

Review Article

Prognostic and Clinical Value of the Systemic Immune-Inflammation Index in Biliary Tract Cancer: A Meta-Analysis

Xiulan Peng¹,¹ Xia Wang,² Li Hua,³ and Rui Yang⁴

¹Department of Oncology, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Jianghan University, the Fifth Hospital of Wuhan, Wuhan, Hubei 430000, China

²Department of Pharmacy, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Jianghan University, the Fifth Hospital of Wuhan, Wuhan, Hubei 430000, China

³Department of General Medicine, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Jianghan University, the Fifth Hospital of Wuhan, Wuhan, Hubei province, 430050, China

⁴Department of Vascular Surgery, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Jianghan University, the Fifth Hospital of Wuhan, Wuhan, Hubei 430000, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Rui Yang; yangrwh@163.com

Received 11 March 2022; Revised 26 September 2022; Accepted 18 October 2022; Published 17 November 2022

Academic Editor: Daniele Maria-Ferreira

Copyright © 2022 Xiulan Peng et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Previous studies that explored the prognostic and clinical value of the systemic immune-inflammation index (SII) in biliary tract cancer (BTC) had inconsistent results. We conducted this meta-analysis to evaluate the prognostic and clinicopathological role of the SII in biliary tract cancer. Combined analysis demonstrated that high SII levels had worse overall survival (HR = 1.92, 95% CI: 1.66–2.21, p < 0.001) than those with low SII levels. And an elevated SII was associated with lymph node metastasis (OR = 1.44, 95% CI = 1.18-1.76; p < 0.001), TNM stage (OR = 1.49, 95% CI = 1.05-2.13; p = 0.028), and vascular invasion (OR = 1.49, 95% CI = 1.05-2.13; p = 0.028). Conversely, no significant association between a high SII and sex or tumor differentiation was found. Our findings demonstrate that high SII levels were correlated with unfavorable survival outcomes among patients with BTC and that they were also correlated with some higher malignancy features of BTC.

1. Introduction

Biliary tract cancer (BTC) is a heterogeneous group of biliary malignant tumors and is the second common cancer of the hepatobiliary system [1]. BTC consists of gallbladder cancer, intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma, and extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma [2].BTC is associated with chronic inflammation of the biliary tree and hepatic parenchyma. Chronic hepatitis B or C, primary sclerosing cholangitis, gallstones, and certain liver parasites that might cause chronic inflammation are recognized risk factors for BTC [3]. The outcome of BTC is extremely poor because it has no specific symptoms in its early stages and is usually diagnosed at a relatively advanced stage [4]. For patients with advanced BTC, the median overall survival (OS) is no more than 12 months and 5-year survival rate is only approximately 5-15% [5, 6]. The prognosis of individuals with BTC is poor, partially because there are no efficient prognostic biomarkers currently. Therefore, it is essential to identify novel and efficient prognostic markers for BTC that could be used for precise treatment decision-making and to improve the survival of patients with BTC.

Previous studies have indicated that the inflammatory response plays a crucial role in the tumor microenvironment and is a key mediator of tumor development, progression, and metastasis, including BTC [7, 8]. Therefore, peripheral inflammatory cells and calculated ratios as parameters representing the grade of systemic inflammatory response, such as the neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), platelet-lymphocyte ratio (PLR), lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio (LMR), and Creactive protein levels, have been reported to be efficient prognostic biomarkers for patients with various cancers [9–11]. The systemic immune-inflammation index (SII) is a novel inflammatory index that has been shown to be a useful prognostic indicator in several cancers, including hepatocellular carcinoma and lung, gastric, and colorectal cancers [12–14].

The SII has been a significant prognostic factor in patients with BTC [15–24]. However, the association between SII and survival in BTC is still controversial. Therefore, we conducted a quantitative meta-analysis to estimate the association between SII and the prognosis and clinico-pathological factors of patients with BTC.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Search Strategy. This meta-analysis was performed in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) guidelines [25]. The study protocol was registered on the PROSPERO database (CRD42022296509; https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/ PROSPERO/). We thoroughly searched PubMed, Web of Science, EMBASE, and the Cochrane Library from their inception until 20 Jun., 2022. The literature search strategy for each database was reported in the Supplementary file. Search results were manually examined to identify potentially relevant studies.

2.2. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria. The inclusion studies must meet the following criteria: (1) histopathologically confirmed diagnosis of BTC, (2) reported hazard ratios (HRs) and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of SII, (3) the association between SII and OS and/or RFS, (4) definite cut-off value of SII, and (5) only English papers.

Following studies were excluded: (1) reviews, meta-analyses, conference abstracts, letters, and case reports; (2) studies received any anticancer treatment previously; and (3) did not contain sufficient information.

2.3. Data Extraction and Quality Assessment. Two examiners (XW and LH) independently extracted the following information: first author's name, publication year, study period, number of cases, study country, age, sex, time of follow-up, histology, treatment, cut-off value of SII, prognostic endpoints (OS or RFS), HRs with corresponding 95% CIs, and clinicopathological characteristics.

The Newcastle-Ottawa scale (NOS) was employed to assess the quality of the selected studies [26]. NOS scores ranged from 0 to 9; if scores were higher than 6, the study was high quality.

2.4. Statistical Analysis. The pooled HRs and 95% CIs were calculated to evaluate the correlation between SII and survival of patients with BTC; the random-effects model was explored to combine the data. The Cochran Q-test and I^2 test were used to assess statistical heterogeneity across studies [27, 28], $I^2 > 50\%$ and p < 0.10 indicating substantial heterogeneity. Subgroup analysis was performed according to the sample size, ethnicity, histology, treatment, cut-off value, and cut-off selection method. Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% CIs were used to determine the correlations between the SII and clinicopathological factors in patients with

BTC. Sensitivity analysis was conducted to evaluate the reliability of the results. Begg's and Egger's tests were used to quantify publication bias; p < 0.05 was considered as statistical significance. Stata version 15.0 (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX, USA) was used to perform the meta-analysis.

3. Results

3.1. Search Results. As shown in Figure 1, 53 studies were yielded after the initial search from database, from which 32 papers were retained for full-text review. After screening titles and abstracts, 18 studies were excluded. Finally, 10 studies comprising 2,508 patients were included in the meta-analysis [15–24].

3.2. Description of the Included Studies. Table 1 presents the main characteristics of the included studies. All 10 identified studies were retrospective cohorts, and the number of patients in each study ranged from 28 to 691. The included studies were published between 1993 and 2021 and were conducted in seven countries, including one multi-institution (USA, Italy, Australia, China, France, the Netherlands, and Japan) [16], one in Japan [17], and eight in China [14, 18–24]. All studies provided information on the relationship between the SII and OS [15–24], three on RFS [22–24] and seven on clinicopathological features [17–23]. The cut-off values ranged from 331 to 1,450. The NOS scores ranged from 7 to 8(Supplementary file), indicating high-quality studies.

3.3. Correlation between SII and OS in BTC. Data showing the relationship between the SII and OS were extracted from all 10 studies including 2,508 patients [15–24]. A random-effects model adopted due to heterogeneity was significant ($I^2 = 49.9\%$, p = 0.035; Figure 2 and Table 2). The results of the pooled analysis indicated that high SII was related to poor OS for BTC (HR = 1.92, 95% CI: 1.66–2.21, p < 0.001). Subgroup analysis was performed for further investigation based on sample size, ethnicity, histology, treatment, cut-off value, and cut-off selection method. Nonetheless, the results indicated that there was no significant correlation between high SII and sample size or histology, but there was significant heterogeneity in ethnicity ($I^2 = 59.5\%$), cut-off value ($I^2 = 68.9\%$), and cut-off selection method ($I^2 = 49.9\%$) (Table 2).

3.4. Correlation between SII and RFS. As there were only three studies that provided RFS data, the pooled results were with low resolution [22–24]. The correlation between SII and RFS in patients with BTC was not analyzed in this study.

3.5. Association between SII and Clinicopathological Features. The association between SII and clinicopathological features was analyzed in seven studies including 1,579 patients [17–23]. The parameters included sex (male vs. female), tumor differentiation (poor vs. moderate/well-differentiated), lymph node metastasis (yes vs. no), TNM stage (I–II vs. III-IV), and vascular invasion (yes vs. no), (Table 3 and Figure 3). The pooled results suggested that



FIGURE 1: Flow chart of literature search and study selection.

a high SII was associated with lymph node metastasis (OR = 1.44, 95% CI = 1.18-1.76; p < 0.001), TNM stage (OR = 1.49, 95% CI = 1.05-2.13; p = 0.028), and vascular invasion (OR = 1.49, 95% CI = 1.05-2.13; p = 0.028). No significant correlation was detected between the SII and sex (OR = 0.96, 95% CI = 0.87-1.05; p = 0.384) or tumor differentiation (OR = 1.06, 95% CI = 0.94-1.20; p = 0.492).

3.6. Sensitivity Analysis. A sensitivity analysis was conducted to evaluate the stability of the pooled HRs for OS, and it showed that the results of this meta-analysis were credible (Figure 4).

3.7. Publication Bias. Publication bias was assessed by Begg's funnel and Egger's tests, and no significant bias for OS was detected in this meta-analysis (Begg's p = 0.592, Egger's p = 0.710) (Figure 5).

4. Discussion

Due to the pivotal role of chronic inflammatory conditions in the development of BTC, an increasing number of inflammatory markers, such as PLR, LMR, and NLR, have been used in clinical practice as prognostic markers for BTC [29–31]. In this study, survival data from 10

studies which included 2,595 patients were integrated to investigate the clinical value of SII in BTC prognosis. The results demonstrated a relationship between high SII and poor OS in patients with BTC, suggesting that a high SII is a valuable prognostic marker for survival outcomes. Moreover, our studies also observed the association between a high SII and clinical characteristics, including lymph node metastasis, vascular invasion, and advanced TNM stage. Thus, high SII levels were related to tumor progression and invasiveness. The sensitivity and publication bias analysis suggested the results were reliable. Taken together, the current meta-analysis demonstrates the importance of the SII for the prognosis of BTC. So far as we know, this is the first meta-analysis to evaluate the prognostic and clinicopathological significance of the SII in patients with BTC.

Inflammatory cells of the tumor microenvironment are involved in various proinflammatory responses, and the amount of immune cells and other components of the tumor microenvironment is crucial for the initiation, malignant conversion, development, and metastasis of tumors [32]. The SII is determined by neutrophil × platelet/lymphocyte count, and a high SII is caused by changes in these cell counts. Neutrophils can restrict the cytolytic activity of immune cells, secrete all kinds of inflammatory factors,

Author Year	Country	Period	Histology	Patients	Age (y) median	Sex (M/F)	TNM stage	Cut-off value	Cut-off selection	Treatment	Follow-up (m)	Survival analvsis	NOS
100.1					(range)	(- 1)	2 9 m2	2000				oro l'imin	21020
Hu et al. 2019 [15]	China	2012- 2017	eCCA	113	68.9 ± 11	75/38	NA	456	ROC	PTBS+ ¹²⁵ I	NA	SO	7
Zhang et al. 2020 [24]	China	2013- 2017	iCCA	128	56.19 ± 9.63	70/58	III-I	1027	ROC	Surgery	NA	OS, RFS	7
Tsilimigras et al. 2020 [16]	Multi- institution	2000- 2007	iCCA	688	57 (49-60)	416/272	NA	1150	ROC	Surgery	22.3 (10.9-44.6)	OS, CSS	8
Sun et al. 2020 [20]	China	2003- 2017	GBC	142	63.06 ± 10.68	60/82	I-IV	600	Harrell miscellaneous	Surgery	NA	SO	8
Li et al. 2020 [22]	China	2009- 2017	iCCA	265	57.9	134/131	III-I	450	ROC	Surgery	18 (1-115.4)	OS, RFS	8
Li et al. 2021 [21]	China	2002- 2019	GBC	691	55.7 ± 9.5 (32-80)	270/421	NA	510	R package survMisc	Surgery	53.8 (3 m-18 y)	SO	8
Zhang et al. 2021 [23]	China	1993- 2015	cHCC- CCA	220	59 (30-81)	162/58	I-IV	331	ROC	Surgery/+TACE	22.1 (1-118)	OS, RFS	8
Ren et al. 2021 [19]	China	2013- 2018	iCCA	28	51.5 (46.8-60)	25/3	NA	447.48	ROC	TACE/ablation/ chemotherapy/+LT	33.5	SO	7
Chen et al. 2021 [18]	China	2012- 2020	GBC	93	62 (32-90)	62/31	III-I	824	ROC	Surgery	14 (2-60)	SO	8
Terasaki et al. 2021 [17]	Japan	2002- 2015	eCCA	140	NA	109/31	NA	1450	Log-rank test and K-M curve	Surgery	48.2	SO	7
NA: not available; C metastasis; GBC: g ^e transhepatic biliary ^s	N: overall su Ilbladder ca tenting; TAC	urvival; DF mcer; iCC JE: transar	S: disease-fre A: intrahepa terial chemo	e survival; ntic cholang embolizatio	NOS: Newcastle-O giocarcinoma; eCC ni; LT: liver transpl	ttawa scale A: extrahε antation.	; ROC: re patic chc	ceiver oper langiocarci	ating characteristics cu noma; cHCC-CCA: c	rve; SII: systemic immun ombined hepatocellular-c	e-inflammation inde cholangiocarcinoma;	x; TNM: tun PTBS: perci	ior node itaneous

TABLE 1: Baseline characteristics of included studies.

Journal of Immunology Research

Study			%	
ID		Hr (95% CI)	Weight	
Hu2018		1.88 (1.06, 3.32)	6.16	
Terasaki2020		2.05 (1.03, 4.06)	4.27	
Zhang2020		2.45 (1.28, 4.71)	4.72	
Tsilimigras2020		1.70 (1.23, 2.34)	19.42	
Sun2020		1.69 (1.07, 2.68)	9.48	
Li2020		1.74 (1.25, 2.53)	16.01	
Li2021		1.90 (1.42, 2.54)	23.76	
Zhang2021	•	2.73 (1.76, 4.23)	10.45	
Ren2021		0.27 (0.08, 0.91)	1.39	
Chen2021		3.74 (1.89, 7.39)	4.33	
Overall (I-squared = 49.9%, <i>p</i> = 0.035)	\Diamond	1.92 (1.66, 2.21)	100.00	
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis				
0.4 0.8 1	2			

FIGURE 2: Forest plots of the association between the systemic immune-inflammation index and OS in patients with biliary tract cancer. CI: confidence interval; HR: hazard ratio.

Subgroup analysis	No. of studies	No. of patients	Effects model	HP (95% CI)	n value	Hetero	ogeneity
	No. of studies	No. of patients	Effects model	11K (95% CI)	<i>p</i> value	I^2 (%)	p value
OS							
Histology							
GBC	3	926	Fixed	2.00 (1.58-2.52)	< 0.001	48.2	0.145
iCCA	4	1109	Random	1.52 (0.95-2.44)	< 0.001	70.4	0.018
eCCA	2	253	Fixed	1.95 (1.26-3.02)	0.03	0.0	0.849
Sample size							
≥140	6	2006	Fixed	1.89 (1.62-2.21)	< 0.001	0.0	0.610
<140	5	502	Random	1.49 (0.78-2.84)	0.222	87.1	< 0.001
Treatment							
Surgery	7	2087	Fixed	1.90 (1.62-2.22)	< 0.001	0.0	0.499
Cut-off value							
≥555	5	1104	Fixed	1.96 (1.57-2.43)	< 0.001	21.1	0.280
<555	5	1404	Random	1.74 (1.20-2.53)	0.04	68.9	0.012
Cut-off selection method							
ROC curve	7	1535	Random	1.94 (1.39-2.72)	< 0.001	66.0	0.07
Country			—				
China	8	1680	Random	1.96 (1.48-2.58)	< 0.001	59.5	0.16
Total	10	2508	Random	1.92 (1.66-2.21)	< 0.001	49.9	0.035

TABLE 2: Subgroup analyses for OS and RFS based on different factors.

CI: confidence interval; HR: hazard ratio; OS: overall survival; DFS: disease-free survival; ROC: receiver operating characteristics curve; SII: systemic immuneinflammation index; PTBS: percutaneous transhepatic biliary stenting; TACE: transcatheter arterial chemoembolization; K–M curve: Kaplan–Meier curve; GBC: gallbladder cancer; iCCA: intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma; eCCA: extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma; cHCC-CCA: combined hepatocellularcholangiocarcinoma.

and promote adhesion of circulating tumor cells (CTCs) to target organs, thus resulting in the promotion of tumor progression and metastasis [33–36]. An increase in platelets can stimulate angiogenesis by secreting vascular endothelial growth factor [37]. Platelets can protect tumor cells from immune destruction and promote distant metastasis by inducing CTC epithelial-mesenchymal transition and enhancing their transendothelial migration and metastasis [38, 39]. Lymphocytes play a critical role in cancer immunesurveillance by suppressing tumor growth and progression [40]. Decreased lymphocyte counts can weaken immunological reactions in cancer patients [41]. All these results imply that SII can reflect the balance between host inflammatory and immune status.

	TABLE 3: Correlation	ons of SII and clinicop	athological characteri	stics.			
Clinicopathological features	No. of studies	No. of patients	Effects model	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> value	Hetero I^2 (%)	geneity p value
Lymph node metastasis (yes versus no)	5	1496	Random	1.44 (1.18-1.76)	<0.001	52.5	0.078
Vascular invasion (yes vs. no)	5	1431	Random	1.49(1.05-2.13)	0.028	53.7	0.071
TNM stage (I-II vs. III-IV)	4	807	Random	1.52(1.18-1.94)	0.001	75.2	0.007
Sex (male versus female)	7	1579	Fixed	0.96 (0.87-1.05)	0.384	0.0	0.925
Tumor differentiation (poor versus moderate/well)	5	1384	Fixed	1.06(0.94 - 1.20)	0.492	79.1	0.001
CI: confidence interval; OR: odds ratio; SII: systemic immune-i	nflammation index; BT0	C: biliary tract cancer.					

characteris
copathological
and clinid
of SII
Correlations
3:
щ

6



FIGURE 3: Continued.



FIGURE 3: Forest plots of the correlation between the systemic immune-inflammation index and clinical features in biliary tract cancer. (a) Lymph node metastasis (yes vs. no); (b) vascular invasion (yes vs. no); (c) TNM stage (I–II vs. III-IV); (d) sex (male vs. female); (e) tumor differentiation (poor vs. moderate/well).

The SII reflects the overall status of the patient's immune system and is noninvasive and easily obtained in clinical practice. The prognostic effect of the SII has also been investigated in other types of cancer in a meta-analysis. A recent meta-analysis based on data from 11 studies involving 3,737 patients indicated that a high SII could predict worse survival outcomes and clinical parameters in colorectal cancer [42]. Study from Zhong et al. also showed that a high SII showed prognostic efficiency in multiple solid cancers [43]. A retrospective study including 2,442 patients demonstrated that a high pretreatment SII indicated poor OS and DFS/RFS and was associated with several clinicopathological factors in non-small-cell lung cancer [44]. Wang et al. reported the prognostic value of SII in hepatocellular carcinoma and that priority treatment may be more beneficial for patients with high SII than low SII [45]. In the present meta-analysis, the pooled results indicated that a high SII was significantly related to poor OS, which is consistent with the findings from other cancer studies. In addition, the results demonstrated correlations between a high SII and clinical factors in BTC. Therefore, combined with these findings, the SII may be used for cancer prognosis and help guide clinical decision-making. The measurement of SII is noninvasive, cost-effective, and convenient, implying that SII shows promising clinical efficacy for patients with BTC.

This study has several limitations. First, the cut-off methods and values of SII levels were inconsistent, which may have resulted in heterogeneity. Second, most studies were conducted in Asia, and the results may be more relevant to Asian patients. Third, all the included studies were





FIGURE 5: Publication bias. (a) Begg's test for overall survival, p = 0.592; (b) Egger's test for overall survival, p = 0.710.

retrospective, which may have led to heterogeneity among the studies. Further prospective studies on patients are needed in the future.

5. Conclusion

This meta-analysis identified 10 studies including 2,508 patients. Survival outcomes demonstrated that a high SII was associated with worse OS in patients with BTC and with clinical features implying higher malignancy of the cancer. These results indicate that SII could play an important role as an effective factor for poor prognosis and guide clinical treatment in patients with BTC. However, as there were

several limitations to this study, further high-quality studies are needed to validate our results.

Data Availability

The datasets analyzed during this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declared that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Authors' Contributions

Xiulan Peng, Xia Wang, and Hua Li searched the literature and wrote the manuscript. Xiulan Peng and Rui Yang designed the study. Xia Wang and Hua Li examined and verified the study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. Xiulan Peng, Xia Wang, and Li Hua contributed equally to this work and are co-first authors.

Acknowledgments

The present study was funded by the Health and Family Planning Foundation of Wuhan (No. WG13B12, No. WX16A08).

Supplementary Materials

Table S1: the literature search strategy for each database and the detailed items of Newcastle–Ottawa scale in this metaanalysis. (*Supplementary Materials*)

References

- M. Ghidini, C. Pizzo, A. Botticelli et al., "Biliary tract cancer: current challenges and future prospects," *Cancer Management* and Research, vol. 11, pp. 379–388, 2019.
- [2] J. W. Valle, R. K. Kelley, B. Nervi, D.-Y. Oh, and A. X. Zhu, "Biliary tract cancer," *The Lancet*, vol. 397, no. 10272, pp. 428–444, 2021.
- [3] J. A. Bridgewater, K. A. Goodman, A. Kalyan, and M. F. Mulcahy, "Biliary tract cancer: epidemiology, radiotherapy, and molecular profiling," *American Society of Clinical Oncology Educational Book*, vol. 35, no. 36, pp. e194–e203, 2016.
- [4] S. Mondaca, B. Nervi, M. Pinto, and G. K. Abou-Alfa, "Biliary tract cancer prognostic and predictive genomics," *Chinese Clinical Oncology*, vol. 8, no. 4, article 42, 2019.
- [5] N. U. Tariq, M. G. McNamara, and J. W. Valle, "Biliary tract cancers: current knowledge, clinical candidates and future challenges," *Cancer Management and Research*, vol. 11, pp. 2623–2642, 2019.
- [6] A. Lamarca, J. Barriuso, M. G. McNamara, and J. W. Valle, "Molecular targeted therapies: ready for "prime time" in biliary tract cancer," *Journal of Hepatology*, vol. 73, no. 1, pp. 170– 185, 2020.
- [7] R. D. Schreiber, L. J. Old, and M. J. Smyth, "Cancer immunoediting: integrating immunity's roles in cancer suppression and promotion," *Science*, vol. 331, no. 6024, pp. 1565–1570, 2011.
- [8] N. U. Tariq, A. Vogel, M. G. McNamara, and J. W. Valle, "Biliary tract cancer: implicated immune-mediated pathways and their associated potential targets," *Oncology Research and Treatment*, vol. 41, no. 5, pp. 298–304, 2018.
- [9] G. Jomrich, M. Paireder, I. Kristo et al., "High systemic immune-inflammation index is an adverse prognostic factor for patients with gastroesophageal adenocarcinoma," *Annals* of Surgery, vol. 273, no. 3, pp. 532–541, 2021.
- [10] K. Okadome, Y. Baba, T. Yagi et al., "Prognostic nutritional index, tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes, and prognosis in patients with esophageal cancer," *Annals of Surgery*, vol. 271, no. 4, pp. 693–700, 2020.
- [11] A. Russo, M. Russano, T. Franchina et al., "Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR), and

outcomes with nivolumab in pretreated non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): a large retrospective multicenter study," *Advances in Therapy*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 1145–1155, 2020.

- [12] D. Wang, X. Hu, L. Xiao et al., "Prognostic nutritional index and systemic immune-inflammation index predict the prognosis of patients with HCC," *Journal of Gastrointestinal Sur*gery, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 421–427, 2021.
- [13] X. Hong, B. Cui, M. Wang, Z. Yang, L. Wang, and Q. Xu, "Systemic immune-inflammation index, based on platelet counts and neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio, is useful for predicting prognosis in small cell lung cancer," *The Tohoku Journal of Experimental Medicine*, vol. 236, no. 4, pp. 297–304, 2015.
- [14] J. Jiang, T. Ma, W. Xi et al., "Pre-treatment inflammatory biomarkers predict early treatment response and favorable survival in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer who underwent first line cetuximab plus chemotherapy," *Cancer Management and Research*, vol. 11, pp. 8657–8668, 2019.
- [15] X. Hu, Q. Pang, H. Liu et al., "Inflammation-based prognostic scores in patients with extrahepatic bile duct lesions treated by percutaneous transhepatic biliary stenting combined with ¹²⁵I seeds intracavitary irradiation," *Clinical & Translational Oncology*, vol. 21, no. 5, pp. 665–673, 2019.
- [16] D. I. Tsilimigras, D. Moris, R. Mehta et al., "The systemic immune-inflammation index predicts prognosis in intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: an international multiinstitutional analysis," *HPB (Oxford)*, vol. 22, no. 12, pp. 1667–1674, 2020.
- [17] F. Terasaki, T. Sugiura, Y. Okamura et al., "Systemic immuneinflammation index as a prognostic marker for distal cholangiocarcinoma," *Surgery Today*, vol. 51, no. 10, pp. 1602–1609, 2021.
- [18] H. Chen, Z. Huang, B. Sun et al., "The predictive value of systemic immune inflammation index for postoperative survival of gallbladder carcinoma patients," *Journal of Surgical Oncol*ogy, vol. 124, no. 1, pp. 59–66, 2021.
- [19] A. Ren, Z. Li, P. Cheng, X. Zhang, R. Deng, and Y. Ma, "Systemic immune-inflammation index is a prognostic predictor in patients with intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma undergoing liver transplantation," *Mediators of Inflammation*, vol. 2021, Article ID 6656996, 7 pages, 2021.
- [20] L. Sun, Y. Jin, W. Hu et al., "The impacts of systemic immuneinflammation index on clinical outcomes in gallbladder carcinoma," *Frontiers in Oncology*, vol. 10, article 554521, 2020.
- [21] L. Li, T. Ren, K. Liu et al., "Development and validation of a prognostic nomogram based on the systemic immuneinflammation index for resectable gallbladder cancer to predict survival and chemotherapy benefit," *Frontiers in oncology*, vol. 11, article 692647, 2021.
- [22] H. Li, J. J. Wang, M. Zhang et al., "Prognostic significance of systemic immune-inflammation index in patients with intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma undergoing hepatic resection," *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 467–482, 2020.
- [23] F. Zhang, K. S. Hu, S. X. Lu et al., "Prognostic significance of preoperative systemic immune-inflammation index in combined hepatocellular-cholangiocarcinoma," *Cancer Biomark*ers, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 211–225, 2021.
- [24] Z. Zhang, Y. Zhou, K. Hu, and Y. Huang, "Investigating effects of preoperative inflammatory biomarkers on predicting survival outcomes of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma after curative resection," *World Journal of Surgical Oncology*, vol. 18, no. 1, p. 272, 2020.

- [25] D. Moher, A. Liberati, J. Tetzlaff, D. G. Altman, and P. Grp and PRISMA Group, "Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement," *Annals of internal medicine*, vol. 151, no. W64, pp. 264– 269, 2009.
- [26] A. Stang, "Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses," *European Journal of Epidemiology*, vol. 25, no. 9, pp. 603–605, 2010.
- [27] W. Cochran, "The combination of estimates from different experiments," *Biometrics*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 101–129, 1954.
- [28] J. P. Higgins and S. G. Thompson, "Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis," *Statistics in Medicine*, vol. 21, no. 11, pp. 1539–1558, 2002.
- [29] L. H. Zhou and X. F. Luo, "Platelet to lymphocyte ratio in biliary tract cancer: review and meta-analysis," *Clinica Chimica Acta*, vol. 474, pp. 102–107, 2017.
- [30] D. W. Tan, Y. Fu, Q. Su et al., "Prognostic significance of neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio in oncologic outcomes of cholangiocarcinoma: a meta-analysis," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 6, no. 1, article 33789, 2016.
- [31] Y. Miyahara, S. Takashi, Y. Shimizu, and M. Ohtsuka, "The prognostic impact of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio (LMR) in patients with distal bile duct cancer," *World Journal of Surgical Oncology*, vol. 18, no. 1, p. 78, 2020.
- [32] D. Stoiber and A. Assinger, "Platelet-leukocyte interplay in cancer development and progression," *Cell*, vol. 9, no. 4, p. 855, 2020.
- [33] E. Kolaczkowska and P. Kubes, "Neutrophil recruitment and function in health and inflammation," *Nature Reviews. Immunology*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 159–175, 2013.
- [34] K. W. Tan, S. Z. Chong, F. H. Wong et al., "Neutrophils contribute to inflammatory lymphangiogenesis by increasing VEGF-A bioavailability and secreting VEGF-D," *Blood*, vol. 122, no. 22, pp. 3666–3677, 2013.
- [35] K. A. Scilla, S. M. Bentzen, V. K. Lam et al., "Neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio is a prognostic marker in patients with locally advanced (stage IIIA and IIIB) non-small cell lung cancer treated with combined modality therapy," *The Oncologist*, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 737–742, 2017.
- [36] J. E. De Larco, B. R. Wuertz, and L. T. Furcht, "The potential role of neutrophils in promoting the metastatic phenotype of tumors releasing interleukin-8," *Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 10, no. 15, pp. 4895–4900, 2004.
- [37] L. W. Gao, H. Zhang, B. Zhang, L. M. Zhang, and C. L. Wang, "Prognostic value of combination of preoperative platelet count and mean platelet volume in patients with resectable non-small cell lung cancer," *Oncotarget*, vol. 8, no. 9, pp. 15632–15641, 2017.
- [38] B. Z. Stanger and M. L. Kahn, "Platelets and tumor cells: a new form of border control," *Cancer Cell*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 9–11, 2013.
- [39] N. M. Bambace and C. E. Holmes, "The platelet contribution to cancer progression," *Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 237–249, 2011.
- [40] R. Ogiya, N. Niikura, N. Kumaki et al., "Comparison of tumorinfiltrating lymphocytes between primary and metastatic tumors in breast cancer patients," *Cancer Science*, vol. 107, no. 12, pp. 1730–1735, 2016.

- [41] L. Chen, F. Zhang, X. G. Sheng, and S. O. Zhang, "Decreased pretreatment lymphocyte/monocyte ratio is associated with poor prognosis in stage Ib1-IIa cervical cancer patients who undergo radical surgery," *Oncotargets and Therapy*, vol. 8, pp. 1355–1362, 2015.
- [42] M. L. Dong, Y. G. Shi, J. Yang et al., "Prognostic and clinicopathological significance of systemic immune-inflammation index in colorectal cancer: a meta-analysis," *Therapeutic Advances in Medical Oncology*, vol. 12, 2020.
- [43] J. H. Zhong, D. H. Huang, and Z. Y. Chen, "Prognostic role of systemic immune-inflammation index in solid tumors: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *Oncotarget*, vol. 8, no. 43, pp. 75381–75388, 2017.
- [44] Y. Wang, Y. N. Li, P. R. Chen, W. Y. Xu, Y. M. Wu, and G. W. Che, "Prognostic value of the pretreatment systemic immuneinflammation index (SII) in patients with non-small cell lung cancer: a meta-analysis," *Annals of translational medicine*, vol. 7, no. 18, p. 433, 2019.
- [45] B. L. Wang, Y. Huang, and T. Lin, "Prognostic impact of elevated pre-treatment systemic immune-inflammation index (SII) in hepatocellular carcinoma," *Medicine (Baltimore)*, vol. 99, no. 1, p. e18571, 2020.