1. Introduction

Let $A$ denote the class of all functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$

which are analytic in the open unit disc $U = \{ z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1 \}$. Also, let $S$ be the class of all functions in $A$ which are univalent in $U$. For $f \in A$, Aïrault and Bouali ([1], page 184) used Faber polynomial to show that

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = 1 - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} F_{j-1}(a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_j) z^{j-1},$$

where $F_{j-1}(a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_j)$ is the Faber polynomial defined by

$$F_{j-1}(a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_j) = \sum_{i_1 + 2i_2 + \cdots + (j-1)i_{j-1} = j-1} A(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{j-1}) a_2^{i_2} a_3^{i_3} \cdots a_j^{i_j},$$

and

$$A(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{j-1}) = (-1)^{(j-1)+i_2+i_3+\cdots+i_{j-1}-1} \frac{(i_1+i_2+\cdots+i_{j-1}-1)! (j-1)!}{i_1! i_2! \cdots i_{j-1}!}.$$

The first terms of the Faber polynomial $F_{j-1}$, $j \geq 2$, are given by (e.g., see [2], page 52)

$$F_1 = -a_2,$$
$$F_2 = a_2^2 - 2a_3,$$
$$F_3 = -a_2^3 + 3a_2 a_3 - 3a_4,$$
$$F_4 = a_2^4 - 4a_2^2 a_3 + 4a_2 a_4 + 2a_3^2 - 4a_5,$$
$$F_5 = -a_2^5 + 5a_2^3 a_3 + 5a_2^2 a_4 - 5a_2 (a_3^2 - a_5) + 5a_3 a_4 - 5a_6.$$
The inverse \( g = f^{-1} \) of the function \( f \in S \) has Taylor expansion given by (see [1], page 185)

\[
g(\omega) = f^{-1}(\omega) = w + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} K_n(a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_n) \omega^n
\]

\[
= w - a_2 \omega^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3) \omega^3 - (5a_2^2 - 5a_3a_2 + a_4) \omega^4 + \cdots,
\]

where the coefficients \( K_p(a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_n) \) are given by

\[
K_1^p = pa_2, \quad K_2^p = \frac{p(p-1)}{2} a_2^2 + pa_3,
\]

\[
K_3^p = p(p-1)a_2a_3 + pa_4 + \frac{p(p-1)(p-2)}{3!} a_3^2,
\]

\[
K_4^p = p(p-1)a_2a_3 + pa_4 + \frac{p(p-1)(p-2)}{2} a_3^2
\]

\[
+ \frac{p(p-1)(p-2)}{2} a_2^2 a_3 + \frac{p!}{(p-4)!} a_4^2,
\]

\[
\vdots
\]

\[
K_n^p = \frac{p!}{(p-n)!} a_2^n + \frac{p!}{(p-n+1)!} a_2^{n-1} a_3
\]

\[
+ \frac{p!}{(p-n+2)!} a_2^{n-2} a_4 + \frac{p!}{(p-n+3)!} a_2^{n-3} a_3 a_4
\]

\[
+ \frac{p!}{(p-n+4)!} a_2^{n-4} a_5 + \cdots
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_2^{n-j} V_j,
\]

and \( V_j \) is homogeneous polynomial of degree \( j \) in the variables \( a_2, \ldots, a_n \) (see [4], page 349 and [1], pages 183 and 205).

**Lemma 1** (Schwarz lemma ([3], page 3). If \( \omega(\zeta) \) is analytic in the unit disc \( \mathbb{U} \), with \( \omega(0) = 0 \) and \( |\omega(\zeta)| < 1 \) in \( \mathbb{U} \), then \( |\omega(z)| < |\zeta| \) and \( |\omega'(0)| < 1 \) in \( \mathbb{U} \).

Let \( f \) and \( g \) be analytic functions in \( \mathbb{U} \); we say that the function \( f \) is subordinate to \( g \), written as follows:

\[
f \prec g \ \text{or} \ f(\zeta) \prec g(\zeta),
\]

if there exists a Schwarz function \( w \), which (by definition) is analytic in \( \mathbb{U} \) with \( w(0) = 0 \) and \( |w(\zeta)| < 1 \) in \( \mathbb{U} \), such that \( f(z) = g(w(z)) \) for all \( z \in \mathbb{U} \). In particular, if the function \( g \in S \) in \( \mathbb{U} \), then we have the following equivalence relation (cf., e.g., [5, 6]; see also [3]):

\[
f(z) < g(z) \iff f(0) < g(0),
\]

\[
f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}).
\]

Let \( \phi \) be analytic function with positive real part in \( \mathbb{U} \), satisfying \( \phi(0) = 1, \phi'(0) > 0 \). Also, let \( \phi(\mathbb{U}) \) be symmetric with respect to the real axis. Such a function has a Taylor series of the form

\[
\phi(z) = 1 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + B_3 z^3 + \cdots (B_1 > 0).
\]

Atiya [7] introduced the operator \( H^{r,k}_{a,b}(f) \), where \( H^{r,k}_{a,b}(f) : A \rightarrow A \) is defined by

\[
H^{r,k}_{a,b}(f)(z) = \mu^{r,k}_{a,b} * f(z) (z \in \mathbb{U}),
\]

with \( \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}, \text{Re} (\alpha) > \max \{0, \text{Re} (k) - 1\} \) and \( \text{Re} (k) > 0 \). Also, \( \text{Re} (\alpha) = 0 \) when \( \text{Re} (k) = 1; \beta \neq 0 \). Here, \( \mu^{r,k}_{a,b} \) is the generalized Mittag-Leffler function defined by [8] (see also [7]), and the symbol \((\ast)\) denotes the Hadamard product or convolution.

A detailed investigation of the Mittag-Leffler function has been studied by many authors (see, e.g., [8–12]). Atiya [7] noted that

\[
H^{r,k}_{a,b}(f)(z) = z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(y+nk) \Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(y+k) \Gamma(\beta+an) n!} a_n z^n.
\]

From (13), it follows (see [7])

\[
z \left( H^{r,k}_{a,b}(f)(z) \right)' = \left( \frac{\gamma + k}{k} \right) H^{r+1,k}_{a,b}(f)(z)
\]

\[
- \frac{\gamma}{k} H^{r,k}_{a,b}(f)(z),
\]

\[
az \left( H^{r,k}_{a,b+1}(f)(z) \right)' = (\alpha + \beta) H^{r,k}_{a,b}(f)(z)
\]

\[
- \beta H^{r,k}_{a,b+1}(f)(z).\]

Also, note the following:

(1) \( H^{1,1}_{0,0}(f)(z) = f(z) \)

(2) \( H^{2,1}_{0,0}(f)(z) = (1/2)(f(z) + z f'(z)) \)

(3) \( H^{0,1}_{0,0}(f)(z) = \int_0^1 f(t) dt \)

(4) \( H^{1,1}_{0,0}(z/(1-z)) = z e^z \)

(5) \( H^{1,1}_{1,1}(z/(1-z)) = e^z - 1 \)

(6) \( H^{1,1}_{2,1}(z/(1-z)) = -2 + \cosh (\sqrt{z}) \)
Definition 2. A function \( f(z) \in A \) is said to be in the class \( S(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \kappa, \phi) \) if it satisfies
\[
\left( \gamma + \frac{k}{k} \right) \frac{H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma+k}(f)(z)}{H_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma(f)(z)} - \frac{\gamma}{k} < \phi(z),
\]
where \( \phi(z) \) is defined by (11).

Definition 3. A function \( f(z) \in A \) is said to be in the class \( T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, k, \phi) \) if it satisfies
\[
\left( \frac{\alpha + \beta}{\alpha} \right) \frac{H_{\alpha,\beta+1}^k(f)(z)}{H_{\alpha,\beta}^k(f)(z)} - \frac{\beta}{\alpha} < \phi(z),
\]
where \( \phi(z) \) is defined by (11).

With the virtue of (14) and (15), we find that \( S(0, \beta, 1, 1, (1 + (1 - 2r)z)/(1 - z)) \) and \( T(0, \beta, 1, 1, (1 + (1 - 2r)z)/(1 - z)) \) for \( r \in (0, 1) \) is the well-known class of starlike functions of order \( \tau \).

A single-valued function \( f \) analytic in a domain \( D \subset \mathbb{C} \) is said to be univalent, if it never takes the same value twice in \( D \), and to be bi-univalent in \( U \) if \( f \) and its inverse map \( f^{-1} \) are univalent in \( U \).

We denote by \( \Psi \) the class of bi-univalent functions in \( U \) given by (1). The class of analytic bi-univalent functions was introduced and studied by Levin [13] and showed that \( |a_2| < 1.51 \). Recently, many authors found nonsharp estimates on the first two Taylor–Maclaurin’s coefficients \( |a_2| \) and \( |a_3| \).

The following are examples of bi-univalent functions in \( U \):
\[
\begin{align*}
&\frac{z}{1-z}, \\
&-\log(1-z), \\
&\frac{1}{2} \log \left( \frac{1-z}{1+z} \right).
\end{align*}
\]

For various subclasses of bi-univalent functions, see, for example, [14–26].

Definition 4. A function \( f \in \Sigma \) given by (1) is said to be in the class \( S_\Sigma(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, k, \phi) \) if both \( f \) and its inverse map \( g = f^{-1} \) are in \( S(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, k, \phi) \). Also, a function \( f \in \Sigma \) given by (1) is said to be in the class \( T_\Sigma(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, k, \phi) \) if both \( f \) and its inverse map \( g = f^{-1} \) are in \( T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, k, \phi) \).

In this paper, we use the Faber polynomial expansion to obtain bounds for the general coefficients \( |a_n| \) of bi-univalent functions in \( S_\Sigma(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, k, \phi) \) and \( T_\Sigma(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, k, \phi) \) as well as we estimate the bounds of the initial coefficients of the functions in these classes.

Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume throughout this paper that \( \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}, \Re(\alpha) > \max \{0, \Re(k) - 1\} \) and \( \Re(k) > 0 \). Also, \( \Re(\alpha) = 0 \) when \( \Re(k) = 1; \beta \neq 0 \).

2. Coefficient Estimates of \( S_\Sigma(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, k, \phi) \) and \( T_\Sigma(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, k, \phi) \)

**Theorem 5.** Let the function \( f \in \Sigma \) given by (1) be in the class \( S_\Sigma(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, k, \phi) \). Also, let \( a_m = 0 \) and \( a_p \neq 0 \) for \( 2 \leq m, p \leq n \), where \( \gamma = \gamma - 1 \) is a divisor of \( n - 1 \). Then,
\[
\left| a_p \right| \leq \left| \frac{\Gamma(y + pk)}{\Gamma(y) \Gamma(\beta + \alpha)} \right| \frac{1}{\beta} \left( \frac{k}{p} \right)^{(p-1)/(n-1)}, \quad p \geq 3,
\]
where \( B_i \) is defined in (11).

**Proof.** If we set \( F(z) = H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma+k}(f)(z) \), then \( F(z) = z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} b_m z^m \), with \( \delta_n = ((\Gamma(y + nk) \Gamma(\alpha + \beta)) / (\Gamma(y + k) \Gamma(\beta + \alpha) n!)) a_n \); using relation (14), we have
\[
f \in S_\Sigma(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, k, \phi) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} < \phi(z).
\]
Also, we have
\[
\phi(u(z)) = 1 - B_1 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n^{-1}(c_1, c_2, \ldots, (1)^{n+1}c_n, B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_n) \\
\cdot z^n(z \in \mathbb{U}),
\]
(24)

\[
\phi(v(w)) = 1 - B_1 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n^{-1}(d_1, d_2, \ldots, (1)^{n+1}d_n, B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_n) w^n(z \in \mathbb{U}).
\]
(25)

In general (see [21], page 649), the coefficients \(K_n^p := \mathcal{K}_n^p(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_m, B_1, B_2, B_3, \ldots, B_n)\) are given by
\[
\mathcal{K}_n^p = \frac{p!}{(p-n)!} k_1^p B_1 + \frac{p!}{(p-n+1)!} k_1^{p-2} k_2 B_{n-1} + \frac{p!}{(p-n+2)!} k_1^{p-3} k_3 B_{n-2} + \frac{p!}{(p-n+3)!} k_1^{p-4} \left(k_4 B_{n-3} + \frac{p-n+3}{2} k_2^2 k_3 B_{n-2} \right) + \sum_{j=5}^{\infty} k_1^{p-j} X_j,
\]
(26)

where \(X_j\) is a homogeneous polynomial of degree \(j\) in the variables \(k_1, \ldots, k_n\).

Using the Faber polynomial expansion, (2) yields the following identities:
\[
\frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} = 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} F_{n-1}(\delta_2, \delta_3, \ldots, \delta_n) z^{n-1},
\]
(27)

\[
\frac{wG'(w)}{G(w)} = 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} F_{n-1}(\xi_2, \xi_3, \ldots, \xi_n) w^{n-1}.
\]
(28)

Comparing the corresponding coefficients of (27) and (24) yields
\[
F_{n-1}(\delta_2, \delta_3, \ldots, \delta_n) = B_1 K_n^{-1}(c_1, -c_2, \ldots, (1)^n c_n, B_1, B_2, B_3, \ldots, B_{n-1}),
\]
(29)

and similarly, from (28) and (25), we have
\[
F_{n-1}(\xi_2, \xi_3, \ldots, \xi_n) = B_1 K_n^{-1}(d_1, -d_2, \ldots, (1)^n d_n, B_1, B_2, B_3, \ldots, B_{n-1}).
\]
(30)

Since \(a_m = 0\) and \(a_p \neq 0\) for \(2 \leq m, p \leq n\), and \((p-1)\) is a divisor of \((n-1)\), then by using (29) and (30), we have
\[
(p-1)((n-1)/(p-1)) (\delta_p) ((n-1)/(p-1)) = -B_1 (-1)^{\delta_p},
\]
(31)

\[
(p-1)((n-1)/(p-1)) (\xi_p) ((n-1)/(p-1)) = -B_1 (-1)^{\xi_p},
\]
(32)

also, under the condition \(a_m = 0\) and \(a_p \neq 0\) for \(2 \leq m, p \leq n\) and using the relation between \(\delta_p\) and \(\xi_p\), we have \(\xi_p = -\delta_p\). Then, (31) yields
\[
(p-1)((n-1)/(p-1)) (-\delta_p) ((n-1)/(p-1)) = -B_1 (-1)^{\delta_p}.
\]
(33)

Using either (31) or (33), we have \(|\delta_p| \leq (B_1/(p-1))((p-1)/(n-1))\), substitute of \(\delta_p = ((\Gamma(\gamma + pk)\Gamma(\alpha + \beta))/\Gamma(\gamma + k)\Gamma(\beta + ap)p_1)\alpha_p\). This completes the proof of the theorem.

Putting \(p = n\) in Theorem 5, we have the following:

**Corollary 8.** Let the function \(f \in \Sigma\) be in the class \(S_{\Sigma}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, k, \phi)\): if \(a_m = 0\) for \(2 \leq m \leq n - 1\), then
\[
|a_n| \leq \left|\frac{\Gamma(\gamma + nk)\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\gamma + k)\Gamma(\beta + an)}\right| \frac{1}{n!} \left(\frac{B_1}{n-1}\right), \quad n \geq 3,
\]
(34)

where \(B_1\) is defined in (11).

Using the same technique used in Theorem 5, we get the following theorem:

**Theorem 7.** Let the function \(f \in \Sigma\) be in the class \(T_{\Sigma}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, k, \phi)\): if \(a_m = 0\) and \(a_p \neq 0\) for \(2 \leq m, p \leq n\), where \((p-1)\) is a divisor of \((n-1)\). Then,
\[
|a_p| \leq \left|\frac{\Gamma(\gamma + pk)\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 1)}{\Gamma(\gamma + k)\Gamma(\beta + 1 + ap)}\right| \frac{1}{p!} \left(\frac{B_1}{p-1}\right), \quad p \geq 3,
\]
(35)

where \(B_1\) is defined in (11).

Putting \(p = n\) in Theorem 7, we have the following:

**Corollary 8.** Let the function \(f \in \Sigma\) be in the class \(T_{\Sigma}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, k, \phi)\): if \(a_m = 0\) for \(2 \leq m \leq n - 1\), then
\[
|a_n| \leq \left|\frac{\Gamma(\gamma + nk)\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 1)}{\Gamma(\gamma + k)\Gamma(\beta + 1 + an)}\right| \frac{1}{n!} \left(\frac{B_1}{n-1}\right), \quad n \geq 3,
\]
(36)

where \(B_1\) is defined in (11).

To prove our next theorem, we shall need the following lemma.
Lemma 9 [3, 21]. Let the function $\Phi(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi_n z^n$ be a Schwarz function with $|\Phi(z)| < 1$, $z \in U$. Then for $-\infty < \eta < \infty$,

$$|\Phi_2 + \eta \Phi_3^2| \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
1 - (1 - \eta) |\Phi_2^2| & \eta > 0,
1 - (1 + \eta) |\Phi_2^2| & \eta \leq 0.
\end{array} \right. \quad (37)$$

Theorem 10. Let the function $f \in \Sigma$ given by (1) be in the class $S_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, k, \phi)$. Then,

$$|a_2| \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
2\sqrt{B_1} |\Gamma(y + k) \Gamma(\beta + 2\alpha)| / |\Gamma(y + 2k) \Gamma(\alpha + \beta)| & (B_1 \geq |B_2|),
2\sqrt{B_2} |\Gamma(y + k) \Gamma(\beta + 2\alpha)| / |\Gamma(y + 2k) \Gamma(\alpha + \beta)| & (B_1 < |B_2|),
\end{array} \right. \quad (38)$$

$$|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
B_1 \left( \left\lfloor \frac{3}{4R_3} - \frac{1}{2R_2^2} \right\rfloor + \frac{1}{4R_3} - \frac{1}{2R_2^2} \right) & (B_1 \geq |B_2|),
B_2 \left( \left\lfloor \frac{3}{4R_3} - \frac{1}{2R_2^2} \right\rfloor + \frac{1}{4R_3} - \frac{1}{2R_2^2} \right) & (B_1 < |B_2|),
\end{array} \right. \quad (39)$$

where $R_2 = 1/2 |(\Gamma(y + 2k) \Gamma(\alpha + \beta))/((\Gamma(y + k) \Gamma(\beta + 2\alpha))$ and $R_3 = 1/6 |(\Gamma(y + 3k) \Gamma(\alpha + \beta))/((\Gamma(y + k) \Gamma(\beta + 3\alpha))$.

Proof. Putting $n = 2$ and $n = 3$ in (29) and (30), respectively, we find that

$$\delta_2 = c_1 B_1,$$

$$\zeta_2 = d_1 B_1,$$

$$\delta_2^2 - 2\delta_3 = c_1^2 B_2 + c_2 B_1,$$

$$\zeta_2^2 - 2\zeta_3 = d_1^2 B_2 + d_2 B_1,$$

Therefore, (40) and (41) imply

$$a_3^2 = \frac{B_1}{2R_2^2} \left( \left\lfloor \frac{3}{4R_3} - \frac{1}{2R_2^2} \right\rfloor \right) \left( c_1 + \frac{B_2}{B_1} c_1^2 \right) \left( d_1 + \frac{B_2}{B_1} d_1^2 \right). \quad (43)$$

Applying Lemma 9.

Case I: If $B_2 > 0$, then both $|c_1 + (B_2/B_1) c_1^2|$ and $|d_1 + (B_2/B_1) d_1^2|$ have maximum value at 1 when $B_1 > B_2$. Also, $|c_1 + (B_2/B_1) c_1^2|$ and $|d_1 + (B_2/B_1) d_1^2|$ have maximum value at $B_1 < B_2$ when $|c_1| \leq 1$ and $|d_1| \leq 1$.

Case II: If $B_2 < 0$, then both $|c_1 + (B_2/B_1) c_1^2|$ and $|d_1 + (B_2/B_1) d_1^2|$ have maximum value at 1 when $B_1 > -B_2$ and $|c_1 + (B_2/B_1) c_1^2|$ and $|d_1 + (B_2/B_1) d_1^2|$ have maximum value at $-B_2/B_1$ when $B_1 \leq -B_2$. Then, we have (38).

Moreover, from (41) and (42), we get

$$a_3 = -\left( \frac{3c_2 + d_2}{4R_3} \right) B_1 - \left( \frac{3c_1 + d_1}{4R_3} \right) B_2. \quad (44)$$

It follows from (43) and (44) that

$$a_3^2 = -\left( \frac{3}{4R_3} - \frac{1}{2R_2^2} \right) \left( \frac{c_1 + B_2}{B_1} c_1^2 \right) B_1 - \left( \frac{1}{4R_3} - \frac{1}{2R_2^2} \right) \left( d_1 + \frac{B_2}{B_1} d_1^2 \right) B_1. \quad (45)$$

Applying the cases mentioned above for $B_2 > 0$ and $B_2 \leq 0$, we have (39). This completes the proof of the theorem.

Using the same technique used in Theorem 10, we get the following theorem:

Theorem 11. Let the function $f \in \Sigma$ given by (1) be in the class $T_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, k, \phi)$. Then,

$$|a_2| \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
2\sqrt{B_1} |\Gamma(y + k) \Gamma(\beta + 1 + 2\alpha)| / |\Gamma(y + 2k) \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 1)| & (B_1 \geq |B_2|),
2\sqrt{B_2} |\Gamma(y + k) \Gamma(\beta + 1 + 2\alpha)| / |\Gamma(y + 2k) \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 1)| & (B_1 < |B_2|),
\end{array} \right. \quad (46)$$

$$|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
B_1 \left( \left\lfloor \frac{3}{4R_3} - \frac{1}{2R_2^2} \right\rfloor + \frac{1}{4R_3} - \frac{1}{2R_2^2} \right) & (B_1 \geq |B_2|),
B_2 \left( \left\lfloor \frac{3}{4R_3} - \frac{1}{2R_2^2} \right\rfloor + \frac{1}{4R_3} - \frac{1}{2R_2^2} \right) & (B_1 < |B_2|),
\end{array} \right. \quad (47)$$

where $R_2 = 1/2 |(\Gamma(y + 2k) \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 1))/((\Gamma(y + k) \Gamma(\beta + 1 + 2\alpha))$ and $R_3 = 1/6 |(\Gamma(y + 3k) \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 1))/((\Gamma(y + k) \Gamma(\beta + 1 + 3\alpha))$.

3. Conclusion

By using the Faber polynomial expansion, we obtain bounds for the general coefficients $|a_n|$ of bi-univalent functions for functions in the classes $S_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, k, \phi)$ and $T_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, k, \phi) \in U = \{ z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1 \}$; also, estimation of the bound value for the initial coefficients of the functions in the classes $S_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, k, \phi)$ and $T_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, k, \phi)$ is established.
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