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In this note, we use the notions of $(\alpha, \beta)$-symmetrical, generalized Janowski-type and spirallike functions to define a new class $\delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{\lambda}(N, M, \mu)$ defined in the open unit disk. In particular, we obtain a structural formula, a representation theorem, MarxStrohacker inequality. Our results continue to hold the covering and distortion properties.

## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathscr{H}(\Delta)$ denote the class of analytic functions in the open unit disk $\Delta=\{\omega \in \mathbb{C}:|\omega|<1\}$. Also, $\mathscr{H}$ indicates the subclass of $\mathscr{H}(\Delta)$ which has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(\omega)=\omega+\sum_{v=2}^{\infty} a_{v} \omega^{v} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The family of functions $h \in \mathscr{H}$ that are univalent in $\Delta$ is represented by $\tilde{\mathscr{H}}$.

The family of Schwarz functions is denoted by $\Omega$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega:=\{s \in \mathscr{H}, s(0)=0,|s(\omega)|<1, \omega \in \Delta\} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $h, \tilde{h}$ be analytic, the function $h$ is said to be subordinate to $\tilde{h}$ in $\Delta$ if there exists $s \in \Omega$ and $h(\omega)=\tilde{h}(s(\omega))$, and we denote this by $h(\omega)<\tilde{h}(\omega)$. Whereover $\tilde{h}$ is univalent in $\Delta$, then the subordination is equivalent to $h(0)=\tilde{h}(0)$ and $h(\Delta) \subset \tilde{h}(\Delta)$.

By using the concept of the subordination, let define the well-known Carathěodory class $\mathscr{P}=\{p \in \mathscr{H}(\Delta)$ satisfying $p(0)=1, \operatorname{Rep}(\omega)>0 ; \omega \in \Delta$, and for any function $p(0)=1$ is said to belong to the class $\mathscr{P}$ has the representation $p(\omega)$ $=(1+s(\omega)) /(1-s(\omega))$, for some $s \in \Omega$.

In [1], Janowski introduced the class $\mathscr{P}[N, M]$ with -1 $\leq M<N \leq 1$, a function $p$ analytic in $\Delta$ with $p(0)=1$ is said to belong to the class $\mathscr{P}[N, M]$ which has the representation $p(\omega)=(1+N \omega) /(1-M \omega)$.

The class $\mathscr{P}[N, M, \mu]$ of generalized Janowski functions was introduced in [2]. For arbitrary numbers $N, M$ and $\mu$ with $-1 \leq M<N \leq 1,0 \leq \mu<1$, a function $p$ analytic in $\Delta$ with $p(0)=1$ is said to belong to the class $\mathscr{P}[N, M, \mu]$ if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
p(\omega) & <\frac{1+[(1-\mu) N+\mu M] \omega}{1+M \omega} \Leftrightarrow p(\omega) \\
& =\frac{1+[(1-\mu) N+\mu M] s(\omega)}{1+M s(\omega)}, \quad s \in \Omega \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to define new classes of symmetrical functions defined in $\Delta$, we first recall the notion of $\beta$-fold symmetric functions defined in $\beta$-fold symmetric domain, where $\beta$ is any positive integer. A domain $\mathscr{G}$ is said to be $\beta$-fold symmetric if a rotation of $\mathscr{G}$ about the origin through an angle $2 \pi / \beta$ carries $\mathscr{G}$ onto itself. A function $h$ is said to be $\beta$-fold symmetric in $\mathscr{G}$ if for every $\omega$ in $\mathscr{G}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(\varepsilon \omega)=\varepsilon h(\omega),\left(\varepsilon=e^{2 \pi i / \beta}\right), \quad \omega \in \mathscr{G} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The family of all $\beta$-fold symmetric functions is denoted by $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}^{\beta}$; we get the class of odd univalent functions for $\beta=2$ . In 1995, Liczberski and Polubinski [3] constructed the theory of $(\alpha, \beta)$-symmetrical functions for $(\alpha=0,1,2, \cdots, \beta-1)$ and $(\beta=2,3, \cdots)$. If $\mathscr{G}$ is $\beta$-fold symmetric domain and $\alpha$ any integer, then a function $h: \mathscr{G} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called $(\alpha, \beta)$ -symmetrical if for each $\omega \in \mathscr{G}, h(\varepsilon \omega)=\varepsilon^{\alpha} h(\omega)$. We note that the $(\alpha, \beta)$-symmetrical functions are a generalization of the notions of even, odd, and $\beta$-symmetrical functions.

In [3], we observe that the theory of $(\alpha, \beta)$-symmetrical functions has many interesting applications; we now investigate some results in the classes of analytic functions.

Denote the family of all $(\alpha, \beta)$-symmetrical functions by $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$. We observe that, $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}^{(0,2)}, \tilde{\mathcal{S}}^{(1,2)}$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}^{(1, \beta)}$ are the classes of even, odd, and $\beta$-symmetric functions, respectively.

Theorem 1 (see [3], page 16). For every mapping $h: \Delta \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ and a $\beta$-fold symmetric set $\Delta$, there exists exactly one sequence of $(\alpha, \beta)$-symmetrical functions $h_{\alpha, \beta}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(\omega)=\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\beta-1} h_{\alpha, \beta}(\omega), h_{\alpha, \beta}(\omega)=\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{m=0}^{\beta-1} \varepsilon^{-m \alpha} h\left(\varepsilon^{m} \omega\right), \quad \omega \in \Delta \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, we say that $h$ is $\lambda$-spirallike if and only if $\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i \lambda} \omega h^{\prime}(\omega) / h(\omega)\right)>0, \lambda$ is real and $|\lambda|<\pi / 2$.

Recently, see [4-6] obtained many interesting results for various subclasses of Janowski-type functions by using the concept of $(\alpha, \beta)$-symmetrical functions.

By taking motivation from the above-cited work and using the generalized Janowski functions, $(\alpha, \beta)$-symmetrical functions, and $\lambda$-spirallike, we introduce a new subclass of analytic functions.

Definition 2. A function $h$ in $\mathscr{H}$ is said to belong to the class $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\lambda}(N, M, \mu),(-1 \leq M<N \leq 1), 0 \leq \mu<1$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\cos (\lambda)}\left[\frac{e^{i \lambda} \omega h^{\prime}(\omega)}{h_{\alpha, \beta}(\omega)}-i \sin (\lambda)\right] \in \mathscr{P}[N, M, \mu],|\lambda|<\frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \omega \in \Delta \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{\alpha, \beta}$ is defined in (5).
Our defined class generalizes many classes by choosing particular values of the parameters for various choices of $\alpha$ $, \beta, \lambda, N, M$, and $\mu$; Definition 2 yields several known and new subclasses of $\mathscr{H}$, as $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha, \beta}^{0}(N, M, \mu):=\mathcal{S}_{\alpha, \beta}(N, M, \mu)$ introduced by the authors in [5]; $\mathcal{\delta}_{1,1}^{\lambda}(N, M, 0):=\mathcal{S}(N, M$, $\mu)$ and $\mathcal{S}_{1,1}^{\lambda}(N, M, 0):=\mathcal{S}(N, M, \mu)$ motivated by Polatoğlu et al. [2, 7]; $\mathcal{S}_{1, \beta}^{0}(N, M, \mu):=\mathcal{S}_{\beta}(N, M, \mu)$ introduced by Latha and Darus [8]; $\mathcal{S}_{1, \beta}^{0}(1,-1,0):=\mathcal{S}_{\beta}$ defined by Sakaguchi [9]; $\mathcal{S}_{1,1}^{0}(N, M, 0):=\mathcal{S}[N, M]$ these class reduce to wellknown class defined by Janowski [1].

Lemma 3 (see [5]). If $h$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{S}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(N, M, \mu)$, then

$$
h_{\alpha, \beta}(\omega)= \begin{cases}\omega(1+M s(\omega))^{(1-\mu)(N-M) / M}, & \text { if } M \neq 0  \tag{7}\\ \omega \exp [(1-\mu) N s(\omega)], & \text { if } M=0\end{cases}
$$

and $h_{\alpha, \beta} \in \mathcal{S}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(N, M, \mu)$ for some $s \in \Omega$, where $h_{\alpha, \beta}$ are defined by ((5)).

Lemma 4 (see [2]). For $\tau$ is an arbitrary fixed point of $\Delta$ and $H(p)=p(\tau), p \in \mathscr{P}[N, M, \mu]$, then the set of the values of $H$ is in the closed disc with center at $C(r)$ and having the radius $\rho(r)$, where

$$
\begin{cases}C(r)=\left(\frac{1-M[(1-\mu) N+\mu M] r^{2}}{1-M^{2} r^{2}}, 0\right), \rho(r)=\frac{(1-\mu)(N-M) r}{1-M^{2} r^{2}}, & \text { if } M \neq 0  \tag{8}\\ C(r)=(1,0), \rho(r)=(1-\mu)|N| r, & \text { if } M=0\end{cases}
$$

Lemma 5 (see [10]). Let $p \in \mathscr{P}[N, M, \mu]$, then
$\frac{1-[(1-\mu) N+\mu M] r}{1-M r} \leq|p(\omega)| \leq \frac{1+[(1-\mu) N+\mu M] r}{1+M r}, \quad|\omega| \leq r<1$.

## 2. Main Results

Theorem 6. A function $h$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\lambda}(N, M, \mu)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(\omega)=\int_{0}^{\omega} \mathscr{B}(t) q(t) d t, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(t)=\exp \left\{\int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{\beta u}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\beta-1} \mathscr{B}\left(\varepsilon^{m} u\right)-\beta\right) d u\right\} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{B}(\omega)=[\cos (\lambda) p(\omega)+i \sin (\lambda)] e^{-i \lambda}, p \in \mathscr{P}[N, M, \mu] . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Suppose that $h \in \mathcal{S}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\lambda}(N, M, \mu)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\omega h^{\prime}(\omega)}{h_{\alpha, \beta}(\omega)}=[\cos (\lambda) p(\omega)+i \sin (\lambda)] e^{-i \lambda}, p \in \mathscr{P}[N, M, \mu] \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $\omega$ by $\varepsilon^{m} \omega$ in (13), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varepsilon^{m(1-\alpha)} \omega h^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon^{m} \omega\right)}{h_{\alpha, \beta}(\omega)}=\mathscr{B}\left(\varepsilon^{m} \omega\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (13) and (14), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon^{m} \omega\right)=\mathscr{B}\left(\varepsilon^{m} \omega\right) \frac{\varepsilon^{m(\alpha-1)} h^{\prime}(\omega)}{\mathscr{B}(\omega)} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By differentiation (13), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(\omega)=\frac{\omega h^{\prime \prime}(\omega)+h^{\prime}(\omega)}{\mathscr{B}(\omega)}-\omega h^{\prime}(\omega) \frac{\mathscr{B}^{\prime}(\omega)}{\mathscr{B}^{2}(\omega)} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5) and (15), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(\omega)=\frac{1}{\beta} \frac{h^{\prime}(\omega)}{\mathscr{B}(\omega)} \sum_{m=0}^{\beta-1} \mathscr{B}\left(\varepsilon^{m} \omega\right) . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5) and (17), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{h^{\prime \prime}(\omega)}{h^{\prime}(\omega)}=\frac{\mathscr{B}^{\prime}(\omega)}{\mathscr{B}(\omega)}+\frac{1}{\beta \omega}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\beta-1} \mathscr{B}\left(\varepsilon^{m} \omega\right)-\beta\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating repeatedly, we get the required structural formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(\omega)=\int_{0}^{\omega} \mathscr{B}(t) q(t) d t, \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which proves the necessity. To prove the sufficiency of (10), suppose that (10) holds with $p \in \mathscr{P}[N, M, \mu]$. The function $h$ defined by (10) is obviously in $\mathscr{H}$ with $h(0)=0$ and $h^{\prime}(0)=1$. The following identity can be verified by differentiation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega q(\omega)=\int_{0}^{\varepsilon^{m} \omega} \omega\left[\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{m=0}^{\beta-1} \varepsilon^{-\alpha m} \mathscr{B}(t) \cdot q(t)\right] d t \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q$ and $\mathscr{B}$ are given by (10) and (11), respectively. Also, using (10), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\prime}(\omega)=\mathscr{B}(\omega) \cdot q(\omega) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

which shows that $h^{\prime} \neq 0$ in $\Delta$.

From (10), since $\varepsilon$ is the root of unity, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\alpha, \beta}(\omega)=\int_{0}^{\varepsilon^{m} \omega}\left[\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{m=0}^{\beta-1} \varepsilon^{-\alpha m} \mathscr{B}(t) \cdot q(t)\right] d t . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (20), (21), and (22), we arrive at the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\alpha, \beta}(\omega)=\frac{\omega h^{\prime}(\omega)}{\mathscr{B}(\omega)} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus proving the sufficiency of (10).
Lemma 7. If $h \in \mathcal{S}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\lambda}(N, M, \mu)$, then
$h_{\alpha, \beta}(\omega)= \begin{cases}\omega(1+M s(\omega))^{e^{-i \lambda}(1-\mu)(N-M) \cos (\lambda) / M}, & M \neq 0, \\ \omega e^{(1-\mu) N \cos (\lambda) e^{-i \lambda} s(\omega)}, & M=0,\end{cases}$
for some $s \in \Omega$, where $h_{\alpha, \beta}$ are defined by (5).
Proof. By using Lemma 3 and similar technique proof in Theorem 6 in [5].

Corollary 8. Marx-Strohacker inequality for the class $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\lambda}$ $(N, M, \mu)$ is

$$
\begin{cases}\left|\log \left(\frac{h_{\alpha, \beta}(\omega)}{\omega}\right)^{e^{i \lambda} /(1-\mu) N \cos (\lambda)}\right|<1, & \text { if } M=0  \tag{25}\\ \left|\left(\frac{h_{\alpha, \beta}(\omega)}{\omega}\right)^{M e^{i \lambda} /(1-\mu)(N-M) \cos (\lambda)}-1\right|<1, & \text { if } M \neq 0\end{cases}
$$

Proof. The proof of this corollary is a simple consequence of Lemma 7. Indeed,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{h_{\alpha, \beta}(\omega)}{\omega} & =(1+M s(\omega))^{e^{-i \lambda}(1-\mu)(N-M) \cos (\lambda) / M} \\
& \Rightarrow\left|\left(\frac{h_{\alpha, \beta}(\omega)}{\omega}\right)^{M e^{i \lambda} /(1-\mu)(N-M) \cos (\lambda)}-1\right|<1  \tag{26}\\
\frac{h_{\alpha, \beta}(\omega)}{\omega} & =e^{(1-\mu) N \cos (\lambda) e^{-i \lambda} s(\omega)} \\
& \Rightarrow\left|\log \left(\frac{h_{\alpha, \beta}(\omega)}{\omega}\right)^{e^{i \lambda} /(1-\mu) N \cos (\lambda)}\right|<1
\end{align*}
$$

The next covering, starlikeness and distortion theorems for the class $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\lambda}(N, M, \mu)$ hold.

Theorem 9. Let $h \in \mathcal{S}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\lambda}(N, M, \mu)$, with $-1 \leq M<N \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \mu<1$. Then,

for some $s, \tilde{s} \in \Omega$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=[[(1-\mu) N+\mu M] \cos (\lambda)+i M \sin (\lambda)] e^{-i \lambda} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Supposing that $h \in \mathcal{S}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\lambda}(N, M, \mu)$, it follows that there exists a function $\tilde{s} \in \Omega$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{e^{i \lambda}\left(\omega h^{\prime}(\omega) / h_{\alpha, \beta}(\omega)\right)-i \sin (\lambda)}{\cos (\lambda)}  \tag{29}\\
& \quad=\frac{1+[(1-\mu) N+\mu M] \tilde{s}(\omega)}{1+M \tilde{s}(\omega)}, \quad \omega \in \Delta .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining the above relation with Lemma 7, we have

$$
h^{\prime}(\omega)= \begin{cases}\frac{1+[(1-\mu) N+\mu M] \tilde{s}(\omega)}{1+\tilde{s}(\omega)}(1+M s(\omega))^{e^{-i \lambda}(1-\mu)(N-M) \cos (\lambda) / M}, & \text { if } M \neq 0  \tag{30}\\ {\left[1+e^{-i \lambda}(1-\mu) N \cos (\lambda) \tilde{s}(\omega)\right] e^{e^{-i \lambda}(1-\mu) N \cos (\lambda) s(\omega)},} & \text { if } M=0\end{cases}
$$

Integrating the above equation along the line connecting the origin with $\omega \in \Delta$, we obtain our result.

Theorem 10. The radius of starlikeness of the class $h \in \mathcal{S}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\lambda}$ $(N, M, \mu)$ is

$$
r= \begin{cases}\frac{2}{(1-\mu)(N-M) \cos (\lambda)+\sqrt{(1-\mu)^{2}(N-M)^{2} \cos ^{2}(\lambda)+4\left\{[(1-\mu) N+\mu M] M \cos ^{2}(\lambda)+M^{2} \sin ^{2}(\lambda)\right\}}}, & \text { if } M \neq 0  \tag{31}\\ \frac{1}{(1-\mu) N \cos (\lambda)}, & \text { if } M=0\end{cases}
$$

This radius is sharp because the extremal function is

$$
h_{\alpha, \beta}(\omega)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
\omega(1+M \omega)^{e^{-\lambda \lambda}(1-\mu)(N-M) \cos (\lambda) / M}, M \neq 0  \tag{32}\\
\omega e^{(1-\mu) N \cos (\lambda) e^{-i \lambda} \omega}, M=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

using Lemma 4, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p(\omega)-\frac{1-M[(1-\mu) N+\mu M] r^{2}}{1-M^{2} r^{2}}\right| \leq \frac{(1-\mu)(N-M) r}{1-M^{2} r^{2}} . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(e^{i \lambda} \omega h^{\prime}(\omega) / h_{\alpha, \beta}(\omega)\right)-i \sin (\lambda)}{\cos (\lambda)}=p(\omega), p \in \mathscr{P}[N, M, \mu] \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (33) in (34) and after straightforward calculations, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1-(1-\mu)(N-M) \cos (\lambda) r-\left\{[(1-\mu) N+\mu M] M \cos ^{2}(\lambda)+M^{2} \sin ^{2}(\lambda)\right\} r^{2}, \\
& 1-M^{2} r^{2}  \tag{35}\\
& \left.1-(1-\mu) N \cos (\lambda) r, \quad \begin{array}{ll}
\text { if } M=0,
\end{array}\right\} \\
& \quad \leq \mathfrak{R}\left\{\omega \frac{h^{\prime}(\omega)}{h_{\alpha, \beta}(\omega)}\right\} \leq \begin{cases}\frac{1+(1-\mu)(N-M) \cos (\lambda) r-\left\{[(1-\mu) N+\mu M] M \cos ^{2}(\lambda)+M^{2} \sin ^{2}(\lambda)\right\} r^{2}}{1-M^{2} r^{2}}, & \text { if } M \neq 0, \\
1+(1-\mu) N \cos (\lambda) r, & \text { if } M=0,\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

where $|\omega| \leq r<1$. The above inequalities shows that this theorem is true.

Remark 11.
(i) For $N=-M=1, \lambda=\mu=0$, we obtain $r=1$
(ii) For $N=-M=1, \mu=0$, we obtain $r=1 /(\cos (\lambda)+\mid$ $\sin (\lambda) \mid)$

We also note that if we give another special values to $N, M, \alpha, \beta$, and $\mu$, we obtain radius of starlikeness of the subclass of $\lambda$-spirallike functions.

Corollary 12. If $h \in \mathcal{S}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\lambda}(N, M, \mu)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{(1-[(1-\mu) N+\mu M] r) \cos (\lambda)-(1-M r)|\sin (\lambda)|}{1-M r}, & \text { if } M \neq 0, \\
(1-(1-\mu) N r) \cos (\lambda)-|\sin (\lambda)|, & \text { if } M=0,
\end{array}\right\} \leq\left|\frac{\omega h^{\prime}(\omega)}{h_{\alpha, \beta}(\omega)}\right| \\
& \leq \begin{cases}\frac{(1+[(1-\mu) N+\mu M] r) \cos (\lambda)+(1+M r)|\sin (\lambda)|}{1+M r}, & \text { if } M \neq 0, \\
(1+(1-\mu) N r) \cos (\lambda)+|\sin (\lambda)|, & \text { if } M=0,\end{cases} \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

where $|\omega| \leq r<1$.
Proof. For an arbitrary function $h \in \delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{\lambda}(N, M, \mu)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\cos (\lambda)}\left[\frac{e^{i \lambda} \omega h^{\prime}(\omega)}{h_{\alpha, \beta}(\omega)}-i \sin (\lambda)\right]=p(\omega), p \in \mathscr{P}[N, M, \mu] . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Lemma 5 and after the straightforward calculations, we get the result.

Theorem 13. For $M \geq 0$, if $h \in \mathcal{S}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\lambda}(N, M, \mu)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\cos (\lambda) \frac{1-[(1-\mu) N+\mu M] r}{1-M r}-|\sin (\lambda)|\right](1-M s(\omega))^{\cos (\lambda) e^{-i \lambda}(1-\mu)(N-M) / M},}  \tag{38}\\
& {[\cos (\lambda)\{1-(1-\mu) N r\}-|\sin (\lambda)|] \exp [-\cos (\lambda)(1-\mu) N r],} \\
& \quad \leq \begin{cases}{\left[\cos (\lambda) \frac{1+[(1-\mu) N+\mu M] r}{1+M r}+|\sin (\lambda)|\right](1+M s(\omega))^{\cos (\lambda) e^{-i \lambda}(1-\mu)(N-M) / M},} & \text { if } M \neq 0, \\
{[\cos (\lambda)\{1+(1-\mu) N r\}+|\sin (\lambda)|] \exp [\cos (\lambda)(1-\mu) N r],} & \text { if } M=0,\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

where $|\omega| \leq r<1$.
Proof. For function $h \in \mathcal{S}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\lambda}(N, M, \mu)$, according to Lemma 7 , we have to distinguish the next two cases.
(i) For $M \neq 0$, then there exists a function $s \in \Omega$ such that $h_{\alpha, \beta}(\omega)=\omega(1+M s(\omega))^{\cos (\lambda) e^{-i \lambda}(1-\mu)(N-M) / M}$, and by Lemma 5, for, $|\omega| \leq r<1$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\cos (\lambda) \frac{1-[(1-\mu) N+\mu M] r}{1-M r}-|\sin (\lambda)|\right]|1+M s(\omega)|^{\cos (\lambda) e^{-i \lambda}(1-\mu)(N-M) / M}}  \tag{39}\\
& \quad \leq\left|h^{\prime}(\omega)\right| \leq\left[\cos (\lambda) \frac{1+[(1-\mu) N+\mu M] r}{1+M r}+|\sin (\lambda)|\right]|1+M s(\omega)|^{\cos (\lambda) e^{-i \lambda}(1-\mu)(N-M) / M} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $s \in \Omega$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-|M| r \leq|1+M s(\omega)| \leq 1+|M| r, \quad|\omega| \leq r<1 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $M>0$, we can easily use the fact that $-1 \leq M<N$ $\leq 1,0 \leq \mu<1$ and $|\omega| \leq r<1$; we have

$$
\begin{align*}
(1 & -|M| r)^{\cos (\lambda) e^{-i \lambda}(1-\mu)(N-M) / M} \\
& \leq|1+M s(\omega)|^{\cos (\lambda) e^{-i \lambda}(1-\mu)(N-M) / M}  \tag{41}\\
& \leq(1+|M| r)^{\cos (\lambda) e^{-i \lambda}(1-\mu)(N-M) / M}
\end{align*}
$$

and from (39), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\cos (\lambda) \frac{1-[(1-\mu) N+\mu M] r}{1-M r}-|\sin (\lambda)|\right](1-|M| s(\omega))^{\cos (\lambda) e^{-i \lambda}(1-\mu)(N-M) / M}}  \tag{42}\\
& \quad \leq\left|h^{\prime}(\omega)\right| \leq\left[\cos (\lambda) \frac{1+[(1-\mu) N+\mu M] r}{1+M r}+|\sin (\lambda)|\right](1+|M| s(\omega))^{\cos (\lambda) e^{-i \lambda}(1-\mu)(N-M) / M}
\end{align*}
$$

(ii) If $M=0$, there exists a function $s \in \Omega$ such that $h_{\alpha, \beta}$ $(\omega)=\omega \exp \left[\cos (\lambda) e^{-i \lambda}(1-\mu) N s(\omega)\right], \quad,|\omega| \leq r<1$ and therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\cos (\lambda)\{1-(1-\mu) N s(\omega)\}-|\sin (\lambda)|| | \exp \left[\cos (\lambda) e^{-i \lambda}(1-v) N s(\omega)\right] \mid\right.} \\
& \quad \leq\left|h^{\prime}(\omega)\right| \leq[\cos (\lambda)\{1+(1-\mu) N s(\omega)\}+|\sin (\lambda)|] \mid \exp \\
& \quad \cdot\left[\cos (\lambda) e^{-i \lambda}(1-\mu) N s(\omega)\right] \mid . \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\exp \left[\cos (\lambda) e^{-i \lambda}(1-\mu) N s(\omega)\right]\right|  \tag{44}\\
& \quad=\exp \left[\cos (\lambda)(1-\mu) N \operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{-i \lambda} s(\omega)\right\}\right], \quad \omega \in \Delta
\end{align*}
$$

using a similar computation as in the previous case, we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
& \exp [-\cos (\lambda)(1-\mu) N r] \\
& \quad \leq\left|\exp \left[\cos (\lambda) e^{-i \lambda}(1-\mu) N s(\omega)\right]\right|  \tag{45}\\
& \quad \leq \exp [\cos (\lambda)(1-\mu) N r], \quad|\omega| \leq r<1 .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, (43) yields to

$$
\begin{align*}
& {[\cos (\lambda)\{1-(1-\mu) N r\}-|\sin (\lambda)|] \exp [-\cos (\lambda)(1-\mu) N r]} \\
& \quad \leq\left|h^{\prime}(\omega)\right| \leq[\cos (\lambda)\{1+(1-\mu) N r\} \\
& \quad+|\sin (\lambda)|] \exp [\cos (\lambda)(1-\mu) N r], \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

for $|\omega| \leq r<1$, which completes the proof of our theorem.
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