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In this paper, we prove the existence of two positive solutions for a critical elliptic problem with nonlocal term and Sobolev exponent in dimension four.

## 1. Introduction

In this work, we are mainly concerned by the existence and the multiplicity of solutions for the following critical elliptic nonlocal problem:

$$
(\mathscr{P})_{a, b} \begin{cases}-\left(a \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+b\right) \Delta u=u^{3}+f & \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \Omega,\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a smooth bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{4}, a$ and $b$ are positive constants, and $f$ belongs to $H^{-1}$ ( $H^{-1}$ is the dual of $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ ) satisfying suitable condition specified afterward.

In our setting, the Laplacian operator is associated to Kirchhoff term $a \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+b$, which contains an integral over the entire domain $\Omega$, this implies that the equation in $(\mathscr{P})_{a, b}$ is no longer a pointwise identity and so the problem turns to be nonlocal. This fact brings some mathematical difficulties in the search of the solution, and the solvability of this kind of problems has been under various authors' attention; so, some classical investigations can be seen in the works [1,2] and the references therein.

Such nonlinear Kirchhoff's equations can be used for describing the dynamic for an axially moving string and was first formulated by Kirchhoff himself [3] in 1883, he take into account the changes in length of the strings produced by transverse vibrations, and his model can be seen as a generalization of the classical D'Alembert wave equation for free vibrations of elastic strings.

Problems which involve nonlocal operator have been widely studied due to their numerous and relevant applications in various fields of sciences. In particular, Kirchhoff type problems proved to be valuable tools for modeling several physical and biological phenomena, and many works have been made to ensure the existence of solutions for such problems; we quote in particular the article of Lions [4]. Since this famous paper, very fruitful development has given rise to many works on this advantageous axis, and in most of them, the used approach relies on topological methods. However, just few improvements were held concerning the multiplicity of solutions. In [5], Maia obtained a multiplicity of solutions for a class of $p(x)$-Choquard equations with a nonlocal and nondegenerate Kirchhoff term by using truncation arguments and Krasnoselskii's genus. In [6], Vetro studied the existence of two different notions of solutions by using Galerkin approximation method, jointly with the theory of pseudomonotone operators.

With this regard, variational approach was solicited instead of topological methods to solve this kind of problems and also to prove the existence of multiple solutions; we refer interested readers to the works [7-10].

We begin by giving an overview about the previous research related to the problem $(\mathscr{P})_{a, b}$ which can be written in the more general form,

$$
(\tilde{\mathscr{P}})_{a, b} \begin{cases}-\left(a \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+b\right) \Delta u=\varphi(\lambda, x, u) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{2}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a smooth bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 3$.
Without nonlocal term ( $a=0$ ), much interest has grown on problems involving critical exponents, and there are many publications dealing with the existence of solutions, starting from the celebrated paper by Brézis and Nirenberg [11] when $\varphi(\lambda, x, u)=|u|^{2^{*}-2} u+\lambda u$ and $2^{*}=2 N / N-2$ are the critical Sobolev exponent. For convenience of the reader, we give a brief summary of these results: they established existence results in dimension $N=3$ when $\Omega$ is a ball namely, and they ensure the existence of a positive constant $\lambda_{0}$ such that the problem $(\tilde{\mathscr{P}})_{0,1}$ admits a positive solution for $\lambda \in\left(\lambda_{0} / 4, \lambda_{1}\right)$, where $\lambda_{1}$ is the first eigenvalue of the operator $-\Delta$. In higher dimensions $N \geq 4$, they proved the existence of a positive solution for $\lambda$ sufficiently small, i.e., $\lambda \leq \lambda_{0}$ and no positive solution for $\lambda>\lambda_{0}$ and $\Omega$ a starshaped domain.

When $\varphi(\lambda, x, u)=|u|^{2^{*}-2} u+\lambda u^{q}, 1<q<2^{*}$, Ambrosetti et al. [12] established a multiplicity result in a bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 3$ indeed, they ensured the existence of a positive constant $\lambda_{0}$ such that the problem $(\tilde{\mathscr{P}})_{0,1}$ admits two positive solutions for $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{*}\right)$, a positive solution for $\lambda=\lambda_{*}$ and no positive solution for $\lambda>\lambda_{*}$.

For the nonhomogeneous case, namely, when $\varphi(\lambda, x, u)$ $=|u|^{2^{*}-2} u+f(x)$, Tarantello [13] proved the existence of at least two solutions when $f$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f)_{1} \int_{\Omega} f u d x<\frac{4}{N-2}\left(\frac{N-2}{N+2}\right)^{(N+2) / 4}\|u\|^{(N+2) / 4} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2^{*}} d x=1$.
We emphases that the extension of the previous results to the nonlocal case, namely, for elliptic problems driven by Kirchhoff type operator are not obvious in high dimensions $N \geq 4$. Therefore, no improvement was hold concerning the multiplicity of solutions in this case.

For the case $a>0$ and $\varphi(\lambda, x, u)=\mu|u|^{2^{*}-2} u+\lambda u$, Naimen in [14] treated the problem $(\tilde{\mathscr{P}})_{a, b}$ for $N=3$ and obtained homologous results than the ones obtained by Brézis and Niremberg [11] in the nonlocal case under a suitable condition on $a$.

In dimension four, Naimen in [15] used variational methods to explore problem $(\tilde{\mathscr{P}})_{a, b}$ and showed that $(\tilde{\mathscr{P}})_{a, b}$ admits a positive solution when $a>0, b \geq 0$.

In the same order of ideas and still in the nonlocal case $(a>0)$, Lei et al. in [16] and Liao et al. in [17] extended the findings of [12] to a more general setting, namely, with the Kirchhoff operator; they established a multiplicity result in dimensions three and four, respectively.

Benmansour and Bouchekif [8] generalized the results obtained by Tarantello [13] to the nonlocal case in dimension three. Indeed, they have shown the existence of two solutions under a sufficient condition on $f$ by introducing the Nehari manifold.

A natural question is to know whether the multiplicity result persists in the case of dimension four.

In the current paper, our main purpose inspired by [8] is to see that the result obtained in [8] can be extended to dimension four. We emphases that our results are new and complement the above works.

In order to study $(\mathscr{P})_{a, b}$, we shall work with the space $H=H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ endowed with the norm $\|u\|=\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}$, we use also the following notation: $\|u\|_{-}:=\|u\|_{H^{-1}}$, $\|u\|_{p}:=\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}$ for $1 \leq p<\infty, C$ and $C_{i}$ denote generic positive constants whose exact values are not important, $B_{H}(0, r):=\{u \in H:\|u\|<r\}$ is the ball of center 0 and radius $r, o_{n}(1)$ denotes any quantity which tends to zero as $n$ tends to infinity, and $S$ is the best Sobolev constant defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S:=\inf _{u \in H \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\|u\|^{2}}{\|u\|_{4}^{2}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To state the main results, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{a, b, f}=b^{3 / 2} \inf _{\substack{u \in H \\\|u\|_{4}=1}}\left\{\frac{2}{3^{3 / 2}}\left(\frac{\|u\|^{6}}{\|u\|_{4}^{4}-a\|u\|^{4}}\right)^{1 / 2}-\int_{\Omega} \frac{f}{b^{3 / 2}} u d x\right\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b>0, a<S^{-2}$ a small enough positive number and $f$ belongs to $H^{-1} \backslash\{0\}$.

The main results are concluded as the following theorems, which are news for the case when $a \neq 0$.

Theorem 1. Assume that $\gamma_{a, b, f}>0$. Then, the problem $(\mathscr{P})_{a, b}$ admits a local minimal solution $u_{0}$ with $I\left(u_{0}\right)<0$. Furthermore $u_{0} \geq 0$ for $f \geq 0$.

Theorem 2. Assume that $\gamma_{a, b, f}>0$. Then, the problem $(\mathscr{P})_{a, b}$ admits another solution $u_{1}$ with $I\left(u_{1}\right)>0$. Furthermore, $u_{1}$ $\geq 0$ for $f \geq 0$.

Notice that $\gamma_{a, b, f} \geq 0$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} f u d x<2\left(\frac{b}{3}\right)^{3 / 2}\left(\frac{\|u\|^{6}}{\|u\|_{4}-a\|u\|^{4}}\right)^{1 / 2} \text { for all } u \in H \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the assumption $\gamma_{a, b, f}>0$ certainly holds if $f$ satisfies certain conditions, for example,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f)_{2} \int_{\Omega} f u<2\left(\frac{b}{3}\right)^{3 / 2}\|u\|^{3}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in H$ with $\|u\|_{4}=1$. Indeed, we have $\gamma_{0, b, f}$ is achieved and strictly positive if $f$ satisfies $(f)_{2}$ (see Lemma 2.2 in [13]). In order as

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(\frac{b}{3}\right)^{3 / 2}\left(\frac{\|u\|^{6}}{\|u\|_{4}^{4}}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq 2\left(\frac{b}{3}\right)^{3 / 2}\left(\frac{\|u\|^{6}}{\|u\|_{4}^{4}-a\|u\|^{4}}\right)^{1 / 2} \text { for all } u \in H \backslash\{0\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, $\gamma_{a, b, f} \geq \gamma_{0, b, f}>0$.
This paper is structured as follows: in Section 2, we give some basic results useful for what follows. Section 3 is devoted to the proofs of our main results.

## 2. Some Preliminary Results

We consider the energy functional associated to problem $(\mathscr{P})_{a, b}$ defined for $u \in H$ and given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(u)=\frac{a}{4}\|u\|^{4}+\frac{b}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{1}{4}\|u\|_{4}^{4}-\int_{\Omega} f u d x, \quad \text { for all } u \in H \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that $I \in C^{1}(H, \mathbb{R})$, whose derivative at the point $u \in H$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle I^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\left(a\|u\|^{2}+b\right) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v d x-\int_{\Omega} u^{3} v d x-\int_{\Omega} f v d x=0 \text {, for all } v \in H \text {. } \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, if $u \in H$ is a critical point of the functional $I$; then, $u$ is a weak solution of problem $(\mathscr{P})_{a, b}$.

In general, $I$ is not bounded from below on $H$, to overcome this and achieve a multiplicity result, the key argument is to use an appropriate manifold called in mathematical literature the Nehari manifold, it is a suitable manifold who has a pertinent property to prove the distinction of two solutions. Indeed, a minimizer in this set may give rise to solution of the corresponding equation. This so called Nehari manifold is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N} \ll\left\{u \in H:\left\langle I^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=0\right\} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3. Assume that $b>0, a \geq 0$, and $f \in H^{-1} \backslash\{0\}$. Then, the functional I is coercive and bounded from below on $\mathcal{N}$.

Proof. For $u \in \mathcal{N}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\|u\|^{4}-\|u\|_{4}^{4}=\int_{\Omega} f u d x-b\|u\|^{2} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
I(u) & =\frac{a}{4}\|u\|^{4}+\frac{b}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{1}{4}\|u\|_{4}^{4}-\int_{\Omega} f u d x  \tag{13}\\
& =\frac{b}{4}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{3}{4} \int_{\Omega} f u d x \geq \frac{b}{4}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{3}{4}\|f\|_{-}\|u\| .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, $I$ is coercive and bounded from below on $\mathcal{N}$.
Let $h_{u}(t)=I(t u)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}^{*}$ and $u \in H$. These maps are known as fibering maps and were first introduced by Drábek and Pohozaev [18]. The set $\mathcal{N}$ is closely linked to the behavior of $h_{u}(t)$, for more details, see for example [19] or [20].

It is natural to split $\mathcal{N}$ into three subsets:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{N}^{+}:=\left\{u \in \mathscr{N}: h_{u}^{\prime \prime}(1)>0\right\}, \mathscr{N}^{0}:=\left\{u \in \mathcal{N}: h_{u}^{\prime \prime}(1)=0\right\} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}^{-}:=\left\{u \in \mathcal{N}: h_{u}^{\prime \prime}(1)<0\right\} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{u}^{\prime \prime}(t)=3 t^{2} a\|u\|^{4}+b\|u\|^{2}-3 t^{2}\|u\|_{4}^{4}$. These subsets correspond to local minima, points of inflexion, and local maxima of $I$, respectively.

Definition 4. A sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset H$ is said to be a Palais Smale sequence at level $c\left((P S)_{c}\right.$ sequence in short) for $I$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(u_{n}\right)=c+o_{n}(1) \text { and } I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)=o_{n}(1) \text { in } H^{-1} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$I$ verifies Palais Smale condition at level $c\left((P S)_{c}\right.$ condition in short) if any $(P S)_{c}$ sequence has a convergent subsequence in $H$.

Next, for $u \neq 0, b>0$, and $a$, a small enough positive number set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{u}(t)=b\|u\|^{2} t-\left(\|u\|_{4}^{4}-a\|u\|^{4}\right) t^{3}, \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $h_{u}^{\prime}(t)=\Phi_{u}(t)-\int_{\Omega} f u d x$. Easy computations show that $\Phi_{u}$ is concave and achieves its maximum at the point $t_{\max }^{u}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{\max }^{u}=\left(\frac{b\|u\|^{2}}{3\|u\|_{4}^{4}-3 a\|u\|^{4}}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{t \geq 0}\left(\Phi_{u}(t)\right)=\Phi_{u}\left(t_{\max }^{u}\right)=2\left(\frac{b}{3}\right)^{3 / 2}\left(\frac{\|u\|^{6}}{\|u\|_{4}^{4}-a\|u\|^{4}}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$h_{u}^{\prime}\left(t_{\max }^{u}\right)=2\left(\frac{b}{3}\right)^{3 / 2}\left(\frac{\|u\|^{6}}{\|u\|_{4}^{4}-a\|u\|^{4}}\right)^{1 / 2}-\int_{\Omega} f u d x$.
Now, for $u \in H \backslash\{0\}$ set $\Psi(u)=h_{u}^{\prime}\left(t_{\text {max }}^{u}\right)$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{a, b, f}=\inf _{\|u\|_{4}=1} \Psi(u) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix $t_{1}>0$, then, for $t \geq t_{1}$, we have $\Psi(t u)=t \Psi(u)$,
$t_{1} \gamma_{a, b, f} \leq t \gamma_{a, b, f}=t \inf _{\|u\|_{4}=1} \Psi(u)=\inf _{\|t u\|_{4}=t} \Psi(t u)=\inf _{\|v\|_{4}=t} \Psi(v)$,
so

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1} \gamma_{a, b, f} \leq \inf _{\|v\|_{4} \geq t_{1}} \Psi(v) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is crucial for the following.
Lemma 5. Assume that $\gamma_{a, b, f}>0$, then, $\mathscr{N}^{0}=\{0\}$.
Proof. Arguing by contradiction we assume that there exists $u \in \mathscr{N}^{0} \backslash\{0\}$, i.e., $u \neq 0$ verifies

$$
\begin{gather*}
a\|u\|^{4}+b\|u\|^{2}-\|u\|_{4}^{4}-\int_{\Omega} f u d x=0  \tag{24}\\
3 a\|u\|^{4}+b\|u\|^{2}-3\|u\|_{4}^{4}=0 . \tag{25}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (24) and (25), we derive that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{3} b\|u\|^{2}-\int_{\Omega} f u d x=0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $0 \leq a<S^{-2}$, we get from (24), (26), and the definition of $S$

$$
\begin{equation*}
3\left(a-S^{-2}\right)\|u\|^{4}+b\|u\|^{2} \leq 0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|^{2}\left(3\left(a-S^{-2}\right)\|u\|^{2}+b\right) \leq 0 . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, as $u \neq 0$ and $a<S^{-2}$, we derive that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|^{2} \geq \frac{b}{3\left(S^{-2}-a\right)}>0 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

therefore, from (25), we obtain $\|u\|_{4} \geq t_{1}$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1}=\left[\frac{a b^{2}}{9\left(S^{-2}-a\right)^{2}}+\frac{b^{2}}{9\left(S^{-2}-a\right)}\right]^{1 / 4} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, from (23), (25), and (26), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & <t_{1} \gamma_{a, b, f} \leq \inf _{\|u\|_{4} \geq t_{1}} \Psi(u) \leq \Psi(u) \\
& =2\left(\frac{b}{3}\right)^{3 / 2}\left(\frac{\|u\|^{6}}{\|u\|_{4}^{4}-a\|u\|^{4}}\right)^{1 / 2}-\int_{\Omega} f u d x \\
& =2\left(\frac{b}{3}\right)^{3 / 2}\left(\frac{\|u\|^{6}}{(b / 3)\|u\|^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}-\int_{\Omega} f u d x=2 \frac{b}{3}\|u\|^{2}-\int_{\Omega} f u d x=0, \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

which yields to a contradiction.
Lemma 6. Assume that $\gamma_{a, b, f}>0$, then, for all $u \in H \backslash\{0\}$, there exists unique positive value $t_{u}^{+}=t^{+}(u)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{u}^{+}>t_{u}^{\max }, t_{u}^{+} u \in \mathcal{N}^{-} \operatorname{and} I\left(t_{u}^{+} u\right)=\max _{t \geq t_{\max }^{u}} I(t u) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $\int_{\Omega} f u d x>0$, then, there exists unique positive value $t_{u}^{-}=t^{-}(u)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<t_{u}^{-}<t_{\max }^{u}, t_{u}^{-} u \in \mathcal{N}^{+} \operatorname{andI}\left(t_{u}^{-} u\right)=\inf _{0 \leq t \leq t_{\max }^{u}} I(t u) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We have $h_{u}(t)=I(t u), h_{u}^{\prime}(t)=\Phi_{u}(t)-\int_{\Omega} f u d x$, and $\Phi_{u}$ is concave and achieves its maximum at the point $t_{\max }^{u}$. If $\gamma_{a, b, f}>0$; then, there exists a unique $t_{u}^{+}>0$, such that $h_{u}^{\prime}($ $\left.t_{u}^{+}\right)=\Phi_{u}\left(t_{u}^{+}\right)-\int_{\Omega} f u d x$ and $h_{u}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{u}^{+}\right)<0$, which implies that $t_{u}^{+} u \in \mathcal{N}^{-}$and $I\left(t_{u}^{+} u\right) \geq I(t u)$ for all $t \geq t_{\max }^{u}$. Moreover, if $\int_{\Omega} f u d x>0$, then, there exists a unique $t_{u}^{-}>0$, such that $h_{u}$ $'\left(t_{u}^{-}\right)=\Phi_{u}\left(t_{u}^{-}\right)-\int_{\Omega} f u d x$ and $h_{u}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{u}^{+}\right)>0$, which implies that $t_{u}^{-} u \in \mathscr{N}^{+}$and $I\left(t_{u}^{+} u\right) \leq I(t u)$ for all $t \leq t_{\max }^{u}$.

Set

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{1}=\left\{u \in H: u=0 \text { or }\|u\|<t^{+}\left(\frac{u}{\|u\|}\right)\right\},  \tag{34}\\
& E_{2}=\left\{u \in H \backslash\{0\}:\|u\|>t^{+}\left(\frac{u}{\|u\|}\right)\right\} . \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

In the following lemma, we prove that $\mathcal{N}^{-}$is closed and disconnects $H$ in exactly two connected components $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$.

Lemma 7. Assume that $\gamma_{a, b, f}>0$. Then
(i) $\mathcal{N}^{-}$is closed
(ii) $H \backslash \mathcal{N}^{-}=E_{1} \cup E_{2}$
(iii) $\mathscr{N}^{+} \subset E_{1}$

Proof. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset \mathscr{N}^{-}$and $\tilde{u}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{n}$, then, $\tilde{u} \in \mathscr{N}$. Assume by contradiction that $\tilde{u} \notin \mathcal{N}^{-}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 a\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{4}+b\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-3\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{4}^{4}<0 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 a\|\tilde{u}\|^{4}+b\|\tilde{u}\|^{2}-3\|\tilde{u}\|_{4}^{4}=0 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{N}^{0}$, this implies that $\tilde{u}=0$.
From (36) and the definition of $S$, we get $\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \geq b S^{2} / 3$, so $\|\tilde{u}\|^{2} \geq b S^{2} / 3$, which yields to a contradiction.

Let $u \in \mathcal{N}^{-}$and $v=u /\|u\|$, then, $t^{+}(u)=1$, and there exists unique $t^{+}(v)$ such that $t^{+}(v) v \in \mathscr{N}^{-}$. As

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{+}(v) v=t^{+}\left(\frac{u}{\|u\|}\right) \frac{1}{\|u\|} u \in \mathcal{N}^{-}, \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{+}\left(\frac{u}{\|u\|}\right) \frac{1}{\|u\|}=t^{+}(u)=1 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus if $u \in H \backslash\{0\}$ and,

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{+}\left(\frac{u}{\|u\|}\right) \frac{1}{\|u\|} \neq 1 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, $u \notin \mathscr{N}^{-}$and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H \backslash \mathscr{N}^{-}=E_{1} \cup E_{2} . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u \in \mathcal{N}^{+}$then

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{-}(u)=t^{-}\left(\frac{u}{\|u\|}\right) \frac{1}{\|u\|}=1 . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $t^{+}(u)>t^{-}(u)$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{+}(u)=t^{+}\left(\frac{u}{\|u\|}\right) \frac{1}{\|u\|}>1 . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, $\|u\|<t^{+}(u /\|u\|)$, and we conclude that $\mathscr{N}^{+} \subset E_{1}$.
By Lemma 6, we know that $\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathscr{N}^{-}$are not empty, so we can define $\theta_{0} \leq \theta_{1}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{0}:=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{N}} I(u) \text { and } \theta_{1}:=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{N}^{-}} I(u) . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 8. Assume that $\gamma_{a, b, f}>0$, then, there exists $t_{*}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{-9}{16 b}\|f\|_{-}^{2} \leq \theta_{0} \leq-\frac{b}{4} t_{*}^{2}\|f\|_{-}^{2} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{N}$, then
$I(u)=\frac{b}{4}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{3}{4} \int_{\Omega} f u d x \geq \frac{b}{4}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{3}{4}\|f\|_{-}\|u\| \geq \frac{-9}{16 b}\|f\|_{-}^{2}$.

Thus $\theta_{0} \geq-9 / 16 b\|f\|_{-}^{2}$.

Set $u_{*} \in H$ the unique solution of the equation $-\Delta u=f$, it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} f u_{*} d x=\left\|u_{*}\right\|^{2}=\|f\|_{-}^{2} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 6, there exists a unique positive value $t_{*}=t_{u_{*}}^{-}$ such that $t_{*} u_{*} \in \mathscr{N}^{+}$. So

$$
\begin{align*}
I\left(t_{*} u_{*}\right)= & -\frac{3 a}{4} t_{*}^{4}\left\|u_{*}\right\|^{4}-\frac{b}{2} t_{*}^{2}\left\|u_{*}\right\|^{2}+\frac{3}{4} t_{*}^{4}\left\|u_{*}\right\|_{4}^{4} \leq \frac{b}{4} t_{*}^{2}\left\|u_{*}\right\|^{2} \\
& -\frac{b}{2} t_{*}^{2}\left\|u_{*}\right\|^{2}=-\frac{b}{4} t_{*}^{2}\|f\|_{-}^{2}, \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{-9}{16 b}\|f\|_{-}^{2} \leq \theta_{0} \leq-\frac{b}{4} t_{*}^{2}\|f\|_{-}^{2} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following lemma is needed for prove the existence of Palais Smale sequences.

Lemma 9. Assume that $\gamma_{a, b, f}>0$. Then, for any $u \in \mathcal{N} \backslash\{0\}$, there exist $\varepsilon>0$ and a differentiable function $\zeta: B_{H}(0, \varepsilon)$ $\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+} \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(0)=1, \zeta(v)(u-v) \in \mathcal{N}, \forall v \in B_{H}(0, \varepsilon) \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\zeta^{\prime}(0), v\right)=\frac{\left(4 a\|u\|^{2}+2 b\right) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v d x-4 \int_{\Omega} u^{3} v d x-\int_{\Omega} f v d x}{3 a\|u\|^{4}+b\|u\|^{2}-3\|u\|_{4}^{4}} . \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{N} \backslash\{0\}$ and define: $\mathbb{R} \times H \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\zeta, v)=a \zeta^{3}\|u-v\|^{4}+b \zeta\|u-v\|^{2}-\zeta^{3}\|u-v\|_{4}^{4}-\int_{\Omega} f(u-v) d x \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $(1,0)=0$. Moreover, from Lemma 5, we derive that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}(1,0)=3 a\|u\|^{4}+b\|u\|^{2}-3\|u\|_{4}^{4} \neq 0 \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we get our result by a straightforward application of the implicit function theorem to the function at the point (1, 0).

Lemma 10. Let $\theta \in\left\{\theta_{0}, \theta_{1}\right\}$. There exist a Palais Smale sequences $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset \mathscr{N}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(u_{n}\right) \longrightarrow \theta, I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Assume $\theta=\theta_{0}$, by Lemma 3, $I$ is bounded from below in $\mathcal{N}$, then by applying the Ekeland Variational Principle, we
can obtain a minimizing sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{N}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
\theta_{0} \leq I\left(u_{n}\right)<\theta_{0}+\frac{1}{n},  \tag{55}\\
I(w) \geq I\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{n}\left\|w-u_{n}\right\|, \tag{56}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $w \in \mathcal{N}$. Thus, $I\left(u_{n}\right) \longrightarrow \theta_{0}$.
By using Lemma 8, we get for $n$ large enough

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{b}{4} t_{*}^{2}\|f\|_{-}^{2} \geq \theta_{0}+\frac{1}{n}>I\left(u_{n}\right)=\frac{b}{4}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\frac{3}{4} \int_{\Omega} f u_{n} d x \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

this implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} f u_{n} d x \geq \frac{b}{3}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{b}{3} t_{*}^{2}\|f\|_{-}^{2} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} f u_{n} d x \geq \frac{b}{3} t_{*}^{2}\|f\|_{-}^{2} \text { and } \int_{\Omega} f u_{n} d x \geq \frac{b}{3}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by Holder inequality, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{-}\left\|u_{n}\right\| \geq \frac{b}{3} t_{*}^{2}\|f\|_{-}^{2}>0 \text { and }\|f\|_{-}\left\|u_{n}\right\| \geq \frac{b}{3}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, $u_{n} \neq 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{b}{3} t_{*}^{2}\|f\|_{-} \leq\left\|u_{n}\right\| \leq \frac{3}{b}\|f\|_{-} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we show that $\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|$ tend to 0 as $n$ goes to $+\infty$. Arguing by contradiction and fix $n$ with $\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\| \neq 0$.

Then, by Lemma 9, there exist $\varepsilon>0$ and a function $\zeta_{n}$ $: B_{H}(0, \varepsilon) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $w_{n}=\zeta_{n}\left(v_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}-v_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{N}$ with $v_{n}=\delta I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) /\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|$ and $0<\delta<\varepsilon$. By (56) and the Taylor expansion of $I$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
-\frac{1}{n} & \left\|w_{n}-u_{n}\right\| \leq I\left(w_{n}\right)-I\left(u_{n}\right) \leq\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), w_{n}-u_{n}\right\rangle+\circ\left(\left\|w_{n}-u_{n}\right\|\right) \\
= & \left(\zeta_{n}\left(v_{n}\right)-1\right)\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle-\delta \zeta_{n}\left(v_{n}\right)\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), \frac{I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)}{\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{-}}\right\rangle \\
& +\circ\left(\left\|w_{n}-u_{n}\right\|\right) . \tag{62}
\end{align*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{n}\left(v_{n}\right)\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{-} \leq \frac{\zeta_{n}\left(v_{n}\right)-1}{\delta}\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle+\frac{\left\|w_{n}-u_{n}\right\|}{n \delta}+\frac{\circ\left(\left\|w_{n}-u_{n}\right\|\right)}{\delta} . \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \zeta_{n}\left(v_{n}\right)=1, & \lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left|\zeta_{n}\left(v_{n}\right)-1\right|}{\delta}=\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left|\zeta_{n}\left(v_{n}\right)-\zeta_{n}(0)\right|}{\delta} \leq\left\|\zeta_{n}^{\prime}(0)\right\|_{-},  \tag{64}\\
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left\|w_{n}-u_{n}\right\|}{n \delta}= & \lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{n \delta}\left\|\left(\zeta_{n}\left(v_{n}\right)-1\right) u_{n}-\zeta_{n}\left(v_{n}\right) v_{n}\right\| \leq \lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{n}\left[\left\|\frac{\zeta_{n}\left(v_{n}\right)-1}{\delta} u_{n}\right\|\right. \\
& \left.+\left\|\zeta_{n}\left(v_{n}\right) \frac{v_{n}}{\delta}\right\|\right] \leq \frac{1}{n}\left(\left\|\zeta_{n}^{\prime}(0)\right\|-\left\|u_{n}\right\|+1\right) . \tag{65}
\end{align*}
$$

This, together with (61) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{-} \leq \frac{C_{3}}{n}\left(\left\|\zeta_{n}^{\prime}(0)\right\|_{-}+1\right) \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a suitable constant $C_{3}>0$. Now, we must show that $\left\|\zeta_{n}^{\prime}(0)\right\|_{-}$is uniformly bounded in $n$ : indeed, since $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is a bounded sequence, we have from Lemma 8

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\zeta_{n}^{\prime}(0)\right| \leq \frac{C_{4}}{\left|3 a\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{4}+b\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-3\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{4}^{4}\right|} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a suitable constant $C_{4}>0$. Assume by contradiction that for a subsequence still called $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-3\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{4}^{4}-a\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{4}\right)=o_{n}(1) \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, as $a$ is a small enough positive number, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{b}{3}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}\right]^{3 / 2}=\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{4}^{4}-a\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{4}\right)^{3 / 2}+o_{n}(1) \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

So from (61), we derive that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}\right\| \geq \frac{b}{3} t_{*}^{2}\|f\|_{-} \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, as $u_{n} \in \mathcal{N}$, we get from (68)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} f u_{n} d x=2\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{4}^{4}-a\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{4}\right)+o_{n}(1) \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & <t_{*}^{2}\|f\|_{-}\left(\frac{b}{3}\right)^{3 / 2} \gamma_{a, b, f} \leq\left[\frac{b}{3}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \Psi\left(u_{n}\right) \\
& \leq\left[\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{4}^{4}-a\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{4}\right]^{1 / 2} \Psi\left(u_{n}\right)  \tag{72}\\
& \leq 2\left(\frac{b}{3}\right)^{3 / 2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{3}-2\left[\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{4}^{4}-a\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{4}\right]^{3 / 2}=o_{n}(1)
\end{align*}
$$

which is absurd. At this point, we conclude that $I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \longrightarrow 0$ in $H^{-1}$.

For $\theta=\theta_{1}$, adopting exactly the same way as in the case where $\theta=\theta_{0}$.

In the following, we will prove our results.

## 3. Proofs of the Main Results

The proof of our main results is divided in two parts.
3.1. Existence of a Solution in $\mathscr{N}^{+}$. In this subsection, we prove that $I$ has a solution in $\mathcal{N}^{+}$.

Proposition 11. Assume that $\gamma_{a, b, f}>0$. Then, the minimization problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{0}=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{N}} I(u), \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

attaints its infimum at a point $u_{0} \in \mathscr{N}^{+}$. Moreover, $u_{0}$ is a local minimizer for I in $H$.

Proof. By using Lemma 10, there exists a bounded minimizing sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset \mathscr{N}^{+}$such that $I\left(u_{n}\right) \longrightarrow \theta_{0}$ and $I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)$ $\longrightarrow 0$ in $H^{-1}$. So, we deduce that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $H$.

Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we have $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{0}$ weakly in $H$, then, $\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right), w\right\rangle=0$, for all $w \in H$. In addition, from (60), we get $\int_{\Omega} f u_{0} d x>0$. So, $u_{0}$ is a weak solution for $(\mathscr{P})_{a, b}$ and $u_{0} \in \mathcal{N}$.

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{0} \leq I\left(u_{0}\right)=\frac{b}{4}\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{2}-\frac{3}{4} \int_{\Omega} f u_{0} d x \leq \varliminf_{n \Longrightarrow \infty} I\left(u_{n}\right)=\theta_{0}, \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $I\left(u_{0}\right)=\theta_{0}=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{N}} I(u)$. It follows that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $u_{0}$ in $H$, then, $u_{0} \in \mathscr{N}^{+}$and necessarily

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{-}\left(u_{0}\right)=1<t_{\max }^{u_{0}} . \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

To conclude that $u_{0}$ is a local minimum of $I$, let us recall that we have from Lemma 6

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(s u) \geq I\left(u_{0}\right) \text { for every } 0<s<t_{\max }^{u_{0}} \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small to have

$$
\begin{equation*}
1<t_{\max }^{u_{0}-w} \text { for }\|w\|<\varepsilon \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $t(w)$ satisfying $t(w)\left(u_{0}-w\right) \in \mathcal{N}$ for every $\|w\|<\varepsilon$. Since $t(w) \longrightarrow 1$ as $\|w\| \longrightarrow 0$, we can always assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t(w)<t_{\max }^{u_{0}-w} \text { for every } w \text { such that }\|w\|<\varepsilon \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

so $t(w)\left(u_{0}-w\right) \in \mathscr{N}^{+}$and for $0<s<t_{\text {max }}^{u_{0}-w}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(s\left(u_{0}-w\right)\right) \geq I\left(t(w)\left(u_{0}-w\right)\right) \geq I\left(u_{0}\right) \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

from (75), we can take $s=1$ and conclude that $I\left(u_{0}-w\right) \geq$ $I\left(u_{0}\right)$, for all $w \in H$ such that $\|w\|<\varepsilon$. Thus, $u_{0}$ is a local minimum of $I$.

If $f \geq 0$, we have $\int_{\Omega} f u_{0} d x \leq \int_{\Omega} f\left|u_{0}\right| d x$ and clearly $I(\mid$ $\left.u_{0} \mid\right) \leq I\left(u_{0}\right)$, and from (75) necessarily $t^{-}\left(\left|u_{0}\right|\right) \geq 1$. There-
fore, as $I\left(u_{0}\right)=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{N}^{+}} I(u)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(t^{-}\left(\left|u_{0}\right|\right)\left|u_{0}\right|\right) \leq I\left(\left|u_{0}\right|\right) \leq I\left(u_{0}\right) \leq I\left(t^{-}\left(\left|u_{0}\right|\right)\left|u_{0}\right|\right) \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

so, we can always take $u_{0} \geq 0$.
3.2. Existence of a Solution in $\mathcal{N}^{-}$. The following part is devoted to prove the existence of a second solution $u_{1}$ such that $I\left(u_{1}\right)=\theta_{1}=\inf _{v \in \mathcal{N}^{-}} I(v)$. First, we determine the good level for covering the Palais Smale condition.

We have the following important result.
Lemma 12. Assume that $\gamma_{a, b, f}>0$. Then, I satisfies the $(P S)_{c}$ condition for $c<c_{a, b}^{*}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{a, b}^{*}=\theta_{0}+\frac{b^{2}}{4\left(S^{-2}-a\right)} \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be a $(P S)_{c}$ sequence with $c<c_{a, b}^{*}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
c+o_{n}(1)= & I\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{4}\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle=\frac{b}{4}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}  \tag{82}\\
& -\frac{3}{4} \int_{\Omega} f u_{n} d x \geq \frac{b}{4}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\frac{3}{4}\|f\|\left\|_{-}\right\| u_{n} \| .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is a bounded sequence in $H$. Thus for a subsequence still denoted $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ and we can find $u_{1} \in H$ such that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{1}$ in $H, \int_{\Omega} f u_{n} d x \longrightarrow \int_{\Omega} f u_{1} d x$ and $u_{n} \longrightarrow u_{1}$ a.e in $\Omega$. Therefore, $u_{1} \neq 0, u_{1} \in \mathcal{N}$, and $I\left(u_{1}\right) \geq \theta_{1}$.

Let $w_{n}=u_{n}-u_{1}$. From Brézis-Lieb Lemma [21], one has

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}=\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|u_{1}\right\|^{2}+o_{n}(1),\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{4}=\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{4}  \tag{83}\\
&+2\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2}\left\|u_{1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|u_{1}\right\|^{4}+o_{n}(1) \\
&\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{4}^{4}=\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{4}^{4}+\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{4}^{4}+o_{n}(1), \tag{84}
\end{align*}
$$

this implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
o_{n}(1)= & \left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle=a\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{4}+b\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{4}^{4} \\
& -\int_{\Omega} f w_{n} d x+2 a\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2}\left\|u_{1}\right\|^{2} . \tag{85}
\end{align*}
$$

Assume that $\left\|w_{n}\right\| \longrightarrow l$ with $l>0$, then, by (85) and the Sobolev inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S^{-2}-a\right) l^{4} \geq b l^{2}+2 a l^{2}\left\|u_{1}\right\|^{2} \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

this implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S^{-2}-a\right) l^{4}-b l^{2} \geq 0 \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
l^{2} \geq \frac{b}{S^{-2}-a} \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
c+o_{n}(1)= & I\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{4}\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle=\frac{b}{4}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\frac{3}{4} \int_{\Omega} f u_{n} d x \\
= & \frac{b}{4}\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{b}{4}\left\|u_{1}\right\|^{2}-\frac{3}{4} \int_{\Omega} f u_{1} d x=\frac{b}{4}\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& +I\left(u_{1}\right)-\frac{1}{4}\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right), u_{1}\right\rangle=\frac{b}{4}\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2}+I\left(u_{1}\right), \tag{89}
\end{align*}
$$

consequently, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
c \geq \theta_{0}+\frac{b^{2}}{4\left(S^{-2}-a\right)} \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, $l=0$ and $u_{n} \longrightarrow u_{1}$ strongly in $H$.

Now, it is natural to show that $\theta_{1}<c_{a, b}^{*}$. As it is well know, (see $H L$ ), the best Sobolev constant $S$ defined above is attained in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\varepsilon}(x)=\varepsilon\left(\varepsilon^{2}+|x|^{2}\right)^{-1}, \varepsilon>0 \text { and } x \in \mathbb{R}^{4} \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $x_{0} \in \Omega$ let $V_{\varepsilon}(x)=U_{\varepsilon}\left(x-x_{0}\right) \phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that $\phi(x)=1$ for $x \in B_{x_{0}}^{r}, \phi(x)=0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{4} \backslash B_{x_{0}}^{2 r}$, and $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$, $|\nabla \phi| \leq C$. Now, we shall give some useful estimates of the extremal functions $U_{\varepsilon}$. Let $V_{\varepsilon}(x)=\phi(x)\left(\varepsilon+\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{2}\right)^{-2}$ and $u_{\varepsilon}=V_{\varepsilon}(x)\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{4}$. The following estimates are obtained in [22] as $\varepsilon$ tends to 0

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{4}^{2}=1  \tag{92}\\
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}=S+O(\varepsilon) \\
C_{1} \varepsilon^{4-q} \leq \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^{q} d x \leq C_{2} \varepsilon^{4-q} \text { for } q>2
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $\Omega^{\prime} \subset \Omega$ a set of positive measure such that $u_{0}>0$ on $\Omega^{\prime}$ (if not replace $u_{0}$ and $f$ by $-u_{0}$ and $-f$, respectively).

Lemma 13. Let $b>0,0 \leq a<S^{-2}$ a small enough positive number. Assume that $f \in H^{-1} \backslash\{0\}$ satisfies $\gamma_{a, b, f}>0$; then, for every $t>0$ and a.e. $x_{0} \in \Omega^{\prime} \subset \Omega$, there exists $\varepsilon_{0}$ such that $I\left(u_{0}+t u_{\varepsilon}\right)<c_{a, b}^{*}$ for every $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0}$.

Proof. For $\varepsilon$ small enough, let us consider the functional $g$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(t)=\frac{b t^{2}}{2}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}-\frac{t^{4}}{4}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{4}^{4} \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have by (92)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \geq 0} g(t)=\frac{b^{2}}{4 S^{-2}}+O(\varepsilon) \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since $u_{0} \in \mathscr{N}^{+}$is a solution of problem $(\mathscr{P})_{a, b}$, we have $\left\|u_{0}\right\| \leq C, I\left(u_{0}\right)=\theta_{0}$ and $\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right), t u_{\varepsilon}\right\rangle$ $=0$.

Then we obtain by (92)

$$
\begin{align*}
& I\left(u_{0}+t u_{\varepsilon}\right)=\frac{a}{4}\left\|u_{0}+t u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{4}+\frac{b}{2}\left\|u_{0}+t u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{4}\left\|u_{0}+t u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{4}^{4} \\
& \quad-\int_{\Omega} f\left(u_{0}+t u_{\varepsilon}\right) d x=\frac{a}{4}\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{4}+\frac{b}{2}\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{4}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{4}^{4} \\
& \quad-\int_{\Omega} f u_{0} d x+\frac{a t^{4}}{4}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{4}+\frac{b t^{2}}{2}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}-\frac{t^{4}}{4}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{4}^{4} \\
& \quad+\left(a\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{2}+b\right) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{0} \nabla\left(t u_{\varepsilon}\right) d x-\int_{\Omega} u_{0}^{3}\left(t u_{\varepsilon}\right) d x-t \int_{\Omega} f u_{\varepsilon} d x+t^{2} \\
& \quad \cdot\left[a\left(\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{0} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2} d x+\frac{a}{2}\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{2}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}-\frac{3}{2} \int_{\Omega} u_{0}^{2} u_{\varepsilon}^{2} d x\right]+t^{3} \\
& \quad \cdot\left[a\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{0} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} d x-\int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^{3} u_{0} d x\right] \leq I\left(u_{0}\right)+\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right), t u_{\varepsilon}\right\rangle \\
& +\frac{a t^{4}}{4}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{4}+\frac{b t^{2}}{2}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}-\frac{t^{4}}{4}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{4}^{4}+t^{2} a C_{1}+t^{3}\left[a C_{2}-C_{3} \varepsilon\right] \leq \theta_{0}+h(t) \tag{95}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(t)=\frac{a t^{4}}{4}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{4}+\frac{b t^{2}}{2}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}-\frac{t^{4}}{4}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{4}^{4}+a t^{2} C_{1}+t^{3}\left[a C_{2}-C_{3} \varepsilon\right] . \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $a<S^{-2}$, we have $h(t) \longrightarrow-\infty$ as $t$ goes to $\infty$ and $h(t) \longrightarrow 0$ as $t$ goes to 0 . This implies that there exist $0<$ $T_{1}<T_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{t>0} I\left(u_{0}+t u_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \sup _{T_{1}<t<T_{2}} I\left(u_{0}+t u_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \theta_{0}+\frac{b t^{2}}{2}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}-\frac{t^{4}}{4}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{4}^{4} \\
& \quad+\frac{a T_{2}^{4}}{4}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{4}+a T_{2}^{2} C_{1}+T_{1}^{3}\left[a C_{2}-C_{3} \varepsilon\right] \leq \theta_{0}+\frac{b^{2}}{4 S^{-2}}+O(\varepsilon) \\
& \quad+a\left(T_{2}^{2} C_{1}+T_{1}^{3} C_{2}\right)-T_{1}^{3} C_{3} \varepsilon . \tag{97}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, for $a=\varepsilon^{\sigma}$ with $\sigma>1$, we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(u_{0}+t u_{\varepsilon}\right)<\theta_{0}+\frac{b^{2}}{4 S^{-2}}=c_{0, b}^{*} \leq c_{a, b}^{*} . \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t>0} I\left(u_{0}+t u_{\varepsilon}\right)<c_{a, b}^{*}, \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $a$ small enough positive number.
Proposition 14. Let $b>0,0 \leq a<S^{-2}$ a small enough positive number. Assume that $f \in H^{-1} \backslash\{0\}$ satisfies $\gamma_{a, b, f}>0$, then, $I$ has a local minimizer $u_{1}$ on $\mathcal{N}^{-}$such that $I\left(u_{1}\right)=\theta_{1}$. Moreover $u_{1}$ is a local minimizer for $I$ on $H$.

Proof. By Lemma 6, for every $u \in H$ such that $\|u\|=1$, there exists unique $t^{+}(u)>0$ such that $t^{+}(u) u \in \mathcal{N}^{-}$and $I\left(t^{+} u\right) \geq$
$I(t u)$, for all $t \geq t_{\max }^{u}$. Then, for suitable constant $T_{3}>0$, we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<t^{+}(u)<T_{3}, \text { for all } u \text { such that }\|u\|=1 \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore for $t_{0}>0$ carefully chosen, the estimate (97) holds for $\varepsilon$ small enough.

Thus, we derive that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{0}+t_{0} u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}>C_{1}^{2} \geq\left[t^{+}\left(\frac{u_{0}+t_{0} u_{\varepsilon}}{\left\|u_{0}+t_{0} u_{\varepsilon}\right\|}\right)\right]^{2} \text {, for all } \varepsilon>0 \text { small enough. } \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, from Lemma 7, we conclude that $u_{0}+t_{0} u_{\varepsilon} \in E_{2}$. Set
$\Gamma=\left\{\xi:[0,1] \longrightarrow H\right.$ continuous, $\left.\xi(0)=u_{0}, \xi(1)=u_{0}+t_{0} u_{\varepsilon}\right\}$.

It is obvious that $\xi:[0,1] \longrightarrow H$ given by $\xi(t)=u_{0}+t$ $t_{0} u_{\varepsilon}$ belongs to $\Gamma$. We conclude from Lemma 13 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\inf _{\xi \in \Gamma} \max _{t \in[0,1]} I(\xi(t))<c_{0, b}^{*} . \tag{103}
\end{equation*}
$$

As the range of any $\xi \in \Gamma$ intersects $\mathscr{N}^{-}$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
c \geq \theta_{1}=\inf _{\mathcal{N}^{-}} I, \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 10, we can obtain a minimizing sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset \mathscr{N}^{-}$such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(u_{n}\right) \longrightarrow \theta_{1} \text { and }\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\| \longrightarrow 0 \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also deduce that $\theta_{1}<c_{0, b}^{*}$.
Consequently, we obtain a subsequence still denoted \{ $\left.u_{n}\right\}$, and we can find $u_{1} \in H$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n} \longrightarrow u_{1} \text { strongly in } H \tag{106}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that $u_{1}$ is a critical point for $I, u_{1} \in \mathcal{N}^{-}$and $I\left(u_{1}\right)=\theta_{1}$.

Finally, for $f \geq 0$, let $t^{+}\left(\left|u_{1}\right|\right)>0$ satisfying $t^{+}\left(\left|u_{1}\right|\right)\left|u_{1}\right|$ $\in \mathscr{N}^{-}$. From Lemma 6, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(t^{+}\left(\left|u_{1}\right|\right)\left|u_{1}\right|\right) \geq I\left(u_{1}\right)=\max _{t \geq t_{\max }} I\left(t u_{1}\right) \geq I\left(t^{+}\left(\left|u_{1}\right|\right) u_{1}\right) \geq I\left(t^{+}\left(\left|u_{1}\right|\right)\left|u_{1}\right|\right) . \tag{107}
\end{equation*}
$$

So we conclude that $u_{1} \geq 0$.

## 4. Conclusion

In our work, we have searched the critical points as the minimizers of the energy functional associated to the problem on the constraint defined by the Nehari manifold $\mathcal{N}$, which is a solution of our problem. Under some sufficient conditions, we split $\mathcal{N}$ in two disjoint subsets $\mathscr{N}^{+}$and $\mathscr{N}^{-}$. Thus,
we consider the minimization problems on $\mathscr{N}^{+}$and $\mathscr{N}^{-}$, respectively. If $\gamma_{a, b, f}>0$, then, the problem $(\mathscr{P})_{a, b}$ has a local minimal solution $u_{0}$ with $I\left(u_{0}\right)<0$. Furthermore, $u_{0} \geq 0$ for $f \geq 0$ and if $\gamma_{a, b, f}>0$. The problem $(\mathscr{P})_{a, b}$ has another solution $u_{1}$ with $I\left(u_{1}\right)>0$. Furthermore, $u_{1} \geq 0$ for $f \geq 0$.
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