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**DJ-1: A Potential Biomarker Related to Prognosis, Chemoresistance, and Expression of Microenvironmental Chemokine in HR-Positive Breast Cancer**
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**DJ-1** is significantly elevated in various malignancies. However, the clinical significance of **DJ-1** in hormone receptor (HR)-positive (HR+) breast cancer remains unclear. We evaluated **DJ-1** expression in different databases and validated in vitro assay by RT-PCR and western blot among HR+ breast cancer. The correlations between **DJ-1** level and tumor-immune were calculated. Mutational landscape, enriched signaling pathways, and drug sensitivity analyses were also assessed between **DJ-1** high and low-expression groups. **DJ-1** was upregulated in HR+ breast cancer, and high **DJ-1** expression was significantly linked with poor prognosis. **DJ-1** was correlated with the expression and function of different immune cells. The low **DJ-1** group showed sensitivity to paclitaxel and docetaxel, while the high-expression group showed sensitivity to doxorubicin. CTLA4 and PD-L1 were more sensitive in high-**DJ-1** group. It is involved in a range of pathways and might behave as a novel biomarker of prognostic value for the immune environment and drug sensitivity in HR+ breast cancer.

1. Introduction

Globally, breast cancer is the most common cancer in women and ranked the most common cause of cancer-related mortality among women [1]. It is known to all that the hormone receptor (HR) status, such as estrogen receptor (ER) and progesterone receptor (PR), play important roles in prognostic and treatment in breast cancer [2]. Tumor subtypes with expression of either ER or PR in at least 1% of the biopsied tumor cells are categorized as HR-positive (HR+) subtypes [3]. This subtype accounts for the majority of all breast cancers, approximately 65%–70%, and causes most of the victims from this disease [4, 5]. The most important molecule underlying the HR+HER2− subtype is ERα, a steroid HR and a transcription factor. When ERα is activated by estrogen, it could activate oncogenic growth pathways in breast cancer cells. Although endocrine therapy that blocks the ER pathway has been developed for years and shows great effectiveness [6], more dysregulated molecules that may serve as novel treatment targets need to be identified.

**DJ-1**, known as one member of the peptidase C56 family, was originally known for its protective role against oxidative stress and cell death in Parkinsonism [7, 8]. Beyond that, **DJ-1** has been reported in cancers. The evidence shows that **DJ-1** may be involved in various mechanisms in cancer progression, including the inhibition of cellular apoptosis, redox sensing, acting as a marker for chemotherapy resistance, suppression of ferroptosis, regulating histone glycation, and inhibition of autophagy [9–13]. It has been identified overexpression in a range of cancer types, including breast cancer [14, 15], osteosarcoma [16], melanoma [17], colorectal cancer [18], endometrial cancer [19], and esophageal cancer.
[20], indicating its role as oncogene. Previously, a study in breast cancer cell lines has shown that NRG-1 promotes the decoupling of DJ-1 with HER3 and activates the heterodimerization of HER2/HER3 [21]. Scumaci et al. [22] reported that phospho-DJ-1 can prevent glycation-induced histone dysregulation, and its Akt-related hyperactivity sustains the proliferation of cancer cells by preserving the epigenome landscape. Nowadays, immunotherapy therapy has become a promising strategy for breast cancer [23, 24], and DJ-1 might exert a specific influence on immune cells. For instance, Treg homeostasis can be maintained via pyruvate dehydrogenase activity promoted by DJ-1 [25], and the loss of DJ-1 can reduce the number of total CD4+ T cells while increasing fractional thymic and peripheral nTregs [26]. DJ-1 can also act as an immune modulator through regulating the activation of several immune cells, such as macrophages, mast cells (MCs), and T cells, via reactive oxygen species (ROS)-dependent and/or ROS-independent mechanisms [27]. However, the clinical significance and role in the immune environment of DJ-1 among HR+ subtypes still remains unclear. Thus, to evaluate the value of the DJ-1 in HR+ breast cancer is fundamental.

To help elucidate the possible relationship between the DJ-1 expression and HR+ patient clinical features, immune environment, and chemosensitivity, we explored DJ-1 both in silico and in vitro. Our results shed light on the importance of DJ-1 in HR+ breast cancer as well as providing potential relationships and mechanisms between DJ-1 and HR+ breast cancer immunotherapy.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Population. A total of 940 patients, including 591 HR+/HER2− subtypes from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) breast cancer cohort, were included into our analysis. The hormone-based subtypes were inferred from immunohistochemistry results in the dataset. Their matched gene expression matrix (version 2017-10-13), clinic information, follow-up records, protein expression, and somatic mutation were also obtained from the UCSC Xena hub (https://xena.broadinstitute.org/).

Another cohort containing 1,885 primary breast cancer patients with follow-up time and gene expression profiles, including 1,459 HR+/HER2− subtypes, from the Molecular Taxonomy of Breast Cancer International Consortium (METABRIC) project, was downloaded (https://www.mercurnlab.umassmed.edu/metabric) and utilized as external validation cohort for DJ-1 expression and survival analysis.

We also used the publicly available datasets in the Oncomine database (https://www.oncomine.org/resource/main.html) [28] to verify the DJ-1 expression between tumor and normal breast cancer tissues.

2.2. Survival Analysis of DJ-1. The correlation between DJ-1 expression and breast cancer survival status was analyzed by grouping HR+ subtype patients into high and low DJ-1 expression groups according to the median expression of DJ-1. Overall survival (OS) and progression-free interval (PFI)/relapse-free survival (RFS) were used as endpoints. We used the Cox regression model in the R survminer package (v0.4.9) to calculate and visualize the HR and Cox P values. We adjusted common confounding factors, age, and tumor stage as covariates during the regression.

2.3. Pathway Enrichment Analysis. To compare the biofunction difference between high and low DJ-1 expression groups in the pathway level, we performed gene set variation analysis (GSVA) analysis. We first downloaded classic cancer hallmark pathways from the MSigDB Collections (https://www.gsea-msigdb.org/) and calculated GSVA pathway scores for each sample in the TCGA and METABRIC cohort by the gsva R package (v1.48.1). We then compared pathway scores between DJ-1 high and low-expression groups by the limma package.

2.4. Somatic Mutation Analysis. We compared significant somatic mutation genes between high and low DJ-1 expression groups in TCGA patients. The maftools package (v2.16.0) was used to calculate the tumor mutation burden (TMB) and generate the genomic profile diagram.

2.5. Immune Infiltration Analysis. We performed single-sample GSEA analysis on expression profiles to evaluate the phenotypes of classic human infiltrating immune cells [29]. The relationship between deduced immune cell fractions and DJ-1 expression was analyzed by Spearman correlation.

2.6. Drug Sensitivity Analysis. We used the R package pRrophetic to assess the sensitivity of chemotherapeutic sensitivity for HR+ breast cancer patients by estimation of IC50 (half maximal inhibitory concentration). The pRrophetic algorithm is based on the pharmacogenomics database of Cancer Genome Project cell line data and the Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia [30]. Generally, patients with high IC50 values are less sensitive to the tested drug. We compared deduced IC50 values from chemotherapeutic agents approved by the FDA between high and low DJ-1 groups. Also, we compared the expression of target therapy-related biomarkers between groups such as TMB, PD1, and CTLA4.

2.7. In Vivo Validation of DJ-1 Expression. All the cell lines (MCF-10A, MCF-7, T-47D, SK-BR-3, BT-474, MDA-MB-231, and MDA-MB-468) were obtained from ATCC. MCF-7 and T-47D were maintained in RPMI 1640 medium with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. MDA-MB-468 was maintained in a Leibovitz’s-15 (L-15) supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. The human MCF-10A mammary nontumorigenic epithelial cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified eagle medium (DMEM)/F12 medium (3:1) supplemented with 10% horse serum, 0.5 μg/ml hydrocortisone, 20 ng/ml recombinant epidermal growth factor, 10 μg/ml insulin and antibiotics. MCF-7, SK-BR-3, and MDA-MB-231 were cultured in a DMEM medium with FBS, penicillin, and streptomycin. All cells were maintained in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2 and 95% air at 37°C.

The total RNA of whole-cell lysates was isolated using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, California, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol and used in converting to cDNA with a First-Strand Synthesis System for RT-PCR (Nuo Weizan, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.
Quantitative real-time PCR was performed with a Roche LightCycler 96 Real-Time PCR System (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). The primers are listed in Supplementary 1.

All protein samples were isolated from cell lines, and cell samples were lysed in RIPA lysis buffer (Beyotime, China) supplemented with the protease inhibitor (Roche). Protein concentration was measured using a bicinchoninic acid protein assay kit (Thermo, USA). Proteins (30 μg) were subjected to sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis separation on 10% gels (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA), and proteins were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. The membrane was blocked with 5% milk powder for 1 hr before incubation with primary antibodies (DJ-1: Cell Signaling Technology, USA; Tubulin: Proteintech, USA) and horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody. All western blot images were captured and quantified by enhanced chemiluminescent reagent (Thermo, USA).

The immunohistochemistry images of DJ-1 protein were downloaded from the human protein atlas through the Hpar packages in R.

2.8. Statistical Analysis. All statistical tests were performed using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test for continuous data and the Spearman’s rank correlation for the estimation of correlation. The Fisher’s exact test was used for categorical data comparison. All statistical analysis was performed in R software (v4.1.3). Two-sided P values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. DJ-1 Expression in Breast Cancer. In order to explore the relationship between DJ-1 expression and breast cancer patients, we analyzed the clinical characteristics of HR+ breast cancer patients (Table 1). The expression of DJ-1 was significantly higher in tumor samples compared to that in adjacent normal counterparts, both in total breast cancer and HR+ subtypes, respectively (Figures 1(a) and 1(b), \( P = 1.29 \times 10^{-7} \) in total patients and \( P = 7.64 \times 10^{-7} \) in HR+ subtype). We then conducted a meta-analysis of DJ-1 expression in the Oncomine database with criteria as \( P < 0.05 \), log2 fold change ≥ 1 and top 10% gene rank. We found that DJ-1 was upregulated in all 11 analyses (Figure 1(c)). Interestingly, our analysis revealed that DJ-1 was increased in the HR+ subtype in contrast to the HR- subtype in both the TCGA database and METABRIC databases (Figures 1(d) and 1(e)). The expression of DJ-1 was different in various subtypes of breast cancer (Supplementary 2). The immunohistochemistry results between breast tumor and normal tissues by two different DJ-1
FIGURE 1: DJ-1 expression in breast cancer: (a) differential expression of DJ-1 between breast cancer and normal samples in TCGA breast cancer dataset; (b) differential expression of DJ-1 between HR+ subtypes tumor and normal samples in TCGA dataset; (c) meta-analysis of DJ-1 expression in Oncomine database with criteria as $P<0.05$, log$_2$ fold change $\geq 1$ and top 10% gene rank; (d) and (e) expression of DJ-1 between HR+ and HR− breast cancer in TCGA breast cancer and METABRIC dataset.
FIGURE 2: *DJ-1* expression in breast cancer tissues and cells: (a) the immunohistochemistry (IHC) images between breast tumor and normal tissues by two different *DJ-1* antibodies from the human protein atlas were downloaded by the Hpar packages in R; (b) differential expression of *DJ-1* in HR+, HER2+, or TNBC subtypes patients tumor samples compared to normal counterparts, respectively; (c) and (d) differential expression of *DJ-1* in nontumorigenic breast epithelial cell line (MCF-10A), HR+/HER2 breast cancer cells (MCF-7 and T-47D), HER2+ breast cancer cells (SK-BR-3 and BT-474) or TNBC cells (MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468) by qPCR and western blot.
antibodies from The Human Protein Atlas were also in accordance with its differential expression in tumor. Malignant cells generally displayed moderate to strong cytoplasmic and nuclear immunoreactivity (Figure 2(a)). The expression of DJ-1 was significantly higher in HR+ subtypes patients’ tumor samples compared to normal counterparts but not in HER2+ or triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC, HR−, and HER2−) subtypes (Figure 2(b)). For validation, we performed in vitro assay. We verified the significantly high expression of DJ-1 in HR+ breast cancer cells (MCF-7 and T-47D) compared to nontumorigenic breast epithelial cell line (MCF-10A), HER2+ breast cancer cells (SK-BR-3 and BT-474) or TNBC cells (MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468) in mRNA and protein level via qRT-PCR and western blot assay (Figures 2(c) and 2(d)). All these results demonstrated that DJ-1 was up-regulated in HR+ breast cancer.

Both in TCGA and METABRIC databases, PARK6, PARK9, PARK13, and PARK15 are positively correlated with DJ-1, while PARK8 is negatively correlated with DJ-1. Further research is needed to explore the role of other PARK family counterparts in HR+ breast cancer patients (Supplementary 3).

3.2. Association between DJ-1 and Clinic Features in HR+ Breast Cancer Patients. Next, we explored the association between DJ-1 expression and clinic survival in HR+ breast cancer.

FIGURE 3: Association between DJ-1 and clinical characteristics in HR+ breast cancer patients. (a) Kaplan–Meier overall survival curve of low and high DJ-1 expression group in 566 patients from TCGA HR+ breast cancer cohort; (b) Kaplan–Meier progression-free interval curve of low and high DJ-1 expression group in 566 patients from TCGA HR+ breast cancer cohort; (c) Kaplan–Meier overall survival curve of low and high DJ-1 expression group in 1,458 patients from METABRIC HR+ breast cancer cohort; (d) Kaplan–Meier relapse-free survival curve of low and high DJ-1 expression group in 1,458 patients from METABRIC HR+ breast cancer cohort.
FIGURE 4: Continued.
were downregulated when CXCR4, and CXCL9) and the CX3C chemokine (CX3CR1) and CCL27), the CXC chemokines (CXCL5, IL8, CXCL12, (CCR1, CCR4, CCR8, CCR9, CCR6, CCR2, CCR5, CCL24, and CCL27), the CXC chemokines (CXCL5, IL8, CXCL12, CXCL17, CXCR6, CXCL3, CXCL1, CXCR2, CXCR5, CXCL6, CXCR4, and CXCL9) and the CX3C chemokine (CX3CR1) were downregulated when DJ-1 expression level was increased. However, three chemokines, including CCL26, CCL25, and CCL11, were positively correlated with DJ-1 expression (Figure 4(d)).

3.4. Association of DJ-1 with Mutational Landscape in HR+ Breast Cancer. We evaluated the prevalence of somatic mutation in high and low DJ-1 expression subpopulations. Figure 5(a) shows 12 frequently mutated genes; APIK3CA, TP53, and GATA3 ranked the first three mutational genes. Figure 5(b) compared the significantly different somatic mutations in DJ-1 high and low expression subsets; mutations in TFAP2A, DLGAP2, and CCDC144A were most highly enriched in high DJ-1 expression subpopulation. A total of six mutations in TFAP2A were detected, including five missense and one truncating (Figure 5(c)). The top 20 mutated genes in HR+ breast cancer, HER2+ breast cancer, and TNBC patients from the TCGA database were shown in Supplementary 4.

3.5. The Sensitivity in Immunotherapy and Chemotherapy. The clinical effects of breast cancer can be influenced by both drug chemosensitivity and drug resistance. Then, we analyzed clinical value in different DJ-1 expressions via a ridge regression model. Paclitaxel and docetaxel showed more sensitivities in the low DJ-1 group (P = 6.21 × 10^{-6} for paclitaxel and P = 3.70 × 10^{-5} for docetaxel) (Figure 6(a)). In contrast, doxorubicin was associated with higher sensitivities in the high DJ-1 group (P = 2.30 × 10^{-5}) (Figure 6(a)). We further observed high TMB levels in DJ-1 high expression group (P = 7.64 × 10^{-16}) (Figure 6(b)). CTLA4 and PD-L1, both known as two immunosuppressants commonly used in breast cancer, showed higher expression levels in DJ-1 high expression group (P = 0.03 for CTLA4 and P = 1.11 × 10^{-9} for PD-L1) (Figure 6(c)).

3.6. Functional Analyses. The functional annotation of DJ-1 in HR+ breast cancer was further explored. By the GSVA analysis, six pathways scores were found significant between high and low DJ-1 expression groups in the TCGA database (Figure 7(a)), while nine pathways in the METABRIC database (Figure 7(b)). Taken together, two pathways, complement and G2M checkpoint were
FIGURE 5: Association of DJ-1 with mutational landscape in HR+ breast cancer; (a) the mutation rates of 12 frequently mutated genes between the high and low DJ-1 expression subgroups; (b) the mutations in TFAP2A, DLGAP2, and CCDC144A were highly enriched in high DJ-1 expression subpopulation; (c) TFAP2A mutation profile in high DJ-1 expression subpopulation.
significantly activated in the DJ-1 low-expressed subgroup in both TCGA and METABRIC database (Supplementary 5).

4. Discussion

DJ-1, a multifaceted protein that was first identified in Parkinson’s disease, has been found with pleiotropic functions in multiple diseases ranging from neurodegeneration to ischemia-reperfusion injury [31, 32]. However, its role in breast cancer, especially in different subtypes, remains largely unknown. Thus, in this study, we profiled the expression of DJ-1 in different breast cancer subtypes and found that DJ-1 expression was evaluated in HR+ subtype. We mainly observed that high DJ-1 expression group in HR+ subtype was associated with poor prognosis, low expression of chemokine receptor, high TMB, and more sensitivity to paclitaxel and docetaxel, highlighting the therapeutic potential and biomarker value of DJ-1 in HR+ breast cancer subtype.

Recently, DJ-1 exerted immune and inflammatory regulatory functions by regulating the activation of several immune cells, such as macrophages, MCs, and T cells, which has been supported by accumulating studies [26, 27]. However, there were few reports on the role of DJ-1 in tumor immune microenvironment. In our research, DJ-1 expression was negatively associated with deduced fractions of Tcm cells, TFH, DC, and MCs. Previous studies have found that Tcm cells produce higher levels of cytokines and have stronger cytotoxicity in vitro. In addition, Tcm cells had a longer survival time in vivo, showing a better ability to inhibit tumors [33]. Therefore, we suppose that the high expression of DJ-1 might inhibit the invasion of Tcm cells in breast
Figure 7: Continued.
cancer and weaken its antitumor effect, thus promoting the progress of breast cancer.

In the tumor microenvironment, chemokines and chemokine receptors interacted to regulate the migration of a variety of immune cells into the tumor, thereby regulating the immune response in tumors [34]. T helper 1 (TH1) cells and natural killer (NK) cells had potent antitumor effects in the tumor microenvironment. CXCL9 and CXCL10 can recruited TH1 cells and NK cells into the tumors and played a role in tumor inhibition [35, 36]. In our study, the low expression of most of the chemokines, including CCL9 and CCL10 in DJ-1 over-expressing HR+ breast cancer, might reduce tumor-infiltrating immune cells and suppressed anti-tumor immune responses.

The role of DLGAP2 and CCDC144A in malignant tumors is still unclear, but previous studies have suggested
that TFAP2A can promote or inhibit cancer progression in tumors. TFAP2A, as a member of the AP-2 transcription factor family proteins, orchestrated a variety of cell processes, including cell growth, tissue differentiation, and apoptosis [37]. Many studies have shown that TFAP2A overexpression promotes the proliferation, migration, and invasion of breast cancer cells [38, 39]. However, the specific mechanism of TFAP2A in breast cancer remains unclear. The high mutation rate of TFAP2A may lead to the increased expression of DJ-1 to promote the progression of breast cancer. Further research is needed to determine the specific mechanism.

The complement system is an important component of the inflammatory response in innate immunity and adaptive immunity. Complement proteins have an important role in the cognate interaction between antigen-presenting cells and T cells in immune response. For tumors, complement activation might be helpful in regulating T-cell response to tumors [40, 41]. The disruption of cell cycle checkpoints might allow cancer progression [42]. Sun et al. [43] found that activation of the G2/M cell cycle checkpoint might be resistance to CTL killing. Our study described a negative correlation between complement and G2M checkpoint and the DJ-1 expression; DJ-1 may be a key player in the inflammatory and immune responses.

Our results revealed that DJ-1 might play different roles in different breast cancer subtypes, suggesting that DJ-1 may be a specific marker for HR+ breast cancer, which providing a theoretical basis for further study of the heterogeneity between different subtypes. Moreover, DJ-1 may become a predictive factor for precision treatment and immunotherapy of HR+ breast cancer. However, the specific functional role of DJ-1 in the immunotherapy of HR+ breast cancer requires further in-depth experimental verification.

5. Conclusion

Overall, DJ-1 was upregulated in HR+ breast cancer samples, and high DJ-1 expression was associated with clinical prognosis, chemoresistance, and relevant immune features. Our findings indicated that DJ-1 may act as a convincing prognostic marker and a predictor of therapeutic responses.
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