

Research Article

Nonlinear n -Order m -Point Semipositive Boundary Value Problems and Applications

Hua Su 

School of Statistics and Mathematics, Shandong University of Finance and Economics, Jinan, Shandong 250014, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Hua Su; jnsuhua@163.com

Received 23 December 2022; Revised 9 February 2023; Accepted 15 April 2023; Published 26 April 2023

Academic Editor: Richard I. Avery

Copyright © 2023 Hua Su. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In our paper, we consider the positive solutions of the nonlinear n -order m -point semipositive BVP. In this BVP equation, we allow that f can change the symbol for $0 < t < 1$; by using the fixed point index theory, the existence of positive solutions and many positive solutions are obtained under the condition that f is superlinear or sublinear.

1. Introduction

In our paper, we study the nonlinear n -order m -point semipositive problems:

$$(L\phi)(t) = f(t, \phi(t)), \quad 0 < t < 1, \quad (1)$$

with the following boundary value conditions:

$$\begin{cases} \phi(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \phi(\eta_i), \\ \phi^{(i)}(0) = \phi^{(j)}(1) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where $(L\phi)(t) = (-1)^{(n-k)} \phi^{(n)}(t)$, $0 \leq i \leq k-1$, $0 \leq j \leq n-k-1$, $n \geq 2$, $1 < k < n-1$; $a_i \in [0, \infty)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-2$, $0 < \eta_1 < \eta_2 < \dots < \eta_{m-2} < 1$, are constants, $m \geq 3$.

For the differential equations, I offer wonderful tools for describing various natural phenomena arising from natural sciences, for example, [1–16]. Very few authors discussed cases (1) and (2). In this paper, under the condition that f is superlinear or sublinear, we focus on the existence of positive solutions for the nonlinear n -order m -point semipositive BVP (1) and (2) under the conditions that $f(t, \phi)$ is continuous.

2. Preliminaries and Lemmas

Let $E = C[I, R]$ is a Banach space and $\|x\| = \max_{t \in I} |x(t)|$ where $I = [0, 1]$. And $L^1(0, 1)$ with norm $\|x\|_1 = \int_0^1 |x(t)| dt$.

Throughout this paper, we shall use the following notation:

$$G(t, s) = \frac{1}{\rho} \begin{cases} (1-t)^{n-k} \int_0^s \eta^{n-k-1} ((1-t)\eta + t-s)^{k-1} d\eta, \\ 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ t^k \int_s^1 (1-\eta)^{k-1} (s-t\eta)^{n-k-1} d\eta, \\ 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where $\rho = (k-1)!(n-k-1)!$.

It is well known from papers [6, 7] that $G(t, s)$ is a non-negative continuous function, and $G(t, s)$ is the Green's function of the BVP:

$$\begin{aligned} (L\phi)(t) &= 0, \quad t \in I, \\ \phi^{(i)}(0) &= 0, \quad 0 \leq i \leq k-1, \quad \phi^{(j)}(1) = 0, \quad 0 \leq j \leq n-k-1. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Let

$$\Phi_2(t) = \frac{(n-1)!}{\rho} \int_0^t s^{k-1} (1-s)^{n-k-1} ds. \quad (5)$$

It is obvious that $\Phi_2(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in I$ and by the properties of Euler integral, we have $\Phi_2(0) = 0, \Phi_2(1) = 1$ and $\|\Phi_2\| = 1$. Surely, for $t \in I$,

$$\Phi_2(t) \geq t^k (1-t)^{n-k} \|\Phi_2\|. \quad (6)$$

and for $t \in I$, we have

$$\Phi_2(t) \leq \frac{(n-1)!}{\rho} t^{k-1} [1 - (1-t)^{n-k}] \leq \frac{(n-1)!}{\rho} t^k. \quad (7)$$

Suppose the following conditions hold:

(H_1) : $\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \Phi_2(\eta_i) < 1$.

For $0 \leq t, s \leq 1$, let

$$K(t, s) = G(t, s) + \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \Phi_2(\eta_i)\right)^{-1} \Phi_2(t) \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i G(\eta_i, s). \quad (8)$$

$K(t, s)$ is the Green's function of the BVP:

$$\begin{cases} (L\phi)(t) = 0, & 0 < t < 1, \\ \phi(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \phi(\eta_i), & 0 \leq i \leq k-1, \\ \phi^{(i)}(0) = \phi^{(j)}(1) = 0, & 0 \leq j \leq n-k-1. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

By direct computation, we know that.

Lemma 1 (see [6]). $G(t, s)$ defined as above have the following properties:

$$Q(s) \geq G(t, s) \geq Q(s)q(t), \quad 0 \leq t, s \leq 1, \quad (10)$$

where

$$Q(s) = \frac{1}{\rho} s^{n-k} (1-s)^k, \quad q(t) = \frac{t^k (1-t)^k}{n-1}. \quad (11)$$

By Lemma 1 and (7) and (8), it is obvious that

$$AQ(s) \geq K(t, s) \geq Q(s)q(t), \quad 0 \leq t, s \leq 1, \quad (12)$$

where $A = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i (1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \Phi_2(\eta_i))^{-1}$, $0 \leq t, s \leq 1$.

Lemma 2. Suppose $u(t) \in C^n[0, 1]$ satisfies the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} (L\phi)(t) = h(t), & t \in I, \\ \phi(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \phi(\eta_i), & 0 \leq i \leq k-1, \\ \phi^{(i)}(0) = \phi^{(j)}(1) = 0, & 0 \leq j \leq n-k-1, \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

where $h \in L^1(0, 1), h \geq 0$. Then,

$$\phi(t) \geq \|\phi\| \frac{q(t)}{A}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1. \quad (14)$$

Proof. By $q(t)Q(s) \leq K(t, s) \leq AQ(s), 0 \leq t, s \leq 1$, we know that

$$\phi(t) = \int_0^1 K(t, s)h(s)ds \leq A \int_0^1 Q(s)h(s)ds, \quad (15)$$

so,

$$\|\phi\| \leq A \int_0^1 Q(s)h(s)ds. \quad (16)$$

Therefore,

$$\phi(t) = \int_0^1 K(t, s)h(s)ds \geq q(t) \int_0^1 Q(s)h(s)ds \geq \|\phi\| \frac{q(t)}{A}. \quad (17)$$

□

Lemma 3. Suppose $\phi(t) \in C^n[0, 1]$ satisfies the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} (L\phi)(t) = h(t), & 0 < t < 1, \\ \phi(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \phi(\eta_i), & 0 \leq i \leq k-1, \\ \phi^{(i)}(0) = \phi^{(j)}(1) = 0, & 0 \leq j \leq n-k-1, \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

where $h \in L^1(0, 1), h \geq 0$. Then, for any $\theta \in (0, 1/2)$, there exists constant $\eta > 0$ such that

$$\phi(t) \geq \eta \|\phi\|, \quad \theta \leq t \leq 1 - \theta. \quad (19)$$

Proof. Let $\eta = \max_{\theta \leq t \leq 1-\theta} q(t)/A$, and then by Lemma 2, we can obtain the results. □

Lemma 4. Suppose $\bar{w}(t) \in C^n[0, 1]$ satisfies the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} (L\phi)(t) = M(t), & 0 < t < 1, \\ \phi(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \phi(\eta_i), & 0 \leq i \leq k-1, \\ \phi^{(j)}(0) = \phi^{(j)}(1) = 0, & 0 \leq j \leq n-k-1, \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

where $W(t) \in L^1(0, 1)$, $W(t) > 0$. Then, there exists

constant $C \geq 1$ such that

$$\bar{w}(t) \leq C \|W\|_1 q(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1. \quad (21)$$

Proof. For $t \in [0, 1]$, we can have

$$\bar{w}(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s) W(s) ds + \int_0^1 \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \Phi_2(\eta_i)\right)^{-1} \Phi_2(t) \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i G(\eta_i, s) W(s) ds. \quad (22)$$

Obviously, for $t \in I$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 G(t, s) W(s) ds &= \frac{1}{\rho} \left[\int_0^t (1-t)^{n-k} W(s) ds \int_0^s \eta^{n-k-1} ((1-t)\eta + t-s)^{k-1} d\eta + \int_t^1 t^k W(s) ds \int_s^1 (1-\eta)^{k-1} (s-t\eta)^{n-k-1} d\eta \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\rho} \left[\int_0^t W(s) (1-t)^{n-k} s^{n-k-1} [(1-s)t]^{k-1} ds + \int_t^1 W(s) t^k (1-s)^{k-1} [s(1-t)]^{n-k-1} ds \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\rho} \left[\int_0^t W(s) (1-t)^{n-k} t^k ds + \int_t^1 W(s) t^k (1-t)^{n-k} ds \right] \leq \frac{n-1}{\rho} q(t) \int_0^1 W(s) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

By the same method, we can get that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \Phi_2(\eta_i)\right)^{-1} \Phi_2(t) \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i G(\eta_i, s) W(s) ds \\ \leq \frac{n-1}{\rho} \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \Phi_2(\eta_i)\right)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i q(t) \int_0^1 W(s) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

So, we can choose the constant

$$C \geq \frac{n-1}{\rho} + \frac{n-1}{\rho} \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \Phi_2(\eta_i)\right)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i, \quad (25)$$

And we have

$$\bar{w}(t) \leq C \|W\|_1 q(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1. \quad (26)$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4. \square

In the rest of the paper, we also make the following assumptions:

(H_2) $f \in C([0, 1] \times [0, +\infty), [-\infty, +\infty))$, and $W(t) > 0 \in L^1(0, 1)$

$$f(t, \phi) \geq -W(t), \forall t \in (0, 1), \phi \geq 0, \quad (27)$$

where $0 < \int_0^1 Q(s) W(s) ds < \infty, C \|W\|_1 < 1$, C is constant in Lemma 4, and $Q(s)$ is the function in Lemma 1.

We denote a cone K :

$$K = \{\phi \in E : \phi(t) \geq \|\phi\| q(t), \theta \leq t \leq 1 - \theta\}, \quad (28)$$

where $\theta \in (0, 1/2)$.

Set

$$\begin{aligned} R^* &= 2 \left(A \eta^2 \int_{\theta}^{1-\theta} Q(s) ds \right)^{-1}, \\ R_* &= \left(A \int_0^1 (Q(s) + M(s)) ds \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

By Lemma 4, we set $w(t) = \bar{w}(t)$, and for $t \in I$,

$$\begin{aligned} F(t, \phi) &= B(t, \phi) + W(t), \\ B(t, \phi) &= \begin{cases} f(t, \phi), & \phi \geq 0, \\ \phi < 0, & f(t, 0). \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Then, $\phi(t) > 0$ is solution of BVP (1) if and only if $\tilde{\phi}(t) = \phi(t) + w(t)$ is the positive solution of the following BVP(*)

$$\begin{cases} (L\phi)(t) = F(t, \phi(t) - w(t)), & 0 < t < 1, \\ \phi(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \phi(\eta_i), & 0 \leq i \leq k-1, \\ \phi^{(j)}(0) = \phi^{(j)}(1) = 0, & 0 \leq j \leq n-k-1. \end{cases} \quad (31)$$

Clearly, BVP(*) is equivalent to the equation

$$\phi(t) = \int_0^1 K(t,s)F(s, \phi(s) - w(s))ds, \quad (32)$$

i.e., the fixed point problem $\phi = T\phi$ with operator $T : E \rightarrow E$ given by

$$(T\phi)(t) = \int_0^1 K(t,s)F(s, \phi(s) - w(s))ds. \quad (33)$$

3. The Existence of Single Positive Solution

In this section, we present our main results by fixed point index theory.

Theorem 5. *If conditions (H_1) and (H_2) hold. For $C\|W\|_1 < r < 2C\|W\|_1 < R$, and f also satisfies*

(A_1) For $\eta AR/2 \leq \phi \leq R$, there has $f(t, \phi) \geq NR$

(A_2) For $0 \leq \phi \leq r$, there has $f(t, \phi) \leq mr$

where $N \in [R^*, \infty)$, $m \in (0, R_*]$, $mr \geq 1$

Then, the higher-order nonlinear m -point semipositive BVP (1) and (2) has at least one solution $\phi \in K$ such that $\|\phi\|$ lies between r and R .

Theorem 6. *If conditions (H_1) and (H_2) hold. And f also satisfies*

(A_3) $f_0 = \varphi \in [0, R_* - \alpha)$

(A_4) $f_\infty = \psi \in (4R^*/\eta, \infty)$ where

$$f_0 = \lim_{\phi \rightarrow 0} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{f(t, \phi)}{\phi}, \quad (34)$$

$$f_\infty = \lim_{\phi \rightarrow \infty} \min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{f(t, \phi)}{\phi}.$$

Then, the higher-order nonlinear m -point semipositive boundary value problem (1) and (2) have a solution $\phi \in K$ such that $\|\phi\|$ lies between r and R .

Proof of Theorem 5. Firstly, let Ω_1 and Ω_2 of E :

$$\Omega_1 = \{\phi \in K : \|\phi\| < R\}, \Omega_2 = \{\phi \in K : \|\phi\| < r\}. \quad (35)$$

Then, for $\phi \in \partial\Omega_1$,

$$\phi(t) - w(t) \leq \phi(t) \leq \|\phi\| = R, t \in I, \quad (36)$$

$$\phi(t) - w(t) \geq \phi(t) - C\|W\|_1 q(t) \geq \phi(t) - \frac{C\|W\|_1}{R} \phi(t) \geq \frac{1}{2} \phi(t), \quad (37)$$

so, for $\theta \leq t \leq 1 - \theta$,

$$\phi(t) - w(t) \geq \frac{\eta R}{2}. \quad (38)$$

And then by (A_1) , for $\forall \phi \in \partial\Omega_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\phi\| &\geq (T\phi)(t) \geq \int_0^1 Q(s)q(t)(f(s, \phi(s) - w(s)) + W(s))ds \\ &\geq A\eta \int_0^{1-\theta} Q(s)f(s, \phi(s) - w(s))ds \geq \frac{A}{2}\eta^2 NR \int_0^{1-\theta} Q(s)ds \geq R = \|\phi\|. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Therefore, we know that

$$i(T, \Omega_1, K) = 0. \quad (40)$$

Another, for $\phi \in \partial\Omega_2$, we know that

$$\phi(t) - w(t) \leq \phi(t) \leq \|\phi\| = r,$$

$$\phi(t) - w(t) \geq \phi(t) - C\|W\|_1 q(t) \geq \phi(t) - \frac{C\|W\|_1}{r} \phi(t) \geq 0, \quad (41)$$

and then by (A_2) ,

$$\begin{aligned} (T\phi)(t) &= \int_0^1 K(t,s)F(s, \phi(s) - w(s))ds \\ &\leq A \int_0^1 Q(s)(f(s, \phi(s) - w(s)) + W(s))ds \\ &\leq A \int_0^1 (Q(s)mr + W(s))ds \leq Amr \int_0^1 (Q(s) + W(s))ds \\ &\leq r = \|\phi\|. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Therefore,

$$\|T\phi\| \leq \|\phi\|, \forall \phi \in \partial\Omega_2. \quad (43)$$

Then, we know that

$$i(T, \Omega_2, K) = 1. \quad (44)$$

Therefore, by (40) and (44), $r < R$,

$$i(T, \Omega_1 \setminus \bar{\Omega}_2, K) = -1. \quad (45)$$

Then, operator T has a fixed point $\tilde{\phi} \in (\Omega_1 \setminus \bar{\Omega}_2)$ and $r \leq \|\tilde{\phi}\| \leq R$.

Finally, using Lemmas 3 and 4, we know

$$\tilde{\phi}(t) \geq \|\tilde{\phi}\| q(t) \geq r q(t) > C\|W\|_1 q(t) \geq \bar{w}(t) = w(t), t \in (\theta, 1 - \theta), \quad (46)$$

i.e., $\phi(t) = \tilde{\phi}(t) - w(t) > 0$ is the solution of BVP (1) and (2). This completes the proof of Theorem 5. \square

Proof of Theorem 6. Copying Theorem 5. First, by $f_0 = \varphi \in [0, R_* - \alpha)$, for $\epsilon = R_* - \alpha - \varphi$, there exists the number $\rho_1 >$

$C\|W\|_1, \rho(R_* - \alpha) \geq 1$, as $0 \leq u \leq \rho, u \neq 0$, we know that

$$f(t, \phi) \leq (\varphi + \epsilon)\phi = (R_* - \alpha)\rho_1. \quad (47)$$

So, for $r = \rho_1, m = R_* - \alpha \in (0, R_*)$, thus by (47), we know that

$$f(t, \phi) \leq mr, 0 \leq \phi \leq r. \quad (48)$$

So, condition (A_2) holds.

Next, using $(A_4), f_\infty = \psi \in (4R^*/\eta, \infty)$, then for $\epsilon = \lambda - (2R^*/\eta)$, there exists a number $R \neq r$, as $\phi \geq \eta R/2$, we know that

$$f(t, \phi) \geq (\psi - \epsilon)\phi \geq \left(\frac{4R^*}{\eta}\right) \cdot \frac{\eta R}{2} = 2R^*R, \quad (49)$$

Let $N = 2R^* > R^*$, thus, by (49), (A_1) holds. Then, we have that the results of Theorem 6 holds. \square

4. The Existence of Many Positive Solutions

Next, we will discuss the existence of many positive solutions.

Theorem 7. *If $(H_1), (H_2)$, and (A_2) hold. And f also satisfies the following conditions:*

- $(A_5) f_0 = +\infty$
- $(A_6) f_\infty = +\infty$ where

$$f_0 = \lim_{\phi \rightarrow 0} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{f(t, \phi)}{\phi}, f_\infty = \lim_{\phi \rightarrow \infty} \min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{f(t, \phi)}{\phi}. \quad (50)$$

Then, the semipositive boundary value problems (1) and (2) have at least two solutions $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in K$ such that $0 < \|\phi_1\| < r < \|\phi_2\|$.

Theorem 8. *If $(H_1), (H_2)$, and (A_1) hold. And f also satisfies the following conditions:*

- $(A_7) f_0 = 0$
- $(A_8) f_\infty = 0$ where

$$f_0 = \lim_{\phi \rightarrow 0} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{f(t, \phi)}{\phi}, f_\infty = \lim_{\phi \rightarrow \infty} \min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{f(t, \phi)}{\phi}. \quad (51)$$

Then, the semipositive boundary value problems (1) and (2) have at least two solutions $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in K$ such that $0 < \|\phi_1\| < R < \|\phi_2\|$.

Proof of Theorem 7. Copying Theorem 5. First, for $N > R^*$, From (A_7) , there exists a constant $\rho_* \in (2C\|W\|_1, r)$ which satisfy

$$f(t, \phi) \geq M\phi, 0 < \phi \leq \rho_*, \phi \neq 0, 0 < t < 1. \quad (52)$$

Let $\Omega_{\rho_*} = \{\phi \in K : \|\phi\| < \rho_*\}$. Then, for $t \in I$ and $\phi \in \partial\Omega_{\rho_*}$, we know that

$$\phi(t) - w(t) \leq \phi(t) \leq \|\phi\| = \rho_*, \quad (53)$$

$$\phi(t) - w(t) \geq \phi(t) - C\|W\|_1 q(t) \geq \phi(t) - \frac{C\|W\|_1}{\rho_*} \phi(t) \geq \frac{1}{2} \phi(t), \quad (54)$$

so, for $\theta \leq t \leq 1 - \theta, \forall \phi \in \partial\Omega_{\rho_*}$, we know that

$$\phi(t) - w(t) \geq \frac{1}{2} \phi(t) \geq \frac{\|\phi\|}{2} q(t) \geq \frac{\delta \rho_*}{2}. \quad (55)$$

Therefore, from (52), we could obtain the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\phi\| &\geq (T\phi)(t) = \int_0^1 K(t, s)F(s, \phi(s) - w(s))ds \\ &\geq \int_0^1 Q(s)q(t)(f(s, \phi(s) - w(s)) + W(s))ds \\ &\geq \frac{A}{2} \eta^2 N \rho_* \int_\theta^{1-\theta} Q(s)ds \geq \rho_* = \|\phi\|. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$i(T, \Omega_{\rho_*}, K) = 0. \quad (57)$$

Next, using condition (A_8) , for any $\bar{M} > \theta^*$, there exists a constant $\rho_0 > 0$ which satisfies

$$f(t, u) \geq \bar{M}u, u \geq \rho_0, 0 < t < 1. \quad (58)$$

We can choose a constant $\rho^* > \max\{R, 2\rho_0/\eta\}$ which satisfies $\rho_* < R < \rho^*$.

Set $\Omega_{\rho^*} = \{\phi \in K : \|\phi\| < \rho^*\}$. Then,

$$\phi(t) - w(t) \leq \phi(t) \leq \|\phi\| = \rho^*, \quad (59)$$

$$\phi(t) - w(t) \geq \phi(t) - C\|W\|_1 q(t) \geq \phi(t) - \frac{C\|W\|_1}{\rho^*} \phi(t) \geq \frac{1}{2} \phi(t), \quad (60)$$

where $t \in I$ and $\phi \in \partial\Omega_{\rho^*}$. So, for $\theta \leq t \leq 1 - \theta$, we have

$$\phi(t) - w(t) \geq \frac{1}{2} \phi(t) \geq \frac{\|\phi\|}{2} q(t) \geq \frac{\eta \rho^*}{2} \geq \rho_0. \quad (61)$$

And then for $\phi \in \partial\Omega_{\rho^*}$, we can easily obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\phi\| &\geq (T\phi)(t) \geq \int_0^1 Q(s)q(t)(f(s, \phi(s) - w(s)) + W(s))ds \\ &\geq \eta A \int_\theta^{1-\theta} Q(s)f(s, \phi(s) - w(s))ds \geq \frac{A}{2} \eta^2 \bar{M}R \int_\theta^{1-\theta} Q(s)ds \geq R = \|\phi\|. \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

Therefore,

$$i(T, \Omega_{\rho^*}, K) = 0. \quad (63)$$

Finally, letting $\Omega_r = \{\phi \in K : \|\phi\| < r\}$, for any $\phi \in \partial\Omega_r$,

by (A_2) , Lemma 2, we can also easy to obtain that

$$\|T\phi\| \leq \|\phi\|, \forall \phi \in \partial\Omega_r. \quad (64)$$

Then,

$$i(T, \Omega_r, K) = 1. \quad (65)$$

Therefore, by (57)–(65) and $\rho_* < r < \rho^*$, we could obtain the following results:

$$\begin{aligned} i(T, \Omega_r \setminus \bar{\Omega}_{\rho_*}, K) &= 1, \\ i(T, \Omega_{\rho^*} \setminus \bar{\Omega}_r, K) &= -1. \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

Then, T have fixed point $\tilde{\phi}_1 \in \Omega_r \setminus \bar{\Omega}_{\rho_*}$, and fixed point $\tilde{\phi}_2 \in \Omega_{\rho^*} \setminus \bar{\Omega}_r$ and $\rho_* < \|\tilde{\phi}_1\| < r < \|\tilde{\phi}_2\| \leq \rho^*$.

Finally, using Lemmas 3 and 4, for $t \in (\theta, 1 - \theta)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\phi}_1(t) &\geq \left\| \tilde{\phi}_1 \right\| q(t) \geq \rho_* q(t) > C\|W\|_1 q(t) \geq \bar{w}(t) = w(t), \\ \tilde{\phi}_2(t) &\geq \left\| \tilde{\phi}_2 \right\| q(t) \geq \rho^* q(t) > C\|W\|_1 q(t) \geq \bar{w}(t) = w(t), \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

i.e., $\phi_1(t) = \tilde{\phi}_1(t) - w(t)$, $s\phi_2(t) = \tilde{\phi}_2(t) - w(t)$ are the positive solutions of (1) and (2). \square

Proof of Theorem 8. Using the proof of Theorem 5. We only need to discuss the operator T which is given as (33).

First, by $f_0 = 0$, for $\epsilon_1 \in (0, R_*)$, there exists a constant $\rho_* \in (C\|W\|_1, R)$, $\epsilon_1 \rho_* \geq 1$ which satisfy

$$f(t, \phi) \leq \epsilon_1 \phi, 0 < \phi \leq \rho_*. \quad (68)$$

Set $\Omega_{\rho_*} = \{\phi \in K : \|\phi\| < \rho_*\}$, then for $\phi \in \partial\Omega_{\rho_*}$, $\phi(t) - w(t) \leq \phi(t) \leq \|\phi\| = \rho_*$, and

$$\phi(t) - w(t) \geq \phi(t) - C\|W\|_1 q(t) \geq \phi(t) - \frac{C\|W\|_1}{\rho_*} \phi(t) \geq 0, \quad (69)$$

and then by (A_2) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (T\phi)(t) &= \int_0^1 K(t, s) F(s, \phi(s) - w(s)) ds \\ &\leq A \int_0^1 Q(s) (f(s, \phi(s) - w(s)) + W(s)) ds \\ &\leq A\epsilon_1 \rho_* \int_0^1 (Q(s) + W(s)) ds \leq \rho_* = \|\phi\|. \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

So, we have

$$\|T\phi\| \leq \|\phi\|, \forall \phi \in \partial\Omega_{\rho_*}. \quad (71)$$

Then, by ((1)), we have

$$i(T, \Omega_{\rho_*}, K) = 1. \quad (72)$$

Next, letting

$$f^*(x) = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1, 0 \leq \phi \leq x} f(t, \phi). \quad (73)$$

It is easy to know that $f^*(x)$ is monotone increasing for $x \geq 0$.

Thus, by $f_\infty = 0$, and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f^*(x)/x = 0$. Therefore, for any $\epsilon_2 \in (0, \theta_*)$, there exists $\rho^* > r$ such

$$f^*(x) \leq \epsilon_2 x, x \leq \rho^*. \quad (74)$$

Set $\Omega_{\rho^*} = \{\phi \in K : \|\phi\| < \rho^*\}$, then for any $u \in \partial\Omega_{\rho^*}$,

$$\begin{aligned} T\phi(t) &\leq A \int_0^1 Q(s) (f(s, \phi(s) - w(s)) + W(s)) ds \\ &\leq A \int_0^1 Q(s) (f(\rho^*) + W(s)) ds \leq \epsilon_2 A \rho^* \int_0^1 (Q(s) + W(s)) ds \leq \rho^* = \|\phi\|. \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

i.e., $\|T\phi\| \leq \|\phi\|, \forall \phi \in \partial\Omega_{\rho^*}$. Then, by ((1)), we have

$$i(T, \Omega_{\rho^*}, K) = 1. \quad (76)$$

Next, similar to Theorem 5, we set $\Omega_R = \{\phi \in K : \|\phi\| < R\}$, and for any $\phi \in \partial\Omega_R$, by Lemma 2, condition (A_1) , we can also know that

$$\|T\phi\| \geq \|\phi\|, \forall \phi \in \partial\Omega_R. \quad (77)$$

Then,

$$i(T, \Omega_R, K) = 0. \quad (78)$$

Therefore,

$$si(T, \Omega_R \setminus \bar{\Omega}_{\rho_*}, K) = -1, i(T, \Omega_{\rho^*} \setminus \bar{\Omega}_R, K) = 1. \quad (79)$$

Then, T have fixed point $\tilde{\phi}_1 \in \Omega_R \setminus \bar{\Omega}_{\rho_*}$, and fixed point $\tilde{\phi}_2 \in \Omega_{\rho^*} \setminus \bar{\Omega}_R$ and $\rho_* < \|\tilde{\phi}_1\| < R < \|\tilde{\phi}_2\| \leq \rho^*$.

Finally, using Lemmas 3 and 4,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\phi}_1(t) &\geq \left\| \tilde{\phi}_1 \right\| q(t) \geq \rho_* q(t) > C\|W\|_1 q(t) \geq \bar{w}(t) = w(t), \\ \tilde{\phi}_2(t) &\geq \left\| \tilde{\phi}_2 \right\| q(t) \geq \rho^* q(t) > C\|W\|_1 q(t) \geq \bar{w}(t) = w(t), \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

here $t \in (\theta, 1 - \theta)$. Then, $\phi_1(t) = \tilde{\phi}_1(t) - w(t) > 0$, $\phi_2(t) = \tilde{\phi}_2(t) - w(t) > 0$ are the solutions of BVP (1) and (2). The proof of Theorem 8 is complete. \square

5. Application

Example 1. Let $I = [0, 1]$, we consider the following semipositive BVP for $t \in I$:

$$(-1)^3 \phi^{(5)} - \left[\sqrt{e^{\phi(t)} \ln(1 + \phi(t))} - t^5 \right] = 0, \quad (81)$$

with the following boundary value conditions:

$$\phi^{(i)}(0) = 0, i = 1, 2; \phi^{(j)}(1) = 0, j = 0, 1, 2. \quad (82)$$

Clearly,

$$f(t, \phi) = \sqrt{e^{\phi(t)} \ln(1 + u(t))} - t^5 \geq -t^5 = -W(t). \quad (83)$$

By direct calculating, we have

$$\int_0^1 t^5 ds = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{6} t^2 dt = \frac{1}{6} < 1. \quad (84)$$

Therefore, using Lemma 4, let $C = 3$ such that $C\|W\|_1 = 1/2 < 1$. Then, (H_2) holds.

By directly calculating, we can be easy to know that $f_0 = 0, f_\infty = \infty$. So, conditions (A_3) and (A_4) hold. Then, let r, R such that $C\|W\|_1 = 1/2 < r \leq 2C\|W\|_1 = 1 < R$. Then by Theorem 6, we have Example 1 has at least one positive solution $\phi(t)$ and $r \leq \|\phi\| \leq R$.

Data Availability

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Disclosure

The preprint of this manuscript can be found in the following: https://assets.researchsquare.com/files/rs-2387096/v1_covered.pdf?c=1671766530.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Authors' Contributions

All authors contributed equally to the manuscript and typed, read, and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The author was supported by the Natural Foundation of Shandong Province (ZR2021MA038).

References

- [1] D. Guo, V. Lakshmikantham, and X. Liu, *Nonlinear Integral Equations in Abstract Spaces*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1996.
- [2] R. P. Agarwal and D. O'Regan, "Multiplicity results for singular conjugate, focal, and (N, P) problems," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 170, no. 1, pp. 142–156, 2001.
- [3] P. W. Eloe and J. Henderson, "Singular nonlinear $(k, n - k)$ conjugate boundary value problems," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 133, no. 1, pp. 136–151, 1997.
- [4] R. Ma, "Positive solutions for semipositone $(k, n - k)$ conjugate boundary value problems," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 252, no. 1, pp. 220–229, 2000.
- [5] T. Qi, Y. Liu, and Y. Zou, "Existence result for a class of coupled fractional differential systems with integral boundary value conditions," *Journal of Nonlinear Sciences & Applications*, vol. 10, no. 7, pp. 4034–4045, 2017.
- [6] X. Yang, "Green's function and positive solutions for higher-order ODE," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 136, no. 2-3, pp. 379–393, 2003.
- [7] D. Jiang, "Positive solutions to singular $(k, n - k)$ conjugate boundary value problems," *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, vol. 3, pp. 541–548, 2001.
- [8] M. Zhong and X. Zhang, "Positive solutions of singularly perturbed $(k, n - k)$ conjugate boundary value problems," *Acta Mathematica Scientia Series A*, vol. 31, pp. 263–272, 2011.
- [9] R. P. Agarwal, S. R. Grace, and D. O'Regan, "Semipositone higher-order differential equations," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 201–207, 2004.
- [10] X. Zhang, Y. Wu, and L. Caccetta, "Nonlocal fractional order differential equations with changing-sign singular perturbation," *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, vol. 39, no. 21, pp. 6543–16552, 2015.
- [11] H. Su and Z. Wei, "Positive solutions to semipositone $(k, n - k)$ conjugate eigenvalue problems," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 69, no. 9, article 3190C3201, 2008.
- [12] Y. Wang, Y. Liu, and Y. Cui, "Multiple sign-changing solutions for nonlinear fractional Kirchhoff equations," *Boundary Value Problems*, vol. 2018, no. 1, 2018.
- [13] X. Zhang, L. Liu, Y. Wu, and Y. Cui, "A sufficient and necessary condition of existence of blow-up radial solutions for a k -Hessian equation with a nonlinear operator," *Nonlinear Analysis: Modelling and Control*, vol. 25, pp. 126–143, 2020.
- [14] H. Su and X. Wang, "Positive solutions to singular semipositone m -point n -order boundary value problems," *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing*, vol. 36, no. 1-2, pp. 187–200, 2011.
- [15] Y. Liu and D. O'Regan, "Controllability of impulsive functional differential systems with nonlocal conditions," *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 194, pp. 1–10, 2013.
- [16] H. Su, "Nonlinear n -order m -point semi-positive boundary value problems and applications," 2022.