

Research Article

Regularization of Inverse Initial Problem for Conformable Pseudo-Parabolic Equation with Inhomogeneous Term

L. D. Long^[]^{1,2} and Reza Saadati³

¹Division of Applied Mathematics, Science and Technology Advanced Institute, Van Lang University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam ²Faculty of Applied Technology, School of Engineering and Technology, Van Lang University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam ³School of Mathematics, Iran University of Science and Technology, Narmak, Tehran, Iran

Correspondence should be addressed to Reza Saadati; rsaadati@eml.cc

Received 1 June 2022; Accepted 15 July 2022; Published 8 August 2022

Academic Editor: Yusuf Gurefe

Copyright © 2022 L. D. Long and Reza Saadati. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The main goal of the paper is to approximate two types of inverse problems for conformable heat equation (or called parabolic equation with conformable operator); as follows, we considered two cases: the right hand side of equation such that F(x, t) and $F(x, t) = \varphi(t)f(x)$. Up to now, there are very few surveys working on the results of regularization in \mathscr{L}^p spaces. Our paper is the first work to investigate the inverse problem for conformable parabolic equations in such spaces. For the inverse source problem and the backward problem, use the Fourier truncation method to approximate the problem. The error between the regularized solution and the exact solution is obtained in \mathscr{L}^p under some suitable assumptions on the Cauchy data.

1. Introduction

Partial differential equations (PDEs) have applications in many branches of science and engineering; see for example [1-8]. In this paper, for s > 1, we consider the initial value problem for the conformable heat equation (or called parabolic equation with conformable operator)

$$\begin{cases} \mathscr{C}\partial^{\beta} \\ \overline{\partial t^{\beta}}(y(x,t) - k\Delta y(x,t)) + (-\Delta)^{s}y(x,t) = F(x,t), & x \in \mathcal{D}, t \in (0,T) \\ y(x,t) = 0, & x \in \partial \mathcal{D}, t \in (0,T) \\ y(x,T) = y_{T}(x), & x \in \mathcal{D} \end{cases}$$
(1)

Here, $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ $(N \ge 1)$ is a bounded domain with the smooth boundary $\partial \mathcal{D}$, and T > 0 is a given positive number. Here, ${}^{\mathscr{C}}\partial^{\beta}/\partial t^{\beta}$ is called the conformable time derivative with order $\beta \in (0, 1)$ (Khalil et al. [9]) for a given function $f : [0, \infty) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$; the ${}^{\mathscr{C}}\partial^{\beta}/\partial t^{\beta}$ of order $\beta \in (0, 1]$ is defined by

$$\frac{{}^{\mathscr{C}}\partial^{\beta}}{\partial t^{\beta}}f(t) = \lim_{\epsilon \longrightarrow 0} \frac{f\left(t + \epsilon t^{1-\beta}\right) - f(t)}{\epsilon}, \tag{2}$$

for all t > 0. For some $(0, t_0)$, $t_0 > 0$ and the $\lim_{t \to t_0^+} ({}^{\mathscr{C}} \partial^{\beta} / \partial t^{\beta})$ f(t) exist, then $({}^{\mathscr{C}} \partial^{\beta} / \partial t^{\beta}) f(t_0) = \lim_{t \to t_0^+} ({}^{\mathscr{C}} \partial^{\beta} / \partial t^{\beta}) f(t)$. Some properties of ${}^{\mathscr{C}} \partial^{\beta} / \partial t^{\beta}$ can be found in more detail in [10, 11]. ${}^{\mathscr{C}} \partial^{\beta} / \partial t^{\beta}$ is a natural extension of usual derivative, it preserves basic properties of the classical derivative [10, 11], and it is a local and limit-based operator. In [10, 12, 13], we saw some applications. For the convenience of the reader, we will consider two models related to Problem (1) that most mathematicians often study.

(i) The first part of the paper deals with the final value problem for Problem (1) with a linear source function. The new feature of this part is the appearance of observed data, namely, (y_{T,δ}, F_δ) ∈ L^p(D) × L[∞](0, T; L^p(D)). This result is well described in Theorem 3. We investigated the problem of restoring the

temperature function y(x, t), in the fact that the couple (y_T, F) are noised by the measurement data $(y_{T,\delta}, F_{\delta})$ such that:

$$\begin{cases} \left\| y_{T,\delta} - y_T \right\|_{\mathscr{L}^p(\mathscr{D})} \le \delta \\ \left\| F_{\delta} - F \right\|_{\mathscr{L}^\infty(0,T;\mathscr{L}^p(\mathscr{D}))} \le \delta \end{cases}$$
(3)

(ii) The second part of the paper deals with the final value problem for Problem (1) with *F* is a linear source function as follows: *F*(*x*, *t*) = Φ(*t*)*f*(*x*), where both functions (Φ, *f*, *g*) are perturbed by (Φ_δ, *f*_δ, *g*_δ) in *L^p*(0, *T*) × *L^p*(*D*) × *L^p*(*D*), respectively

$$\begin{cases} \| \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\delta} - \boldsymbol{\Phi} \|_{\mathscr{L}^{p}(0,T)} \leq \delta \\ \| \boldsymbol{y}_{T,\delta} - \boldsymbol{y}_{T} \|_{\mathscr{L}^{p}(0,\mathfrak{D})} \leq \delta \\ \| \boldsymbol{f}_{\delta} - \boldsymbol{f} \|_{\mathscr{L}^{p}(\mathfrak{D})} \leq \delta \end{cases}$$
(4)

The main contributions and novelties of this paper are stated as follows. As we know, two inverse problems are ill-posed in the sense of Hadamard. The well-posed problem satisfies three conditions above: the solution is existence, the solution is uniqueness, and the solution continues on data The problem that violates one of the above three conditions is an ill-posed problem. We need to regularize this problem, to give a good approximation. The number of works on the regularized problem with input data in \mathscr{L}^2 is quite abundant. The results of this study can be found in the following documents, attached to the regularization methods: the Tikhonov method, see [14, 15], the Fractional Tikhonov method, see [16], the fractional Landweber method, see [17, 18], the Quasi Boundary method, see [19], the truncation method, see [20], and their references.

However, for $p \neq 2$, results for regularized problem in \mathscr{L}^p are quite rare. We confirm that our paper is the first result for the inverse problem for the conformable parabolic equation when the observed data is in the \mathscr{L}^p space with $p \neq 2$. If the data is not in \mathscr{L}^2 , the use of Parseval equality is not feasible. In this case, we used the embedding between \mathscr{L}^p and Hilbert scales spaces $\mathbb{X}^s(\mathscr{D})$. These results are well described in Theorem 3 and Theorem 5. The main analytical technique in our paper is to use some embeddings and some analysis estimators related to Hölder inequality. To do this, we learn many interesting techniques from N.H. Tuan [21]. This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we state some function spaces and embeddings. In Section 3, we deal with the regularized solution for the inverse source problem for (1). Section 4 gives the mild solution of backward problem in case F = 0. After that, we solve two problems in the case of observed data in \mathcal{L}^p space.

2. Preliminary Results

Let us recall that the spectral problem

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{s} e_{m}(x) = \lambda_{m}^{s} e_{m}(x), & x \in \mathcal{D} \\ e_{m}(x) = 0, & x \in \partial D \end{cases}$$
(5)

admits the eigenvalues $0 < \lambda_1 \le \lambda_2 \le \cdots \le \lambda_m \le \cdots$ with $\lambda_m \longrightarrow \infty$ as $m \longrightarrow \infty$. The corresponding eigenfunctions are $e_m \in H^1_0(\mathcal{D})$.

Definition 1 (Hilbert scale space). We recall the Hilbert scale space, which is given as follows:

$$\mathcal{X}^{n}(\mathcal{D}) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{L}^{2}(\mathcal{D}), \ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{m}^{2n} \left(\int_{\mathcal{D}} f(x) e_{m}(x) dx \right)^{2} < \infty \right\},$$
(6)

for any $n \ge 0$. It is well-known that $X^n(\mathcal{D})$ is a Hilbert space corresponding to the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{X}^{n}(\mathcal{D})} = \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{m}^{2n} \left(\int_{\mathcal{D}} f(x) e_{m}(x) dx\right)^{2}\right)^{1/2}, f \in \mathcal{X}^{n}(\mathcal{D}).$$
(7)

Lemma 2 (See [22]). The following statement is true:

$$L^{p}(\mathcal{D}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}^{\mu}(\mathcal{D}), \text{ if } -\frac{N}{4} < \mu \le 0, p \ge \frac{2N}{N-4\mu} \\ \mathcal{X}^{\mu}(\mathcal{D}) \hookrightarrow L^{p}(\mathcal{D}), \text{ if } 0 \le \mu < \frac{N}{4}, p \le \frac{2N}{N-4\mu} \end{cases}$$

$$(8)$$

3. Regularization of Backward Problem

In order to find a precise formulation for solutions, we consider the mild solution in Fourier series $y(x, t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} y_m(t) e_m(x)$, with $y_m(t) = \int_{\mathcal{D}} y(x, t) e_m(x) dx$. Taking the inner product of the equations of Problem (1) with e_m gives

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\mathscr{C}\partial^{\beta}}{\partial t^{\beta}} \langle y(.,t), e_{m} \rangle + k\lambda_{m} \frac{\mathscr{C}\partial^{\beta}}{\partial t^{\beta}} \langle y(.,t), e_{m} \rangle - \lambda_{m}^{s} \langle y(.,t), e_{m} \rangle = \langle F(.,t), e_{m} \rangle, t \in (0,T) \\ \langle y(.,0), e_{m} \rangle = \langle y_{0}, e_{m} \rangle \end{cases}$$
(9)

The first equation of (9) is a differential equation with a conformable derivative as follows:

$$\frac{{}^{\mathscr{C}}\partial^{\beta}}{\partial t^{\beta}}y_m(t) - \lambda_m^s (1 + k\lambda_m)^{-1}y_m(t) = (1 + k\lambda_m)^{-1}F_n(t).$$
(10)

Because of the result in [23], the solution of Problem (1) is

$$\langle y(.,t), e_m \rangle = \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda_m^s}{1+k\lambda_m}\frac{t^\beta}{\beta}\right) \langle y(0), e_m \rangle$$

$$+ \frac{1}{1+k\lambda_m} \int_0^t \theta^{\beta-1} \exp\left(\frac{\lambda_m^s}{1+k\lambda_m}\frac{\theta^\beta - t^\beta}{\beta}\right) \langle F(.,\theta), e_m \rangle d\theta.$$

$$(11)$$

Letting t = T, we follow from (11) that

$$\left(\int_{\mathscr{D}} y_T(x) e_m(x) dx\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda_m^s}{1+k\lambda_m} \frac{T^{\beta}}{\beta}\right) \left(\int_{\mathscr{D}} y_0(x) e_m(x) dx\right) + \frac{1}{1+k\lambda_m} \int_0^T \theta^{\beta-1} \exp\left(\frac{\lambda_m^s}{1+k\lambda_m} \frac{\theta^{\beta}-T^{\beta}}{\beta}\right) \cdot \left(\int_{\mathscr{D}} F(.,\theta) e_m(x) dx\right) d\theta.$$
(12)

From (12), we have

$$\left(\int_{\mathscr{D}} y_0(x)e_m(x)dx\right) = \left(\exp\left(-\frac{\lambda_m^s}{1+k\lambda_m}\frac{T^\beta}{\beta}\right)\right)^{-1} \left[\left(\int_{\mathscr{D}} y_T(x)e_m(x)dx\right) - \frac{1}{1+k\lambda_m}\int_0^T \theta^{\beta-1}\exp\left(\frac{\lambda_m^s}{1+k\lambda_m}\frac{\theta^\beta - T^\beta}{\beta}\right) \\ \cdot \left(\int_{\mathscr{D}} F(x,\theta)e_m(x)dx\right)d\theta\right].$$
(13)

Substituting (13) into (12), we obtain

$$\langle y(.,t), e_m \rangle = \exp\left(\frac{\lambda_m^s}{1+k\lambda_m} \frac{T^\beta - t^\beta}{\beta}\right) \int_{\mathcal{D}} y_T(x) e_m(x) dx - \frac{1}{1+k\lambda_m} \int_t^T \theta^{\beta-1} \exp\left(\frac{\lambda_m^s}{1+k\lambda_m} \frac{\theta^\beta - t^\beta}{\beta}\right) \cdot \left(\int_{\mathcal{D}} F(x,\theta) e_m(x) dx\right) d\theta$$
 (14)

This leads to

$$y(x,t) = \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \exp\left(\frac{\lambda_m^s}{1+k\lambda_m} \frac{T^\beta - t^\beta}{\beta}\right) \left(\int_{\mathscr{D}} y_T(x)e_m(x)dx\right) e_m(x)$$
$$-\sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{1+k\lambda_m} \left(\int_t^T \theta^{\beta-1} \exp\left(\frac{\lambda_m^s}{1+k\lambda_m} \frac{\theta^\beta - t^\beta}{\beta}\right)\right)$$
$$\cdot \left(\int_{\mathscr{D}} F(x,\theta)e_m(x)dx\right) d\theta e_m(x).$$
(15)

4. The Mild Solution of Backward Problem in Case F = 0

In this section, we investigate the existence and regularity of mild solutions of Problem (1). Firstly, we consider the following initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathscr{C}_{\partial t^{\beta}} (y(x,t) - k\Delta y(x,t)) + (-\Delta)^{s} y(x,t) = 0, & x \in \mathcal{D}, t \in (0,T) \\ y(x,t) = 0, & x \in \partial \mathcal{D}, t \in (0,T) \\ y(x,T) = y_{T}(x), & x \in \mathcal{D}, t \in (0,T) \end{cases}$$
(16)

According to (15), in this case, we have

$$y(x,t) = \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \exp\left(\frac{\lambda_m^s}{1+k\lambda_m} \frac{T^{\beta} - t^{\beta}}{\beta}\right) \left(\int_{\mathcal{D}} y_T(x) e_m(x) dx\right) e_m(x).$$
(17)

4.1. The Ill-Posedness of Problem (1). In order to prove that the solution to the backward problem is unstable at F(x, t) = 0, let us take the perturbed final data $y_{T,j}(x) \in \mathscr{L}^2(\mathscr{D})$, by choosing $y_{T,j}(x) = e_j(x)\lambda_j^{-1/2}$. For s > 3/2, let us choose input final data $y_T(x) = 0$; we know that an error in $L^2(\mathscr{D})$ norm between two input final data as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| y_{T,j} - y_T \right\|_{\mathscr{L}^2(\mathscr{D})} &= \left\| e_j \lambda_j^{-1/2} \right\|_{\mathscr{L}^2(\mathscr{D})} \\ &= \lambda_j^{-1/2} \text{ this leads to } \lim_{j \to \infty} \left\| y_{T,j} - y_T \right\|_{\mathscr{L}^2(\mathscr{D})} \\ &= \lim_{j \to \infty} \lambda_j^{-1/2} = 0. \end{aligned}$$
(18)

Therefore, we obtain

$$y_j(x,t) = \exp\left(\frac{\lambda_j^s}{1+k\lambda_j}\frac{T^\beta - t^\beta}{\beta}\right)y_{T,j}(x).$$
 (19)

First of all, we have $\lambda_j^s/(1+k\lambda_j) = (\lambda_j^{s-1})/((1/\lambda_j)+k) \ge (\lambda_j^{s-1})/((1/\lambda_j)+k)$, and $((T^\beta - t^\beta)/\beta) \ge 0$; this implies that

$$\exp\left(\frac{\lambda^{s}}{1+k\lambda_{j}}\frac{T^{\beta}-t^{\beta}}{\beta}\right) \ge \exp\left(\frac{\lambda_{j}^{s-1}}{\lambda_{1}^{-1}+k}\frac{T^{\beta}-t^{\beta}}{\beta}\right).$$
(20)

Next, using the inequality $\exp(x) \ge x$, for x > 0, this leads to:

$$\begin{split} \left\| y_{j}(\cdot,t) \right\|_{\mathscr{L}^{2}(\mathscr{D})} &\geq \left\| \exp\left(\frac{\lambda_{j}^{s-1}}{\lambda_{1}^{-1}+k}\frac{T^{\beta}-t^{\beta}}{\beta}\right) \frac{1}{\lambda_{j}^{1/2}} \right\|_{\mathscr{L}^{2}(\mathscr{D})} \quad (21) \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda_{j}^{s-3/2}}{\lambda_{1}^{-1}+k}\frac{T^{\beta}-t^{\beta}}{\beta} \cdot \end{split}$$

For s > 3/2, and from (21), we get

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \left\| y_j(.,t) \right\|_{\mathscr{L}^2(\mathscr{D})} \ge \lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{\lambda_j^{s^{-3/2}}}{\lambda_1^{-1} + k} \frac{T^{\beta} - t^{\beta}}{\beta} \longrightarrow +\infty.$$
 (22)

Thus, Problem (1), in general, ill-posed in the Hadamard sense in $\mathscr{L}^2(\mathscr{D})$ -norm.

4.2. Regularization of inverse Problem (1) in $\mathcal{L}^{p}(\mathcal{D})$ space. From (15), we know that the explicit formula of the mild solution

$$y(x,t) = \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \exp\left(\frac{\lambda_m^s}{1+k\lambda_m} \frac{T^{\beta} - t^{\beta}}{\beta}\right) \left(\int_{\mathscr{D}} y_T(x) e_m(x) dx\right) e_m(x)$$
$$- \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{1+k\lambda_m} \left(\int_t^T \theta^{\beta-1} \exp\left(\frac{\lambda_m^s}{1+k\lambda_m} \frac{\theta^{\beta} - t^{\beta}}{\beta}\right)\right)$$
$$\cdot \left(\int_{\mathscr{D}} F(x,\theta) e_m(x) dx\right) d\theta e_m(x).$$
(23)

By applying the Fourier truncation method, we have its approximation

$$y_{\delta}(x,t) = \sum_{m \le \mathscr{M}_{\delta}} \exp\left(\frac{\lambda_{m}^{s}}{1+k\lambda_{m}} \frac{T^{\beta}-t^{\beta}}{\beta}\right) \left(\int_{\mathscr{D}} y_{T,\delta}(x) e_{m}(x) dx\right) e_{m}(x)$$
$$-\sum_{m \le \mathscr{M}_{\delta}} \frac{1}{1+k\lambda_{m}} \left(\int_{t}^{T} \theta^{\beta-1} \exp\left(\frac{\lambda_{m}^{s}}{1+k\lambda_{m}} \frac{\theta^{\beta}-t^{\beta}}{\beta}\right)\right)$$
$$\cdot \left(\int_{\mathscr{D}} F_{\delta}(x,\theta) e_{m}(x) dx\right) d\theta e_{m}(x).$$
(24)

Here, \mathcal{M}_{δ} is parameter regularization which is defined later.

Theorem 3. For s > 1, taking $(y_T, F) \in \mathscr{L}^p(0, T) \times \mathscr{L}^\infty(0, T; \mathscr{L}^p(\mathfrak{D}))$ for any $0 \le t \le T$ for any $1/\beta , assume that <math>(y_T, F)$ is observed by the couple $(y_{T,\delta}, F_{\delta})$ such that

$$\left\| y_{T,\delta} - y_T \right\|_{\mathscr{L}^p(\mathscr{D})} + \left\| F - F_\delta \right\|_{\mathscr{L}^\infty(0,T;\mathscr{L}^p(\mathscr{D}))} \le \delta, \delta > 0.$$
(25)

Let us assume that $u \in \mathscr{L}^{\infty}(0, T; \mathscr{X}^{n+\sigma})$ for $\sigma > 0$ and 0 < n < N/4. With \mathscr{M}_{δ} such that

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \mathcal{M}_{\delta} = +\infty, \lim_{\delta \to 0} |\mathcal{M}_{\delta}|^{n+N/2p-N/4} \exp\left(\frac{T^{\beta}}{\beta} \mathcal{M}_{\delta}^{s-1} k^{-1}\right) \delta = 0.$$
(26)

Then, the error estimate

$$\|y_{\delta} - y\|_{\mathscr{L}^{2N/N-4n}(\mathscr{D})} \text{ is of order max}$$

$$\cdot \left\{ |\mathscr{M}_{\delta}|^{n+N/2p-N/4} \exp\left((\mathscr{M}_{\delta})^{s-1} k^{-1} \frac{T^{\beta}}{\beta} \right) \delta, |\mathscr{M}_{\delta}|^{-\sigma} \right\}.$$
(27)

Remark 4. One choice for \mathcal{M}_{δ} such that

$$\mathcal{M}_{\delta} = \left(T^{-\beta}\beta(1-\alpha)k\right)^{1/s-1} \left[\log\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)\right]^{1/s-1}, \text{ for } 0 < \alpha < 1.$$
(28)

then $\|y_{\delta} - y\|_{\mathscr{L}^{2N/(N-4n)}(\mathscr{D})}$ is of order max $\{\delta^{\alpha} [\log (1/\delta)]^{(n+N/2p-N/4)/(s-1)}, [\log (1/\delta)]^{-\sigma/(s-1)}\}.$

Proof. Let

$$\mathcal{V}_{\delta}(x,t) = \sum_{m \leq \mathcal{N}_{\delta}} \exp\left(\frac{\lambda_{m}^{s}}{1+k\lambda_{m}} \frac{T^{\beta}-t^{\beta}}{\beta}\right) \left(\int_{\mathfrak{D}} y_{T}(x)e_{m}(x)dx\right)e_{m}(x)$$
$$-\sum_{m \leq \mathcal{M}_{\delta}} \frac{1}{1+k\lambda_{m}} \left(\int_{t}^{T} \theta^{\beta-1} \exp\left(\frac{\lambda_{m}^{s}}{1+k\lambda_{m}} \frac{\theta^{\beta}-t^{\beta}}{\beta}\right)\right)$$
$$\cdot \left(\int_{\mathfrak{D}} F(x,\theta)e_{m}(x)dx\right)d\theta\right)e_{m}(x).$$
(29)

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_{\delta}(\cdot,t) - y(\cdot,t)\|_{\mathcal{X}^{n}(\mathcal{D})} &\leq \|y_{\delta}(\cdot,t) - \mathcal{V}_{\delta}(\cdot,t)\|_{\mathcal{X}^{n}(\mathcal{D})} \\ &+ \|\mathcal{V}_{\delta}(\cdot,t) - y(\cdot,t)\|_{\mathcal{X}^{n}(\mathcal{D})}. \end{aligned}$$
(30)

We continue to consider the two components of the right hand side.

Step 1:

$$\begin{split} y_{\delta}(x,t) &= \sum_{\lambda_{m} \leq \mathcal{M}_{\delta}} \exp\left(\frac{\lambda_{m}^{s}}{1+k\lambda_{m}} \frac{T^{\beta}-t^{\beta}}{\beta}\right) \\ &\cdot \left(\int_{\mathcal{D}} \left(y_{T,\delta}(x) - y_{T}(x)\right) e_{m}(x) dx\right) e_{m}(x) \\ &- \sum_{\lambda_{m} \leq \mathcal{M}_{\delta}} \frac{1}{1+k\lambda_{m}} \left(\int_{t}^{T} \theta^{\beta-1} \exp\left(\frac{\lambda_{m}^{s}}{1+k\lambda_{m}} \frac{\theta^{\beta}-t^{\beta}}{\beta}\right)\right) \\ &\cdot \left(\int_{\mathcal{D}} \left(F_{\delta}(x,\theta) - F(x,\theta)\right) e_{m}(x) dx\right) d\theta\right) e_{m}(x) \\ &= \mathcal{H}_{1}(x,t) - \mathcal{H}_{2}(x,t). \end{split}$$
(31)

For s > 1, it is easy to see that $\lambda_m^s (1 + k\lambda_m)^{-1} \le \lambda_m^{s-1}$ $(\lambda_m^{-1} + k)^{-1} \le \lambda_m^{s-1} k^{-1}$. The first term $\mathcal{H}_1(x, t)$ on $\mathcal{X}^n(\mathcal{D})$ is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|\mathscr{H}_{1}(.,t)\right\|_{\mathscr{X}^{n}(\mathscr{D})}^{2} &= \sum_{\lambda_{m} \leq \mathscr{M}_{\delta}} \lambda_{m}^{2n} \exp\left(\frac{2\lambda_{m}^{s}}{1+k\lambda_{m}} \frac{T^{\beta}-t^{\beta}}{\beta}\right) \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\int_{\mathscr{D}} \left(y_{T,\delta}(x) - y_{T}(x)\right) e_{m}(x) dx\right)^{2} \\ &= \sum_{\lambda_{m} \leq \mathscr{M}_{\delta}} \lambda_{m}^{2n+N/p-N/2} \lambda_{m}^{Np-2N/2p} \exp\left(\frac{2\lambda_{m}^{s-1}k^{-1}T^{\beta}}{\beta}\right) \left(\int_{\mathscr{D}} \left(y_{T,\delta}(x) - y_{T}(x)\right) e_{m}(x) dx\right)^{2} \\ &\leq \left|\mathscr{M}_{\delta}\right|^{2n+N/p-N/2} \exp\left(\frac{2|\mathscr{M}_{\delta}|^{s-1}k^{-1}T^{\beta}}{\beta}\right) \sum_{\lambda_{m} \leq \mathscr{M}_{\delta}} \lambda_{m}^{Np-2N/2p} \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\int_{\mathscr{D}} \left(y_{T,\delta}(x) - y_{T}(x)\right) e_{m}(x) dx\right)^{2} \\ &\leq \left|\mathscr{M}_{\delta}\right|^{2n+N/p-N/2} \exp\left(\frac{2|\mathscr{M}_{\delta}|^{s-1}k^{-1}T^{\beta}}{\beta}\right) \left\|y_{T,\delta} - y_{T}\right\|_{\mathscr{X}^{Np-2N/4p}} .\end{aligned}$$

$$(32)$$

Since the Sobolev space embedding $\mathscr{L}^p(\mathscr{D}) \longrightarrow \mathscr{X}^{(Np-2N)/4p}(\mathscr{D})$, we have

$$\left\| y_{T,\delta} - y_T \right\|_{\mathcal{X}^{Np-2N/4p(\mathcal{D})}} \le C_1(N,p) \left\| y_{T,\delta} - y_T \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{D})}.$$
 (33)

This follows from (32) that

$$\|\mathscr{H}_{1}(.,t)\|_{\mathscr{X}^{n}(\mathscr{D})} \leq (\mathscr{M}_{\delta})^{n+N/2p-N/4} \exp\left(\frac{(\mathscr{M}_{\delta})^{s-1}k^{-1}T^{\beta}}{\beta}\right) C_{1}(N,p)\delta.$$
(34)

The second term $\mathcal{H}_2(x, t)$ is estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathscr{H}_{2}(.,t)\|_{\mathscr{X}^{n}(\mathscr{D})}^{2} &= \sum_{\lambda_{m} \leq \mathscr{M}_{\delta}} \frac{\lambda_{m}^{2n}}{1+k\lambda_{m}} \left(\int_{t}^{T} \theta^{\beta-1} \exp\left(\frac{\lambda_{m}^{s}}{1+k\lambda_{m}} \frac{\theta^{\beta}-t^{\beta}}{\beta} \right) \\ &\cdot \left(\int_{\mathscr{D}} (F(x,\theta) - F_{\delta}(x,\theta)) e_{m}(x) dx \right) d\theta \right)^{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$(35)$$

By the same arguments as above, we find that

$$\begin{split} \|\mathscr{H}_{2}(\cdot,t)\|_{\mathscr{X}^{n}(\mathscr{D})}^{2} &\leq \sum_{\lambda_{m} \leq \mathscr{M}_{\delta}} \lambda_{m}^{2n} \left(\int_{t}^{T} \theta^{\beta-1} \exp\left(\lambda_{m}^{s-1} k^{-1} \frac{\theta^{\beta} - t^{\beta}}{\beta}\right) \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\int_{\mathscr{D}} (F(x,\theta) - F_{\delta}(x,\theta)) e_{m}(x) dx \right) d\theta \right)^{2} \\ &\leq \exp\left(2(\mathscr{M}_{\delta})^{s-1} k^{-1} \frac{T^{\beta}}{\beta} \right) \sum_{\lambda_{m} \leq \mathscr{M}_{\delta}} \lambda_{m}^{2n} \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\int_{t}^{T} \theta^{\beta-1} \left(\int_{\mathscr{D}} (F(x,\theta) - F_{\delta}(x,\theta)) e_{m}(x) dx \right) d\theta \right)^{2} \end{aligned}$$
(36)

We can see that $\lambda_m^{2n+N/p-N/2} \leq |\mathcal{M}_{\delta}|^{2n+N/p-N/2}$ and $\int_t^T \theta^{\beta-1} d\theta \leq (T^{\beta} - t^{\beta})/\beta \leq T^{\beta}/\beta$. From (36), using Holder's inequality, we get

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{\lambda_{m} \leq \mathcal{M}_{\delta}} \lambda_{m}^{2n} \left(\int_{t}^{T} \theta^{\beta-1} \left(\int_{\mathfrak{D}} (F(x,\theta) - F_{\delta}(x,\theta)) e_{m}(x) dx \right) d\theta \right)^{2} \\ &\leq \sum_{\lambda_{m} \leq \mathcal{M}_{\delta}} \lambda_{m}^{2n+N/p-N/2} \lambda_{m}^{Np-2N/2p} \left(\int_{t}^{T} \theta^{\beta-1} d\theta \right) \\ &\cdot \left(\int_{t}^{T} \theta^{\beta-1} \left(\int_{\mathfrak{D}} (F(x,\theta) - F_{\delta}(x,\theta)) e_{m}(x) dx \right)^{2} d\theta \right) \\ &\leq \beta^{-1} T^{\beta} |\mathcal{M}_{\delta}|^{2n+N/p-N/2} \left(\int_{t}^{T} \theta^{\beta-1} \sum_{\lambda_{m} \leq \mathcal{M}_{\delta}} \lambda_{m}^{2n+N/p-N/2} \\ &\cdot \left(\int_{\mathfrak{D}} (F(x,\theta) - F_{\delta}(x,\theta)) e_{m}(x) dx \right)^{2} d\theta \right) \\ &\leq \beta^{-1} T^{\beta} |\mathcal{M}_{\delta}|^{2n+N/p-N/2} \left(\int_{t}^{T} \theta^{\beta-1} ||F_{\delta}(\cdot,t) - F(\cdot,t)||_{\mathcal{X}^{Np-2N/4p}}^{2} d\theta \right) \end{split}$$

$$(37)$$

This latter inequality together with Sobolev embedding $\mathscr{L}^p(\mathscr{D}) \longrightarrow \mathscr{X}^{(Np-2N)/4p}(\mathscr{D})$ gives us

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\lambda_{m} \leq \mathcal{M}_{\delta}} \lambda_{m}^{2n} \left(\int_{t}^{T} \theta^{\beta-1} \left(\int_{\mathcal{D}} (F(x,\theta) - F_{\delta}(x,\theta)) e_{m}(x) dx \right) d\theta \right)^{2} \\ \leq \beta^{-1} |C_{2}(N,p)|^{2} T^{\beta} (\mathcal{M}_{\delta})^{2n+N/p-N/2} \\ \cdot \left(\int_{t}^{T} \theta^{\beta-1} \|F(x,\theta) - F_{\delta}(x,\theta)\|_{\mathscr{L}^{p}(\mathscr{D})}^{2} d\theta \right) \\ \leq \beta^{-2} |C_{2}(N,p)|^{2} T^{2\beta} |\mathcal{M}_{\delta}|^{2n+N/p-N/2} \|F - F_{\delta}\|_{\mathscr{L}^{\infty}(0,T;\mathscr{L}^{p}(\mathscr{D}))} \\ \leq \beta^{-2} |C_{2}(N,p)|^{2} T^{2\beta} |\mathcal{M}_{\delta}|^{2n+N/p-N/2} \delta^{2}. \end{split}$$

$$(38)$$

Combining (36) and (38), we get

$$\begin{split} \|\mathscr{H}_{2}(\cdot,t)\|_{\mathscr{X}^{n}(\mathscr{D})}^{2} &\leq \exp\left(2(\mathscr{M}_{\delta})^{s-1}k^{-1}\frac{T^{\beta}}{\beta}\right) \\ &\quad \cdot |C_{2}(N,p)|^{2}T^{2\beta}|\mathscr{M}_{\delta}|^{2n+N/p-N/2}\beta^{-2}\delta^{2}. \end{split}$$

$$(39)$$

Taking the square root on the both sides, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathscr{H}_{2}(\cdot,t)\|_{\mathscr{X}^{n}(\mathscr{D})} &\leq \exp\left((\mathscr{M}_{\delta})^{s-1}k^{-1}\frac{T^{\beta}}{\beta}\right) \\ &\quad \cdot |C_{2}(N,p)|T^{\beta}|\mathscr{M}_{\delta}|^{n+N/2p-N/4}\beta^{-1}\delta. \end{aligned}$$
(40)

From (34) and (40), we deduce that

$$\begin{split} \|y_{\delta}(\cdot,t) - \mathcal{V}_{\delta}(\cdot,t)\|_{\mathcal{X}^{n}(\mathfrak{D})} \\ &\leq \|\mathcal{H}_{1}(\cdot,t)\|_{\mathcal{X}^{n}(\mathfrak{D})} + \|\mathcal{H}_{2}(\cdot,t)\|_{\mathcal{X}^{n}(\mathfrak{D})} \\ &\leq |\mathcal{M}_{\delta}|^{n+N/2p-N/4} \exp\left(\frac{(\mathcal{M}_{\delta})^{s-1}k^{-1}T^{\beta}}{\beta}\right) C_{1}(N,p)\delta + \exp\left(\left(\mathcal{M}_{\delta}\right)^{s-1}k^{-1}\frac{T^{\beta}}{\beta}\right) |C_{2}(N,p)|T^{\beta}|\mathcal{M}_{\delta}|^{n+N/2p-N/4}\beta^{-1}\delta \\ &\leq |\mathcal{M}_{\delta}|^{n+N/2p-N/4} \exp\left((\mathcal{M}_{\delta})^{s-1}k^{-1}\frac{T^{\beta}}{\beta}\right)\delta \\ &\quad \cdot \left(C_{1}(N,p) + |C_{2}(N,p)|T^{\beta}\beta^{-1}\right). \end{split}$$
(41)

Step 2: Estimate of $||u(\cdot, t) - \mathcal{V}_{\delta}(\cdot, t)||_{\mathcal{X}^{n}(\mathcal{D})}$. From the definition (23) and (29), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|y(\cdot,t) - \mathscr{V}_{\delta}(\cdot,t)\|_{\mathscr{X}^{n}(\mathscr{D})}^{2} &= \sum_{\lambda_{m} > \mathscr{M}_{\delta}} \lambda_{m}^{2n} \left(\int_{\mathscr{D}} u(\cdot,t) e_{m}(x) dx \right)^{2} \\ &= \sum_{\lambda_{m} > \mathscr{M}_{\delta}} \lambda_{m}^{-2\sigma} \lambda_{m}^{2n+2\sigma} \left(\int_{\mathscr{D}} u(\cdot,t) e_{m}(x) dx \right)^{2} \\ &\leq |\mathscr{M}_{\delta}|^{-2\sigma} \|u\|_{\mathscr{L}^{\infty}(0,T;\mathscr{X}^{n+\sigma}(\mathscr{D}))}^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(42)$$

Therefore, we get

$$\|y(\cdot,t) - \mathcal{V}_{\delta}(\cdot,t)\|_{\mathcal{X}^{n}(\mathcal{D})} \leq |\mathcal{M}_{\delta}|^{-\sigma} \|u\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;\mathcal{X}^{n+\sigma}(\mathcal{D})}.$$
 (43)

Combining two steps and noting that $\mathscr{X}^n(\mathscr{D}) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{L}^{2N/(N-4n)}$, (0 < n < N/4), we deduce that

$$\begin{split} \|y_{\delta}(\cdot,t) - y(\cdot,t)\|_{\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{D})} \\ &\leq C_{3}(N,n) \|y_{\delta}(\cdot,t) - \mathscr{V}_{\delta}(\cdot,t)\|_{\mathscr{X}^{n}(\mathscr{D})} \\ &+ C_{3}(N,n) \|\mathscr{V}_{\delta}(\cdot,t) - y(\cdot,t)\|_{\mathscr{X}^{n}(\mathscr{D})} \\ &\leq C_{3}(N,n) |\mathscr{M}_{\delta}|^{n+N/2p-N/4} \exp \\ &\cdot \left((\mathscr{M}_{\delta})^{s-1}k^{-1}\frac{T^{\beta}}{\beta} \right) \delta \left(C_{1}(N,p) + |C_{2}(N,p)|T^{\beta}\beta^{-1} \right) \\ &+ C_{3}(N,n) |\mathscr{M}_{\delta}|^{-\sigma} \|u\|_{\mathscr{L}^{\infty}(0,T;\mathscr{X}^{n+\sigma}(\mathscr{D})}. \end{split}$$

$$(44)$$

The proof of Theorem 3 is completed. In the following theorem, we give a regularization result in the case that *F* has a split form $F(x, t) = \Phi(t)f(x)$.

Theorem 5. For s > 1, let us assume that the input data Φ_{δ} , g_{δ} , f_{δ} such that

$$\|\Phi_{\delta} - \Phi\|_{\mathscr{L}^{p}(0,T)} + \|y_{T,\delta} - y_{T}\|_{\mathscr{L}^{p}(\mathscr{D})} + \|f_{\delta} - f\|_{\mathscr{L}^{p}(\mathscr{D})} \leq \delta.$$
(45)

Assume that $u \in \mathscr{L}^{\infty}(0, T; \mathscr{X}^{n+\sigma}(\mathscr{D}))$ for any $\sigma > 0$, then we construct a regularized solution defined by

$$\mathcal{W}_{\delta}(x,t) = \sum_{m \leq \mathscr{B}_{\delta}} \exp\left(\frac{\lambda_{m}^{s}}{1+k\lambda_{m}}\frac{T^{\beta}-t^{\beta}}{\beta}\right) \left(\int_{\mathscr{D}} y_{T,\delta}(x)e_{m}(x)dx\right)e_{m}(x)$$
$$-\sum_{m \leq \mathscr{B}_{\delta}}\frac{1}{1+k\lambda_{m}}\left(\int_{t}^{T}\theta^{\beta-1}\exp\left(\frac{\lambda_{m}^{s}}{1+k\lambda_{m}}\frac{\theta^{\beta}-t^{\beta}}{\beta}\right)\right)$$
$$\cdot \left(\int_{\mathscr{D}} f(x)e_{m}(x)dx\right)\Phi_{\delta}(\theta)d\theta\right)e_{m}(x).$$
(46)

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Then, the error } \|\mathscr{W}_{\delta}(.,t)-y(.,t)\|_{\mathscr{D}^{2N/(N-4n)}(\mathscr{D})} \ \text{ is of order} \\ \max \ \{\delta|\mathscr{B}_{\delta}|^{-\sigma},|\mathscr{B}_{\delta}|^{n+(N/2p)-(N/4)} \ \exp \ ((\mathscr{B}_{\delta}^{s-1}k^{-1}T^{\beta})/\beta)\delta\}. \end{array}$

Remark 6. $\mathscr{B}_{\delta} = (T^{-\beta}\beta k)^{1/(s-1)}(1-\alpha)^{1/(s-1)}\log(1/\delta)^{1/(s-1)}$, then the error

$$\|\mathscr{W}_{\delta}(.,t)-y(.,t)\|_{\mathscr{L}^{2N/N-4n}(\mathscr{D})} \text{ is of order max} \\ \cdot \left\{ \delta \left| \log \left(\frac{1}{\delta} \right) \right|^{-\sigma/s-1} \left| \log \left(\frac{1}{\delta} \right) \right|^{n+N/2p-N/4/s-1} \delta^{\alpha} \right\}.$$

$$(47)$$

Proof. Since $F(x, t) = \Phi(t)f(x)$, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{Z}_{\delta}(x,t) &= \sum_{m \leq \mathscr{B}_{\delta}} \exp\left(\frac{\lambda_{m}^{s}}{1+k\lambda_{m}} \frac{T^{\beta}-t^{\beta}}{\beta}\right) \left(\int_{\mathscr{D}} y_{T}(x) e_{m}(x) dx\right) e_{m}(x) \\ &- \sum_{m \leq \mathscr{B}_{\delta}} \frac{1}{1+k\lambda_{m}} \left(\int_{t}^{T} \theta^{\beta-1} \exp\left(\frac{\lambda_{m}^{s}}{1+k\lambda_{m}} \frac{\theta^{\beta}-t^{\beta}}{\beta}\right)\right) \\ &\cdot \left(\int_{\mathscr{D}} f(x) e_{m}(x) dx\right) \Phi(\theta) d\theta \right) e_{m}(x). \end{aligned}$$

$$(48)$$

The triangle inequality allows us to obtain that

$$\begin{split} \|\mathscr{W}_{\delta}(\cdot,t) - y(\cdot,t)\|_{\mathscr{X}^{n}(\mathscr{D})} &\leq \|\mathscr{W}_{\delta}(\cdot,t) - \mathscr{Z}_{\delta}(\cdot,t)\|_{\mathscr{X}^{n}(\mathscr{D})} \\ &+ \|\mathscr{Z}_{\delta}(\cdot,t) - y(\cdot,t)\|_{\mathscr{X}^{n}(\mathscr{D})}. \end{split}$$

$$(49)$$

Next, we will evaluate the right side of (49), by the same way as demonstrated in (42),

$$\|y(\cdot,t) - \mathscr{Z}_{\delta}(\cdot,t)\|_{\mathscr{X}^{n}(\mathscr{D})}^{2} \leq |\mathscr{B}_{\delta}|^{-2\sigma} \|u\|_{\mathscr{L}^{\infty}(0,T;\mathscr{X}^{n+\sigma}(\mathscr{D}))}^{2}.$$
 (50)

It is easy to see that

$$\mathscr{W}_{\delta}(x,t) - \mathscr{Z}_{\delta}(x,t) = \mathscr{J}_{1}(x,t) + \mathscr{J}_{2}(x,t) + \mathscr{J}_{3}(x,t).$$
(51)

whereby

$$\mathcal{J}_{1}(x,t) = \sum_{\lambda_{m} \leq \mathscr{B}_{\delta}} \exp\left(\frac{\lambda_{m}^{s}}{1+k\lambda_{m}}\frac{T^{\beta}-t^{\beta}}{\beta}\right) \\ \cdot \left(\int_{\mathfrak{D}} \left(y_{T,\delta}(x) - y_{T}(x)\right)e_{m}(x)dx\right)e_{m}(x),$$
$$\mathcal{J}_{2}(x,t) = -\sum_{\lambda_{m} \leq \mathscr{B}_{\delta}} \left[\int_{t}^{T} \theta^{\beta-1} \exp\left(\frac{\lambda_{m}^{s}}{1+k\lambda_{m}}\frac{\theta^{\beta}-t^{\beta}}{\beta}\right)\Phi_{\delta}(\theta)d\theta\right] \\ \cdot \left(\int_{\mathfrak{D}} (f_{\delta}(x) - f(x))e_{m}(x)\right)e_{m}(x),$$
$$\mathcal{J}_{3}(x,t) = \sum_{\lambda_{m} \leq \mathscr{B}_{\delta}} \left[\int_{t}^{T} \theta^{\beta-1} \exp\left(\frac{\lambda_{m}^{s}}{1+k\lambda_{m}}\frac{\theta^{\beta}-t^{\beta}}{\beta}\right)(\Phi(\theta) - \Phi_{\delta}(\theta))d\theta\right] \\ \cdot \left(\int_{\mathfrak{D}} f(x)e_{m}(x)dx\right)e_{m}(x).$$
(52)

We will divide this review into several steps as follows: Step 1: Estimate of $\|\mathcal{J}_1(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{X}^n(\mathcal{D})}$, we obtain that

$$\left\|\mathscr{J}_{1}(\cdot,t)\right\|_{\mathscr{X}^{n}(\mathscr{D})} \leq C_{4}(N,p)\left|\mathscr{B}_{\delta}\right|^{n+N/2p-N/4} \exp\left(\mathscr{B}_{\delta}^{s-1}k^{-1}\frac{T^{\beta}}{\beta}\right)\delta.$$
(53)

Step 2: Due to Parseval's equality, the term $\|\mathscr{J}_2(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathscr{X}^n(\mathscr{D})}$ can be bounded as follows:

$$\begin{split} \|\mathscr{F}_{2}(\cdot,t)\|_{\mathscr{X}^{n}(\mathscr{D})} &= \sum_{\lambda_{m} \leq \mathscr{B}_{\delta}} \lambda_{m}^{2n} \left[\int_{t}^{T} \theta^{\beta-1} \exp\left(\frac{\lambda_{m}^{s}}{1+k\lambda_{m}} \frac{\theta^{\beta}-t^{\beta}}{\beta}\right) \Phi_{\delta}(\theta) d\theta \right]^{2} \\ &\cdot \left(\int_{\mathscr{D}} (f_{\delta}(x) - f(x)) e_{m}(x) dx \right)^{2}. \end{split}$$

$$(54)$$

Thank to Holder's inequality, we derive that for p > 1 and $p^* = 1 + (1/(p-1))$, one has

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_{t}^{T} \theta^{\beta-1} \exp\left(\frac{\lambda_{m}^{s}}{1+k\lambda_{m}} \frac{\theta^{\beta}-t^{\beta}}{\beta}\right) \Phi_{\delta}(\theta) d\theta \right| \\ &\leq \left(\int_{0}^{T} |\Phi_{\delta}|^{p} d\theta \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{t}^{T} \theta^{p^{*}(\beta-1)} \exp\left(p^{*} \frac{\lambda_{m}^{s}}{1+k\lambda_{m}} \frac{\theta^{\beta}-t^{\beta}}{\beta}\right) d\theta \right)^{1/p^{*}} \\ &\leq \exp\left(\lambda_{m}^{s-1} k^{-1} \frac{T^{\beta}}{\beta}\right) \|\Phi_{\delta}\|_{\mathscr{L}^{p^{*}}(0,T)} \left(\int_{0}^{T} \theta^{p^{*}(\beta-1)} d\theta \right)^{1/p^{*}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{p-1}{p\beta-1}\right)^{p-1/p} T^{p\beta-1/p} \exp\left(\lambda_{m}^{s-1} k^{-1} \frac{T^{\beta}}{\beta}\right) \|\Phi_{\delta}\|_{\mathscr{L}^{p}(0,T)}. \end{split}$$

$$(55)$$

The latter inequality leads to

$$\begin{split} \|\mathcal{J}_{2}(.,t)\|_{\mathcal{Z}^{n}(\mathcal{D})}^{2} \\ &= \sum_{\lambda_{m} \leq \mathscr{B}_{\delta}} \lambda_{m}^{2n} \left[\int_{t}^{T} \theta^{\beta-1} \exp\left(\frac{\lambda_{m}^{s}}{1+k\lambda_{m}} \frac{\theta^{\beta}-t^{\beta}}{\beta}\right) \Phi_{\delta}(\theta) d\theta \right]^{2} \\ &\cdot \left(\int_{\mathcal{D}} (f_{\delta}(x) - f(x)) e_{m}(x) dx \right)^{2} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{p-1}{p\beta-1}\right)^{2p-2/p} T^{2p\beta-2/p} \|\Phi_{\delta}(\theta)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p}(0,T)}^{2} \\ &\times \sum_{\lambda_{m} \leq \mathscr{B}_{\delta}} \lambda_{m}^{2n+N/p-N/2} \lambda_{m}^{Np-2N/2p} \exp \\ &\cdot \left(\frac{2T^{\beta} \lambda_{m}^{s-1} k^{-1}}{\beta}\right) \left(\int_{\mathcal{D}} (f_{\delta}(x) - f(x)) e_{m}(x) dx \right)^{2} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{p-1}{p\beta-1}\right)^{2p-2/p} T^{2p\beta-2/p} \|\Phi_{\delta}(\theta)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p}(0,T)}^{2} |\mathscr{B}_{\delta}|^{2n+N/p-N/2} \exp \\ &\cdot \left(\frac{2T^{\beta} |\mathscr{B}_{\delta}|^{s-1} k^{-1}}{\beta}\right) \times \sum_{\lambda_{m} \leq \mathscr{B}_{\delta}} \lambda_{m}^{2n+N/p-N/2} \left(\int_{\mathcal{D}} (f_{\delta}(x) - f(x)) e_{m}(x) dx \right)^{2} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{p-1}{p\beta-1}\right)^{2p-2/p} T^{2p\beta-2/p} \|\Phi_{\delta}(\theta)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p}(0,T)}^{2} |\mathscr{B}_{\delta}|^{2n+N/p-N/2} \exp \\ &\times \exp \left(\frac{2T^{\beta} |\mathscr{B}_{\delta}|^{s-1} k^{-1}}{\beta}\right) \|f_{\delta} - f\|_{\mathcal{U}^{Np-2N/4p}(\Omega)}. \end{split}$$

$$\tag{56}$$

where $s > 1/\beta$. In view of Sobolev embedding $\mathscr{L}^{p}(\mathscr{D})^{\circ}$ $\mathscr{X}^{(Np-2N)/4p}(\mathscr{D})$, we derive that the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathscr{J}_{2}(.,t)\|_{\mathscr{X}^{n}(\mathscr{D})} &\leq C_{6}(p,\beta,T,N) \|\Phi_{\delta}\|_{\mathscr{D}^{p}(0,T)} |\mathscr{B}_{\delta}|^{n+N/2p-N/4} \exp \\ &\cdot \left(\frac{T^{\beta}|\mathscr{B}_{\delta}|^{s-1}k^{-1}}{\beta}\right) \delta, \end{aligned}$$

$$(57)$$

where

$$C_6(p,\beta,T,N) = \left(\frac{p-1}{p\beta-1}\right)^{p-1/p} T^{p\beta-1/p} C_5(N,p).$$
(58)

Step 3: Let us now to consider the term $\|\mathcal{J}_3(.,t)\|_{\mathcal{X}^n(\mathcal{D})}$. By applying Hölder's inequality, we get that for p > 1 and $p^* = 1/(p-1)$.

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_{t}^{T} \theta^{\beta-1} \exp\left(\frac{\lambda_{m}^{s}}{1+k\lambda_{m}} \frac{\nu^{\beta}-t^{\beta}}{\beta}\right) (\Phi(\theta) - \Phi_{\delta}(\theta)) d\theta \right| \\ &\leq \left(\int_{0}^{T} |\Phi(\theta) - \Phi_{\delta}(\theta)|^{s} d\nu \right)^{1/p} \\ &\cdot \left(\int_{t}^{T} \theta^{p^{*}(\beta-1)} \exp\left(p^{*} \frac{\lambda_{m}^{s}}{1+k\lambda_{m}} \frac{\theta^{\beta}-t^{\beta}}{\beta}\right) d\nu \right)^{1/p^{*}} \\ &\leq \exp\left(\frac{\lambda_{m}^{s-1}k^{-1}T^{\beta}}{\beta}\right) \|\Phi_{\delta} - \Phi\|_{\mathscr{L}^{p}(0,T)} \left(\int_{t}^{T} \nu^{s^{*}(\beta-1)} d\nu \right)^{1/p^{*}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{p-1}{p\beta-1}\right)^{p-1/p} T^{p\beta-1/p} \exp\left(\frac{T^{\beta}\lambda_{m}^{s-1}k^{-1}}{\beta}\right) \|\Phi - \Phi_{\delta}\|_{\mathscr{L}^{p}(0,T)} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{p-1}{p\beta-1}\right)^{p-1/p} T^{p\beta-1/p} \exp\left(\frac{T^{\beta}\lambda_{m}^{s-1}k^{-1}}{\beta}\right) \delta. \end{split}$$

$$(59)$$

This inequality together with Parseval's equality allows us to derive that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathscr{F}_{3}(.,t)\|_{\mathscr{X}^{n}(\mathscr{D})}^{2} &= \sum_{\lambda_{m} \leq \mathscr{B}_{\delta}} \lambda_{m}^{2n} \left(\int_{t}^{T} \theta^{\beta-1} \exp\left(\frac{\lambda_{m}^{s}}{1+k\lambda_{m}} \frac{\theta^{\beta}-t^{\beta}}{\beta}\right) (\Phi(\theta) - \Phi_{\delta}(\theta)) d\theta \right)^{2} \\ &\cdot \left(\int_{\mathscr{D}} f(x) e_{m}(x) dx \right)^{2} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{p-1}{p\beta-1}\right)^{2p-2/p} T^{2p\beta-2/p} \delta^{2} \sum_{\lambda_{m} \leq \mathscr{B}_{\delta}} \lambda_{m}^{2n+N/p-N/2} \lambda_{m}^{Np-2N/2p} \exp\left(\frac{2\lambda_{m}^{s-1}k^{-1}T^{\beta}}{\beta}\right) \left(\int_{\mathscr{D}} f(x) e_{m}(x) dx \right)^{2} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{p-1}{p\beta-1}\right)^{2p-2/p} T^{2p\beta-2/p} \delta^{2} |\mathscr{B}_{\delta}|^{2n+N/p-N/2} \exp\left(\frac{2T^{\beta} |\mathscr{B}_{\delta}|^{s-1}k^{-1}}{\beta}\right) \|f\|_{\mathscr{X}^{Np-2N/4p}(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(60)$$

By the fact that $\mathscr{L}^{p}(\mathscr{D})^{\circ}\mathscr{X}^{(Np-2N)/4p}(\mathscr{D})$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathscr{F}_{3}(.,t)\|_{\mathscr{X}^{n}(\mathscr{D})} &\leq C_{6}(p,\beta,T,N) \|f\|_{\mathscr{L}^{p}(D)} |\mathscr{B}_{\delta}|^{n+N/2p-N/4} \exp \\ &\cdot \left(\frac{T^{\beta} |\mathscr{B}_{\delta}|^{s-1} k^{-1}}{\beta}\right) \delta. \end{aligned}$$

$$(61)$$

Combining Step 1 to Step 3, we get

$$\begin{split} \|\mathscr{F}_{1}(.,t)\|_{\mathscr{X}^{n}(\mathscr{D})} + \|\mathscr{F}_{2}(.,t)\|_{\mathscr{X}^{n}(\mathscr{D})} + \|\mathscr{F}_{3}(.,t)\|_{\mathscr{X}^{n}(\mathscr{D})} \\ &\leq C_{4}(N,p)|\mathscr{B}_{\delta}|^{n+N/2p-N/4} \exp\left(\frac{|\mathscr{B}_{\delta}|^{s-1}k^{-1}T^{\beta}}{\beta}\right)\delta \\ &+ C_{6}(p,\beta,T,N)|\mathscr{B}_{\delta}|^{n+N/2p-N/4} \exp\left(\frac{T^{\beta}|\mathscr{B}_{\delta}|^{s-1}k^{-1}}{\beta}\right)\delta \\ &\cdot \left(\|\varPhi_{\delta}\|_{\mathscr{L}^{p}(0,T)} + \|f\|_{\mathscr{L}^{p}(D)}\right). \end{split}$$

$$(62)$$

Finally, combining the reviews from above, we conclude that

$$\begin{split} \|\mathscr{W}_{\delta}(\cdot,t) - y(\cdot,t)\|_{\mathscr{X}^{n}(\mathscr{D})} \\ &\leq \delta|\mathscr{B}_{\delta}|^{-\sigma} \|u\|_{\mathscr{L}^{\infty}(0,T;\mathscr{X}^{n+\sigma}(\mathscr{D}))} \\ &+ C_{4}(N,p)|\mathscr{B}_{\delta}|^{n+N/2p-N/4} \exp\left(\mathscr{B}_{\delta}^{s-1}k^{-1}\frac{T^{\beta}}{\beta}\right)\delta \\ &+ C_{6}(p,\beta,T,N)|\mathscr{B}_{\delta}|^{n+N/2p-N/4} \exp\left(\frac{T^{\beta}|\mathscr{B}_{\delta}|^{s-1}k^{-1}}{\beta}\right)\delta\left(\|\Phi_{\delta}\|_{\mathscr{L}^{p}(0,T)} + \|f\|_{\mathscr{L}^{p}(D)}\right). \end{split}$$
(63)

Data Availability

No data were used to support this study.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests. The corresponding author is a full-time member of the School of Mathematics, Iran University of Science and Technology, Narmak, Tehran, Iran

Authors' Contributions

All authors conceived of the study, participated in its design and coordination, drafted the manuscript, participated in the sequence alignment, and read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The author Le Dinh Long is supported by Van Lang University.

References

- F. M. Alharbia, D. Baleanu, and A. Ebaid, "Physical properties of the projectile motion using the conformable derivative," *Chinese Journal of Physics*, vol. 58, pp. 18–28, 2019.
- [2] A. A. Kilbas, O. I. Marichev, and S. G. Samko, Fractional Integrals and Derivatives (Theory and Applications), 1993.
- [3] N. H. Tuan, Y. E. Aghdam, H. Jafari, and H. Mesgarani, "A novel numerical manner for two-dimensional space fractional diffusion equation arising in transport phenomena,"

Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 1397–1406, 2021.

- [4] E. Karapinar, H. D. Binh, N. H. Luc, and N. H. Can, "On continuity of the fractional derivative of the time-fractional semilinear pseudo-parabolic systems," *Adv. Difference Equ.*, vol. 2021, no. 1, article 70, 2021.
- [5] R. S. Adigüzel, Ü. Aksoy, E. Karapinar, and İ. M. Erhan, "On the solution of a boundary value problem associated with a fractional differential equation," *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, 2020.
- [6] R. Sevinik-Adıgüzel, Ü. Aksoy, E. Karapınar, and İ. M. Erhan, "Uniqueness of solution for higher-order nonlinear fractional differential equations with multi-point and integral boundary conditions," *Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Serie A. Matemáticas*, vol. 115, no. 3, article 155, 2021.
- [7] R. S. Adiguzel, U. Aksoy, E. Karapinar, and I. M. Erhan, "On the solutions of fractional differential equations via Geraghty type hybrid contractions," *Applied and Computational Mathematics*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 313–333, 2021.
- [8] N. D. Phuong, "Note on a Allen-Cahn equation with Caputo-Fabrizio derivative," *Results in Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 179–185, 2021.
- [9] R. Khalil, M. Al Horani, A. Yousef, and M. Sababheh, "A new definition of fractional derivative," *Journal of Computational* and Applied Mathematics, vol. 264, pp. 65–70, 2014.
- [10] T. Abdeljawad, "On conformable fractional calculus," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 279, pp. 57–66, 2015.
- [11] A. Jaiswal and D. Bahuguna, "Semilinear conformable fractional differential equations in Banach spaces," *Differential Equations and Dynamical Systems*, vol. 27, no. 1-3, pp. 313– 325, 2019.
- [12] O. Acan, M. M. Al Qurashi, and D. Baleanu, "New exact solution of generalized biological population model," *The Journal* of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications, vol. 10, no. 7, pp. 3916–3929, 2017.
- [13] M. Bouaouid, K. Hilal, and S. Melliani, "Nonlocal telegraph equation in frame of the conformable time-fractional derivative," *Advances in Mathematical Physics*, vol. 2019, Article ID 7528937, 7 pages, 2019.
- [14] H. T. Nguyen, D. L. Le, and V. T. Nguyen, "Regularized solution of an inverse source problem for a time fractional diffusion equation," *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, vol. 40, no. 19-20, pp. 8244–8264, 2016.
- [15] A. Qian and Y. Li, "Optimal error bound and generalized Tikhonov regularization for identifying an unknown source in the heat equation," *Journal of Mathematical Chemistry*, vol. 49, no. 3, pp. 765–775, 2011.
- [16] S. Yang, X. Xiong, and Y. Nie, "Iterated fractional Tikhonov regularization method for solving the spherically symmetric backward time-fractional diffusion equation," *Applied Numerical Mathematics*, vol. 160, pp. 217–241, 2021.
- [17] F. Yang, J.-L. Fu, P. Fan, and X.-X. Li, "Fractional Landweber iterative regularization method for identifying the unknown source of the time-fractional diffusion problem," *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae*, vol. 175, no. 1, p. 13, 2021.
- [18] N. D. Phuong, N. H. Luc, and L. D. Long, "Modified quasi boundary value method for inverse source problem of the biparabolic equation," *Advances in Theory of Nonlinear Analysis and its Applications*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 132–142, 2020.

- [19] T. Wei and J. Wang, "A modified quasi-boundary value method for an inverse source problem of the time-fractional diffusion equation," *Applied Numerical Mathematics*, vol. 78,
- [20] F. Yang, P. Zhang, and X.-X. Li, "The truncation method for the Cauchy problem of the inhomogeneous Helmholtz equation," *Applicable Analysis*, vol. 98, no. 5, pp. 991–1004, 2019.

pp. 95-111, 2014.

- [21] N. H. Tuan, "On some inverse problem for bi-parabolic equation with observed data in L^p spaces," *Opuscula Mathematica*, vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 305–335, 2022.
- [22] N. H. Tuan and T. Caraballo, "On initial and terminal value problems for fractional nonclassical diffusion equations," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 149, no. 1, pp. 143–161, 2021.
- [23] N. H. Tuan, T. B. Ngoc, D. Baleanu, and D. O'Regan, "On wellposedness of the sub-diffusion equation with conformable derivative model," *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, vol. 89, article 105332, 2020.