

Research Article

Ulam Stability and Non-Stability of Additive Functional Equation in IFN-Spaces and 2-Banach Spaces by Different Methods

N. Uthirasamy ¹, K. Tamilvanan ² and Masho Jima Kabeto ³

¹Department of Mathematics, K.S. Rangasamy College of Technology, Tiruchengode 637 215, Tamil Nadu, India

²Department of Mathematics, School of Advanced Sciences, Kalasalingam Academy of Research and Education, Srivilliputhur 626 126, Tamil Nadu, India

³Department of Mathematics, College of Natural Sciences, Jimma University, Jimma, Ethiopia

Correspondence should be addressed to Masho Jima Kabeto; masho.jima@ju.edu.et

Received 27 December 2021; Accepted 31 January 2022; Published 9 March 2022

Academic Editor: Mikail Et

Copyright © 2022 N. Uthirasamy et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

This paper introduces a new dimension of an additive functional equation and obtains its general solution. The main goal of this study is to examine the Ulam stability of this equation in IFN-spaces (intuitionistic fuzzy normed spaces) with the help of direct and fixed point approaches and 2-Banach spaces. Also, we use an appropriate counterexample to demonstrate that the stability of this equation fails in a particular case.

1. Introduction

The study of stability problems for functional equations is one of the essential research areas in mathematics, which originated in issues related to applied mathematics. The first question concerning the stability of homomorphisms was given by Ulam [1] as follows.

Given a group $(G, *)$, a metric group (G', \cdot) with the metric d , and a mapping f from G and G' , does $\delta > 0$ exist such that

$$d(f(x * y), f(x) \cdot f(y)) \leq \delta, \quad (1)$$

for all $x, y \in G$. If such a mapping exists, then does a homomorphism $h: G \rightarrow G'$ exist such that

$$d(f(x), h(x)) \leq \varepsilon, \quad (2)$$

for all $x \in G$? Ulam defined such a problem in 1940 and solved it the following year for the Cauchy functional equation

$$\psi(u + v) = \psi(u) + \psi(v), \quad (3)$$

by the way of Hyers [2]. The consequence of Hyers becomes stretched out by Aoki [3] with the aid of assuming the unbounded Cauchy contrasts. Hyers theorem for additive mapping was investigated by Rassias [4], and then Rassias results were generalized by Gavruta [5].

As of late, Nakmahachalasint [6] gave the overall answer and HUR (briefly, Hyers–Ulam–Rassias) stability of finite variable functional equation; furthermore, Khodaei and Rassias [7] examined the stability of generalized additive functions in several variables. The stability result of additive functional equations was examined by means of Najati and Moghimi [8], Shin et al. [9], and Gordji [10]. Stability problems of various functional equations have been investigated by many researchers, and there are various interesting results about this problem (see [11–14]).

Zadeh [15] established the concept of fuzzy sets, which is a tool for demonstrating weakness and ambiguity in several scientific and technological problems. The possibility of IFN-spaces, from the start, has been presented in [16]. Saadati [17] have examined the modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces and proven some fixed point theorems in these spaces.

The IFN-spaces and IF2N-spaces (briefly, intuitionistic fuzzy 2-normed spaces) have been studied by a number of researchers [18–20]. Furthermore, several researchers have discussed the generalized Ulam–Hyers stability of various functional equations in IFN-spaces (see [21–24]).

In this current work, we present a new kind of additive functional equation:

$$\sum_{1 \leq a < b < c \leq s} \phi \left(-v_a - v_b - v_c + \sum_{d=1, d \neq a \neq b \neq c}^s v_d \right) - \left(\frac{s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12}{6} \right) \sum_{a=1}^s \left[\frac{\phi(v_a) - \phi(-v_a)}{2} \right] = 0, \quad (4)$$

where $s > 4$ is a fixed integer, and obtain its general solution. The main goal of this study is to examine the Ulam–Hyers stability of this equation in IFN-spaces with the help of direct and fixed point approaches and 2-Banach spaces by using the direct approach. Also, we use an appropriate counterexample to demonstrate that the stability of equation (4) fails in a particular case.

2. General Solution

Theorem 1. *If a mapping ϕ between two real vector spaces W and F satisfies functional equation (4), then the function ϕ is additive.*

$$D\phi(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s) = \sum_{1 \leq a < b < c \leq s} \phi \left(-v_a - v_b - v_c + \sum_{d=1, d \neq a \neq b \neq c}^s v_d \right) - \left(\frac{s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12}{6} \right) \sum_{a=1}^s \left[\frac{\phi(v_a) - \phi(-v_a)}{2} \right], \quad (9)$$

for all $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s \in W$.

3. Stability Results in IFN-Spaces

We can recall some basic notions and preliminaries from [25] and using the alternative fixed point theorem which are important results in fixed point theory [26].

Definition 1 (see [25]). Consider a membership degree μ and non-membership degree ν of an intuitionistic fuzzy set from $W \times (0, +\infty)$ to $[0, 1]$ such that $\mu_\nu(t) + \nu_\nu(t) \leq 1$ for all $\nu \in W$ and $t > 0$. The triple $(W, I_{\mu, \nu}, Y)$ is called as an Intuitionistic Fuzzy Normed-space (briefly, IFN-space) if a vector space W , a continuous t -representable Y and $I_{\mu, \nu}: W \times (0, +\infty) \rightarrow L^*$ satisfying $v_1, v_2 \in W$ and $t, s > 0$,

$$(IFN1) \quad I_{\mu, \nu}(v_1, 0) = 0_{L^*}.$$

$$(IFN2) \quad I_{\mu, \nu}(v_1, t) = 1_{L^*} \text{ if and only if } v_1 = 0.$$

$$(IFN3) \quad I_{\mu, \nu}(\alpha v_1, t) = I_{\mu, \nu}(v_1, (t/|\alpha|)), \text{ for all } \alpha \neq 0.$$

$$(IFN4) \quad I_{\mu, \nu}(v_1 + v_2, t + s) \geq_{L^*} Y(I_{\mu, \nu}(v_1, t), I_{\mu, \nu}(v_2, s)).$$

Proof. Setting $v_1 = \dots = v_s = 0$ in (4), we have $\phi(0) = 0$. Replacing (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s) by $(v, 0, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{(s-1)\text{-times}})$ in (4), we get

$\phi(-v) = -\phi(v)$ for all $v \in W$. Hence, ϕ is an odd function. Replacing $(v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_s)$ by $(v, v, \underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_{(s-2)\text{-times}})$ in (4), we have

$$\phi(2v) = 2\phi(v), \quad (5)$$

for all $v \in W$. Replacing v by $2v$ in (5), we have

$$\phi(2^2 v) = 2^2 \phi(v), \quad (6)$$

for all $v \in W$. Again, replacing v with $2v$ in (6), we get

$$\phi(2^3 v) = 2^3 \phi(v), \quad (7)$$

for all $v \in W$. In general, for any non-negative integer $a > 0$, we have

$$\phi(2^a v) = 2^a \phi(v), \quad (8)$$

for all $v \in W$. Replacing $(v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_s)$ by $(s, t, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{(s-2)\text{-times}})$ in (4), we obtain (3) for all $s, t \in W$. \square

Remark 1. If a mapping ϕ between two real vector spaces W and F satisfies functional equation (3), then the function ϕ satisfies additive functional equation (4), for all $v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_s \in W$.

For our notational handiness, we define a mapping $\phi: W \rightarrow F$ by

In this case, $I_{\mu, \nu}$ is called an intuitionistic fuzzy norm, where $I_{\mu, \nu}(v_1, t) = (\mu_{v_1}(t), \nu_{v_1}(t))$.

Definition 2 (see [25]). A sequence $\{v_m\}$ in W is called as a Cauchy sequence if for every $\epsilon > 0$ and $t > 0$, there exists m_0 such that

$$I_{\mu, \nu}(v_{m+p} - v_m, t) > 1 - \epsilon, \quad m \geq m_0, \quad (10)$$

for all $p > 0$.

Remark 2. In an intuitionistic fuzzy normed space, every convergent sequence is a Cauchy sequence.

If every Cauchy sequence is convergent, then the intuitionistic fuzzy normed space is called as complete.

Definition 3 (see [25]). A mapping ϕ between two IFN-spaces W and F is continuous at v_0 if for every $\{v_m\}$ converging to v_0 in W , the sequence $\phi\{v_m\}$ converges to $\phi\{v_0\}$. If

ϕ is continuous at each point $v_0 \in W$, then the mapping ϕ is called as a continuous mapping on W .

Example 1. Let $(W, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space. Let $T(a, b) = (a, b, \min(a_2 + b_2, 1))$ for all $a = (a_1, a_2); b = (b_1, b_2) \in L^*$ and μ, ν be membership and non-membership degree of an intuitionistic fuzzy set defined by

$$I_{\mu, \nu}(v, t) = (\mu_v(t), \nu_v(t)) = \left(\frac{t}{t + \|v\|}, \frac{\|v\|}{t + \|v\|} \right), t \in \mathbb{R}^+. \quad (11)$$

Then, $(W, I_{\mu, \nu}, T)$ is an IFN-space.

Theorem 2 (see [26]). Let (W, d) be a generalized complete metric space and a strictly contractive mapping $M: W \rightarrow W$ with Lipschitz constant $L < 1$. Then, for all $v_1 \in W$, either

$$d(M^m v_1, M^{m+1} v_1) = \infty, \quad m \geq m_0, \quad (12)$$

or there exists a positive integer m_0 such that

- (i) $d(M^m v_1, M^{m+1} v_1) < \infty, m \geq m_0$.
- (ii) The sequence $\{M^m v_1\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to a fixed point v_1^* of M .
- (iii) v_1^* is the unique fixed point of M in $W^* = \{v_2 \in W \mid d(M^{m_0} v_1, v_2) < \infty\}$.

$$(iv) d(v_2, v_1^*) \leq (1/1 - L)d(Mv_2, v_2), \text{ for all } v_2 \in W^*.$$

3.1. Stability Results: Direct Technique. In this section, we assume that $W, (Z, I'_{\mu, \nu}, Y)$, and $(F, I_{\mu, \nu}, Y)$ are linear space, IFN-space, and complete IFN-space, respectively.

Theorem 3. If a mapping $\varphi: W^s \rightarrow Z$ with $0 < (c/2) < 1$, $I'_{\mu, \nu}(\varphi(2v, 2v, 0, \dots, 0), \epsilon) \geq L^* I'_{\mu, \nu}(c\varphi(v, v, 0, \dots, 0), \epsilon)$, (13)

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} I'_{\mu, \nu}(\varphi(2^k v_1, 2^k v_2, \dots, 2^k v_s), 2^k \epsilon) = 1_{L^*}, \quad (14)$$

for all $v, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s \in W$ and all $\epsilon > 0$. If a mapping $\phi: W \rightarrow F$ satisfies

$$I_{\mu, \nu}(D\phi(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s), \epsilon) \geq L^* I'_{\mu, \nu}(\varphi(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s), \epsilon), \quad (15)$$

for all $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s \in W$ and all $\epsilon > 0$, then the limit

$$I_{\mu, \nu} \left(A_1(v) - \frac{\phi(2^k v)}{2^k}, \epsilon \right) \rightarrow 1_{L^*} \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty, \quad (16)$$

exists and there exists a unique additive mapping $A_1: W \rightarrow F$ satisfying functional equation (4) and

$$I_{\mu, \nu}(\phi(v) - A_1(v), \epsilon) \geq L^* I'_{\mu, \nu} \left(\varphi(v, v, 0, \dots, 0), \left(\frac{s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12}{6} \right) \epsilon (2 - c) \right), \quad (17)$$

for all $v \in W$ and all $\epsilon > 0$.

Proof. Fix $v \in W$ and all $\epsilon > 0$. Replacing (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s) by $(v, v, 0, \dots, 0)$ in (15), we have

$$I_{\mu, \nu} \left(\left(\frac{s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12}{6} \right) \phi(2v) - \left(\frac{2(s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)}{6} \right) \phi(v), \epsilon \right) \geq L^* I'_{\mu, \nu}(\varphi(v, v, 0, \dots, 0), \epsilon). \quad (18)$$

Replacing v by $2^k v$ in (18) and using (IFN3), we obtain

$$I_{\mu, \nu} \left(\frac{\phi(2^{k+1} v)}{2} - \phi(2^k v), \left(\frac{6\epsilon}{2(s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)} \right) \right) \geq L^* I'_{\mu, \nu}(\varphi(2^k v, 2^k v, 0, \dots, 0), \epsilon). \quad (19)$$

By the inequality (13) and (IFN3) in (19), we have

$$I_{\mu, \nu} \left(\frac{\phi(2^{k+1} v)}{2} - \phi(2^k v), \left(\frac{6\epsilon}{2(s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)} \right) \right) \geq L^* I'_{\mu, \nu} \left(\varphi(v, v, 0, \dots, 0), \frac{\epsilon}{c^k} \right). \quad (20)$$

Clearly, we can show from inequality (20) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& I_{\mu,\nu} \left(\frac{\phi(2^{k+1}\nu)}{2^{k+1}} - \frac{\phi(2^k\nu)}{2^k}, \left(\frac{6\epsilon}{2^{k+1}(s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)} \right) \right) \\
& \geq_{L^*} I'_{\mu,\nu} \left(\varphi(\nu, \nu, 0, \dots, 0), \frac{\epsilon}{\zeta^k} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Replacing ϵ by $\zeta^k\epsilon$ in (21), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& I_{\mu,\nu} \left(\frac{\phi(2^{k+1}\nu)}{2^{k+1}} - \frac{\phi(2^k\nu)}{2^k}, \left(\frac{6\zeta^k\epsilon}{2^{k+1}(s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)} \right) \right) \\
& \geq_{L^*} I'_{\mu,\nu}(\varphi(\nu, \nu, 0, \dots, 0), \epsilon).
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& I_{\mu,\nu} \left(\frac{\phi(2^k\nu)}{2^k} - \phi(\nu), \sum_{a=0}^{k-1} \frac{6\zeta^a\epsilon}{2^{a+1}(s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)} \right) \\
& \geq_{L^*} Y_{a=0}^{k-1} \left\{ I'_{\mu,\nu} \left(\frac{\phi(2^{a+1}\nu)}{2^{a+1}} - \frac{\phi(2^a\nu)}{2^a}, \frac{6\zeta^a\epsilon}{2^{a+1}(s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)} \right) \right\} \\
& \geq_{L^*} Y_{a=0}^{k-1} \{ I'_{\mu,\nu}(\varphi(\nu, \nu, 0, \dots, 0), \epsilon) \} \\
& \geq_{L^*} I'_{\mu,\nu}(\varphi(\nu, \nu, 0, \dots, 0), \epsilon),
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

for all $\nu \in W$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Replacing ν by $2^t\nu$ in (24) and with the help of (13), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& I_{\mu,\nu} \left(\frac{\phi(2^{k+t}\nu)}{2^{k+t}} - \frac{\phi(2^t\nu)}{2^t}, \sum_{a=0}^{k-1} \frac{6\zeta^a\epsilon}{2^{a+t}2(s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)} \right) \\
& \geq_{L^*} I'_{\mu,\nu} \left(\varphi(\nu, \nu, 0, \dots, 0), \frac{\epsilon}{\zeta^t} \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Clearly,

$$\frac{\phi(2^k\nu)}{2^k} - \phi(\nu) = \sum_{a=0}^{k-1} \frac{\phi(2^{a+1}\nu)}{2^{a+1}} - \frac{\phi(2^a\nu)}{2^a}. \tag{23}$$

It follows from (22) and (23) that

for every $t, k \geq 0$. Replacing ϵ by $\zeta^t\epsilon$ in (25), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& I_{\mu,\nu} \left(\frac{\phi(2^{k+t}\nu)}{2^{k+t}} - \frac{\phi(2^t\nu)}{2^t}, \sum_{a=t}^{k+t-1} \frac{6\zeta^a\epsilon}{2^{a+1}(s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)} \right) \\
& \geq_{L^*} I'_{\mu,\nu}(\varphi(\nu, \nu, 0, \dots, 0), \epsilon).
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Using (IFN3) in (26), we obtain

$$I_{\mu,\nu} \left(\frac{\phi(2^{k+t}\nu)}{2^{k+t}} - \frac{\phi(2^t\nu)}{2^t}, \epsilon \right) \geq_{L^*} I'_{\mu,\nu} \left(\varphi(\nu, \nu, 0, \dots, 0), \frac{\epsilon}{\sum_{a=t}^{k+t-1} (6\zeta^a/2^a 2(s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12))} \right), \tag{27}$$

for all $t, k \geq 0$. Since $0 < \zeta < 2$ and $\sum_{a=0}^k (\zeta/2)^a < \infty$, the Cauchy criterion for convergence in IFNS shows that $\{\phi(2^k\nu)/2^k\}$ is Cauchy sequence in $(F, I_{\mu,\nu}, \Upsilon)$. Since $(F, I_{\mu,\nu}, \Upsilon)$ is a complete, this sequence converges to some point $A_1(\nu) \in F$. Then, we can define the mapping $A_1: W \rightarrow F$ by

$$I_{\mu,\nu} \left(A_1(\nu) - \frac{\phi(2^k\nu)}{2^k} \right) \rightarrow 1_{L^*} \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \tag{28}$$

Setting $t = 0$ in inequality (29), we obtain

$$I_{\mu,\nu} \left(\frac{\phi(2^k \nu)}{2^k} - \phi(\nu), \epsilon \right) \geq_{L^*} I'_{\mu,\nu} \left(\varphi(\nu, \nu, 0, \dots, 0), \frac{\epsilon}{\sum_{a=0}^{k-1} (6\zeta^a / 2^a 2(s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12))} \right). \tag{29}$$

Taking the limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (29), we obtain

$$I_{\mu,\nu}(\phi(\nu) - A_1(\nu), \epsilon) \geq_{L^*} I'_{\mu,\nu} \left(\varphi(\nu, \nu, 0, \dots, 0), \left(\frac{s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12}{6} \right) \epsilon(2 - \zeta) \right). \tag{30}$$

Next, we want to prove that the function A_1 satisfies functional equation (4); replacing $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_s)$ by $(2^k \nu_1, 2^k \nu_2, \dots, 2^k \nu_s)$ in (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{\mu,\nu} \left(\frac{1}{2^k} D\phi(2^k \nu_1, \dots, 2^k \nu_s), \epsilon \right) \\ & \geq_{L^*} I'_{\mu,\nu}(\varphi(2^k \nu_1, \dots, 2^k \nu_s), 2^k \epsilon), \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

for all $\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_s \in W$ and all $\epsilon > 0$. Since

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} I'_{\mu,\nu}(\varphi(2^k \nu_1, 2^k \nu_2, \dots, 2^k \nu_s), 2^k \epsilon) = 1_{L^*}, \tag{32}$$

the function A_1 satisfies functional equation (4). Thus, the function A_1 is additive. Finally, we want to prove that the function A_1 is unique; consider another additive mapping $A_2: W \rightarrow F$ satisfying functional equations (4) and (17). Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mu,\nu}(A_1(\nu) - A_2(\nu), \epsilon) &= I_{\mu,\nu} \left(\frac{A_1(2^k \nu)}{2^k} - \frac{A_2(2^k \nu)}{2^k}, \epsilon \right) \geq_{L^*} \\ & Y \left\{ I_{\mu,\nu} \left(\frac{A_1(2^k \nu)}{2^k} - \frac{\phi(2^k \nu)}{2^k}, \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right), I_{\mu,\nu} \left(\frac{\phi(2^k \nu)}{2^k} - \frac{A_2(2^k \nu)}{2^k}, \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right) \right\} \\ & \geq_{L^*} I'_{\mu,\nu} \left(\varphi(2^k \nu, 2^k \nu, 0, \dots, 0), \frac{(s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)2^k \epsilon(2 - \zeta)}{12} \right) \\ & \geq_{L^*} I'_{\mu,\nu} \left(\varphi(\nu, \nu, 0, \dots, 0), \frac{(s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)2^k \epsilon(2 - \zeta)}{12\zeta^k} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

for all $\nu \in W$ and all $\epsilon > 0$. As

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)2^k \epsilon(2 - \zeta)}{12\zeta^k} = \infty, \tag{34}$$

we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} I'_{\mu,\nu} \left(\varphi(\nu, \nu, 0, \dots, 0), \frac{(s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)2^k \epsilon(2 - \zeta)}{12\zeta^k} \right) = 1_{L^*}. \tag{35}$$

Thus, $I_{\mu,\nu}(A_1(\nu) - A_2(\nu), \epsilon) = 1_{L^*}$.

Therefore, $A_1(\nu) = A_2(\nu)$. Thus, the additive function $A_1(\nu)$ is unique. This ends the proof. \square

$$I'_{\mu,\nu}(\varphi(2^{-1} \nu, 2^{-1} \nu, 0, \dots, 0), \epsilon) \geq_{L^*} I'_{\mu,\nu} \left(\frac{1}{\zeta} \varphi(\nu, \nu, 0, \dots, 0), \epsilon \right), \tag{36}$$

Theorem 4. If a mapping $\varphi: W^s \rightarrow Z$ with $0 < (2/\zeta) < 1$,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} I'_{\mu,\nu}(\varphi(2^{-k} \nu_1, 2^{-k} \nu_2, \dots, 2^{-k} \nu_s), 2^{-k} \epsilon) = 1_{L^*}, \tag{37}$$

for all $v, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s \in W$ and all $\epsilon > 0$. If a mapping $\phi: E \rightarrow F$ satisfies (15), then the limit $I_{\mu, \nu}(A_1(v) - 2^k \phi(v/2^k), \epsilon) \rightarrow 1_{L^*}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ exists and

$$I_{\mu, \nu}(\phi(v) - A_1(v), \epsilon) \geq_{L^*} I'_{\mu, \nu}\left(\varphi(v, v, 0, \dots, 0), \left(\frac{s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12}{6}\right)\epsilon(\varsigma - 2)\right), \quad (38)$$

for all $v \in W$ and all $\epsilon > 0$.

there exists a unique additive mapping $A_1: W \rightarrow F$ satisfying functional equation (4) and

Proof. Fix $v \in W$ and all $\epsilon > 0$. Replacing (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s) by $(v, v, 0, \dots, 0)$ in (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mu, \nu}\left(\left(\frac{s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12}{6}\right)\phi(2v) - \left(\frac{2(s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)}{6}\right)\phi(v), \epsilon\right) \\ \geq_{L^*} I'_{\mu, \nu}(\varphi(v, v, 0, \dots, 0), \epsilon). \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

From (39), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mu, \nu}\left(\phi(2v) - 2\phi(v), \frac{6\epsilon}{2(s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)}\right) \\ \geq_{L^*} I'_{\mu, \nu}(\varphi(v, v, 0, \dots, 0), \epsilon). \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Replacing v by $v/2$ in (40), we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mu, \nu}\left(\phi(v) - 2\phi\left(\frac{v}{2}\right), \frac{6\epsilon}{2(s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)}\right) \\ \geq_{L^*} I'_{\mu, \nu}\left(\varphi\left(\frac{v}{2}, \frac{v}{2}, 0, \dots, 0\right), \epsilon\right). \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Replacing v by $v/2^k$ in (41) and using (IFN3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mu, \nu}\left(\phi\left(\frac{v}{2^k}\right) - 2\phi\left(\frac{v}{2^{k+1}}\right), \frac{6\epsilon}{2(s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)}\right) \\ \geq_{L^*} I'_{\mu, \nu}\left(\varphi\left(\frac{v}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{v}{2^{k+1}}, 0, \dots, 0\right)\right). \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

With the help of inequality (36) and (IFN3) in (42), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mu, \nu}\left(\phi\left(\frac{v}{2^k}\right) - 2\phi\left(\frac{v}{2^{k+1}}\right), \frac{6\epsilon}{2(s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)}\right) \\ \geq_{L^*} I'_{\mu, \nu}(\varphi(v, v, 0, \dots, 0), \epsilon\varsigma^{k+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

The remaining part of the proof can be proven in the same way as Theorem 3. \square

Corollary 1. Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^+$. If a mapping $\phi: W \rightarrow F$ such that

$$I_{\mu, \nu}(D\phi(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s), \epsilon) \geq_{L^*} I'_{\mu, \nu}(\theta, \epsilon), \quad (44)$$

for all $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s \in W$ and all $\epsilon > 0$, then there exists a unique additive mapping $A_1: W \rightarrow F$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mu, \nu}(\phi(v) - A_1(v), \epsilon) \\ \geq_{L^*} I'_{\mu, \nu}(6\theta, |2 - 1|\epsilon(s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)), \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

for all $v \in W$ and all $\epsilon > 0$.

Proof. The proof holds from Theorems 3 and 4 by letting $\varphi(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s) = \theta$ and $\varsigma = 2^0$. \square

Corollary 2. Let $\theta, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^+$ with $\xi \in (0, 1) \cup (1, +\infty)$. If a mapping $\phi: W \rightarrow F$ such that

$$I_{\mu, \nu}(D\phi(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s), \epsilon) \geq_{L^*} I'_{\mu, \nu}\left(\theta \sum_{a=1}^s \|v_a\|^\xi, \epsilon\right), \quad (46)$$

for all $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s \in W$ and all $\epsilon > 0$, then there exists a unique additive mapping $A_1: W \rightarrow F$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mu, \nu}(\phi(v) - A_1(v), \epsilon) \\ \geq_{L^*} I'_{\mu, \nu}\left(12\theta \|v\|^\xi, |2 - 2^\xi|(s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)\epsilon\right), \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

for all $v \in W$ and all $\epsilon > 0$.

Proof. The proof holds from Theorems 3 and 4 by setting $\varphi(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s) = \theta \sum_{a=1}^s \|v_a\|^\xi$ and $\varsigma = 2^\xi$. \square

Corollary 3. Let $\theta, \xi, \gamma, \tau \in \mathbb{R}^+$ with $s\xi, s\tau \in (0, 1) \cup (1, +\infty)$. If a mapping $\phi: W \rightarrow F$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mu, \nu}(D\phi(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s), \epsilon) \\ \geq_{L^*} I'_{\mu, \nu}\left(\theta \sum_{a=1}^s \|v_a\|^{s\xi} + \gamma \prod_{a=1}^s \|v_a\|^\tau, \epsilon\right), \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

for all $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s \in W$ and all $\epsilon > 0$, then there exists a unique additive mapping $A_1: W \rightarrow F$ satisfying

$$I_{\mu,\nu}(\phi(v) - A_1(v), \epsilon) \geq_{L^*} I'_{\mu,\nu} \left(12\theta \|v\|^{s\xi}, |2 - 2^{s\xi}| (s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)\epsilon \right), \quad (49)$$

for all $v \in W$ and all $\epsilon > 0$.

Proof. The proof holds from Theorems 3 and 4 by setting $\varphi(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s) = \theta \sum_{a=1}^s \|v_a\|^{s\xi} + \gamma \prod_{a=1}^s \|v_a\|^\tau$ and $\zeta = 2^{s\xi}$. \square

Corollary 4. Let $\gamma, \tau \in \mathbb{R}^+$ with $0 < s\tau \neq 1$. If a mapping $\phi: W \rightarrow F$ such that

$$I_{\mu,\nu}(D\phi(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s), \epsilon) \geq_{L^*} I'_{\mu,\nu} \left(\gamma \prod_{a=1}^s \|v_a\|^\tau, \epsilon \right), \quad (50)$$

for all $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s \in W$ and all $\epsilon > 0$, then the mapping ϕ is additive.

Proof. The proof is valid from Theorems 3 and 4 by setting $\varphi(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s) = \gamma \prod_{a=1}^s \|v_a\|^\tau$. \square

3.2. Stability Results: Fixed Point Technique. Before we begin, let us consider a constant β_a such that

$$\beta_a = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } a = 0, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } a = 1, \end{cases} \quad (51)$$

$$\zeta(n_1, n_2) = \inf \{ t \in (0, \infty) \mid I_{\mu,\nu}(n_1(v) - n_2(v), \epsilon) \geq_{L^*} I'_{\mu,\nu}(t\eta(v), \epsilon), v \in W, \epsilon > 0 \}. \quad (55)$$

Clearly, (Ψ, ζ) is complete. Define a mapping $Y: \Psi \rightarrow \Psi$ by $Yn_1(v) = (1/\beta_a)n_1(\beta_a v)$, for all $v \in W$. For $n_1, n_2 \in \Psi$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(n_1, n_2) &\leq t, \\ &\Rightarrow I_{\mu,\nu}(n_1(v) - n_2(v), \epsilon) \geq_{L^*} I'_{\mu,\nu}(t\eta(v), \epsilon) \\ &\Rightarrow I_{\mu,\nu} \left(\frac{n_1(\beta_a v)}{\beta_a} - \frac{n_2(\beta_a v)}{\beta_a}, \epsilon \right) \geq_{L^*} I'_{\mu,\nu} \left(\frac{t\eta(\beta_a v)}{\beta_a}, \epsilon \right) \\ &\Rightarrow I_{\mu,\nu}(Yn_1(v) - Yn_2(v), \epsilon) \geq_{L^*} I'_{\mu,\nu}(tL\eta(v), \epsilon) \\ &\Rightarrow \zeta(Yn_1(v), Yn_2(v)) \leq tL \\ &\Rightarrow \zeta(Yn_1, Yn_2) \leq L\zeta(n_1, n_2). \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

Thus, the function Y is strictly contractive on Ψ with L (Lipschitz constant). Replacing (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s) by $(v, v, 0, \dots, 0)$ in (15), we have

and Ψ is the set such that $\Psi = \{n_1 \mid n_1: W \rightarrow F, n_1(0) = 0\}$.

Theorem 5. Consider a mapping $\phi: W \rightarrow F$ for which there is a mapping $\varphi: W^s \rightarrow Z$ with

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} I'_{\mu,\nu}(\varphi(2^l v_1, 2^l v_2, \dots, 2^l v_s), 2^l \epsilon) = 1_{L^*}, \quad (52)$$

satisfying functional inequality (15). If there is $L = L(a)$ such that $v \rightarrow \eta(v) = 6/(s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)\varphi((v/2), (v/2), 0, \dots, 0)$ has the property

$$I'_{\mu,\nu} \left(L \frac{1}{\beta_a} \eta(\beta_a v), \epsilon \right) = I'_{\mu,\nu}(\eta(v), \epsilon), \quad (53)$$

then there exists a unique additive mapping $A_1: W \rightarrow F$ satisfying functional equation (4) and

$$I_{\mu,\nu}(\phi(v) - A_1(v), \epsilon) \geq_{L^*} I'_{\mu,\nu} \left(\frac{L^{1-a}}{1-L} \eta(v), \epsilon \right), \quad (54)$$

for all $v \in W$ and all $\epsilon > 0$.

Proof. Let ζ be a general metric on Ψ :

$$I_{\mu,\nu} \left(\left(\frac{s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12}{6} \right) \phi(2\nu) - \left(\frac{2(s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)}{6} \right) \phi(\nu), \epsilon \right) \geq_{L^*} I'_{\mu,\nu}(\varphi(\nu, \nu, 0, \dots, 0), \epsilon). \quad (57)$$

Using (IFN3) in (57), we have

$$I_{\mu,\nu} \left(\frac{\phi(2\nu)}{2} - \phi(\nu), \epsilon \right) \geq_{L^*} I'_{\mu,\nu} \left(\left(\frac{6}{2(s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)} \right) \varphi(\nu, \nu, 0, \dots, 0), \epsilon \right). \quad (58)$$

Using equation (53) for the case $a = 0$, we have

$$I_{\mu,\nu} \left(\frac{\phi(2\nu)}{2} - \phi(\nu), \epsilon \right) \geq_{L^*} I'_{\mu,\nu}(L\eta(\nu), \epsilon) \Rightarrow \varsigma(Y\phi, \phi) \leq L = L^1 = L^{1-a}. \quad (59)$$

Replacing ν by $(\nu/2)$ in (57), we have

$$I_{\mu,\nu} \left(\phi(\nu) - 2\phi\left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right), \epsilon \right) \geq_{L^*} I'_{\mu,\nu} \left(\left(\frac{6}{(s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)} \right) \varphi\left(\frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{\nu}{2}, 0, \dots, 0\right), \epsilon \right), \quad (60)$$

for all $\nu \in W$ and all $\epsilon > 0$; using (53) for the case $a = 1$, we have

$$I_{\mu,\nu} \left(\phi(\nu) - 2\phi\left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right), \epsilon \right) \geq_{L^*} I'_{\mu,\nu}(\eta(\nu), \epsilon) \Rightarrow \varsigma(\phi, Y\phi) \leq 1 = L^0 = L^{1-a}. \quad (61)$$

We can conclude from equations (59) and (61) that

$$\varsigma(\phi, Y\phi) \leq L^{1-a} < \infty. \quad (62)$$

By the fixed point alternative in both cases, there is a fixed point A_1 of Y in Ψ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} I_{\mu,\nu} \left(\frac{\phi(\beta_a^k \nu)}{\beta_a^k} - A_1(\nu), \epsilon \right) \rightarrow 1_{L^*}, \quad \nu \in W, \epsilon > 0. \quad (63)$$

Replacing $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_s)$ by $(\beta_a \nu_1, \beta_a \nu_2, \dots, \beta_a \nu_s)$ in (15), we obtain

$$I_{\mu,\nu} \left(\frac{1}{\beta_a} D\phi(\beta_a \nu_1, \beta_a \nu_2, \dots, \beta_a \nu_s), \epsilon \right) \geq_{L^*} I'_{\mu,\nu}(\varphi(\beta_a \nu_1, \beta_a \nu_2, \dots, \beta_a \nu_s), \beta_a \epsilon), \quad (64)$$

for all $\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_s \in W$ and all $\epsilon > 0$. By same manner of Theorem 3, we can show that the function A_1 satisfies functional equation (4). By Theorem 2, as A_1 is a unique fixed point of Y in $\Delta = \{\phi \in \Psi \mid \varsigma(\phi, A_1) < \infty\}$, the function A_1 is unique such that

$$I_{\mu,\nu}(A_1(\nu) - \phi(\nu), \epsilon) \geq_{L^*} I'_{\mu,\nu}(t\eta(\nu), \epsilon), \quad t > 0. \quad (65)$$

Using fixed point alternative, we reach

$$\begin{aligned} \varsigma(\phi, A_1) &\leq \frac{1}{1-L} \varsigma(\phi, Y\phi) \\ &\Rightarrow \varsigma(\phi, A_1) \leq \frac{L^{1-a}}{1-L} \\ &\Rightarrow I_{\mu,\nu}(\phi(\nu) - A_1(\nu), \epsilon) \geq_{L^*} I'_{\mu,\nu} \left(\frac{L^{1-a}}{1-L} \eta(\nu), \epsilon \right), \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

for all $\nu \in W$ and all $\epsilon > 0$. Hence, the proof of the theorem is now completed. \square

Corollary 5. Let $\theta, \xi \in \mathbb{R}_+$ with $\theta > 0$. If a mapping $\phi: W \rightarrow F$ such that

$$I_{\mu,\nu}(D\phi(\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_s), \epsilon) \geq_{L^*} \begin{cases} I'_{\mu,\nu}(\theta, \epsilon), \\ I'_{\mu,\nu} \left(\theta \sum_{j=1}^s \|v_j\|^\xi, \epsilon \right), \\ I'_{\mu,\nu} \left(\theta \left(\prod_{j=1}^s \|v_j\|^\xi + \sum_{j=1}^s \|v_j\|^{s\xi} \right), \epsilon \right), \end{cases} \quad (67)$$

for all $\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_s \in W$ and $\epsilon > 0$, then there exists a unique additive mapping $A_1: W \rightarrow F$ satisfying

$$I_{\mu,\nu}(\phi(v) - A_1(v), \epsilon) \geq_{L^*} \begin{cases} I'_{\mu,\nu}(16|\theta, (s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)\epsilon), \\ I'_{\mu,\nu}(12\theta\|v\|^s, (s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)|2 - 2^\xi|\epsilon), \xi < 1 \text{ or } \xi > 1, \\ I'_{\mu,\nu}(12\theta\|v\|^s, (s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)|2 - 2^{s\xi}|\epsilon), \xi < \frac{1}{s} \text{ or } \xi > \frac{1}{s}, \end{cases} \quad (68)$$

for all $v \in W$ and all $\epsilon > 0$.

Then,

Proof. Set

$$\varphi(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s) = \begin{cases} \theta, \\ \theta \sum_{j=1}^s \|v_j\|^\xi, \\ \theta \left(\prod_{j=1}^s \|v_j\|^\xi + \sum_{j=1}^s \|v_j\|^{s\xi} \right). \end{cases} \quad (69)$$

$$I_{\mu,\nu}'(\varphi(\beta_a^l v_1, \beta_a^l v_2, \dots, \beta_a^l v_s), \beta_a^l \epsilon) = \begin{cases} I'_{\mu,\nu}(\theta, (\beta_a)^l \epsilon), \\ I'_{\mu,\nu} \left(\theta \sum_{j=1}^s \|v_j\|^\xi, (\beta_a^{1-\xi})^l \epsilon \right), \\ I'_{\mu,\nu} \left(\theta \left(\prod_{j=1}^s \|v_j\|^\xi + \sum_{j=1}^s \|v_j\|^{s\xi} \right), (\beta_a^{1-s\xi})^l \epsilon \right), \end{cases} \quad (70)$$

$$= \begin{cases} \rightarrow 1_{L^*} \text{ as } l \rightarrow \infty, \\ \rightarrow 1_{L^*} \text{ as } l \rightarrow \infty, \\ \rightarrow 1_{L^*} \text{ as } l \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases}$$

Thus, (52) holds. But we have that

$$\eta(v) = \frac{6}{(s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)} \varphi\left(\frac{v}{2}, \frac{v}{2}, 0, \dots, 0\right), \quad (71)$$

$$I_{\mu,\nu}'\left(L \frac{1}{\beta_a} \eta(\beta_a v), \epsilon\right) \geq_{L^*} I'_{\mu,\nu}(\eta(v), \epsilon), \quad v \in W, \epsilon > 0. \quad (72)$$

Hence,

has the property

$$I_{\mu,\nu}'(\eta(v), \epsilon) = I'_{\mu,\nu} \left(\frac{6}{(s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)} \varphi\left(\frac{v}{2}, \frac{v}{2}, 0, \dots, 0\right), \epsilon \right)$$

$$= \begin{cases} I'_{\mu,\nu}(6\theta, (s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)\epsilon), \\ I'_{\mu,\nu} \left(\frac{12\theta}{2^\xi} \|v\|^\xi, (s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)\epsilon \right), \\ I'_{\mu,\nu} \left(\frac{12\theta}{2^{s\xi}} \|v\|^{s\xi}, (s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)\epsilon \right). \end{cases} \quad (73)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 I'_{\mu,\nu}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_a}\eta(\beta_a v), \epsilon\right) &= \begin{cases} I'_{\mu,\nu}\left(\frac{6\theta}{\beta_a}, (s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)\epsilon\right), \\ I'_{\mu,\nu}\left(\frac{12\theta}{2^\xi \beta_a} \|\beta_a v\|^\xi, (s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)\epsilon\right), \\ I'_{\mu,\nu}\left(\frac{12\theta}{2^{s\xi} \beta_a} \|\beta_a v\|^{s\xi}, (s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)\epsilon\right), \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} I'_{\mu,\nu}(\beta_a^{-1}\eta(v), \epsilon), \\ I'_{\mu,\nu}(\beta_a^{\xi-1}\eta(v), \epsilon), \\ I'_{\mu,\nu}(\beta_a^{s\xi-1}\eta(v), \epsilon). \end{cases}
 \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

From inequality (53), we can verify the following cases for conditions of β_a . \square

Case 1. $L = 2^{-1}$ if $a = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{\mu,\nu}(\phi(v) - A_1(v), \epsilon) &\geq L^* I'_{\mu,\nu}\left(\frac{2^{-1}}{1 - 2^{-1}}\eta(v), \epsilon\right) \\
 &= I'_{\mu,\nu}(6\theta, (s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)\epsilon).
 \end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

Case 2. $L = 2$ if $a = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{\mu,\nu}(\phi(v) - A_1(v), \epsilon) &\geq L^* I'_{\mu,\nu}\left(\frac{1}{1 - 2}\eta(v), \epsilon\right) \\
 &= I'_{\mu,\nu}(-6\theta, (s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)\epsilon).
 \end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

Case 3. $L = 2^{\xi-1}$ for $\xi < 1$ if $a = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{\mu,\nu}(\phi(v) - A_1(v), \epsilon) &\geq L^* I'_{\mu,\nu}\left(\frac{2^{\xi-1}}{1 - 2^{\xi-1}}\eta(v), \epsilon\right) \\
 &= I'_{\mu,\nu}(12\theta\|v\|^\xi, (s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12) \\
 &\quad \cdot (2 - 2^\xi)\epsilon).
 \end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

Case 4. $L = 2^{1-\xi}$ for $\xi > 1$ if $a = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{\mu,\nu}(\phi(v) - A_1(v), \epsilon) &\geq L^* I'_{\mu,\nu}\left(\frac{1}{1 - 2^{1-\xi}}\eta(v), \epsilon\right) \\
 &= I'_{\mu,\nu}(12\theta\|v\|^\xi, (s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12) \\
 &\quad \cdot (2^\xi - 2)\epsilon).
 \end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

Case 5. $L = 2^{s\xi-1}$ for $\xi < (1/s)$ if $a = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{\mu,\nu}(\phi(v) - A_1(v), \epsilon) &\geq L^* I'_{\mu,\nu}\left(\frac{2^{s\xi-1}}{1 - 2^{s\xi-1}}\eta(v), \epsilon\right) \\
 &= I'_{\mu,\nu}(12\theta\|v\|^{s\xi}, (s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12) \\
 &\quad \cdot (2 - 2^{s\xi})\epsilon).
 \end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

Case 6. $L = 2^{1-s\xi}$ for $\xi < (1/s)$ if $a = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{\mu,\nu}(\phi(v) - A_1(v), \epsilon) &\geq L^* I'_{\mu,\nu}\left(\frac{1}{1 - 2^{1-s\xi}}\eta(v), \epsilon\right) \\
 &= I'_{\mu,\nu}(12\theta\|v\|^{s\xi}, (s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12) \\
 &\quad \cdot (2^{s\xi} - 2)\epsilon).
 \end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

4. Stability Results in 2-Banach Spaces

In 1960, Gahler [27, 28] developed the concept of linear 2-normed spaces.

Definition 4. Consider a linear space W over \mathbb{R} with dimension $W > 1$ and consider a mapping $\|\cdot, \cdot\|: W^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the following conditions:

- (a) $\|r, s\| = 0$ if and only if r and s are linearly dependent.
- (b) $\|r, s\| = \|s, r\|$,
- (c) $\|\lambda r, s\| = |\lambda| \|r, s\|$,
- (d) $\|r, s + w\| \leq \|r, s\| + \|r, w\|$, for all $r, s, w \in W$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then, the function $\|\cdot, \cdot\|$ is called as a 2-norm on W and the pair $(W, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is called as a linear 2-normed space. A typical example of 2-normed space is \mathbb{R}^2 with 2-norm defined as $|r, s|$ = the area of the triangle with the vertices $0, r$, and s is a typical example of a 2-normed space.

As a result of (d), it follows that

$$\|r + s, w\| \leq \|r, w\| + \|s, w\| \text{ and } |\|r, w\| - \|s, w\|| \leq \|r - s, w\|. \tag{81}$$

Thus, $r \rightarrow \|r, s\|$ are continuous mappings of W into \mathbb{R} for any fixed $s \in W$.

Definition 5. A sequence $\{r_j\}$ in a linear 2-normed space W is known as a Cauchy sequence if there exist two points $s, w \in W$ such that s and w are linearly independent.

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{i,j \rightarrow \infty} \|r_i - r_j, s\| &= 0, \\ \lim_{i,j \rightarrow \infty} \|r_i - r_j, w\| &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

Definition 6. A sequence $\{r_j\}$ in a linear 2-normed space W is called as a convergent sequence if there exists an element $r \in W$ such that

$$\lim_{i,j \rightarrow \infty} \|r_j - r, s\| = 0, \tag{83}$$

for all $s \in W$. If $\{r_j\}$ converges to r , then we denote $r_j \rightarrow r$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ and say that r is the limit point of $\{r_j\}$. We also write in this instance

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} r_j = r. \tag{84}$$

Definition 7. A 2-Banach space is a linear 2-normed space in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

Lemma 1 (see [29]). *Let $(W, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be a linear 2-normed space. If $r \in W$ and $\|r, s\| = 0$ for every $s \in W$, then $r = 0$.*

Lemma 2 (see [29]). *For a convergent sequence $\{r_j\}$ in a linear 2-normed space W ,*

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|r_j, w\| = \left\| \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} r_j, s \right\|, \tag{85}$$

for every $s \in W$.

Park studied approximate additive mappings, approximate Jensen mappings, and approximate quadratic mappings in 2-Banach spaces in his paper [29]. In [30], Park examined the superstability of the Cauchy functional inequality and the Cauchy–Jensen functional inequality in 2-Banach spaces under certain conditions.

In this section, we let W be a normed linear space and F be a 2-Banach space.

Theorem 6. *Let $\varphi: W \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be a function such that*

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^i} \varphi(2^i v_1, 2^i v_2, \dots, 2^i v_s, w) = 0, \tag{86}$$

for all $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s, w \in W$. If a mapping $\phi: W \rightarrow F$ such that $\phi(0) = 0$ and

$$\|D\phi(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s), w\| \leq \varphi(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s, w), \tag{87}$$

$$\widehat{\varphi}(v, w) =: \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j} \varphi(2^j v, 2^j v, 0, \dots, 0, w) < \infty, \tag{88}$$

for all $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s, w \in W$. Then, there exists a unique additive mapping $A_1: W \rightarrow F$ satisfying

$$\|\phi(v) - A_1(v), w\| \leq \frac{6}{2(s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)} \widehat{\varphi}(v, w), \tag{89}$$

for all $v, w \in W$.

Proof. Replacing (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s) by $(v, v, 0, \dots, 0)$ in (87), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \frac{(s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)}{6} \phi(2v) - \frac{2(s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)}{6} \phi(v), w \right\| \\ &\leq \varphi(v, v, 0, \dots, 0, w), \end{aligned} \tag{90}$$

for all $v, w \in W$. Replacing v by $2^n v$ in (90) and dividing both sides by 2^{n-1} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \frac{1}{2^{(n+1)}} \phi(2^{n+1} v) - \frac{1}{2^n} \phi(2^n v), w \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{6}{2^{n+1}(s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)} \varphi(2^i v, 2^i v, 0, \dots, 0, w), \end{aligned} \tag{91}$$

for all $v, w \in W$ and all non-negative integers i . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \phi(2^{n+1} v) - \frac{1}{2^m} \phi(2^m v), w \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=m}^i \left\| \frac{1}{2^{j+1}} \phi(2^{j+1} v) - \frac{1}{2^j} \phi(2^j v), w \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{6}{2(s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)} \sum_{j=m}^i \frac{1}{2^j} \varphi(2^j v, 2^j v, 0, \dots, 0, w), \end{aligned} \tag{92}$$

for all $v, w \in W$ and all non-negative integers m and i with $i \geq m$. Therefore, it follows from (15) and (19) that the sequence $\{(1/2^i)\phi(2^i v)\}$ is Cauchy in F for every $v \in W$. Since F is complete, the sequence $\{(1/2^i)\phi(2^i v)\}$ converges in F for all $v \in W$. Thus, we may define a mapping $A_1: W \rightarrow F$ by

$$A_1(v) := \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^i} \phi(2^i v), \tag{93}$$

for all $v \in W$. Therefore,

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{2^i} \phi(2^i v) - A_1(v), w \right\| = 0, \tag{94}$$

for all $v, w \in W$. Letting $m = 0$ and taking the limit as $i \rightarrow \infty$ in (94), we have (89). Next, we want to prove that the function A_1 is additive. From inequalities (86), (87), and (94) and Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned} \|D\phi(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s), w\| &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|D\phi(2^i v_1, 2^i v_2, \dots, 2^i v_s), w\| \\ &\leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^i} \phi(2^i v_1, 2^i v_2, \dots, 2^i v_s, w) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{95}$$

for all $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s, w \in W$. By Lemma 1,

$$DA_1(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s) = 0, \tag{96}$$

for all $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s \in W$. Hence, according to Theorem 1, the mapping $A_1: W \rightarrow F$ is additive.

To prove that the function A_1 is unique, we consider another additive mapping $A'_1: W \rightarrow F$ satisfying (89). Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_1(v) - A'_1(v), w\| &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^i} \|A_1(2^i v) - \phi(2^i v) + \phi(2^i v) - A'_1(2^i v), w\| \\ &\leq \frac{6}{(s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^i} \widehat{\phi}(2^i v, w) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{97}$$

for all $v, w \in W$. By Lemma 1, $A_1(v) - A'_1(v) = 0$ for all $v \in W$. Therefore, $A_1 = A'_1$. \square

for all $v, w \in W$.

Remark 3. A theorem analogous to (93) can be formulated, in which the sequence

$$A_1(v) := \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} 2^i \phi\left(\frac{v}{2^i}\right) \tag{98}$$

Proof. Let

$$\phi(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s, w) = \sum_{i=1}^s \lambda(\|v_i\|) + \lambda(\|w\|), \tag{101}$$

is defined with appropriate assumptions for ϕ .

for all $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s, w \in W$. It follows from (i) that

$$\lambda(2^i) \leq (\lambda(2))^i,$$

Corollary 6. Let $\lambda: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a mapping such that $\lambda(0) = 0$ and

$$\phi(2^i v_1, 2^i v_2, \dots, 2^i v_s, w) \leq (\lambda(2))^i \left(\sum_{i=1}^s \lambda(\|v_i\|) \right) + \lambda(\|w\|). \tag{102}$$

(i) $\lambda(pq) \leq \lambda(p)\lambda(s)$.

(ii) $\lambda(p) < p$ for all $p > 1$.

By using Theorem 6, we obtain (96). \square

If a mapping $\phi: W \rightarrow F$ with $\phi(0) = 0$ and

$$\|D\phi(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s), w\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^s \lambda(\|v_i\|) + \lambda(\|w\|), \tag{99}$$

for all $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s, w \in W$, then there exists a unique additive mapping $A_1: W \rightarrow F$ satisfying

Corollary 7. Let q be a positive real number such that $q < 1$ and let $H: [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a homogeneous mapping with degree q . If a mapping $\phi: W \rightarrow F$ with $\phi(0) = 0$ and

$$\|D\phi(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s), w\| \leq H(\|v_1\|, \|v_2\|, \dots, \|v_s\|) + \|w\|, \tag{103}$$

$$\|\phi(v) - A_1(v), w\| \leq \frac{6}{(s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)} \left[\frac{2\lambda(\|v\|)}{2 - \lambda(2)} + \lambda(\|w\|) \right], \tag{100}$$

for all $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s, w \in W$, then there exists a unique additive mapping $A_1: W \rightarrow F$ satisfying

$$\|\phi(v) - A_1(v), w\| \leq \frac{6}{(s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)} \frac{H(\|v\|, \|v\|, 0, \dots, 0) + \|w\|}{2 - q}, \tag{104}$$

for all $v, w \in W$.

Proof. Let

$$\varphi(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s, w) = H(\|v_1\|, \|v_2\|, \dots, \|v_s\|) + \|w\|, \tag{105}$$

for all $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s, w \in W$. By using Theorem 6, we have (104). \square

Corollary 8. Let $q \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $q < 1$ and let $H: [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a homogeneous mapping with degree q . If a mapping $\phi: W \rightarrow F$ with $\phi(0) = 0$ and

$$\|D\phi(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s), w\| \leq H(\|v_1\|, \|v_2\|, \dots, \|v_s\|)\|w\|, \tag{106}$$

for all $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s, w \in W$, then there exists a unique additive mapping $A_1: W \rightarrow F$ satisfying

$$\|\phi(v) - A_1(v), w\| \leq \frac{6}{2(s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)} \cdot \frac{H(\|v\|, \|v\|, 0, \dots, 0)\|w\|}{2 - 2^q}, \tag{107}$$

for all $v, w \in W$.

Proof. Let

$$\varphi(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s, w) = H(\|v_1\|, \|v_2\|, \dots, \|v_s\|)\|w\|, \tag{108}$$

for all $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s, w \in W$. By using Theorem 6, we have (110). \square

Corollary 9. Let $p \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $p < 1$. If a mapping $\phi: W \rightarrow F$ with $\phi(0) = 0$ and

$$\|D\phi(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s), w\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^s \|v_i\|^p + \|w\|, \tag{109}$$

for all $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s, w \in W$, then there exists a unique additive mapping $A_1: W \rightarrow F$ satisfying

$$\|\phi(v) - A_1(v), w\| \leq \frac{6}{(s^3 - 9s^2 + 20s - 12)} \frac{2\|v\|^p + \|w\|}{2 - p}, \tag{110}$$

for all $v, w \in W$.

We use an appropriate example to demonstrate that the stability of the functional equation (4) fails in the singular case. We provide the following counterexample, which shows the instability in a particular condition $p = 2$ in

Corollary 9 of functional equation (4), inspired by Gajda's excellent example in [31].

Remark 4. If a mapping $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow W$ satisfies (4), then the following assertions hold:

- (1) $\phi(m^c v) = m^c \phi(v)$, $v \in \mathbb{R}$, $m \in \mathbb{Q}$, and $c \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (2) $\phi(v) = v\phi(1)$, $v \in \mathbb{R}$ if the function ϕ is continuous.

Example 2. Let a mapping $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$\phi(v) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(2^p v)}{2^p}, \tag{111}$$

where

$$\psi(v) = \begin{cases} \lambda v, & -1 < v < 1, \\ \lambda, & \text{else.} \end{cases} \tag{112}$$

Then, the mapping $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

$$|D\phi(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s)| \leq \left(\frac{n^4 - 8n^3 + 5n^2 + 34n - 32}{4} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{4}{3} \right) \lambda \left(\sum_{j=1}^s |v_j| \right), \tag{113}$$

for all $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s \in \mathbb{R}$, but there does not exist an additive mapping $A_1: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$|\phi(v) - A_1(v)| \leq \delta|v|, \quad v \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{114}$$

where λ and δ are constants.

5. Conclusion

In this work, a new dimensional additive functional (equation (4)) has been introduced. We primarily found its solution and examined Hyers–Ulam stability in IFN-spaces using the direct approach in Section 3.1 and the fixed point approach in Section 3.2. In Section 4, we investigated the Hyers–Ulam stability in 2-Banach space by using the direct method. Also, we provided the counterexample, which shows the instability in a particular condition $p = 2$ in Corollary 9 of equation (4), by the way of Gajda.

Data Availability

No data were used to support this study.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Authors' Contributions

All authors contributed equally to this study. All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

References

- [1] S. M. Ulam, *A Collection of Mathematical Problems, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 8, Interscience Publishers, New York, NY, USA, 1960.
- [2] D. H. Hyers, "On the stability of the linear functional equation," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 222–224, 1941.
- [3] T. Aoki, "On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces," *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan*, vol. 2, pp. 64–66, 1950.
- [4] T. M. Rassias, "On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 72, no. 2, pp. 297–300, 1978.
- [5] P. Gavruta, "A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 184, no. 3, pp. 431–436, 1994.
- [6] P. Nakmahachalasint, "On the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of an n -dimensional additive functional equation," *Thai Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 81–86, 2007, Special issue.
- [7] H. Khodaei and Th. M. Rassias, "Approximately generalized additive functions in several variables," *International Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Applications*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 22–41, 2010.
- [8] A. Najati and M. B. Moghimi, "Stability of a functional equation deriving from quadratic and additive functions in quasi-Banach spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 337, no. 1, pp. 399–415, 2008.
- [9] D. Y. Shin, H. A. Kenary, and N. Sahami, "Hyers-ulam-rassias stability of functional equation in nab-spaces," *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 95, no. 1, pp. 1–11, 2014.
- [10] M. E. Gordji, "Stability of a functional equation deriving from quartic and additive functions," *Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society*, vol. 47, no. 3, pp. 491–502, 2010.
- [11] A. M. Alanazi, G. Muhiuddin, K. Tamilvanan, E. N. Alenze, A. Ebaid, and K. Loganathan, "Fuzzy stability results of finite variable additive functional equation: direct and fixed point methods," *Mathematics*, vol. 8, no. 7, p. 1050, 2020.
- [12] C. Park, K. Tamilvanan, G. Balasubramanian, B. Noori, and A. Najati, "On a functional equation that has the quadratic-multiplicative property," *Open Mathematics*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 837–845, 2020.
- [13] K. Tamilvanan, A. M. Alanazi, M. G. Alshehri, and J. Kafle, "Hyers-Ulam stability of quadratic functional equation based on fixed point technique in Banach spaces and non-Archimedean Banach spaces," *Mathematics*, vol. 9, p. 15, Article ID 2575, 2021.
- [14] K. Tamilvanan, J. R. Lee, J. Rye Lee, and C. Park, "Hyers-Ulam stability of a finite variable mixed type quadratic-additive functional equation in quasi-Banach spaces," *AIMS Mathematics*, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 5993–6005, 2020.
- [15] L. A. Zadeh, "Fuzzy sets," *Information and Control*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 338–353, 1965.
- [16] R. Saadati and J. H. Park, "On the intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces," *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 331–344, 2006.
- [17] R. Saadati, S. Sedghi, and N. Shobe, "Modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces and some fixed point theorems," *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 36–47, 2008.
- [18] T. Bag and S. K. Samanta, "Fuzzy bounded linear operators," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 151, no. 3, pp. 513–547, 2005.
- [19] J.-x. Fang, "On I-topology generated by fuzzy norm," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 157, no. 20, pp. 2739–2750, 2006.
- [20] M. Mursaleen and Q. M. Danish Lohani, "Intuitionistic fuzzy 2-normed space and some related concepts," *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 224–234, 2009.
- [21] S. A. Mohiuddine and H. Şevli, "Stability of Pexiderized quadratic functional equation in intuitionistic fuzzy normed space," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 235, no. 8, pp. 2137–2146, 2011.
- [22] R. Saadati, Y. J. Cho, and J. Vahidi, "The stability of the quartic functional equation in various spaces," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 60, no. 7, 2010.
- [23] R. Saadati and C. Park, "Non-Archimedean L -fuzzy normed spaces and stability of functional equations," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 60, no. 8, pp. 2488–2496, 2010.
- [24] T. Z. Xu, J. M. Rassias, and W. X. Xu, "Stability of a general mixed additive-cubic functional equation in non-Archimedean fuzzy normed spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 51, no. 9, p. 19, Article ID 093508, 2010.
- [25] A. Bodaghi, C. Park, and J. M. Rassias, "Fundamental stabilities of the nonic functional equation in intuitionistic fuzzy normed spaces," *Communications of the Korean Mathematical Society*, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 729–743, 2016.
- [26] V. Radu, "The fixed point alternative and the stability of functional equations," *Fixed Point Theory*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 91–96, 2003.
- [27] S. Ghler, "2-metrische Rume und ihre topologische struktur," *Mathematische Nachrichten*, vol. 26, pp. 115–148, 1963.
- [28] S. Ghler, "Lineare 2-normierte rumen," *Mathematische Nachrichten*, vol. 28, pp. 1–43, 1964.
- [29] W.-G. Park, "Approximate additive mappings in 2-Banach spaces and related topics," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 376, no. 1, pp. 193–202, 2011.
- [30] C. Park, "Additive functional inequalities in 2-Banach spaces," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 447, pp. 1–10, 2013.
- [31] Z. Gajda, "On stability of additive mappings," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 431–434, 1991.