

Research Article

Qualitative Study on Solutions of Piecewise Nonlocal Implicit Fractional Differential Equations

Mohammed S. Abdo ¹, Sahar Ahmed Idris ², Wedad Albalawi ³,
Abdel-Haleem Abdel-Aty ⁴, Mohammed Zakarya ⁵ and Emad E. Mahmoud ⁶

¹Department of Mathematics, Hodeidah University, P.O.Box. 3114, Al-Hudaydah, Yemen

²Department of Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, King Khalid University, Abha, Saudi Arabia

³Department of Mathematical Sciences, College of Science, Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University, P.O. Box 84428, Riyadh 11671, Saudi Arabia

⁴Department of Physics, College of Sciences, University of Bisha, P.O. Box 344, Bisha 61922, Saudi Arabia

⁵Department of Mathematics, College of Science, King Khalid University, P.O. Box 9004, Abha 61413, Saudi Arabia

⁶Department of Mathematics and Statistics, College of Science, Taif University, PO Box 11099, Taif 21944, Saudi Arabia

Correspondence should be addressed to Mohammed S. Abdo; msabdo@hoduniv.net.ye

Received 29 July 2022; Revised 7 September 2022; Accepted 5 October 2022; Published 28 April 2023

Academic Editor: Yusuf Gurefe

Copyright © 2023 Mohammed S. Abdo et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In this paper, we investigate new types of nonlocal implicit problems involving piecewise Caputo fractional operators. The existence and uniqueness results are proved by using some fixed point theorems. Furthermore, we present analogous results involving piecewise Caputo-Fabrizio and Atangana-Baleanu fractional operators. The ensuring of the existence of solutions is shown by Ulam-Hyler's stability. At last, two examples are given to show and approve our outcomes.

1. Introduction

It merits noticing that fractional calculus (FC) has gotten significant thought from scientists and researchers. It is a result of its wide scope of uses in different fields and disciplines. The crucial concepts and definitions of FC have been presented in [1, 2]. In [3, 4], the authors introduced some fundamental history of fractional calculus and its applications to engineering and different areas of science.

Many classes of fractional differential equations (FDEs) have been intensively investigated in the last decades, for instance, theories involving the existence of unique solutions have been notarized [5–7]. Numerical and analytical methods have been evolving with the target to solve such equations [8–10]. These equations have been tracked as useful in modeling some real-world problems with incredible achievement.

The qualitative properties of solutions represent a very important aspect of the theory of FDEs. The formerly aforesaid region has been studied well for classical differential equations. However, for FDEs, there are many aspects that require further studying and reconnoitering. The attention on the existence and uniqueness has been especially focused by applying Riemann-Liouville (R-L), Caputo, Hilfer, and other FDs, see [11–15].

In this regard, Agarwal et al. [16] investigated the existence of solutions of the following Caputo type FDE:

$${}^C D_{0^+}^{\vartheta} v(x) = f(x, v(x)), x \in [0, T], 0 < \vartheta < 1, \quad (1)$$
$$v(0) + g(v) = v_0.$$

The basic theory of implicit FDEs with Caputo FD has been investigated by Kucche et al. [17]. Wahash et al. [18]

considered the following nonlocal implicit FDEs with ψ -Caputo FD

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^{\vartheta;\psi} v(\kappa) &= f\left(\kappa, v(\kappa), \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^{\vartheta;\psi} v(\kappa)\right), \quad \kappa \in [a, T], 0 < \vartheta < 1 \\ v(a) + g(v) &= v_a. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Problem (2) with $\psi(\kappa) = \kappa$ has been studied by Benchohra and Bouriah [19].

Motivated by the above works and inspired by [20], we consider the piecewise Caputo implicit FDE (PC-IFDE) of the type:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{PC}\mathbb{D}_{0^+}^{\vartheta} v(\kappa) &= \Phi\left(\kappa, v(\kappa), {}^{PC}\mathbb{D}_{0^+}^{\vartheta} v(\kappa)\right), \\ v(0) &= v_0, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

and the following piecewise Caputo nonlocal implicit FDE (PC-NIFDE):

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{PC}\mathbb{D}_{0^+}^{\vartheta} v(\kappa) &= \Phi\left(\kappa, v(\kappa), {}^{PC}\mathbb{D}_{0^+}^{\vartheta} v(\kappa)\right), \\ v(0) + g(v) &= v_0, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $0 < \vartheta \leq 1, \kappa \in \mathbb{J} := [0, b], v_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \Phi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{J} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), g \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{R})$, and ${}^{PC}\mathbb{D}_{0^+}^{\vartheta}$ represent the piecewise Caputo FD of order ϑ defined by

$${}^{PC}\mathbb{D}_{0^+}^{\vartheta} f(\kappa) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{D}f(\kappa): & \text{if } \kappa \in [0, \kappa_1], \\ {}^C\mathbb{D}_{\kappa_1}^{\vartheta} f(\kappa): & \text{if } \kappa \in [\kappa_1, b], \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where $\mathbb{D}f(\kappa) := (d/d\kappa)f(\kappa)$ is a classical derivative on $0 \leq \kappa \leq \kappa_1$ and ${}^C\mathbb{D}_{\kappa_1}^{\vartheta}$ is standard Caputo FD on $\kappa_1 \leq \kappa \leq b$.

It is essential to note that the utilization of nonlinear condition $v(0) + g(v) = v_0$ in physical issues yields better impact than the initial condition $v(0) = v_0$ (see [21]).

We pay attention to the topic of the novel piecewise operators. As far as we could possibly know, no outcomes in the literature are addressing the qualitative aspects of the aforesaid problems by using the piecewise FC. Consequently, by conquering this gap, we will examine the existence, uniqueness, and Ulam-Hyers stability results of piecewise Caputo problems (3) and (4) based on the standard fixed point theorems due to Banach-type and Schauder-type. Furthermore, we present similar results containing piecewise Caputo-Fabrizio (PCF) type and piecewise Atangana-Baleanu (PAB) type. An open problem with respect to another function is suggested.

Remark 1.

- (i) If $g(v) \equiv 0$, then problem (4) reduces to the PC-IFDE (3).

- (ii) If ${}^{PC}\mathbb{D}_{0^+}^{\vartheta} v(\kappa) = {}^C\mathbb{D}_{\kappa_1}^{\vartheta} v(\kappa)$, then problem (4) has been studied by Benchohra and Bouriah [19], Haoues et al. [22], and Abdo et al. [11] for $\psi(\kappa) = \kappa$.

- (iii) Our current results for problem (4) stay available on PC-IFDE (3).

The substance of this paper is coordinated as follows: Section 2 presents a few required outcomes and fundamentals about piecewise FC. Our key outcomes for problem (4) are proved in Section 3. Two examples to make sense of the gained outcomes are built in Section 4. Toward the end, we encapsulate our study in the end section.

2. Primitive Results

In this section, we present some concepts of a piecewise FC. Let

$$\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \eta : \mathbb{J} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} ; \|\eta\| = \max_{\kappa \in \mathbb{J}} |\eta(\kappa)| \right\}. \quad (6)$$

Obviously \mathcal{C} is a Banach space under $\|\eta\|$.

Definition 2 [20]. Let $\vartheta > 0$, and $\eta : \mathbb{J} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous. Then, the piecewise version of RL integral is given by

$${}^{PRL}\mathbb{I}_{0^+}^{\vartheta} \eta(\kappa) = \begin{cases} \|\eta(\kappa), & \text{if } \kappa \in [0, \kappa_1], \\ {}^{RL}\mathbb{I}_{\kappa_1}^{\vartheta} \eta(\kappa) & \text{if } \kappa \in [\kappa_1, b], \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

where $\|\eta(\kappa) = \int_0^{\kappa_1} \eta(x) dx$ and ${}^{RL}\mathbb{I}_{\kappa_1}^{\vartheta} \eta(\kappa) = 1/(\Gamma(\vartheta)) \int_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa} (\kappa - t)^{\vartheta-1} \eta(t) dt$.

Definition 3 [20]. Let $0 < \vartheta \leq 1$, and $\eta : \mathbb{J} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous. Then, the piecewise version of Caputo derivative is given by

$${}^{PC}\mathbb{D}_{0^+}^{\vartheta} \eta(\kappa) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{D}\eta(\kappa), & \text{if } \kappa \in [0, \kappa_1], \\ {}^C\mathbb{D}_{\kappa_1}^{\vartheta} \eta(\kappa) & \text{if } \kappa \in [\kappa_1, b], \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

where $\mathbb{D}\eta(\kappa) = (d/d\kappa)\eta(\kappa)$ and ${}^C\mathbb{D}_{\kappa_1}^{\vartheta} \eta(\kappa) = 1/(\Gamma(1 - \vartheta)) \int_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa} (\kappa - t)^{-\vartheta} \eta'(t) dt$.

Lemma 4 [20]. *Let $0 < \vartheta \leq 1$, and $f(0) = 0$. Then, the following PC-FDE*

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{PC}\mathbb{D}_{0^+}^{\vartheta} \eta(\kappa) &= f(\kappa), \\ \eta(0) &= \kappa_0, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

has the following solution

$$\eta(\kappa) = \begin{cases} \eta(0) + \int_0^{\kappa_1} \eta(\kappa) d\kappa, & \text{if } \kappa \in [0, \kappa_1], \\ \eta(\kappa_1) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta)} \int_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa} (\kappa - t)^{\vartheta-1} \eta(t) dt & \text{if } \kappa \in [\kappa_1, b]. \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

Lemma 5 [20]. Let $\vartheta \in (0, 1]$, and for a given function, $\eta \in \mathcal{C}$. Then,

$${}^{PRL} \mathbb{I}_{0^+}^{\vartheta} {}^{PC} \mathbb{D}_{0^+}^{\vartheta} \eta(\kappa) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{I} \mathbb{D} \eta(\kappa) = \eta(\kappa) - \eta(0), & \text{if } \kappa \in [0, \kappa_1], \\ {}^{RL} \mathbb{I}_{\kappa_1}^{\vartheta} {}^C \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_1}^{\vartheta} \eta(\kappa) = \eta(\kappa) - \eta(\kappa_1), & \text{if } \kappa \in [\kappa_1, b]. \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

For our aim, we need the Banach fixed-point theorem [23] and the Schauder fixed-point theorem [24].

3. Main Results

In this section, we give some qualitative analyses of the PC-IFDE and PC-NIFDE.

Lemma 6. Let $\Phi(\kappa, v, \omega): \mathbb{J} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Then, PC-NIFDE (4) is equivalent to

$$v(\kappa) = \begin{cases} v_0 - g(v) + \int_0^{\kappa_1} \Phi_v(t) dt & \text{if } \kappa \in [0, \kappa_1], \\ v(\kappa_1) - g(v) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta)} \int_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa} (\kappa - t)^{\vartheta-1} \Phi_v(t) dt, & \text{if } \kappa \in [\kappa_1, b], \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

where $\Phi_v \in \mathcal{C}$ satisfies the functional equation

$$\Phi_v(\kappa) = \begin{cases} \Phi(\kappa, v_0 - g(v) + \int_0^{\kappa_1} \Phi_v(t) dt, \Phi_v(\kappa)) & \text{if } \kappa \in [0, \kappa_1], \\ \Phi(\kappa, v(\kappa_1) - g(v) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta)} \int_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa} (\kappa - t)^{\vartheta-1} \Phi_v(t) dt, \Phi_v(\kappa)), & \text{if } \kappa \in [\kappa_1, b]. \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

Proof. Let ${}^{PC} \mathbb{D}_{0^+}^{\vartheta} v(\kappa) = \Phi_v(\kappa)$.

Then, by applying ${}^{PRL} \mathbb{I}_{0^+}^{\vartheta}$, we obtain

$${}^{PRL} \mathbb{I}_{0^+}^{\vartheta} {}^{PC} \mathbb{D}_{0^+}^{\vartheta} v(\kappa) = {}^{PRL} \mathbb{I}_{0^+}^{\vartheta} \Phi_v(\kappa). \tag{14}$$

□

In view of Lemma 5, we have

Case 1. For $\kappa \in [0, \kappa_1]$,

$$v(\kappa) = v(0) + \int_0^{\kappa_1} \Phi_v(t) dt. \tag{15}$$

Case 2. For $\kappa \in [\kappa_1, b]$,

$$v(\kappa) = v(\kappa_1) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta)} \int_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa} (\kappa - t)^{\vartheta-1} \Phi_v(t) dt. \tag{16}$$

Using the nonlocal condition in both cases, we obtain

$$v(\kappa) = \begin{cases} v_0 - g(v) + \int_0^{\kappa_1} \Phi_v(t) dt, & \text{if } \kappa \in [0, \kappa_1], \\ v(\kappa_1) - g(v) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta)} \int_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa} (\kappa - t)^{\vartheta-1} \Phi_v(t) dt, & \text{if } \kappa \in [\kappa_1, b]. \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

So, we get (12). On the other hand, let (13) be satisfied. Set

$$v(\kappa) = \begin{cases} v_0 - g(v) + \int_0^{\kappa_1} \Phi_v(t) dt & \text{if } \kappa \in [0, \kappa_1], \\ v(\kappa_1) - g(v) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta)} \int_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa} (\kappa - t)^{\vartheta-1} \Phi_v(t) dt, & \text{if } \kappa \in [\kappa_1, b]. \end{cases} \tag{18}$$

This implies that

$${}^{PC} \mathbb{D}_{0^+}^{\vartheta} v(\kappa) = \begin{cases} \frac{d}{d\kappa} \left(v_0 - g(v) + \int_0^{\kappa_1} \Phi_v(t) dt \right) & \text{if } \kappa \in [0, \kappa_1], \\ {}^C \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_1}^{\vartheta} \left(v(\kappa_1) - g(v) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta)} \int_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa} (\kappa - t)^{\vartheta-1} \Phi_v(t) dt \right), & \text{if } \kappa \in [\kappa_1, b]. \end{cases} \tag{19}$$

Since $\mathbb{I} \mathbb{D} \Phi_v(\kappa) = (d/d\kappa) \int_0^{\kappa_1} \Phi_v(t) dt = \Phi_v(\kappa)$ on $0 \leq \kappa \leq \kappa_1$, and ${}^C \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_1}^{\vartheta} \mathbb{I}_{\kappa_1}^{\vartheta} \Phi_v(\kappa) = \Phi_v(\kappa)$ on $\kappa_1 \leq \kappa \leq b$, we obtain ${}^{PC} \mathbb{D}_{0^+}^{\vartheta} v(\kappa) = \Phi_v(\kappa)$, and hence

$${}^{PC} \mathbb{D}_{0^+}^{\vartheta} v(\kappa) = \Phi(\kappa, v(\kappa), {}^{PC} \mathbb{D}_{0^+}^{\vartheta} v(\kappa)), \text{ for each } \kappa \in \mathbb{J}. \tag{20}$$

The next assumptions will be applied in the sequel:

(Assu₁) The functions $\Phi : \mathbb{J} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\Omega : \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow (0, \infty)$, and $\varphi, \psi : \mathbb{J} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous with Ω that is a nondecreasing such that

$$|\Phi(\kappa, v, \omega)| \leq \varphi(\kappa) \Omega(|v|) + \psi(\kappa) |\omega|, \text{ for each } (\kappa, v, \omega) \in \mathbb{J} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}. \tag{21}$$

(Assu₂) $g : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and compact with $|g(v)| \leq a|v| + b$, for $v \in \mathcal{C}, a, b > 0$.

(Assu₃) There exist $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 > 0$, such that $0 < \kappa_1, \kappa_2 < 1$, and

$$|\Phi(\kappa, v, \omega) - \Phi(\kappa, \bar{v}, \bar{\omega})| \leq \kappa_1 |v - \bar{v}| + \kappa_2 |\omega - \bar{\omega}|, \text{ for each } \kappa \in \mathbb{J}, v, \omega, \bar{v}, \bar{\omega} \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{22}$$

(Assu₄) There exists $\kappa_3 > 0$, such that $0 < \kappa_3 < 1$ and $|g(v) - g(\omega)| \leq \kappa_3|v - \omega|$, for $v, \omega \in \mathcal{E}$.

Now, we shall prove the existence theorem for (4) based on Schauder's theorem.

Theorem 7. *Let (Assu₁) and (Assu₂) hold.*

Then, piecewise Caputo FNIDE (4) has at least one solution on \mathbb{J} .

Proof. Consider the operator $Q : \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}$, such that $(Qv)(\varkappa) = v(\varkappa)$, i.e.,

$$(Qv)(\varkappa) = \begin{cases} v_0 - g(v) + \int_0^{\varkappa_1} \Phi_v(t) dt, & \text{if } \varkappa \in [0, \varkappa_1], \\ v(\varkappa_1) - g(v) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta)} \int_{\varkappa_1}^{\varkappa} (\varkappa - t)^{\vartheta-1} \Phi_v(t) dt, & \text{if } \varkappa \in [\varkappa_1, b], \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

where $\Phi_v \in \mathcal{E}$, with $\Phi_v(\varkappa) := \Phi(\varkappa, v(\varkappa), \Phi_v(\varkappa))$. Define the ball

$$\mathcal{S}_\beta = \{v \in \mathcal{E} : \|v\|_{\mathcal{E}} \leq \beta\}, \quad (24)$$

where

$$\beta \geq \max \left\{ |v_0| + a\beta + b + \frac{\varphi^* \Omega(\beta)}{1 - \psi^*} b, |v(\varkappa_1)| + a\beta + b + \frac{\varphi^* \Omega(\beta)}{1 - \psi^*} \frac{(b - \varkappa_1)^\vartheta}{\Gamma(\vartheta + 1)} \right\}, \quad (25)$$

$\varphi^* = \sup |\varphi(\varkappa)|$, and $\psi^* = \sup |\psi(\varkappa)|$, with $0 < \psi^* < 1$. \square

For any $v \in \mathcal{S}_\beta$, and by (Assu₁), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi_v(\varkappa)| &= |\Phi(\varkappa, v(\varkappa), \Phi_v(\varkappa))| \\ &\leq \varphi(\varkappa) \Omega(\|v\|_{\mathcal{E}}) + \psi(\varkappa) |\Phi_v(\varkappa)| \\ &\leq \varphi^* \Omega(\beta) + \psi^* \|\Phi_v\|_{\mathcal{E}}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Since $\psi^* < 1$, we obtain

$$\|\Phi_v\|_{\mathcal{E}} \leq \frac{\varphi^* \Omega(\beta)}{1 - \psi^*}. \quad (27)$$

Hence, the proceed is in the following steps:

Step 1. $Q(\mathcal{S}_\beta)$ is bounded.

Case 1. For $\varkappa \in [0, \varkappa_1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(Qv)(\varkappa)| &\leq |v_0| + \sup_{v \in \mathcal{S}_\beta} |g(v)| + \sup_{\varkappa \in [0, \varkappa_1]} \int_0^{\varkappa_1} |\Phi_v(t)| dt \\ &\leq |v_0| + a\|v\|_{\mathcal{E}} + b + \frac{\varphi^* \Omega(\beta)}{1 - \psi^*} \varkappa_1 \\ &\leq |v_0| + a\beta + b + \frac{\varphi^* \Omega(\beta)}{1 - \psi^*} \varkappa_1 \leq \beta. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Case 2. For $\varkappa \in [\varkappa_1, b]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(Qv)(\varkappa)| &\leq \sup_{\varkappa \in [\varkappa_1, b]} |v(\varkappa_1)| + \sup_{v \in \mathcal{S}_\beta} |g(v)| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta)} \sup_{\varkappa \in [\varkappa_1, b]} \int_{\varkappa_1}^{\varkappa} (\varkappa - t)^{\vartheta-1} |\Phi_v(t)| dt \\ &\leq |v(\varkappa_1)| + a\|v\|_{\mathcal{E}} + b + \frac{\varphi^* \Omega(\beta) (b - \varkappa_1)^\vartheta}{1 - \psi^* \Gamma(\vartheta + 1)} \\ &\leq |v(\varkappa_1)| + a\beta + b + \frac{\varphi^* \Omega(\beta) (b - \varkappa_1)^\vartheta}{1 - \psi^* \Gamma(\vartheta + 1)} \leq \beta. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

From (28) and (29), we conclude that $\|Qv\|_{\mathcal{E}} \leq \beta$. Thus, $Q(\mathcal{S}_\beta) \subset \mathcal{S}_\beta$. Since \mathcal{S}_β is bounded, then $Q(\mathcal{S}_\beta)$ is bounded.

Step 2. $Q : \mathcal{S}_\beta \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_\beta$ is continuous. Let a sequence (v_n) such that $v_n \longrightarrow v$ in \mathcal{S}_β as $n \longrightarrow \infty$. Then, for $\varkappa \in [0, \varkappa_1]$, we have

$$|(Qv_n)(\varkappa) - (Qv)(\varkappa)| \leq |g(v_n) - g(v)| + \int_0^{\varkappa_1} |\Phi_{v_n}(t) - \Phi_v(t)| dt. \quad (30)$$

For $\varkappa \in [\varkappa_1, b]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(Qv_n)(\varkappa) - (Qv)(\varkappa)| &\leq |v_n(\varkappa_1) - v(\varkappa_1)| + |g(v_n) - g(v)| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta)} \int_{\varkappa_1}^{\varkappa} (\varkappa - t)^{\vartheta-1} |\Phi_{v_n}(t) - \Phi_v(t)| dt, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where $\Phi_v, \Phi_{v_n} \in \mathcal{E}$, with $\Phi_{v_n}(\varkappa) := \Phi(\varkappa, v_n(\varkappa), \Phi_{v_n}(\varkappa))$ and $\Phi_v(\varkappa) := \Phi(\varkappa, v(\varkappa), \Phi_v(\varkappa))$. Since $v_n \longrightarrow v$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$ and Φ_v, Φ_{v_n}, Φ , and g are continuous, the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem gives that

$$\|Qv_n - Qv\|_{\mathcal{E}} \longrightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \longrightarrow \infty. \quad (32)$$

Step 3. $Q(\mathcal{S}_\beta)$ is equicontinuous. Let $\varkappa \in [0, \varkappa_1]$, then $\varkappa_m < \varkappa_n \in [0, \varkappa_1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(Qv)(\varkappa_n) - (Qv)(\varkappa_m)| &\leq |g(v(\varkappa_n)) - g(v(\varkappa_m))| \\ &\quad + (\varkappa_n - \varkappa_m) \frac{\varphi^* \Omega(\beta)}{1 - \psi^*}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Let $\varkappa \in [\varkappa_1, b]$, then $\varkappa_m < \varkappa_n \in [\varkappa_1, b]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |(Qv)(\varkappa_n) - (Qv)(\varkappa_m)| \\
 & \leq |g(v(\varkappa_n)) - g(v(\varkappa_m))| + \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta)} \int_{\varkappa_1}^{\varkappa_n} (\varkappa_n - t)^{\vartheta-1} \Phi_v(t) dt \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta)} \int_{\varkappa_1}^{\varkappa_m} (\varkappa_m - t)^{\vartheta-1} \Phi_v(t) dt \right| \\
 & \leq |g(v(\varkappa_n)) - g(v(\varkappa_m))| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta)} \int_{\varkappa_1}^{\varkappa_n} (\varkappa_n - t)^{\vartheta-1} \\
 & \quad - (\varkappa_m - t)^{\vartheta-1} |\Phi_v(t)| dt + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta)} \int_{\varkappa_n}^{\varkappa_m} (\varkappa_m - t)^{\vartheta-1} |\Phi_v(t)| dt \\
 & \leq |g(v(\varkappa_n)) - g(v(\varkappa_m))| + \frac{(\varkappa_n - \varkappa_1)^\vartheta}{\Gamma(\vartheta + 1)} \frac{\varphi^* \Omega(\beta)}{1 - \psi^*} \\
 & \quad + \left(\frac{(\varkappa_m - \varkappa_n)^\vartheta - (\varkappa_m - \varkappa_1)^\vartheta}{\Gamma(\vartheta + 1)} + \frac{(\varkappa_m - \varkappa_n)^\vartheta}{\Gamma(\vartheta + 1)} \right) \frac{\varphi^* \Omega(\beta)}{1 - \psi^*} \\
 & \leq |g(v(\varkappa_n)) - g(v(\varkappa_m))| + \frac{2(\varkappa_m - \varkappa_n)^\vartheta}{\Gamma(\vartheta + 1)} \frac{\varphi^* \Omega(\beta)}{1 - \psi^*}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Since g is continuous and compact, (33) and (34) give

$$|(Qv)(\varkappa_n) - (Qv)(\varkappa_m)| \longrightarrow 0, \text{ as } \varkappa_m \longrightarrow \varkappa_n. \tag{35}$$

That means Q is relatively compact on \mathcal{S}_β . So, Q is completely continuous due to the Arzela–Ascoli theorem. Thus, Schauder’s theorem shows that problem (4) has at least one solution.

Next, we prove the uniqueness theorem for (4) based on Banach’s theorem.

Theorem 8. *Let (Assu₃)-(Assu₄) hold.*

If $\max_{\varkappa \in \mathbb{J}} \{\zeta_1, \zeta_2\} = \zeta < 1$, then PC-NIFDE (4) has a unique solution on \mathbb{J} , where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \zeta_1 & := \kappa_3 + \frac{\kappa_1}{1 - \kappa_2} \varkappa_1, \\
 \zeta_2 & := \kappa_3 + \frac{\kappa_1}{1 - \kappa_2} \frac{(b - \varkappa_1)^\vartheta}{\Gamma(\vartheta + 1)}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Proof. Consider v and \bar{v} in \mathcal{C} , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |\Phi_v(\varkappa) - \Phi_{\bar{v}}(\varkappa)| \\
 & = |\Phi(\varkappa, v(\varkappa), \Phi_v(\varkappa)) - \Phi(\varkappa, \bar{v}(\varkappa), \Phi_{\bar{v}}(\varkappa))| \\
 & \leq \kappa_1 |v(\varkappa) - \bar{v}(\varkappa)| + \kappa_2 |\Phi_v(\varkappa) - \Phi_{\bar{v}}(\varkappa)|,
 \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

which implies that

$$|\Phi_v(\varkappa) - \Phi_{\bar{v}}(\varkappa)| \leq \frac{\kappa_1}{1 - \kappa_2} |v(\varkappa) - \bar{v}(\varkappa)|. \tag{38}$$

□

Hence, we have two cases:

Case 1. For $\varkappa \in [0, \varkappa_1]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |(Qv)(\varkappa) - (Q\bar{v})(\varkappa)| \\
 & \leq |g(v) - g(\bar{v})| + \int_0^{\varkappa_1} |\Phi_v(t) - \Phi_{\bar{v}}(t)| dt \\
 & \leq \left(\kappa_3 + \frac{\kappa_1 \varkappa_1}{1 - \kappa_2} \right) \|v - \bar{v}\|_{\mathcal{C}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Case 2. For $\varkappa \in [\varkappa_1, b]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |(Qv)(\varkappa) - (Q\bar{v})(\varkappa)| \\
 & \leq |g(v) - g(\bar{v})| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta)} \int_{\varkappa_1}^{\varkappa} (\varkappa - t)^{\vartheta-1} |\Phi_v(t) - \Phi_{\bar{v}}(t)| dt \\
 & \leq \left(\kappa_3 + \frac{(b - \varkappa_1)^\vartheta}{\Gamma(\vartheta + 1)} \frac{\kappa_1}{1 - \kappa_2} \right) \|v - \bar{v}\|_{\mathcal{C}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Consequently,

$$\|Qv - Q\bar{v}\|_{\mathcal{C}} \leq \zeta \|v - \bar{v}\|_{\mathcal{C}}. \tag{41}$$

Since $\zeta < 1$, Q is a contraction. Thus, Banach’s theorem shows that PC-NIFDE (4) has a unique solution that exists on \mathbb{J} .

3.1. An Analogous Results. In this part, we show some analogous results according to our preceding outcomes.

3.1.1. Piecewise Caputo-Fabrizio NIFDE (PCF-NIFDE). Consider the following PCF-NIFDE

$$\begin{aligned}
 & {}^{PCF} \mathbb{D}_{0^+}^\vartheta v(\varkappa) = \Phi\left(\varkappa, v(\varkappa), {}^{PCF} \mathbb{D}_{0^+}^\vartheta v(\varkappa)\right), \\
 & v(0) + g(v) = v0,
 \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

where ${}^{PCF} \mathbb{D}_{0^+}^\vartheta$ is the piecewise derivative in the Caputo-Fabrizio sense (see [20]) defined by

$${}^{PCF} \mathbb{D}_{0^+}^\vartheta v(\varkappa) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{D}v(\varkappa) = \frac{dv}{dx}, & \text{if } \varkappa \in [0, \varkappa_1], \\ {}^{CF} \mathbb{D}_{\varkappa_1}^\vartheta v(\varkappa) = \frac{(2 - \vartheta)\aleph(\vartheta)}{2(1 - \vartheta)} \int_{\varkappa_1}^{\varkappa} \exp(\lambda(\varkappa - t)) v'(\varkappa) dt & \text{if } \varkappa \in [\varkappa_1, b], \end{cases} \tag{43}$$

where $\aleph(\vartheta) = (2/2 - \vartheta)$, $\lambda = (\vartheta/\vartheta - 1)$, and ${}^{CF} \mathbb{D}_{\varkappa_1}^\vartheta$ are the classical Caputo-Fabrizio FD (see [25]).

Let $\Phi_v(\kappa) := \Phi(\kappa, v(\kappa), \Phi_v(\kappa))$; based on PCF-NIFDE (42), the results in Theorems 7 and 8 can be presented by

$$v(\kappa) = \begin{cases} v_0 - g(v) + \mathbb{I}_{\Phi_v(\kappa)}, & \text{if } \kappa \in [0, \kappa_1] \\ v(\kappa_1) - g(v) + {}^{CF}\mathbb{I}_{\kappa_1}^{\vartheta} \Phi_v(\kappa), & \text{if } \kappa \in [\kappa_1, b] \end{cases} \\ = \begin{cases} v_0 - g(v) + \int_0^{\kappa_1} \Phi_v(t) dt, & \text{if } \kappa \in [0, \kappa_1], \\ v(\kappa_1) - g(v) + \frac{2(1-\vartheta)}{\aleph(\vartheta)(2-\vartheta)} \Phi_v(\kappa) + \frac{2\vartheta}{\aleph(\vartheta)(2-\vartheta)} \int_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa} \Phi_v(t) dt, & \text{if } \kappa \in [\kappa_1, b], \end{cases} \quad (44)$$

where $\mathbb{I}_{\Phi_v(\kappa)} = \int_0^{\kappa_1} \Phi_v(t) dt$ and ${}^{CF}\mathbb{I}_{0^+}^{\vartheta}$ are a Caputo-Fabrizio integral on $\kappa_1 \leq \kappa \leq b$ (see [25]).

3.1.2. Piecewise Atangana-Baleanu NIFDE (PAB-NIFDE). Consider the following PAB-NIFDE

$${}^{PAB}\mathbb{D}_{0^+}^{\vartheta} v(\kappa) = \Phi\left(\kappa, v(\kappa), {}^{PAB}\mathbb{D}_{0^+}^{\vartheta} v(\kappa)\right), \quad (45) \\ v(0) + g(v) = v_0,$$

$$v(\kappa) = \begin{cases} v_0 - g(v) + \mathbb{I}_{\Phi_v(\kappa)}, & \text{if } \kappa \in [0, \kappa_1] \\ v(\kappa_1) - g(v) + {}^{AB}\mathbb{I}_{\kappa_1}^{\vartheta} \Phi_v(\kappa), & \text{if } \kappa \in [\kappa_1, b] \end{cases} = \begin{cases} v_0 - g(v) + \int_0^{\kappa_1} \Phi_v(t) dt, & \text{if } \kappa \in [0, \kappa_1], \\ v(\kappa_1) - g(v) + \frac{1-\vartheta}{\aleph(\vartheta)} \Phi_v(\kappa) + \frac{\vartheta}{\aleph(\vartheta)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta)} \int_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa} (\kappa-t)^{\vartheta-1} \Phi_v(t) dt, & \text{if } \kappa \in [\kappa_1, b], \end{cases} \quad (47)$$

where ${}^{AB}\mathbb{I}_{0^+}^{\vartheta}$ is the Atangana-Baleanu integral on $\kappa_1 \leq \kappa \leq b$ (see [26]).

Remark 9. Following the strategy of proof utilized in the previous part, we can get the existence results for nonlinear problems (42) and (45).

3.2. UH Stability Analysis. In this portion, we give the UH Stability of problem (4).

Definition 10. PC-NIFDE (4) is UH stable if there exists a $K_f > 0$, such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and each solution $\omega \in \mathcal{C}$ of the inequality

$$\left| {}^{PC}\mathbb{D}_{0^+}^{\vartheta} \omega(\kappa) - \Phi_{\omega}(\kappa) \right| \leq \varepsilon, \kappa \in \mathbb{J}, \quad (48)$$

there exists a solution $v \in \mathcal{C}$ of PC-NIFDE (4) that satisfies

$$|\omega(\kappa) - v(\kappa)| \leq K_f \varepsilon, \quad (49)$$

where $\Phi_{\omega}(\kappa) := {}^{PC}\mathbb{D}_{0^+}^{\vartheta} \omega(\kappa)$ and $\Phi_{\omega}(\kappa) = \Phi(\kappa, \omega(\kappa), \Phi_{\omega}(\kappa))$.

Remark 11. $\omega \in \mathcal{C}$ satisfies inequality (48) if there exist function $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}$ with

where ${}^{PAB}\mathbb{D}_{0^+}^{\vartheta}$ is the piecewise derivative in the Atangana-Baleanu sense defined by (see [20])

$${}^{PAB}\mathbb{D}_{0^+}^{\vartheta} v(\kappa) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{D}_{v(\kappa)} = \frac{dv}{d\kappa}, & \text{if } \kappa \in [0, \kappa_1], \\ {}^{AB}\mathbb{D}_{0^+}^{\vartheta} v(\kappa) = \frac{(2-\vartheta)\aleph(\vartheta)}{2(1-\vartheta)} \int_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa} \exp(\lambda(\kappa-t)) v'(t) dt & \text{if } \kappa \in [\kappa_1, b], \end{cases} \quad (46)$$

where $\aleph(\vartheta)$ is the normalization function that satisfies $\aleph(1) = \aleph(0) = 1$; $\lambda = (\vartheta/(\vartheta-1))$, and ${}^{AB}\mathbb{D}_{0^+}^{\vartheta}$ are the classical Atangana-Baleanu FD ([26]).

Based on PAB-NIFDE (45), the results in Theorems 7 and 8 can be presented by

$$(i) \quad |\sigma(\kappa)| \leq \varepsilon, \kappa \in \mathbb{J}$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{For all } \kappa \in \mathbb{J}$$

$${}^{PC}\mathbb{D}_{0^+}^{\vartheta} \omega(\kappa) = \Phi_{\omega}(\kappa) + \sigma(\kappa). \quad (50)$$

Lemma 12. Let $0 < \vartheta \leq 1$, and $\omega \in \mathcal{C}$ is a solution of inequality (48). Then, ω satisfies

$$\left| \omega(\kappa) - \mathcal{W}_0 - \int_0^{\kappa_1} \Phi_{\omega}(t) dt \right| \leq \kappa_1 \varepsilon, \text{ if } \kappa \in [0, \kappa_1], \\ \left| \omega(\kappa) - \mathcal{W}_1 - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta)} \int_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa} (\kappa-t)^{\vartheta-1} \Phi_{\omega}(t) dt \right| \\ \leq \frac{(b-\kappa_1)^{\vartheta}}{\Gamma(\vartheta+1)} \varepsilon, \text{ if } \kappa \in [\kappa_1, b], \quad (51)$$

where $\mathcal{W}_0 = \omega_0 - g(\omega)$ and $\mathcal{W}_1 = \omega(\kappa_1) - g(\omega)$.

Proof. Let ω be a solution of (48).

By part (ii) of Remark 11, we have

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{PC}\mathbb{D}_{0^+}^\vartheta \omega(x) &= \Phi_\omega(x) + \sigma(x), \\ \omega(0) + g(\omega) &= \omega_0. \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Then, the solution of problem (52) is

$$\omega(x) = \begin{cases} \mathscr{W}_0 + \int_0^{x_1} [\Phi_\omega(t) + \sigma(t)] dt, & \text{if } x \in [0, x_1], \\ \mathscr{W}_1 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta)} \int_{x_1}^x (\chi - t)^{\vartheta-1} [\Phi_\omega(t) + \sigma(t)] dt, & \text{if } x \in [x_1, b]. \end{cases} \tag{53}$$

□

Again by (i) of Remark 11, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \omega(x) - \mathscr{W}_0 - \int_0^{x_1} \Phi_\omega(t) dt \right| \\ & \leq \int_0^{x_1} |\sigma(t)| dt \leq \varepsilon x_1, \text{ for } x \in [0, x_1], \\ & \left| \omega(x) - \mathscr{W}_1 - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta)} \int_{x_1}^x (\chi - t)^{\vartheta-1} \Phi_\omega(t) dt \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta)} \int_{x_1}^x (\chi - t)^{\vartheta-1} |\sigma(t)| dt \\ & \leq \frac{(b - x_1)^\vartheta}{\Gamma(\vartheta + 1)} \varepsilon, \text{ for } x \in [x_1, b]. \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

Theorem 13. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 8. Then, the solution of PC-NIFDE (4) is HU and GHU stable.*

Proof. Let $\omega \in \mathcal{C}$ be a solution of inequality (48), and $v \in \mathcal{C}$ be a unique solution of the following PC-NIFDE.

$${}^{PC}\mathbb{D}_{0^+}^\vartheta v(x) = \Phi_v(x), \tag{55}$$

□

From Lemma 12, we obtain

$$v(x) = \begin{cases} \mathscr{V}_0 + \int_0^{x_1} \Phi_v(t) dt, & \text{if } x \in [0, x_1], \\ \mathscr{V}_1 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta)} \int_{x_1}^x (\chi - t)^{\vartheta-1} \Phi_v(t) dt, & \text{if } x \in [x_1, b], \end{cases} \tag{56}$$

where $\mathscr{V}_0 = v_0 - g(v)$ and $\mathscr{V}_1 = v(x_1) - g(v)$. Clearly, if $v(0) + g(v) = \omega(0) + g(\omega)$, then $\mathscr{V}_0 = \mathscr{W}_0$, and $\mathscr{V}_1 = \mathscr{W}_1$. Hence, (56) becomes

$$v(x) = \begin{cases} \mathscr{W}_0 + \int_0^{x_1} \Phi_v(t) dt, & \text{if } x \in [0, x_1], \\ \mathscr{W}_1 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta)} \int_{x_1}^x (\chi - t)^{\vartheta-1} \Phi_v(t) dt, & \text{if } x \in [x_1, b]. \end{cases} \tag{57}$$

Using Lemma 12 and (Assu₄) for $x \in [0, x_1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\omega(x) - v(x)| &= \left| \omega(x) - \mathscr{W}_0 - \int_0^{x_1} \Phi_v(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq \left| \omega(x) - \mathscr{W}_0 - \int_0^{x_1} \Phi_\omega(t) dt \right| \\ &\quad + \int_0^{x_1} |\Phi_\omega(t) - \Phi_v(t)| dt \\ &\leq \varepsilon x_1 + \frac{\kappa_1}{1 - \kappa_2} \int_0^{x_1} |\omega(t) - v(t)| dt. \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

Using classical Gronwall's Lemma [27], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\omega(x) - v(x)| &\leq \varepsilon x_1 \exp\left(\int_0^{x_1} \frac{\kappa_1}{1 - \kappa_2} dt\right) \\ &= \varepsilon x_1 \exp\left(\frac{\kappa_1 x_1}{1 - \kappa_2}\right) := \varepsilon K_0. \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

For $x \in [x_1, b]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\omega(x) - v(x)| &= \left| \omega(x) - \mathscr{W}_1 - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta)} \int_{x_1}^x (\chi - t)^{\vartheta-1} \Phi_v(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq \left| \omega(x) - \mathscr{W}_1 - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta)} \int_{x_1}^x (\chi - t)^{\vartheta-1} \Phi_\omega(t) dt \right| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta)} \int_{x_1}^x (\chi - t)^{\vartheta-1} |\Phi_\omega(t) - \Phi_v(t)| dt \\ &\leq \frac{(b - x_1)^\vartheta}{\Gamma(\vartheta + 1)} \varepsilon + \frac{\kappa_1}{1 - \kappa_2} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta)} \int_{x_1}^x (\chi - t)^{\vartheta-1} |\omega(t) - v(t)| dt. \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

Using fractional Gronwall's Lemma [27], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\omega(x) - v(x)| &\leq \frac{(b - x_1)^\vartheta}{\Gamma(\vartheta + 1)} \varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon}{\Gamma(\vartheta + 1)} \frac{\kappa_1}{1 - \kappa_2} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta)} \int_{x_1}^x (\chi - t)^{\vartheta-1} (b - x_1)^\vartheta dt \\ &\leq \frac{(b - x_1)^\vartheta}{\Gamma(\vartheta + 1)} \varepsilon + \frac{\kappa_1 (b - x_1)^\vartheta}{\Gamma(\vartheta + 1)(1 - \kappa_2)} \frac{(b - x_1)^\vartheta}{\Gamma(\vartheta + 1)} \varepsilon \\ &= \frac{(b - x_1)^\vartheta}{\Gamma(\vartheta + 1)} \left(\frac{\kappa_1}{(1 - \kappa_2)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta + 1)} \right) \varepsilon := \varepsilon K_1. \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

It follows from (59) and (61) that

$$|\omega(\varkappa) - v(\varkappa)| \leq \begin{cases} K_0 \varepsilon, & \text{for } \varkappa \in [0, \varkappa_1], \\ K_1 \varepsilon, & \text{for } \varkappa \in [\varkappa_1, b], \end{cases} \quad (62)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_0 &= \varkappa_1 \exp\left(\frac{\varkappa_1 \varkappa_1}{1 - \varkappa_2}\right), \\ K_1 &= \frac{(b - \varkappa_1)^\vartheta}{\Gamma(\vartheta + 1)} \left(\frac{\varkappa_1}{(1 - \varkappa_2)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta + 1)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

Hence, PC-NIFDE (4) is UH stable in \mathcal{C} . Moreover, if there exists a nondecreasing function, $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, such that $\varphi(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon$. Then, from (62), we have

$$|\omega(\varkappa) - v(\varkappa)| \leq \begin{cases} K_0 \varphi(\varepsilon), & \text{for } \varkappa \in [0, \varkappa_1], \\ K_1 \varphi(\varepsilon), & \text{for } \varkappa \in [\varkappa_1, b], \end{cases} \quad (64)$$

with $\varphi(0) = 0$, which proves PC-NIFDE (4) is GUH stable in \mathcal{C} .

4. Examples

In this portion, we present two examples to illustrate the reported results.

Example 1. Consider the following PC-NIFDE

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{PC}\mathbb{D}_{0^+}^{1/3} v(\varkappa) &= \Phi\left(\varkappa, v(\varkappa), {}^{PC}\mathbb{D}_{0^+}^{1/3} v(\varkappa)\right), \quad \varkappa \in [0, 1], \\ v(0) + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i v(\varkappa_i) &= \frac{1}{4}, \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} v'(\varkappa) &= \Phi\left(\varkappa, v(\varkappa), v'(\varkappa)\right), \quad \varkappa \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right], \\ {}^C\mathbb{D}_{1/2^+}^{1/3} v(\varkappa) &= \Phi\left(\varkappa, v(\varkappa), {}^C\mathbb{D}_{1/2^+}^{1/3} v(\varkappa)\right), \quad \text{if } \varkappa \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right], \\ v(0) + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i v(\varkappa_i) &= \frac{1}{4}, \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

where $\vartheta = 1/3, v_0 = 1/4, 0 < \varkappa_1 = 1/2 < \dots < \varkappa_n < 1 = b$, and c_i are positive constants with $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i < 1/5$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\varkappa, v, \omega) &= \frac{e^{-\varkappa}}{(8 + e^\varkappa)(2 + |v| + |\omega|)}, \quad \varkappa \in [0, 1], v, \omega \in [0, \infty), \\ g(v) &= \sum_{i=1}^n c_i v(\varkappa_i), \quad v \in [0, \infty). \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

Let $v, \omega, \bar{v}, \bar{\omega} \in [0, \infty), \varkappa \in [0, 1]$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} &|f(\varkappa, v, \omega) - f(\varkappa, \bar{v}, \bar{\omega})| \\ &\leq \frac{e^{-\varkappa}}{(8 + e^\varkappa)} \left| \frac{|v - \bar{v}| + |\omega - \bar{\omega}|}{(2 + |v| + |\omega|)(2 + |\bar{v}| + |\bar{\omega}|)} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{9} |v - \bar{v}| + \frac{1}{9} |\omega - \bar{\omega}|. \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

Hence, the condition (Assu₃) holds with $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 = 1/9$. Also we have

$$\begin{aligned} |g(v) - g(\omega)| &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^n c_i v(\varkappa_i) - \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \omega(\varkappa_i) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n c_i |v - \omega| \leq \frac{1}{5} |v - \omega|. \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

Hence, the condition (Assu₄) holds with $\kappa_3 = 1/5$. Moreover, the following condition

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{\zeta_1, \zeta_2\} &= \max\left\{ \kappa_3 + \frac{\varkappa_1}{1 - \varkappa_2} \varkappa_1, \kappa_3 + \frac{\varkappa_1}{1 - \varkappa_2} \frac{(b - \varkappa_1)^\vartheta}{\Gamma(\vartheta + 1)} \right\} \\ &= \max\left\{ \frac{21}{80}, \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{8\sqrt[3]{2}\Gamma(4/3)} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{8\sqrt[3]{2}\Gamma(4/3)} < 1, \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

is satisfied with $\varkappa_1 = (1/2)$, and $b = 1$. Thus, with the assistance of Theorem 8, problem (65) has a unique solution $[0, 1]$. Further, since $1 - (\varkappa_1 \varkappa_1 / (1 - \varkappa_2)) = (15/16) < 1$, and $1 - ((b - \varkappa_1)^\vartheta / \Gamma(\vartheta + 1)) (\varkappa_1 / (1 - \varkappa_2)) = 1 - (1/8\sqrt[3]{2}\Gamma(4/3)) < 1$, then

$$K_0 = \varkappa_1 \exp\left(\frac{\varkappa_1 \varkappa_1}{1 - \varkappa_2}\right) = \frac{1}{2} e^{1/16} > 0, \quad (71)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &= \frac{(b - \varkappa_1)^\vartheta}{\Gamma(\vartheta + 1)} \left(\frac{\varkappa_1}{(1 - \varkappa_2)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta + 1)} \right) \\ &= \frac{(1/8) + (1/(\Gamma(4/3)))}{\sqrt[3]{2}\Gamma(4/3)} > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

which implies that problem (65) is HU stable.

Example 2. Consider the following PC-NIFDE

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{PC}\mathbb{D}_{1/4^+}^{1/2}v(\varkappa) &= \Phi\left(\varkappa, v(\varkappa), {}^{PC}\mathbb{D}_{1/4^+}^{1/2}v(\varkappa)\right), \varkappa \in \left[\frac{1}{4}, 1\right], \\ v\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{v(\varkappa)}{3}\right) + \frac{1}{9} &= 1, \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} v'(\varkappa) &= \Phi\left(\varkappa, v(\varkappa), v'(\varkappa)\right), \varkappa \in \left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}\right], \\ {}^C\mathbb{D}_{1/2^+}^{1/2}v(\varkappa) &= \Phi\left(\varkappa, v(\varkappa), {}^C\mathbb{D}_{1/2^+}^{1/2}v(\varkappa)\right), \text{ if } \varkappa \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right], \\ v\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{v(\varkappa)}{3}\right) + \frac{1}{9} &= 1, \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

where $\varkappa_1 = (1/2), \vartheta = (1/2), v_0 = 1$. Set

$$\Phi(\varkappa, v(\varkappa), \omega(\varkappa)) = \frac{1}{(10 + \varkappa^2)} \left(\frac{v(\varkappa) + \omega(\varkappa)}{(1 + |v(\varkappa)| + |\omega(\varkappa)|)} + \frac{1}{90} \right), \quad (75)$$

for $\varkappa \in [(1/4), 1], v, \omega \in [0, \infty)$, and

$$g(v) = \frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{v}{3}\right) + \frac{1}{9}, v \in [0, \infty). \quad (76)$$

Let $v, \omega \in [0, \infty)$ and $\varkappa \in [(1/4), 1]$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi(\varkappa, v, \omega)| &= \left| \frac{1}{(10 + \varkappa^2)} \left(\frac{v + \omega}{(1 + |v| + |\omega|)} + \frac{1}{90} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(10 + \varkappa^2)} \left(|v| + |\omega| + \frac{1}{90} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

Putting $\Omega(|v|) = |v| + (1/90)$, and $\varphi(\varkappa) = \psi(\varkappa) = (1/(10 + \varkappa^2))$. Then, $|\Phi(\varkappa, v, \omega)| \leq \varphi(\varkappa)\Omega(|v|) + \psi(\varkappa)|\omega|$ valid for any $(\varkappa, v, \omega) \in [(1/4), 1] \times [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$, and $\psi^* = (16/161) < 1$. Also, $|g(v)| \leq (1/6)|v| + (1/9) = a|v| + b$. Hence, (Ass_1) and (Ass_2) hold. Thus, all the assumptions of Theorem 7 are satisfied. Hence, problem (73) has a solution on $[(1/4), 1]$.

5. Conclusions

Somewhat recently, numerous methodologies have been proposed to portray behaviors of some complex world problems emerging in numerous scholarly fields. One of these problems is the multistep behavior shown by certain problems. In this regard, Atangana and Araz [20] introduced the concept of piecewise derivative. As an extra contribution to this subject, existence, uniqueness, and UH stability results for PC-NIFDE (4) involving a piecewise Caputo FD have been obtained. Our approach to this work has been based on Banach's and Schaefer's fixed-point theorem and

Gronwall's Lemma. In light of our current results, the solution form for analogous problems containing piecewise Caputo-Fabrizio and Atangana-Baleanu operators have been presented. Finally, we have created two examples to validate the results obtained.

As an open problem, it will be very interesting to study the present problems on piecewise fractional operators with another function that is more general; precisely, one has to consider in problem (2) with ${}^{PC}\mathbb{D}_{0^+}^{\vartheta;\psi}$ such that

$${}^{PC}\mathbb{D}_{0^+}^{\vartheta;\psi}f(\varkappa) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{D}_{\psi} & \text{if } \varkappa \in [0, \varkappa_1], \\ {}^C\mathbb{D}_{\varkappa_1}^{\vartheta;\psi}f(\varkappa) & \text{if } \varkappa \in [\varkappa_1, b], \end{cases} \quad (78)$$

where $\mathbb{D}_{\psi} := ((1/(\psi'(\varkappa)))(d/d\varkappa))$ and ${}^C\mathbb{D}_{0^+}^{\vartheta;\psi}$ are ψ -Caputo FD of order ϑ introduced by Almeida [28].

Data Availability

No real data were used to support this study. The data used in this study are hypothetical.

Conflicts of Interest

No conflicts of interest are related to this work.

Acknowledgments

The authors extend their appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Khalid University for funding this work through large group research project under grant number R.G.P.2/204/43.

References

- [1] K. B. Oldham and J. Spanier, "The fractional calculus: theory and applications of differentiation and integration to arbitrary order," in *Mathematics in Science and Engineering*, Academic Press, New York, 1974.
- [2] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, and J. J. Trujillo, "Theory and applications of fractional differential equations," in *North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 204*, Elsevier Science B.V, Amsterdam, 2006.
- [3] A. Loverro, *Fractional calculus: History, Definitions and Applications for the Engineer, Rapport Technique*, Univeristy of Notre Dame: Department of Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering, 2004.
- [4] R. Hilfer, *Three Fold Introduction to Fractional Derivatives, Foundations and Applications*, Germany, Anomalous Transport, 2008.
- [5] F. Jarad, T. Abdeljawad, and Z. Hammouch, "On a class of ordinary differential equations in the frame of Atangana-Baleanu fractional derivative," *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, vol. 117, pp. 16–20, 2018.
- [6] S. Abbas, M. Benchohra, J. R. Graef, and J. Henderson, "Implicit fractional differential and integral equations," *Implicit Fractional Differential and Integral Equations. de Gruyter*, vol. 26, 2018.
- [7] P. Agarwal, M. R. Sidi Ammi, and J. Asad, "Existence and uniqueness results on time scales for fractional nonlocal

- thermistor problem in the conformable sense,” *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2021, no. 1, 2021.
- [8] Z. Odibat and D. Baleanu, “Numerical simulation of initial value problems with generalized Caputo-type fractional derivatives,” *Applied Numerical Mathematics*, vol. 156, pp. 94–105, 2020.
- [9] A. Atangana and J. F. Gómez-Aguilar, “Numerical approximation of Riemann-Liouville definition of fractional derivative: from Riemann-Liouville to Atangana-Baleanu,” *Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations*, vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 1502–1523, 2018.
- [10] M. Alesemi, N. Iqbal, and M. S. Abdo, “Novel investigation of fractional-order Cauchy-reaction diffusion equation involving Caputo-Fabrizio operator,” *Journal of Function Spaces*, vol. 2022, Article ID 4284060, 14 pages, 2022.
- [11] M. S. Abdo, A. G. Ibrahim, and S. K. Panchal, “Nonlinear implicit fractional differential equation involving-Caputo fractional derivative,” *In Proceedings of the Jangjeon Mathematical Society*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 387–400, 2019.
- [12] M. Benchohra, S. Bouriah, and J. J. Nieto, “Existence and Ulam stability for nonlinear implicit differential equations with Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative,” *Demonstratio Mathematica*, vol. 52, no. 1, pp. 437–450, 2019.
- [13] A. Salim, M. Benchohra, J. E. Lazreg, J. J. Nieto, and Y. Zhou, “Nonlocal initial value problem for hybrid generalized Hilfer-type fractional implicit differential equations,” *Nonautonomous Dynamical Systems*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 87–100, 2021.
- [14] Y. Guo, M. Chen, X. B. Shu, and F. Xu, “The existence and Hyers-Ulam stability of solution for almost periodical fractional stochastic differential equation with fBm,” *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*, vol. 39, no. 4, pp. 643–666, 2021.
- [15] Y. Guo, X. B. Shu, Y. Li, and F. Xu, “The existence and Hyers-Ulam stability of solution for an impulsive RiemannLiouville fractional neutral functional stochastic differential equation with infinite delay of order $1 < \beta < 2$,” *Boundary Value Problems*, vol. 2019, 18 pages, 2019.
- [16] R. P. Agarwal, M. Benchohra, and S. Hamani, “A survey on existence results for boundary value problems of nonlinear fractional differential equations and inclusions,” *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae*, vol. 109, no. 3, pp. 973–1033, 2010.
- [17] K. D. Kucche, J. J. Nieto, and V. Venkatesh, “Theory of nonlinear implicit fractional differential equations,” *Differential Equations Dynamical Systems*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 1–17, 2020.
- [18] H. A. Wahash, M. S. Abdo, and S. K. Panchal, “Existence and Ulam-Hyers stability of the implicit fractional boundary value problem with ψ -Caputo fractional derivative,” *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computational Mechanics*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 89–101, 2020.
- [19] M. Benchohra and S. Bouriah, “Existence and stability results for nonlinear boundary value problem for implicit differential equations of fractional order,” *Moroccan Journal of Pure and Applied Analysis*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1–16, 2015.
- [20] A. Atangana and S. I. Araz, “New concept in calculus: piecewise differential and integral operators,” *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, vol. 145, article 110638, 2021.
- [21] A. Bashir and S. Sivasundaram, “Some existence results for fractional integro-differential equations with nonlocal conditions,” *Communications in Applied Analysis*, vol. 12, pp. 107–112, 2008.
- [22] M. Haoues, A. Ardjouni, and A. Djoudi, “Existence, interval of existence and uniqueness of solutions for nonlinear implicit Caputo fractional differential equations,” *TJMM*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 09–13, 2018.
- [23] Y. Zhou, *Basic Theory of Fractional Differential Equations*, vol. 6, World Scientific, Singapore, 2014.
- [24] A. Granas and J. Dugundji, *Fixed Point Theory*, Springer, New York, 2003.
- [25] M. Caputo and M. Fabrizio, “A new definition of fractional derivative without singular kernel,” *Progress in Fractional Differentiation & Applications*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 73–85, 2015.
- [26] A. Atangana and D. Baleanu, “New fractional derivatives with nonlocal and non-singular kernel: theory and application to heat transfer model,” *Thermal Science*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 763–769, 2016.
- [27] H. Ye, J. Gao, and Y. Ding, “A generalized Gronwall inequality and its application to a fractional differential equation,” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 328, no. 2, pp. 1075–1081, 2007.
- [28] R. Almeida, “A Caputo fractional derivative of a function with respect to another function,” *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, vol. 44, pp. 460–481, 2017.