

Research Article

Properties of Meromorphic Spiral-Like Functions Associated with Symmetric Functions

Daniel Breaz,¹ Luminița-Ioana Cotîrlă,² Elangho Umadevi,³ and Kadhavoor R. Karthikeyan,⁴

¹Department of Mathematics, "1 Decembrie 1918" University of Alba Iulia, 510009 Alba Iulia, Romania

²Department of Mathematics, Technical University of Cluj-Napoca, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

³Department of Mathematics and Statistics, College of Natural and Health Sciences, Zayed University, Abu Dhabi, UAE

⁴Department of Applied Mathematics and Science, National University of Science & Technology, Muscat, P.O. Box 620, Oman

Correspondence should be addressed to Luminița-Ioana Cotîrlă; luminita.cotirla@math.utcluj.ro

Received 2 June 2022; Accepted 13 July 2022; Published 28 August 2022

Academic Editor: Sibel Yalçın

Copyright © 2022 Daniel Breaz et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

To consolidate or adapt to many studies on meromorphic functions, we define a new subclass of meromorphic functions of complex order involving a differential operator. The defined function class combines the concept of spiral-like functions with other studies pertaining to subclasses of multivalent meromorphic functions. Inclusion relations, integral representation, geometrical interpretation, coefficient estimates and solution to the Fekete-Szegö problem of the defined classes are the highlights of this present study. Further to keep up with the present direction of research, we extend the study using quantum calculus. Applications of our main results are given as corollaries.

1. Introduction

Let \mathscr{A} be the class of function of the form

$$\chi(\xi) = \xi + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \xi^n, \qquad (1)$$

which are analytic in the unit disc $\mathbb{E} = \{\xi : |\xi| < 1\}$. Also let \mathscr{S} denote the class of functions $\chi \in \mathscr{A}$ which are univalent in \mathbb{E} . The subclasses of \mathscr{S} consisting of functions which map unit disc onto a star-like and convex domain will be symbolized by \mathscr{S}^* and \mathscr{C} , respectively. Also let \mathscr{P} denote the class of functions *h* analytic in the unit disc, given by

$$h(\xi) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R_n \xi^n, \xi \in \mathbb{E}, R_1 > 0,$$
 (2)

and satisfies Re $(h(\xi)) > 0$, $\xi \in \mathbb{E}$. For $p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$, we let \mathcal{L}_p to denote the class of functions χ of the form

$$\chi(\xi) = \xi^{-p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{n-p} \xi^{n-p},$$
(3)

which are analytic in $\mathbb{E}^* = \{\xi : \xi \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } 0 < |\xi| < 1\}$. Shi et al. [1] defined the class $\chi(\xi) \in \mathcal{MS}_p(\sigma, \tau)$ if and only if

$$-e^{i\sigma}\frac{\xi\chi'(\xi)}{\chi(\xi)} \prec \frac{pe^{i\sigma} - (2\tau - pe^{-i\sigma})\xi}{1 - \xi} \left(\chi \in \mathscr{L}_p\right), \qquad (4)$$

where $|\sigma| < \lambda/2$ and $\tau > p \cos \sigma$. Here, \prec denotes the usual subordination of analytic function. The class $\mathscr{MS}_p(\sigma, \tau)$ is the meromorphic analogue of the class of *p*-valent spiral-like functions defined by Uyanik et al. in [2]. Similarly, we let $\mathscr{MC}_p(\sigma, \tau)$ to denote the class of function in \mathscr{L}_p satisfying the condition

$$-e^{i\sigma}\left(1+\frac{\xi\chi''(\xi)}{\chi'(\xi)}\right) \prec \frac{pe^{i\sigma}-(2\tau-pe^{-i\sigma})\xi}{1-\xi}.$$
 (5)

Extending the class of Janowski function ([3]), Aouf [4]

(Equation (4)) (also see [5]) defined the class $h(\xi) \in \mathscr{P}(X, Y, p, \tau)$ if and only if

$$h(\xi) = \frac{p + [pY + (X - Y)(p - \tau)]w(\xi)}{[1 + Yw(\xi)]},$$

$$(-1 \le Y < X \le 1, 0 \le \tau < 1),$$
(6)

where $w(\xi)$ is the Schwartz function. Motivated by the recent study of Breaz et al. [5] and in view generalizing the superordinate function in (4), Cotîrlă and Karthikeyan in [6] defined and studied the following relation

$$\Delta_{\sigma}^{\tau}(\xi) = \frac{\left[\left(1 + Xe^{-2i\sigma} \right) pe^{i\sigma} + \tau(Y - X) \right] h(\xi) + \left[\left(1 - Xe^{-2i\sigma} \right) pe^{i\sigma} - \tau(Y - X) \right]}{\left[(Y + 1)h(\xi) + (1 - Y) \right]},$$
(7)

where $-1 \le Y < X \le 1, -\pi/2 < \sigma < \pi/2, \tau > p \cos \sigma$ and $h(\xi) \in \mathcal{P}$.

It is well-known that the function $h(\xi) = 1 + \xi/1 - \xi$ maps the unit disc onto the right half plane. For an admissible choice of the parameter X = 0.5, Y = -0.5, p = 1, $\sigma = \pi/3$, and $\tau = 0.6$, $\Delta_{\sigma}^{\tau}(\xi)$ maps unit disc onto a domain which is convex with respect to point 0.5 if $h(\xi) = 1 + \xi/1 - \xi$ (see Figure 1). Similarly, the function $h(\xi) = \xi + \sqrt[3]{1 + \xi^3}$ which is related to the class of functions associated with leaf-like domain (see [7–9]) gets rotated and translated on the impact of $\Delta_{\sigma}^{\tau}(\xi)$ (see Figure 2) for a choice of the parameter X =0.5, Y = -0.5, p = 1, $\sigma = \pi/3$, and $\tau = 0.6$.

Remark 1. The purpose to study $\Delta_{\sigma}^{\tau}(\xi)$ was mainly motivated by the study of Karthikeyan et al. [10] and Noor and Malik [11]. Here, we will list some recent studies.

(1) If we let $\sigma = 0$ in (7), then, $\Delta_{\sigma}^{\tau}(\xi)$ reduces to

$$\aleph(\xi) = \frac{\left[(1+X)p + \tau(Y-X)\right]h(\xi) + \left[(1-X)p - \tau(Y-X)\right]}{\left[(Y+1)h(\xi) + (1-Y)\right]}.$$
(8)

The function $\aleph(\xi)$ was defined and studied by Breaz et al. in [5].

(2) If we let X = 1, Y = -1 and $h(\xi) = (1 + \xi)/(1 - \xi)$ in (7), then, $\Delta_{\sigma}^{\tau}(\xi)$ reduces to $2\tau - pe^{-i\sigma} + (2(p \cos \sigma - \tau)/1 - \xi)$ (see the superordinate function in (4)).

It is well-known that if $\chi(\xi)$ given by (1) is in \mathcal{S} , then, the ℓ -symmetrical function $[\chi(\xi^{\ell})]^{1/\ell}$, (ℓ is a positive integer) is also in \mathcal{S} . Let ℓ be a positive integer and $\varepsilon = \exp(2\pi i/\ell)$. For $\chi \in \mathcal{A}$, let

$$\chi_{\ell}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\ell-1} \frac{\chi(\varepsilon^{\nu}\xi)}{\varepsilon^{\nu}}.$$
(9)

The function χ is said to be star-like with respect to ℓ -symmetric points if it satisfies the condition

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{\xi \chi'(\xi)}{\chi_{\ell}(\xi)} > 0. \tag{10}$$

Here, we will let \mathscr{S}_{ℓ}^{s} to denote the class of star-like functions with respect to ℓ -symmetric points. The class \mathscr{S}_{ℓ}^{s} was introduced by Sakaguchi [12] in which he showed that all functions in \mathscr{S}_{ℓ}^{s} are univalent. Note that $\mathscr{S}_{1}^{s} = \mathscr{S}^{*}$.

A function $\chi \in \mathscr{L}_p$ is said to be ℓ -symmetrical if for each $\xi \in \mathbb{E}$

$$\chi(\varepsilon\xi) = \varepsilon^{-p} \chi(\xi), \tag{11}$$

For $\chi \in \mathcal{L}_p$, Equation (9) can be defined by the following equality

$$\chi_{\ell}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\ell-1} \frac{\chi(\varepsilon^{\nu}\xi)}{\varepsilon^{-\nu p}}, (\ell = 1, 2, 3, \cdots).$$
(12)

Now, we extend the operator defined by Selvaraj and Karthikeyan in [13]. Using Hadamard product (or convolution), we define a operator for functions $\chi \in \mathcal{L}_p$ as follows:

$$I_{\mu}^{m}(a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{r}, c_{1}, c_{2}, \cdots, c_{s})\chi = \frac{1}{\xi^{p}} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\mu}{n+\mu}\right)^{m} \frac{(a_{1})_{n}(a_{2})_{n}\cdots(a_{r})_{n}}{(c_{1})_{n}(c_{2})_{n}\cdots(c_{s})_{n}} d_{n-p} \frac{\xi^{n-p}}{(n)!},$$
(13)

where $(x)_n$ is the Pochhammer symbol defined by

$$(x)_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 0\\ x(x+1)(x+2)\cdots(x+n-1) & \text{if } n \in N_0 = \{1, 2, , \cdots \}. \end{cases}$$
(14)

For convenience, we shall henceforth denote

$$I_{\mu}^{m}(a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{r}, c_{1}, c_{2}, \cdots, c_{s})\chi = I_{\mu}^{m}(a_{1}, c_{1})\chi.$$
(15)

Note that in [13], $I_{\mu}^{m}(a_{1},c_{1})\chi$ was defined for $\chi \in \mathscr{L}_{1}$. Here, we skip the discussion on the necessity of using differential or integral operator, refer to [13–17] and reference provided therein for detailed properties of $I_{\mu}^{m}(a_{1},c_{1})\chi$.

Throughout this paper, we assume that $-1 \le Y < X \le 1$, $-\pi/2 < |\sigma| < \pi/2, \tau > p \cos \sigma, \lambda \ge 1$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon = \exp(2\pi i/\ell)$ and

$$\chi_{\ell}(m,\mu,a_{1},c_{1};\xi) = \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\ell-1} \varepsilon^{\nu p} \Big[I_{\mu}^{m}(a_{1},c_{1}) \chi(\varepsilon^{\nu}\xi) \Big] = \xi^{-p} + \cdots,$$
(16)

$$\left(\chi \in \mathscr{L}_p ; \ell = 2, 3, \cdots\right). \tag{17}$$



FIGURE 1: The image of the unit disc under the mapping of $\Delta_{\sigma}^{\tau}(\xi)$, if $h(\xi) = 1 + \xi/1 - \xi$.



FIGURE 2: The image of the unit disc under the mapping of $\Delta_{\sigma}^{\tau}(\xi)$, if $h(\xi) = \xi + \sqrt[3]{1+\xi^3}$.

1.1. Short Introduction to Quantum Calculus. For 0 < q < 1, the Jacksons *q*-derivative operator is defined by (see [18, 19])

$$\mathfrak{D}_{q}\chi(\xi) \coloneqq \begin{cases} \chi'(0), & \text{if } \xi = 0, \\ \frac{\chi(\xi) - \chi(q\xi)}{(1-q)\xi}, & \text{if } \xi \neq 0. \end{cases}$$
(18)

From (18), if χ has the power series expansion (3), we can easily see that $\mathfrak{D}_q \chi(\xi) = [-p]_q \xi^{-p-1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [n-p]_q d_{n-p}$

 ξ^{n-p-1} , for $\xi \neq 0$, where the *q*-integer number $[n]_q$ is defined by

$$[n]_q \coloneqq \frac{1-q^n}{1-q},\tag{19}$$

and note that $\lim_{q \to 1^-} \mathfrak{D}_q \chi(\xi) = \chi'(\xi)$. Throughout this paper, we let denote

$$([n]_q)_k \coloneqq [n]_q [n+1]_q [n+2]_q \cdots [n+k-1]_q.$$
 (20)

The *q*-Jackson integral is defined by (see [20])

$$I_{q}[\chi(\xi)] \coloneqq \int_{0}^{\xi} \chi(t) d_{q} t = \xi(1-q) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{n} \chi(\xi q^{n}), \qquad (21)$$

provided the q-series converges. Further observe that

$$\mathfrak{D}_{q}I_{q}\chi(\xi) = \chi(\xi) \text{ and } I_{q}\mathfrak{D}_{q}\chi(\xi) = \chi(\xi) - \chi(0), \qquad (22)$$

where the second equality holds if χ is continuous at $\xi = 0$. For details pertaining to the significance of univalent function theory in dual with quantum calculus, refer to [21, 22] (also see [23–26]).

Meromorphic multivalent functions have been extensively studied by various authors, but motivation and references of this study are [1, 13, 27–36].

Definition 2. For $-\pi/2 < \sigma < \pi/2$, $\lambda \ge 1$, $\tau \ge p \cos \sigma$, $b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $I^{m}_{\mu}(a_{1}, c_{1})\chi$ defined as in (13), a function χ belongs to the class $\mathscr{MS}_{\ell}^{m,\lambda}(a_{1}, c_{1}; b; h; X, Y)$ if it satisfies

$$e^{i\sigma}\left[p-\frac{1}{b}\left\{\frac{\xi^{(p+1)\lambda-p}\left[I_{\mu}^{m}(a_{1},c_{1})\chi'(\xi)\right]^{\lambda}}{\chi_{\ell}(m,\mu,a_{1},c_{1}\,;\xi)}-(-p)^{\lambda}\right\}\right]\prec\Delta_{\sigma}^{\tau}(\xi),$$
(23)

where \prec denotes subordination and $h(\zeta)$ is defined as in (2).

Now, we will define a class replacing ordinary derivative with a quantum derivative in $\mathcal{MS}_{\ell}^{m,\lambda}(a_1, c_1; b; h; X, Y)$.

Definition 3. For $-\pi/3 < \sigma < \pi/2$, $0 \le \eta \le 1$, $\tau \ge p \cos \sigma$, $b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $I^m_{\mu}(a_1, c_1)\chi$ defined as in (13), a function χ belongs to the class $\mathcal{QMS}^{m,\lambda}_{\ell}(a_1, c_1; b; h; X, Y)$ if

$$e^{i\sigma} \left([p]_q - \frac{1}{b} \left\{ \frac{\xi^{(p+1)\lambda-p} \left[\mathfrak{D}_q I^m_\mu(a_1, c_1) \chi(\xi) \right]^\lambda}{\chi_\ell(m, \mu, a_1, c_1; \xi)} - \left(-[p]_q \right)^\lambda \right\} \right) \prec Y_q(\sigma, \tau; \xi),$$
(24)

where $Y_q(\sigma, \tau; \xi)$ is the q-analogue of $\Delta_{\sigma}^{\tau}(\xi)$, which is defined by

$$Y_{q}(\sigma,\tau;\xi) = \frac{\left[\left(1 + Xe^{-2i\sigma} \right) [p]_{q} e^{i\sigma} + \tau(Y-X) \right] h(\xi) + \left[\left(1 - Xe^{-2i\sigma} \right) [p]_{q} e^{i\sigma} - \tau(Y-X) \right]}{\left[(Y+1)h(\xi) + (1-Y) \right]}.$$
(25)

Remark 4. We note that in the definition of $\mathcal{QMS}_{\ell}^{m,\lambda}(a_1, c_1; b; h; X, Y)$, the operator $I_{\mu}^m(a_1, c_1)\chi$ and $\chi_{\ell}(m, \mu, a_1, c_1; \xi)$ are the same as used in $\mathcal{MS}_{\ell}^{m,\lambda}(a_1, c_1; b; h; X, Y)$. We have not used the *q*-analogue operator as it would require the reader to contend with additional set of parameters.

2. Preliminaries and some Supplementary Results

Here, we will discuss the results which would help us to obtain our main results.

We note that everything in classical calculus cannot be generalized to quantum calculus, notably the chain rule needs adaptation. Hence, logarithmic differentiation needs some application of analysis. In [37], Agrawal and Sahoo obtained the following result on logarithmic differentiation. For $\chi \in \mathcal{A}$ and 0 < q < 1, we have

$$I_q \frac{\mathfrak{D}_q \chi(\xi)}{\chi(\xi)} = \frac{q-1}{\ln q} \log \chi(\xi), \tag{26}$$

where $I_q \chi$ is the Jackson *q*-integral, defined as in (21). Similarly, we can see that

$$\mathfrak{D}_{q}\left[\left\{\chi(\xi)\right\}^{\lambda-1/\lambda}\right] = \frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda}\mathfrak{D}_{q}[\chi(\xi)]\{\chi(\xi)\}^{-1/\lambda}.$$
 (27)

If v is an integer, then the following identities follow directly from (16):

$$\chi_{\ell}(m,\mu,a_{1},c_{1};\varepsilon^{\nu}\xi) = \varepsilon^{-\nu p}\chi_{\ell}(m,\mu,a_{1},c_{1};\xi).$$
(28)

$$\chi'_{\ell}(m,\mu,a_{1},c_{1};\varepsilon^{\nu}\xi) = \varepsilon^{-\nu p-\nu} \chi'_{\ell}(m,\mu,a_{1},c_{1};\xi) = \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\ell-1} \varepsilon^{\nu+\nu p} I^{m}_{\mu}(a_{1},c_{1}) \chi'(\varepsilon^{\nu}\xi).$$
(29)

Since q-derivative satisfies the linearity condition, (29) holds if the classical derivative is replaced with quantum derivative. That is,

$$\mathfrak{D}_{q}[\chi_{\ell}(m,\mu,a_{1},c_{1};\varepsilon^{\nu}\xi)] = \varepsilon^{-\nu p-\nu}\mathfrak{D}_{q}[\chi_{\ell}(m,\mu,a_{1},c_{1};\xi)].$$
(30)

We now state the following result which will be used to establish the coefficient inequalities.

Lemma 5 (see [38]). Let $\vartheta(\xi) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \vartheta_n \xi^n \in \mathscr{P}$ and also let v be a complex number, then

$$\left|\vartheta_2 - \nu \vartheta_1^2\right| \le 2 \max\left\{1, \left|2\nu - 1\right|\right\},\tag{31}$$

the result is sharp for functions given by

$$\vartheta(\xi) = \frac{1+\xi^2}{1-\xi^2}, \, \vartheta(\xi) = \frac{1+\xi}{1-\xi}.$$
(32)

The Maclaurin series for the function $\Delta_{\sigma}^{\tau}(\xi)$ (see [6]) for the function is given by

$$\Delta_{\sigma}^{\tau}(\xi) = pe^{i\sigma} + \frac{\left[X\left(pe^{-i\sigma} - \tau\right) - Y\left(pe^{i\sigma} - \tau\right)\right]R_1}{2}\xi + \cdots$$
(33)

If we define the function $\vartheta(\xi)$ by

$$\vartheta(\xi) = 1 + \vartheta_1 \xi + \vartheta_2 \xi^2 + \dots = \frac{1 + w(\xi)}{1 - w(\xi)} \prec \frac{1 + \xi}{1 - \xi}, \ (\xi \in \mathbb{E}).$$
 (34)

We note that $\vartheta(0) = 1$ and $\vartheta \in \mathscr{P}$. Using (34), we have

$$w(\xi) = \frac{\vartheta(\xi) - 1}{\vartheta(\xi) + 1}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[\vartheta_1 \xi + \left(\vartheta_2 - \frac{\vartheta_1^2}{2} \right) \xi^2 + \left(\vartheta_3 - \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2 + \frac{\vartheta_1^3}{4} \right) \xi^3 + \cdots \right].$$
(35)

For some $h(\xi) = 1 + R_1 \xi + R_2 \xi^2 + \cdots$, we have

$$p + b \left\{ e^{-i\sigma} \Delta_{\sigma}^{\tau}[w(\xi)] - p \right\}$$

= $p + \frac{b e^{-i\sigma} R_1 \vartheta_1 \left[X \left(p e^{-i\sigma} - \tau \right) - Y \left(p e^{i\sigma} - \tau \right) \right] \right] \xi$
+ $\frac{b e^{-i\sigma} \left[X \left(p e^{-i\sigma} - \tau \right) - Y \left(p e^{i\sigma} - \tau \right) \right] R_1}{4}$
 $\cdot \left[\vartheta_2 - \vartheta_1^2 \left(\frac{(Y+1)R_1 + 2(1 - (R_2/R_1))}{4} \right) \right] \xi^2 + \cdots$ (36)

3. Integral Representations and Closure Properties

We begin with the following.

Theorem 6. Let $\chi \in \mathcal{MS}_{\ell}^{m,\lambda}(a_1, c_1; b; h; X, Y)$, then for $\lambda = 1$, we get for $\xi \in \mathbb{E}^*$

$$\chi_{\ell}(m,\mu,a_{1},c_{1};\xi) = \xi^{p} \exp\left\{\frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\ell-1} \int_{0}^{\epsilon^{\nu}\xi} \frac{1}{t} \left[b\left\{p - e^{-i\sigma}\Delta_{\sigma}^{\tau}[w(t)]\right\} - 2p\right]dt\right\}.$$
(37)

And for $\lambda > 1$, we have for $\xi \in \mathbb{E}^*$

$$\chi_{\ell}(m,\mu,a_{1},c_{1};\xi) = \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda}\right)$$
$$\cdot \left\{ \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\ell-1} \int_{0}^{\xi} \left(\frac{\left[b\left\{ p - e^{-i\sigma} \Delta_{\sigma}^{\mathsf{T}}[w(\varepsilon^{\nu}t)]\right\} + (-p)^{\lambda} \right]}{t^{(p+1)\lambda-p}} \right)^{1/\lambda} dt \right\}^{\lambda-1/\lambda},$$
(38)

where $\chi_{\ell}(m, \mu, a_1, c_1; \xi)$ is defined by equality (16) and $w(\xi)$ is analytic in \mathbb{E} with w(0) = 0 and $|w(\xi)| < 1$.

Proof. Let $\chi \in \mathcal{MS}_{\ell}^{m,\lambda}(a_1, c_1; b; h; X, Y)$. In view of (23), we have

$$\frac{\xi^{(p+1)\lambda-p} \Big[I^m_{\mu}(a_1, c_1) \chi'(\xi) \Big]^{\lambda}}{\chi_{\ell}(m, \mu, a_1, c_1; \xi)} = b \Big\{ p - e^{-i\sigma} \Delta^{\tau}_{\sigma}[w(\xi)] \Big\} + (-p)^{\lambda},$$
(39)

where $w(\xi)$ is analytic in \mathbb{E} and w(0) = 0, $|w(\xi)| < 1$. Substituting ξ by $\varepsilon^{\nu}\xi$ in the equality (39), respectively, $(\nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \ell - 1, \varepsilon^{\ell} = 1)$, we have

$$\frac{\left(\varepsilon^{\nu}\xi\right)^{(p+1)\lambda-p}\left[I_{\mu}^{m}(a_{1},c_{1})\chi'(\varepsilon^{\nu}\xi)\right]^{\lambda}}{\chi_{\ell}(m,\mu,a_{1},c_{1};\varepsilon^{\nu}\xi)} \qquad (40)$$

$$=b\left\{p-e^{-i\sigma}\Delta_{\sigma}^{\tau}[w(\varepsilon^{\nu}\xi)]\right\}+(-p)^{\lambda}.$$

Using (28) in (40), we get

$$\frac{\xi^{(p+1)\lambda-p}\varepsilon^{\nu(p+1)\lambda} \left[I^m_{\mu}(a_1,c_1)\chi'(\varepsilon^{\nu}\xi) \right]^{\lambda}}{\chi_{\ell}(m,\mu,a_1,c_1;\xi)} \qquad (41)$$

$$= b \left\{ p - e^{-i\sigma} \Delta^{\tau}_{\sigma} [w(\varepsilon^{\nu}\xi)] \right\} + (-p)^{\lambda}.$$

Using the equality (29) in (42), we can get

$$\frac{\varepsilon^{\nu+\nu p} I^m_{\mu}(a_1,c_1) \chi'(\varepsilon^{\nu}\xi)}{\left[\chi_{\ell}(m,\mu,a_1,c_1;\xi)\right]^{1/\lambda}} = \left(\frac{\left[b\left\{p - e^{-i\sigma} \Delta^{\tau}_{\sigma}[w(\varepsilon^{\nu}\xi)]\right\} + (-p)^{\lambda}\right]}{\xi^{(p+1)\lambda-p}}\right)^{1/\lambda}.$$
(42)

Let $v = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \ell - 1$ in (42), respectively, and summing them we get

$$\frac{\chi'_{\ell}(m,\mu,a_{1},c_{1};\xi)}{\left[\chi_{\ell}(m,\mu,a_{1},c_{1};\xi)\right]^{1/\lambda}} = \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\ell-1} \left(\frac{\left[b\left\{p - e^{-i\sigma}\Delta_{\sigma}^{\tau}[w(\varepsilon^{\nu}\xi)]\right\} + (-p)^{\lambda}\right]}{\xi^{(p+1)\lambda-p}}\right)^{1/\lambda}.$$
(43)

Case 1. Let $\lambda = 1$ in (43). We need to integrate from 0 to ξ to find $\chi_{\ell}(m, \mu, a_1, c_1; \xi)$. But from (43), we notice the presence of the first-order pole at the origin, the difficulty to integrate the above equality is avoided by integrating from ξ_0 to ξ with $\xi_0 \neq 0$, and then, let $\xi_0 \longrightarrow 0$. Therefore, on applying integration, we get

$$\log\left(\frac{\chi_{\ell}(m,\mu,a_{1},c_{1};\xi)}{\xi^{p}}\right)$$

$$=\frac{1}{\ell}\sum_{\nu=0}^{\ell-1}\int_{0}^{\epsilon^{\nu}\xi}\frac{1}{t}\left[b\left\{p-e^{-i\sigma}\Delta_{\sigma}^{\tau}[w(t)]\right\}-2p\right]dt.$$
(44)

Hence, the proof of (37).

$$\begin{split} \left[\left\{ \chi_{\ell}(m,\mu,a_{1},c_{1}\,;\xi) \right\}^{1-1/\lambda} \right]' \\ &= \left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \right) \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\ell-1} \left(\frac{\left[b \left\{ p - e^{-i\sigma} \Delta_{\sigma}^{\tau} [w(\varepsilon^{\nu}\xi)] \right\} + (-p)^{\lambda} \right]}{\xi^{(p+1)\lambda-p}} \right)^{1/\lambda} . \end{split}$$

$$\tag{45}$$

On integrating the above expression we obtain (38). Hence, the proof of Theorem 6.

Theorem 7. Let $\chi \in QMS_{\ell}^{m,\lambda}(a_1, c_1; b; h; X, Y)$, then for $\lambda = 1$, we get

$$\chi_{\ell}(m,\mu,a_{1},c_{1};\xi) = \xi^{p} \exp\left\{\frac{\ln q}{(q-1)\ell} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\ell-1} \int_{0}^{e^{\nu}\xi} \frac{1}{t} \left(b\left\{[p]_{q} - e^{-i\sigma}Y_{q}(\sigma,\tau;w(t))\right\} - 2[p]_{q}\right)dt\right\}.$$
(46)

And for $\lambda > 1$, we have

 $\chi_{\ell}(m,\mu,a_1,c_1;\xi)$

$$= \left(\frac{\lambda-I}{\lambda}\right) \left\{ \frac{1}{\varrho} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\ell-I} \int_{0}^{\xi} \left(\frac{\left[b\left\{ [p]_{q} - e^{-i\sigma} \Delta_{\sigma}^{\mathsf{T}} [w(\varepsilon^{\nu}t)] \right\} + \left([p]_{q} \right)^{\lambda} \right]}{t^{(p+1)\lambda-p}} \right)^{1/\lambda} dt \right\}^{\lambda-I/\lambda},$$

$$(47)$$

where $\chi_{\ell}(m, \mu, a_1, c_1; \xi)$ is defined by equality (16) and $w(\xi)$ is analytic in \mathbb{E} with w(0) = 0 and $|w(\xi)| < 1$.

Proof. In view of (24), (30), and (43), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \underbrace{\mathfrak{D}_{q}[\chi_{\ell}(m,\mu,a_{1},c_{1}\,;\xi)]}_{[\chi_{\ell}(m,\mu,a_{1},c_{1}\,;\xi)]^{1/\lambda}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\ell-1} \left(\frac{\left(b\Big\{[p]_{q} - e^{-i\sigma}Y_{q}(\sigma,\tau\,;w(\varepsilon^{\nu}\xi))\Big\} + \left(-[p]_{q}\right)^{\lambda}\right)}{\xi^{(p+1)\lambda-p}} \right)^{1/\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(48)$$

Case 1. Let $\lambda = 1$ in (48). Using the definition of logarithmic differentiation for *q*-derivative operator (see (26)) in (48), we get (0 < q < 1)

$$\log\left(\frac{\chi_{\ell}(m,\mu,a_{1},c_{1};\xi)}{\xi^{p}}\right)$$
$$=\frac{\ln q}{(q-1)\ell}\sum_{\nu=0}^{\ell-1}\int_{0}^{e^{\nu\xi}}\frac{1}{t}\left(b\left\{\left[p\right]_{q}-e^{-i\sigma}Y_{q}(\sigma,\tau;w(t))\right\}-2\left[p\right]_{q}\right)d_{q}t,$$

$$(49)$$

where the integral is q-Jackson integral. Hence, the proof of (37).

Case 2. If $\lambda > 1$, using chain rule (see (27)) for the *q*-difference operator defined in the previous section, (43) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{D}_{q} \Big[\left\{ \chi_{\ell}(m,\mu,a_{1},c_{1}\,;\xi) \right\}^{1-1/\lambda} \Big] \\ &= \left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \right) \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\ell-1} \\ &\cdot \left(\frac{\left(b \Big\{ [p]_{q} - e^{-i\sigma}Y_{q}(\sigma,\tau\,;w(\epsilon^{\nu}\xi)) \Big\} + \left(-[p]_{q}\right)^{\lambda} \right)}{\xi^{(p+1)\lambda-p}} \right)^{1/\lambda}. \end{split}$$

$$(50)$$

On applying q-Jackson integral in the above expression, we obtain (47).

Corollary 8 (see [1, Theorem 1]). Let $\chi(\xi) \in \mathcal{MS}_p(\sigma, \tau)$, then

$$\chi(\xi) = \xi^{-p} \exp\left(2(\tau - p\cos\sigma)e^{-i\sigma} \int_0^{\xi} \frac{w(t)}{t[1 - w(t)]} dt\right), (\xi \in \mathbb{E}^*),$$
(51)

where $w(\xi)$ is analytic in \mathbb{E} with w(0) = 0 and $|w(\xi)| < 1$.

Proof. Letting m = 2, s = 1, $a_1 = c_1$, $a_2 = 1$, X = 1, Y = -1, $\ell = \lambda = b = 1$, and $h(\xi) = (1 + \xi)/(1 - \xi)$ in Theorem 6, then (43) reduces to the form

$$-e^{i\sigma}\frac{\xi\chi'(\xi)}{\chi(\xi)} = \left(pe^{i\sigma} - \frac{2(\tau - p\cos\sigma)w(\xi)}{1 - w(\xi)}\right).$$
 (52)

Retracing the steps as in Theorem 6, we can establish the assertion of the corollary. $\hfill \Box$

Setting m = 0, r = 2, s = 1, $a_1 = c_1$, and $a_2 = 1$ in Theorem 6, we get the following

Corollary 9. Let $\chi \in \mathcal{MS}_{\ell}^{0,\lambda}(2, 1; b; h; X, Y)$, then, for $\lambda = 1$, we get for $\xi \in \mathbb{E}^*$

$$\chi_{\ell}(\xi) = -p \int_{0}^{\xi} u^{-p-1} \exp\left(\frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\ell-1} \int_{0}^{\ell^{\nu} u} \frac{1}{t} \left[b\left\{p - e^{-i\sigma} \Delta_{\sigma}^{\tau}[w(t)]\right\}\right] dt\right) du.$$
(53)

And for $\lambda > 1$, we have for $\xi \in \mathbb{E}^*$

$$\begin{split} \chi_{\ell}(\xi) &= -p\left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda}\right) \int_{0}^{\xi} u \\ &\cdot \left\{ \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\ell-1} \int_{0}^{u} \left(\frac{\left[b\left\{ p - e^{-i\sigma} \Delta_{\sigma}^{\mathsf{T}} [w(\varepsilon^{\nu}t)]\right\} + (-p)^{\lambda} \right]}{t^{(p+1)\lambda-p}} \right)^{1/\lambda} dt \right\}^{\lambda-1/\lambda} du, \end{split}$$

$$(54)$$

where $\chi_{\ell}(\xi)$ is defined by equality (12) and $w(\xi)$ is analytic in \mathbb{E} with w(0) = 0 and $|w(\xi)| < 1$.

Letting $\lambda = 1X = 1$, Y = -1, b = 1, and $h(\xi) = (1 + \xi)/(1 - \xi)$ in Corollary 9, we get the following result.

Corollary 10. (see [1]). Let $\chi(\xi) \in \mathscr{MC}_p(\sigma, \tau)$, then for $\xi \in \mathbb{E}^*$

$$\chi(\xi) = -p \int_{0}^{\xi} u^{-p-1} \exp \left(2(\tau - p \cos \sigma)e^{-i\sigma} \int_{0}^{u} \frac{w(t)}{t[1 - w(t)]} dt\right) du,$$
(55)

where $w(\xi)$ is analytic in \mathbb{E} with w(0) = 0 and $|w(\xi)| < 1$.

4. Fekete-Szegö Inequality of
$$\mathscr{MS}_{\ell}^{m,\lambda}(a_1, c_1; b; h; X, Y)$$
 and $\mathscr{QMS}_{\ell}^{m,\lambda}(a_1, c_1; b; h; X, Y)$

Very few researchers have attempted at finding solution to the Fekete-Szegö problem for class of functions with respect to ℓ -symmetric points, as it is computational tedious. Notable among those works on coefficient inequalities of classes of functions with respect to ℓ -symmetric points were done by Aouf et al. [39].

Throughout this section, we let

$$\Psi_n = \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\ell-1} \varepsilon^{\nu n}, \left(\ell \in \mathbb{N} ; n \ge 1 ; \varepsilon^{\ell} = 1\right),$$

$$\Theta_n = \frac{(a_1)_n (a_2)_n \cdots (a_r)_n}{n! (c_1)_n (c_2)_n \cdots (c_s)_n} \text{ and } \Omega_n^m = \left(\frac{\mu}{n+\mu}\right)^m, (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$
(56)

Theorem 11. If $\chi(\xi) \in \mathcal{MS}_{\ell}^{m,\lambda}(a_1, c_1; b; h; X, Y)$, then, we have for all $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\left| d_{2-p} - \mu \, d_{1-p}^2 \right| \le \frac{|b| |X \left(p e^{-i\sigma} - \tau \right) - Y \left(p e^{i\sigma} - \tau \right) |R_1|}{2 \left| (-p)^{\lambda - 1} \{ p \Psi_2 + (2-p)\lambda \} \Theta_2 \Omega_2^m \right|} \quad (57)$$

$$\cdot \max \{ 1, |2\mathcal{Q}_1 - 1| \},$$

where Q_1 is given by

$$\mathcal{Q}_{1} = \frac{1}{4} \left\{ (Y+1)R_{1} + 2\left(1 - \frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}\right) - \frac{e^{-i\sigma}b\left[X\left(pe^{-i\sigma} - \tau\right) - Y\left(pe^{i\sigma} - \tau\right)\right]\left\{2p^{2}\Psi_{1}^{2} + 2p(1-p)\Psi_{1} + \lambda(\lambda-1)(1-p)^{2}\right\}R_{1}}{2(-p)^{\lambda}[p\Psi_{1} + (1-p)\lambda]^{2}} - \frac{\mu e^{-i\sigma}bR_{1}\left[X\left(pe^{-i\sigma} - \tau\right) - Y\left(pe^{i\sigma} - \tau\right)\right]\left\{p\Psi_{2} + (2-p)\lambda\right\}\Theta_{2}\Omega_{2}^{m}}{(-p)^{\lambda-1}[p\Psi_{1} + (1-p)\lambda]^{2}\Theta_{1}^{2}\Omega_{1}^{2m}}\right\}.$$

$$(58)$$

The inequality is sharp for each $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$.

On computation, we have

Proof. As
$$\chi \in \mathcal{MS}_{\ell}^{m,\lambda}(a_1, c_1; b; h; X, Y)$$
, by (23), we have

$$\frac{\xi^{(p+1)\lambda-p} \left[I^m_{\mu}(a_1,c_1)\chi'(\xi)\right]^{\lambda}}{\chi_{\ell}(m,\mu,a_1,c_1;\xi)} - (-p)^{\lambda} = -b \left[e^{-i\sigma} \Delta^{\tau}_{\sigma}[w(\xi)] - p\right].$$
(59)

Thus, let $\vartheta \in \mathscr{P}$ be of the form $\vartheta(\xi) = 1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \vartheta_n \xi^n$ and defined by

$$\vartheta(\xi) = \frac{1+w(\xi)}{1-w(\xi)}, \xi \in \Omega.$$
(60)

$$w(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}\vartheta_1\xi + \frac{1}{2}\left(\vartheta_2 - \frac{1}{2}\vartheta_1^2\right)\xi^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\vartheta_3 - \vartheta_1\vartheta_2 + \frac{1}{4}\vartheta_1^3\right)\xi^3 + \dots, \xi \in \Omega.$$
(61)

The right hand side of (58)

$$-b\{e^{-i\sigma}\Delta_{\sigma}^{\tau}[w(\xi)] - p\} = -\frac{be^{-i\sigma}R_{1}\vartheta_{1}[X(pe^{-i\sigma} - \tau) - Y(pe^{i\sigma} - \tau)]}{4}\xi \\ -\frac{be^{-i\sigma}[X(pe^{-i\sigma} - \tau) - Y(pe^{i\sigma} - \tau)]R_{1}}{4} \\ \cdot \left[\vartheta_{2} - \vartheta_{1}^{2}\left(\frac{(Y+1)R_{1} + 2(1 - (R_{2}/R_{1}))}{4}\right)\right]\xi^{2} + \cdots \right]$$
(62)

From the left hand side of (58) is given by

$$\frac{\xi^{(p+1)\lambda-p} \left[I_{\mu}^{m}(a_{1},c_{1})\chi'(\xi) \right]^{\lambda}}{\chi_{\ell}(m,\mu,a_{1},c_{1};\xi)} - (-p)^{\lambda} \\
= (-p)^{\lambda-1} [p\Psi_{1} + (1-p)\lambda]\Theta_{1}\Omega_{1}^{m}d_{1-p}\xi + \frac{(-p)^{\lambda-1}}{2p} \\
\cdot \left[2p\{p\Psi_{2} + (2-p)\lambda\}\Theta_{2}\Omega_{2}^{m}d_{2-p} - \left\{ 2p^{2}\Psi_{1}^{2} + 2p(1-p)\Psi_{1} + \lambda(\lambda-1)(1-p)^{2} \right\} d_{1-p}^{2}\Theta_{1}^{2}\Omega_{1}^{2m} \right]\xi^{2} + \cdots.$$
(63)

From (61) and (62), we obtain

$$\begin{split} d_{1-p} &= -\frac{e^{-i\sigma}bR_{1}\vartheta_{1}\left[X\left(pe^{-i\sigma}-\tau\right)-Y\left(pe^{i\sigma}-\tau\right)\right]}{4(-p)^{\lambda-1}[p\Psi_{1}+(1-p)\lambda]\Theta_{1}\Omega_{1}^{m}},\\ \\ d_{2-p} &= -\frac{e^{-i\sigma}b\left[X\left(pe^{-i\sigma}-\tau\right)-Y\left(pe^{i\sigma}-\tau\right)\right]R_{1}}{4(-p)^{\lambda-1}\{p\Psi_{2}+(2-p)\lambda\}\Theta_{2}\Omega_{2}^{m}}\left[\vartheta_{2}-\frac{1}{4}\left\{(Y+1)R_{1}+2\left(1-\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}\right)\right.\\ &\left. -\frac{e^{-i\sigma}b\left[X\left(pe^{-i\sigma}-\tau\right)-Y\left(pe^{i\sigma}-\tau\right)\right]\left\{2p^{2}\Psi_{1}^{2}+2p(1-p)\Psi_{1}+\lambda(\lambda-1)(1-p)^{2}\right\}R_{1}}{2(-p)^{\lambda}[p\Psi_{1}+(1-p)\lambda]^{2}}\right\}\vartheta_{1}^{2}\right]. \end{split}$$

Now we consider

$$\begin{split} \left| d_{2-p} - \mu d_{1-p}^{2} \right| &= \left| -\frac{e^{-i\sigma} b \left[X \left(p e^{-i\sigma} - \tau \right) - Y \left(p e^{i\sigma} - \tau \right) \right] R_{1}}{4 \left(- p \right)^{\lambda - 1} \left\{ p \Psi_{2} + (2 - p) \lambda \right\} \Theta_{2} \Omega_{2}^{m}} \left[\vartheta_{2} - \frac{1}{4} \left\{ (Y + 1) R_{1} + 2 \left(1 - \frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}} \right) \right. \\ &- \frac{e^{-i\sigma} b \left[X \left(p e^{-i\sigma} - \tau \right) - Y \left(p e^{i\sigma} - \tau \right) \right] \left\{ 2 p^{2} \Psi_{1}^{2} + 2 p (1 - p) \Psi_{1} + \lambda (\lambda - 1) (1 - p)^{2} \right\} R_{1}}{2 (-p)^{\lambda} \left[p \Psi_{1} + (1 - p) \lambda \right]^{2}} \right] \\ &- \frac{\mu e^{-2i\sigma} b^{2} R_{1}^{2} \vartheta_{1}^{2} \left[X \left(p e^{-i\sigma} - \tau \right) - Y \left(p e^{i\sigma} - \tau \right) \right]^{2}}{16 (-p)^{2\lambda - 2} \left[p \Psi_{1} + (1 - p) \lambda \right]^{2} \Theta_{1}^{2} \Omega_{1}^{2m}} \right] = \left| -\frac{e^{-i\sigma} b \left[X \left(p e^{-i\sigma} - \tau \right) - Y \left(p e^{i\sigma} - \tau \right) \right] R_{1}}{4 (-p)^{\lambda - 1} \left\{ p \Psi_{2} + (2 - p) \lambda \right\} \Theta_{2} \Omega_{2}^{m}} \right. \\ &\left. \cdot \left[\vartheta_{2} - \frac{\vartheta_{1}^{2}}{4} \left\{ (Y + 1) R_{1} + 2 \left(1 - \frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}} \right) - \frac{e^{-i\sigma} b \left[X \left(p e^{-i\sigma} - \tau \right) - Y \left(p e^{i\sigma} - \tau \right) \right] \left\{ 2 p^{2} \Psi_{1}^{2} + 2 p (1 - p) \Psi_{1} + \lambda (\lambda - 1) (1 - p)^{2} \right\} R_{1}}{2 (-p)^{\lambda} \left[p \Psi_{1} + (1 - p) \lambda \right]^{2}} \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{\mu e^{-i\sigma} b R_{1} \left[X \left(p e^{-i\sigma} - \tau \right) - Y \left(p e^{i\sigma} - \tau \right) \right] \left\{ p \Psi_{2} + (2 - p) \lambda \right\} \Theta_{2} \Omega_{2}^{m}}}{(-p)^{\lambda - 1} \left[p \Psi_{1} + (1 - p) \lambda \right]^{2} \Theta_{1}^{2} \Omega_{1}^{2m}} \right\} \right] \right|.$$

$$\tag{65}$$

On applying Lemma 5, we get the assertion. \Box

To demonstrate the applications of our results, here, we provide the most simple special case of our result. Note that the following result was obtained [[40], Theorem 6] for functions in $\chi \in \mathcal{A}$.

Corollary 12. If $\chi(\xi) \in \mathscr{L}_1$ satisfies

$$-\frac{\xi\chi'(\xi)}{\chi(\xi)} \prec h(\xi),\tag{66}$$

and $h(\xi) = 1 + R_1\xi + R_2\xi^2 + \cdots$, with $R_1, R_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $R_1 > 0$, then for all $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$\left| d_1 - \mu d_0^2 \right| \le \frac{R_1}{2} \max\left\{ 1; \left| \frac{R_2}{R_1} - R_1 + 2\mu R_1 \right| \right\}.$$
 (67)

The inequality is sharp for the function χ_* given by

$$\chi_{*}(\xi) = \begin{cases} \xi \exp \int_{0}^{\xi} -\frac{h(t)+1}{t} dt, & \text{if } \left| \frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}} - R_{1} + 2\mu R_{1} \right| \ge 1, \\ \xi \exp \int_{0}^{\xi} -\frac{h(t^{2})+1}{t} dt, & \text{if } \left| \frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}} - R_{1} + 2\mu R_{1} \right| \le 1. \end{cases}$$
(68)

Proof. In Theorem 11, taking $r = 2, s = 1, a_1 = b_1, a_2 = 1, X = 1, Y = -1, m = \sigma = \tau = 0$, and $\ell = \lambda = p = 1$, we get the inequality

$$\left| d_{1} - \mu d_{0}^{2} \right| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{R_{1}}{2}, & \text{if } \left| \frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}} - R_{1} + 2\mu R_{1} \right| \leq 1, \\ \frac{R_{1}}{2} \left| \frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}} - R_{1} + 2\mu R_{1} \right|, & \text{if } \left| \frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}} - R_{1} + 2\mu R_{1} \right| \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

$$(69)$$

8

Analogous to Theorem 11, we can prove the following.

Theorem 13. If $\chi(\xi) \in QMS_{\ell}^{m,\lambda}(a_1, c_1; b; h; X, Y)$, then, we have for all $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| d_{2-p} - \mu d_{1-p}^{2} \right| &\leq \frac{|b| \left| X \left([p]_{q} e^{-i\sigma} - \tau \right) - Y \left([p]_{q} e^{i\sigma} - \tau \right) \right| R_{1}}{2 \left| \left([-p]_{q} \right)^{\lambda - 1} \left\{ [2 - p]_{q} \lambda - [-p]_{q} \Psi_{2} \right\} \Theta_{2} \Omega_{2}^{m} \right|} \\ &\cdot \max \left\{ 1, |2 \mathcal{Q}_{2} - 1| \right\}, \end{aligned}$$
(70)

where Q_2 is given by

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{Q}_{2} &= \frac{l}{4} \left\{ (Y+I)R_{1} + 2 \left(I - \frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}} \right) \\ &- \frac{e^{-i\sigma}b \left[X \left([p]_{q}e^{-i\sigma} - \tau \right) - Y \left([p]_{q}e^{i\sigma} - \tau \right) \right] \left\{ 2 [-p]_{q}^{2} \mathcal{W}_{1}^{2} - 2 [-p]_{q} [I - p]_{q} \mathcal{\Psi}_{1} + \lambda (\lambda - I) [I - p]_{q}^{2} \right\} R_{I}}{2 (-p)^{\lambda} \left\{ [I - p]_{q} \lambda - [-p]_{q} \mathcal{\Psi}_{1} \right\}^{2}} \\ &- \frac{\mu e^{-i\sigma}bR_{1} \left[X \left([p]_{q}e^{-i\sigma} - \tau \right) - Y \left([p]_{q}e^{i\sigma} - \tau \right) \right] \left\{ [2 - p]_{q} \lambda - [-p]_{q} \mathcal{\Psi}_{1} \right\}^{2} \Theta_{2} \Omega_{2}^{m}}{(-p)^{\lambda - I} \left\{ [I - p]_{q} \lambda - [-p]_{q} \mathcal{\Psi}_{1} \right\}^{2} \Theta_{1}^{2} \Omega_{1}^{2m}} \right\}. \end{split}$$

$$(71)$$

The inequality is sharp.

5. Conclusions

The defined function class $\mathscr{MS}_{\ell}^{m,\lambda}(a_1, c_1; b; h; X, Y)$ though familiar with so called pseudo-star-like functions required lots of adaptation since it involves functions with a removable singularity of order p at the origin. Integral representation and Fekete-Szegö inequalities have been established. Further, we extend the class $\mathscr{MS}_{\ell}^{m,\lambda}(a_1, c_1; b; h; X, Y)$ by replacing the classical derivative with q-derivative. Since all the results involving classical derivative, we used some modified conditions to obtain our main results. We note that these adaptation are essential for future research.

Data Availability

Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest

Authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Authors' Contributions

All authors contributed equally to this work. All the authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

Authors thank the special issue editors and the referee for their helpful comments and suggestions, which helped us to improve the results and its presentation.

References

- L. Shi, Z.-G. Wang, and M.-H. Zeng, "Some subclasses of multivalent spirallike meromorphic functions," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2013, no. 1, 2013.
- [2] N. Uyanik, H. Shiraishi, S. Owa, and Y. Polatoglu, "Reciprocal classes of p-valently spirallike and p-valently Robertson functions," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2011, no. 1, 2011.
- [3] W. Janowski, "Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions I," *Annales Polonici Mathematici*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 297–326, 1973.
- [4] M. K. Aouf, "On a class ofp-valent starlike functions of order α," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 10, no. 4, p. 744, 1987.
- [5] D. Breaz, K. R. Karthikeyan, and A. Senguttuvan, "Multivalent prestarlike functions with respect to symmetric points," *Symmetry*, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 20, 2022.
- [6] L. I. Cotirla and K. R. Karthikeyan, "Classes of multivalent spirallike functions associated with symmetric regions," *Symmetry*, vol. 14, no. 8, 2022.
- [7] K. R. Karthikeyan and G. Murugusundaramoorthy, "Unified solution of initial coefficients and Fekete-Szegö problem for subclasses of analytic functions related to a conic region," *Afrika Matematika*, vol. 33, no. 2, 2022.
- [8] B. Khan, H. M. Srivastava, N. Khan, M. Darus, M. Tahir, and Q. Z. Ahmad, "Coefficient estimates for a subclass of analytic functions associated with a certain leaf-like domain," *Mathematics*, vol. 8, no. 8, p. 1334, 2020.
- [9] M. H. Priya and R. B. Sharma, "On a class of bounded turning functions subordinate to a leaf-like domain," *Journal of Physics Conference Series*, vol. 1000, article 012056, 2018.
- [10] K. R. Karthikeyan, S. Lakshmi, S. Varadharajan, D. Mohankumar, and E. Umadevi, "Starlike functions of complex order with respect to symmetric points defined using higher order derivatives," *Fractal and Fractional*, vol. 6, no. 2, p. 116, 2022.
- [11] K. I. Noor and S. N. Malik, "On coefficient inequalities of functions associated with conic domains," *Computers & Mathematcs with Applications*, vol. 62, no. 5, pp. 2209–2217, 2011.
- [12] K. Sakaguchi, "On a certain univalent mapping," Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 72–75, 1959.
- [13] C. Selvaraj and K. R. Karthikeyan, "Some inclusion relationships for certain subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with a family of integral operators," *Acta Mathematica Universitatis Comenianae. New Series*, vol. 78, no. 2, pp. 245– 254, 2009.
- [14] M. K. Aouf, A. O. Mostafa, A. M. Shahin, and S. M. Madian, "Some inclusion properties of p-valent meromorphic functions defined by the Wright generalized hypergeometric function," *Proceedings of the Pakistan Academy of Sciences*, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 45–52, 2012.
- [15] J.-L. Liu and H. M. Srivastava, "A linear operator and associated families of meromorphically multivalent functions," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 259, no. 2, pp. 566–581, 2001.
- [16] J.-L. Liu and H. M. Srivastava, "Classes of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 21–34, 2004.

- [17] H. M. Srivastava, H. M. Hossen, and M. K. Aouf, "A unified presentation of some classes of meromorphically multivalent functions," *Computers & Mathematcs with Applications*, vol. 38, no. 11-12, pp. 63–70, 1999.
- [18] M. H. Annaby and Z. S. Mansour, q-Fractional Calculus and Equations, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 2056, Springer, Heidelberg, 2012.
- [19] A. Aral, V. Gupta, and R. P. Agarwal, Applications of q-Calculus in Operator Theory, Springer, New York, 2013.
- [20] F. H. Jackson, "On q-definite integrals," *The Quarterly Journal* of Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 41, pp. 193–203, 1910.
- [21] H. M. Srivastava, "Operators of basic (or q-) calculus and fractional q-calculus and their applications in geometric function theory of complex analysis," *Iranian Journal of Science and Technology, Transactions A: Science*, vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 327– 344, 2020.
- [22] H. M. Srivastava, "Univalent functions, fractional calculus, and associated generalized hypergeometric functions," in Univalent Functions, Fractional Calculus, and Their Applications (H. M. Srivastava, S. Owa, Editors), Halsted Press (Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester), pp. 329–354, John Wiley and Sons, New York, Chichester, Brisbane and Toronto, 1989.
- [23] K. R. Karthikeyan, G. Murugusundaramoorthy, and T. Bulboaca, "Properties of λ-pseudo-starlike functions of complex order defined by subordination," *Axioms*, vol. 10, no. 2, p. 86, 2021.
- [24] H. M. Srivastava, Q. Z. Ahmad, N. Khan, N. Khan, and B. Khan, "Hankel and Toeplitz determinants for a subclass of q-starlike functions associated with a general conic domain," *Mathematics*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 181–215, 2019.
- [25] H. M. Srivastava, B. Khan, N. Khan, and Q. Z. Ahmad, "Coefficient inequalities for q-starlike functions associated with the Janowski functions," *Hokkaido Mathematical Journal*, vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 407–425, 2019.
- [26] H. M. Srivastava, B. Khan, N. Khan, Q. Z. Ahmad, and M. Tahir, "A generalized conic domain and its applications to certain subclasses of analytic functions," *The Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 49, pp. 2325–2346, 2019.
- [27] M. K. Aouf, "Certain subclasses of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with generalized hypergeometric function," *Computers & Mathematcs with Applications*, vol. 55, no. 3, pp. 494–509, 2008.
- [28] M. K. Aouf, "Certain subclasses of meromorphically *p*-valent functions with positive or negative coefficients," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 47, no. 9-10, pp. 997–1008, 2008.
- [29] D. Breaz, K. R. Karthikeyan, and E. Umadevi, "Subclasses of multivalent meromorphic functions with a pole of order p at the origin," *Mathematics*, vol. 10, no. 4, p. 600, 2022.
- [30] H. M. Srivastava, N. Khan, M. Darus, M. T. Rahim, Q. Z. Ahmad, and Y. Zeb, "Properties of spiral-like close-toconvex functions associated with conic domains," *Mathematics*, vol. 7, no. 8, pp. 706–712, 2019.
- [31] H. M. Srivastava, N. Raza, E. S. AbuJarad, G. Srivastava, and M. H. AbuJarad, "Fekete-Szegö inequality for classes of (p, q) -starlike and (p, q)-convex functions," *Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Serie A. Matemáticas*, vol. 113, no. 4, pp. 3563–3584, 2019.
- [32] H. M. Srivastava, M. Tahir, B. Khan, Q. Z. Ahmad, and N. Khan, "Some general classes of q-starlike functions associ-

ated with the Janowski functions," *Symmetry*, vol. 11, pp. 1–14, 2019.

- [33] H. M. Srivastava, M. Tahir, B. Khan, Q. Z. Ahmad, and N. Khan, "Some general families of q-starlike functions associated with the Janowski functions," *Universitet u Nišu*, vol. 33, pp. 2613–2626, 2019.
- [34] H. M. Srivastava, D.-G. Yang, and N.-E. Xu, "Some subclasses of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with a linear operator," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 195, no. 1, pp. 11–23, 2008.
- [35] Z.-G. Wang, Z.-H. Liu, and R.-G. Xiang, "Some criteria for meromorphic multivalent starlike functions," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 218, no. 3, pp. 1107–1111, 2011.
- [36] Z.-G. Wang, Y. Sun, and Z.-H. Zhang, "Certain classes of meromorphic multivalent functions," *Computers & Mathematcs with Applications*, vol. 58, no. 7, pp. 1408–1417, 2009.
- [37] S. Agrawal and S. K. Sahoo, "A generalization of starlike functions of order alpha," *Hokkaido Mathematical Journal*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 15–27, 2017.
- [38] W. C. Ma and D. Minda, "A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions," in *proceedings of the conference on complex analysis*, pp. 157–169, Tianjin, 1992.
- [39] M. K. Aouf, R. M. El-Ashwah, and S. M. El-Deeb, "Fekete-Szegö inequalities for starlike functions with respect toksymmetric points of complex order," *Journal of Complex Analysis*, vol. 2014, Article ID 131475, 10 pages, 2014.
- [40] Z. Tu and L. Xiong, "Unified solution of Fekete-Szegö problem for subclasses of starlike mappings in several complex variables," *Mathematica Slovaca*, vol. 69, no. 4, pp. 843–856, 2019.