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#### Abstract

In this paper, we introduce a new extragradient algorithm by using generalized metric projection. We prove a strong convergence theorem for finding a common element of the solution set of split feasibility problem and the set of fixed points of relatively nonexpansive mapping and a finite family of resolvent operator and the set of solutions of an equilibrium problem.


## 1. Introduction

Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Banach space $X$ with norm $\|$.$\| and X^{*}$ be the dual of $X$. We consider the following variational inequality problem (VI), which consists in finding a point $x \in C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x^{*}, y-x\right\rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in C, \quad \forall x^{*} \in A x \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A: C \longrightarrow 2^{X^{*}}$ is a mapping and $\langle.,$.$\rangle denotes the dual-$ ity pairing. The solution set of the variational inequality problem is denoted by $V I(C, A)$.

The operator $A: X \longrightarrow 2^{X^{*}}$ is called
(i) Monotone if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x-y, x^{*}-y^{*}\right\rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall x, y \in X, \quad \forall x^{*} \in A x, \quad y^{*} \in A y . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) $\alpha$-inverse strongly monotone if there exists a constant $\alpha>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x-y, x^{*}-y^{*}\right\rangle \geq \alpha\left\|x^{*}-y^{*}\right\|^{2}, \quad \forall x, y \in X, \quad \forall x^{*} \in A x, \quad y^{*} \in A y . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) Demiclosed if for all $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset X$ with $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x$ in $X$, and $y_{n} \in A x_{n}$ with $y_{n} \longrightarrow y$ in $X^{*}$, we have $x \in X$ and $y \in A x$

A monotone mapping $B$ is said to be maximal if its graph $G(B)=\{(x, B x): x \in D(B)\}$ is not properly contained in the graph of any other monotone mapping. Obviously, the monotone mapping $B$ is maximal if and only if for $\left(x, x^{*}\right)$ $\in X \times X^{*},\left\langle x-y, x^{*}-y^{*}\right\rangle \geq 0$ for all $\left(y, y^{*}\right) \in G(B)$, then it is implied that $x^{*} \in B x$.

Assume that $A: C \longrightarrow 2^{X^{*}}$ is a nonlinear mapping and $f: C \times C \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bifunction. The equilibrium problem $(\mathrm{EP})$ is as follows: find $x \in C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, y)+\langle A x, y-x\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall \quad y \in C \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution set of (4) is denoted by $\mathrm{EP}(f)$. The equilibrium problem is very general because it includes many wellknown problems such as variational inequality problems and saddle point problems (see [1-4]). Several methods have been proposed to solve the equilibrium problem in Hilbert
space (see [5]), and some authors obtained weak and strong convergence algorithms for finding a common element of the set of solutions of an equilibrium problem and the set of fixed points of a nonexpansive mapping in a Hilbert space (see [6-9]). Then, the authors proved the strong convergence of the algorithms in a uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space (see [10]).

Suppose that $C$ and $D$ are nonempty, closed, and convex subsets of real Banach spaces $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$, respectively. The split feasibility problem (SFP) is to find a point

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \in C \quad \text { such that } \quad x \in A^{-1} D \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which $A: X_{1} \longrightarrow X_{2}$ is a bounded linear operator. The solution set of (5) is denoted by $\Omega$.

In 1994, the split feasibility problem was first studied by Censor and Elfving [11] in finite dimensional Hilbert spaces. In solving (SFP), Schöpfer et al. [12] proposed the next algorithm in $p$-uniformly convex real Banach spaces: $x_{1} \in X_{1}$ is chosen arbitrarily and for $n \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=\Pi_{C} J_{X_{1}}^{*}\left(J_{X_{1}} x_{n}-t_{n} A^{*} J_{X_{2}}\left(A x_{n}-P_{D} A x_{n}\right)\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J$ is the duality mapping, $\Pi_{C}$ denotes the Bregman projection, $A$ is a bounded linear operator, and $A^{*}$ is the adjoint of $A$. Also, they have proven the generated sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ by algorithm (6) is weakly convergent under suitable conditions. The split feasibility problems were studied extensively by many authors [13, 14].

In this paper, motivated by Schöpfer et al. [12], we present a new hybrid algorithm using the inverse strongly monotone operation and a finite family of resolvent operator. Then, we show that our generated sequence is strongly converges to a common point, the set of solution set of split feasibility problem, and the fixed point of relatively nonexpansive mapping and the fixed point of resolvent operator.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $X$ be a real smooth Banach space with norm $\|$.$\| and let$ $X^{*}$ be the dual space of $X$. We denote the strong convergence and the weak convergence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ to $x$ in $X$ by $x_{n} \longrightarrow$ $x$ and $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x$, respectively. A function $\delta:[0,2] \longrightarrow[0,1]$ is said to be the modulus of convexity of $X$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(\varepsilon)=\inf \left\{1-\frac{\|x+y\|}{2}:\|x\| \leq 1,\|y\| \leq 1,\|x-y\| \geq \epsilon\right\} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\varepsilon \in[0,2]$. A Banach space $X$ is said to be uniformly convex if and only if $\delta(\varepsilon)>0$ for all $\varepsilon>0$. It is known that a uniformly convex Banach space has the Kadec-Klee property, that is, $x_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ and $\left\|x_{n}\right\| \longrightarrow\|u\|$ imply that $x_{n} \longrightarrow u$ (see [15]). Let $p$ be a fixed real number with $p \geq 2$. A Banach space $X$ is called $p$-uniformly convex [16], if there exists a constant $c>0$ such that $\delta \geq c \epsilon^{p}$ for all $\epsilon \in[0,2]$. Let $S(E)=$ $\{x \in X:\|x\|=1\}$. A Banach space $X$ is said to be smooth if
for all $x \in S(X)$, there exists a unique functional $j_{x} \in X^{*}$ such that $\left\langle x, j_{x}\right\rangle=\|x\|$ and $\left\|j_{x}\right\|=1$ (see [17]).

The norm of $X$ is said to be Gâteaux differentiable if for all $x, y \in S(X)$, the limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \longrightarrow 0} \frac{\|x+t y\|-\|x\|}{t} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists. In this case, $X$ is said to be smooth, and $X$ is called uniformly smooth if the limit (8) is attained uniformly for all $x, y \in S(X)$ [18]. If a Banach space $X$ is uniformly convex, then $X$ is reflexive and strictly convex, and $X^{*}$ is uniformly smooth [17]. The duality mapping $J_{X}^{p}$ on $X$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{X}^{p}(x)=\left\{f \in X^{*}:\langle x, f\rangle=\|x\|^{p},\|f\|=\|x\|^{p-1}\right\} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $x \in X$. If $X$ is a $p$-uniformly convex and uniformly smooth, then $J_{X}^{p}$ is single valued, one-to-one and satisfies $J_{X}^{p}=\left(J_{X}^{*}\right)^{-1}=\left(J_{X}^{q}\right)^{-1}$, where $J_{X}^{*}=J_{X}^{q}$ is the duality mapping of $X$ (see [19]). If $p=2$, then $J_{X}=J_{2}=J$ is the normalized duality mapping. It is well known that if $X$ is a reflexive, strictly convex, and smooth Banach space and $J_{X}^{*}: X^{*} \longrightarrow$ $2^{X}$ is the duality mapping on $X^{*}$, then $J_{X}^{-1}=J_{X}^{*}$. If $X$ is a uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space, then $J_{X}$ is uniformly norm to norm continuous on bounded sets of $X$ , and $J_{X}^{-1}=J_{X}^{*}$ is also uniformly norm to norm continuous on bounded sets of $X^{*}$. Let $X$ be a smooth Banach space and let $J_{X}$ be the duality mapping on $X$. The function $\phi: X \times X$ $\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x, y)=\|x\|^{2}-2\left\langle x, J_{X} y\right\rangle+\|y\|^{2}, \quad \forall x, y \in X \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, from (10), we can conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\|x\|-\|y\|)^{2} \leq \phi(x, y) \leq(\|x\|+\|y\|)^{2} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $X$ is a reflexive, strictly convex, and smooth Banach space, then for all $x, y \in X$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x, y)=0 \Leftrightarrow x=y \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, it is clear from the definition of the function $\phi$ that the following condition holds for all $x, y \in X$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi(x, y) & =\left\langle x, J_{X} x-J_{X} y\right\rangle+\left\langle y-x, J_{X} y\right\rangle  \tag{13}\\
& \leq\|x\|\left\|J_{X} x-J_{X} y\right\|+\|y-x\|\|y\| .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, the function $V: X \times X^{*} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(x, x^{*}\right)=\|x\|^{2}-2\left\langle x, x^{*}\right\rangle+\left\|x^{*}\right\|^{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $x^{*} \in X^{*}$. Moreover, $V\left(x, x^{*}\right)=\phi\left(x, J_{X}^{-1} x^{*}\right)$ for all $x \in X$ and $x^{*} \in X^{*}$. If $X$ is a reflexive strictly convex
and smooth Banach space with $X^{*}$ as its dual, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(x, x^{*}\right)+2\left\langle J_{X}^{-1} x^{*}-x, y^{*}\right\rangle \leq V\left(x, x^{*}+y^{*}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $x^{*}, y^{*} \in X^{*}$ [20].
An operator $A: C \longrightarrow X^{*}$ is hemicontinuous at $x_{0} \in C$, if for any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converging to $x_{0}$ along a line implies that the sequence $\left\{A x_{n}\right\}$ is weakly convergent to $A x_{0}$, i.e., $A x_{n}=A\left(x_{0}+t_{n} x\right) \rightharpoonup A x_{0}$ as $t_{n} \longrightarrow 0$ for all $x \in C$.

The generalized projection $\Pi_{C}: X \longrightarrow C$ is a mapping that assigns to an arbitrary point $x \in X$, the minimum point of the functional $\phi(y, x)$; that is, $\Pi_{C} x=x_{0}$, where $x_{0}$ is the solution of the minimization problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(x_{0}, x\right)=\min _{y \in C} \phi(y, x) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The existence and uniqueness of the operator $\Pi_{C}$ follows from the properties of the functional $\phi(x, y)$ and strict monotonicity of the mapping $J$ [21]. Suppose that $C$ is a nonempty closed convex subset of $X$ and $T$ is a self mapping on $C$. We denote the set of fixed points of $T$ by $F(T)$, that is $F(T)=\{x \in C: x \in T x\}$. A point $p \in C$ is called an asymptotically fixed point of $T$ if $C$ contains a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ which converges weakly to $p$ such that $T x_{n}-x_{n} \longrightarrow 0$ [17]. The set of asymptotical fixed points of $T$ will be denoted by $\widehat{F}$ ( $T)$. A mapping $T$ from $C$ into itself is said to be relatively nonexpansive if $\widehat{F}(T)=F(T)$ and $\phi(p, T x) \leq \phi(p, x)$ for all $x \in C$ and $p \in F(T)$. The asymptotic behavior of a relatively nonexpansive mapping was studied in [22, 23].

We need the following lemmas for proving our main results.

Lemma 1. (see [24]). Let $X$ be a smooth and uniformly convex Banach space and let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ be two sequences of $X$. If $\phi\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \longrightarrow 0$ and either $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ or $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, then $x_{n}-y_{n} \longrightarrow 0$.

Lemma 2. (see [21]). Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth, strictly convex, and reflexive Banach space $X$ and let $y \in X$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(x, \Pi_{C} y\right)+\phi\left(\Pi_{C} y, y\right) \leq \phi(x, y), \quad \forall x \in C \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3. (see [21]). Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth, strictly convex, and reflexive Banach space $X$, let $x \in X$, and let $z \in C$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\Pi_{C} x \Leftrightarrow\left\langle y-z, J_{X} x-J_{X} z\right\rangle \leq 0, \quad \text { for all } y \in C \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4. (see [25]). Let $X$ be a 2-uniformly convex and smooth Banach space. Then, for all $x, y \in X$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x-y\| \leq \frac{2}{c^{2}}\left\|J_{X} x-J_{X} y\right\| \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1 / c(0 \leq c \leq 1)$ is the 2-uniformly convex constant of $X$.

Lemma 5. (see [25]). Let $X$ be a uniformly convex Banach space and $r>0$. Then, there exists a continuous strictly increasing convex function $g:[0,2 r] \longrightarrow[0, \infty)$ such that $g(0)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|t x+(1-t) y\|^{2} \leq t\|x\|^{2}+(1-t)\|y\|^{2}-t(1-t) g(\|x-y\|) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in B_{r}(0)=\{z \in X:\|z\| \leq r\}$ and $t \in[0,1]$.
Lemma 6. (see [24]). Let $X$ be a uniformly convex Banach space and $r>0$. Then, there exists a continuous strictly increasing convex function $g:[0,2 r] \longrightarrow[0, \infty)$ such that $g(0)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\|x-y\|) \leq \phi(x, y) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in B_{r}(0)=\{z \in X:\|z\| \leq r\}$.
Lemma 7. (see [25]). Let $x, y \in X$. If $X$ is p-uniformly smooth, then there is a $c>0$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x-y\|^{p} \leq\|x\|^{p}-p\left\langle y, J_{X}^{p}(x)\right\rangle+c\|y\|^{p} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Throughout this paper, we assume that $f: C \times C \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bifunction satisfying the following conditions
(A1) $f(x, x)=0$ for all $x \in C$
(A2) $f$ is monotone, i.e., $f(x, y)+f(y, x) \leq 0$, for all $x, y \in C$
(A3) $\lim _{t \downarrow 0} f(t z+(1-t) x, y) \leq f(x, y)$, for all $x, y, z \in C$
(A4) For each $x \in C, y \mapsto f(x, y)$ is convex and lower semicontinuous.

Lemma 8. (see [26]). Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space X. Let $A: C \longrightarrow X^{*}$ be an $\alpha$-inverse-strongly monotone operator and $f$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\left(A_{1}\right)-\left(A_{4}\right)$. Then, for all $r>0$ the following hold
(i) For $x \in X$, there exists $u \in C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(u, x)+\langle A u, y-u\rangle+\frac{1}{r}\left\langle y-u, J_{X} u-J_{X} x\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) If $X$ is additionally uniformly smooth and $K_{r}: X$ $\longrightarrow C$ is defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{r}(x) & =\left\{u \in C: f(u, y)+\langle A u, y-u\rangle+\frac{1}{r}\left\langle y-u, J_{X} u-J_{X} x\right\rangle\right. \\
& \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C\}, \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

then, the following conditions hold:
$K_{r}$ is single-valued
$K_{r}$ is firmly nonexpansive, i.e., for all $x, y \in X$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\langle K_{r} x-K_{r} y, J_{X} K_{r} x-J_{X} K_{r} y\right\rangle \leq\left\langle K_{r} x-K_{r} y, J_{X} x-J_{X} y\right\rangle, \\
F\left(K_{r}\right)=\widehat{F}\left(K_{r}\right)=E P(f) . \tag{25}
\end{gather*}
$$

$E P$ is a closed convex subset of $C$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(p, K_{r} x\right)+\phi\left(K_{r} x, x\right) \leq \phi(p, x), \quad \forall \quad p \in F\left(K_{r}\right) . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Definition 9.

Let $X$ be a real smooth and uniformly convex Banach space and let $M: X \longrightarrow 2^{X^{*}}$ be a maximal monotone operator. For all $\iota>0$, define the operator $Q_{\iota}^{M}: X \longrightarrow X$ by $Q_{\iota}^{M}$ $=\left(J_{X}+\iota M\right)^{-1} J_{X} x$ for all $x \in X$.

Lemma 10. (see [18]). Let $X$ be a real smooth and uniformly convex Banach space, and let $M: X \longrightarrow 2^{X^{*}}$ be a maximal monotone operator. Then, $M^{-1} 0$ is a closed and convex subset of $X$, and the graph $G(M)$ of $M$ is demiclosed.

Lemma 11. Let $X$ be a real reflexive, strictly convex, and let smooth Banach space and $M: X \longrightarrow 2^{X^{*}}$ be a maximal monotone operator with $M^{-1} 0 \neq \varnothing$. Then, for all $x \in X, y \in$ $M^{-1} 0$ and $\iota>0$, then $\phi\left(y, Q_{\imath}^{M} x\right)+\phi\left(Q_{\imath}^{M} x, x\right) \leq \phi(y, x)$.

## 3. Main Results

In this section, we introduce our new extragradient algorithm.

Theorem 12. Let $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are real 2-uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach spaces. Suppose that $C$ and $D$ are nonempty closed and convex subsets of $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$, respectively. Suppose that $g$ is a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $\mathbb{R}$ which satisfies the conditions A1-A4, A: $X_{1} \longrightarrow X_{2}$ is a bounded linear operator and $A^{*}: X_{2}^{*} \longrightarrow X_{1}^{*}$ is the adjoint of $A$. Let $M_{i}: X_{1} \longrightarrow 2^{X_{i}^{*}}$ be a maximal monotone operator with $M_{i}^{-1} 0 \neq \varnothing$ for all $i=1,2, \cdots, k$. Assume that $B: C \longrightarrow X^{*}$ is an $\alpha$-inverse strongly monotone operator, and $f$ is a relatively nonexpansive mappings from $C$ into itself and $\Gamma=\Omega \cap F(f)$ $\cap\left(\cap{ }_{i=1}^{k} F\left(Q_{l}^{M_{i}}\right)\right) \cap E P(g) \neq \varnothing$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence generated by $v_{1} \in C$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{n} \in C \quad \text { s.t } \quad g\left(x_{n}, y\right)+\left\langle B x_{n}, y-x_{n}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-x_{n}, J_{X_{1}} x_{n}-J_{X_{1}} v_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \\
u_{n}=\Pi_{C} J_{X_{1}}^{-1}\left(s_{n} J_{X_{1}} x_{n}+\left(1-s_{n}\right) J_{X_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} x_{n}\right), \\
z_{n}=\Pi_{C} J_{X_{1}}^{-1}\left(J_{X_{1}} u_{n}-\tau A^{*} J_{X_{2}}\left(A u_{n}-P_{D} A u_{n}\right)\right), \\
y_{n}=\Pi_{C} J_{X_{1}}^{-1}\left(J_{X_{1}} z_{n}-\tau A^{*} J_{X_{2}}\left(A z_{n}-P_{D} A z_{n}\right)\right), \\
w_{n}=\Pi_{C} J_{X_{1}}^{-1}\left(\beta_{n} J_{X_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} z_{n}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) J_{X_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} y_{n}\right), \\
v_{n+1}=\Pi_{C} J_{X_{1}}^{-1}\left[\alpha_{n, 1} J_{X_{1}} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n, 2} J_{X_{1}} u_{n}+\alpha_{n, 3} X_{X_{1}} w_{n}\right],
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $r_{n} \in[a, \infty)$ for some $a>0,\left\{s_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$ are real sequences in $[a, b] \subset(0,1)$, and $\tau$ and $\left\{\alpha_{n, i}\right\}_{i=1}^{3}$ satisfy the following conditions:
(i) $\left\{\alpha_{n, i}\right\}_{i=1}^{3} \subset(0,1), \sum_{i=1}^{3} \alpha_{n, i}=1, \liminf _{n \longrightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n, 1} \alpha_{n, 2}>0$, and $\liminf _{n \longrightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n, 3}>0$
(ii) $\tau$ is real number such that $0<\tau<2 / c\|A\|^{2}$, where $c$ depends on 2-uniformly smoothness of $X_{1}^{*}$

Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $q=\Pi_{\Omega \cap\left(\cap_{i=1}^{k} F\left(Q_{t}^{M_{i}}\right)\right) \cap E P(g)}$ - $f(q)$.

Proof. Let $\widehat{\mathcal{u}} \in \Gamma$. By (10), Lemma 2 and the convexity of $\|.\|^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(\widehat{u}, u_{n}\right) \leq & \phi\left(\widehat{u}, J_{X_{1}}^{-1}\left(s_{n} J_{X_{1}} x_{n}+\left(1-s_{n}\right) J_{X_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} x_{n}\right)\right) \\
= & \|\widehat{u}\|^{2}-2\left\langle\widehat{u}, s_{n} J_{X_{1}} x_{n}+\left(1-s_{n}\right) J_{X_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} x_{n}\right\rangle \\
& +\left\|s_{n} J_{X_{1}} x_{n}+\left(1-s_{n}\right) J_{X_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} x_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \|\widehat{u}\|^{2}-2 s_{n}\left\langle\widehat{u}, J_{X_{1}} x_{n}\right\rangle-2\left(1-s_{n}\right)\left\langle\widehat{u}, J_{X_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} x_{n}\right\rangle \\
& +s_{n}\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left(1-s_{n}\right)\left\|Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} x_{n}\right\|^{2}=s_{n} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right) \\
& +\left(1-s_{n}\right) \phi\left(\widehat{u}, Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} x_{n}\right) . \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, it follows from Lemma 11 and the above that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi\left(\widehat{u}, u_{n}\right) \leq s_{n} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)+\left(1-s_{n}\right) \phi\left(\widehat{u}, Q_{\iota}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{\iota}^{M_{k}} x_{n}\right) \\
\leq s_{n} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)+\left(1-s_{n}\right) \phi\left(\widehat{u}, Q_{\iota}^{M_{3}} \cdots Q_{\iota}^{M_{k}} x_{n}\right)  \tag{29}\\
\vdots  \tag{30}\\
\leq s_{n} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)+\left(1-s_{n}\right) \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)=\phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right) . \tag{31}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $k_{n}=A u_{n}-P_{D} A u_{n}$. From (10) and Lemmas 2 and 7, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(\widehat{u}, z_{n}\right) \leq & \phi\left(\widehat{u}, J_{X_{1}}^{-1}\left(J_{X_{1}} u_{n}-\tau A^{*} J_{X_{2}} k_{n}\right)\right)=\|\widehat{u}\|^{2} \\
& -2\left\langle\widehat{u}, J_{X_{1}} u_{n}-\tau A^{*} J_{X_{2}} k_{n}\right\rangle+\left\|J_{X_{1}} u_{n}-\tau A^{*} J_{X_{2}} k_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
= & \|\widehat{u}\|^{2}-2\left\langle\widehat{u}, J_{X_{1}} u_{n}\right\rangle+2 \tau\left\langle\widehat{u}, A^{*} J_{X_{2}} k_{n}\right\rangle \\
& +\left\|J_{X_{1}} u_{n}-\tau A^{*} J_{X_{2}} k_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq\|\widehat{u}\|^{2}-2\left\langle\widehat{u}, J_{X_{1}} u_{n}\right\rangle \\
& +2 \tau\left\langle\widehat{u}, A^{*} J_{X_{2}} k_{n}\right\rangle+\left\|J_{X_{1}} u_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& -2 \tau\left\langle A^{*} J_{X_{2}} k_{n}, J_{X_{1}}^{*} J_{X_{1}} u_{n}\right\rangle+c \tau^{2}\left\|A^{*} J_{X_{2}} k_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
= & \phi\left(\widehat{u}, u_{n}\right)+2 \tau\left\langle A \widehat{u}, J_{X_{2}} k_{n}\right\rangle-2 \tau\left\langle J_{X_{2}} k_{n}, A u_{n}\right\rangle \\
& +c \tau^{2}\|A\|^{2}\left\|J_{X_{2}} k_{n}\right\|^{2}=\phi\left(\widehat{u}, u_{n}\right) \\
& \left.+2 \tau\left\langle A \widehat{u}-A u_{n}, J_{X_{2}} k_{n}\right\rangle+c \tau^{2}\|A\|^{2} \| k_{n}\right) \|^{2} . \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left\langle J_{X_{2}}\left(x-P_{D} x\right), y-P_{D} x\right\rangle \leq 0$ for each $y \in D$ and for each $x \in X_{2}$, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle J_{X_{2}} k_{n}, A u_{n}-A \widehat{u}\right\rangle & =\left\langle J_{X_{2}} k_{n}, P_{D} A u_{n}-A \widehat{u}\right\rangle+\left\langle J_{X_{2}} k_{n}, A u_{n}-P_{D} A u_{n}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle J_{X_{2}} k_{n}, P_{D} A u_{n}-A \widehat{u}\right\rangle+\left\|P_{D} A u_{n}-A u_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& \geq\left\|P_{D} A u_{n}-A u_{n}\right\|^{2} . \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

From (32), our assumptions, and the above, we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(\widehat{u}, z_{n}\right) & \leq \phi\left(\widehat{u}, u_{n}\right)-2 \tau\left\|P_{D} A u_{n}-A u_{n}\right\|^{2}+c \tau^{2}\|A\|^{2}\left\|k_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\phi\left(\widehat{u}, u_{n}\right)-\tau\left(2-c \tau\|A\|^{2}\right)\left\|P_{D} A u_{n}-A u_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \phi\left(\widehat{u}, u_{n}\right) . \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

In a similar way as above, we obtain that
$\phi\left(\widehat{u}, y_{n}\right) \leq \phi\left(\widehat{u}, z_{n}\right)-\tau\left(2-c \tau\|A\|^{2}\right)\left\|P_{D} A z_{n}-A z_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq \phi\left(\widehat{u}, z_{n}\right)$.

It follows from (10), (29), (34), (35), Lemma 11, and the convexity of $\|.\|^{2}$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi\left(\widehat{u}, w_{n}\right) \leq \phi\left(\widehat{u}, J_{X_{1}}^{-1}\left(\beta_{n} J_{X_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{l}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} z_{n}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) J_{X_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} y_{n}\right)=\|\widehat{u}\|^{2} \\
& -2\left\langle\widehat{u}, \beta_{n} J_{X_{1}} Q_{l}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} z_{n}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) J_{X_{1}} Q_{l}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} y_{n}\right\rangle \\
& +\| \beta_{n} J_{X_{1}} Q_{l}^{M_{1}} Q_{l}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{l}^{M_{k}} z_{n} \\
& +\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) J_{X_{1}} Q_{l}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{l}^{M_{k}} y_{n}\left\|^{2} \leq\right\| \widehat{u} \|^{2} \\
& -2 \beta_{n}\left\langle\widehat{u}, J_{X_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} z_{n}\right\rangle \\
& -2\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\langle\widehat{u}, J_{X_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} y_{n}\right\rangle \\
& +\beta_{n}\left\|Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} z_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|Q_{l}^{M_{1}} Q_{l}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} y_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\beta_{n} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{\imath}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{\imath}^{M_{k}} z_{n}\right) \\
& +\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \phi\left(\widehat{u}, Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} y_{n}\right), \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

$\leq \beta_{n} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, Q_{t}^{M_{2}} Q_{t}^{M_{3}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} z_{n}\right)+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \phi\left(\widehat{u}, Q_{t}^{M_{2}} Q_{t}^{M_{3}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} y_{n}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vdots \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq \beta_{n} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, z_{n}\right)+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \phi\left(\widehat{u}, y_{n}\right) \leq \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (10), (29), (37), Lemmas 2, 8, the condition (i), the convexity of $\|\cdot\|^{2}$, and the relatively nonexpansiveness of $f$,
we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n+1}\right)= & \phi\left(\widehat{u}, K_{r_{n}} v_{n+1}\right) \leq \phi\left(\widehat{u}, v_{n+1}\right) \\
\leq & \phi\left(\widehat{u}, J_{X_{1}}^{-1}\left[\alpha_{n, 1} J_{X_{1}} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n, 2} J_{X_{1}} u_{n}+\alpha_{n, 3} J_{X_{1}} w_{n}\right]\right) \\
= & \|\widehat{u}\|^{2}-2\left\langle\widehat{u}, \alpha_{n, 1} J_{X_{1}} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n, 2} J_{X_{1}} u_{n}+\alpha_{n, 3} J_{X_{1}} w_{n}\right\rangle \\
& +\left\|\alpha_{n, 1} J_{X_{1}} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n, 2} J_{X_{1}} u_{n}+\alpha_{n, 3} J_{X_{1}} w_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \|\widehat{u}\|^{2}-2 \alpha_{n, 1}\left\langle\widehat{u}, J_{X_{1}} f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\rangle-2 \alpha_{n, 2}\left\langle\widehat{u}, J_{X_{1}} u_{n}\right\rangle \\
& -2 \alpha_{n, 3}\left\langle\widehat{u}, J_{X_{1}} w_{n}\right\rangle+\alpha_{n, 1}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n, 2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\alpha_{n, 3}\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2}=\alpha_{n, 1} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, f\left(x_{n}\right)\right)+\alpha_{n, 2} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, u_{n}\right) \\
& +\alpha_{n, 3} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, w_{n}\right) \leq \alpha_{n, 1} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n, 2} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, u_{n}\right) \\
& +\alpha_{n, 3} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, w_{n}\right) \leq \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right) . \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, $\left\{\phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)\right\}$ is bounded, and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)$ exists. Now, by (11), we conclude that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. It follows from (29), (34), (35), (37), (40), and relatively nonexpansiveness of $f$ that the sequences $\left\{u_{n}\right\},\left\{z_{n}\right\},\left\{y_{n}\right\},\left\{w_{n}\right\}$, $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$, and $\left\{f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\}$ are bounded.

Next, by (28), (37), (40), and Lemma 11, we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n+1}\right) \leq & \alpha_{n, 1} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n, 2} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, u_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n, 3} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, w_{n}\right) \\
\leq & \left(1-\alpha_{n, 2}\right) \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n, 2} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, u_{n}\right) \\
\leq & \left(1-\alpha_{n, 2}\right) \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n, 2}\left(s_{n} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(1-s_{n}\right) \phi\left(\widehat{u}, Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{\iota}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{\iota}^{M_{k}} x_{n}\right)\right) \\
\leq & \left(1-\alpha_{n, 2}\right) \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n, 2}\left(s_{n} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)\right. \\
& +\left(1-s_{n}\right)\left[\phi\left(\widehat{u}, Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} x_{n}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\phi\left(Q_{\iota}^{M_{1}} Q_{\iota}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{\iota}^{M_{k}} x_{n}, Q_{\iota}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{\iota}^{M_{k}} x_{n}\right)\right]\right) \\
\leq & \left(1-\alpha_{n, 2}\right) \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n, 2}\left(s_{n} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)\right. \\
& +\left(1-s_{n}\right)\left[\phi\left(\widehat{u}, Q_{t}^{M_{3}} \cdots Q_{\iota}^{M_{k}} x_{n}\right)\right. \\
& -\phi\left(Q_{\iota}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} x_{n}, Q_{t}^{M_{3}} \cdots Q_{\iota}^{M_{k}} x_{n}\right) \\
& \left.\left.-\phi\left(Q_{\iota}^{M_{1}} Q_{\iota}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{\iota}^{M_{k}} x_{n}, Q_{\iota}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{\iota}^{M_{k}} x_{n}\right)\right]\right), \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n, 2}\right) \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n, 2}\left(s_{n} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)+\left(1-s_{n}\right)\left[\phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad-\phi\left(Q_{t}^{M_{k}} x_{n}, x_{n}\right)-\cdots-\phi\left(Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{l}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} x_{n}, Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} x_{n}\right)\right]\right) \\
& \quad=\phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)-\alpha_{n, 2}\left(1-s_{n}\right)\left[\phi\left(Q_{t}^{M_{k}} x_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\cdots-\phi\left(Q_{\iota}^{M_{1}} Q_{\iota}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{\iota}^{M_{k}} x_{n}, Q_{\iota}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{\iota}^{M_{k}} x_{n}\right)\right] . \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, from (11) and (41), we have the following
inequalities:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n+1}\right) \leq \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)-\alpha_{n, 2}\left(1-s_{n}\right) \phi\left(Q_{t}^{M_{k}} x_{n}, x_{n}\right), \\
\phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n+1}\right) \leq \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)-\alpha_{n, 2}\left(1-s_{n}\right) \phi\left(Q_{t}^{M_{k-1}} Q_{t}^{M_{k}} x_{n}, Q_{t}^{M_{k}} x_{n}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

$\phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n+1}\right) \leq \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)-\alpha_{n, 2}\left(1-s_{n}\right) \phi\left(Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} x_{n}, Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} x_{n}\right)$.

Now, since $\left\{\phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)\right\}$ is convergent, it follows from (44), the conditions (i), and our assumptions that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} \phi\left(Q_{\imath}^{M_{k}} x_{n}, x_{n}\right)=0  \tag{49}\\
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} \phi\left(Q_{l}^{M_{k-1}} Q_{\imath}^{M_{k}} x_{n}, Q_{l}^{M_{k}} x_{n}\right)=0 \tag{45}
\end{gather*}
$$

$\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} \phi\left(Q_{\iota}^{M_{1}} Q_{\iota}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{\iota}^{M_{k}} x_{n}, Q_{\iota}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{\iota}^{M_{k}} x_{n}\right)=0$.
Therefore, from Lemma 1, we have that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|Q_{t}^{M_{k}} x_{n}-x_{n}\right\|=0 \\
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|Q_{l}^{M_{k-1}} Q_{l}^{M_{k}} x_{n}-Q_{l}^{M_{k}} x_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{46}
\end{gather*}
$$

$\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|Q_{\iota}^{M_{1}} Q_{\iota}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{\iota}^{M_{k}} x_{n}-Q_{\iota}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{\iota}^{M_{k}} x_{n}\right\|=0$.
Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|Q_{\iota}^{M_{1}} Q_{\iota}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{\iota}^{M_{k}} x_{n}-x_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (13), (47), the boundedness of the sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$, $\left\{Q_{l}^{M_{1}} Q_{l}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{l}^{M_{k}} x_{n}\right\}$, and using uniformly norm-to-norm continuity of $J$ on bounded sets, it is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} \phi\left(x_{n}, Q_{\imath}^{M_{1}} Q_{\imath}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{\imath}^{M_{k}} x_{n}\right)=0 \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (29), (34), (35), (36), (40), and Lemma 11, we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n+1}\right) \leq & \alpha_{n, 1} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n, 2} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, u_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n, 3} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, w_{n}\right) \\
\leq & \left(1-\alpha_{n, 3}\right) \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n, 3} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, w_{n}\right) \\
\leq & \left(1-\alpha_{n, 3}\right) \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n, 3}\left(\beta_{n} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} z_{n}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \phi\left(\widehat{u}, Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} y_{n}\right)\right) \\
\leq & \left(1-\alpha_{n, 3}\right) \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n, 3}\left(\beta _ { n } \left[\phi\left(\widehat{u}, Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} z_{n}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.-\phi\left(Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} z_{n}, Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} z_{n}\right)\right] \\
& +\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left[\phi\left(\widehat{u}, Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} y_{n}\right)\right.  \tag{53}\\
& \left.\left.-\phi\left(Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} y_{n}, Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} y_{n}\right)\right]\right),
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n, 3}\right) \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n, 3}\left(\beta _ { n } \left[\phi\left(\widehat{u}, z_{n}\right)-\phi\left(Q_{t}^{M_{k}} z_{n}, z_{n}\right)\right.\right. \\
&\left.-\cdots-\phi\left(Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} z_{n}, Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} z_{n}\right)\right] \\
&+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left[\phi\left(\widehat{u}, y_{n}\right)-\phi\left(Q_{t}^{M_{k}} y_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right. \\
&\left.\left.-\cdots-\phi\left(Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} y_{n}, Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} y_{n}\right)\right]\right) \\
& \leq \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)-\alpha_{n, 3} \beta_{n}\left[\phi\left(Q_{t}^{M_{k}} z_{n}, z_{n}\right)\right. \\
&\left.+\cdots+\phi\left(Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} z_{n}, Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} z_{n}\right)\right]  \tag{44}\\
&-\alpha_{n, 3}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left[\phi\left(Q_{t}^{M_{k}} y_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right. \\
&\left.+\cdots+\phi\left(Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} y_{n}, Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} y_{n}\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, from (11), the above, and our assumptions, we obtain the following results

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n+1}\right) \leq \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)-\alpha_{n, 3} \beta_{n} \phi\left(Q_{t}^{M_{k}} z_{n}, z_{n}\right), \\
\phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n+1}\right) \leq \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)-\alpha_{n, 3} \beta_{n} \phi\left(Q_{t}^{M_{k-1}} Q_{t}^{M_{k}} z_{n}, Q_{t}^{M_{k}} z_{n}\right), \\
\vdots \\
\phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n+1}\right) \leq \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)-\alpha_{n, 3} \beta_{n} \phi\left(Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} z_{n}, Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} z_{n}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n+1}\right) \leq \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)-\alpha_{n, 3}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \phi\left(Q_{t}^{M_{k}} y_{n}, y_{n}\right), \\
\phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n+1}\right) \leq \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)-\alpha_{n, 3}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \phi\left(Q_{t}^{M_{k-1}} Q_{t}^{M_{k}} y_{n}, Q_{t}^{M_{k}} y_{n}\right), \\
\vdots  \tag{51}\\
\phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n+1}\right) \leq \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)-\alpha_{n, 3}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \phi\left(Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} y_{n}, Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} y_{n}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $\left\{\phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)\right\}$ is convergent, we conclude from (i), (50), (51), and our assumptions that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} \phi\left(Q_{l}^{M_{k}} z_{n}, z_{n}\right)=0, \\
& \lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} \phi\left(Q_{\iota}^{M_{k-1}} Q_{l}^{M_{k}} z_{n}, Q_{l}^{M_{k}} z_{n}\right)=0,  \tag{52}\\
& \vdots \\
& \lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} \phi\left(Q_{l}^{M_{1}} Q_{l}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{l}^{M_{k}} z_{n}, Q_{l}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{l}^{M_{k}} z_{n}\right)=0 . \\
& \lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} \phi\left(Q_{l}^{M_{k}} y_{n}, y_{n}\right)=0, \\
& \lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} \phi\left(Q_{l}^{M_{k-1}} Q_{l}^{M_{k}} y_{n}, Q_{l}^{M_{k}} y_{n}\right)=0, \\
& \lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} \phi\left(Q_{\iota}^{M_{1}} Q_{\iota}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{\iota}^{M_{k}} y_{n}, Q_{\iota}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{\iota}^{M_{k}} y_{n}\right)=0 \\
& \lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} \phi\left(Q_{\iota}^{M_{1}} Q_{\imath}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{\iota}^{M_{k}} y_{n}, Q_{\iota}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{\imath}^{M_{k}} y_{n}\right)=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, from (29), (34), (35), (37), and (40), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n+1}\right) \leq & \alpha_{n, 1} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n, 2} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, u_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n, 3} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, w_{n}\right) \\
\leq & \left(1-\alpha_{n, 3}\right) \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n, 3} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, w_{n}\right) \\
\leq & \left(1-\alpha_{n, 3}\right) \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n, 3}\left(\beta_{n} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, z_{n}\right)+(1-\beta) \phi\left(\widehat{u}, y_{n}\right)\right) \\
\leq & \left(1-\alpha_{n, 3}\right) \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n, 3} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, z_{n}\right) \\
\leq & \left(1-\alpha_{n, 3}\right) \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n, 3}\left(\phi\left(\widehat{u}, u_{n}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\tau\left(2-c \tau\|A\|^{2}\right)\left\|P_{D} A u_{n}-A u_{n}\right\|^{2}\right) \\
\leq & \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)-\alpha_{n, 3} \tau\left(2-c \tau\|A\|^{2}\right)\left\|P_{D} A u_{n}-A u_{n}\right\|^{2} . \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, it follows from (54) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{n, 3} \tau\left(2-c \tau\|A\|^{2}\right)\left\|P_{D} A u_{n}-A u_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)-\phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n+1}\right) . \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, it follows from (i) and our assumptions that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|P_{D} A u_{n}-A u_{n}\right\|^{2}=0 \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (29), (34), (35), (37), and (40), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n+1}\right) \leq & \alpha_{n, 1} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n, 2} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, u_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n, 3} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, w_{n}\right) \\
\leq & \left(1-\alpha_{n, 3}\right) \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n, 3} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, w_{n}\right) \\
\leq & \left(1-\alpha_{n, 3}\right) \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n, 3}\left(\beta_{n} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, z_{n}\right)+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \phi\left(\widehat{u}, y_{n}\right)\right) \\
\leq & \left(1-\alpha_{n, 3}\right) \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n, 3}\left(\beta_{n} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, z_{n}\right)+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left[\phi\left(\widehat{u}, z_{n}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\tau\left(2-c \tau\|A\|^{2}\right)\left\|P_{D} A z_{n}-A z_{n}\right\|^{2}\right]\right) \\
\leq & \left(1-\alpha_{n, 3}\right) \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n, 3} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, z_{n}\right) \\
& -\alpha_{n, 3}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \tau\left(2-c \tau\|A\|^{2}\right)\left\|P_{D} A z_{n}-A z_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)-\alpha_{n, 3}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \tau\left(2-c \tau\|A\|^{2}\right)\left\|P_{D} A z_{n}-A z_{n}\right\|^{2} . \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{n, 3}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \tau\left(2-c \tau\|A\|^{2}\right)\left\|P_{D} A z_{n}-A z_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)-\phi\left(\widehat{\mathcal{u}}, x_{n+1}\right) . \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, it follows from (i) and our assumptions that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|P_{D} A z_{n}-A z_{n}\right\|^{2}=0 \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $r_{1}=\sup _{n}\left\{\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|,\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right\}$. Therefore, from Lemma 5 , there exists a continuous strictly increasing convex function $g_{1}:\left[0,2 r_{1}\right] \longrightarrow[0, \infty)$ such that $g_{1}(0)=0$ and using (29), (37), Lemmas 2 and 8 , the convexity of $\|\cdot\|^{2}$, and the condition relatively nonexpansiveness of $f$, we have
that

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n+1}\right)= & \phi\left(\widehat{u}, K_{r_{n}} v_{n+1}\right) \leq \phi\left(\widehat{u}, v_{n+1}\right) \\
\leq & \phi\left(\widehat{u}, J_{X_{1}}^{-1}\left[\alpha_{n, 1} J_{X_{1}} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n, 2} J_{X_{1}} u_{n}+\alpha_{n, 3} J_{X_{1}} w_{n}\right]\right) \\
= & \|\widehat{u}\|^{2}-2\left\langle\widehat{u}, \alpha_{n, 1} J_{X_{1}} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n, 2} J_{X_{1}} u_{n}+\alpha_{n, 3} J_{X_{1}} w_{n}\right\rangle \\
& +\left\|\alpha_{n, 1} J_{X_{1}} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n, 2} J_{X_{1}} u_{n}+\alpha_{n, 3} J_{X_{1}} w_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \|\widehat{u}\|^{2}-2 \alpha_{n, 1}\left\langle\widehat{u}, J_{X_{1}} f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\rangle-2 \alpha_{n, 2}\left\langle\widehat{u}, J_{X_{1}} u_{n}\right\rangle \\
& -2 \alpha_{n, 3}\left\langle\widehat{u}, J_{X_{1}} w_{n}\right\rangle+\alpha_{n, 1}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n, 2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\alpha_{n, 3}\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2}-\alpha_{n, 1} \alpha_{n, 2} g_{1}\left(\left\|J_{X_{1}} f\left(x_{n}\right)-J_{X_{1}} u_{n}\right\|\right) \\
\leq & \alpha_{n, 1} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, f\left(x_{n}\right)\right)+\alpha_{n, 2} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, u_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n, 3} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, w_{n}\right) \\
& -\alpha_{n, 1} \alpha_{n, 2} g_{1}\left(\left\|J_{X_{1}} f\left(x_{n}\right)-J_{X_{1}} u_{n}\right\|\right) \leq \alpha_{n, 1} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right) \\
& +\alpha_{n, 2} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, u_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n, 3} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, w_{n}\right) \\
& -\alpha_{n, 1} \alpha_{n, 2} g_{1}\left(\left\|J_{X_{1}} f\left(x_{n}\right)-J_{X_{1}} u_{n}\right\|\right) \leq \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right) \\
& -\alpha_{n, 1} \alpha_{n, 2} g_{1}\left(\left\|J_{X_{1}} f\left(x_{n}\right)-J_{X_{1}} u_{n}\right\|\right) . \tag{60}
\end{align*}
$$

So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{n, 1} \alpha_{n, 2} g_{1}\left(\left\|J_{X_{1}} f\left(x_{n}\right)-J_{X_{1}} u_{n}\right\|\right) \leq \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)-\phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n+1}\right) . \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right)$ exists. Therefore, it follows from the condition (i) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} g_{1}\left(\left\|J_{X_{1}} f\left(x_{n}\right)-J_{X_{1}} u_{n}\right\|\right)=0 . \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $g_{1}$ is continues function, we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{1}\left(\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|J_{X_{1}} f\left(x_{n}\right)-J_{X_{1}} u_{n}\right\|\right) & =\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} g_{1}\left(\left\|J_{X_{1}} f\left(x_{n}\right)-J_{X_{1}} u_{n}\right\|\right) \\
& =0=g_{1}(0) . \tag{63}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|J_{X_{1}} f\left(x_{n}\right)-J_{X_{1}} u_{n}\right\|=0 . \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $J_{X_{1}}^{-1}$ is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on bounded sets, it imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-u_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (13), (65), the uniformly norm-to-norm continuity of $J_{X_{1}}$ on bounded sets, and the boundedness of the sequences $\left\{f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\}$ and $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} \phi\left(u_{n}, f\left(x_{n}\right)\right)=0 \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (48) and using our assumptions, we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(x_{n}, u_{n}\right) \leq & \phi\left(x_{n}, J_{X_{1}}^{-1}\left(s_{n} J_{X_{1}} x_{n}+\left(1-s_{n}\right) J_{X_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} x_{n}\right)\right) \\
= & \left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle x_{n}, s_{n} J_{X_{1}} x_{n}+\left(1-s_{n}\right) J_{X_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} x_{n}\right\rangle \\
& +\left\|s_{n} J_{X_{1}} x_{n}+\left(1-s_{n}\right) J_{X_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} x_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}-2 s_{n}\left\langle x_{n}, J_{X_{1}} x_{n}\right\rangle-2\left(1-s_{n}\right)\left\langle x_{n}, J_{X_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} x_{n}\right\rangle \\
& +s_{n}\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left(1-s_{n}\right)\left\|Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} x_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
= & s_{n} \phi\left(x_{n}, x_{n}\right)+\left(1-s_{n}\right) \phi\left(x_{n}, Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} x_{n}\right) \\
= & \left(1-s_{n}\right) \phi\left(x_{n}, Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} x_{n}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \longrightarrow \infty . \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, it follows from Lemma 1 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, by (15), (56), and Lemmas 2 and 4, we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(u_{n}, z_{n}\right) & \leq \phi\left(u_{n}, J_{X_{1}}^{-1}\left(J_{X_{1}} u_{n}-\tau A^{*} J_{X_{2}} k_{n}\right)=V\left(u_{n}, J_{X_{1}} u_{n}-\tau A^{*} J_{X_{2}} k_{n}\right)\right. \\
& \leq V\left(u_{n}, J_{X_{1}} u_{n}\right)-2\left\langle J_{X_{1}}^{-1}\left(J_{X_{1}} u_{n}-\tau A^{*} J_{X_{2}} k_{n}\right)-u_{n}, \tau A^{*} J_{X_{2}} k_{n}\right\rangle \\
& =\phi\left(u_{n}, u_{n}\right)-2\left\langle J_{X_{1}}^{-1}\left(J_{X_{1}} u_{n}-\tau A^{*} J_{X_{2}} k_{n}\right)-J_{X_{1}}^{-1}\left(J_{X_{1}} u_{n}\right), \tau A^{*} J_{X_{2}} k_{n}\right\rangle \\
& \leq 2\left\|J_{X_{X_{1}}}^{-1}\left(J_{X_{1}} u_{n}-\tau A^{*} J_{X_{2}} k_{n}\right)-J_{X_{1}}^{-1}\left(J_{X_{1}} u_{n}\right)\right\|\left\|\tau A^{*} J_{X_{2}} k_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{4 \tau^{2}}{c^{2}}\left\|A^{*} J_{X_{2}} k_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{4 \tau^{2}}{c^{2}}\|A\|^{2}\left\|A u_{n}-P_{D} A u_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& \longrightarrow 0 \text { as } n \longrightarrow \infty . \tag{69}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, using Lemma 1, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}-z_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, from (15), (59), and Lemma 2, and the same way used for proving (70), we can conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(z_{n}, y_{n}\right) & \leq \phi\left(z_{n}, J_{X_{1}}^{-1}\left(J_{X_{1}} z_{n}-\tau A^{*} J_{X_{2}}\left(A z_{n}-P_{D} A z_{n}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \leq \frac{4 \tau^{2}}{c^{2}}\|A\|^{2}\left\|A z_{n}-P_{D} A z_{n}\right\|^{2} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \longrightarrow \infty \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, using Lemma 1, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-y_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, it follows from (13), (52), and Lemma 1 that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|z_{n}-Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} z_{n}\right\| \leq & \left\|z_{n}-Q_{t}^{M_{k}} z_{n}\right\|+\left\|Q_{t}^{M_{k}} z_{n}-Q_{t}^{M_{k-1}} Q_{t}^{M_{k}} z_{n}\right\| \\
& +\cdots+\left\|Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} z_{n}-Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} z_{n}\right\| \\
& \longrightarrow 0 \text { as } n \longrightarrow \infty . \tag{73}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, from (13), (53), and Lemma 1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n}-Q_{\imath}^{M_{1}} Q_{\imath}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{\imath}^{M_{k}} y_{n}\right\| \longrightarrow 0 \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, by (72), we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-Q_{\imath}^{M_{1}} Q_{\imath}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{l}^{M_{k}} y_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, by (13), (73), (75), and using uniformly norm-tonorm continuity of $J_{X_{1}}$ on bounded sets, it is implied that
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(z_{n}, Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} z_{n}\right)=0, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(z_{n}, Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} y_{n}\right)=0$.

It follows from (10), (76), Lemma 2, and the convexity of $\|.\|^{2}$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi\left(z_{n}, w_{n}\right) \leq \phi\left(z_{n}, J_{X_{1}}^{-1}\left(\beta_{n} J_{X_{1}} Q_{l}^{M_{1}} Q_{l}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{l}^{M_{k}} z_{n}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) J_{X_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} y_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =\left\|z_{n}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle z_{n}, \beta_{n} J_{X_{1}} Q_{l}^{M_{1}} Q_{l}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{l}^{M_{k}} z_{n}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) J_{X_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} y_{n}\right\rangle \\
& +\| \beta_{n} J_{X_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} z_{n} \\
& \left.+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) J_{X_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} y_{n}\right) \|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|z_{n}\right\|^{2}-2 \beta_{n}\left\langle z_{n}, J_{X_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{l}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} z_{n}\right\rangle  \tag{77}\\
& \left.-2\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\langle z_{n}, J_{X_{1}} Q_{l}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} y_{n}\right)\right\rangle \\
& +\beta_{n}\left\|Q_{l}^{M_{1}} Q_{l}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{l}^{M_{k}} z_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& \left.+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \| Q_{l}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} y_{n}\right) \|^{2} \\
& =\beta_{n} \phi\left(z_{n}, Q_{l}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} z_{n}\right) \\
& +\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \phi\left(z_{n}, Q_{l}^{M_{1}} Q_{\imath}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{l}^{M_{k}} y_{n}\right) \\
& \longrightarrow 0 \text { as } n \longrightarrow \infty \text {. }
\end{align*}
$$

Now, by Lemma 1, we have $\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-w_{n}\right\|=0$. Therefore, we obtain from (70) that $\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}-w_{n}\right\|=0$, then by (13), we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} \phi\left(u_{n}, w_{n}\right)=0 \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (66), (78), Lemma 2, and our assumptions, it
implied that

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(u_{n}, v_{n+1}\right) \leq & \phi\left(u_{n}, J_{X_{1}}^{-1}\left(\alpha_{n, 1} J_{X_{1}} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n, 2} J_{X_{1}} u_{n}+\alpha_{n, 3} J_{X_{1}} w_{n}\right)\right) \\
= & \left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle u_{n}, \alpha_{n, 1} J_{X_{1}} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n, 2} J_{X_{1}} u_{n}+\alpha_{n, 3} J_{X_{1}} w_{n}\right\rangle \\
& +\left\|\alpha_{n, 1} J_{X_{1}} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n, 2} J_{X_{1}} u_{n}+\alpha_{n, 3} J_{X_{1}} w_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-2 \alpha_{n, 1}\left\langle u_{n}, J_{X_{1}} f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\rangle-2 \alpha_{n, 2}\left\langle u_{n}, J_{X_{1}} u_{n}\right\rangle \\
& -2 \alpha_{n, 3}\left\langle u_{n}, J_{X_{1}} w_{n}\right\rangle+\alpha_{n, 1}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n, 2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\alpha_{n, 3}\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2}=\alpha_{n, 1} \phi\left(u_{n}, f\left(x_{n}\right)\right)+\alpha_{n, 2} \phi\left(u_{n}, u_{n}\right) \\
& +\alpha_{n, 3} \phi\left(u_{n}, w_{n}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \longrightarrow \infty . \tag{79}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, by Lemma 1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|v_{n+1}-u_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $r_{2}=\sup _{n}\left\{\left\|v_{n}\right\|,\left\|x_{n}\right\|\right\}$. Therefore, by Lemma 6, there exists a continuous, convex, and strictly increasing function $g_{2}:\left[0,2 r_{2}\right] \longrightarrow[0, \infty)$ such that $g_{2}(0)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{2}\left(\left\|x_{n}-v_{n}\right\|\right) \leq \phi\left(x_{n}, v_{n}\right) . \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (40), (81), Lemma 8, and the fact that $x_{n}=K_{r_{n}} v_{n}$, we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{2}\left(\left\|x_{n}-v_{n}\right\|\right) \leq & \phi\left(x_{n}, v_{n}\right) \leq \phi\left(\widehat{u}, v_{n}\right)-\phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right) \leq \phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n-1}\right) \\
& -\phi\left(\widehat{u}, x_{n}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \text { as } n \longrightarrow \infty . \tag{82}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-v_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $g_{2}$ is a continuous strictly increasing convex function. Now, by (80) and (83), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|=0 . \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (68) and (84), we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|=0 . \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, so $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to a point $q \in C$. Therefore, by (68), (70), and (72), we imply that $\left\{u_{n}\right\},\left\{y_{n}\right\}$, and $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ converge strongly to $q$.

Next, we prove that $q \in \cap_{i=1}^{k} F\left(Q_{l}^{M_{i}}\right)$. It follows from (46) and uniformly continuity of $J_{X_{1}}$ on bounded subset of $X_{1}$ that $J_{X_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} x_{n}-J_{X_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} x_{n} \longrightarrow 0$ as $n$ $\longrightarrow \infty$. Get $\eta_{n}=Q_{t}^{M_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} x_{n}$; hence, by Definition 9, we have $J_{X_{1}} \eta_{n}+\iota M_{1} \eta_{n}=J_{X_{1}} Q_{l}^{M_{2}} Q_{\imath}^{M_{3}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} x_{n}$. Therefore,
there exists $h_{n} \in M_{1} \eta_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{n}=\frac{J_{X_{1}} Q_{t}^{M_{2}} Q_{\imath}^{M_{3}} \cdots Q_{t}^{M_{k}} x_{n}-J_{X_{1}} \eta_{n}}{\iota} . \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, by the above observation, $h_{n} \longrightarrow 0$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, since $x_{n} \rightharpoonup q$, we can conclude from (47) that $\eta_{n}$ $\rightharpoonup q$. Then, from Lemma $10,0 \in M_{1} q$, i.e., $q \in M_{1}^{-1} 0=F\left(Q_{l}^{M_{1}}\right.$ ). Similar to the above, by using (46), we can also prove that $q$ $\in M_{i}^{-1} 0=F\left(Q_{l}^{M_{i}}\right)$ for all $i=2,3, \cdots k$. Hence, $q \in \cap_{i=1}^{k} F\left(Q_{l}^{M_{i}}\right)$.

Next, we show that $q \in F(f)$. From (65), (68), and the triangle inequality, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-x_{n}\right\|=0 . \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $q$ is an asymptotic fixed point of $f$. Then, $\widehat{F}(f)$ $=F(f)$ because $f$ is a relatively nonexpansive mapping. Hence, $q \in F(f)$.

Now, we prove that $q \in E P(g)$. Since $J_{X_{1}}$ is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on bounded sets, it follows from (83) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|J_{X_{1}} x_{n}-J_{X_{1}} v_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $x_{n}=K_{r_{n}} v_{n}$, we conclude that $g\left(x_{n}, y\right)+\left\langle B x_{n}, y-x_{n}\right\rangle$ $+\left(1 / r_{n}\right)\left\langle y-x_{n}, J_{X_{1}} x_{n}-J_{X_{1}} v_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0$ for all $y \in C$. Moreover, by the condition A2, $g\left(y, x_{n}\right) \leq-g\left(x_{n}, y\right)$ for all $y \in C$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(y, x_{n}\right) \leq\left\langle B x_{n}, y-x_{n}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-x_{n}, J_{X_{1}} x_{n}-J_{X_{1}} v_{n}\right\rangle, \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $y \in C$. Using (88), the condition A4, and letting $n$ $\longrightarrow \infty$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(y, q) \leq\langle B q, y-q\rangle \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $y \in C$. Let $y_{\lambda}=\lambda y+(1-\lambda) q$ for all $y \in C$ and $\lambda \in$ $(0,1)$. It follows from (90), the conditions A1, A4, and the monotonicity of $B$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & g\left(y_{\lambda}, y_{\lambda}\right)+\left\langle B y_{\lambda}, y_{\lambda}-y_{\lambda}\right\rangle \leq \lambda g\left(y_{\lambda}, y\right)+(1-\lambda) g\left(y_{\lambda}, q\right) \\
& +\left\langle B y_{\lambda}, \lambda y+(1-\lambda) q-y_{\lambda}\right\rangle=\lambda g\left(y_{\lambda}, y\right)+(1-\lambda) g\left(y_{\lambda}, q\right) \\
& +\lambda\left\langle B y_{\lambda}, y-y_{\lambda}\right\rangle+(1-\lambda)\left\langle B y_{\lambda}, q-y_{\lambda}\right\rangle=\lambda g\left(y_{\lambda}, y\right) \\
& +(1-\lambda) g\left(y_{\lambda}, q\right)+\lambda\left\langle B y_{\lambda}, y-y_{\lambda}\right\rangle \\
& +(1-\lambda)\left\langle B y_{\lambda}-B q, q-y_{\lambda}\right\rangle+(1-\lambda)\left\langle B q, q-y_{\lambda}\right\rangle \\
\leq & \lambda g\left(y_{\lambda}, y\right)+\lambda\left\langle B y_{\lambda}, y-y_{\lambda}\right\rangle, \tag{91}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $y \in C$. Therefore, $0 \leq g\left(y_{\lambda}, y\right)+\left\langle B y_{\lambda}, y-y_{\lambda}\right\rangle$. Using the condition A3 and letting $\lambda \longrightarrow 0$, we obtain that $0 \leq g($ $q, y)+\langle B q, y-q\rangle$ for all $y \in C$. Then, $q \in E P(g)$.

Finally, we prove that $q \in \Omega$. From (56), we have that $\|$ $P_{D} A q-A q\left\|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\right\| P_{D} A u_{n}-A u_{n} \|=0$. Therefore, $A q$ $\in D$, i.e., $q \in \Omega$. Hence, $q=\Pi_{\Omega \cap\left(\cap_{i=1}^{k} F\left(Q_{t}^{M_{i}}\right)\right) \cap E P(g)} \circ f(q)$, and this completed the proof.
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