

Research Article

Self-Adaptive Predictor-Corrector Approach for General Variational Inequalities Using a Fixed-Point Formulation

Kubra Sanaullah,¹, Saleem Ullah,¹, Muhammad Shoaib Arif,^{1,2} Kamaleldin Abodayeh,², and Rabia Fayyaz,³

¹Department of Mathematics, Air University, PAF Complex E-9, Islamabad 44000, Pakistan ²Department of Mathematics and Sciences, College of Humanities and Sciences, Prince Sultan University, Riyadh 11586, Saudi Arabia

³Department of Mathematics, Comsats University Islamabad, Islamabad, Pakistan

Correspondence should be addressed to Muhammad Shoaib Arif; maths_zaas@hotmail.com

Received 30 November 2021; Revised 16 January 2022; Accepted 4 February 2022; Published 12 March 2022

Academic Editor: Nawab Hussain

Copyright © 2022 Kubra Sanaullah et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

A literature review revealed that the general variational inequalities, fixed-point problems, and Winner–Hopf equations are equivalent. In this study, general variational inequality and fixed-point problem are considered. We introduced a new iterative method based on a self-adaptive predictor-corrector approach for finding a solution to the GVI. Adaptations in the fixed-point formulation and self-adaptive techniques have been used to predict a novel iterative approach. Convergence analyses of the suggested algorithm are demonstrated. Moreover, numerical analysis shows that we establish the new best method for solving general variational inequality which performs better than the previous one. Furthermore, it is known that GVI consisted of several classes including variational inequalities and related optimization problems, and results obtained in this study continue to hold for these problems.

1. Introduction

Applied mathematics has adorned the most promising and panoramic field referred to as variational inequality theory. This theory is a powerful unifying methodology for the study of equilibrium problems and provides us algorithms with accompanying convergence analysis for computational purposes. Therefore, in recent few years, various branches of mathematical and engineering sciences can be transformed in the framework of variational inequalities such as electronics, heat transportation, elasticity, optimization, network analysis, water resources, game theory, equilibrium problems in economics, mechanics, and traffic analysis; see [1-10]. Such remarkable development claims the most simple and unidirectional models of linear and nonlinear techniques. The idea of variational inequalities was first originated by Stampacchia [11]. Related to the variational inequalities, we have the concept of the Wiener-Hopf equations and general variational inequalities which were introduced by Noor [12] and Shi [13] in conjunction with variational inequalities from different points of view.

Several conventional improvements approach to establish the solutions for open, moving boundary value problems and asymmetric obstacle, unilateral, even-order, and oddorder problems utilizing general variational inequalities, see [13–18]. Equivalent effects of general variational inequalities and fixed-point problems utilizing the projection techniques in recent days are an active research field, see [8, 19–21]. Quantitative knowledge of pseudocontractive and nonlinear monotone (accretive) operators combined with Lipschitztype conditions is vital to prove the convergence of fixedpoint iterative procedures. The phenomena of variational inequalities have a significant contribution to solving the Wiener–Hopf equations. Salient features of Wiener–Hopf equations and optimization problems in the presence of variational inequalities are addressed by Shi, see [12, 20–23].

Variants of projection methods such as Wiener-Hopf equation techniques, auxiliary principle scheme, decomposition, and dynamical systems are advanced for solving various kinds of variational inequalities and other related optimization problems, see [8, 17-19, 22-26]. A detailed study by Lions and Stampacchia revealed the utilization of such tools for finding the detailed solution of variational inequalities was in consumption a long time ago, see [27, 28]. The primary objective for employing these abilities is to keep the variational inequality and the fixed-point problem similar through projection. Based on this formula, many projection methods for resolving variational inequalities can be developed. This approach has been critical. Convergence of the projection method has a drawback; it required a strongly monotone and Lipschitz continuous operator, which has limited much application. Therefore, innovative methods or modifications in the projection method are required to diversify the field.

Publications such as [23, 29–36] comprised of extra gradient-type methods which delimited the projection phenomenon by taking additional forward steps, and projection at each iteration is considered according to the double projection. These methods are a predictor-corrector tool and have been suggested to quantify variational inequalities and their special cases. We improve the recent best results for GVI by introducing innovative iterative methods.

The self-adaptive predictor-corrector approach is the primary goal of this research, which modifies the fixed point by incorporating a generalized residue vector that includes general variational inequalities. For the convergence of the method, we require only pseudomonotonicity, which is considered a weaker condition than monotonicity. It is comprehended that the proposed model is simple and robust. We can see from the numerical results that the proposed technique is both rapid and easy to implement, as demonstrated by an example.

2. Preliminaries

We denote Hilbert space *H* with the norm and inner product by $\|\cdot\|$ and \langle, \rangle , respectively. A convex set is represented by *M* in *H*, and let *A*, ϕ : *H* \longrightarrow *H* be considered the nonlinear operators. For finding $\alpha \in H, \phi(\alpha) \in M$, such that

$$\langle A\alpha, \phi(\beta) - \phi(\alpha) \rangle \ge 0, \quad \text{for all } \beta \in H: \phi(\beta) \in M.$$
 (1)

Problem (1) is called the general variational inequalities(GVI), considered by Noor in 1988. We have observed that a large number of problems in pure and applied mathematics related to physical sciences, engineering, equilibrium, moving, nonsymmetric, unified, obstacle, and contact can be discussed and studied via inequalities (1), see [18, 19, 22].

For $\phi \equiv I$ (take identity operator), problem (1) reduces to finding $\alpha \in M$, such that

$$\langle A\alpha, \beta - \alpha \ge 0 \rangle, \quad \forall \beta \in M.$$
 (2)

Problem (2) is defined by the original variational inequalities introduced by Stampacchia, see [11]. The following concept and known results are required to approach the main algorithms.

Definition 1. Let A: $H \longrightarrow H$ be called the operator and also ϕ -pseudomonotone if $\langle A\alpha, \phi(\beta) - \phi(\alpha) \rangle \ge 0$ provides $\langle A\beta, \phi(\beta) - \phi(\alpha) \rangle \ge 0$, $\forall \alpha, \beta \in H$.

It is considered [22, 24] that monotonicity implies pseudomonotonicity, but the converse does not exist.

Lemma 1. For $z \in H, \alpha \in M$ holds for the inequality:

$$\langle \alpha - z, \beta - \alpha \ge 0 \rangle, \quad \forall \beta \in M,$$
 (3)

if and only if

$$\alpha = P_M z, \tag{4}$$

where P_{M} is called the projection of H onto the convex set M.

It is also known that $P_{\rm M}$ is called the projection operator and also nonexpansive which satisfies the following inequality:

$$\left\|P_{M}z - \alpha\right\| \le \left\|z - \alpha\right\| - \left\|z - P_{M}z\right\|.$$
(5)

Lemma 2. α is a solution of the given GVI (1) if and only if $\alpha \in M$ satisfies the relation

$$\phi(\alpha) = P_M[\phi(\alpha) - \rho A\alpha], \tag{6}$$

where $\rho \ge 0$ is taken as the constant and P_M is considered the projection operator H onto M.

Residue vector $R_1(\alpha)$ is defined by

$$R_{1}(\alpha) \coloneqq \phi(\alpha) - P_{\mathbf{M}}[\phi(\alpha) - \rho A\alpha].$$
(7)

From Lemma 1, we can see that α satisfy (1) if and only if α is a zero point of the function:

$$R_1(\alpha) \coloneqq 0. \tag{8}$$

For the GVI (1), we consider the problem for the Wiener-Hopf equations. Let $Q_M = I - P_M$, where *I* is the identity operator and P_M is projection operation. For the operators *A*, $\phi: H \longrightarrow H$, and ϕ^{-1} exists; then, for finding $z \in H$, we have

$$\rho A \phi^{-1} P_M z + Q_M z = 0, \qquad (9)$$

where (9) is the general Wiener-Hopf equation(GWHE), investigated by Noor [18]. We have seen that the Wiener-Hopf equations are considered and used to establish various efficient and powerful iterative schemes.

Lemma 3. The function $\alpha \in H$: $\phi(\alpha) \in M$ satisfies inequalities (1) if and only if $z \in H$ satisfies equation (9), provided

$$\phi(\alpha) = P_M z, \tag{10}$$

$$z = \phi(\alpha) - \rho A \alpha. \tag{11}$$

Lemma 3 provides that the GVI (1) is equivalent to GWHE (9). This fixed-point formulation was considered by Noor [24] to establish various iterative schemes for solving the GVI and other optimization theory and related problems.

This useful scheme has been considered to make and establish a self-adaptive method for solving the GVI (1).

By using (7) and (10) and (11), the GWHE (9) can be modified in the form:

$$0 = \phi(\alpha) - P_{\mathbf{M}}[\phi(\alpha) - \rho A\alpha] - \rho A\alpha + \rho A \phi^{-1} P_{\mathbf{M}}[\phi(\alpha) - \rho A\alpha],$$

$$= R_{1}(\alpha) - \rho A\alpha + \rho A \phi^{-1} P_{\mathbf{M}}[\phi(\alpha) - \rho A\alpha].$$
(12)

For $\omega \in [0, 1]$, (9) can be mentioned as

$$\phi(\alpha) = P_{\mathbf{M}} [\phi(\alpha) - \omega d_1(\alpha)], \qquad (13)$$

where

$$d_1(\alpha) = R_1(\alpha) - \rho A \alpha + \rho A \phi^{-1} P_{\mathbf{M}}[\phi(\alpha) - \rho A \alpha].$$
(14)

This equivalent modification has been considered by Noor [31] for solving the general variational inequalities (GVI).

Algorithm 1.

Step 0. We set the parameters as follows. For $\alpha_o \in H$, set n = 0, and take $\delta_0, \delta \in (0, 1), \epsilon > 0, \gamma \in [1, 2), \mu \in (0, 1)$, and $\rho > 0$.

Step 1. If $R_1(\alpha_n) < \epsilon$, then we terminate; otherwise, take $\rho_n = \rho \mu^{m_n}$, where m_n finds the smallest nonnegative integer that satisfies the inequality $\rho_n \langle A(\alpha_n) - A\phi^{-1}P_{\mathbf{M}}[\phi(\alpha_n) - \rho_n A(\alpha_n)], R_1(\alpha_n) \rangle \le \delta ||R_1(\alpha_n)^2||.$

Step 2. Compute $d_1(\alpha_n) = R_1(\alpha_n) - \rho_n A(\alpha_n) + \rho_n A \phi^{-1} P_{\mathbf{M}}[\phi(\alpha_n) - \rho_n A(\alpha_n)]$ and $\omega_n = .((1 - \delta) R_1(\alpha_n)^2)/d_1(\alpha_n)^2$.

Step 3. Find the next iteration, $\phi(\alpha_{n+1}) = \phi(\alpha_n) - \omega_n d_1(\alpha_n)$.

Step 4. If $\rho_n A(\alpha_n) - A\phi^{-1}P_{\mathbf{M}}[\phi(\alpha_n) - \rho_n A(\alpha_n)]$, $R_1(\alpha_n) \le \delta_0 R_1(\alpha_n)^2$, then again take $\rho = \rho_n/\mu$, else $\rho = \rho_n$. Consider n = n + 1, and start iteration from step 1.

3. Main Results

We suggest predictor-corrector techniques for updating the scheme to find the solution of the GVI (1):

$$\phi(y) = P_{\mathbf{M}}[\phi(\alpha) - \rho A\alpha],$$

$$\phi(\alpha) = P_{\mathbf{M}}[\phi(y) - \rho Ay].$$
(15)

Here, we suggest the residue vector involving projection by the relation:

$$R(\alpha) = \phi(\alpha) - P_{\mathbf{M}}[\phi(y) - \rho A(y)] = \phi(\alpha) - \phi(y)$$

= $\phi(\alpha) - P_{\mathbf{M}}[P_{\mathbf{M}}[\phi(\alpha) - \rho A\alpha] - \rho A\phi^{-1}P_{\mathbf{M}}[\phi(\alpha) - \rho A\alpha]].$ (16)

(18)

It is clear that $\alpha \in H$, and $\phi(\alpha) \in M$ is a solution of the GVI (1) if and only if $\alpha \in H$, and $\phi(\alpha) \in M$ is satisfied with the residue vector:

$$R(\alpha) = 0. \tag{17}$$

Since the convex set is defined by M, then, for all $\eta \in [0, 1]$, using (16), we have

$$\begin{split} \phi(\alpha) &= P_M \Big[\phi(\alpha) - \omega \Big\{ \eta R(\alpha) + \rho A \phi^{-1} \left(\phi(\alpha) - \eta R(\alpha) \right) \Big\} \Big], \\ &= P_M [\phi(\alpha) - \omega d(\alpha)]. \end{split}$$

where

$$d(\alpha) = \eta R(\alpha) + \rho A \phi^{-1}(\phi(\alpha) - \eta R(\alpha)).$$
(19)

We now analyze and recommend the following predictor-corrector scheme for finding the GVI (1).

Algorithm 2.

Step 0. For parameters $\epsilon > 0, \rho > 0, \delta_0, \delta \in (0, 1), \gamma \in [0, 1], \mu \in (0, 1)$, and $\alpha_o \in H$, we start from $n = 0, \eta \in (0, 1)$.

Step 1. We again take $\rho_n = \rho$. If $||R(\alpha_n)|| < \epsilon$, then computation stops; otherwise, we continue and consider $\rho_n = \rho \mu^{m_n}$ and find the smallest nonnegative integer m_n , which satisfies the inequality $\rho_n \langle A(\alpha_n) - A\phi^{-1}(\phi(\alpha_n) - \eta_n R(\alpha_n)), R(\alpha_n) \rangle \leq ||\sigma R(\alpha_n)^2||, \sigma \in [0, 1].$

Step 2. Calculate the next iterate:

$$\phi(\alpha_{n+1}) = P_M[\phi(\alpha_n) - \omega_n d(\alpha_n)], \qquad (20)$$

where

$$d(\alpha_n) = R(\alpha_n) + \rho_n A \phi^{-1} (\phi(\alpha_n) - \eta_n R(\alpha_n)),$$

$$\phi(\alpha_{n+1}) = P_M [\phi(\alpha_n) - \omega_n d(\alpha_n)],$$
(21)

$$\langle R(\alpha_n), D(\alpha_n) \rangle$$

$$\omega_n \frac{\langle \mathcal{U}(\alpha_n), \mathcal{D}(\alpha_n) \rangle}{\left\| d\left(\alpha_n\right) \right\|^2}.$$
 (22)

Step 3. If

$$\rho_n \langle A(\alpha_n) - A\phi^{-1}(\phi(\alpha_n) - \eta_n R(\alpha_n)), R(\alpha_n) \rangle$$

 $\leq \sigma R(\alpha_n)^2$, and $\sigma \in [0, 1],$ (23)

then again we set $\rho = \rho_n/\mu$, else by setting $\rho = \rho_n$. Take n = n + 1, and repeat step 1.

Here, ω_n is taken as corrector step size which contains the GWHE (9).

We consider the convergence of the main established results, which is the main target of this research.

Theorem 1. If α^* is a solution of inequality(1) and the operator A: $H \longrightarrow H$ is ϕ -pseudomonotone, then

$$\langle \phi(\alpha) - \phi(\alpha^*), d(\alpha) \rangle \ge (\eta - \sigma) \|R(\alpha)^2\|, \quad \forall \alpha \in H.$$
 (24)

Proof. Let $\alpha^* \in H$ be a solution of GVI (1). Then,

$$\langle A\alpha^*, \phi(\beta) - \phi(\alpha^*) \rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall \phi(\beta) \in M,$$
 (25)

since *T* is
$$\phi$$
-pseudomonotone. Taking $\phi(\beta) = \phi(\alpha) - \eta R(\alpha)$ in (25), we have

$$\langle A\phi^{-1}(\phi(\alpha) - \eta R(\alpha)), \phi(\alpha) - \eta R(\alpha) - \phi(\alpha^*) \rangle \ge 0.$$
 (26)

This implies that

$$\langle A\phi^{-1}(\phi(\alpha) - \eta R(\alpha)), \phi(\alpha) - \phi(\alpha^*) \rangle \ge \eta \langle A\phi^{-1}(\phi(\alpha) - \eta R(\alpha)), R(\alpha) \rangle.$$
⁽²⁷⁾

Taking $z = \phi(\alpha) - \rho A \alpha$, $\alpha = P_{\mathbf{M}}[\phi(y) - \rho A \alpha]$, and $\beta = \phi(\alpha^*)$ in (3), we obtain

$$0 \leq \langle P_{M}[\phi(y) - \rho A\alpha] - \phi(\alpha) + \rho A\alpha, \ \phi(\alpha^{*}) - P_{M}[\phi(y) - \rho A\alpha] \rangle$$

= $\langle \rho A\alpha - [\phi(\alpha) - P_{M}[\phi(y) - \rho A\alpha]], \phi(\alpha^{*}) - \phi(\alpha) + \phi(\alpha) - P_{M}[\phi(y) - \rho A\alpha] \rangle$ (28)
= $\langle \rho A\alpha - R(\alpha), \phi(\alpha^{*}) - \phi(\alpha) + R(\alpha) \rangle.$

Using(3.1),

$$= \langle R(\alpha) - \rho A\alpha, \phi(\alpha) - \phi(\alpha^{*}) - R(\alpha) \rangle$$

= $\langle R(\alpha), \phi(\alpha) - \phi(\alpha^{*}) \rangle - \langle \rho A\alpha, \phi(\alpha) - \phi(\alpha^{*}) \rangle - \langle R(\alpha) - \rho A\alpha, R(\alpha) \rangle$
 $\leq \langle R(\alpha), \phi(\alpha) - \phi(\alpha^{*}) \rangle - \langle R(\alpha) - \rho A\alpha, R(\alpha) \rangle,$ (29)

from which, we have

 $\langle R(\alpha), \phi(\alpha) - \phi(\alpha^*) \rangle \ge \langle R(\alpha) - \rho A \alpha, R(\alpha) \rangle.$ (30)

Adding (27) and (30), we obtain

$$\langle \phi(\alpha) - \phi(\alpha^*), A\phi^{-1}(\phi(\alpha) - \eta R(\alpha)) + R(\alpha) \rangle \ge \eta \langle R(\alpha) - \rho A\alpha + A\phi^{-1}(\phi(\alpha) - \eta R(\alpha)), R(\alpha) \rangle.$$
(31)

which is the desired result.

Using (19), (21), (23), (27), and (31), we have $\langle \phi(\alpha) - \phi(\alpha^*), d(\alpha) \ge \eta R(\alpha), D(\alpha) \rangle$ $= \eta \langle R(\alpha), R(\alpha) \rangle - \eta \rho \langle R(\alpha), A\alpha - A\phi^{-1}(\phi(\alpha) - \eta R(\alpha)) \rangle$ $= \eta \| R(\alpha)^2 \| - \eta \rho \langle R(\alpha), A\alpha - A\phi^{-1}(\phi(\alpha) - \eta R(\alpha)) \rangle$ $\ge (\eta - \sigma) \| R(\alpha)^2 \|,$ (32)

Theorem 2. If $\alpha^* \in H$ is a solution of GVI (1) and α_{n+1} is the approximate solution found from Algorithm 2, then

$$\phi(\alpha_{n+1}) - \phi(\alpha^*) \le \phi(\alpha_n) - \phi(\alpha^*) - \frac{(\eta_n - \sigma)R(\alpha_n)^4}{d(\alpha_n)^2}.$$
(33)

Proof. From (20), (21), (22), and (32), we have

$$\begin{split} \phi(\alpha_{n+1}) - \phi(\alpha^{*})^{2} &= P_{M} \left[\phi(\alpha_{n}) - \omega_{n} d(\alpha_{n}) \right] - \phi(\alpha^{*})^{2} \\ &\leq \phi(\alpha_{n}) - \omega_{n} d(\alpha_{n}) - \phi(\alpha^{*})^{2} \\ &\leq \phi(\alpha_{n}) - \phi(\alpha^{*})^{2} - 2\omega_{n}(\eta_{n} - \sigma)R(\alpha_{n})^{2} + \omega_{n}^{2} d(\alpha_{n})^{2} \\ &= \phi(\alpha_{n}) - \phi(\alpha^{*})^{2} - 2(\eta_{n} - \sigma)\frac{R(\alpha_{n}), D(\alpha_{n})}{d(\alpha_{n})^{2}}R(\alpha_{n})^{2} + \frac{[R(\alpha_{n}), D(\alpha_{n})]^{2}}{d(\alpha_{n})^{4}} d(\alpha_{n})^{2} \\ &\leq \phi(\alpha_{n}) - \phi(\alpha^{*})^{2} - 2(\eta_{n} - \sigma)\frac{2R(\alpha_{n})^{4}}{d(\alpha_{n})^{2}} + (\eta_{n} - \sigma)\frac{2R(\alpha_{n})^{4}}{d(\alpha_{n})^{2}} \\ &= \phi(\alpha_{n}) - \phi(\alpha^{*})^{2} - (\eta_{n} - \sigma)\frac{2R(\alpha_{n})^{4}}{d(\alpha_{n})^{2}}, \end{split}$$
(34)

which is the required result.

Theorem 3. Let α_{n+1} be the approximated solution obtained from Algorithm 2 and $\alpha^* \in M$ be a solution of GVI (1). If H is a finite-dimensional space, then $\lim \alpha_{nn \longrightarrow \infty} = \alpha^*$.

Proof. Let $\alpha^* \in M$ be a solution of GVI (1). From (34), we get that the sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ is bounded; we have

$$\left(\eta_n - \sigma\right)^2 \frac{R(\alpha_n)^4}{d(\alpha_n)^2} \le \phi(\alpha_n) - \phi(\alpha^*)^2, \tag{35}$$

which shows both expressions are going to be zero when $n \longrightarrow \infty$ such as

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} R(\alpha_n) = 0 \tag{36}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \eta_n = 0, \tag{37}$$

which implies (36) holds. Let α^* be taken as the cluster point of $\{\alpha_n\}$, and consider the subsequence $\{\alpha_{ni}\}$ of the sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ converge to point α^* . We know continuity of *R* holds; we have

$$R(\alpha^*) = \lim_{n \to \infty} R(\alpha_n) = 0,$$
(38)

which provides that α^* is a solution of GVI (1) by Theorem 3 and

$$\phi(\alpha_{n+1}) - \phi(\alpha^*)^2 \le \phi(\alpha_n) - \phi(\alpha^*)^2.$$
(39)

Thus, the sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ converges exactly one cluster point and the consequences, and we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(\alpha_n) = \phi(\alpha^*).$$
(40)

Since ϕ is injective, it gives that $\lim \eta_{nn \longrightarrow \infty} = \alpha^* \in H$, which satisfies the GVI (1).

Suppose that (37) holds and $\lim_{nn \to \infty} \infty = 0$. If (32) does not hold, then, by taking the value of η_n , we obtain

$$\sigma R(\alpha_n) \le \eta_n \rho_n A(\alpha_n) - A \phi^{-1} (\phi(\alpha_n) - \eta_n R(\alpha_n)), R(\alpha_n).$$
(41)

Let α^* be the cluster point of $\{\alpha_n\}$ and let $\{\alpha_{ni}\}$ be the subsequence $\{\alpha_{ni}\}$ converge to α^* . We apply the limit in (41); then,

$$\sigma R\left(\alpha^*\right)^2 \le 0,\tag{42}$$

which gives $R(\alpha^*) = 0$, that is, $\alpha^* \in H$ is a solution of inequality (1), and by Lemma 1, inequality (41) holds. By repeating the same process and arguments, we approach that $\lim \alpha_{nn \longrightarrow \infty} = \alpha^*$, the desired result.

4. Numerical Example

Problem 1. This problem is relevant to inequality (1), with $\phi(\alpha) = A\alpha + q$ and $A\alpha = \alpha$, where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 4 & -2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix},$$
(43)
$$q = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We set the following domain for the considered problem: $\mathbf{M} = \{ \alpha \in (\mathbb{R}^n/0) \le \alpha_i \le 1, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3, ..., n \}.$ Results for

TABLE 1: Algorithm 1 (numerical results).

Parameters	$\rho = 7, \delta = 0.02,$	$\rho = 7, \delta = 0.02,$	$\rho = 7, \delta = 0.02,$
	$\mu = 0.7$	$\mu = 0.8$	$\mu = 0.9$
Iterations	14	22	47

TABLE 2: Algorithm 2 (numerical results).

Parameters	$\rho = 7, \delta = 0.02,$	$\rho = 7, \delta = 0.02,$	$\rho = 7, \delta = 0.02,$
	$\mu = 0.7$	$\mu = 0.8$	$\mu = 0.9$
Iterations	12	19	42

TABLE 3: Algorithm 2 (numerical results).

Parameters	$\rho = 5, \delta = 0.3,$	$\rho = 7, \delta = 0.2,$	$\rho = 7, \delta = 0.05,$
	$\mu = 0.6$	$\mu = 0.4$	$\mu = 0.7$
Iterations	4	3	11

TABLE 4: Algorithm 2 (numerical results).

Parameters	$\rho = 4, \delta = 0.2,$	$\rho = 4, \delta = 0.1,$	$\rho = 4, \delta = 0.04,$
	$\mu = 0.8$	$\mu = 0.8$	$\mu = 0.8$
Iterations	9	12	16

Algorithm 1 are mentioned in Table 1. Tables 2 and 3 represent the outcomes of Algorithm 2 with the initial point $\alpha^0 = -A^{-1}q$ for the order n = 100 of the generated matrix. For all output, we consider $\mu, \delta \in (0, 1), \gamma \in [1, 2]$, and $\rho > 0$. The iterative process will stop when we have $r(\alpha_n, \rho_n) \le 10^{-7}$.

From Tables 1 and 2, we observe with the change of parameters that the number of iterations also varies. Table 2 gives the results for the newly established method (Algorithm 2). From the output, we observe that the newly established method converges more quickly than Algorithm 1 for solving the main GVI.

From Tables 3 and 4, we see that, in the new iterative scheme, the number varies (iterations) by changing the parameters δ , ρ , and μ . By changing the parameters accordingly, we can reduce the number of iterations.

5. Conclusion

For this study, the predictor-corrector self-adaptive method has been applied and considered to find the solution of the GVI. We used pseudomonotone of the operator, which is considered as a weaker condition than monotonicity. We also proved the convergence analysis, which is the main motivation of this paper. It has been analyzed that the new technique is more efficient than the already proved methods. The efficiency of the method has been illustrated through an example. Comparison is provided with other known methods. The numerical results reflect the output of our newly established algorithms well for the considered problem.

Data Availability

All data and information used for implementation are available within the article.

Conflicts of Interest

All authors declare no conflicts of interest in this paper.

Acknowledgments

The third and fourth authors wish to express their gratitude to Prince Sultan University for facilitating the publication of this article through the Theoretical and Applied Sciences Lab. The authors would like to acknowledge the support of Prince Sultan University for paying the Article Processing Charges (APC) of this publication.

References

- F. Giannessi, A. Maugeri, and P. M. Pardalos, Equilibrium Problems, Nonsmooth Optimization and Variational Inequalities Problems, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Netherlands, 2001.
- [2] P. Daniele, F. Giannessi, and A. Maugeri, *Equilibrium Problems and Variational Models*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, UK, 2003.
- [3] M. Aslam Noor, "Some developments in general variational inequalities," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 152, no. 1, pp. 199–277, 2004.
- [4] F. Giannessi and A. Maugeri, Variational Inequalities and Network Equilibrium Problems, Plenum Press, New York, USA, 1995.
- [5] P. Dupuis and A. Nagurney, "Dynamical systems and variational inequalities," *Annals of Operations Research*, vol. 44, pp. 19–42, 1993.
- [6] M. A. Noor, "General variational inequalities and nonexpansive mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 331, no. 2, pp. 810–822, 2007.
- [7] A. S. Antipin, V. Jaćimović, and M. Jaćimović, "Dynamics and variational inequalities," *Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics*, vol. 57, no. 5, pp. 784–801, 2017.
- [8] M. A. Noor and E. A. Al-Said, "Wiener-hopf equations technique for quasimonotone variational inequalities," *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, vol. 103, no. 3, pp. 705–714, 1999.
- [9] M. A. Noor and K. I. Noor, "Harmonic variational inequalities," Applied Mathematics and Information Sciences Letters, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 1811–1814, 2016.
- [10] A. Bnouhachem and M. A. Noor, "Numerical method for general mixed quasi-variational inequalities," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 204, no. 1, pp. 27–36, 2008.
- [11] G. Stampacchia, "Formes bilineaires coercivites sur les ensembles convexes," *Comptes rendus de l'Académie des Sciences*, vol. 258, pp. 4413–4416, 1964.
- [12] M. A. Noor, "General variational inequalities," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 119–122, 1988.
- [13] P. Shi, "Equivalence of variational inequalities with Wiener-Hopf equations," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 111, no. 2, pp. 339–346, 1991.
- [14] M. A. Noor, "Merit functions for general variational inequalities," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 316, no. 2, pp. 736–752, 2006.
- [15] E. A. Al-Said, "Spline solutions for system of second-order boundary-value problems," *International Journal of Computer Mathematics*, vol. 62, no. 1-2, pp. 143–154, 1996.

- [16] F. Alvarez and H. Attouch, "An inertial proximal method for maximal monotone operators via discretization of a nonlinear oscillator with damping," *Set-Valued Analysis*, vol. 9, no. 1/2, pp. 3–11, 2001.
- [17] M. A. Noor, "Projection-proximal methods for general variational inequalities," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 318, no. 1, pp. 53–62, 2006.
- [18] M. A. Noor, "New approximation schemes for general variational inequalities," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 251, no. 1, pp. 217–229, 2000.
- [19] P. S. M. Santos and S. Scheimberg, "A projection algorithm for general variational inequalities with perturbed constraint sets," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 181, no. 1, pp. 649–661, 2006.
- [20] Y. Yao, M. Postolache, and J. C. Yao, "Iterative algorithms for the generalized variational inequalities," UPB Scientific Bulletin, Series A: Applied Mathematics and Physics, vol. 81, pp. 3–16, 2019.
- [21] Y. Yao, M. Postolache, and J. C. Yao, "An iterative algorithm for solving the generalized variational inequalities and fixed points problems," *Mathematics*, vol. 7, Article ID 61, 2019.
- [22] D. Han and H. K. Lo, "Two new self-adaptive projection methods for variational inequality problems," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 43, no. 12, pp. 1529–1537, 2002.
- [23] X. Zhao and Y. Yao, "Modified extragradient algorithms for solving monotone variational inequalities and fixed point problems," *Optimization*, vol. 69, no. 9, pp. 1987–2002, 2020.
- [24] A. Bnouhachem and M. A. Noor, "A new iterative method for variational inequalities," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 182, no. 2, pp. 1673–1682, 2006.
- [25] B.-s. He, Z.-h. Yang, and X.-m. Yuan, "An Approximate proximal-extragradient type method for monotone variational inequalities," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 300, no. 2, pp. 362–374, 2004.
- [26] T. Guo-j, Z. Ming, and L. Huan-wen, "A new extragradienttype method for mixed variational inequalities," *Operations Research Letters*, vol. 43, pp. 567–572, 2015.
- [27] D. Kinderlehrer and G. Stampacchia, An Introduction to Variational Inequalities and their Applications, SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, USA, 2000.
- [28] J. L. Lions and G. Stampacchia, "Variational inequalities," *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 493–519, 1967.
- [29] S. Ullah and M. A. Noor, "An efficient method for solving new general mixed variational inequalities," *Journal of Inequalities* and Special Functions, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 1–9, 2020.
- [30] T. Kai and X. Fuquan, "A projection type algorithm for solving generalized mixed variational inequalities," Acta Mathematica Scientia, vol. 36B, no. 6, pp. 1619–1630, 2016.
- [31] J. Y. B Cruz and A. N. Iusem, "Full convergence of an approximate projection method for nonsmooth variational inequalities," *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation*, vol. 114, pp. 2–13, 2015.
- [32] K. Aslam and M. A. Noor, "Predictor-corrector Halley method for nonlinear equations," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 188, no. 2, pp. 1587–1591, 2007.
- [33] G. Cristescu, M. A. Noor, M. A. Noor, and M. U. Awan, "Bounds of the second degree cumulative Frontier gaps of functions with generalized convexity," *Carpathian Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 173–180, 2015.
- [34] H. Chen and U. N. Katugampola, "Hermite-Hadamard and Hermite-Hadamard-Fejér type inequalities for generalized

fractional integrals," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 446, no. 1, pp. 1274–2129, 2017.

- [35] M. A. Noor, A. Bnouhachem, and S. Ullah, "Self-adaptive methods for general variational inequalities," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 71, no. 9, pp. 3728–3738, 2009.
- [36] I. Iqbal, N. Hussain, and M. A. Kutbi, "Existence of the solution11 to variational inequality, optimization problem, and elliptic boundary value problem through revisited best proximity point results," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 375, Article ID 112804, 2020.