

## Research Article

# **Fixed Point Results for Single and Multivalued Maps on Partial Extended** *b*-**Metric Spaces**

Hassen Aydi<sup>(1)</sup>,<sup>1,2,3</sup> Samina Batul,<sup>4</sup> Muhammad Aslam<sup>(1)</sup>,<sup>5</sup> Dur-e-Shehwar Sagheer,<sup>4</sup> and Eskandar Ameer<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Université de Sousse, Institut Supérieur d'Informatique et des Techniques de Communication, H. Sousse 4000, Tunisia <sup>2</sup>China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, Sefako Makgatho Health Sciences University, Ga-Rankuwa, South Africa

<sup>4</sup>Department of Mathematics, Capital University of Science and Technology, Islamabad, Pakistan

<sup>5</sup>Department of Mathematics, College of Sciences, King Khalid University, Abha 61413, Saudi Arabia

<sup>6</sup>Department of Mathematics, Taiz University, Taiz 6803, Yemen

Correspondence should be addressed to Eskandar Ameer; eskandarameer@gmail.com

Received 27 August 2021; Accepted 8 May 2022; Published 23 May 2022

Academic Editor: Pasquale Vetro

Copyright © 2022 Hassen Aydi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

This article is based on the concept of partial extended *b*-metric spaces, which is inspired by the notions of new extended *b*-metric spaces and partial metric spaces. Fixed point results for single and multivalued mappings on such spaces are also presented. Few examples are also provided to elaborate the concepts.

## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Many problems in science and engineering defined by nonlinear equations can be solved by reducing them to an equivalent fixed point problem. Banach contraction principal provided a platform for researchers to prove the existence of fixed point of mappings on different abstract spaces. Fixed point theorems are developed for single-valued or set-valued mappings of abstract metric spaces. One can see a lot of literature on metric fixed point theory [1–9]. Recently, Shukla and Panicker [10] investigated some fixed point results on generalized enriched nonexpansive mappings defined on Banach spaces. These results are generalization of many existing results.

A partial metric space was introduced by Matthews [11, 12]. It is the generalization of a metric space, in which d(x, x) = 0 may be nonzero and  $d(x, x) \le d(x, y)$  for every  $x, y \in X$ . Matthews proved a fixed point theorem for contractive mappings in that space and also discussed its topological properties. After this development, many

researchers worked in this direction (see [4, 9, 13-15]). Aydi et al. [16] initiated the idea of a partial Hausdorff metric space, and they proved fixed point theorems in partial metric spaces for multivalued mappings. A beautiful piece of work in this aspect can be seen in [17]. Authors used partial metric spaces by incorporating a strictly increasing F-mapping to introduce multivalued F-contractions. This paper extends some results in partial metric spaces. Shukla [18] presented the notion of a partial b-metric space and proved some related fixed point results. A lot of research can be seen in literature on b-metric spaces (see [1, 2, 7, 8, 19-23]), which is a stimulation towards the concept of extended b-metric spaces introduced by Kamran et al. [6] who proved the Banach contraction theorem in the setting of extended b-metric spaces. Afterwards, many authors have focused on the subject and generalized different results of metric spaces in extended b-metric spaces (see [3, 24-28]). Very recently, Aydi et al. [5] have introduced the concept of new extended b-metric spaces by

replacing the triangle inequality of extended b-metric spaces with a modified functional inequality. In this setting, they proved few fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractive mappings. Motivated by the above-mentioned research, we initiate the idea of partial extended b-metric spaces and we extend the result of Kamran et al. [6] in setting of the partial extended b-metric spaces. We have also presented few examples to elaborate the idea.

To reach the goal of proving some fixed point results in the new notion, first we give some definitions.

Definition 1 [21]. Consider a nonempty set X with a real number  $b \ge 1$ . The function  $d: X \times X \longrightarrow [0,\infty)$  is called a *b*-metric if it satisfies the following properties for each *x*, *y*,  $z \in X$ :

(b1)  $d(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y$ (b2) d(x, y) = d(y, x)

 $(b_2) \ a(x, y) = a(y, x)$ 

 $(b3) \ d(x, y) \le b[d(x, z) + d(z, y)]$ 

Here, the pair (X, d) is called a *b*-metric space.

In the following, we give the definition of a new extended *b*-metric space (in the sense of Aydi et al. [5]).

Definition 2. Let X be a nonempty set and given  $\theta: X \times X \times X \longrightarrow [1,\infty)$ . The function  $d_{\theta}: X \times X \longrightarrow [0,\infty)$  is called a new extended *b*-metric if it satisfies the following conditions for all  $x, y, z \in X$ :

(1) 
$$d_{\theta}(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y$$
  
(2)  $d_{\theta}(x, y) = d_{\theta}(y, x)$   
(3)  $d_{\theta}(x, z) \le \theta(x, y, z)[d_{\theta}(x, y) + d_{\theta}(y, z)]$ 

The pair  $(X, d_{\theta})$  is called a new extended *b*-metric space.

Definition 3 [11]. Let M be a nonempty set. The function  $p: M \times M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is said to be a partial metric on M if p satisfies the conditions listed below:

$$(p_1) \ w_1 = w_2 \Leftrightarrow p(w_1, w_1) = p(w_2, w_2) = p(w_1, w_2) 
(p_2) \ p(w_1, w_1) \le p(w_1, w_2) 
(p_3) \ p(w_1, w_2) = p(w_2, w_1) 
(p_4) \ p(w_1, w_2) \le p(w_1, w_3) + p(w_2, w_3) - p(w_3, w_3) 
The partial matrix energy 
The partial matrix energy 
The partial matrix energy 
(p_4) \ p(w_1, w_2) \le p(w_1, w_3) + p(w_2, w_3) - p(w_3, w_3) 
The partial matrix energy 
(p_4) \ p(w_1, w_2) \le p(w_1, w_3) + p(w_2, w_3) - p(w_3, w_3) 
The partial matrix energy 
(p_4) \ p(w_1, w_2) \le p(w_1, w_3) + p(w_2, w_3) - p(w_3, w_3) 
The partial matrix energy 
(p_4) \ p(w_1, w_2) \le p(w_1, w_3) + p(w_2, w_3) - p(w_3, w_3) \\ = p(w_1, w_2) \le p(w_1, w_3) + p(w_2, w_3) + p(w_3, w_3) + p(w_3$$

The pair (M, p) is called a partial metric space.

Shukla [18] has presented a partial *b*-metric space as follows.

Definition 4 [18]. Let M be a nonempty set and  $b \ge 1$  be a given real number. The function  $p_b: M \times M \longrightarrow [0,\infty)$  is called a partial *b*-metric with coefficient *b* if for all  $w_1, w_2, w_3 \in M$ , the following conditions are satisfied:

(PB1):  $p_b(w_1, w_1) = p_b(w_2, w_2) = p_b(w_1, w_2)$  if and only if  $w_1 = w_2$ 

$$\begin{array}{l} (\text{PB2}): \ p_b(w_1, w_1) \leq p_b(w_1, w_2) \\ (\text{PB3}): \ p_b(w_1, w_2) = p_b(w_2, w_1) \\ (\text{PB4}): \ \ p_b(w_1, w_2) \leq b[p_b(w_1, w_3) + p_b(w_3, w_2)] - p_b(w_3, w_3) \end{array}$$

The  $(M, p_b)$  is called a partial *b*-metric space.

#### 2. Partial Extended *b*-Metric Space

In this section, we first elaborate the idea of a partial extended b-metric space (in the sense of Aydi et al. [5]), and then with the help of an example, we explain our new definition.

Definition 5. Let M be nonempty set and  $\theta: M \times M \times M$  $\longrightarrow [1,+\infty)$  be a function. The function  $p_{\theta}: M \times M \longrightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is called a partial extended *b*-metric if for all  $w_1, w_2, w_3 \in M$ , it satisfies the following:

$$\begin{array}{l} (\text{PE1}): \ p_{\theta}(w_{1},w_{1}) = p_{\theta}(w_{2},w_{2}) = p_{\theta}(w_{1},w_{2}) \Leftrightarrow w_{1} = w_{2} \\ (\text{PE2}): \ p_{\theta}(w_{1},w_{1}) \leq p_{\theta}(w_{1},w_{2}) \\ (\text{PE3}): \ p_{\theta}(w_{1},w_{2}) = p_{\theta}(w_{2},w_{1}) \\ (\text{PE4}): \ \ p_{\theta}(w_{1},w_{3}) \leq \theta(w_{1},w_{2},w_{3}) [p_{\theta}(w_{1},w_{2}) + p_{\theta}(w_{2},w_{3})] \\ - p_{\theta}(w_{2},w_{2}) \end{array}$$

The partial extended *b*-metric space  $p_{\theta}$  on *M* generates a  $T_0$  topology  $\tau_{p_{\theta}}$  with a base of the family of open  $p_{\theta}$ -balls  $\{\mathscr{B}(m,\varepsilon): m \in M, \varepsilon > 0\}$ , where  $\mathscr{B}(m,\varepsilon) = \{m_1 \in M : p_{\theta}(m,m_1) < p_{\theta}(m,m) + \varepsilon\}$ , for all  $m \in M$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . A sequence  $\{h_n\}$  in a partial new extended *b*-metric space  $p_{\theta}$  converges with respect to  $\tau_{p_{\theta}}$  to a point  $h \in M$  if and only if

$$\lim_{n \longrightarrow +\infty} p_{\theta}(h, h_n) = p_{\theta}(h, h).$$
(1)

Remark 6.

- (1) If  $\theta(w_1, w_2, w_3) = b$ , then the above definition coincides with a partial *b*-metric space
- (2) If  $\theta(w_1, w_2, w_3) = 1$ , then the partial new extended *b* -metric becomes a partial metric

The following is an example of a partial extended b-metric space, which shows that the notion of a partial extended b-metric space is a generalization of a partial b-metric space.

*Example 7.* Consider  $M = \mathbb{N}$  and define  $p_{\theta} : M \times M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$p_{\theta}(w_1, w_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \max \{w_1, w_2\} \text{ if } w_1 = w_2, \\ \frac{1}{w_1} + \max \{w_1, w_2\} \text{ if } w_1 \text{ is even and } w_2 \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{1}{w_2} + \max \{w_1, w_2\} \text{ if } w_2 \text{ is even and } w_1 \text{ is odd,} \\ 1 + \max \{w_1, w_2\} \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(2)

Then,  $p_{\theta}$  is a partial extended *b*-metric on  $\mathbb{N}$ , where  $\theta$ :  $M \times M \times M \longrightarrow [1,\infty)$  is defined by

$$\theta(w_1, w_2, w_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 1, \text{ if } w_1 = w_3 \text{ and } w_2 \text{ is odd or even,} \\ 5pt & \frac{w_1 w_3}{w_1 + w_3}, \text{ if } w_1 \neq w_3, w_1 \text{ and } w_3 \text{ are even and } w_2 \text{ odd,} \\ 5pt & \frac{w_2}{2}, \text{ if } w_1 \neq w_3, w_1 \text{ and } w_3 \text{ are odd and } w_2 \text{ is even,} \\ 5pt & \frac{3}{2}, \text{ if } w_1 \neq w_3, w_1, w_2, \text{ and } w_3 \text{ all are even or all are odd,} \\ 5pt & \frac{w_1 + w_2(1 + w_1)}{w_1(1 + w_2)}, \text{ if } w_1, w_2 \text{ even and } w_3 \text{ odd,} \\ 5pt & \frac{w_3 + w_2(w_3 + 1)}{w_3(w_2 + 1)}, \text{ if } w_1 \text{ odd, } w_3 \text{ and } w_2 \text{ are even,} \\ 5pt & \frac{2 + w_3}{1 + w_3}, \text{ if } w_1 w_2 \text{ are odd and } w_3 \text{ is even,} \\ 5pt & \frac{w_1 + 1}{w_1}, \text{ if } w_1 \text{ is even, } w_2 \text{ and } w_3 \text{ are odd.} \end{cases}$$
(3)

*Example 8.* Consider the set  $M = \{1, 2, 3\}$ , and define the function  $\theta : M \times M \times M \longrightarrow [1,\infty)$  by

$$\theta(w_1, w_2, w_3) = 2 + w_1 + w_2 + w_2. \tag{4}$$

Define  $p_{\theta}:M\times M\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  in the following manner:

$$p_{\theta}(1,1) = 1 = p_{\theta}(2,2) = 2 = p_{\theta}(3,3) = 3,$$

$$p_{\theta}(1,2) = p_{\theta}(2,1) = 82, p_{\theta}(1,3) = p_{\theta}(3,1) = 1000, p_{\theta}(2,3) = p_{\theta}(3,2) = 600.$$
(5)

Then,  $p_{\theta}$  is a partial extended *b*-metric on *M*. In fact, the first three axioms of Definition 5 hold, so there is only need to check the triangular inequality. To do this, proceed as follows:

$$p_{\theta}(1,2) = 82 < \theta(1,2,3)[p_{\theta}(1,3) + p_{\theta}(3,2) - p_{\theta}(3,3)]$$
  
= 8(1000 + 600) - 3 = 8 × 1600 - 3 = 12797. (6)

Similarly, the remaining pairs can be verified as above; hence, for all  $w_1, w_2, w_3 \in M$ ,

$$p_{\theta}(w_1, w_3) \le \theta(w_1, w_2, w_3)[p_{\theta}(w_1, w_2) + p_{\theta}(w_2, w_3)] - p_{\theta}(w_2, w_2)$$
(7)

holds. Hence,  $(M, p_{\theta})$  is a partial extended *b*-metric space.

*Remark* 9. Note that in the above examples, the function  $\theta$  depends on all the three variables  $w_1$ ,  $w_2$ , and  $w_3$ . So from this fact, it is clear that a partial extended *b*-metric needs not to be a partial extended *b*-metric.

In partial extended *b*-metric spaces, the notion of Cauchyness and convergence are defined as follows.

*Definition 10.* Let  $(M, p_{\theta})$  be a partial extended *b*-metric space.

(1) A sequence  $\{w_n\}$  is said to be a Cauchy sequence if  $\lim_{n \to \infty} p_{\theta}(w_m, w_n)$  exists and is finite

$$\lim_{m \longrightarrow +\infty} p_{\theta}(w, w_m) = p_{\theta}(w, w).$$
(8)

It is worth to mention that if  $p_{\theta}$  is a partial extended *b* -metric on *M*, the  $d_{\theta}: M \times M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  defined by

$$d_{\theta}(m,n) = 2p_{\theta}(m,n) - p_{\theta}(m,m) - p_{\theta}(n,n)$$
(9)

is an extended *b*-metric on *M*.

Definition 11. A partial extended *b*-metric space  $(M, p_{\theta})$  is complete if for each Cauchy sequence  $\{w_m\}$  in M, there is  $w \in M$  such that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} p_{\theta}(w, w_m) = p_{\theta}(w, w) = \lim_{n, m \to \infty} p_{\theta}(w_m, w_n).$$
(10)

**Theorem 12.** Let  $(M, p_{\theta})$  be a complete partial extended b -metric space and  $p_{\theta}$  be a continuous functional. Consider a self map  $T : M \longrightarrow M$  such that

$$p_{\theta}(Tw, Tv) \le kp_{\theta}(w, v) \forall w, v \in M,$$
(11)

where  $k \in [0, 1)$ . Also assume that there exists  $w_0 \in M$  such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \theta(w_n, w_{n+1}, w_m) < \frac{1}{k}, \text{ for all } m > n, \qquad (12)$$

where  $w_n = T^n w_0$ . Then, T has a unique fixed point.

*Proof.* For an arbitrary  $w_0 \in M$ , take the iterative sequence  $\{w_n\}$  defined by  $w_n = Tw_{n-1} = T^n w_0 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , which satisfies (12). Inequality (11) implies

$$p_{\theta}(Tw_1, Tw_2) \le kp_{\theta}(w_1, w_2) \le kp_{\theta}(Tw_0, Tw_1) \le k^2 p_{\theta}(w_0, w_1).$$
(13)

Thus, by applying (11) successively, we obtain

$$p_{\theta}(w_n, w_{n+1}) \le k^n p_{\theta}(w_0, w_1) \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(14)

By use of triangular inequality, we have (for m > n)

$$\begin{split} p_{\theta}(w_{n},w_{m}) &\leq \theta(w_{n},w_{n+1},w_{m})[p_{\theta}(w_{n},w_{n+1})+p_{\theta}(w_{n+1},w_{m})] \\ &\quad -p_{\theta}(w_{n+1},w_{n+1}) = \theta(w_{n},w_{n+1},w_{m}) \\ &\quad \cdot [p_{\theta}(w_{n},w_{n+1})] + \theta(w_{n},w_{n+1},w_{m}) \\ &\quad \cdot [p_{\theta}(w_{n+1},w_{m})] - p_{\theta}(w_{n+1},w_{n+1}) \\ &\leq \theta(w_{n},w_{n+1},w_{m})[p_{\theta}(w_{n},w_{n+1})] + \theta(w_{n},w_{n+1},w_{m}) \\ &\quad \cdot [p_{\theta}(w_{n+1},w_{m})] \leq \theta(w_{n},w_{n+1},w_{m})[p_{\theta}(w_{n},w_{n+1})] \\ &\quad + \theta(w_{n},w_{n+1},w_{m})[\theta(w_{n+1},w_{n+2},w_{m}) \\ &\quad \cdot [p_{\theta}(w_{n+1},w_{n+2}) + p_{\theta}(w_{n+2},w_{m})] - p_{\theta}(w_{n+2},w_{n+2})] \\ &\leq \theta(w_{n},w_{n+1},w_{m})[p_{\theta}(w_{n},w_{n+1})] + \theta(w_{n},w_{n+1},w_{m}) \\ &\quad \cdot \theta(w_{n+1},w_{n+2},w_{m})[p_{\theta}(w_{n+1},w_{n+2}) + p_{\theta}(w_{n+2},w_{m})]. \end{split}$$

Continuing this process, we get

$$p_{\theta}(w_{n}, w_{m}) \leq \theta(w_{n}, w_{n+1}, w_{m})[p_{\theta}(w_{n}, w_{n+1})] \\ + \theta(w_{n}, w_{n+1}, w_{m})\theta(w_{n+1}, w_{n+2}, w_{m}) \\ \cdot [p_{\theta}(w_{n+1}, w_{n+2})] + \theta(w_{n}, w_{n+1}, w_{m}) \\ \cdot \theta(w_{n+1}, w_{n+2}, w_{m})\theta(w_{n+2}, w_{n+3}, w_{m}) \\ \cdot [p_{\theta}(w_{n+2}, w_{n+3})] + \dots + \theta(w_{n}, w_{n+1}, w_{m}) \\ \cdot \theta(w_{n+1}, w_{n+2}, w_{m}) \cdots \theta(w_{m-2}, w_{m-1}, w_{m}) \\ \cdot [p_{\theta}(w_{m-1}, w_{m})].$$
(16)

#### By using (14), one writes

$$p_{\theta}(w_{n}, w_{m}) \leq \theta(w_{n}, w_{n+1}, w_{m})k^{n}p_{\theta}(w_{0}, w_{1}) + \theta(w_{n}, w_{n+1}, w_{m})$$

$$\cdot \theta(w_{n+1}, w_{n+2}, w_{m})k^{n+1}p_{\theta}(w_{0}, w_{1}) + \dots + \theta$$

$$\cdot (w_{n+1}, w_{n+2}, w_{m})\theta(w_{n+2}, w_{n+3}, w_{m}) \cdots \theta$$

$$\cdot (w_{m-2}, w_{m-1}, w_{m})k^{m-1}p_{\theta}(w_{0}, w_{1}).$$
(17)

Therefore,

$$p_{\theta}(w_{n}, w_{m}) \leq p_{\theta}(w_{0}, w_{1})[\theta(w_{n}, w_{n+1}, w_{m})k^{n} + \theta(w_{n}, w_{n+1}, w_{m}) \\ \cdot \theta(w_{n+1}, w_{n+2}, w_{m})k^{n+1} + \dots + \theta(w_{n}, w_{n+1}, w_{m}) \\ \cdot \theta(w_{n+1}, w_{n+2}, w_{m})\theta(w_{n+2}, w_{n+3}, w_{m}) \cdots \theta \\ \cdot (w_{m-2}, w_{m-1}, w_{m})k^{m-1}] \leq p_{\theta}(w_{0}, w_{1}) \\ \cdot [\theta(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{m})\theta(w_{2}, w_{3}, w_{m}) \cdots \theta(w_{n-1}, w_{n}, w_{m}) \\ \cdot \theta(w_{n}, w_{n+1}, w_{m})k^{n} \cdots + \theta(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{m}) \\ \cdot \theta(w_{2}, w_{3}, w_{m}) \cdots \theta(w_{n-1}, w_{n}, w_{m})\theta(w_{n}, w_{n+1}, w_{m}) \\ \cdot \theta(w_{2}, w_{3}, w_{m}) \cdots \theta(w_{n-1}, w_{n}, w_{m})\theta(w_{n}, w_{n+1}, w_{m}) \\ \cdot \theta(w_{2}, w_{3}, w_{m}) \cdots \theta(w_{n-1}, w_{n}, w_{m})\theta(w_{n}, w_{n+1}, w_{m}) \\ \cdot \theta(w_{n+1}, w_{n+2}, w_{m}) \cdots \theta(w_{n+2}, w_{n+3}, w_{m}) \cdots \\ \cdot \theta(w_{m-2}, w_{m-1}, w_{m})k^{m-1}].$$

$$(18)$$

Set

$$S_n = p_{\theta}(w_0, w_1) \sum_{j=1}^n k^j \prod_{i=1}^j \theta(w_i, w_{i+1}, w_m).$$
(19)

For m > n, we conclude that

$$p_{\theta}(w_n, w_m) \le p_{\theta}(w_0, w_1) [S_{m-1} - S_{n-1}].$$
(20)

Consider the series

$$p_{\theta}(w_0, w_1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k^n \prod_{i=1}^n \theta(w_i, w_{i+1}, w_m).$$
(21)

Take

$$v_n = k^n \prod_{i=1}^n \theta(w_i, w_{i+1}, w_m).$$
 (22)

Thus, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{v_{n+1}}{v_n} = k\theta(w_{n+1}, w_{n+2}, w_m).$$
 (23)

By using the condition

$$k\theta(w_{n+1}, w_{n+2}, w_m) < 1 \tag{24}$$

by ratio test, the series is convergent. So we conclude that  $\lim_{m,n\longrightarrow\infty}[S_{m-1}-S_{n-1}]=0$ . Hence, by (20),  $\{w_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in M. Since M is complete, we have  $w_n \longrightarrow \eta \in M$  such that  $\lim_{n\longrightarrow\infty}p_{\theta}(w_n,\eta) = p_{\theta}(\eta,\eta) = \lim_{m,n\longrightarrow\infty}p_{\theta}(w_n,w_m) = 0$ . Now, we prove that  $\eta$  is a fixed point of T. Consider

$$p_{\theta}(T(w_n), T(\eta)) \le k p_{\theta}(w_n, \eta) \Rightarrow p_{\theta}(w_{n+1}, T(\eta)) \le k p_{\theta}(w_n, \eta).$$
(25)

Taking limit as  $n \longrightarrow \infty$ , we get  $p_{\theta}(T\eta, \eta) = 0$  due to the fact that  $p_{\theta}$  is continuous. We also conclude  $p_{\theta}(\eta, \eta) = 0$  and  $p_{\theta}(T\eta, T\eta) = 0$ . Hence,  $\eta$  is a fixed point of *T*. To verify its uniqueness, assume that there exists another fixed point  $\gamma$  of *T*, that is,  $p_{\theta}(\gamma, \gamma) = p_{\theta}(T\gamma, T\gamma) = p_{\theta}(T\gamma, \gamma)$ . By (11), we have

$$p_{\theta}(\eta, \gamma) = p_{\theta}(T\eta, T\gamma) \le k p_{\theta}(\eta, \gamma).$$
(26)

Since k < 1,  $p_{\theta}(\eta, \gamma) = 0$ . Also, we have  $p_{\theta}(\gamma, \gamma) = 0$  and  $p_{\theta}(\eta, \eta) = 0$ . Thus,  $\eta = \gamma$ ; that is, the fixed point of *T* is unique.

Definition 13. Let  $T: M \longrightarrow M$  be a self map and  $w_0 \in M$ . The set  $O(w_0) = \{w_0, Tw_0, T^2w_0 \cdots\}$  is called the orbit of  $w_0$ . The function  $H: M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be *T*-orbitally lower semicontinuous at  $w \in M$  if  $\{w_n\} \subset O(w_0)$  and  $w_n \longrightarrow w$ , which implies

$$H(w) \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} H(w_n). \tag{27}$$

**Theorem 14.** Let  $(M, p_{\theta})$  be a complete partial extended b -metric space and  $p_{\theta}$  represent a continuous functional. Consider a self map  $T : M \longrightarrow M$  and  $w_0 \in M$  such that

$$p_{\theta}(Tw, T^{2}w) \le kp_{\theta}(w, Tw), \text{ for each } w \in O(w_{0}), \qquad (28)$$

where  $k \in [0, 1)$ . Also for such  $w_0 \in M$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \theta(w_n, w_{n+1}, w_m) < \frac{1}{k}, \text{ for } m > n,$$
(29)

where  $w_n = T^n w_0$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3 \cdots$ . Then,  $T^n w_0 \longrightarrow \eta \in M$ . Further,  $\eta$  is a fixed point of T if  $H(w) = p_{\theta}(w, Tw)$  is T-orbitally lower semi continuous at  $\eta$ .

*Proof.* For a given  $w_0 \in M$ , define an orbit  $O(w_0)$  with  $w_1 = Tw_0, w_2 = Tw_1 = T(Tw_0) = T^2(w_0), \dots, w_n = T^n w_0, \dots$ . By the use of successive iteration of the inequality (28), we get

$$p_{\theta}(T^{n}w_{0}, T^{n+1}w_{0}) = p_{\theta}(w_{n}, w_{n+1}) \leq kp_{\theta}(w_{n-1}, w_{n})$$
$$\leq k^{2}p_{\theta}(w_{n-2}, w_{n-1}) \cdots \leq k^{n}p_{\theta}(w_{0}, w_{1}).$$
(30)

Proceeding as in Theorem 12, we can prove  $\{w_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. As M is complete, then  $w_n = T^n w_0 \longrightarrow \eta \in M$ . Since H is T-orbitally lower semi continuous at  $\eta \in M$ ,

$$p_{\theta}(\eta, T\eta) \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} p_{\theta}(T^{n}w_{0}, T^{n+1}w_{0}),$$
  
$$\leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} k^{n}p_{\theta}(w_{0}, w_{1}) = 0.$$
(31)

Thus,  $p_{\theta}(\eta, T\eta) = 0$ . Hence,  $\eta$  is a fixed point of *T*.

*Example 15.* Take  $M = [0,\infty)$ . Define  $p_{\theta} : M \times M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  by

$$p_{\theta}(w_1, w_2) = \max\{w_1, w_2\}$$
(32)

and  $\theta: M \times M \times M \longrightarrow [1,\infty)$  by

$$\theta(w_1, w_2, w_3) = w_1 + w_2 + w_3 + 1.$$
(33)

Then,  $p_{\theta}$  represents a complete partial extended *b*-metric on *M*.

Let  $T: M \longrightarrow M$  be defined by

$$Tw = \frac{w}{2}.$$
 (34)

We have

$$p_{\theta}(Tw, T^2w) = p_{\theta}\left(\frac{w}{2}, \frac{w}{4}\right) = \frac{w}{2} = kp_{\theta}(w, Tw).$$
(35)

For each  $w \in M$  and  $T^n w = w/2^n$ , we get

$$\lim_{m,n\longrightarrow\infty} \theta\left(T^{n}w, T^{n+1}w, T^{m}w\right) = \lim_{m,n\longrightarrow\infty} \left(\frac{w}{2^{m}} + \frac{w}{2^{n}} + \frac{w}{2^{n+1}} + 1\right) < 2.$$
(36)

Since all the conditions of Theorem 14 are satisfied, the mapping T has a fixed point.

## 3. Partial Hausdorff Distance via the Extended b-Metric Space

Shukla and Panicker [10] introduced the notion of a partial Hausdorff metric. Baig and Pathak [29] has proved wellknown Nadler's fixed point theorem for multivalued mappings on weak partial metric spaces. This is further used by Kanwal et al. [30] for establishing a fixed point result on weak partial *b*-metric spaces. In this section, we establish a fixed point result for set-valued mappings on partial new extended *b*-metric spaces. We first give some requisite definitions. Let  $(M, p_{\theta})$  be a partial extended *b*-metric space. Denote by  $CB^{p_{\theta}}(M)$  the collection of all nonempty bounded and closed subsets of *M* with respect to the partial extended *b*-metric  $p_{\theta}$ . For  $P, Q \in (M, p_{\theta})$  and  $w \in M$ , define the following:

$$p_{\theta}(w, Q) = \inf \{ p_{\theta}(w, q) | q \in Q \},$$
  

$$\Omega_{p_{\theta}}(P, Q) = \sup \{ p_{\theta}(p, Q) | p \in P \},$$
  

$$\Omega_{p_{\theta}}(Q, P) = \sup \{ p_{\theta}(q, P) | q \in Q \}.$$
(37)

*Remark 16.* Let  $(M, p_{\theta})$  be a partial extended *b*-metric space and *B* be any nonempty set in *M*, then

$$w \in \overline{Q}$$
 if and only if  $p_{\theta}(w, Q) = p_{\theta}(w, w)$ , (38)

where  $\bar{Q}$  is the closure of Q. Further, Q is closed in  $(M, p_{\theta})$  if and only if  $Q = \bar{Q}$ .

Definition 17. Let  $(M, p_{\theta})$  be a partial extended *b*-metric space. For  $P, Q \in CB^{p_{\theta}}(M)$ , define

$$H_{p_{\theta}}(P,Q) = \max\left\{\Omega_{p_{\theta}}(Q,P), \Omega_{p_{\theta}}(P,Q)\right\}.$$
 (39)

The mapping  $H_{p_{\theta}}: CB^{p_{\theta}}(M) \times CB^{p_{\theta}}(M) \longrightarrow [0,\infty)$  is called a partial Hausdorff distance function induced by  $p_{\theta}$ .

**Corollary 18.** If  $(M, p_{\theta})$  is partial extended b-metric space and P,  $Q \in CB^{p_{\theta}}(M)$ , then

$$H_{p_a}(P,Q) = 0 \Longrightarrow P = Q. \tag{40}$$

**Lemma 19.** Let  $(M, p_{\theta})$  be a partial extended b-metric space,  $P, Q \in CB^{p_{\theta}}(M)$ , and h > 1. Then, for any  $w_1 \in P$ , there exists  $w_2 \in Q$  such that

$$p_{\theta}(w_1, w_2) \le h H_{p_0}(P, Q). \tag{41}$$

**Theorem 20.** Let  $(M, p_{\theta})$  be a complete partial extended b-metric space so that  $p_{\theta}$  is a continuous functional. If  $T: M \longrightarrow CB^{p_{\theta}}(M)$  is a multivalued mapping such that for all  $w_1, w_2 \in M$ , we have

$$H_{p_{\theta}}(Tw_1, Tw_2) \le kp_{\theta}(w_1, w_2),$$
 (42)

where  $k \in (0, 1)$ . Also, there exists  $w_0 \in M$  such that for every  $\{w_n\}$  with  $w_{n+1} \in T(w_n)$ , we have  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \theta(w_n, w_{n+1}, w_{m'}) < 1/\sqrt{k}, \forall m' > n$ . Then, T has a fixed point.

*Proof.* Given  $w_0 \in M$  and  $w_1 \in Tw_0$ , recall that  $h = (1/\sqrt{k}) > 1$ , so by use of Lemma 19, we have  $w_2 \in Tw_1$  with

$$p_{\theta}(w_1, w_2) \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} H_{p_{\theta}}(Tw_0, Tw_1).$$

$$(43)$$

By using (42), we get

$$\begin{split} H_{p_{\theta}}(Tw_0, Tw_1) &\leq kp_{\theta}(w_0, w_1), \\ \Rightarrow p_{\theta}(w_1, w_2) &\leq \sqrt{k}p_{\theta}(w_0, w_1). \end{split} \tag{44}$$

For  $w_2 \in Tw_1$ , by Lemma 19, we have  $w_3 \in Tw_2$  with

$$p_{\theta}(w_2, w_3) \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} H_{p_{\theta}}(Tw_1, Tw_2).$$
 (45)

Again by (42), we get

$$\begin{aligned} H_{p_{\theta}}(Tw_1, Tw_2) &\leq kp_{\theta}(w_1, w_2), \\ \Rightarrow p_{\theta}(w_2, w_3) &\leq \sqrt{k}p_{\theta}(w_1, w_2). \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Thus,

$$p_{\theta}(w_2, w_3) \le \left(\sqrt{k}\right)^2 p_{\theta}(w_1, w_2). \tag{47}$$

Continuing this process, we have a sequence  $\{w_n\}$  in M such that  $w_n \in Tw_{n-1}$  and

$$p_{\theta}(w_n, w_{n+1}) \le \sqrt{kp_{\theta}(w_{n-1}, w_n)} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
 (48)

Also,

$$p_{\theta}(w_n, w_{n+1}) \le \left(\sqrt{k}\right)^n p_{\theta}(w_0, w_1) \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(49)

By using (PE4) of the partial extended *b*-metric space, we have (for m > n)

$$\begin{split} p_{\theta}(w_{n},w_{m}) &\leq \theta(w_{n},w_{n+1},w_{m})[p_{\theta}(w_{n},w_{n+1})+p_{\theta}(w_{n+1},w_{m})] \\ &\quad -p_{\theta}(w_{n+1},w_{n+1}) = \theta(w_{n},w_{n+1},w_{m})[p_{\theta}(w_{n},w_{n+1})] \\ &\quad +\theta(w_{n},w_{n+1},w_{m})[p_{\theta}(w_{n+1},w_{m})] - p_{\theta}(w_{n+1},w_{n+1}) \\ &\leq \theta(w_{n},w_{n+1},w_{m})[p_{\theta}(w_{n},w_{n+1})] + \theta(w_{n},w_{n+1},w_{m}) \\ &\quad \cdot [p_{\theta}(w_{n+1},w_{m})] \leq \theta(w_{n},w_{n+1},w_{m})[p_{\theta}(w_{n},w_{n+1})] \\ &\quad +\theta(w_{n},w_{n+1},w_{m})[\theta(w_{n+1},w_{n+2},w_{m})[p_{\theta}(w_{n+1},w_{n+2}) \\ &\quad +p_{\theta}(w_{n+2},w_{m})] - p_{\theta}(w_{n+2},w_{n+2})] \leq \theta(w_{n},w_{n+1},w_{m}) \\ &\quad \cdot [p_{\theta}(w_{n},w_{n+1})] + \theta(w_{n},w_{n+1},w_{m})\theta(w_{n+1},w_{n+2},w_{m}) \\ &\quad \cdot [p_{\theta}(w_{n+1},w_{n+2}) + p_{\theta}(w_{n+2},w_{m})]. \end{split}$$

## Continuing this process, we get

$$p_{\theta}(w_{n}, w_{m}) \leq \theta(w_{n}, w_{n+1}, w_{m})[p_{\theta}(w_{n}, w_{n+1})] + \theta(w_{n}, w_{n+1}, w_{m})$$

$$\cdot \theta(w_{n+1}, w_{n+2}, w_{m})[p_{\theta}(w_{n+1}, w_{n+2})] + \theta(w_{n}, w_{n+1}, w_{m})$$

$$\cdot \theta(w_{n+1}, w_{n+2}, w_{m})\theta(w_{n+2}, w_{n+3}, w_{m})[p_{\theta}(w_{n+2}, w_{n+3})]$$

$$+ \dots + \theta(w_{n}, w_{n+1}, w_{m})\theta(w_{n+1}, w_{n+2}, w_{m}) \dots \theta$$

$$\cdot (w_{m-2}, w_{m-1}, w_{m})[p_{\theta}(w_{m-1}, w_{m})].$$
(51)

By using (49), one writes

$$p_{\theta}(w_{n}, w_{m}) \leq \theta(w_{n}, w_{n+1}, w_{m}) \left(\sqrt{k}\right)^{n} p_{\theta}(w_{0}, w_{1}) \\ + \theta(w_{n}, w_{n+1}, w_{m}) \theta(w_{n+1}, w_{n+2}, w_{m}) \\ \cdot \left(\sqrt{k}\right)^{n+1} p_{\theta}(w_{0}, w_{1}) + \dots + \theta(w_{n}, w_{n+1}, w_{m}) \\ \cdot \theta(w_{n+1}, w_{n+2}, w_{m}) \cdots \theta(w_{m-2}, w_{m-1}, w_{m}) \\ \cdot \left(\sqrt{k}\right)^{n+m-1} p_{\theta}(w_{0}, w_{1}).$$
(52)

This further gives

$$p_{\theta}(w_{n}, w_{m}) \leq p_{\theta}(w_{0}, w_{1}) \left[ \theta(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{m}) \theta(w_{2}, w_{3}, w_{m}) \cdots \theta \right] \\ \cdot (w_{n}, w_{n+1}, w_{m}) \left( \sqrt{k} \right)^{n} + \theta(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{m}) \\ \cdot \theta(w_{2}, w_{3}, w_{m}) \cdots; \theta(w_{n}, w_{n+1}, w_{m}) \\ \cdot \theta(w_{n+1}, w_{n+2}, w_{m}) \left( \sqrt{k} \right)^{n+1} + \cdots + \theta(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{m}) \\ \cdot \theta(w_{2}, w_{3}, w_{m}) \cdots \theta(w_{n}, w_{n+1}, w_{m}) \cdots \theta \\ \cdot (w_{m-2}, w_{m-1}, w_{m}) \left( \sqrt{k} \right)^{m-1} \right].$$
(53)

Consider

$$S_n = p_{\theta}(w_0, w_1) \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sqrt{k}\right)^j \prod_{i=1}^j \theta(w_i, w_{i+1}, w_m), \quad (54)$$

For m > n, we conclude that

$$p_{\theta}(w_n, w_m) \le p_{\theta}(w_0, w_1) [S_{m-1} - S_{n-1}].$$
(55)

Consider the series

$$p_{\theta}(w_0, w_1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sqrt{k}\right)^n \prod_{i=1}^n \theta(w_i, w_{i+1}, w_m).$$
(56)

Substitute

$$\boldsymbol{v}_n = \left(\sqrt{k}\right)^n \prod_{i=1}^n \boldsymbol{\theta}(\boldsymbol{w}_i, \boldsymbol{w}_{i+1}, \boldsymbol{w}_m). \tag{57}$$

Then, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{v_{n+1}}{v_n} = \sqrt{k} \theta(w_{n+1}, w_{n+2}, w_m) < 1.$$
 (58)

Therefore, by ratio test, the series is convergent. So we conclude that  $\lim_{m,n\longrightarrow\infty} [S_{m-1} - S_{n-1}] = 0$ . By using (55),  $\{w_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in M. Since M is complete,  $w_n \longrightarrow w^* \in M$  with respect to  $p_{\theta}$ , that is,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} p_{\theta}(w_n, w^*) = p_{\theta}(w^*, w^*) = \lim_{m, n \to \infty} p_{\theta}(w_n, w_m) = 0.$$
(59)

By (42), we get  $H_{p_{\theta}}(Tw_n, Tw^*) \le kp_{\theta}(w_n, w^*)$ . Therefore,  $\lim_{n \longrightarrow \infty} H_{p_{\theta}}(Tw_n, Tw^*) = 0$ . Now,  $w_{n+1} \in Tw_n$  gives that

$$p_{\theta}(w_{n+1}, Tw^{*}) \leq \Omega_{p_{\theta}}(Tw_{n}, Tw^{*}) \leq H_{p_{\theta}}(Tw_{n}, Tw^{*}).$$
(60)

By using continuity of  $p_{\theta}$ , we get

$$p_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{w}^*, T\boldsymbol{w}^*) = \lim_{n \to \infty} p_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1}, T\boldsymbol{w}^*) = 0.$$
 (61)

By (59),  $p_{\theta}(w^*, w^*) = 0$ . Thus we have

$$p_{\theta}(w^*, w^*) = p_{\theta}(w^*, Tw^*) = 0,$$
 (62)

which implies  $w^* \in Tw^*$ .

The following corollary follows immediately from Theorem 20 by setting  $\theta(w_1, w_2, w_3) = b$  for all  $w_1, w_2, w_3 \in M$ .

**Corollary 21.** Let  $(M, p_b)$  be a complete partial b-metric space and  $p_b$  be a continuous functional. Suppose that  $T : M \longrightarrow C$  $B^{p_b}(M)$  is a multivalued mapping such that for all  $w_1, w_2 \in$ M, we have

$$H_{p_b}(Tw_1, Tw_2) \le kp_b(w_1, w_2), \tag{63}$$

where  $k \in (0, 1)$  and  $b < 1/\sqrt{k}$ . Then, T has a fixed point.

*Remark 22.* By setting  $\theta(w_1, w_2, w_3) = 1$  for all  $w_1, w_2, w_3$ , the main result of [16] becomes a special case of Theorem 20.

#### 4. Conclusion

In this article, the notion of a partial extended *b*-metric space was introduced with suitable examples. Fixed point results for single-valued mappings endowed on partial extended *b*-metric spaces are established. These results are extensions of many existing results in literature.

The idea of a partial Hausdorff distance in partial extended b-metric spaces was presented. Furthermore, some fixed point theorems involving multivalued mappings have been proved. In the future, one can prove the above results under the platform of dislocated partial extended b-metric spaces.

## **Data Availability**

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

## **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## **Authors' Contributions**

All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper.

## Acknowledgments

M. Aslam extends his appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Khalid University, Abha 61413, Saudi Arabia, for funding this work through research group program under grant number R.G. P-2/98/43.

#### References

- M. U. Ali, T. Kamran, and M. Postolache, "Solution of Volterra integral inclusion in b-metric spaces via new fixed point theorem," *Nonlinear Analysis: Modelling and Control*, vol. 2017, no. 1, pp. 17–30, 2017.
- [2] M. U. Ali and A. Pitea, "Existence theorem for integral inclusions by a fixed point theorem for multivalued implicit-type contractive mappings," *Nonlinear Analysis: Modelling and Control*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 334–348, 2021.
- [3] T. Abdeljawad, R. P. Agarwal, E. Karapınar, and P. S. Kumari, "Solutions of the nonlinear integral equation and fractional differential equation using the technique of a fixed point with a numerical experiment in extended b-metric space," *Symmetry*, vol. 11, no. 5, p. 686, 2019.
- [4] T. Abdeljawad, E. Karapinar, and K. Tas, "Fixed point theorems for operators on partial metric spaces," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 24, no. 11, pp. 1894–1899, 2011.
- [5] H. Aydi, A. Felhi, T. Kamran, E. Karapınar, and M. U. Ali, "On nonlinear contractions in new extended b-metric spaces," *Applications and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 14, no. 1, 2019.
- [6] T. Kamran, M. Samreen, and Q. U. L. Ain, "A generalization of b-metric space and some fixed point theorems," *Mathematics*, vol. 5, no. 2, p. 19, 2017.
- [7] E. Karapinar, "A short survey on the recent fixed point results on *b*-metric spaces," *Constructive Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 15–44, 2018.

- [8] E. Karapınar and C. Chifu, "Results in wt-distance over bmetric spaces," *Mathematics*, vol. 8, no. 2, p. 220, 2020.
- [9] E. Karapinar, "A note on common fixed point theorems in partial metric spaces," *Mathematical Notes*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 185– 191, 2011.
- [10] R. Shukla and R. Panicker, "Some fixed point theorems for generalized enriched nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces," *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo Series*, vol. 2, pp. 1–15, 2022.
- [11] S. G. Matthews, Partial Metric Topology, Research Report 212, Department of Computer Science, University of Warwick, 1992.
- [12] S. G. Matthews, "Partial metric topology, general topology and its applications," in *Proceedings of the 8th Summer Conference, Queen's College*, 1992.
- [13] E. Karapinar and I. M. Erhan, "Existence and uniqueness of a common fixed point on partial metric spaces," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 24, no. 11, pp. 1900–1904, 2011.
- [14] E. Karapinar, "Generalizations of Caristi Kirk's theorem on partial metric spaces," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2011, Article ID 4, 2011.
- [15] S. Oltra, S. Romaguera, and E. A. Sanchez Perez, "Bicompleting weightable quasi-metric spaces and partial metric spaces," *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 151–162, 2002.
- [16] H. Aydi, M. Abbas, and C. Vetro, "Partial Hausdorff metric and Nadler's fixed point theorem on partial metric spaces," *Topology and its Applications*, vol. 159, no. 14, pp. 3234– 3242, 2012.
- [17] S. Kumar and S. Luambano, "On some fixed point theorems for multivaluedF-contractions in partial metric spaces," *Demonstratio Mathematica*, vol. 54, no. 1, pp. 151–161, 2021.
- [18] S. Shukla, "Partial b-metric spaces and fixed point theorems," *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 703–711, 2014.
- [19] B. Alqahtani, A. Fulga, and E. Karapınar, "Non-unique fixed point results in extended b-metric space," *Mathematics*, vol. 6, no. 5, p. 68, 2018.
- [20] B. Alqahtani, E. Karapınar, and A. Ozturk, "On  $(\alpha, \psi)$ -k-contractions in the extended b-metric space," *Universitet u Nišu*, vol. 32, no. 15, pp. 5337–5345, 2018.
- [21] I. A. Bakhtin, "The contraction principle in quasimetric spaces," *Functional Analysis*, vol. 30, pp. 26–37, 1989.
- [22] N. Bourbaki, Topologie générale, Herman, Paris, France, 1974.
- [23] T. Kamran, M. Postolache, A. Ghiura, S. Batul, and R. Ali, "The Banach contraction principle in C\*-algebra-valued bmetric spaces with application," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 1, 7 pages, 2016.
- [24] H. Aydi, M. Aslam, D. E. S. Sagheer, S. Batul, R. Ali, and E. Ameer, "Kannan-type contractions on new extended -metric spaces," *Journal of Function Spaces*, vol. 2021, Article ID 7613684, 12 pages, 2021.
- [25] M. Samreen, W. Ullah, and E. Karapinar, "Multivalued  $\phi$ -contractions on extended *b*-metric spaces," *Journal of Function Spaces*, vol. 2020, Article ID 5989652, 6 pages, 2020.
- [26] L. Subashi, "Some topological properties of extended b-metric space," in Proceeding of the 5th international virtual conference on advanced scientific results, pp. 164–167, 2017.
- [27] L. Subashi, "Some results on extended *b*-metric spaces and Pompeiu-Hausdorff metric," *Journal of Progressive research in Mathematics*, vol. 12, p. 2395, 2017.

- [28] N. Zikria, M. Samreen, T. Kamran, and S. S. Yesilkaya, "Periodic and fixed points for Caristi G-type-contractions in extended *b*-gauge spaces," *Journal of Function Spaces*, vol. 2021, Article ID 1865172, 9 pages, 2021.
- [29] I. Beg and H. K. Pathak, "A variant of Nadler's theorem on weak partial metric spaces with application to a homotopy result," *Vietnam Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 693–706, 2018.
- [30] T. Kanwal, A. Hussain, P. Kumam, and E. Savas, "Weak partial b-metric spaces and Nadler's theorem," *Mathematics*, vol. 7, no. 4, p. 332, 2019.