

Research Article

On New Type of F -Contractive Mapping for Quasipartial b -Metric Spaces and Some Results of Fixed-Point Theorem and Application

A. M. Zidan ^{1,2} and Asma Al Rwaily ³

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, King Khalid University, Abha, Saudi Arabia

²Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University, Assiut Branch, Cairo, Egypt

³Department of Mathematics, College of Science and Arts, Qassim University, Buraydah, Saudi Arabia

Correspondence should be addressed to A. M. Zidan; ahmoahmed@kku.edu.sa and Asma Al Rwaily; roielie@qu.edu.sa

Received 22 September 2020; Revised 16 October 2020; Accepted 18 November 2020; Published 11 December 2020

Academic Editor: Heng Liu

Copyright © 2020 A. M. Zidan and Asma Al Rwaily. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In this paper, we introduce the concept of new type of F -contractive type for quasipartial b -metric spaces and some definitions and lemmas. Also, we will prove a new fixed-point theorem in quasipartial b -metric spaces for F -contractive type mappings. In addition, we give an application which illustrates a situation when Banach's fixed-point theorem for complete quasipartial b -metric spaces cannot be applied, while the conditions of our theorem are satisfying.

1. Introduction

In 1922, Banach [1] introduced the most significant outcome in spaces identified with metric spaces. This principle has been used in proving fixed-point theorems in different spaces and has been used successfully in proving the existence of the solutions of some nonlinear differential equations, integral equations, nonlinear integral differential equations, etc. A great deal of extensions of Banach's principle have been done, for the most part by generalizing the contraction operator and also by expanding the necessity of completeness or even both (see, for example, [2–4]). The concept of partial metric was introduced by Matthews [5] in 1994.

Furthermore, Matthews introduced the concept of metric spaces via putting self-distances which are not necessarily equal to zero. In the year 1994, Czerwik [6] introduced the notion of a b -metric space as another generalization of metric space. Karapinar et al. [7] defined the concept of quasipartial metric space. This notion is a unification of both quasi metric spaces and partial metric spaces under the same substructure. In the following, we present some results that are related to our paper and show the motivation of our concern.

Wardowski [8] in 2012 Introduced the definition of contraction called F -contraction. After that, in 2017, Górnicki [9] called F -contraction to be Wardowski contraction and also extended fixed-point theorems established by Ran and Reurings [10] and Nieto and Rodríguez-López [11] to CJM contractions on preordered metric spaces, where a preordered binary relation is weaker than a partial order. Recently, in 2019, Goswami et al. [12] defined F -contractive type mappings in b -metric space; they proved fixed-point theorems with suitable examples. After that, they had given F -expanding type mappings and a fixed-point result was obtained. In this paper, we introduce the concept of new type of F -contractive type for quasipartial b -metric space and some definitions and lemmas. Also, we state and prove some fixed-point results in new concept and generalize a notion of F -contractive type mappings in quasipartial b -metric spaces with application.

2. Preliminaries and Definitions

We begin the section with some basic definitions and concepts.

Definition 1 (see [7]). Let ξ be a set. A function $q^p: \xi \times \xi \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be a quasipartial metric on a nonempty set ξ , such that for all $w, t, r \in \xi$, the following conditions hold:

$$\begin{aligned} (q^p 1) & \text{ If } q^p(w, w) = q^p(w, t) = q^p(t, t), \quad \text{then } w = t, \\ (q^p 2) & q^p(w, w) \leq q^p(w, t), \\ (q^p 3) & q^p(w, t) = q^p(t, w), \\ (q^p 4) & q^p(w, t) + q^p(r, r) \leq q^p(w, r) + q^p(r, t) \quad \text{for all } w, t, r \in \xi. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

A quasipartial metric space is a pair (ξ, q^p) such that ξ is a nonempty set and q^p is a quasipartial metric on ξ . Then, $d_{q^p}(w, t) = q^p(w, t) + q^p(t, w) - q^p(w, w) - q^p(t, t)$ is a metric on ξ .

Lemma 1. For a quasipartial metric q^p on ξ ,

$$p_{q^p}(w, t) = \frac{1}{2} [q^p(w, t) + q^p(t, w)], \quad \forall w, t \in \xi, \tag{2}$$

and then p_{q^p} is a partial metric on ξ .

In 2015, Gupta and Gautam [13] introduced the concept of quasipartial b -metric space, lemma, example, and some other definitions.

Definition 2. Let ξ be a set. A function $q_b^p: \xi \times \xi \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be a quasipartial b -metric on a nonempty set ξ , such that for all $w, t, r \in \xi$, the following conditions hold:

$$\begin{aligned} (q_b^p 1) & \text{ If } q_b^p(w, w) = q_b^p(w, t) = q_b^p(t, t) \implies w = t, \\ (q_b^p 2) & q_b^p(w, w) \leq q_b^p(w, t), \\ (q_b^p 3) & q_b^p(w, t) = q_b^p(t, w), \\ (q_b^p 4) & q_b^p(w, t) + q_b^p(r, r) \leq \eta [q_b^p(w, r) + q_b^p(r, t)], \text{ for all } w, t, r \in \xi. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

A quasipartial b -metric space is a pair (ξ, q_b^p) such that ξ is a nonempty set and (ξ, q_b^p) is a quasipartial b -metric space on ξ . The number η is called the coefficient of (ξ, q_b^p) . Further, q_b^p is a quasipartial b -metric space on the set ξ . Then,

$$d_{q_b^p}(w, t) = q_b^p(w, t) + q_b^p(t, w) - q_b^p(w, w) - q_b^p(t, t) \tag{4}$$

is a metric on ξ .

Lemma 2. Every (ξ, q^p) is a (ξ, q_b^p) . But the opposite does not have to be true.

Example 1. Let $\xi = [0, 1]$; then, $q_b^p(w, t) = |w - t| + w$. Hence, (ξ, q_b^p) is quasipartial b -metric space with $\eta > 0$.

Definition 3. Let (ξ, q_b^p) be a quasipartial b -metric space which satisfies the following:

(i) A sequence $\{w_n\} \subset \xi$ converges to $G^{(2)} w \in \xi$ iff

$$q_b^p(w, w) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_b^p(w, w_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_b^p(w_n, w). \tag{5}$$

(ii) A sequence $\{w_n\} \subset \xi$ is said to be a Cauchy sequence iff

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} q_b^p(w_n, w_m), \\ & \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} q_b^p(w_m, w_n). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

exist and are finite.

(iii) (ξ, q_b^p) is called complete if every Cauchy sequence $\{w_n\} \subset \xi$ converges with respect to $\tau_{q_b^p}$ to a point $w \in \xi$ so that

$$q_b^p(w, w) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} q_b^p(w_n, w_m) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} q_b^p(w_m, w_n). \tag{7}$$

(iv) A mapping $\Lambda: \xi \rightarrow \xi$ is called continuous at $w_0 \in \xi$ if, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$, so that $\Lambda(B(w - 0, \delta)) \subset B(\Lambda(w - 0), \varepsilon)$.

Remark 1. Let (ξ, q_b^p) be a quasipartial b -metric space. Then, the following holds:

- (i) If $q_b^p(w, t) = 0 \implies w = t$.
- (ii) If $w \neq t \implies q_b^p(w, t) > 0$ and $q_b^p(t, w) > 0$.

Definition 4 (see [8]). Let $F: (0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ be a map satisfying the following conditions:

- (F₁) F is strictly increasing.
- (F₂) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n = 0 \iff \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(\sigma_n) = -\infty$, for each sequence $\{\sigma_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive numbers.
- (F₃) If there exists $l \in (0, 1)$, then $\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0^+} \sigma l F(\sigma) = 0$.

Let (ξ, d) be a metric space. A mapping $\Lambda: \xi \rightarrow \xi$ is called a Wardowski F -contraction if there exists $\tau > 0$ such that

$$d(\Lambda w, \Lambda t) > 0 \implies \tau + F d(\Lambda w, \Lambda t) \leq F d(w, t), \tag{8}$$

for all $w, t \in \xi$.

After that, in 2015, Cosentino et al. [14] extended the notion of quasipartial b -metric space with the following condition added to these concepts.

(F_4) Let $\eta \geq 1$ be a real number. For each sequence $\{\sigma_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive numbers,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau + F(\eta\sigma_n) &\geq F(\sigma_{n-1}) \\ \implies \tau + F(\eta^n\sigma_n) &\geq F(\eta^{n-1}\sigma_{n-1}), \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and some $\tau > 0$. Alsulami et al. in 2015, [15] defined a generalized F -Suzuki type in a b -metric space (ξ, d, η) as a mapping $\Lambda: \xi \rightarrow \xi$; then, there exists $\tau > 0$ with $w = t$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\eta d(w, \Lambda w) &< d(w, t) \\ \implies \tau + F d(\Lambda w, \Lambda t) &\leq \sigma F d(w, t) + \delta F d(w, \Lambda w) + \rho F d(t, \Lambda t), \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

for all $w, t \in \xi$, since $\sigma, \delta \in [0, 1]$ and $\rho \in [0, 1)$ under condition $\sigma + \delta + \rho = 1$ with σ and F satisfying conditions F_1 and F_2 .

Lemma 3 (see [16]). Let (ξ, d, η) be a b -metric space and $\{w_n\}$ be a convergent sequence in ξ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n = w$. Therefore, $t \in \xi$, and we have

$$\eta^{-1}d(w, t) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(w_n, t) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(w_n, t) \leq \eta d(w, t). \tag{11}$$

The present paper aims to establish a similar type of result for F -contractive type mappings in a quasipartial b -metric space. In our results, we consider that a quasipartial b -metric is not a continuous functional.

3. Main Convergent Results

Lemma 4. Let (ξ, q_b^p, η) be a quasipartial b -metric space and $\{w_n\}$ be a convergent sequence in ξ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n = w$, for all $t \in \xi$; we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right)q_b^p(w, t) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_b^p(w_n, t) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_b^p(w_n, t) \leq \eta q_b^p(w, t), \tag{12}$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right)q_b^p(t, w) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_b^p(t, w_n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_b^p(t, w_n) \leq \eta q_b^p(t, w). \tag{13}$$

Proof. We will apply twice the relaxed triangle inequality ($q_b^p 4$); then, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right)q_b^p(w, t) &\leq q_b^p(w, w_n) + q_b^p(w_n, t) - q_b^p(w_n, w_n), \\ &\leq q_b^p(w, w_n) + q_b^p(w_n, t), \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

and then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right)q_b^p(w, t) - q_b^p(w, w_n) &\leq q_b^p(w_n, w) + q_b^p(w, t) - q_b^p(w, w), \\ &\leq q_b^p(w_n, w) + q_b^p(w, t). \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Therefore, we take on the left-hand side inequality and on the right-hand side inequality, and we obtain equation (12); in the same way, we get equation (13). \square

Definition 5. Let (ξ, q_b^p, η) be a quasipartial b -metric space, and a mapping $\Lambda: \xi \rightarrow \xi$ is said to be an F -contractive type mapping if there exists $\tau > 0$ such that $q_b^p(w, \Lambda w), q_b^p(t, \Lambda t) \neq 0$ and $q_b^p(\Lambda w, w), q_b^p(\Lambda t, t) \neq 0$ imply

$$\tau + F(\eta q_b^p(\Lambda w, \Lambda t)) \leq \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\{F(q_b^p(w, t)) + F(q_b^p(w, \Lambda w)) + F(q_b^p(t, \Lambda t))\}, \tag{16}$$

$$\tau + F(\eta q_b^p(\Lambda t, \Lambda w)) \leq \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\{F(q_b^p(t, w)) + F(q_b^p(t, \Lambda t)) + F(q_b^p(w, \Lambda w))\}. \tag{17}$$

Also, if $q_b^p(w, \Lambda w), q_b^p(t, \Lambda t) = 0$ and $q_b^p(\Lambda w, w), q_b^p(\Lambda t, t) = 0$, we have the same equations ((16) and (17)) for all $w, t \in \xi$.

Lemma 5. Let (ξ, q_b^p, η) be a quasipartial b -metric space and let $(\xi, d_{q_b^p}, \eta)$ be the corresponding b -metric space. Then, the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) (ξ, q_b^p, η) is complete.
- (ii) $(\xi, d_{q_b^p}, \eta)$ is complete.

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{q_b^p}(z, x_n) = 0 &\iff q_b^p(z, z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_b^p(z, x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_b^p(x_n, x_n), \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{q_b^p}(x_n, z) = 0 &\iff q_b^p(z, z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_b^p(x_n, z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_b^p(x_n, x_n), \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

since $z, x_n, x_n \in \xi$, for all $m, n \in N$ and $m > n$.

Definition 6. Let (ξ, q_b^p, η) be a quasipartial b -metric space and $\Lambda: \xi \rightarrow \xi$ be a mapping; then, there exists $\tau > 0$ with $w = t$, for all $w, t \in \xi$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\eta q_b^p(w, \Lambda w) &< d(w, t) \\ \implies \tau + Fq_b^p(\Lambda w, \Lambda t) &\leq \sigma Fq_b^p(w, t) + \delta Fq_b^p(w, \Lambda w) + \rho Fq_b^p(t, \Lambda t), \\ 2\eta q_b^p(\Lambda w, w) &< q_b^p(t, w) \\ \implies \tau + Fq_b^p(\Lambda t, \Lambda w) &\leq \sigma Fq_b^p(t, w) + \delta Fq_b^p(\Lambda w, w) + \rho Fq_b^p(\Lambda t, t), \\ q_b^p(w, \Lambda w)q_b^p(t, \Lambda y) &= q_b^p(\Lambda w, w)q_b^p(\Lambda t, t) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau + Fq_b^p(\Lambda w, \Lambda t) &\leq \sigma Fq_b^p(w, t) + \delta Fq_b^p(w, \Lambda w) + \rho Fq_b^p(t, \Lambda t), \\ \implies \tau + Fq_b^p(\Lambda t, \Lambda w) &\leq \sigma Fq_b^p(t, w) + \delta Fq_b^p(\Lambda w, w) + \rho Fq_b^p(\Lambda t, t). \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Since $\sigma, \delta \in [0, 1]$ and $\rho \in [0, 1]$ under conditions $\sigma + \delta + \rho = 1$ with σ, F satisfies each conditions between $(F - F_4)$.

Now, we establish a new type of result for F -contractive type mappings in a quasipartial b -metric space by appealing to Lemma 4.

Theorem 1. Let (ξ, q_b^p, η) be a complete quasipartial b -metric space and $\Lambda: \xi \rightarrow \xi$ be an F -contractive type mapping. Then, Λ satisfies a Picard operator.

Proof. We start our proof by supposing that $w_0 \in \xi$ is arbitrary (but fixed) and considering the sequence $\{w_n\}$; since $w_n = \Lambda w_{n-1}$, $n \in N$. Suppose that $\lambda_n > 0$ for all $n \in N$ and denote $q_b^p(w_n, w_{n+1}), q_b^p(w_{n+1}, w_n)$ by λ_n ; then, we have

$$F(\eta q_b^p(w_n, w_{n+1})) = F(\eta \lambda_n) \tag{21}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{3} \{F(q_b^p(w_{n-1}, w_n)) + F(q_b^p(w_{n-1}, w_n)) + F(q_b^p(w_n, w_{n+1}))\} - \tau,$$

$$F(\eta q_b^p(w_{n+1}, w_n)) = F(\eta \lambda_n) \tag{22}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{3} \{F(q_b^p(w_n, w_{n+1})) + F(q_b^p(w_n, w_{n+1})) + F(q_b^p(w_{n-1}, w_n))\} - \tau.$$

From (21), we can write

$$F(\eta \lambda_n) \leq F(\eta \lambda_{n-1}) - \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\tau. \tag{23}$$

By condition (F_4) , we have

$$F(\eta^n \lambda_n) \leq F(\eta^{n-1} \lambda_{n-1}) - \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\tau. \tag{24}$$

Then, by induction, we get

$$F(\eta^n \lambda_n) \leq F(\eta^{n-1} \lambda_{n-1}) - \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\tau \leq \dots \leq F(\lambda_0) - \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)n. \tag{25}$$

By taking a limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(\eta^n \lambda_n) = -\infty \implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta^n \lambda_n = 0. \tag{26}$$

Therefore, from condition (F_3) , there exists $l \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\eta^n \lambda_n)^l F(\eta^n \lambda_n) = 0. \tag{27}$$

Multiplication of (25) with $(\eta^n \lambda_n)^l$ yields

$$0 \leq (\eta^n \lambda_n)^l F(\eta^n \lambda_n) + \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)n(\eta^n \lambda_n)^l \tau \leq (\eta^n \lambda_n)^l F(\lambda_0). \quad (28)$$

By taking a limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n(\eta^n \lambda_n)^l = 0. \quad (29)$$

Now, we can show that $\{w_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since (ξ, q_b^p, η) is a complete quasipartial b -metric space, there exists $r \in \xi$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n = r. \quad (30)$$

By applying Lemma 4, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_b^p(r, w_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup q_b^p(r, w_n) \leq \eta q_b^p(r, r) \geq 0. \quad (31)$$

On the other hand, let m and n be natural numbers with $m > n$; then, using $(q^p 4)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} q_b^p(w_n, w_{n+2}) &\leq \eta \{q_b^p(w_n, w_{n+1}) + q_b^p(w_{n+1}, w_{n+2})\} - q_b^p(w_{n+1}, w_{n+1}), \\ &\leq \eta \{q_b^p(w_n, w_{n+1}) + q_b^p(w_{n+1}, w_{n+2})\}, \\ q_b^p(w_n, w_{n+3}) &\leq \eta \{q_b^p(w_n, w_{n+2}) + q_b^p(w_{n+2}, w_{n+3})\} - q_b^p(w_{n+2}, w_{n+2}), \\ &\leq \eta (q_b^p(w_n, w_{n+1}) + q_b^p(w_{n+1}, w_{n+2})) + \eta q_b^p(w_{n+2}, w_{n+3}), \\ &\leq \eta^2 q_b^p(w_n, w_{n+1}) + \eta^2 q_b^p(w_{n+1}, w_{n+2}) + \eta q_b^p(w_{n+2}, w_{n+3}). \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

On generalization, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_b^p(w_n, w_m) &\leq \eta^{m-n-1} \{q_b^p(w_n, w_{n+1}) + q_b^p(w_{n+1}, w_{n+2})\} + \eta^{m-n-2} \{q_b^p(w_{n+2}, w_{n+3}) + \dots + \eta q_b^p(w_{m-1}, w_m)\}, \\ &= \sum_{i=n+1}^{m-1} \eta^{m-i} \{q_b^p(w_i, w_{i+1})\} + \eta^{m-n-1} \{q_b^p(w_n, w_{n+1})\}, \\ &= \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} \eta^{m-i} \{q_b^p(w_i, w_{i+1})\} - \eta^{m-n} \{q_b^p(w_n, w_{n+1})\} \left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right)\right), \\ &\leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} \eta^{m-i} \{q_b^p(w_i, w_{i+1})\}, \\ &\leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} \eta^{m-i} \cdot l^i \{q_b^p(w_0, w_1)\}, \\ &\leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} \left(\frac{l}{\eta}\right)^i \eta^m \{q_b^p(w_0, w_1)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

By taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (33), since m holding fixed, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_b^p(w_n, w_m) \leq 0. \quad (34)$$

But, we know

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_b^p(w_n, w_m) \geq 0. \quad (35)$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_b^p(w_n, w_m) = 0. \quad (36)$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_b^p(w_m, w_n) = 0. \quad (37)$$

Due to (18) in Lemma 5 and (36), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{q_b^p}(r, w_n) = 0 &\implies q_b^p(r, r) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup q_b^p(r, w_n) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup q_b^p(w_n, w_m) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Also, using (16), we have for all $n \rightarrow N$,

$$\tau + F(\eta q_b^p(\Lambda r, \Lambda w_n)) \leq \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\{F(q_b^p(r, w_n)) + F(q_b^p(r, \Lambda r)) + F(q_b^p(w_n, \Lambda w_n))\}, \tag{39}$$

since. Then, by taking a limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in last equation, we get

$$\tau + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(\eta q_b^p(\Lambda r, \Lambda w_n)) \leq -\infty. \tag{40}$$

This implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_b^p(\Lambda r, w_{n+1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_b^p(\Lambda r, \Lambda w_n) = 0. \tag{41}$$

Since the sequence $\{w_n\}$ converges to both r and Λr , we have $\Lambda r = r$. Finally to show the uniqueness of the fixed point, let x be another fixed point of Λ with $r \neq x$; then, from (16),

$$\begin{aligned} \tau + F(\eta q_b^p(\Lambda r, \Lambda x)) &\leq \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\{F(q_b^p(r, x)) + F(q_b^p(r, \Lambda r)) \\ &\quad + F(q_b^p(x, \Lambda x))\}, \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

or

$$F(\eta q_b^p(\Lambda r, \Lambda x)) < F(q_b^p(\Lambda r, \Lambda x)). \tag{43}$$

This is a contradiction. Hence, we proved the theorem. \square

4. Application in Fixed-Point Theorem

The example illustrates a situation when Banach’s fixed-point theorem for complete quasipartial b -metric spaces cannot be applied, while the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied.

Example 2. Let (ξ, q_b^p, η) be a quasipartial b -metric space, where

$$q_b^p(w, t) = \min\{|x - y| + x, 2\} \tag{44}$$

is complete with $\eta = 1$. Suppose the mapping $\Lambda: \xi \rightarrow \xi$ is defined by

$$\Lambda w = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & : 0 \leq w < 1, \\ 0 & : w = 1, \\ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{w}\right) & : w \geq 2. \end{cases} \tag{45}$$

It is clear that Λ is not continuous at $w = 1$. Now, for $w, t \geq 2$ with $w \neq t$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_b^p(\Lambda w, \Lambda t)^3 &= \min\left\{\left|\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{w}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{t}\right)\right| + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{w}\right), 2\right\}^3, \\ &= \min\left\{\left|-\left(\frac{1}{w}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{t}\right)\right| + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{w}\right), 2\right\}^3 < 1, \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

$$q_b^p(w, t)q_b^p(w, \Lambda w)q_b^p(t, \Lambda t) = \min\{|w - t| + w, 2\} \min\left\{\left|w - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{w}\right)\right| + w, 2\right\} \min\left\{\left|t - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{t}\right)\right| + t, 2\right\} = 8.$$

Again, if $w \in [0, 1)$ and $t \geq 2$ or conversely, we get

$$\begin{aligned} q_b^p(\Lambda w, \Lambda t)^3 &= q_b^p\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{y}\right)\right)^3 = \min\left\{\left|\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{t}\right)\right| + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right), 2\right\}^3, \\ &= \min\left\{\left|\frac{1}{t}\right| + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right\}^3 < 1, \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

$$\begin{aligned} q_b^p(w, t)q_b^p(w, \Lambda w)q_b^p(t, \Lambda t) &= \min\{|w - t| + w, 2\} \min\left\{\left|w - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right| + w, 2\right\} \min\left\{\left|t - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{t}\right)\right| + t, 2\right\}, \\ &= 2\left(\left|w - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right| + w\right)2 = 4\left(\left|w - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right| + w\right) \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Also, for $w \in [0, 1)$,

$$q_b^p(\Lambda w, \Lambda 1)^3 = q_b^p\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), 0\right)^3 = \min\left\{\left|\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - 0\right| + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right), 2\right\}^3 = \left(\frac{1}{64}\right),$$

$$q_b^p(w, 1)q_b^p(w, \Lambda w)q_b^p(1, \Lambda 1) = \min\{|w - 1| + w, 2\} \min\left\{\left|w - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right| + w, 2\right\} \min\{|1 - 0| + 1, 2\} \geq 2.$$

After that, for $w \geq 2$, we get

$$q_b^p(\Lambda w, \Lambda 1)^3 = q_b^p\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{w}\right), 0\right)^3 = \min\left\{\left|\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{w}\right) - 0\right| + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{w}\right), 2\right\}^3 = \left(\frac{1}{64}\right),$$

$$q_b^p(w, 1)q_b^p(w, \Lambda w)q_b^p(1, \Lambda 1) = \min\{|w - 1| + w, 2\} \min\left\{\left|w - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right| + w, 2\right\} \min\{|1 - 0| + 1, 2\} \geq 2.$$

Thus, from the example in [12], if $q_b^p(w, \Lambda w)q_b^p(t, \Lambda t) \neq 0$ is satisfied for $e^{-3\tau} = 1/16$. In addition,

$$q_b^p\left(\Lambda 1, \Lambda \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)^3 = q_b^p\left(0, \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)^3 = \min\left\{\left|0 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right| + 0, 2\right\}^3 = \left(\frac{1}{8}\right),$$

$$q_b^p\left(1, \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)q_b^p\left(1, \Lambda \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)q_b^p\left(\Lambda 1, \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right) = \min\left\{\left|1 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right| + 1, 2\right\} \min\{|1 - 0| + 1, 2\} \min\left\{\left|0 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right| + 0, 2\right\},$$

$$= \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)(2)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \left(\frac{6}{4}\right) = \left(\frac{12}{8}\right).$$

Finally, for $w \geq 2$,

$$q_b^p\left(\Lambda w, \Lambda \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)^3 = \min\left\{\left|\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{x}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right| + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{x}\right), 2\right\}^3 \leq 1,$$

$$q_b^p\left(1, \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)q_b^p\left(1, \Lambda \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)q_b^p\left(\Lambda 1, \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right) = \min\left\{\left|1 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right| + 1, 2\right\} \min\{|1 - 0| + 1, 2\} \min\left\{\left|0 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right| + 0, 2\right\},$$

$$= \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)(2)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \left(\frac{6}{4}\right) = \left(\frac{12}{8}\right).$$

Hence, Λ is an F-contractive type mapping and, by inspection, we see that Λ has a unique fixed point w (1/2).

5. Concluding Remarks and Future Works

We introduced the notion of type of F -contractive type mapping for quasipartial b -metric space and some definitions and lemmas. Also, we proved the fixed-point theorem for F -contractive type mappings in a quasipartial b -metric space with application. In fact, applications of F -contractive type mapping are not limited just to the topic mentioned above.

As future work, we are, joining with others, planning to

- (1) Extend the results given in the above sections to quasipartial b -symmetric space.
- (2) Study the applications of our results to the mathematical modelling of quality.

Remark 2. It will be interesting to find more applications to our current paper in other fields (see [17–31]).

Data Availability

The reference data used to support the findings of this study are included within the article.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Authors' Contributions

AMZ was responsible for conceptualization, writing, and original draft preparation. AAR contributed to data curation and methodology. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

References

- [1] S. Banach, "Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales," *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 133–181, 1922.
- [2] A. H. Soliman, T. Nabil, and A. M. Zidan, "On quasi-partial generalized type of metric spaces and an application to complexity analysis of computer algorithms," *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 1233–1238, 2020.
- [3] A. H. Soliman and A. M. Zidan, "A new coupled fixed point result in extended metric spaces with an application to study the stability of set-valued functional equations," *Journal of Function Spaces*, vol. 2019, Article ID 4146328, 2019.
- [4] A. H. Soliman and A. M. Zidan, "Existential examination of the coupled fixed point in generalized b -metric spaces and an application," *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 2801–2807, 2020.
- [5] S. G. Matthews, "Partial metric topology," *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, vol. 728, no. 1, pp. 183–197, 1994.
- [6] S. Czerwik, "Contraction mappings in b -metric spaces," *Acta Mathematica et Informatica Universitatis Ostraviensis*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 5–11, 1993.
- [7] E. Karapinar, I. Erhan, and A. Özürcü, "Fixed point theorems on quasi-partial metric spaces," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling of Dynamical Systems*, vol. 57, no. 9–10, pp. 2442–2448, 2013.
- [8] D. Wardowski, "Fixed points of a new type of contractive mappings in complete metric spaces," *Fixed Point Theory Applications*, vol. 94, 2012.
- [9] J. Górnicki, "Remarks on contractive type mappings," *Fixed Point Theory Applications*, vol. 8, 2017.
- [10] A. C. M. Ran and M. C. B. Reurings, "A fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some applications to matrix equations," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 132, no. 5, pp. 1435–1443, 2003.
- [11] J. J. Nieto and R. Rodríguez-López, "Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations," *Order*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 223–239, 2005.
- [12] N. Goswami, N. Haokip, and V. N. Mishra, "F-contractive type mappings in b -metric spaces and some related fixed point results," *Fixed Point Theory Applications*, vol. 13, 2019.
- [13] A. Gupta and P. Gautam, "Some coupled fixed point theorems on quasi-partial b -metric spaces," *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 9, no. 6, pp. 293–306, 2015.
- [14] M. Cosentino, M. Jleli, B. Samet, and C. Vetro, "Solvability of integro differential problems via fixed point theory in b -metric spaces," *Fixed Point Theory Applications*, vol. 70, 2015.
- [15] H. H. Asulami, E. Karapinar, and H. Piri, "Fixed points of generalised F -Suzuki type contraction in complete b -metric spaces," *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, vol. 2015, Article ID 969726, 2015.
- [16] A. Lukács and S. Kajántó, "Fixed point theorems for various types of F -contractions in complete b -metric spaces," *Fixed Point Theory*, vol. 19, no. No, pp. 321–334, 2018.
- [17] E. Ameer, H. Aydi, M. Arshad, H. Alsamir, and M. Noorani, "Hybrid multivalued type contraction mappings in ak -complete partial b -metric spaces and applications," *Symmetry*, vol. 11, no. 1, p. 86, 2019.
- [18] H. Aydi, C.-M. Chen, and E. Karapinar, "Interpolative Ćirić-Reich-Rus type contractions via the branciari distance," *Mathematics*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 84, 2019.
- [19] H. Aydi, H. Lakzian, Z. D. Mitrović, and S. Radenović, "Best proximity points of MT-cyclic contractions with property UC," *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization*, vol. 41, no. 7, pp. 871–882, 2020.
- [20] E. Karapinar, R. Agarwal, and H. Aydi, "Interpolative Reich-Rus-Cirić type contractions on partial metric spaces," *Mathematics*, vol. 6, no. 11, p. 256, 2018.
- [21] E. Karapinar, S. Czerwik, and H. Aydi, " (α, ψ) -Meir-Keeler contraction mappings in generalized b -metric spaces," *Journal of Function Spaces*, vol. 2018, Article ID 3264620, 4 pages, 2018.
- [22] T. Abdeljawad, N. Mlaiki, H. Aydi, and N. Souayah, "Double controlled metric type spaces and some fixed point results," *Mathematics*, vol. 6, no. 12, p. 320, 2018.
- [23] E. Karapinar, S. I. Moustafa, A. Shehata, and R. P. Agarwal, "Fractional hybrid differential equations and coupled fixed-point results for-admissible contractions in metric spaces," *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, vol. 2020, Article ID 7126045, 13 pages, 2020.
- [24] T. Nabil, "Coupled fixed point of firmly nonexpansive mappings by Manns iterative processes in Hilbert spaces," *Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications*, vol. 27, no. 5, 2019.
- [25] T. Nabil, "Iterative projective Gabor method for images filtering," *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, vol. 38, no. 10, p. 2745, 2013.
- [26] P. Patle, D. Patel, H. Aydi, and S. Radenović, "On H^+ type multivalued contractions and applications in symmetric and probabilistic spaces," *Mathematics*, vol. 7, no. 2, p. 144, 2019.
- [27] A.-H. A-Aty, M. M. A. Khater, A. M. Zidan, and R. A. M. Attia, *Journal of Information Science and Engineering*, vol. 36, pp. 1279–1291, 2020.
- [28] R. A. Rashwan and S. I. Moustafa, "On coupled coincidence and common fixed point theorems for different types of mappings satisfying rational type contractions in b -metric spaces," *Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 31–58, 2017.
- [29] R. A. Rashwan and S. I. Moustafa, "Coupled fixed point results for rational type contractions involving generalized altering distance function in metric spaces," *Advances in Fixed Point Theory*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 98–117, 2018.
- [30] H. M. Srivastava, A. Shehata, and S. I. Moustafa, "Some fixed point theorems for $F(\psi, \varphi)$ -contractions and their application to fractional differential equations," *Russian Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 385–398, 2020.
- [31] A. Zidan, A. Soliman, T. Nabil, and M. Barakat, "An investigation of new quicker implicit iterations in hyperbolic spaces," *Thermal Science*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 199–207, 2020.