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For applied scientists and engineers, graph theory is a strong and vital tool for evaluating and inventing solutions for a variety of issues. Graph theory is extremely important in complex systems, particularly in computer science. Many scientific areas use graph theory, including biological sciences, engineering, coding, and operational research. A strategy for the orthogonal labelling of a bipartite graph \( G \) with \( n \) edges has been proposed in the literature, yielding cyclic decompositions of balanced complete bipartite graphs \( K_{n,n} \) by the graph \( G \). A generalization to circulant-balanced complete multipartite graphs \( K_{n,n,\ldots,n} \) is our objective here. In this paper, we expand the orthogonal labelling approach used to generate cyclic decompositions for \( K_{n,n} \) to a generalized orthogonal labelling approach that may be used for decomposing \( K_{n,n,\ldots,n} \). We can decompose \( K_{n,n,\ldots,n} \) into distinct graph classes based on the proposed generalized orthogonal labelling approach.

1. Introduction

As is well known, discrete mathematics is a field of mathematics that deals with countable processes and components. One of the most significant and intriguing disciplines in discrete mathematics is graph theory [1–3]. Graph theory is the study of structural models called graphs, which are made up of a collection of vertices and edges. Graph theory is extremely important in complex systems, particularly in computer science. Many scientific areas use graph theory, including engineering, coding [4, 5], operational research, biological sciences, and management sciences. For applied scientists and engineers, graph theory is a strong and vital science for evaluating and inventing solutions for a variety of issues. Graphs have recently been utilized as structural models for characterizing World Wide Web connections and the number of links necessary to move between web pages [6].

Circulant graphs are a significant category of graphs [7–10]. Circulant graphs have gained a lot of attention in recent decades. The circulant graphs class includes complete graphs and classic rings topologies. The algebraic properties of circulant graphs have been studied in thousands of publications. Circulant graphs have been handled in a variety of graph applications, including wide area communication graphs, local area computer graphs, parallel processing architectures, very large-scale integrated circuit design, and distributed computing [11–13].

Several traditional parallel and distributed systems were built on the foundation of circulant graphs [14–16]. Circulant graphs have a wide range of practical uses, such as a structure in chemical reaction models [17], multiprocessor cluster
systems [18], small-world graph models [19], discrete cellular neural graphs [20], and as a basic structure for optical graphs [21], and so on.

The study of circulant graphs, including their characterization, analysis, and applications, is currently a popular issue in research. Several papers have been published that deal with graph decompositions by simpler graphs [22–24]. Decompositions of circulant graphs have several excellent contributions. For Cayley graphs labelled with Abelian groups, the Hamilton decomposition was investigated in [25]. The circulant graph is a particular case of the Cayley graph. It has been demonstrated that two Hamilton cycles may be used to decompose four-regular connected Cayley graphs [26].

For a certain recursive circulant graph, the Hamilton decompositions have been proven [27]. Every circulant graph has a corresponding circulant matrix [28]. Excellent descriptions of circulant matrices have been published in [28].

Definition 1. A circulant-balanced complete multipartite graph \( K_{n,n,\ldots,n} \) is a simple graph having \( mn = \sum_{i=1}^{m} n \) vertices. The vertices of \( K_{n,n,\ldots,n} \) are divided into \( m \) partitions of cardinality \( n \); two vertices are said to be adjacent if they are found in two different partitions. The graph \( K_{n,n,\ldots,n} \) has a degree equal to \( (m-1)n \). The circulant graph \( K_{n,n,\ldots,n} \) can be divided into \( \delta K_{n,n,\ldots,n} = \left( \begin{array}{c} m \\ 2 \end{array} \right) \).

Definition 2. A caterpillar graph \( C_n(a_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n) \) is a tree formed by the path \( P_n = y_1y_2 \cdots y_n \) by linking a vertex \( y_i \) to \( b_i \) new vertices where \( a \geq 1, b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n \) are integers greater than zero, \( b_1, b_2 \geq 1 \) and \( b_i \geq 0 \) for \( i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, a - 1\} \).

El-Mesady et al. have proposed an orthogonal labelling approach to decompose a certain circulant graph class with \( 2n \) vertices and \( n \) degree [29]. Circulant-balanced complete bipartite graphs are the name for this type of graph which is denoted by \( K_{n,n} \). In cognitive radio graphs and cloud computing, bipartite circulant graphs can address a variety of challenges. For a good survey on several decompositions of circulant graphs, see [30–34].

In this study, we generalize the orthogonal labelling approach proposed in [29] to create edge decompositions of the graphs \( K_{n,n,\ldots,n} \) for \( m, n \geq 2 \) which are considered a generalization to the graphs \( K_{n,n} \). The following sections make up the current paper: The second section deals with the proposed novel orthogonal labelling approach. In the third section, the graph \( K_{n,n,\ldots,n} \) is decomposed by infinite classes of graphs. We generate many decompositions of \( K_{n,n,\ldots,n} \) by connected caterpillars in the fourth section.

The fifth section introduces concluding remarks and future work.

2. A Novel Labelling Approach

Consider now the circulant-balanced complete multipartite graph with vertex set \( V = \bigcup_{l=0}^{m-1} V_l \), where \( V_l, l \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m - 1\} \) are \( m \) independent sets of vertices. There are bijective mappings \( \phi_l : V_l \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n \times \{l\}, l \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m - 1\} \) where the vertices in \( V_l \) are labelled by \( \mathbb{Z}_n \times \{l\} \), see Figure 1.

The distance between two vertices \( x_i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, (n - 1)\} \) and \( y_j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, (n - 1)\}, 0 \leq i < j \leq m - 1 \) is the usual circular distance defined by \( d(x_i, y_j) = \min \{|x_i - y_j|, n - |x_i - y_j|\} \). The edge \( (x_i, y_j) \) is said to have length \( d(x_i, y_j) \).

Suppose \( G = (V, E) \) is a subgraph with \( mn \) vertices and \( \left( \begin{array}{c} m \\ 2 \end{array} \right) \) edges, a labelling

\[
\psi_k : V(G_k^{ij}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n \times \{i, j\}, 0 \leq i < j \leq m - 1, k
\]

\[
= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
mi + j & \text{if } i = 0, \\
\frac{mi + j}{(i + 1)} & \text{if } i > 0.
\end{array} \right.
\]

is considered an orthogonal labelling of \( G = \bigcup_{k=1}^{w} G_k^{ij}, w \) is

\[
= \left( \begin{array}{c} m \\ 2 \end{array} \right), 0 \leq i < j \leq m - 1 \text{ if,}
\]

(i) Each graph \( G_k^{ij} \) has precisely two edges of length \( \lambda \in \{1, 2, \ldots, [(n - 1)/2]\} \), the length 0 is found once in \( G_k^{ij} \), and the length \( n/2 \) is found once in \( G_k^{ij} \) if \( n \) is even

(ii) For every \( \lambda \in \{1, 2, \ldots, [(n - 1)/2]\} \), \( G \) has precisely

\[
2 \left( \begin{array}{c} m \\ 2 \end{array} \right) = m(m - 1) \text{ edges of length } \lambda,
\]

(iii) The length 0 is found \( \left( \begin{array}{c} m \\ 2 \end{array} \right) \) times in \( G \),

(iv) The length \( n/2 \) is found \( \left( \begin{array}{c} m \\ 2 \end{array} \right) \) times in \( G \) if \( n \) is even

Example 1. An orthogonal labelling of \( K_{m,1}^{ij} \cup P_n^{ij} \cup K_{n,1}^{ij} \) is shown in Figure 2.

Definition 3. Suppose \( G = (V, E) \) is a subgraph of \( K_{n,n,\ldots,n}, x \in \mathbb{Z}_n \).

Then \( G + x \) with \( E(G + x) = \{a + x, b + x\} : (a, b) \in E(G) \) is called the \( x \)-translate of \( G \).
Our goal is to show that for all \( \omega \), \( \lambda \) the lengths \( E \) in \( G \) twice in \( G_{i} \).

If and only if there is an orthogonal labelling the next proposition.

Example 2. An example of edge decomposition of \( K_{3,3,3} \) by \( K_{1,3}^{i} \cup P_{4,2}^{i} \cup K_{1,3}^{i} \) is shown in Figure 3.

In what follows, based on the aforementioned orthogonal labelling approach, we will decompose the circulant-balanced complete multipartite graph \( K_{1,3}^{i} \) by the \( G = \bigcup_{k=1}^{w} G_{k}^{i} \), where the graphs \( G_{k}^{i}, k \in \{1, 2, \cdots, w\}, \omega = \binom{m}{2}, i \neq j \in \{0, 1, \cdots, m-1\} \) are isomorphic. Also, we will consider

\[ \bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}} E(G + x) = E \left( K_{n,n,\cdots,n} \right) . \]
3. Decompositions of $K_{n,n,m}$ by Several Classes of Graphs

Theorem 5. Let $n \geq 5, m \geq 2$ be integers. Then, there is an orthogonal labelling for $G_1 \equiv \bigcup_{0 \leq i \leq f_{5m}-1} (K_{2,2} \cup K_{1,n-4})^{ij}$.

$$E((K_{2,2} \cup K_{1,n-4})^{ij}) = \left\{ \left\{ \left( \frac{n+3}{2}, n \right), \left( \frac{n+1}{2}, n \right), \left( \frac{n-1}{2}, n \right), \left( \frac{n+1}{2}, n \right) \right\} \mid s \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-6\} \right\}$$

Proof. Suppose $V((K_{2,2} \cup K_{1,n-4})^{ij}) = \{ v_s : s \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, n\} \}$. The mapping $\psi_k$ can be used to define an orthogonal labelling for the subgraph $G_1$, which can be defined by $\psi_k : V((K_{2,2} \cup K_{1,n-4})^{ij}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n \times \{i, j\}$ which is defined by $\psi_k(v_s) = ((n+3)/2), \psi_k(v_{s+1}) = ((n-1)/2)$, $\psi_k(v_0) = ((n+1)/2), \psi_k(v_{s+1}) = ((n+1)/2 + s)$, $s \in \{0, \ldots, n-5\}$, and the edge set of $(K_{2,2} \cup K_{1,n-4})^{ij}$ is

$$E((K_{2,2} \cup K_{1,n-4})^{ij}) = \left\{ \left\{ \left( \frac{n+1}{2}, n \right), \left( \frac{n+1}{2}, n \right) \right\} \mid s \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-6\} \right\}$$

see Figure 4. From the edge set of $G_1$, the following conditions are verified: Each graph $(K_{2,2} \cup K_{1,n-4})^{ij}$ has precisely two edges of length $\lambda \in \{1, 2, \ldots, [(n-1)/2]\}$, the length $0$ is found once in $(K_{2,2} \cup K_{1,n-4})^{ij}$, the length $n/2$ is found once in $(K_{2,2} \cup K_{1,n-4})^{ij}$ if $n$ is even, for every $\lambda \in \{1, 2, \ldots, [(n-1)/2]\}$, $G_1$ has precisely $2 \binom{m}{2} = m(m-1)$ edges of length $\lambda$, the length $0$ is found $\binom{m}{2}$ times in $G_1$, and the length $n/2$ is found $\binom{m}{2}$ times in $G_1$ if $n$ is even. Hence, $K_{n,n,m}^{ij}$ can be decomposed by $G_1$. $\square$

Theorem 6. Let $n > 1, m \geq 2$ be integers. Then, there is an orthogonal labelling for $G_2 \equiv \bigcup_{0 \leq i \leq f_{5m}-1} (K_{2,n})^{ij}$.

Proof. Suppose $V((K_{2,n})^{ij}) = V((K_{2,n})^{ij}) = \{ v_s : s \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, n+1\} \}$. The mapping $\psi_k$ can be used to define an orthogonal labelling for the subgraph $G_2$, which can be defined by $\psi_k : V((K_{2,n})^{ij}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2n} \times \{i, j\}$ which is defined by $\psi_k(v_s) = s, s \in \{0, 1\}, \psi_k(v_{s+1}) = ((2(s-1))(mod 2n))$, $s \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$,

$$\psi_k(v_s) = s, s \in \{0, 1\}, \psi_k(v_{s+1}) = ((2(s-1))(mod 2n)), s \in \{1, \ldots, n\},$$

see Figure 4. From the edge set of $G_1$, the following conditions are verified: Each graph $(K_{2,n})^{ij}$ has precisely two edges of length $\lambda \in \{1, 2, \ldots, [(n-1)/2]\}$, the length $0$ is found once in $(K_{2,n})^{ij}$, the length $n/2$ is found once in $(K_{2,n})^{ij}$ if $n$ is even, for every $\lambda \in \{1, 2, \ldots, [(n-1)/2]\}$, $G_1$ has precisely $2 \binom{m}{2} = m(m-1)$ edges of length $\lambda$, the length $0$ is found $\binom{m}{2}$ times in $G_1$, and the length $n/2$ is found $\binom{m}{2}$ times in $G_1$ if $n$ is even. Hence, $K_{n,n,m}^{ij}$ can be decomposed by $G_1$. $\square$
and the edge set of \((K_{2,n})^{ij}\) is

\[
E((K_{2,n})^{ij}) = \left\{ \left\{ 0, (2s) \right\}: s \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\} \right\} \\
\cup \left\{ \left\{ 1, (2s)(\text{mod } 2n) \right\}: s \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \right\},
\]

see Figure 5. From the edge set of \(G_2\), the following conditions are verified: Each graph \((K_{2,n})^{ij}\) has precisely two edges of length \(\lambda \in \{1, 2, \ldots, ((n-1)/2)\}\), the length 0 is found once in \((K_{2,n})^{ij}\), the length \(n\) is found once in \((K_{2,n})^{ij}\), for every \(\lambda \in \{1, 2, \ldots, ((n-1)/2)\}\), \(G_2\) has precisely 2. \(\binom{m}{2} = m(m-1)\) edges of length \(\lambda\), the length 0 is found \(\binom{m}{2}\) times in \(G_2\), and the length \(n\) is found \(\binom{m}{2}\) times in \(G_2\). Hence, \(K_{2n,2n\ldots2n}\) can be decomposed by \(G_2\).

**Theorem 7.** Let \(n \equiv 2 \text{ mod } 6\) or \(n \equiv 4 \text{ mod } 6\), \(m \geq 2\). Then, there is an orthogonal labelling for

\[
G_3 \equiv \bigcup_{0 \leq i < j \leq m-1} (C_8 \cup K_{1,n-8})^{ij}.
\]

**Proof.** Suppose \(V((n/2)K_{1,2})^{ij} = \{v_s: s \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, 2(n-1)\}\}\). The mapping \(\psi_k\) can be used to define an orthogonal labelling for the subgraph \(G_3\), which can be defined by \(\psi_k : V(((n/2)K_{1,2})^{ij}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n \times \{i, j\}\) which is defined by \(\psi_k(v_s) = s_0, s \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}\), \(\psi_k(v_{s+}) = (2s)(\text{mod } n)\), \(s \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}\), and the edge set of \(((n/2)K_{1,2})^{ij}\) is \(E(((n/2)K_{1,2})^{ij}) = \{s_0, (2s)(\text{mod } n)\}: s \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}\), see Figure 6. From the edge set of \(G_3\), the following conditions are verified: Each graph \(((n/2)K_{1,2})^{ij}\) has precisely two edges of length \(\lambda \in \{1, 2, \ldots, ((n-1)/2)\}\), the length 0 is found once in \(((n/2)K_{1,2})^{ij}\), the length \(n/2\) is found once in \(((n/2)K_{1,2})^{ij}\), for every \(\lambda \in \{1, 2, \ldots, ((n-1)/2)\}\), \(G_3\) has precisely 2. \(\binom{m}{2} = m(m-1)\) edges of length \(\lambda\), the length 0 is found \(\binom{m}{2}\) times in \(G_3\), and the length \(n/2\) is found \(\binom{m}{2}\) times in \(G_3\). Hence, \(K_{n,n\ldots n}\) can be decomposed by \(G_3\).

**Theorem 8.** Let \(n \geq 9, m \geq 2\) be integers. Then, there is an orthogonal labelling for

\[
G_4 \equiv \bigcup_{0 \leq i < j \leq m-1} (C_8 \cup K_{1,n-8})^{ij}.
\]

**Proof.** Suppose \(V((C_8 \cup K_{1,n-8})^{ij}) = \{v_s: s \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, n\}\}\) . The mapping \(\psi_k\) can be used to define an orthogonal labelling for the subgraph \(G_3\), which can be defined by \(\psi_k : V((C_8 \cup K_{1,n-8})^{ij}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n \times \{i, j\}\) which is defined by

\[
\psi_k(v_0) = 0_0, \psi_k(v_1) = 1_0, \psi_k(v_2) = 2_0, \psi_k(v_3) = 4_0, \psi_k(v_4) = 8_0, \psi_k(v_{s+}) = (s-4)_{s+}, s \in \{5, \ldots, n\},
\]

and the edge set of \((C_8 \cup K_{1,n-8})^{ij}\) is

\[
E((C_8 \cup K_{1,n-8})^{ij}) = \{\{0, 2\}, \{0, 4\}, \{4, 2\}, \{4, 3\}, \{2, 3\}, \{2, 5\}, \{8, 4\}, \{8, 5\}, \{1, 1\}\}
\]
times in \(G_4\), and the length \(n/2\) is found \(\binom{m}{2}\) times in \(G_4\) if \(n\) is even. Hence, \(K_{n,n\ldots n}\) can be decomposed by \(G_4\).

**Theorem 9.** Let \(n \geq 7, m \geq 2\) be integers. Then, there is an orthogonal labelling for \(G_5 \equiv \bigcup_{0 \leq i < j \leq m-1} (C_6 \cup K_{1,1} \cup K_{1,n-7})^{ij}\).

**Proof.** Suppose \(V((K_{1,1} \cup C_6 \cup K_{1,n-7})^{ij}) = \{v_s: s \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, n\}\}\) . The mapping \(\psi_k\) can be used to define an
orthogonal labelling for the subgraph $G_5$, which can be defined by

$$\psi_k : V(K_{1,1} \cup C_6 \cup K_{1,n-7}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n \times \{i,j\}$$

which is defined by

$$\psi_k(v_0) = 0, \psi_k(v_1) = 1, \psi_k(v_2) = 3, \psi_k(v_3) = 4, \psi_k(v_4) = 6, \psi_k(v_5) = 1, \psi_k(v_6) = 2, \psi_k(v_7) = 3.$$  \hfill (11)

$$\psi_k(v_8) = 5, \psi_k(v_s) = (s - 2) \mod s, s \in \{9, \ldots, n + 1\},$$

and the edge set of $(K_{1,1} \cup C_6 \cup K_{1,n-7})^{i,j}$ is

$$E((K_{1,1} \cup C_6 \cup K_{1,n-7})^{i,j}) = \{\{1,1\}, \{0,2\}, \{0,3\}, \{4,2\}, \{4,5\}, \{6,3\}, \{6,5\}\} \cup \{\{3,s\} : s \in \{7, \ldots, n - 1\}\}.$$  \hfill (12)

see Figure 8. From the edge set of $G_5$, the following conditions are verified: Each graph $(K_{1,1} \cup C_6 \cup K_{1,n-7})^{i,j}$ has precisely two edges of length $\lambda \in \{1, 2, \ldots, [(n - 1)/2]\}$, the length 0 is found once in $(K_{1,1} \cup C_6 \cup K_{1,n-7})^{i,j}$, the length $n/2$ is found once in $(K_{1,1} \cup C_6 \cup K_{1,n-7})^{i,j}$ if $n$ is even, for every $\lambda \in \{1, 2, \ldots, [(n - 1)/2]\}, G_5$ has precisely $2 \binom{m}{2} = m(m - 1)$ edges of length $\lambda$, the length 0 is found $\binom{m}{2}$ times in $G_5$, and the length $n/2$ is found $\binom{m}{2}$ times in $G_5$ if $n$ is even. Hence, $K_{n,n,\ldots,n}$ can be decomposed by $G_5$.

**Theorem 10.** Let $n \geq 5, m \geq 2$ be integers. Then, there is an orthogonal labelling for $G_6 \equiv \textup{\bigcup}_{0 \leq i < j \leq m - 1} (2K_1 \cup K_{1,n-2})^{i,j}$.

**Proof.** Suppose $V((2K_1 \cup K_{1,n-2})^{i,j}) = \{v_s : s \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, n + 2\}\}$. The mapping $\psi_k$ can be used to define an orthogonal labelling for the subgraph $G_6$, which can be defined by $\psi_k : V((2K_1 \cup K_{1,n-2})^{i,j}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n \times \{i,j\}$ which is defined by

$$\psi_k(v_0) = 0, \psi_k(v_1) = 1, \psi_k(v_2) = (n - 1), \psi_k(v_4) = (s) \mod s, s \in \{0, \ldots, n - 1\},$$  \hfill (13)

and the edge set of $(2K_1 \cup K_{1,n-2})^{i,j}$ is

$$E((2K_1 \cup K_{1,n-2})^{i,j}) = \{\{0,s\} : s \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n - 3\}\} \cup \{\{1, (n - 1)\}, \{((n - 1), (n - 2)\}\}.$$  \hfill (14)

see Figure 9. From the edge set of $G_6$, the following conditions are verified: Each graph $(2K_1 \cup K_{1,n-2})^{i,j}$ has...
precisely two edges of length $\lambda \in \{1, 2, \cdots, \lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor \}$, the length 0 is found once in $(2K_{1, 1} \cup K_{1,n-2})^{ij}$, the length $n/2$ is found once in $(2K_{1, 1} \cup K_{1,n-2})^{ij}$ if $n$ is even, for every $\lambda \in \{1, 2, \cdots, \lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor \}$, $G_6$ has precisely $2 \binom{m}{2} = m(m-1)$ edges of length $\lambda$, the length 0 is found $\binom{m}{2}$ times in $G_6$, and the length $n/2$ is found $\binom{m}{2}$ times in $G_6$ if $n$ is even. Hence, $K_{n,n,\cdots,n}$ can be decomposed by $G_6$.\hfill$\Box$

**Theorem 11.** Let $n > 1, m \geq 2$ be integers. Then, there is an orthogonal labelling for $G_7 \equiv \bigcup_{0 \leq i < j \leq m-1} (P_{m+1})^{ij}$.\hfill$\Box$

**Proof.** Suppose $V((P_{m+1})^{ij})$ is $\{v_s : s \in \{0, 1, 2, \cdots, n \}\}$. The mapping $\psi_k$ can be used to define an orthogonal labelling for the subgraph $G_7$, which can be defined by $\psi_k : V((P_{m+1})^{ij}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n \times \{i,j\}$ which is defined by

$$\psi_k(v_s) = ((n-s) \mod n), s \in \{0, 1, \cdots, n-3/2 \}, \psi_k(v_{n-1/2}) = \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right), \psi_k(v_{n-3/2+\alpha}) = s_j$$

(15)

$s \in \{0, 1, \cdots, (n-1)/2\}$, and the edge set of $(P_{m+1})^{ij}$ is $E((P_{m+1})^{ij}) = \{\{(n+1)/2, (n-1)/2\}\} \cup \{\{(n-s) \mod n\}, s \in \{0, 1, \cdots, (n-3)/2\}, s \in \{0, 1\}\}$, see Figure 10. From the edge set of $G_7$, the following conditions are verified: Each graph $(P_{m+1})^{ij}$ has precisely two edges of length $\lambda \in \{1, 2, \cdots, \lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor \}$, the length 0 is only present once in $(P_{m+1})^{ij}$, the length $n/2$ is found once in $(P_{m+1})^{ij}$ if $n$ is even, for every $\lambda \in \{1, 2, \cdots, \lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor \}$, $G_7$ has precisely $2 \binom{m}{2}$ times in $G_7$, and the length $n/2$ is found $\binom{m}{2}$ times in $G_7$ if $n$ is even. Hence, $K_{n,n,\cdots,n}$ can be decomposed by $G_7$.\hfill$\Box$

**Theorem 12.** Let $n \equiv 1 \mod 6$, $n \equiv 5 \mod 6$, $m \geq 2$ be an integer. Then, there is an orthogonal labelling for $K_{n,n,\cdots,n}$ by

$$G_8 \equiv \bigcup_{0 \leq i < j \leq m-1} (nK_{1,1})^{ij}$$

**Proof.** Suppose $V((nK_{1,1})^{ij}) = \{v_s : s \in \{0, 1, 2, \cdots, 2n-1\}\}$. The mapping $\psi_k$ can be used to define an orthogonal labelling for the subgraph $G_8$, which can be defined by $\psi_k : V((nK_{1,1})^{ij}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n \times \{i,j\}$ which is defined by $\psi_k(v_s) = s, s \in \{0, 1, \cdots, n-1\}, \psi_k(v_{n-1}) = ((2s-1) \mod n), s \in \{0, 1, \cdots, n\}$, and the edge set of $(nK_{1,1})^{ij}$ is $E((nK_{1,1})^{ij}) = \{(s, (2s) \mod n)) : s \in \{0, 1, \cdots, n-1\}\}$, see Figure 11. From the edge set of $G_8$, the following conditions are verified: Each graph $(nK_{1,1})^{ij}$ has precisely two edges of length $\lambda \in \{1, 2, \cdots, \lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor \}$, the length 0 is found once in $(nK_{1,1})^{ij}$, the length $n/2$ is found once in $(nK_{1,1})^{ij}$ if $n$ is even, for every $\lambda \in \{1, 2, \cdots, \lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor \}$, $G_8$ has precisely $2 \binom{m}{2} = m(m-1)$ times in $G_8$ if $n$ is even. Hence, $K_{n,n,\cdots,n}$ can be decomposed by $G_7$.\hfill$\Box$
Suppose \( \theta \): orthogonal labelling for Theorem 13. Let \( G \) labelling for the subgraph \( G(1,2) \) and the length \( n \) edges of length \( \lambda \) can be used to decompose by \( G_\theta \). Hence, \( K_{n,n,\ldots,n} \) can be decomposed by \( G_\theta \).

**Theorem 13.** Let \( n \geq 1, m \geq 2 \) be integers. Then, there is an orthogonal labelling for

\[
G_\theta \equiv \bigcup_{\theta \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \{0\}} (K_{1,2} \cup K_{2,2n})^{ij}.
\]

**Proof.** Suppose \( V((K_{1,2} \cup K_{2,2n})^{ij}) = \{ v_s : s \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, 2n + 4\} \} \). The mapping \( \psi_k \) can be used to define an orthogonal labelling for the subgraph \( G_\theta \), which can be defined by \( \psi_k : V((K_{1,2} \cup K_{2,2n})^{ij}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2n+2} \times \{i,j\} \) which is defined by

\[
\psi_k(v_0) = (4n + 1)_j, \quad \psi_k(v_1) = (2n)_j, \quad \psi_k(v_2) = 0, \quad \psi_k(v_3) = (2n + 1)_j, \quad \psi_k(v_4) = (4n + 1)_j, \quad G_{10} \equiv \bigcup_{\theta \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \{0\}} (K_{1,2} \cup K_{2,2n})^{ij}.
\]

See Figure 12. From the edge set of \( G_\theta \), the following conditions are verified: Each graph \( (K_{1,2} \cup K_{2,2n})^{ij} \) has precisely two edges of length \( \lambda \), and the length \( 0 \) is found once in \( (K_{1,2} \cup K_{2,2n})^{ij} \), the length \( 2n + 1 \) is found once in \( (K_{1,2} \cup K_{2,2n})^{ij} \), for every \( \lambda \in \{1, 2, \ldots, [(4n + 1)/2]\} \).

\( G_\theta \) has precisely \( 2 \left( \begin{array}{c} m \\ 2 \end{array} \right) \) times in \( G_\theta \), and the length \( 2n + 1 \) is found \( m \left( \frac{m}{2} \right) \) times in \( G_\theta \). Hence, \( K_{1,2}, (4n+2), \ldots, (4n+2) \) can be decomposed by \( G_\theta \).

**Theorem 14.** Let \( n \geq 2, m \geq 2 \) be integers. Then, there is an orthogonal labelling for

\[
\psi_k(v_s) = (n + s - 4), \quad s \in \{5, \ldots, n + 4\}, \quad \psi_k(v_{n+3}) = (2n + s - 3), \quad s \in \{5, \ldots, n + 4\}, \quad \text{and the edge set of} \ (K_{1,2} \cup K_{2,2n})^{ij}
\]

\[
E((K_{1,2} \cup K_{2,2n})^{ij}) = \left\{ \{4n+1,n\}, \{(2n+1,n)\}, \{0,n\}, \{(2n+1),s\} : s \in \{n+1, \ldots, 2n\} \right\}
\]

\[
\cup \left\{ \{0,s\}, \{(2n+1),s\} : s \in \{2n+2, \ldots, 3n+1\} \right\}.
\]

See Figure 13. From the edge set of \( G_{10} \), the following conditions are verified: Each graph \( (2K_{1,n})^{ij} \) has precisely two edges of length \( \lambda \in \{1, 2, \ldots, [(2n-1)/2]\} \), the length \( 0 \) is found once in...
Theorem 15. For all positive integers $n$ with gcd $(n, 3) = 1$, $m \geq 2$. Then, there is an orthogonal labelling for

$$G_{11} \equiv \bigcup_{0 \leq s < j \leq m-1} (nK_{2,2})^{ij}. \tag{20}$$

Proof. Suppose $V((nK_{2,2})^{ij})$ is $V((nK_{2,2})^{ij}) = \{v_s : s \in \{0, 1, 2, \cdots, 4n-1\}\}$. The mapping $\psi_k$ can be used to define an orthogonal labelling for the subgraph $G_{11}$, which can be defined by $\psi_k : V((nK_{2,2})^{ij}) \mapsto \mathbb{Z}_{4n} \times \{i, j\}$ which is defined by

$$\psi_k(v) = s, s \in \{0, 1, \cdots, 2n-1\}, \psi_k(v_{2n+s}) = ((2s)(\text{mod} 4n))_{ij}, s \in \{0, 1, \cdots, 2n-1\}, \tag{21}$$

and the edge set of $(nK_{2,2})^{ij}$ is

$$E((nK_{2,2})^{ij}) = \left\{\left\{s, (2s)(\text{mod} 4n)\right\} : s \in \{0, 1, \cdots, 2n-1\}\right\} \cup \left\{\left\{(s-2n)\right\} : s \in \{2n, \cdots, 4n-1\}\right\}. \tag{22}$$

From the edge set of $G_{11}$, the following conditions are verified: Each graph $(nK_{2,2})^{ij}$ has precisely two edges of length $\lambda \in \{1, 2, \cdots, \lfloor (4n-1)/2 \rfloor\}$, the length 0 is found once in $(nK_{2,2})^{ij}$, the length $2n$ is found once in $(nK_{2,2})^{ij}$, for every $\lambda \in \{1, 2, \cdots, \lfloor (4n-1)/2 \rfloor\}$, $G_{11}$ has precisely 2. $(m \choose 2)$ edges of length $\lambda$, the length 0 is found $(m \choose 2)$ times in $G_{11}$, and the length 2n is found $(m \choose 2)$ times in $G_{11}$. Hence, $K_{2n} \times 2n \cdots 2n$ can be decomposed by $G_{11}$. \hfill \square

Theorem 16. Let $n \geq 3$, $m \geq 2$ be integers. Then, there is an orthogonal labelling for

$$G_{12} \equiv \bigcup_{0 \leq s < j \leq m-1} (K_{3,n})^{ij}. \tag{23}$$

Proof. Suppose $V((K_{3,n})^{ij}) = \{v_s : s \in \{0, 1, 2, \cdots, 2n+4\}\}$. The mapping $\psi_k$ can be used to define an orthogonal labeling for the subgraph $G_{12}$, which can be defined by $\psi_k : V((K_{3,n})^{ij}) \mapsto \mathbb{Z}_{3n} \times \{i, j\}$ which is defined by $\psi_k(v_0) = 0$, $\psi_k(v_1) = 2$, $\psi_k(v_2) = 4$, $\psi_k(v_{s}) = (3(s-3))$, $s \in \{3, \cdots, n+2\}$, and the edge set of $(K_{3,n})^{ij}$ is $E((K_{3,n})^{ij}) = \{\{a, b\} : a \in \{0, 2, 4\}, b \in \{0, 3, 6, \cdots, 3n-3\}\}$, see Figure 14. From the
edge set of $G_{12}$, the following conditions are verified: Each graph $(K_{3,n})^{ij}$ has precisely two edges of length $\lambda \in \{1, 2, \cdots, \lfloor (3n-1)/2 \rfloor \}$, the length 0 is only present once in $(K_{3,n})^{ij}$, the length $3n/2$ is found once in $(K_{3,n})^{ij}$ if $n$ is even, for every $\lambda \in \{1, 2, \cdots, \lfloor (3n-1)/2 \rfloor \}$, $G_{12}$ has precisely $2 \times \left( \frac{m}{2} \right) = m(m-1)$ edges of length $\lambda$, the length 0 is found $\left( \frac{m}{2} \right)$ times in $G_{12}$, and the length $3n/2$ is found $\left( \frac{m}{2} \right)$ times in $G_{12}$ if $n$ is even. Hence, $K_{\frac{3n}{2}, \frac{3n}{2}, \cdots, \frac{3n}{2}}$ can be decomposed by $G_{12}$.

see Figure 15. From the edge set of $G_{13}$, the following conditions are verified: Each graph $(K_{1,1} \cup K_{1,2} \cup K_{1,4} \cup K_{1,2n-6})^{ij}$ has precisely two edges of length $\lambda \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$, the length 0 is found once in $(K_{1,1} \cup K_{1,2} \cup K_{1,4} \cup K_{1,2n-6})^{ij}$, for every $\lambda \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}, G_{13}$ has precisely $2 \times \left( \frac{m}{2} \right) = m(m-1)$ edges of length $\lambda$, and the length 0 is found $\left( \frac{m}{2} \right)$ times in $G_{13}$. Hence, $K_{\frac{(2n+1)n}{2}, \frac{(2n+1)n}{2}, \cdots, \frac{(2n+1)n}{2}}$ can be decomposed by $G_{13}$.

4. Decompositions of $K_n \cdots n$ by Connected Caterpillars $m$

Theorem 17. Let $n \geq 4$, $m \geq 2$ be integers. Then, there is an orthogonal labelling for

$$G_{13} \equiv \bigcup_{0 \leq i < j \leq m-1} (K_{1,1} \cup K_{1,2} \cup K_{1,4} \cup K_{1,2n-6})^{ij}. \quad (24)$$

Proof. Suppose $V((K_{1,1} \cup K_{1,2} \cup K_{1,4} \cup K_{1,2n-6})^{ij}) = \{v_s : s \in \{0, 1, 2, \cdots, 2n+4\}\}$. The mapping $\psi_k$ can be used to define an orthogonal labelling for the subgraph $G_{13}$, which can be defined by $\psi_k : V((K_{1,1} \cup K_{1,2} \cup K_{1,4} \cup K_{1,2n-6})^{ij}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2n+1} \times \{i, j\}$ which is defined by

$$\psi_k(v_0) = 3, \psi_k(v_1) = (2n-3), \psi_k(v_2) = 2n-1, \psi_k(v_3) = 0, \psi_k(v_4) = (2n-3), \psi_k(v_5) = 3, \psi_k(v_6) = (2n-2), \psi_k(v_{10}) = (2n-1), \psi_k(v_{11}) = (s-6), s \in \{10, \cdots, 2n+3\},$$

and the edge set of $(K_{1,1} \cup K_{1,2} \cup K_{1,4} \cup K_{1,2n-6})^{ij}$ is

$$E((K_{1,1} \cup K_{1,2} \cup K_{1,4} \cup K_{1,2n-6})^{ij}) = \{ \{3, 3\}, \{2n-3, 2n-3\}, \{2n-3, 2n-3\}, \{2n, 2n\}, \{2n, 2n\}, \{2n+1, 2n\}, \{2n+1, 2n\} \}$$

$$\cup \{ \{0, a\} : a \in \{4, 5, \cdots, 2n-3\} \}. \quad (26)$$

Theorem 18. Let $n \geq 2$, $m \geq 2$ be integers. Then, there is an orthogonal labelling for

$$G_{14} \equiv \bigcup_{0 \leq i < j \leq m-1} (C_2(1, n-2))^{ij}. \quad (27)$$

Proof. Suppose $V((C_2(1, n-2))^{ij}) = \{v_s : s \in \{0, 1, \cdots, n\}\}$. The mapping $\psi_k$ can be used to define an orthogonal labelling for the subgraph $G_{14}$, which can be defined by $\psi_k : V((C_2(1, n-2))^{ij}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n \times \{i, j\}$ which is defined by

$$\psi_k(v_0) = 0, \psi_k(v_1) = 1, \psi_k(v_2) = 0, \psi_k(v_3) = (s-1), s \in \{3, 4, \cdots, n\}, \quad (28)$$

and the edge set of $(C_2(1, n-2))^{ij}$ is

$$E((C_2(1, n-2))^{ij}) = \{ \{0, 0\}, \{1, 0\} \}$$

$$\cup \{ \{1, s\} : s \in \{2, 3, \cdots, n-1\} \}, \quad (29)$$

see Figure 16. From the edge set of $G_{14}$, the following conditions are verified: Each graph $(C_2(1, n-2))^{ij}$ has precisely two edges of length $\lambda \in \{1, 2, \cdots, \lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor \}$, the length 0 is found once in $(C_2(1, n-2))^{ij}$, the length $n/2$ is found once in $(C_2(1, n-2))^{ij}$, for every $\lambda \in \{1, 2, \cdots, \lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor \}, G_{14}$ has precisely $2 \times \left( \frac{m}{2} \right) = m(m-1)$ edges of length $\lambda$, the length $n/2$ is found $\left( \frac{m}{2} \right)$ times in $G_{14}$, and the length 0 is found $\left( \frac{m}{2} \right)$ times in $G_{14}$. Hence, $K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}, \cdots, \frac{n}{2}}$ can be decomposed by $G_{14}$.
\textbf{Theorem 19.} Let $n \geq 3, m \geq 2$ be integers. Then, there is an orthogonal labelling for
\[ G_{15} \equiv \bigcup_{0 \leq i < j \leq m - 1} (C_3(1, 0, n - 3))^{ij}. \]

\textit{Proof.} Suppose $V((C_3(1, 0, n - 3))^{ij}) = \{v_s : s \in \{0, 1, \cdots, n\}\}$. Then, the mapping $\psi_k$ can be used to define an orthogonal labelling for the subgraph $G_{15}$, which can be defined by $\psi_k : V((C_3(1, 0, n - 3))^{ij}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n \times \{i, j\}$ which is defined by $\psi_k(v_0) = 0, \psi_k(v_1) = (n - 1), \psi_k(v_2) = 0, \psi_k(v_3) = 1, \psi_k(v_4) = (n - 2), \psi_k(v_{s, t}) = s_j$, $s \in \{2, 3, \cdots, n - 3\}$, and the edge set of $(C_3(1, 0, n - 3))^{ij}$ is
\[ E((C_3(1, 0, n - 3))^{ij}) = \left\{ \{0, 0\}, \{0, 1\}, \{(n - 1), 0\}, \{(n - 1), 1\}\right\} \cup \left\{ \{0, s_j\} : s \in \{3, 4, \cdots, n - 1\}\right\}. \]

\textbf{Theorem 20.} Let $n \geq 4, m \geq 2$ be integers. Then, there is an orthogonal labelling for
\[ G_{16} \equiv \bigcup_{0 \leq i < j \leq m - 1} (C_4(1, 0, 0, n - 4))^{ij}. \]

\textit{Proof.} Suppose $V((C_4(1, 0, 0, n - 4))^{ij}) = \{v_s : s \in \{0, 1, \cdots, n\}\}$. Then, the mapping $\psi_k$ can be used to define an orthogonal labelling for the subgraph $G_{16}$, which can be defined by $\psi_k : V((C_4(1, 0, 0, n - 4))^{ij}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n \times \{i, j\}$ which is defined by
\[ \psi_k(v_0) = 0, \psi_k(v_1) = (n - 1), \psi_k(v_2) = 0, \psi_k(v_3) = 1, \psi_k(v_4) = (n - 2), \psi_k(v_{s, t}) = s_j, \]
\[ s \in \{2, 3, \cdots, n - 3\}, \text{ and the edge set of} \]
\[ (C_4(1, 0, 0, n - 4))^{ij} \text{ is} \]
\[ E((C_4(1, 0, 0, n - 4))^{ij}) = \left\{ \{0, 0\}, \{0, 1\}, \{(n - 1), 0\}, \{(n - 1), 1\}\right\} \cup \left\{ \{\overline{s}, 0\} : s \in \{2, 3, \cdots, n - 3\}\right\}. \]

\textbf{Theorem 21.} Let $n \geq 5, m \geq 2$ be integers. Then, there is an orthogonal labelling for
\[ G_{17} \equiv \bigcup_{0 \leq i < j \leq m - 1} (C_5(1, 0, 0, n - 5))^{ij}. \]
Proof. Suppose \( V((C_5(1, 0, 0, n-5))^{ij}) = \{v_s : s \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}\} \). The mapping \( \psi_k \) can be used to define an orthogonal labelling for the subgraph \( G_{17} \), which can be defined by \( \psi_k : V((C_5(1, 0, 0, n-5))^{ij}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n \times \{i, j\} \) which is defined by

\[
\psi_k(v_0) = 0, \quad \psi_k(v_1) = 0, \quad \psi_k(v_2) = 2, \quad \psi_k(v_3) = 4, \quad \psi_k(v_4) = 3, \\
\psi_k(v_5) = 2, \quad \psi_k(v_{s+1}) = s, \quad s \in \{5, 6, \ldots, n-1\},
\]

and the edge set of \( (C_5(1, 0, 0, n-5))^{ij} \) is

\[
E((C_5(1, 0, 0, n-5))^{ij}) = \{\{0, 0\}, \{2, 3\}, \{0, 2\}, \{4, 2\}, \{4, 3\}\} \cup \{\{2, s\} : s \in \{5, 6, \ldots, n-1\}\},
\]

see Figure 19. From the edge set of \( G_{17} \), the following conditions are verified: Each graph \( (C_5(1, 0, 0, n-5))^{ij} \) has precisely two edges of length \( \lambda \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor \} \), the length 0 is found once in \( (C_5(1, 0, 0, n-5))^{ij} \), the length \( n/2 \) is found once in \( (C_5(1, 0, 0, n-5))^{ij} \) if \( n \) is even, for every \( \lambda \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor \} \), \( G_{17} \) has precisely \( 2 \binom{m}{2} = m(m-1)/2 \) edges of length \( \lambda \), the length \( n/2 \) is found \( \binom{m}{2} \) times in \( G_{17} \) if \( n \) is even, and the length 0 is found \( \binom{m}{2} \) times in \( G_{17} \). Hence, \( K_{n,n, \ldots, n} \) can be decomposed by \( G_{17} \).
Theorem 22. Let $n \geq 6, m \geq 2$ be integers. Then, there is an orthogonal labelling for
\[ G_{18} \equiv \bigcup_{0 \leq i < j \leq m-1} (C_6(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, n-6))^{i,j}. \] (38)

Proof. Suppose $V((C_6(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, n-6))^{i,j}) = \{v_s : s \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}\}$. The mapping $\psi_k$ can be used to define an orthogonal labelling for the subgraph $G_{18}$, which can be defined by
\[ \psi_k : V((C_6(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, n-6))^{i,j}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n \times \{i, j\} \]
which is defined by
\[ \psi_k(v_0) = 0, \psi_k(v_1) = (n-1), \psi_k(v_2) = (n-2), \psi_k(v_3) = 0, \psi_k(v_4) = 2, \psi_k(v_5) = 2, \psi_k(v_6) = (n-1), \]
and the edge set of $(C_6(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, n-6))^{i,j}$ is
\[ E((C_6(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, n-6))^{i,j}) = \{(n-1), 0\}, \{(n-1), (n-2)\}, \{0, (n-2)\}, \{0, 2\}, \{2, 2\}, \{2, (n-1)\}\}. \]
(40)

see Figure 20. From the edge set of $G_{18}$, the following conditions are verified: Each graph $(C_6(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, n-6))^{i,j}$ has precisely two edges of length $\lambda \in \{1, 2, \ldots, [(n-1)/2]\}$, the length 0 is only present once in $(C_6(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, n-6))^{i,j}$, the length $n/2$ is found only once in $(C_6(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, n-6))^{i,j}$ if $n$ is even, for every $\lambda \in \{1, 2, \ldots, [(n-1)/2]\}, G_{18}$ has precisely $2 \binom{m}{2} = m(m-1)$ edges of length $\lambda$, the length $n/2$ is found $\binom{m}{2}$ times in $G_{18}$ if $n$ is even, and the length 0 is found $\binom{m}{2}$ times in $G_{18}$. Hence, $K_{n,m \ldots n \over m}$ can be decomposed by $G_{18}$.

Theorem 23. Let $n \geq 7, m \geq 2$ be integers. Then, there is an orthogonal labelling for
\[ G_{19} \equiv \bigcup_{0 \leq i < j \leq m-1} (C_7(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, n-7))^{i,j}. \] (41)

Proof. Suppose $V((C_7(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, n-7))^{i,j}) = \{v_s : s \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}\}$. The mapping $\psi_k$ can be used to define an orthogonal labelling for the subgraph $G_{19}$, which can be defined by
\[ \psi_k : V((C_7(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, n-7))^{i,j}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n \times \{i, j\} \]
which is defined by
\[ \psi_k(v_0) = 2, \psi_k(v_1) = 1, \psi_k(v_2) = 0, \psi_k(v_3) = 3, \psi_k(v_4) = 6, \psi_k(v_5) = 4, \psi_k(v_6) = 7, \psi_k(v_{i+2}) = s, s \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-2\}, \]
and the edge set of $(C_7(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, n-7))^{i,j}$ is
\[ E((C_7(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, n-7))^{i,j}) = \{(1, 2), (1, 0), (0, 0), (0, 3), (6, 3), (6, 4), (2, 4)\}. \]
(42)

see Figure 21. From the edge set of $G_{19}$, the following conditions are verified: Each graph $(C_7(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, n-7))^{i,j}$ has precisely two edges of length $\lambda \in \{1, 2, \ldots, [(n-1)/2]\}$, the length 0 is only present once in $(C_7(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, n-7))^{i,j}$, the length $n/2$ is found once in $(C_7(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, n-7))^{i,j}$ if $n$ is even, for every $\lambda \in \{1, 2, \ldots, [(n-1)/2]\}, G_{19}$ has precisely $2 \binom{m}{2} = m(m-1)$ edges of length $\lambda$, the length $n/2$ is found $\binom{m}{2}$ times in $G_{19}$ if $n$ is even, and the length 0 is

Theorem 24. Let $n \geq 8, m \geq 2$ be integers. Then, there is an orthogonal labelling for
\[ G_{20} \equiv \bigcup_{0 \leq i < j \leq m-1} (C_8(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, n-8))^{i,j}. \] (43)

Proof. Suppose $V((C_8(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, n-8))^{i,j}) = \{v_s : s \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}\}$. The mapping $\psi_k$ can be used to define an orthogonal
labelling for the subgraph $G_{20}$, which can be defined by $\psi_k : V(\left(C_8(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, n-8)\right)^{ij}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n \times \{i, j\}$ which is defined by:

$\psi_k(v_0) = 6_j, \psi_k(v_1) = 5_j, \psi_k(v_2) = 4_j, \psi_k(v_3) = 2_j, \psi_k(v_4) = 0,$
$\psi_k(v_5) = 0, \psi_k(v_6) = 3, \psi_k(v_7) = 6_j,$

$\psi_k(v_8) = 2, \phi(v_{i+2}) = i_j, i \in \{7, 8, \cdots, n-2\},$ and the edge set of $\left(C_8(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, n-8)\right)^{ij}$ is

$$E(\left(C_8(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, n-8)\right)^{ij}) = \{6, 5, 4, 2, 0, 0, 3, 6, 2, 6\} \cup \{s, s_j : s \in \{7, 8, \cdots, n-2\}\}$$

(44)
see Figure 22. From the edge set of $G_{20}$, the following conditions are verified: Each graph $(C_g(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, n - 8))^{i,j}$ has precisely two edges of length $\lambda \in \{1, 2, \ldots, ((n-1)/2)\}$, the length 0 is found once in $(C_g(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, n - 8))^{i,j}$, if $n$ is even, for every $\lambda \in \{1, 2, \ldots, ((n-1)/2)\}, G_{20}$ has precisely $2\left(\frac{m}{2}\right) = m(m - 1)$ edges of length $\lambda$, the length $n/2$ is found $m$ times in $G_{20}$ if $n$ is even, and the length 0 is found $\frac{m}{2}$ times in $G_{20}$. Hence, $K_{m, m, \ldots, m}$ can be decomposed by $G_{20}$.

\[ E((C_g(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, n - 8))^{i,j}) = \{\{4, 6\}, \{4, 2\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 0\}, \{0, 0\}, \{0, 4\}, \{8, 4\}, \{8, 5\}, \{2, 5\}\} \cup \{\{2, \psi\}_{s \in \{7, 8, \ldots, n - 3\}}\}. \]

see Figure 23. From the edge set of $G_{21}$, the following conditions are verified: Each graph $(C_g(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, n - 9))^{i,j}$ has precisely two edges of length $\lambda \in \{1, 2, \ldots, ((n-1)/2)\}$, the length 0 is found once in $(C_g(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, n - 9))^{i,j}$, if $n$ is even, for every $\lambda \in \{1, 2, \ldots, ((n-1)/2)\}, G_{21}$ has precisely $2\left(\frac{m}{2}\right) = m(m - 1)$ edges of length $\lambda$, the length $n/2$ is found $m$ times in $G_{21}$ if $n$ is even, and the length 0 is found $\frac{m}{2}$ times in $G_{21}$. Hence, $K_{m, m, \ldots, m}$ can be decomposed by $G_{21}$.

\[ E((C_g(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, n - 9))^{i,j}) = \{\{4, 6\}, \{4, 2\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 0\}, \{0, 0\}, \{0, 4\}, \{8, 4\}, \{8, 5\}, \{2, 5\}\} \cup \{\{2, \psi\}_{s \in \{7, 8, \ldots, n - 3\}}\}. \]

**Theorem 25.** Let $n \geq 9, m \geq 2$ be integers. Then, there is an orthogonal labelling for

\[ G_{21} \equiv \bigcup_{0 \leq i \leq j \leq m-1} (C_g(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, n - 9))^{i,j}. \]

**Proof.** Suppose $V((C_g(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, n - 9))^{i,j}) = \{v_s : s \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}\}$. The mapping $\psi_k$ can be used to define an orthogonal labelling for the subgraph $G_{21}$, which can be defined by

\[ \psi_k : V((C_g(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, n - 9))^{i,j}) \rightarrow Z_n \times \{i, j\} \]

\[ \psi_k(v_0) = 4, \]

\[ \psi_k(v_1) = 4, \]

\[ \psi_k(v_2) = 8, \]

\[ \psi_k(v_3) = 4, \]

\[ \psi_k(v_4) = 0, \]

\[ \psi_k(v_5) = 0, \]

\[ \psi_k(v_6) = 4, \]

\[ \psi_k(v_7) = 8, \]

\[ \psi_k(v_8) = 2, \]

\[ \psi_k(v_9) = 2, \]

\[ \psi_k(v_{10}) = 3, \]

\[ \psi_k(v_{11}) = s, \]

\[ s \in \{9, 10, \ldots, n - 2\}, \]

and the edge set of $(C_g(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, n - 10))^{i,j}$ is

\[ E((C_g(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, n - 10))^{i,j}) = \{\{4, 6\}, \{8, 6\}, \{8, 4\}, \{0, 4\}, \{0, 0\}, \{1, 2\}, \{5, 2\}, \{5, 8\}\} \cup \{\{3, s\}_{s \in \{8, 9, \ldots, n - 2\}}\}. \]
see Figure 24. From the edge set of $G_{22}$, the following conditions are verified: Each graph $(C_{10}(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, n - 10))^{l_j}$ has precisely two edges of length $\lambda \in \{1, 2, \ldots, [(n - 1)/2]\}$, the length 0 is found once in $(C_{10}(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, n - 10))^{l_j}$, the length $n/2$ is found once in $(C_{10}(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, n - 10))^{l_j}$ if $n$ is even, for every $\lambda \in \{1, 2, \ldots, [(n - 1)/2]\}$, $G_{22}$ has precisely $2\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)$ times in $G_{22}$ if $n$ is even, and the length 0 is found $\frac{m}{2}$ times in $G_{22}$. Hence, $K_{n,n,\ldots,n}^{m}$ can be decomposed by $G_{22}$.

5. Conclusion

As known, there are several types of graphs labelling. Herein, we are concerned with orthogonal labelling notion. As a generalization to the orthogonal labelling approach provided in the literature for the decomposition of circulant-balanced complete bipartite graphs $K_{n,n}$, we have developed a generalized orthogonal labelling approach for decomposing the circulant-balanced complete multipartite graphs $K_{n,n,\ldots,n}^{m}$; $m, n \geq 2$, in this study. In the future, we will work to improve the orthogonal labelling approach so that it may be used with all types of circulant graphs.

Nomenclatures

- $K_{m}^{}$: Complete graph having $m$ vertices
- $kH$: $k$ disjoint unions of graph $H$
- $K_{m,n}^{}$: Complete bipartite graph with size $m + n$, where the vertex set is divided into two sets with sizes $m$ and $n$
- $C_{x}$: Cycle graph on $x$ vertices
- $P_{m}^{}$: Path graph on $m$ vertices
- $V(G)$: Vertex set of graph $G$
- $E(G)$: Edge set of graph $G$
- $G \cup H$: Disjoint union of graphs $G$ and $H$.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author on request.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References


