

Research Article

A Stability Result for a Swelling Porous System with Nonlinear Boundary Dampings

Adel M. Al-Mahdi ^{1,2}, Salim A. Messaoudi ³ and Mohammad M. Al-Gharabli ^{1,2}

¹The Preparatory Year Program, King Fahd University of Petroleum & Minerals, Dhahran 31261, Saudi Arabia

²The Interdisciplinary Research Center in Construction and Building Materials, King Fahd University of Petroleum & Minerals, Dhahran 31261, Saudi Arabia

³Department of Mathematics, University of Sharjah, UAE

Correspondence should be addressed to Adel M. Al-Mahdi; almahdi@kfupm.edu.sa

Received 26 February 2022; Accepted 11 May 2022; Published 9 June 2022

Academic Editor: Gisele Mophou

Copyright © 2022 Adel M. Al-Mahdi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In this work, we consider a swelling porous system where the damping terms are on the boundary. We establish an explicit and general decay result, without imposing restrictive growth assumption near the origin on the damping terms. Our result allows a larger class of damping terms, and the usual exponential and polynomial decay estimates are only special cases. We also give some illustrative examples.

1. Introduction

Soil swelling is one of the problems which has been studied under the porous media theory. The soils with highly swelling index have high swelling properties. In the swelling soils, clay minerals are commonly found there, and they attract and absorb the water which may lead to increase in pressure. When the swelling soil is exposed to the water, the water molecules are attracted into the interstices of the soil matrix. With the water drawn is getting increasing, the soil plates are forced apart due to the rise in pressure within the soil pores leading to swelling or heaving of the soils. So, swelling soils are considered to be one of the sources of problems in foundation design and construction. For more information in the soil swelling problems, we refer the reader to [1–4] and the references therein. The mathematical model of linear theory of swelling porous elastic soils was established by Ieşan [5] and simplified by Quintanilla [6] as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_1 \varphi_{tt} = P_{1x} - G_1 + F_1, \\ \rho_2 \psi_{tt} = P_{2x} + G_2 + F_2, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where the functions (P_1, G_1, F_1) represent the partial tension, internal body forces, and external forces acting on the displacement, respectively. Similar definitions hold for (P_2, G_2, F_2) but acting on the elastic solid. The constituent φ represents the displacement of the fluid and $\rho_1 > 0$ is the coefficient density of φ . Here, ψ is the elastic solid material and its coefficient density is $\rho_2 > 0$. Moreover, the constitutive equations of partial tensions are given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_1 \\ P_2 \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_2 & a_3 \end{bmatrix}}_A \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_x \\ \psi_x \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where a_1, a_3 are positive constants and $a_2 \neq 0$ is a real number. The matrix A is positive definite in the sense that $a_1 a_3 \geq a_2^2$.

Quintanilla [6] investigated (1) by taking

$$G_1 = G_2 = \beta(\varphi_t - \psi_t), F_1 = a_3 \varphi_{xxt}, F_2 = 0, \quad (3)$$

and established an exponential stability result where $\beta > 0$. Wang and Guo [7] considered (1) by taking

$$G_1 = G_2 = 0, F_1 = -\rho_1 \xi(x) \varphi_t, F_2 = 0, \quad (4)$$

and they establish an exponential stability result by using spectral method, where $\xi(x)$ is an internal viscous damping function with a positive mean. Ramos et al. [8] studied (1), with a damping acting on the domain; that is,

$$G_1 = G_2 = 0, F_1 = 0, F_2 = -\gamma(t)g(\psi_t). \quad (5)$$

They established an exponential decay rate. Recently, Apalara [9] looked into (1), with viscoelastic damping acting on the domain. So, he took

$$G_1 = G_2 = 0, F_1 = 0, F_2 = -\int_0^t g(t-s)\psi_{xx}(s)ds \quad (6)$$

and established a general decay rate irrespective of the wave speed of the system. Very recently, Al-Mahdi et al. [10] also considered (1) with

$$G_1 = G_2 = 0, F_1 = 0, F_2 = -\int_0^\infty g(s)\psi_{xx}(t-s)ds, \quad (7)$$

and established explicit and general decay results under a wider class of relaxation functions, and they also performed several numerical tests to illustrate their theoretical results. The reader can consult [11–20] and the references therein for some other interesting related results.

In this paper, we are concerned with the following swell- ing system

$$\begin{cases} \rho_1 \varphi_{tt} - a_1 \varphi_{xx} - a_2 \psi_{xx} = 0, & \text{in } (0, 1) \times (0, \infty), \\ \rho_2 \psi_{tt} - a_3 \psi_{xx} - a_2 \varphi_{xx} = 0, & \text{in } (0, 1) \times (0, \infty), \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

together with initial and boundary conditions:

$$\begin{cases} \psi(0, t) = \varphi(0, t) = 0, \\ a_2 \varphi_x(1, t) + a_3 \psi_x(1, t) = -h_1(\psi_t(1, t)), \\ a_1 \varphi_x(1, t) + a_2 \psi_x(1, t) = -h_2(\varphi_t(1, t)), \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{cases} \varphi(x, 0) = \varphi_0(x), & \varphi_t(x, 0) = \varphi_1(x), \\ \psi(x, 0) = \psi_0(x), & \psi_t(x, 0) = \psi_1(x), \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

where h_1 and h_2 are specific functions, a_1, a_3 are positive constants, and $a_2 \neq 0$ is a real number.

The use of dampings at the boundary is well known in mechanical structure. It is employed to stabilize motions and absorb shock. This can be achieved by adding some controllers at the boundary (see [21, 22]). In this paper, we aim to establish an explicit and general decay rate result for system (8). We obtain our result without imposing any restrictive growth assumption near the origin on the damping functions. The result in this paper allows a larger class of

functions h_1 and h_2 , from which the energy decay rates are not necessarily of exponential or polynomial types (see the examples in Section 3).

The proofs of our results are carried out, using the multiplier method and benefit from [22, 23] with necessary modifications dictated by the nature of our problem. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first work of this nature. For more works used the frictional damping acting in a part/whole domain or in the boundary, we point out to the work of [24–27].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we present some hypotheses and material needed for our work. Some essential lemmas and the statement with the proof of the decay result are given in Section 3.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we present some material needed for the proofs of our main results.

In the sequel, we assume that system (8) has a unique solution

$$\psi, \varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; H^2(0, 1) \cap \mathcal{H}) \cap W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{H}) \cap W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2(0, 1)), \quad (11)$$

where $\mathcal{H} = \{f \in H^1(0, 1): f(0) = 0\}$. This result can be proved, for initial data in suitable function spaces, using standard arguments such as the Galerkin method.

We consider the following hypotheses:

A1. $h_i: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (for $i = 1, 2$) is a nondecreasing C^1 function such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_i(|s|) &\leq |h_i(s)| \leq \Lambda_i^{-1}(|s|) \text{ for all } |s| \leq r, i = 1, 2, \\ c_1|s| &\leq |h_i(s)| \leq c_2|s| \text{ for all } |s| \geq r, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where Λ_1 and Λ_2 are strictly increasing C^1 functions on $[0, +\infty)$, $\Lambda_1(0) = \Lambda_2(0) = 0$, and r, c_1, c_2 are positive constants.

A2. The coefficients a_1, a_3 are positive constants and $a_2 \neq 0$ is a real number such that

$$a_1 a_3 - a_2^2 > 0. \quad (13)$$

Remark 1. Hypothesis A1 implies that $sh_i(s) > 0$, for all $s \neq 0, i = 1, 2$.

Remark 2. Hypothesis A1 was introduced by Lasiecka and Tataru [28].

The following lemma will be of essential use in establishing the main result.

Lemma 3 (see [23]). *Let $F: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a nonincreasing function and $\beta: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a strictly increasing C^1 function, with $\beta(t) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Assume that there exist $m, n \geq 0$ and $c > 0$ such that*

$$\int_S^\infty \beta'(t)F(t)^{1+m} dt \leq cF(S)^{1+m} + \frac{cF(S)}{\beta^n}, \quad 1 \leq S < +\infty. \tag{14}$$

Then, there exist two positive constants λ_1 and λ_2 such that

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) &\leq \lambda_1 e^{-\lambda_2 \beta(t)} \forall t \geq 1, \text{ if } m = n = 0, \\ E(t) &\leq \frac{\lambda_1}{\beta(t)^{1+n/m}} \quad \forall t \geq 1, \text{ if } m > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

The energy functional associated with problem (8) is

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (\rho_1 \varphi_t^2 + a_1 \varphi_x^2 + \rho_2 \psi_t^2 + a_3 \psi_x^2 + 2a_2 \psi_x \varphi_x) dx. \tag{16}$$

Lemma 4. The energy functional satisfies, along the solution of (8),

$$E'(t) = -\psi_t h_1(\psi_t(1, t)) - \varphi_t h_2(\varphi_t(1, t)) \leq 0. \tag{17}$$

Proof. By multiplying the two equations of (8) by φ_t and ψ_t , respectively, and then integrating over $(0, 1)$ with using both boundary and initial conditions (9) and (10), the estimate (17) is established. \square

Throughout this paper, we will use c to denote a generic positive constant.

3. Stability

In this section, we state and prove our main stability result which reads as follows:

Theorem 5. Let (ψ, φ) be the solution of (8) and assume that A1 and A2 hold. Then, there exists a constant $\bar{c} > 0$ such that, for t large, the solution of (8) satisfies

$$E(t) \leq \bar{c} \left(G^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) \right)^2, \tag{18}$$

where

$$G(s) = s(\Lambda_1^{-1} + \Lambda_2^{-1})^{-1}(s). \tag{19}$$

Proof of Theorem 8 will be carried out through several lemmas.

Lemma 6. Let (ψ, φ) be the solution of (8); assume that A1 and A2 hold, and $\beta : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a concave nondecreasing C^2 function. Then, for $T \geq S \geq 0$ and some positive constant c , the energy functional satisfies

$$\int_S^T \beta'(t)E^2(t) dt \leq cE^2(S) + c \int_S^T \beta'(t)E(t) [h_1^2(\psi_t(1, t)) + h_2^2(\varphi_t(1, t))] dt. \tag{20}$$

Proof. Multiplying the first equation in (8) by $[3x\varphi_x - \varphi]\beta'E$ and the second by $[3x\psi_x + \psi]\beta'E$, integrating over $(0, 1) \times (S, T)$, and adding the results and recalling (16), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S^T \beta'(t)E^2(t) dt &= \int_S^T \beta'(t)E(t) \left[\frac{3}{2} \int_0^1 \rho_2 \psi_t^2 dx \right. \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (\rho_1 \varphi_t^2 dx + a_3 \varphi_x^2) dx - 2 \int_0^1 a_2 \psi_x \varphi_x dx \\ &\quad - \left. \left[\beta'(t)E(t) \int_0^1 [3(\rho_1 x \varphi_x \varphi_t + \rho_2 x \psi_x \psi_t) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + (\rho_2 \psi \psi_t - \rho_1 \varphi \varphi_t)] dx \right]_S^T + \int_S^T (\beta''E + \beta'E')(t) \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\int_0^1 [3(\rho_1 x \varphi_x \varphi_t + \rho_2 x \psi_x \psi_t) + (\rho_2 \psi \psi_t - \rho_1 \varphi \varphi_t)] dx \right) dt \\ &\quad + \int_S^T \beta'(t)E(t) [\varphi(1, t)h_2(\varphi_t(1, t))] dt \\ &\quad - \frac{N}{2} \int_S^T \beta'(t)E(t) [\psi(1, t)h_1(\psi_t(1, t))] dt \\ &\quad - 3 \int_S^T \beta'(t)E(t) [\varphi_x(1, t)h_2(\varphi_t(1, t))] dt \\ &\quad - \frac{N}{2} \int_S^T \beta'(t)E(t) [\psi_x(1, t)h_1(\psi_t(1, t))] dt \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{a_2}{2} \int_S^T \beta'(t)E(t) [\psi_x(1, t)\varphi_x(1, t)] dt. \right. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Using Young's inequality, (16), and the properties of E and β' , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S^T \beta' E \left[\frac{3}{2} \int_0^1 \rho_2 \psi_t^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (\rho_1 \varphi_t^2 dx + a_3 \psi_x^2) dx - 2 \int_0^1 a_2 \psi_x \varphi_x dx \right] dt \\ \leq c\beta'(S)E^2(S). \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Exploiting Young's and Poincaré's inequalities and (16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 [3(\rho_1 x \varphi_x \varphi_t + \rho_2 x \psi_x \psi_t) + (\rho_2 \psi \psi_t - \rho_1 \varphi \varphi_t)] dx \\ \leq C \int_0^1 (\varphi_x^2 + \varphi_t^2 + \psi_x^2 + \psi_t^2) dx \leq cE(t). \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Using the properties of E and β' , we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} - \left[\beta' E \int_0^1 [3(\rho_1 x \varphi_x \varphi_t + \rho_2 x \psi_x \psi_t) + \frac{N}{2} (\rho_2 \psi \psi_t - \rho_1 \varphi \varphi_t)] dx \right]_S^T \\ \leq C \left| \left[\beta'(t)E^2(t) \right]_S^T \right| \leq c\beta'(S)E^2(S). \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

As in the above calculations, we handle the third term in the right hand side of (21) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_S^T (\beta' E + \beta' E') \left(\int_0^1 [(N+1)(\rho_1 x \varphi_x \varphi_t + \rho_2 \psi_x \psi_t) + (\rho_2 \psi \psi_t - \rho_1 \varphi \varphi_t)] dx \right) dt \\ & \leq c \left| \int_S^T \beta' E^2 dt \right| + c \left| \int_S^T \beta' E' E dt \right| \\ & \leq CE^2(S) \left| \int_S^T \beta' E^2 dt \right| + c\beta'(S) \left| \int_S^T E' E dt \right| \\ & \leq c\beta'(S)E^2(S). \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality, we conclude that

$$\psi(1, t)^2 = \left(\int_0^1 \psi_x dx \right)^2 \leq \int_0^1 \psi_x^2 dx. \quad (26)$$

Similarly, we have

$$\varphi(1, t)^2 \leq \int_0^1 \varphi_x^2 dx. \quad (27)$$

Now, using (26) and Young's inequality, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_S^T \beta' E[\psi(1, t)h_1(\psi_t(1, t))] dt \leq c\epsilon \int_S^T \beta' E \int_0^1 \psi_x^2 dx dt \\ & + c_\epsilon \int_S^T \beta' E h_1^2(\psi_t(1, t)) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Similarly, using (27) and Young's inequality, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_S^T \beta' E[\varphi(1, t)h_2(\varphi_t(1, t))] dt \leq c\epsilon \int_S^T \beta' E \int_0^1 \varphi_x^2 dx dt \\ & + c_\epsilon \int_S^T \beta' E h_2^2(\varphi_t(1, t)) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

From (9), we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_2 & a_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_x(1, t) \\ \psi_x(1, t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -h_1(\psi_t(1, t)) \\ -h_2(\varphi_t(1, t)) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (30)$$

Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_x &= \frac{a_2 h_2(\varphi_t(1, t)) - a_1 h_1(\psi_t(1, t))}{a_1 a_3 - a_2^2}, \\ \varphi_x &= \frac{a_2 h_1(\psi_t(1, t)) - a_3 h_2(\varphi_t(1, t))}{a_1 a_3 - a_2^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where $a_1 a_3 - a_2^2 > 0$ (as assumed in A2). Using (31) and Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -3 \int_S^T \beta' E[\varphi_x(1, t)h_2(\varphi_t(1, t))] dt - \int_S^T \beta' E[\psi_x(1, t)h_1(\psi_t(1, t))] dt \\ & + \frac{a_2}{2} \int_S^T \beta' E \psi_x(1, t) \varphi_x(1, t) dt \leq c \int_S^T \beta' E [h_1^2(\psi_t(1, t)) \\ & + h_2^2(\varphi_t(1, t))] dt. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

We use (16), (28), (29), and (32), to estimate the last five terms in (21) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_S^T \beta' E[\varphi(1, t)h_2(\varphi_t(1, t))] dt - \int_S^T \beta' E[\psi(1, t)h_1(\psi_t(1, t))] dt \\ & - 3 \int_S^T \beta' E[\varphi_x(1, t)h_2(\varphi_t(1, t))] dt - \int_S^T \beta' E[\psi_x(1, t)h_1(\psi_t(1, t))] dt \\ & + \frac{a_2}{2} \int_S^T \beta' E \psi_x(1, t) \varphi_x(1, t) dt \leq c\beta'(S)E^2(S) \\ & + c \int_S^T \beta' E [h_1^2(\psi_t(1, t)) + h_2^2(\varphi_t(1, t))] dt. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Combining (21)–(25) and (28)–(33), then (20) is established. \square

Lemma 7. Let (ψ, φ) be the solution of (8); assume that A1 and A2 hold. Then, the energy functional satisfies

$$E(t) \leq \frac{c}{\beta_0(t)^2} \quad \forall t \geq t_1, \quad (34)$$

where $\beta_0 : R_+ \rightarrow R_+$ is a strictly increasing C^1 function, with $\beta_0(t) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, $\beta_0'(t) = \Lambda_0(1/\beta_0(t))$, and $\Lambda_0 := (\Lambda_1^{-1} + \Lambda_2^{-1})^{-1}$.

Proof. First, we define the following function:

$$\chi(t) := 1 + \int_1^t \frac{1}{\Lambda_0(1/s)} ds, \quad t \geq t_0, \quad (35)$$

for some $t_0 > \max\{1, 1/r\}$. Then,

$$\chi'(t) = \frac{1}{\Lambda_0(1/t)} > 0 \quad \forall t \geq t_0, \quad \chi'(t) \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (36)$$

and $\chi'(t)$ is strictly increasing. Thus, χ is a convex and strictly increasing C^2 function, with $\chi(t) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. If we let

$$\beta_0 := \chi^{-1}, \quad t \geq t_0, \quad (37)$$

then it is easy to check that β_0 is strictly increasing and $\beta_0'(t) = \Lambda_0(1/\beta_0(t))$ is strictly decreasing. So, β_0 is a concave C^2 function, with $\beta_0(t) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. We use this particular function β_0 and take $t_1 \geq t_0$ such that

$\beta'_0(t_1) < r$, to estimate the last integral in the right hand side of (20), for $T \geq S \geq t_1$.

To estimate $\int_S^T \beta'_0 E h_1^2(\psi_t(1, t)) dt$, we consider the following cases:

$$\begin{aligned} C1 &= \{t \in (S, T): |\psi_t(1, t)| > r\}, \\ C2 &= \left\{t \in (S, T): |\psi_t(1, t)| \leq r \text{ and } \psi_t(1, t) \leq \beta'_0(t)\right\}, \\ C3 &= \left\{t \in (S, T): |\psi_t(1, t)| \leq r \text{ and } \psi_t(1, t) > \beta'_0(t)\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Using A1, Remark 2, (17), and the first case in (38), we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_1^2(\psi_t(1, t)) &= h_1(\psi_t(1, t))h_1(\psi_t(1, t)) \\ &\leq c_2 \psi_t(1, t) h_1(\psi_t(1, t)) \\ &\leq c(-E')(t), \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(S,T) \cap C1} \beta'_0 E h_1^2(\psi_t(1, t)) dt &\leq \int_{(S,T) \cap C1} c \beta'_0 E(-E')(t) dt \\ &\leq \int_S^T c \beta'_0 E(-E')(t) dt \leq c \beta'_0(S) E^2(S). \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Now, using A1, the properties of Λ_1^{-1} and Λ_0^{-1} , and the second case in (38), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(S,T) \cap C2} \beta'_0 E h_1^2(\psi_t(1, t)) dt &\leq c \int_{(S,T) \cap C2} \beta'_0 E (\Lambda_1^{-1}(\psi_t(1, t)))^2 dt \\ &\leq c \int_{(S,T) \cap C2} \beta'_0 E (\Lambda_1^{-1}(\beta'_0(t)))^2 dt \\ &\leq c \int_{(S,T) \cap C2} \beta'_0 E (\Lambda_0^{-1}(\beta'_0(t)))^2 dt \\ &\leq \int_S^T \beta'_0 E (\Lambda_0^{-1}(\beta'_0(t)))^2 dt. \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

Finally, using A1, (17), the properties of Λ_1^{-1} , and the last case in (38), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(S,T) \cap C3} \beta'_0 E h_1^2(\psi_t(1, t)) dt &= \int_{(S,T) \cap C3} \beta'_0 E h_1(\psi_t(1, t)) h_1(\psi_t(1, t)) dt \\ &\leq \int_{(S,T) \cap C3} E \psi_t(1, t) \Lambda_1^{-1}(\psi_t(1, t)) h_1(\psi_t(1, t)) dt \\ &\leq \Lambda_1^{-1}(r) \int_{(S,T) \cap C3} E \psi_t(1, t) h_1(\psi_t(1, t)) dt \\ &\leq c \int_{(S,T) \cap C3} E \psi_t(1, t) h_1(\psi_t(1, t)) dt \leq c \int_{(S,T) \cap C3} E(-E') dt \\ &\leq \int_S^T E(-E') dt \leq c(E^2(S) - E^2(T)) \leq cE^2(S). \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

Combining (40)–(42), we get

$$\int_S^T \beta'_0 E h_1^2(\psi_t(1, t)) dt \leq cE^2(S) + c \int_S^T \beta'_0 E (H_0^{-1}(\beta'_0(t)))^2 dt. \tag{43}$$

Repeating the same above calculations, we also find

$$\int_S^T \beta'_0 E h_2^2(\psi_t(1, t)) dt \leq cE^2(S) + c \int_S^T \beta'_0 E (\Lambda_0^{-1}(\beta'_0(t)))^2 dt. \tag{44}$$

Then, (20) becomes

$$\int_S^T \beta'_0(t) E^2(t) dt \leq cE(S)^2 + c \int_S^T \beta'_0 E (\Lambda_0^{-1}(\beta'_0(t)))^2 dt. \tag{45}$$

Recalling $\beta_{0'}(t) = \Lambda_0(1/\beta_0(t))$ and letting $\beta_0(t) = s$, then for $T \geq S \geq t_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S^\infty \beta'_0(t) E^2(t) dt &\leq cE(S)^2 + cE(S) \int_S^\infty \beta'_0(t) (\Lambda_0^{-1}(\beta_{0'}(t)))^2 dt \\ &= cE(S)^2 + cE(S) \int_{\beta_0(S)}^\infty \left(\Lambda_0^{-1}\left(\Lambda_0\left(\frac{1}{s}\right)\right)\right)^2 ds \\ &= cE(S)^2 + \frac{cE(S)}{\beta_0(S)}. \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Using (46) and Lemma 3 with $m = n = 1$, $F = E$, and $\beta = \beta_{0'}$, we obtain

$$E(t) \leq \frac{c}{\beta_0(t)^2}, \forall t \geq t_1. \tag{47}$$

Hence, (34) is established. □

Proof of Theorem 8. To prove (18), let us define $G(s) = s\Lambda_0(s)$ and take $s_0 > t_0$ such that $\Lambda_0(1/s_0) \leq 1$.

Since Λ_0 is increasing, then we have $\Lambda_0(1/s) \leq \Lambda_0(1/s_0) \leq 1, \forall s \geq s_0$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_0^{-1}(s) &\leq 1 + (s-1) \frac{1}{\Lambda_0(1/s)} = \frac{\Lambda_0(1/s) + s - 1}{\Lambda_0(1/s)} \\ &\leq \frac{s}{\Lambda_0(1/s)} = \frac{1}{G(1/s)}, \forall s \geq s_0. \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

So, letting $t = 1/(G(1/s))$, we can see that

$$G\left(\frac{1}{s}\right) = \frac{1}{t} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{s} = G^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \Rightarrow s = \frac{1}{G^{-1}(1/t)}. \tag{49}$$

Then, using (48) and (49), we see that

$$\beta_0^{-1}(s) \leq \frac{1}{G(G^{-1}(1/t))} = \frac{1}{1/t} = t. \tag{50}$$

Hence,

$$s = \frac{1}{G^{-1}(1/t)} \leq \beta_0(t). \quad (51)$$

Now, it is easy to see that

$$\frac{1}{\beta_0(t)} \leq G^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \quad \forall t \geq t_0. \quad (52)$$

Therefore, using (34), estimate (18) is established. \square

Example 9. As in [22], we consider the following examples to illustrate our decay result:

- (1) Let $\Lambda_1(s) = \Lambda_2(s) = e^{-(\ln s)^2}$ near zero. Then, (18) gives

$$E(t) \leq ce^{-2(\ln t)^{1/2}} \quad (53)$$

- (2) Let $\Lambda_1(s) = \Lambda_2(s) = e^{-1/s}$ near zero. Then, (18) implies

$$E(t) \leq \frac{c}{(\ln(t))^2} \quad (54)$$

- (3) If $\Lambda_1(s) = \Lambda_2(s) = e^{-e^{1/s}}$ near zero, then, using (18), we have

$$E(t) \leq \frac{c}{(\ln(\ln(t)))^2} \quad (55)$$

- (4) If $\Lambda_1(s) = \Lambda_2(s) = s$, then, using (18), we have

$$E(t) \leq \frac{c}{t} \quad (56)$$

- (5) If $\Lambda_1(s) = s^{3/2}$, $\Lambda_2(s) = s^3$ near zero. Then,

$$G(s) = s \left(\frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 + 4s}}{2} \right)^3, \quad (57)$$

then,

$$\left(\frac{G(s)}{s} \right)^{1/3} = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 + 4s}}{2} \quad (58)$$

By approximating $\sqrt{1 + 4s}$ near $s = 0$, we have

$$\sqrt{1 + 4s} \approx 1 + 2s. \quad (59)$$

Hence,

$$\left(\frac{G(s)}{s} \right)^{1/3} \approx s, \text{ near } s = 0, \quad (60)$$

which implies that $G^{-1}(s) \approx s^{1/4}$. Then, using (18), we have

$$E(t) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}. \quad (61)$$

Data Availability

No data were used to support this study.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals (KFUPM) and University of Sharjah for their continuous supports. The authors also thank the referees for their very careful reading and valuable comments. This work was initialed and finished during the visits of the second author to KFUPM in February 2022. This work is funded by KFUPM, Grant No. SB201005.

References

- [1] T. Karalis, "On the elastic deformation of non-saturated swelling soils," *Acta Mechanica*, vol. 84, no. 1-4, pp. 19–45, 1990.
- [2] R. L. Handy, "A stress path model for collapsible loess," in *Genesis and Properties of Collapsible Soils*, pp. 33–47, Springer, 1995.
- [3] R. Leonard, *Expansive Soils*, Shallow Foundation. Regent Centre. University of Kansas, 1989.
- [4] D. Fredlund, "Geotechnical problems associated with swelling clays," in *Developments in Soil Science*, vol. 24, pp. 499–524, Elsevier, 1996.
- [5] D. Ieşan, "On the theory of mixtures of thermoelastic solids," *Journal of Thermal Stresses*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 389–408, 1991.
- [6] R. Quintanilla, "Exponential stability for one-dimensional problem of swelling porous elastic soils with fluid saturation," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 145, no. 2, pp. 525–533, 2002.
- [7] J.-M. Wang and B.-Z. Guo, "On the stability of swelling porous elastic soils with fluid saturation by one internal damping," *IMA Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 71, no. 4, pp. 565–582, 2006.
- [8] A. Ramos, M. Freitas, D. Almeida Jr., A. S. Noé, and M. D. Santos, "Stability results for elastic porous media swelling with nonlinear damping," *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 61, no. 10, pp. 101505–101510, 2020.
- [9] T. A. Apalara, "General stability result of swelling porous elastic soils with a viscoelastic damping," *Zeitschrift für angewandte Mathematik und Physik*, vol. 71, no. 6, pp. 1–10, 2020.
- [10] A. M. Al-Mahdi, M. M. Al-Gharabli, and M. Alahyane, "Theoretical and numerical stability results for a viscoelastic

- swelling porous-elastic system with past history,” *AIMS Mathematics*, vol. 6, no. 11, pp. 11921–11949, 2021.
- [11] M. A. Murad and J. H. Cushman, “Thermomechanical theories for swelling porous media with microstructure,” *International Journal of Engineering Science*, vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 517–564, 2000.
- [12] R. Quintanilla, “On the linear problem of swelling porous elastic soils with incompressible fluid,” *International Journal of Engineering Science*, vol. 40, no. 13, pp. 1485–1494, 2002.
- [13] F. Bofill and R. Quintanilla, “Anti-plane shear deformations of swelling porous elastic soils,” *International Journal of Engineering Science*, vol. 41, no. 8, pp. 801–816, 2003.
- [14] R. Quintanilla, “Exponential stability of solutions of swelling porous elastic soils,” *Meccanica*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 139–145, 2004.
- [15] T. A. Apalara, M. O. Yusuf, and B. A. Salami, “On the control of viscoelastic damped swelling porous elastic soils with internal delay feedbacks,” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 504, no. 2, article 125429, 2021.
- [16] A. Keddi, S. A. Messaoudi, and M. Alahyane, “Well-posedness and stability results for a swelling porous-heat system of second sound,” *Journal of Thermal Stresses*, vol. 44, no. 12, pp. 1427–1440, 2021.
- [17] T. A. Apalara, A. Soufyane, M. Afilal, and M. Alahyane, “A general stability result for swelling porous elastic media with nonlinear damping,” in *Applicable Analysis*, pp. 1–16, Taylor & Francis, 2021.
- [18] A. Youkana, A. M. Al-Mahdi, and S. A. Messaoudi, “General energy decay result for a viscoelastic swelling porous-elastic system,” *Zeitschrift für angewandte Mathematik und Physik*, vol. 73, no. 3, pp. 1–17, 2022.
- [19] T. A. Apalara, A. Soufyane, and M. Afilal, “On well-posedness and exponential decay of swelling porous thermoelastic media with second sound,” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 510, no. 2, article 126006, 2022.
- [20] A. Ramos, T. Apalara, M. Freitas, and M. L. Araújo, “Equivalence between exponential stabilization and boundary observability for swelling problem,” *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 63, no. 1, article 011511, 2022.
- [21] S. H. Sandilo and W. T. van Horssen, “On boundary damping for an axially moving tensioned beam,” *Journal of Vibration And Acoustics*, vol. 134, no. 1, 2012.
- [22] S. A. Messaoudi and M. I. Mustafa, “On the internal and boundary stabilization of Timoshenko beams,” *Nonlinear Differential Equations and Applications NoDEA*, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 655–671, 2008.
- [23] P. Martinez, “A new method to obtain decay rate estimates for dissipative systems,” *ESAIM: Control, Optimisation and Calculus of Variations*, vol. 4, pp. 419–444, 1999.
- [24] V. Komornik, “Decay estimates for the wave equation with internal damping,” in *Control and Estimation of Distributed Parameter Systems: Nonlinear Phenomena*, pp. 253–266, Springer, 1994.
- [25] M. I. Mustafa, “On the control of dissipative viscoelastic Timoshenko beams,” *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 1–20, 2021.
- [26] D. S. Almeida Júnior, M. L. Santos, and J. Muñoz Rivera, “Stability to weakly dissipative Timoshenko systems,” *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, vol. 36, no. 14, pp. 1965–1976, 2013.
- [27] M. L. Santos, D. S. Almeida Júnior, and S. M. Cordeiro, “Energy decay for a porous-elastic system with nonlinear localized damping,” *Zeitschrift für angewandte Mathematik und Physik*, vol. 73, no. 1, pp. 1–21, 2022.
- [28] I. Lasiecka and D. Tataru, “Uniform boundary stabilization of semilinear wave equations with nonlinear boundary damping,” *Differential and Integral Equations*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 507–533, 1993.