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#### Abstract

In this paper, we determine the radius of $\lambda$-uniform convexity, $\lambda$-starlikeness, and $\alpha$-convexity of order $\delta$ for the Weierstrass canonical product of an entire function as a root having smallest modulus and argument $\phi$ of a functional equation. As special cases, we also determine the radius of $\lambda$-uniform convexity, $\lambda$-starlikeness, and $\alpha$-convexity of order $\delta$ for the entire function $1 / \Gamma$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $r>0$ be a real number and $\mathscr{A}$ be the class of analytic functions defined in the disk $\mathbb{U}(r)=\{w \in \mathbb{C}:|w|<r\}$ and satisfy the normalization conditions $f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)-1=0$. Let $\left(a_{n}\right)$, where $a_{n} \in \mathbb{C}, \forall n \geq 2$ be a sequence with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\lim _{n \longrightarrow+\infty} \sup \left|a_{n}\right|^{1 / n}}=r_{f} \geq 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{f}$ means the radius of convergence of the series $w+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} w^{n}=f(w) \in \mathscr{A}$. If $\lim _{n \longrightarrow+\infty} \sup \left|a_{n}\right|^{1 / n}=0$, then $r_{f}=+\infty$.

In 1999, Kanas and Wisniowska [9] (also refer Goodman [7, 8], Rønning [15], and Ma and Minda [12]) proposed the idea of $\lambda$-uniform convexity denoted by $\lambda-\mathscr{U} \mathscr{C} \mathscr{V}$.

A function $f \in \mathscr{A}$ is said to be in $\lambda-\mathscr{U} \mathscr{C} \mathscr{V}(\delta)$, the class of $\lambda$-uniformly Convex of order $\delta$ [3], iff
$\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{w f^{\prime \prime}(w)}{f^{\prime}(w)}\right)>\lambda\left|\frac{w f^{\prime \prime}(w)}{f^{\prime}(w)}\right|+\delta, \lambda \geq 0, \delta \in[0,1) \forall w \in \mathbb{U}(r)$.

A function $f \in \mathscr{A}$ is said to be in $\lambda-\mathcal{S} \mathscr{T}(\delta)$, the class of $\lambda$-starlike function of order $\delta$ [10], iff
$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{w f^{\prime}(w)}{f(w)}\right)>\lambda\left|\frac{w f^{\prime}(w)}{f(w)}-1\right|+\delta, \lambda \geq 0, \delta \in[0,1) \forall w \in \mathbb{U}(r)$.

Geometrically, the conditions (2) and (3) mean that for $f$ $\in \lambda-\mathscr{U} \mathscr{C V}(\delta)$ and $f \in \lambda-\mathcal{S} \mathscr{T}(\delta)$, the images of $\mathbb{U}(r)$ under the functions $1+w f^{\prime \prime}(w) / f^{\prime}(w)$ and $w f^{\prime}(w) / f(w)$ are in the conic domain $\Omega_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ contained in the right half plane for which $1 \in \Omega_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ and $\partial \Omega_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ is the curve defined by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial \Omega_{\lambda}^{\delta}=\left\{\omega=u+i v:(u-\delta)^{2}=\lambda^{2}\left[(u-1)^{2}+v^{2}\right]\right\}, \lambda \geq 0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $\Omega_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ is an elliptic region for $\lambda>1$, parabolic for $\lambda=1$, and hyperbolic for $0<\lambda<1$, and finally, $\Omega_{0}^{0}$ is the whole right half plane.

The radius of $\lambda$-uniform convexity of order $\delta$ denoted by $r_{u c(f)}^{\lambda}(\delta)$ and radius of $\lambda$-starlikeness of order $\delta$ denoted by $r_{s t(f)}^{\lambda}(\delta)$ are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{u c(f)}^{\lambda}(\delta)=\sup \left\{r \in\left(0, r_{f}\right): \operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{w f^{\prime \prime}(w)}{f^{\prime}(w)}\right)>\lambda\left|\frac{w f^{\prime \prime}(w)}{f^{\prime}(w)}\right|+\delta, \forall w \in \mathbb{U}(r)\right\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$r_{s t(f)}^{\lambda}(\delta)=\sup \left\{r \in\left(0, r_{f}\right): \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{w f^{\prime}(w)}{f(w)}\right)>\lambda\left|\frac{w f^{\prime}(w)}{f(w)}-1\right|+\delta, \forall w \in \mathbb{U}(r)\right\}$,
where $\lambda \geq 0, \delta \in[0,1)$.
By specializing the parameters, we observe $r_{u c(f)}^{0}(0)=r_{f}^{c}$, radius of convexity, $r_{u c(f)}^{0}(\delta)=r_{f}^{c}(\delta)$, radius of convexity of order $\delta, r_{s t(f)}^{0}(\delta)=r_{f}^{*}(\delta)$, radius of starlikeness of order $\delta$ and $r_{s t(f)}^{0}(0)=r_{f}^{*}$, radius of starlikeness.

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha \in[0,1)$. A function $f \in \mathscr{A}$ is said to be in $\mathscr{M}_{\alpha}(\delta)$, the class of $\alpha$-convex functions (Mocanu functions) of order $\delta[14,16]$ iff

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left((1-\alpha) \frac{w f^{\prime}(w)}{f(w)}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{w f^{\prime \prime}(w)}{f^{\prime}(w)}\right)\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
>\delta, w \in \mathbb{U}(r), \delta \in[0,1)
$$

The radius of $\alpha$-convexity (Mocanu functions) of order $\delta$ denoted by $r_{c(f)}^{\alpha}(\delta)$ is defined by, for $0 \leq \delta<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{c(f)}^{\alpha}(\delta)=\sup \left\{r \in\left(0, r_{f}\right): \operatorname{Re}\left((1-\alpha) \frac{w f^{\prime}(w)}{f(w)}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{w f^{\prime \prime}(w)}{f^{\prime}(w)}\right)\right)>\delta, w \in \mathbb{U}(r)\right\} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Addressing radius problems for some special functions is a new direction in the geometric function theory. For recent studies on radius problems, we refer to [ $2,4,6,11$ ].

By the Weierstrass factorization theorem [18], the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{B}(w)=w e^{h(w)} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{w}{c_{n}}\right) \exp \left[\sum_{k=1}^{q_{n}} \frac{1}{k}\left(\frac{w}{c_{n}}\right)^{k}\right] \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an entire function for a proper choice of $q_{n} \leq n$ with zeros $c_{n}$ and no other zeros, where $h(w)$ is an entire function with $h(0)=0, c_{n} \neq 0 \forall n, q_{n} \geq 0$ are certain nonnegative integers, and for each $n$ in which $q_{n}=0$, the value of exponential factor becomes 1 .

The product (9) is called the canonical Weierstrass product [1]. In Theorem 3 of [13] Merkes et al. determined the radius of starlikeness of the canonical Weierstrass product $\mathscr{B}(w)$, and as a special case, the authors determined the radius of starlikeness of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\Gamma(w)}=w e^{w \gamma} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1+\frac{w}{n}\right) e^{-w / n} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Later in [17], Szasz obtained the radius of convexity for $\mathscr{B}(w)$.

Motivated by the results of Szász [17] and Merkes et al. [13], we determine the radius of $\lambda$-uniformly convexity, $\lambda$ -starlikeness, and $\alpha$-convexity of order $\delta$ for the function $\mathscr{B}(w)$ given by (9). Consequently, we also determine the radius of $\lambda$-uniform convexity, $\lambda$-starlikeness, and $\alpha$-convexity of order $\delta$ for the function $1 / \Gamma$ in this paper. In order to prove the main result, we require the following lemma.

Lemma 1 (see [17]). If $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a>b>0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{a+w}{(b+w)^{2}}\right| \leq \frac{a-|w|}{(b-|w|)^{2}}, \text { for }|w|<b, w \in \mathbb{U}=\mathbb{U}(1) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. Main Results

Theorem 2. Let $\left\{c_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N} /\{0\}}$ be a sequence with $c_{n}=\left|c_{n}\right| e^{i \phi} \in$ $\mathbb{C},\left|c_{n}\right| \geq 1$ for $n \in \mathbb{N} /\{0\}, r_{0}=\inf \left\{\left|c_{n}\right|: n \in \mathbb{N} /\{0\}\right\}$, and let $h(w)$ be an analytic function in $\mathbb{U}\left(r_{0}\right)$ with $|w| e^{i \phi} h^{\prime}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)$ $\in \mathbb{R}$ and $|w| e^{i \phi} h^{\prime}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right) \leq \Re\left\{w h^{\prime}(w)\right\}$, for $w \in \mathbb{U}\left(r_{0}\right)$. If the function $\mu:\left(0, r_{0}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\mu(r)=r e^{i \phi} h^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \phi}\right)$ is decreasing with respect to $r$ and $\mathscr{B}(w)$ is of the form (9) with $q_{n} \in \mathbb{N} /\{0\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N} /\{0\}$, then the radius of $\lambda$-starlikeness of order $\delta$ of the function $\mathscr{B}(w)$ is $r_{s t(\mathscr{B})}^{\lambda}(\delta)$, the absolute value of the root of the equation $(1+\lambda) w \mathscr{B}^{\prime}(w)-(\lambda+\delta) \mathscr{B}(w)=$ 0 having the smallest modulus and argument $\phi$.

Proof. By logarithmic differentiation, (9) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{w \mathscr{B}^{\prime}(w)}{\mathscr{B}(w)}=1+w h^{\prime}(w)-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\binom{w}{c_{n}}^{q_{n}+1}}{1-c_{c_{n}}^{w}} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $w \in \mathbb{U}$ and $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{R}\left[\frac{w^{n}}{(1-w)^{k}}\right] \leq\left|\frac{w^{n}}{(1-w)^{k}}\right|=\frac{|w|^{n}}{|1-w|^{k}} \leq \frac{|w|^{n}}{(1-|w|)^{k}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left|w / c_{n}\right| \leq 1$, (12) along with (13) implies

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{R}\left\{\frac{w \mathscr{B}^{\prime}(w)}{\mathscr{B}(w)}\right\} & \geq 1+\mathfrak{R}\left\{w h^{\prime}(w)\right\}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\left|w / c_{n}\right| q_{n}+1}{1-\left|w / c_{n}\right|}\right) \\
& \geq 1+|w| e^{i \phi} h^{\prime}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\left|w / c_{n}\right|^{q_{n}+1}}{1-\left|w / c_{n}\right|}\right)  \tag{14}\\
& =\frac{|w| e^{i \phi} \mathscr{B}^{\prime}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)}{\mathscr{B}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\frac{w \mathscr{B}^{\prime}(w)}{\mathscr{B}(w)}-1\right| & \leq\left|w h^{\prime}(w)\right|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\left|w / c_{n}\right|^{q_{n}+1}}{1-\left|w / c_{n}\right|}\right) \\
& \leq-|w| e^{i \phi} h^{\prime}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\left|w / c_{n}\right|^{q_{n}+1}}{1-\left|w / c_{n}\right|}\right)  \tag{15}\\
& =1-\frac{|w| e^{i \phi} \mathscr{B}^{\prime}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)}{\mathscr{B}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

From (14) and (15), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathscr{R}\left\{\frac{w \mathscr{B}^{\prime}(w)}{\mathscr{B}(w)}\right\}-\lambda\left|\frac{w \mathscr{B}^{\prime}(w)}{\mathscr{B}(w)}-1\right|-\delta  \tag{16}\\
& \quad \geq(1+\lambda) \frac{|w| e^{i \phi} \mathscr{B}^{\prime}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)}{\mathscr{B}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)}-(\lambda+\delta), \delta \in[0,1), \lambda \geq 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

By the virtue of minimum principle for harmonic functions,

$$
\begin{align*}
\inf _{|w|<r}\left\{\mathscr{R}\left\{\frac{w \mathscr{B}^{\prime}(w)}{\mathscr{B}(w)}\right\}\right. & \left.-\lambda\left|\frac{\mathscr{\mathscr { B }}^{\prime}(w)}{\mathscr{B}(w)}-1\right|-\delta\right\}  \tag{17}\\
& =(1+\lambda) \frac{\left(e^{i \phi} \mathscr{B}^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \phi}\right)\right.}{\mathscr{B}\left(r e^{i \phi}\right)}-(\lambda+\delta), r \in\left(0, r_{o}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

We observe that the function $\varphi:\left(0, r_{0}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(r)=(1+\lambda) \frac{r e^{i \phi} \mathscr{B}^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \phi}\right)}{\mathscr{B}\left(r e^{i \phi}\right)}-(\lambda+\delta) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

is strictly decreasing; also, $\lim _{r \longrightarrow 0} \varphi(r)=(1-\delta)>0$ and $\lim _{r \longrightarrow r_{0}} \varphi(r)=-\infty$.

Hence, the equation $(1+\lambda) e^{i \phi} r \mathscr{B}^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \phi}\right)-(\lambda+\delta) \mathscr{B}\left(e^{i \phi} r\right)$ $=0$ has a unique root in $\left(0, r_{0}\right)$, and this root is $r_{s t(\mathscr{B})}^{\lambda}(\delta)$.

Remark 3. $\lambda \geq 0$ in Theorem 2 means that, if $\mathscr{B} \in \lambda-\mathcal{S} \mathscr{T}(\delta)$ , then the image of $\mathbb{U}(r)$ under the function $w \mathscr{B}^{\prime}(w) / \mathscr{B}(w)$ is in conic domain $\Omega_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ contained in the right half plane for which $1 \in \Omega_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ and $\partial \Omega_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ is the curve defined by equation (4).

In the following remarks, we deduce the radius of some special classes by specializing the parameters in Theorem 2.

Remark 4. Taking $\lambda \geq 0, \delta=0$ in Theorem 2, we get $r_{s t(\mathscr{B})}^{\lambda}$, the radius of $\lambda$-starlikeness of the function $\mathscr{B}(w) . r_{s t(\mathscr{B})}^{\lambda}$ is the absolute value of the root of the equation $(1+\lambda) w \mathscr{B}^{\prime}(w)$ $-\lambda \mathscr{B}(w)=0$ having the smallest modulus and argument $\phi$.

Remark 5. Letting $\lambda=0,0 \leq \delta<1$ in Theorem 2, we get $r_{\mathscr{B}}^{*}$ $(\delta)$, the radius of starlikeness of order $\delta$ of the function $\mathscr{B}$ $(w) . r_{\mathscr{B}}^{*}(\delta)$ is the absolute value of the root of the equation $w \mathscr{B}^{\prime}(w)-\delta \mathscr{B}(w)=0$ having the smallest modulus and argument $\phi$.

In the following, we obtain the radius $r_{u c(\mathscr{B})}^{\lambda}(\delta)$ of $\lambda$ -uniform convexity of order $\delta$ for $\mathscr{B}(w)$.

Theorem 6. Let $\left\{c_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N} /\{0\}}$ be a sequence with $c_{n}=\left|c_{n}\right| e^{i \phi}$ $\in \mathbb{C},\left|c_{n}\right| \geq 1$ for $n \in \mathbb{N} /\{0\}, r_{0}=\inf \left\{\left|c_{n}\right|: n \in \mathbb{N} /\{0\}\right\}$, and let $h(w)$ be an analytic function in $\mathbb{U}\left(r_{0}\right)$ with $|w| e^{i \phi} h^{\prime}(|w|$ $\left.e^{i \phi}\right) \in \mathbb{R},|w| e^{i \phi} h^{\prime}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right) \leq \mathfrak{R}\left\{w h^{\prime}(w)\right\}$, and $\left|w^{2} h^{\prime \prime}(w)\right| \leq-$ $|w|^{2} e^{i 2 \phi} h^{\prime \prime}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)$ for $w \in \mathbb{U}\left(r_{0}\right)$. If the function $\mu:\left(0, r_{0}\right)$ $\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\mu(r)=r e^{i \phi} h^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \phi}\right)$ is decreasing, the function $\vartheta(r):\left(0, r_{0}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\vartheta(r)=-r^{2} e^{2 i \phi} h^{\prime \prime}\left(r e^{i \phi}\right)$ is increasing with respect to $r$ and $\mathscr{B}(w)$ is of the form (9) with $q_{n} \in \mathbb{N} /\{0\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N} /\{0\}$; then, $\lambda$-uniform convexity of order $\delta$ of the function $\mathscr{B}(w)$ is $r_{u c(\mathscr{B})}^{\lambda}(\delta)$, the absolute value of the root of the equation $(1+\lambda) w \mathscr{B}^{\prime \prime}(w)+(1-2 \lambda-\delta) \mathscr{B}^{\prime}(w)$ $=0$ having the smallest modulus and argument $\phi$.

Proof. From (9),

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1+\frac{w \mathscr{B}^{\prime \prime}(w)}{\mathscr{B}^{\prime}(w)}= 2+w h^{\prime}(w)-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(w / c_{n}\right)^{q_{n}+1}}{1-w / c_{n}}  \tag{19}\\
&-\frac{1-w^{2} h^{\prime \prime}(w)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\left(\left(\left(q_{n}\right)\left(w / c_{n}\right)^{q_{n}+1}\right)-\left(\left(q_{n}-1\right)\left(w / c_{n}\right)^{q_{n}+2}\right)\right) /\left(1-w / c_{n}\right)^{2}\right)}{1+w h^{\prime}(w)-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\left(\left(w / c_{n}\right)^{q_{n}+1}\right) /\left(1-\left(w / c_{n}\right)\right)\right)} \\
&=1+\frac{w \mathscr{B}^{\prime}(w)}{\mathscr{B}(w)}-\frac{1-w^{2} h^{\prime \prime}(w)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\left(\left(q_{n}\right)\left(w / c_{n}\right)^{q_{n}+1}\right)-\left(\left(q_{n}-1\right)\left(w / c_{n}\right)^{q_{n}+2}\right) /\left(1-w / c_{n}\right)^{2}\right)}{w \mathscr{B}^{\prime}(w) / \mathscr{B}(w)} . \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (14) and the inequality of Lemma 1, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{1-w^{2} h^{\prime \prime}(w)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\left(\left(q_{n}\right)\left(w / c_{n}\right)^{q_{n}+1}-\left(q_{n}-1\right)\left(w / c_{n}\right)^{q_{n}+2}\right) /\left(\left(1-\left(w / c_{n}\right)\right)^{2}\right)\right)}{w \mathscr{B}^{\prime}(w) / \mathscr{B}(w)}\right) \\
& \quad \leq \frac{\left|1-w^{2} h^{\prime \prime}(w)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\left(\left(q_{n}\right)\left(w / c_{n}\right)^{q_{n}+1}-\left(q_{n}-1\right)\left(w / c_{n}\right)^{q_{n}+2}\right) /\left(\left(1-\left(w / c_{n}\right)\right)^{2}\right)\right)\right|}{\left|\left(w \mathscr{B}^{\prime}(w)\right) / \mathscr{B}(w)\right|} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1+\left|w^{2} h^{\prime \prime}(w)\right|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\left(\left|\left(w / c_{n}\right)\right|^{q_{n}+1}\left|\left(q_{n}\right)-\left(q_{n}-1\right)\left(w / c_{n}\right)\right|\right) /\left(\left|1-\left(w / c_{n}\right)\right|^{2}\right)\right)}{\mathfrak{R}\left(\left(w \mathscr{B}^{\prime}(w)\right) /(\mathscr{B}(w))\right)}  \tag{21}\\
& \quad \leq \frac{1-|w|^{2} e^{i 2 \phi} h^{\prime \prime}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\left(\left(q_{n}\right)\left|\left(w / c_{n}\right)\right|^{q_{n}+1}-\left(q_{n}-1\right)\left|\left(w / c_{n}\right)\right|^{q_{n}+2}\right) /\left(\left|1-\left(w / c_{n}\right)\right|^{2}\right)\right)}{\left(|w| e^{i \phi} \mathscr{B}^{\prime}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)\right) /\left(\mathscr{B}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

From (14), (20), and (21),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathscr{R}\left(1+\left(\left(w \mathscr{B}^{\prime \prime}(w)\right) / \mathscr{B}^{\prime}(w)\right)\right) \\
& \quad \geq 1+\mathfrak{R}\left(\left(w \mathscr{B}^{\prime}(w)\right) /(\mathscr{B}(w))\right)-\mathscr{R}\left(\frac{1-w^{2} h^{\prime \prime}(w)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\left(\left(q_{n}\right)\left(w / c_{n}\right)^{q_{n}+1}-\left(q_{n}-1\right)\left(w / c_{n}\right)^{q_{n}+2}\right) /\left(\left(1-\left(w / c_{n}\right)\right)^{2}\right)\right)}{\left(w \mathscr{B}^{\prime}(w)\right) /(\mathscr{B}(w))}\right) \\
& \quad \geq 1+\frac{|w| e^{i \phi} \mathscr{B}^{\prime}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)}{\mathscr{B}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)}-\frac{1-|w|^{2} e^{i 2 \phi} h^{\prime \prime}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\left(\left(q_{n}\right)\left|w / c_{n}\right|_{n+1}^{q_{n}+1}-\left(q_{n}-1\right)\left|w / c_{n}\right|^{q_{n}+2}\right) /\left(\left(1-\left|w / c_{n}\right|\right)^{2}\right)\right)}{\left(|w| e^{i \phi} \mathscr{B}^{\prime}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)\right) /\left(\mathscr{B}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)\right)}  \tag{22}\\
& \quad=1+\frac{|w| e^{i \phi} \mathscr{B}^{\prime \prime}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)}{\mathscr{B}^{\prime}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Also, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\frac{w \mathscr{B}^{\prime \prime}(w)}{\mathscr{B}^{\prime}(w)}\right| & \leq\left|\frac{w \mathscr{B}^{\prime}(w)}{\mathscr{B}(w)}-\frac{1-w^{2} h^{\prime \prime}(w)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\left(\left(q_{n}\right)\left(w / c_{n}\right)^{q_{n}+1}-\left(q_{n}-1\right)\left(w / c_{n}\right)^{q_{n}+2}\right) /\left(\left(1-\left(w / c_{n}\right)\right)^{2}\right)\right)}{1+w h^{\prime}(w)-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\left(\left(w / c_{n}\right)^{q_{n}+1}\right) /\left(1-\left(w / c_{n}\right)\right)\right)}\right| \\
& \leq\left|\frac{w \mathscr{B}^{\prime}(w)}{\mathscr{B}(w)}\right|+\left|\frac{1-w^{2} h^{\prime \prime}(w)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\left(\left(q_{n}\right)\left(w / c_{n}\right)^{q_{n}+1}-\left(q_{n}-1\right)\left(w / c_{n}\right)^{q_{n}+2}\right) /\left(\left(1-\left(w / c_{n}\right)\right)^{2}\right)\right)}{1+w h^{\prime}(w)-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\left(\left(w / c_{n}\right)^{q_{n}+1}\right) /\left(1-\left(w / c_{n}\right)\right)\right)}\right| \\
& \leq 2-\frac{|w| e^{i \phi} \mathscr{B}^{\prime}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)}{\mathscr{B}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)}+\frac{1-|w|^{2} e^{i 2 \phi} h^{\prime \prime}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\left(\left(q_{n}\right)\left|w / c_{n} c_{n}^{q_{n}+1}-\left(q_{n}-1\right)\right| w /\left.c_{n}\right|^{q_{n}+2}\right) /\left(\left(1-\left|w / c_{n}\right|\right)^{2}\right)\right)}{1+|w| e^{i \phi} h^{\prime}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\left(\left|w / c_{n}\right|^{q_{n}+1}\right) /\left(1-\left|w / c_{n}\right|\right)\right)}  \tag{23}\\
& \leq 2-\frac{|w| e^{i \phi} \mathscr{B}^{\prime}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)}{\mathscr{B}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)}+\frac{1-|w|^{2} e^{i 2 \phi} h^{\prime \prime}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\left(\left(q_{n}\right)\left|w / c_{n}\right|^{q_{n}+1}-\left(q_{n}-1\right)\left|w / c_{n}\right|^{q_{n}+2}\right) /\left(\left(1-\left|w / c_{n}\right|\right)^{2}\right)\right)}{\left(|w| e^{i \phi} \mathscr{B}^{\prime}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)\right) /\left(\mathscr{B}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)\right)} \\
& =2-\frac{|w| e^{i \phi} \mathscr{B}^{\prime \prime}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)}{\mathscr{B}^{\prime}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

From (22) and (23),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathscr{R}\left(1+\frac{w \mathscr{B}^{\prime \prime}(w)}{\mathscr{B}^{\prime}(w)}\right)-\lambda\left|\frac{w \mathscr{B}^{\prime \prime}(w)}{\mathscr{B}^{\prime}(w)}\right|-\delta \\
& \quad \geq 1+\frac{|w| e^{i \phi} \mathscr{B}^{\prime \prime}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)}{\mathscr{B}^{\prime}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)}-\lambda\left(2-\frac{|w| e^{i \phi} \mathscr{B}^{\prime \prime}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)}{\mathscr{B}^{\prime}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)}\right)-\delta \\
& \quad=(1+\lambda)\left(\frac{|w| e^{i \phi} \mathscr{B}^{\prime \prime}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)}{\mathscr{B}^{\prime}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)}\right)+(1-\delta-2 \lambda), \delta \in[0,1), 0 \\
& \quad \leq \lambda<\frac{(1-\delta)}{2} . \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

By the virtue of minimum principle for harmonic functions,

$$
\begin{align*}
\inf _{|w|<r} & \left\{\mathfrak{R}\left(1+\frac{w \mathscr{B}^{\prime \prime}(w)}{\mathscr{B}^{\prime}(w)}\right)-\lambda\left|\frac{w \mathscr{B}^{\prime \prime}(w)}{\mathscr{B}^{\prime}(w)}\right|-\delta\right\}  \tag{25}\\
& =(1+\lambda)\left(\frac{r e^{i \phi} \mathscr{B}^{\prime \prime}\left(r e^{i \phi}\right)}{\mathscr{B}^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \phi}\right)}\right)+(1-\delta-2 \lambda),
\end{align*}
$$

where $r \in\left(0, r_{0}\right)$. The function $\psi:\left(0, r_{0}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by $\psi(r)=(1+\lambda)\left(r e^{i \phi} \mathscr{B}^{\prime \prime}\left(r e^{i \phi}\right) / \mathscr{B}^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \phi}\right)\right)+(1-\delta-2 \lambda) \quad$ is strictly decreasing; also, observe that $\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \psi(r)=1-\delta-2 \lambda>$ $0, \lim _{r \longrightarrow r_{0}} \psi(r)=-\infty$. Thus, it follows that the equation $(1+\lambda)$ $e^{i \phi} r \mathscr{B}^{\prime \prime}\left(e^{i \phi} r\right)+(1-2 \lambda-\delta) \mathscr{B}^{\prime}\left(e^{i \phi} r\right)=0$ has a unique root situated in $\left(0, r_{0}\right)$, and this root is $r_{u c(\mathscr{B})}^{\lambda}(\delta)$.

Remark 7. As $\delta \in[0,1)$ and $0 \leq \lambda<((1-\delta) / 2) \leq(1 / 2)$,we have $\lambda \in[0,1 / 2)$, which means that if $\mathscr{B} \in \lambda-\mathscr{U} \mathscr{C V}(\delta)$, then the image of $\mathbb{U}(r)$ under the function contained in the
right half plane for which $1+\left(\left(w \mathscr{B}^{\prime \prime}(w)\right) /\left(\mathscr{B}^{\prime}(w)\right)\right)$ is in hyperbolic domain $\Omega_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ contained in the right half plane for which $1 \in \Omega_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ and $\partial \Omega_{\lambda}^{\delta}$ is the curve defined by equation (4).

By specializing the parameters in Theorem 6, we have
Remark 8. Substituting $\delta=0$ and $\lambda \in[0,1 / 2)$ in Theorem 6, we get the radius $r_{u c(\mathscr{B})}^{\lambda}$ of $\lambda$-uniform convexity given by the absolute value of the root of the equation $(1+\lambda) w \mathscr{B}^{\prime \prime}(w)+$ $(1-2 \lambda) \mathscr{B}^{\prime}(w)=0$ having the smallest modulus and argument $\phi$.

Remark 9 (see [17]). Taking $\lambda=0,0 \leq \delta<1$ in Theorem 6, we get the radius $r_{\mathscr{B}}^{c}(\delta)$ of convexity of order $\delta$ given by the absolute value of the root of the equation $w \mathscr{B}^{\prime \prime}(w)+(1-\delta)$ $\mathscr{B}^{\prime}(w)=0$, having the smallest modulus and argument $\phi$.

Theorem 10. Let $\left\{c_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N} /\{0\}}$ be a sequence with $c_{n}=\left|c_{n}\right| e^{i \phi}$ $\in \mathbb{C},\left|c_{n}\right| \geq 1$ for $n \in \mathbb{N} /\{0\}$, $r_{0}=\inf \left\{\left|c_{n}\right|: n \in \mathbb{N} /\{0\}\right\}$, and let $h(w)$ be an analytic function in $\mathbb{U}\left(r_{0}\right)$ with $|w| e^{i \phi} h^{\prime}(|w|$ $\left.e^{i \phi}\right) \in \mathbb{R},|w| e^{i \phi} h^{\prime}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right) \leq \Re\left\{w h^{\prime}(w)\right\}$, and $\left|w^{2} h^{\prime \prime}(w)\right| \leq-$ $|w|^{2} e^{i 2 \phi} h^{\prime \prime}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)$ for $w \in \mathbb{U}\left(r_{0}\right)$. If the function $\mu:\left(0, r_{0}\right)$ $\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\mu(r)=r e^{i \phi} h^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \phi}\right)$ is decreasing, the function $\vartheta(r):\left(0, r_{0}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\vartheta(r)=-r^{2} e^{2 i \phi} h^{\prime \prime}\left(r e^{i \phi}\right)$ is increasing with respect to $r$, and $\mathscr{B}(w)$ is of the form (9) with $q_{n} \in \mathbb{N} /\{0\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N} /\{0\}$ and $\alpha \in[0,1)$; then, the radius of $\alpha$ -convexity of order $\delta$ of the function $\mathscr{B}(w)$ is the smallest positive root of the equation $(1-\alpha)\left(w \mathscr{B}^{\prime}(w) / \mathscr{B}(w)\right)+\alpha$ $\left(1+w \mathscr{B}^{\prime \prime}(w) / \mathscr{B}^{\prime}(w)\right)=\delta$ having the smallest modulus and argument $\phi$.

Proof. Consider

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathscr{R}\{ & \mathscr{M}(\alpha, \mathscr{B}(w))\} \\
= & \mathscr{R}\left\{(1-\alpha) \frac{w \mathscr{B}^{\prime}(w)}{\mathscr{B}(w)}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{w \mathscr{B}^{\prime \prime}(w)}{\mathscr{B}^{\prime}(w)}\right)\right\} \\
= & 1+w h^{\prime}(w)-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(w / c_{n}\right)^{q_{n}+1}}{1-\left(w / c_{n}\right)} \\
& +\alpha\left(1-\frac{1-w^{2} h^{\prime \prime}(w)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\left(\left(q_{n}\right)\left(w / c_{n}\right)^{q_{n}+1}-\left(q_{n}-1\right)\left(w / c_{n}\right)^{q_{n}+2}\right) /\left(\left(1-\left(w / c_{n}\right)\right)^{2}\right)\right)}{1+w h^{\prime}(w)-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\left(\left(w / c_{n}\right)^{q_{n}+1}\right) /\left(1-\left(w / c_{n}\right)\right)\right)}\right) \\
\geq & 1+|w| e^{i \phi} h^{\prime}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\left|w / c_{n}\right|^{q_{n}+1}}{1-\left|w / c_{n}\right|}\right)  \tag{26}\\
& +\alpha\left(1-\frac{1-|w|^{2} e^{i 2 \phi} h^{\prime \prime}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\left(\left(q_{n}\right)\left|w / c_{n}\right|^{q_{n}+1}-\left(q_{n}-1\right)\left|w / c_{n}\right|^{q_{n}+2}\right) /\left(\left(1-\left|w / c_{n}\right|\right)^{2}\right)\right)}{1+|w| e^{i \phi} h^{\prime}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\left(\left|w / c_{n}\right|^{q_{n}+1}\right) /\left(1-\left|w / c_{n}\right|\right)\right)}\right) \\
\geq & (1-\alpha)\left(\frac{|w| e^{i \phi} \mathscr{B}^{\prime}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)}{\mathscr{B}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)}\right)+\alpha\left(1+\frac{|w| e^{i \phi} \mathscr{B}^{\prime \prime}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)}{\mathscr{B}^{\prime}\left(|w| e^{i \phi}\right)}\right) \\
= & \mathscr{M}\left(\alpha, e^{i \phi}|\mathscr{B}(w)|\right),
\end{align*}
$$

for every $|w|<r_{0}$, and the equality holds for $w=|w| e^{i \phi}$. By the virtue of minimum principle for harmonic functions,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{|w|<r} \mathfrak{R}\{\mathscr{M}(\alpha, \mathscr{B}(w))\}=\mathscr{M}\left(\alpha, r e^{i \phi}\right), r \in\left(0, r_{0}\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, $\mathscr{M}\left(\alpha, r e^{i \phi}\right)$ is strictly decreasing; also, $\lim _{r \longrightarrow 0} \mathscr{M}\left(\alpha, r e^{i \phi}\right)$ $=1>0$ and $\lim _{r \longrightarrow r_{0}} \mathscr{M}\left(\alpha, r e^{i \phi}\right)=-\infty$. Hence, the equation $(1-$ $\alpha)\left(r e^{i \phi} \mathscr{B}^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \phi}\right) / \mathscr{B}\left(r e^{i \phi}\right)\right)+\alpha\left(1+r e^{i \phi} \mathscr{B}^{\prime \prime}\left(r e^{i \phi}\right) / \mathscr{B}^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \phi}\right)\right)=$ $\delta$ has a unique root in $\left(0, r_{0}\right)$, and this root is $r_{c(\mathscr{B})}^{\alpha}(\delta)$.

Remark 11 (see [17]). Taking $\alpha=0$ in Theorem 10, we get the radius $r_{\mathscr{B}}^{*}(\delta)$ of starlikeness of order $\delta$, given by the absolute value of the root of the equation $w \mathscr{B}^{\prime}(w)-\delta \mathscr{B}(w)=0$, having the smallest modulus and argument $\phi$.

Remark 12 (see [17]). Taking $\alpha=1$, in Theorem 6, we get the radius $r_{\mathscr{B}}^{c}(\delta)$ of convexity of order $\delta$, given by the absolute value of the root of the equation $w \mathscr{B}^{\prime \prime}(w)+(1-\delta) \mathscr{B}^{\prime}(w)$ $=0$, having the smallest modulus and argument $\phi$.

In the following remark, we discuss the radius of $\lambda$ -starlikeness, $\lambda$-uniform convexity, and $\alpha$-convexity of order $\delta$ for the function $1 / \Gamma$.

Remark 13. Let $h(w)=\gamma w$ where $\gamma$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant [5], and let $q_{n}=1, c_{n}=-n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\phi=0$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{B}(w)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(w)}=w e^{\gamma w} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1+\frac{w}{n}\right) e^{-w / n} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now have $w h^{\prime}(w)=\gamma w, w^{2} h^{\prime \prime}(w)=\gamma w^{2}$, and it is easy to verify $\Re\left(w h^{\prime}(w)\right) \geq \gamma|w|, w \in \mathbb{U}$ with equality iff $w$ $\in \mathbb{R}$ and $\left|w^{2} h^{\prime \prime}(w)\right|=\gamma|w|^{2}, w \in \mathbb{U}$. The conditions of Theorem 2, Theorem 6, and Theorem 10 are satisfied.

By Theorem 2, the radius $r_{s t(1 / \Gamma)}^{\lambda}(\delta)$ of $\lambda$-starlikeness of order $\delta$ of the function $1 /(\Gamma(w))$ is the modulus of the biggest negative root of the equation $\left(\left(w \Gamma^{\prime}(w)\right) / \Gamma(w)\right)+((\lambda$ $+\delta) /(1+\lambda))=0$. Numerical approach gives $r_{s t(1 / \Gamma)}^{0}(0)=$ $0.504083, \quad r_{s t(1 / \Gamma)}^{1 / 2}(0)=0.416321, \quad r_{s t(1 / \Gamma)}^{0}(1 / 2)=0.358071$, $r_{s t(1 / \Gamma)}^{1}(1 / 4)=0.30431$, and $r_{s t(1 / \Gamma)}^{2}(1 / 2)=0.180823$.

By Theorem 6, the radius $r_{u c(1 / \Gamma)}^{\lambda}(\delta)$ of $\lambda$-uniform convexity of order $\delta$ of the function $1 / \Gamma(w)$ is the modulus of the biggest negative root of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{w \Gamma^{\prime \prime}(w)}{\Gamma^{\prime}(w)}-\frac{2 w \Gamma^{\prime}(w)}{\Gamma(w)}+\frac{1-2 \lambda-\delta}{1+\lambda}=0 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Numerical approach gives $r_{u c(1 / \Gamma)}^{0}(0)=0.266701, r_{u c(1 / \Gamma)}^{0}(1$ $/ 2)=0.190771, r_{u c(1 / \Gamma)}^{1 / 3}(0)=0.125966, r_{u c(1 / \Gamma)}^{1 / 4}(1 / 4)=0.108467$, and $r_{u c(1 / \Gamma)}^{1 / 5}(1 / 3)=0.116513$.

By Theorem 10, the radius $r_{c(1 / \Gamma)}^{\alpha}(\delta)$ of $\alpha$-convexity of order $\delta$ for the function $1 / \Gamma(w)$ is the modulus of the biggest
negative root of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(1+\frac{w \Gamma^{\prime \prime}(w)}{\Gamma^{\prime}(w)}\right)+(1+\alpha) \frac{w \Gamma^{\prime}(w)}{\Gamma(w)}=\delta . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Numerical approach gives $r_{c(1 / \Gamma)}^{0}(0)=0.504083, r_{c(1 / \Gamma)}^{1}(0)$ $=0.266701, r_{c(1 / \Gamma)}^{1 / 3}(1 / 2)=0.258289, r_{c(1 / \Gamma)}^{1 / 2}(1 / 3)=0.269676$, and $r_{c(1 / \Gamma)}^{1 / 2}(1 / 2)=0.234978$.

In the following remark, we give an example which shows that the Theorems 2,6 , and 10 work even if $w e^{h(w)}$ is not starlike. That is, the example given in the following remark shows that the hypotheses of Theorems 2, 6, and 10 are free from the hypothesis of Theorem 3 in [13], proved by Merkes et al.

Remark 14. Let $h(w)=w^{2} /\left(w^{2}-1\right)$ with $\phi=0$, and let $q_{n}$ $=1, c_{n}=n$. Clearly, $w e^{h(w)}$ is not starlike. Then, we have $w h^{\prime}(w)=\left(-2 w^{2} /\left(\left(w^{2}-1\right)^{2}\right)\right)$ and $w^{2} h^{\prime \prime}(w)=\left(\left(2 w^{2}+6 w^{4}\right)\right.$ $\left./\left(\left(w^{2}-1\right)^{3}\right)\right)$. Also, $\boldsymbol{R}\left(w h^{\prime}(w)\right) \geq\left(-2|w|^{2} /\left(\left(|w|^{2}-1\right)^{2}\right)\right)$ and $\left|w^{2} h^{\prime \prime}(w)\right| \geq\left(\left(2|w|^{2}+6|w|^{4}\right) /\left(\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{3}\right)\right), w \in \mathbb{U}$ with equality iff $w \in \mathbb{R}$.

By Theorem 2, the radius $r_{s t(\mathscr{F})}^{\lambda}(\delta)$ of $\lambda$-starlikeness of order $\delta$ of the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{B}_{1}(w)=w e^{w^{2} /\left(w^{2}-1\right)} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{w}{n}\right) e^{w / n} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the smallest positive root of the equation $1-\left(2 r^{2}\right.$ $\left./\left(\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{2}\right)\right)-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(r^{2} /(n(n-r))\right)-((\lambda+\delta) /(1+\lambda))=0$.
Numerical approach gives $r_{s t\left(\mathscr{B}_{1}\right)}^{0}(0)=r_{\mathscr{B}_{1}}^{*}=0.426948$, $r_{s t\left(\mathscr{R}_{1}\right)}^{0}(1 / 2)=r_{\mathscr{B}_{1}}^{*}(1 / 2)=0.325887, \quad r_{s t\left(\mathscr{B}_{1}\right)}^{1}(1 / 2)=0.241843$, $r_{s t\left(\mathscr{B}_{1}\right)}^{2}(1 / 2)=0.201282$, and $r_{s t}^{1 / 2}\left(\mathscr{B}_{1}\right)(1 / 2)=0.274465$.

By Theorem 6, the radius $r_{u c\left(\mathscr{B}_{1}\right)}^{\lambda}(\delta)$ of $\lambda$-uniform convexity of order $\delta$ is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
1- & \frac{2 r^{2}}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{2}}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{r^{2}}{n(n-r)} \\
& -\frac{1+\left(\left(2 r^{2}+6 r^{4}\right) /\left(\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{3}\right)\right)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(r^{2} /\left((n-r)^{2}\right)\right)}{1-\left(2 r^{2} /\left(\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{2}\right)\right)-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(r^{2} /(n(n-r))\right)} \\
& +\frac{(1-\delta-2 \lambda)}{1+\lambda}=0 . \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

Numerical approach gives $r_{u c\left(\mathscr{B}_{1}\right)}^{0}(0)=r_{\mathscr{B}_{1}}^{c}=0.242015$, $r_{u c\left(\mathscr{B}_{1}\right)}^{0}(1 / 2)=r_{\mathscr{B}_{1}}^{c}(1 / 2)=0.187093, \quad r_{u c\left(\mathscr{B}_{1}\right)}^{1 / 6}(1 / 2)=0.108455$, and $r_{u c\left(\mathscr{B}_{1}\right)}^{1 / 4}(1 / 4)=0.126439$.

By Theorem 10, the radius $r_{c\left(\mathscr{F}_{1}\right)}^{\alpha}(\delta)$ of $\alpha$-convexity of order $\delta$ is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
1- & \frac{2 r^{2}}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{2}}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{r^{2}}{n(n-r)} \\
& +\alpha\left(1-\frac{1+\left(\left(2 r^{2}+6 r^{4}\right) /\left(\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{3}\right)\right)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(r^{2} /\left((n-r)^{2}\right)\right)}{1-\left(2 r^{2} /\left(\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{2}\right)\right)-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(r^{2} /(n(n-r))\right)}\right)=\delta . \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

Numerical approach gives $r_{c\left(\mathscr{B}_{1}\right)}^{0}(0)=r_{\mathscr{B}_{1}}^{*}=0.426948$, $r_{c\left(\mathscr{B}_{1}\right)}^{1}(0)=r_{\mathscr{B}_{1}}^{c}=0.242015, r_{c\left(\mathscr{B}_{1}\right)}^{0}(1 / 2)=r_{\mathscr{S}_{1}}^{*}(1 / 2)=0.325887$ $, \quad r_{c(\mathscr{B})}^{1}(1 / 2)=r_{\mathscr{B}_{1}}^{c}(1 / 2)=0.187093, \quad r_{c\left(\mathscr{B}_{1}\right)}^{1 / 2}(1 / 2)=0.222952$, $r_{c\left(\mathscr{F}_{1}\right)}^{1 / 4}(1 / 4)=0.139653$, and $r_{c\left(\mathscr{F}_{1}\right)}^{1 / 4}(1 / 2)=0.254242$.
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