zeta g^{\prime\prime}(\zeta)}{g^{\prime}(\zeta)}\right\} > \eta, \tag{83}$$

then

$$|b_3 - \mu b_2^2| \le \frac{(1 - \eta)}{3} \max\left\{1; \left|1 + 2(1 - \eta)\left(1 - \frac{3}{2}\mu\right)\right|\right\}.$$

(84)

Theorem 13. Let

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{1} &= \frac{[\gamma]_{q}\Gamma_{q}(\beta)\Gamma_{q}(2\alpha+\beta)\left[L_{1}^{2}+q(L_{2}-L_{1})\right]}{[\gamma+1]_{q}\Gamma_{q}^{2}(\alpha+\beta)L_{1}^{2}},\\ \sigma_{2} &= \frac{[\gamma]_{q}\Gamma_{q}(\beta)\Gamma_{q}(2\alpha+\beta)\left[L_{1}^{2}+q(L_{2}+L_{1})\right]}{[\gamma+1]_{q}\Gamma_{q}^{2}(\alpha+\beta)L_{1}^{2}},\\ \sigma_{3} &= \frac{[\gamma]_{q}\Gamma_{q}(\beta)\Gamma_{q}(2\alpha+\beta)\left[L_{1}^{2}+qL_{2}\right]}{[\gamma+1]_{q}\Gamma_{q}^{2}(\alpha+\beta)L_{1}^{2}}. \end{split} \tag{85}$$

If g given by (1) belongs to the class $\mathcal{ST}^{\gamma}_{q,\alpha,\beta}(k,\eta)$, then

$$\begin{split} \left| b_{3} - \mu b_{2}^{2} \right| &\leq \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma_{q}(2\alpha + \beta)}{q[\gamma]_{q}[\gamma + 1]_{q}\Gamma_{q}(\beta)} \left[L_{2} + \frac{L_{1}^{2}}{q} \left(1 - \frac{[\gamma + 1]_{q}\Gamma_{q}^{2}(\alpha + \beta)\mu}{[\gamma]_{q}\Gamma_{q}(\beta)\Gamma_{q}(2\alpha + \beta)} \right) \right] & (\mu \leq \sigma_{1}), \\ \frac{\Gamma_{q}(2\alpha + \beta)L_{1}}{q[\gamma]_{q}[\gamma + 1]_{q}\Gamma_{q}(\beta)} & (\sigma_{1} \leq \mu \leq \sigma_{2}), \\ \frac{\Gamma_{q}(2\alpha + \beta)}{q[\gamma]_{q}[\gamma + 1]_{q}\Gamma_{q}(\beta)} \left[L_{2} + \frac{L_{1}^{2}}{q} \left(1 - \frac{[3]_{q}[\gamma + 1]_{q}\Gamma_{q}^{2}(\alpha + \beta)\mu}{[\gamma]_{q}\Gamma_{q}(\beta)\Gamma_{q}(2\alpha + \beta)} \right) \right] & (\mu \geq \sigma_{2}). \end{split}$$

$$(86)$$

Further, if $\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_3$ *, then*

$$\begin{split} \left| b_{3} - \mu b_{2}^{2} \right| &+ \frac{q[\gamma]_{q} \Gamma_{q}(\beta) \Gamma_{q}(2\alpha + \beta)}{[\gamma + 1]_{q} \Gamma_{q}^{2}(\alpha + \beta) L_{1}^{2}} \\ &\cdot \left[L_{1} - L_{2} - \frac{L_{1}^{2}}{q} \left(1 - \frac{[\gamma + 1]_{q} \Gamma_{q}^{2}(\alpha + \beta) \mu}{[\gamma]_{q} \Gamma_{q}(\beta) \Gamma_{q}(2\alpha + \beta)} \right) \right] |b_{2}|^{2} \\ &\leq \frac{\Gamma_{q}(2\alpha + \beta) L_{1}}{q[\gamma]_{q} [\gamma + 1]_{q} \Gamma_{q}(\beta)}. \end{split}$$

$$(87)$$

If $\sigma_3 \le \mu \le \sigma_2$, *then*

$$\begin{split} \left| b_{3} - \mu b_{2}^{2} \right| &+ \frac{q[\gamma]_{q} \Gamma_{q}(\beta) \Gamma_{q}(2\alpha + \beta)}{[\gamma + 1]_{q} \Gamma_{q}^{2}(\alpha + \beta) L_{1}^{2}} \\ &\cdot \left[L_{1} + L_{2} + \frac{L_{1}^{2}}{q} \left(1 - \frac{[\gamma + 1]_{q} \Gamma_{q}^{2}(\alpha + \beta) \mu}{[\gamma]_{q} \Gamma_{q}(\beta) \Gamma_{q}(2\alpha + \beta)} \right) \right] \left| b_{2} \right|^{2} \\ &\leq \frac{\Gamma_{q}(2\alpha + \beta) L_{1}}{q[\gamma]_{q}[\gamma + 1]_{q} \Gamma_{q}(\beta)}. \end{split}$$

Proof. Applying Lemma 10 to (78) and (79), we can obtain our results asserted by Theorem 13.

Similarly, we can prove the following theorem for the class $\mathscr{UCV}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}(k,\eta)$.

Theorem 14. Let

$$\sigma_{4} = \frac{\left([2]_{q}\right)^{2} [\gamma]_{q} \Gamma_{q}(\beta) \Gamma_{q}(2\alpha + \beta) \left[L_{1}^{2} + q(L_{2} - L_{1})\right]}{[3]_{q} [\gamma + 1]_{q} \Gamma_{q}^{2}(\alpha + \beta) L_{1}^{2}},$$

$$\sigma_{5} = \frac{\left([2]_{q}\right)^{2} [\gamma]_{q} \Gamma_{q}(\beta) \Gamma_{q}(2\alpha + \beta) \left[L_{1}^{2} + q(L_{2} + L_{1})\right]}{[3]_{q} [\gamma + 1]_{q} \Gamma_{q}^{2}(\alpha + \beta) L_{1}^{2}},$$

$$\sigma_{6} = \frac{\left([2]_{q}\right)^{2} [\gamma]_{q} \Gamma_{q}(\beta) \Gamma_{q}(2\alpha + \beta) \left[L_{1}^{2} + qL_{2}\right]}{[3]_{q} [\gamma + 1]_{q} \Gamma_{q}^{2}(\alpha + \beta) L_{1}^{2}}.$$
(89)

If g given by (1) belongs to the class $\mathscr{UCV}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}(k,\eta)$, then

(88)

$$\left|b_{3}-\mu b_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma_{q}(2\alpha+\beta)L_{1}}{q[3]_{q}[\gamma]_{q}[\gamma+1]_{q}\Gamma_{q}(\beta)} \left[\frac{L_{2}}{L_{1}}+\frac{L_{1}}{q}\left(1-\frac{[3]_{q}[\gamma+1]_{q}\Gamma_{q}^{2}(\alpha+\beta)\mu}{\left([2]_{q}\right)^{2}[\gamma]_{q}\Gamma_{q}(\beta)\Gamma_{q}(2\alpha+\beta)}\right)\right] & (\mu \leq \sigma_{4}), \\ \frac{\Gamma_{q}(2\alpha+\beta)L_{1}}{q[3]_{q}[\gamma]_{q}[\gamma+1]_{q}\Gamma_{q}(\beta)} & (\sigma_{4} \leq \mu \leq \sigma_{5}), \\ \frac{\Gamma_{q}(2\alpha+\beta)L_{1}}{q[3]_{q}[\gamma]_{q}[\gamma+1]_{q}\Gamma_{q}(\beta)} \left[\frac{L_{2}}{L_{1}}+\frac{L_{1}}{q}\left(1-\frac{[3]_{q}[\gamma+1]_{q}\Gamma_{q}^{2}(\alpha+\beta)\mu}{\left([2]_{q}\right)^{2}[\gamma]_{q}\Gamma_{q}(\beta)\Gamma_{q}(2\alpha+\beta)}\right)\right] & (\mu \geq \sigma_{5}). \end{cases}$$
(90)

Further, if $\sigma_4 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_6$ *, then*

$$b_{3} - \mu b_{2}^{2} \left| + \frac{q\left([2]_{q}\right)^{2} [\gamma]_{q} \Gamma_{q}(\beta) \Gamma_{q}(2\alpha + \beta)}{[3]_{q} [\gamma + 1]_{q} \Gamma_{q}^{2}(\alpha + \beta) L_{1}^{2}} \\ \cdot \left[L_{1} - L_{2} - \frac{L_{1}^{2}}{q} \left(1 - \frac{[3]_{q} [\gamma + 1]_{q} \Gamma_{q}^{2}(\alpha + \beta) \mu}{\left([2]_{q}\right)^{2} [\gamma]_{q} \Gamma_{q}(\beta) \Gamma_{q}(2\alpha + \beta)} \right) \right] |b_{2}|^{2} \\ \leq \frac{\Gamma_{q}(2\alpha + \beta) L_{1}}{q [3]_{q} [\gamma]_{q} [\gamma + 1]_{q} \Gamma_{q}(\beta)}.$$
(91)

If $\sigma_6 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_5$, then

$$\begin{split} |b_{3} - \mu b_{2}^{2}| &+ \frac{q\Big([2]_{q}\Big)^{2}[\gamma]_{q}\Gamma_{q}(\beta)\Gamma_{q}(2\alpha + \beta)}{[3]_{q}[\gamma + 1]_{q}\Gamma_{q}^{2}(\alpha + \beta)L_{1}^{2}} \\ &\cdot \left[L_{1} + L_{2} + \frac{L_{1}^{2}}{q}\left(1 - \frac{[3]_{q}[\gamma + 1]_{q}\Gamma_{q}^{2}(\alpha + \beta)\mu}{\Big([2]_{q}\Big)^{2}[\gamma]_{q}\Gamma_{q}(\beta)\Gamma_{q}(2\alpha + \beta)}\right)\right] |b_{2}|^{2} \\ &\leq \frac{\Gamma_{q}(2\alpha + \beta)L_{1}}{q\,[3]_{q}[\gamma]_{q}[\gamma + 1]_{q}\Gamma_{q}(\beta)}. \end{split}$$
(92)

Remark 15. Putting $q \longrightarrow 1^-$ in the above results, we obtain the corresponding results for the classes $\mathscr{ST}^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(k,\eta)$ and $\mathscr{UCV}^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(k,\eta)$.

3. Conclusion

By using the concept of the basic (or *q*-) calculus, we have introduced two subclasses $S\mathcal{T}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}(k,\eta)$ and $\mathscr{UCV}_{q,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}(k,\eta)$ of normalized univalent functions which map the open unit disk $\mathbb{D} = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : |\zeta| < 1\}$ onto the generalized conic domain. We have obtained a number of important properties including the coefficient estimates, sufficient conditions, and the Fekete-Szegö inequalities for each of these classes. Our results are connected with those in earlier works which are related to the field of geometric function theory.

Data Availability

No data were used to support this study.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Authors' Contributions

The authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

This research has been funded by the Scientific Research Deanship at University of Ha'il, Saudi Arabia, through project number RG-21050.

References

- S. Kanas, A. Wisniowska, and A. Wis'niowska, "Conic regions and k-uniform convexity," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 105, no. 1-2, pp. 327–336, 1999.
- [2] S. Kanas and A. Wis'niowska, "Conic regions and k-starlike functions," *Revue Roumaine de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, vol. 45, pp. 647–657, 2000.
- [3] A. A. Attiya, E. E. Ali, T. S. Hassan, and A. M. Albalahi, "On some relationships of certain k-uniformly analytic functions associated with Mittag-Leffler function," *J. Funct. Spaces*, vol. 2021, pp. 1–7, 2021.
- [4] S. Kanas and A. Wisniowska, "Conic regions and k-uniform convexity. II, Zeszyty Nauk," *Politech. Rzeszowskiej Mat.*, vol. 170, pp. 65–78, 1998.
- [5] S. Kanas, "Alternative characterization of the class k *UCV* and related classes of univalent functions," *Serdica*, vol. 25, pp. 341–350, 1999.
- [6] S. Kanas and H. M. Srivastava, "Linear operators associated with k-uniformly convex functions," *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 121–132, 2000.
- [7] S. Kanas, "Integral operators in classes k-uniformly convex and k-starlike functions," *Mathematica*, vol. 43, pp. 77–87, 2001.
- [8] C. Ramachandran, S. Annamalai, and S. Sivasubramanian, "Inclusion relations for Bessel functions for domains bounded

by conical domains," *Adv. Difference Equ.*, vol. 288, pp. 1–12, 2014.

- [9] A. W. Goodman, "On uniformly convex functions," Ann. Polon. Math., vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 87–92, 1991.
- [10] S. Kanas and D. Raducanu, "Some class of analytic functions related to conic domains," *Mathematica Slovaca*, vol. 64, no. 5, pp. 1183–1196, 2014.
- [11] R. Aghalari and S. R. Kulkarni, "Certain properties of parabolic starlike and convex functions of order *ρ*," *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.*, vol. 26, pp. 153–162, 2003.
- [12] S. Kanas, "Coefficient estimates in subclass of the Carathéodory class related to conical domains," *Acta Math. Univ. Comenian.* (N.S.), vol. 74, pp. 149–161, 2005.
- [13] F. Rønning, "On starlike functions associated with parabolic regions," Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skodowska Sect., vol. 45, pp. 117–122, 1991.
- [14] M. H. Abu-Risha, M. H. Annaby, M. E. H. Ismail, and Z. S. Mansour, "Linear q-difference equations," *Z. Anal. Anwend.*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 481–494, 2007.
- [15] R. P. Agarwal, O. Bazighifan, and M. A. Ragusa, "Nonlinear neutral delay differential equations of fourth-order: oscillation of solutions," *Entropy*, vol. 23, no. 2, p. 10, 2021.
- [16] D. Albayrak, S. D. Purohit, and F. Uçar, "On q-analogues of Sumudu transforms," An. Științ. Univ. "Ovidius" Constanța Ser. Mat., vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 239–260, 2013.
- [17] D. Albayrak, S. D. Purohit, and F. Uçar, "On q-Sumudu transforms of certain q-polynomials," *Univerzitet u Nišu*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 411–427, 2013.
- [18] N. Alessa, B. Venkateswarlu, K. Loganathan, P. Thirupathi Reddy, A. Shashikala, and N. Namgyel, "Study on certain subclass of analytic functions involving Mittag-Leffler function," *J. Funct. Spaces*, vol. 2021, pp. 1–9, 2021.
- [19] G. Bangerezako, "Variational calculus on q-non uniform lattices," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 306, no. 1, pp. 161–179, 2005.
- [20] S. Horrigue and S. M. Madian, "Some inclusion relationships of meromorphic functions associated to new generalization of Mittag Leffler function," *Univerzitet u Nišu*, vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 1545–1556, 2020.
- [21] V. G. Kac and P. Cheung, *Quantum Calculus*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.
- [22] Z. S. I. Mansour, "Linear sequential q-difference equations of fractional order," *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 159–178, 2009.
- [23] P. M. Rajkovic, S. D. Marinkovic, and M. S. Stankovic, "Fractional integrals and derivatives in q-calculus," *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 311–323, 2007.
- [24] M. K. Aouf and T. M. Seoudy, "Convolution properties for classes of bounded analytic functions with complex order defined by q - derivative operator," *Rev. Real Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A-Mat.*, vol. 113, no. 2, pp. 1279–1288, 2019.
- [25] M. K. Aouf and T. M. Seoudy, "Fekete–Szegö problem for certain subclass of analytic functions with complex order defined by q-analogue of Ruscheweyh operator," *Constructive Math. Anal.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 36–44, 2020.
- [26] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, *Basic Hypergeometric Series*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [27] T. M. Seoudy and M. K. Aouf, "Convolution properties for certain classes of analytic functions defined by -derivative opera-

tor," Abstract and Applied Analysis, vol. 2014, Article ID 846719, 7 pages, 2014.

- [28] T. M. Seoudy and M. K. Aouf, "Coefficient estimates of new classes of q-starlike and q-convex functions of complex order," *J. Math. Inequal.*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 135–145, 2016.
- [29] H. M. Srivastava, T. M. Seoudy, and M. K. Aouf, "A generalized conic domain and its applications to certain subclasses of multivalent functions associated with the basic (or q-) calculus," *AIMS Math.*, vol. 6, no. 6, pp. 6580–6602, 2021.
- [30] S. K. Sharma and R. Jain, "On some properties of generalized q -Mittag Leffler function," *Math. Aeterna*, vol. 4, pp. 613–619, 2014.
- [31] A. A. Attiya, "Some applications of Mittag-Leffler function in the unit disk," Universitet u Nišu, vol. 30, no. 7, pp. 2075– 2081, 2016.
- [32] A. A. Attiya, M. K. Aouf, E. E. Ali, and M. F. Yassen, "Differential subordination and superordination results associated with Mittag–Leffler function," *Mathematics*, vol. 9, no. 3, p. 226, 2021.
- [33] M. F. Yassen, A. A. Attiya, and P. Agarwal, "Subordination and superordination properties for certain family of analytic functions associated with Mittag–Leffler function," *Symmetry*, vol. 12, no. 10, p. 1724, 2020.
- [34] T. R. Prabhakar, "A singular integral equation with a generalized Mittag-Leffler function in the kernel," *Yokohoma Math. J.*, vol. 19, pp. 7–15, 1971.
- [35] M. K. Aouf and T. M. Seoudy, "Subclasses of p-valent functions involving a new operator containing the generalized Mittag-Leffler function," *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 1–19, 2018.
- [36] M. K. Aouf and T. M. Seoudy, "Some preserving sandwich results of certain operator containing a generalized Mittag-Leffler function," *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex.*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 577– 588, 2019.
- [37] S. Kanas and T. Yaguchi, "Subclasses of k-uniformly convex functions and starlike functions defined by generalized derivative. I," *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 32, pp. 1275–1282, 2001.
- [38] W. Ma and D. Minda, "A Unified Treatment of Some Special Classes of Univalent Functions," in *Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis (Tianjin, 1992)*, pp. 157–169, Conf. Proc. Lecture Notes Anal., Vol. 1, Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1994.
- [39] A. K. Mishra and P. Gochhayat, "A coefficient inequality for a subclass of the Caratheodory functions defined using conical domains," *Computers & Mathematcs with Applications*, vol. 61, no. 9, pp. 2816–2820, 2011.
- [40] W. Ma and D. Minda, "Uniformly convex functions," Ann. Polon. Math., vol. 57, no. 2, pp. 165–175, 1992.
- [41] F. Rønning, "Uniformly convex functions and a corresponding class of starlike functions," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 118, no. 1, pp. 189–196, 1993.
- [42] W. Rogosinski, "On the coefficients of subordinate functions," *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 48, pp. 48–82, 1943.