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The field of graph theory is broadly growing and playing a remarkable role in cheminformatics, mainly in chemistry and mathematics in developing different chemical structures and their physicochemical properties. Mathematical chemistry provides a platform to study these physicochemical properties with the help of topological indices (TIs). A topological index (TI) is a function that connects a numeric number to each molecular graph. Zagreb indices (ZIs) are the most studied TIs. In this paper, we establish general expressions to calculate the connection-based multiplicative ZIs, namely, first multiplicative ZIs, second multiplicative ZIs, third multiplicative ZIs, and fourth multiplicative ZIs, of two renowned dendrimer nanostars. The defined expressions just depend on the step of growth of these dendrimers. Moreover, we have compared our calculated for both type of dendrimers with each other.

1. Introduction

TIs are the numerical numbers which are linked with different chemical structures of molecular graphs and predict the structural, toxicological, biological, and physicochemical properties of the existing chemical compounds. A graph in which the vertices represent atoms while the edges correspond to the covalent bonds between atoms is known as a molecular graph. TIs are extensively used in the study of quantitative structure-activity relationships and quantitative structure-property relationships [38]. Many researchers have worked on TIs [1, 18, 25]. TIs are classified into three distinct TIs, namely, degree-based TI, distance-based TI, and polynomial-based TI. A distance-based TI is a TI which is based on the distance between the vertices. In 1947, Wiener [39] developed the innovative conception of degree-based TI. Furthermore, Dankelmann et al. calculated the sharp upper bounds of graphs by utilizing these distance-based TIs in a very comprehensive way. Moreover, for the diameter $\delta \geq 2$, Mazorodze et al. [33] computed the sharp upper bounds of graphs by using the Gutman index which is also a distance-based TI. Furthermore, Fang et al. [15] discussed the topological properties of Sierpinski network along with its applications.

A degree-based TI is concerned with the degree of a vertex. Degree-based TI is further categorized into two subclasses named as degree and connection-based TIs. Gutman and Trinajstić [22] put forward the innovative idea of the well-known TI named as first Zagreb index (FZI). They used FZI to calculate the $\pi$-electron energy of the alternant hydrocarbon. Furthermore, second ZI was proposed by Gutman et al. [21] in 1975. The innovative idea of third ZI was proposed by Furtula and Gutman [17]. These first and second TIs have been studied widely in distinct areas (see [2, 5, 6]). Chu et al. [10] calculated the sharp bounds of ZIs on connected graphs. Gharibi et al. [19] also worked on ZIs and investigated Zagreb polynomials of nanocone and nanotubes. Nikolic et al. [34] initiated modified ZIs in 2003. Hao [23] compared the ZIs and modified ZIs and discussed important results related to these indices in 2011. Furthermore, Dhanalakshmi et al. [12] introduced some modified and multiplicative ZIs (MZIs) on...
A dendrimer is an artificially synthesized molecular structure made up of monomers (branched units). Dendrimer nanostars are highly branched nanostructures and are considered as the basic element in nanotechnology. The major three architectural parts of dendrimer nanostars are end groups, branches, and cores. Nowadays, dendrimer nanostars are rapidly gaining considerable attention from researchers due to their special chemical and physical characteristics and a broad range of applicability in distinct fields of bioscience, including drug delivery, immunology, and the advancement of antimicrobials, antivirals, and vaccines [29, 31]. Siddiqui et al. [35] introduced Zagreb polynomial of some nanostars in 2016. Furthermore, Bokhary et al. [7] discussed some molecular topological properties of dendrimers. In 2019, Fatima et al. [16] proposed ZCIs of two dendrimer nanostars in a very logical way. For more details about dendrimers, the readers are referred to [13, 30].

In this paper, we rewrite some already introduced connection-based MZIs. Further, we establish the general expressions to calculate the MZCIs of two well-known dendrimer nanostars in a very logical and comprehensive way. The proposed expressions only depend upon the step of growth of these dendrimers.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 1 presents some important definitions which are obligatory to understand the concept of our paper. In Section 2, we establish the general expression to find the connection-based MZIs of first type of dendrimer nanostar. Section 3 holds the general expression to calculate connection-based MZIs of the second type of dendrimer nanostar. Section 4 draws the conclusions.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we define some basic definitions which are useful for the further evaluations.

**Definition 1** (see [22]). Let $\zeta = (M(\zeta), N(\zeta))$ be a graph, where $M(\zeta)$ and $N(\zeta)$ represent the set of vertices and edges, respectively. Then, the first Zagreb index (FZI) can be given as

$$\tilde{Z}_1(\zeta) = \sum_{x \in M(\zeta)} (\tilde{d}(\zeta)(x))^2.$$ 

(1)

This equation can be rewritten as

$$\tilde{Z}_1(\zeta) = \sum_{xy \in N(\zeta)} (\tilde{d}(\zeta)(x) + \tilde{d}(\zeta)(y)).$$ 

(2)

where $\tilde{d}(\zeta)(x)$ and $\tilde{d}(\zeta)(y)$ denote the degree of the vertices $x$ and $y$, respectively.

**Definition 2** (see [21]). For graph $\zeta$, the second Zagreb index (SZI) can be given as

$$\tilde{Z}_2(\zeta) = \sum_{xy \in N(\zeta)} (\tilde{d}(\zeta)(x) \times \tilde{d}(\zeta)(y)).$$ 

(3)

where $\tilde{d}(\zeta)(x)$ and $\tilde{d}(\zeta)(y)$ denote the degree of the vertices $x$ and $y$, respectively.

**Definition 3** (see [4]). For a graph $\zeta$, the first Zagreb connection index (FZCI) and second Zagreb connection index (SZCI) can be given as

$$\tilde{Z}_1^c(\zeta) = \sum_{xy \in N(\zeta)} (\eta(\zeta)(x) + \eta(\zeta)(y)).$$ 

(4)

**Definition 5** (see [20]). For a graph $\zeta$, the first multiplicative Zagreb index (FMZI) and second Zagreb index (SMZI) can be given as

$$\tilde{Z}_1^m(\zeta) = \prod_{xy \in N(\zeta)} (\tilde{d}(\zeta)(x) + \tilde{d}(\zeta)(y))$$

and

$$\tilde{Z}_2^m(\zeta) = \prod_{xy \in N(\zeta)} (\tilde{d}(\zeta)(x) \times \tilde{d}(\zeta)(y))$$

(5)

**Definition 7**. For a graph $\zeta$, the first MZCI can be rewritten as

$$\tilde{Z}_1^m(\zeta) = \prod_{xy \in N(\zeta)} (\eta(\zeta)(x) + \eta(\zeta)(y)).$$
\[ Z^M_2(\zeta) = \prod_{0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{m}{3} - 2} [\alpha^2]^{\mathcal{C}_4(\zeta)}, \quad (5) \]

where \( \mathcal{C}_4(\zeta) \) is the total number of vertices in \( \zeta \) with connection number \( \alpha \).

The second MZCI can be rewritten as
\[ Z^M_2(\zeta) = \prod_{0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{m}{3} - 2} [\alpha \times \beta]^{\mathcal{C}_{(\alpha, \beta)}(\zeta)}, \quad (6) \]

where \( \mathcal{C}_{(\alpha, \beta)}(\zeta) \) is the total number of edges in \( \zeta \) with connection numbers \( (\alpha, \beta) \).

Similarly, the third MZCI can be given as
\[ Z^M_3(\zeta) = \prod_{0 \leq \gamma \leq \frac{m}{3} - 2} [\gamma \times \alpha]^{\mathcal{C'}_{(\gamma, \alpha)}(\zeta)}, \quad (7) \]

where \( \mathcal{C'}_{(\gamma, \alpha)}(\zeta) \) is the total number of vertices with degree \( \gamma \) and CN \( \alpha \).

The fourth MZCI can be written as
\[ Z^M_4(\zeta) = \prod_{0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{m}{3} - 2} [\alpha + \beta]^{\mathcal{C}_{(\alpha, \beta)}(\zeta)}. \quad (8) \]

3. MZCIs of First Type of Dendrimer Nanostar

In this section, we establish the general expressions to calculate the MZCIs of first type of dendrimer nanostar. First, we provide the construction of the dendrimer nanostar of the first type, i.e., \( D_1[\tilde{m}] \), by labeling the vertices with degrees and CNs. The skeletal formulas of \( D_1[\tilde{m}] \) along with connection number for \( \tilde{m} = 1, 2, 3 \) are shown in Figures 1–3.

The skeletal formulas of dendrimer nanostar \( D_1[\tilde{m}] \) along with degrees are shown in Figures 4–6.

Before presenting the main results of our paper, we first classify the hexagons of \( D_1[\tilde{m}] \) with the help of the degrees of the vertices into terminal hexagon, initial hexagon, and \( \lambda \)-hexagon.

(i) Terminal Hexagon. A hexagon in which the degree of exactly five vertices is two is said to be terminal hexagon.

(ii) Initial Hexagon. A hexagon which is in the center of \( D_1[\tilde{m}] \) is said to be initial hexagon.

(iii) \( \lambda \)-Hexagon. A hexagon which is neither initial nor terminal is said to be \( \lambda \)-hexagon.

All the remaining vertices which do not lie in any of the above mentioned hexagons are said to be \( \mu \)-type vertices. By \((r, s)\)-type edges, we mean the edge joining the vertices with CNs \( r \) and \( s \).

Theorem 1. Let \( \zeta = D_1[\tilde{m}] \) be a molecular graph for \( \tilde{m} = 1, 2, 3 \). Then, first MZCI and third MZCI of \( \zeta \) are given below:

\begin{enumerate}
  \item \( Z^M_1(\zeta) = (64)^{\kappa} \times (489776025600)^{6-k-2} \)
  \item \( Z^M_3(\zeta) = (64)^{\kappa} \times (7255941120)^{6-k-2} \)
\end{enumerate}

Proof.

(1) First, we find the total number of terminal hexagons, initial hexagons, and \( \lambda \)-hexagons. By simple observation, we have

\[ \text{total number of terminal hexagons} = 2^{6\tilde{m}+1}, \]
\[ \text{total number of initial hexagons} = 1. \quad (9) \]

The total number of \( \lambda \)-hexagons in \( \zeta \) for \( \tilde{m} = 1, 2, 3 \) is 0, 4, 12, 28, \ldots, respectively. The \( n \)-th term of the sequence is \( 2^{6\tilde{m}+1} - 4 \). Thus,

\[ \text{total number of } \lambda \text{-hexagons} = 2^{6\tilde{m}+1} - 4. \quad (10) \]

The total number of \( \mu \)-type vertices in \( \zeta \) for \( \tilde{m} = 1, 2, 3 \) is 0, 4, 12, 28, \ldots, respectively. The \( n \)-th term of the sequence is \( 2 \times 2^{6\tilde{m}+1} - 4 \). Thus,

\[ \text{total number of } \mu \text{-vertices} = 2 \times 2^{6\tilde{m}+1} - 4. \quad (11) \]

Now, we find the number of vertices having CN 2 in \( \zeta \), i.e., \( \mathcal{C}_2(\zeta) \). One can observe easily that all those vertices which have CN 2 are present only in terminal hexagons and no vertices exist having CN 2 in central and \( \lambda \)-hexagons. Every terminal hexagon has exactly 3 vertices with CN 2, and the total number of terminal hexagons in \( \zeta \) is \( 2^{6\tilde{m}+1} \). Thus, \( \mathcal{C}_2(\zeta) \) must be equal to 3 times the number of terminal hexagons in \( \zeta \). Mathematically, we have

\[ \mathcal{C}_2(\zeta) = 3 \times 2^{6\tilde{m}+1}. \quad (12) \]

Now, we find the number of vertices having CN 3 in \( \zeta \), i.e., \( \mathcal{C}_3(\zeta) \). In Table 1, we have calculated the total number of vertices with CN 3 in \( \zeta \).

The total number of vertices with CN 3 in \( \zeta \) is the sum of the number of vertices with CN 3 in terminal hexagon, initial hexagon, and \( \lambda \)-hexagon of \( \zeta \). So,
\[ C_3(\zeta) = 2 \times 2^{m+1} + 5 \left( 2^{m+1} - 4 \right) + 6 \]
\[ = 2 \times 2^{m+1} + 5 \left( 2^{m+1} \right) - 20 + 6 \]
\[ = 7 \times 2^{m+1} - 14. \]  

Next, we calculate the total number of vertices with CN 4 in \( \zeta \), i.e., \( C_4(\zeta) \). Table 2 shows the total number of vertices with CN 4 in \( \zeta \).

On can easily observe that half of the \( \mu \)-type vertices have CN 4 while the other half has CN 5. We know that the total number of \( \mu \)-type vertices is

**Figure 2:** \( D_1[2] \) along with the connection of each vertex.

**Figure 3:** \( D_1[1] \) along with the degree of each vertex.
Thus, we have
\[ G'_{(2,2)}(\zeta) = 3 \times 2^{\bar{m}+1}. \]  

Now, we calculate the number of vertices with degree 2 and CN 3 in \( \zeta \). The number of vertices with degree 2 and CN 3 in terminal hexagon, initial hexagon, and \( \lambda \)-type hexagon is given in Table 4.

Thus, \( G'_{(2,3)}(\zeta) \) will be
\[ G'_{(2,3)}(\zeta) = 2 \times 2^{\bar{m}+1} + 4 \left( 2^{\bar{m}+1} - 4 \right) + 4 \]
\[ = 6 \times 2^{\bar{m}+1} - 12. \]

We notice that the number of vertices with degree 2 and CN 4 is present only in half of the \( \mu \)-type vertices of \( \zeta \). Thus, \( G'_{(2,4)}(\zeta) \) will be
\[ G'_{(2,4)}(\zeta) = 2^{\bar{m}+1}. \]

Now, we calculate the number of vertices with degree 3 and CN 3 in \( \zeta \). The number of vertices with degree 3 and CN 3 in terminal hexagon, initial hexagon, and \( \lambda \)-type hexagon is given in Table 5.

Thus, \( G'_{(3,3)}(\zeta) \) will be
\[ G'_{(3,3)}(\zeta) = 2 + 2^{\bar{m}+1} - 4 \]
\[ = 2^{\bar{m}+1} - 2. \]

Similarly,
\[ G'_{(3,5)}(\zeta) = 2^{\bar{m}+1} - 2. \]

The number of vertices with degree 3 and CN 4 in terminal hexagon, initial hexagon, and \( \lambda \)-type hexagon is given in Table 6.

Thus, \( G'_{(3,4)}(\zeta) \) will be
\[ G'_{(3,4)}(\zeta) = 2^{\bar{m}+1} + 2^{\bar{m}+1} - 4 + 4 \]
\[ = 2 \times 2^{\bar{m}+1} - 4. \]

By substituting all the values of \( G'_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\zeta) \) in equation (7), we have

\[ Z_3^M G(\zeta) = \prod_{0 \leq \gamma \leq \bar{m} - 2} [\alpha \times \beta]^{G'_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\zeta)} \]
\[ = [4]^{G'_{(1,2)}(\zeta)} \times [6]^{G'_{(1,3)}(\zeta)} \times [8]^{G'_{(1,4)}(\zeta)} \times [9]^{G'_{(2,3)}(\zeta)} \times [12]^{G'_{(2,4)}(\zeta)} \times [20]^{G'_{(3,3)}(\zeta)} \]
\[ = [4]^{x^{2\bar{m}+1}} \times [6]^{6 \times 2^{\bar{m}+1} - 12} \times [8]^{2^{\bar{m}+1} - 2} \times [9]^{2^{\bar{m}+1} - 4} \times [12]^{2^{2\bar{m}+1} - 12} \times [15]^{2^{\bar{m}+1} - 4} \]
\[ = [4]^{x^{x^{2\bar{m}+1}}} \times [6]^{6 \times 2^{\bar{m}+1} - 2} \times [8]^{x^{2\bar{m}+1} - 2} \times [9]^{2^{\bar{m}+1} - 4} \times [12]^{2^{2\bar{m}+1} - 12} \times [15]^{x^{2\bar{m}+1} - 4} \]
\[ = (64)^x (7255941120)^{(x^{2\bar{m}+1})}, \]
Figure 5: $D_1[2]$ along with the degree of each vertex.

Figure 6: $D_1[3]$ along with the degree of each vertex.
Proof. Let \( \zeta = D_1 [\bar{m}] \) be a molecular graph for \( \bar{m} = 1, 2, 3 \). Then, second MZCI and fourth MZCI of \( \zeta \) are given below

\begin{align*}
(1) & \quad \bar{Z}_4^M \mathcal{G} (\zeta) = (576)^{\kappa} (1990656000)^{(2\kappa - 2)} (81)^{(2\kappa - 5)}, \\
(2) & \quad \bar{Z}_4^L \mathcal{G} (\zeta) = (400)^{\kappa} (12252303)^{(2\kappa - 2)} (36)^{(2\kappa - 5)},
\end{align*}

where \( \kappa = 2^{\bar{m} + 1} \).

**Theorem 2.** Let \( \zeta = D_1 [\bar{m}] \) be a molecular graph for \( \bar{m} = 1, 2, 3 \). Then, second MZCI and fourth MZCI of \( \zeta \) are given below

\begin{align*}
(1) & \quad \bar{Z}_4^M \mathcal{G} (\zeta) = (576)^{\kappa} (1990656000)^{(2\kappa - 2)} (81)^{(2\kappa - 5)}, \\
(2) & \quad \bar{Z}_4^L \mathcal{G} (\zeta) = (400)^{\kappa} (12252303)^{(2\kappa - 2)} (36)^{(2\kappa - 5)},
\end{align*}

where \( \kappa = 2^{\bar{m} + 1} \).

Proof.

(1) First, we calculate \( \mathcal{G}_{2,2} (\zeta) \), i.e., \((2,2)\)-type edges in \( \zeta \). One can easily observe that graph \( \zeta \) has \((2,2)\)-type edges only in terminal hexagon. There are exactly two \((2,2)\)-type and \((2,3)\)-type edges in every terminal hexagon of \( \zeta \). Hence, \( \mathcal{G}_{2,2} (\zeta) \) must be equal to

\[ \mathcal{G}_{2,2} (\zeta) = 2 \times 2^{\bar{m} + 1}, \]

\[ \mathcal{G}_{2,3} (\zeta) = 2 \times 2^{\bar{m} + 1}. \]

Next, the total number of \((3,3)\)-type edges is displayed in Table 7.

The total number of \((3,3)\)-type edges in \( \zeta \) is the sum of the \((3,3)\)-type edges in terminal hexagon, initial hexagon, \( \lambda \)-hexagon of \( \zeta \). So,

\[ \mathcal{G}_{(3,3)} (\zeta) = 4 \times 2^{\bar{m} + 1} - 10. \]  

(25)

Now, we calculate \( \mathcal{G}_{(3,4)} (\zeta) \). The total number of \((3,4)\)-type edges in every terminal hexagon, initial hexagon, and \( \lambda \)-hexagons of \( \zeta \) is displayed in Table 8.

The total number of \((3,4)\)-type edges which do not exist in any of the hexagon of \( \zeta \) is equal to the number of \( \mu \)-type vertices with CN 4. Thus, \( \mathcal{G}_{(3,4)} (\zeta) \) will be

\[ \mathcal{G}_{(3,4)} (\zeta) = 2 \times 2^{\bar{m} + 1} + 2 \left(2^{\bar{m} + 1} - 4\right) + \left(2^{\bar{m} + 1} - 2\right) \]

\[ = 5 \times 2^{\bar{m} + 1} - 10. \]  

(26)

Finally, we calculate \( \mathcal{G}_{(4,5)} (\zeta) \). We observe that \((4,5)\)-type edges in \( \zeta \) are equal to 3 times the number of \( \mu \)-type vertices of \( \zeta \) with CN 5. Thus, we have

\[ \mathcal{G}_{(4,5)} (\zeta) = 3 \times 4 \times 2^{\bar{m} + 1}. \]


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of hexagons</th>
<th>Number of vertices with degree 3 and CN 3 in hexagon (x)</th>
<th>Total number of hexagons (y)</th>
<th>Total number of vertices with degree 3 and CN 3 in hexagons (x × y)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terminal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$2^{m+1}$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$2^{m+1} - 4$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\zeta$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2^{m+1} - 4$</td>
<td>$2^{m+1} - 4$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of hexagons</th>
<th>Number of vertices with degree 3 and CN 4 in hexagon (x)</th>
<th>Total number of hexagons (y)</th>
<th>Total number of vertices with degree 3 and CN 4 in hexagons (x × y)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terminal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2^{m+1}$</td>
<td>$2^{m+1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$2^{m+1} - 4$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\zeta$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2^{m+1} - 4$</td>
<td>($2^{m+1} - 4$)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$$\mathcal{C}_{(4,5)}(\zeta) = 3\left(2^{m+1} - 2\right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (27)

By using equation (6), we have

$$\mathcal{Z}_2^M \mathcal{G}(\zeta) = \prod_{0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq \zeta - 2} [\alpha \times \beta]^{\mathcal{C}_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\zeta)}$$

$$= [4]^{\mathcal{C}_{(1,0)}(\zeta)} \times [6]^{\mathcal{C}_{(1,0)}(\zeta)} \times [9]^{\mathcal{C}_{(1,0)}(\zeta)} \times [12]^{\mathcal{C}_{(1,0)}(\zeta)} \times [20]^{\mathcal{C}_{(1,0)}(\zeta)}$$

$$= [4]^{2\times2^{m-1} \times [6]^{5\times2^{m-1} - 10} \times [12]^{5\times2^{m-1} - 10} \times [20]^{10-6}}$$

$$= (576)^{4} (1990656000)^{(k-2)} (81)^{(2k-5)}$$

where $k = 2^{m+1}$.

(2) Now, we drive the second formula. By substituting all the values of $\mathcal{C}_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\zeta)$ in equation (8), we have

$$\mathcal{Z}_4^M \mathcal{G}(\zeta) = \prod_{0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq \zeta - 2} [\alpha \times \beta]^{\mathcal{C}_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\zeta)}$$

$$= [4]^{\mathcal{C}_{(1,0)}(\zeta)} \times [5]^{\mathcal{C}_{(1,0)}(\zeta)} \times [6]^{\mathcal{C}_{(1,0)}(\zeta)} \times [7]^{\mathcal{C}_{(1,0)}(\zeta)} \times [9]^{\mathcal{C}_{(1,0)}(\zeta)}$$

$$= [4]^{2\times2^{m-1} \times [5]^{2\times2^{m-1}} \times [6]^{5\times2^{m-1} - 10} \times [7]^{5\times2^{m-1} - 10} \times [9]^{3(2^{m-1} - 2)}}$$

$$= (400)^{5} (12252303)^{(k-2)} (36)^{2k-5}$$

\section*{4. MZCIs of Second Type of Dendrimer Nanostar}

In this section, we calculate the multiplicative ZCIs of second type of dendrimer nanostars. First, we provide the construction of the dendrimer nanostar of the second type, i.e., $\mathcal{D}_2[\tilde{m}]$, by labeling the vertices with degrees and CNs. The skeletal formulas of $\mathcal{D}_2[\tilde{m}]$ along with connection number for $\tilde{m} = 1, 2, 3$ are shown in Figures 7–9.

The skeletal formulas of dendrimer nanostar $\mathcal{D}_2[\tilde{m}]$ along with degrees of each vertex are shown in Figures 10–12.

Before stating our main results about the second type of dendrimers, we classify hexagons and pentagons of $\mathcal{D}_2[\tilde{m}]$, for $m = 1, 2, 3$, with the help of degrees of the vertices, into the following:

(1) \textit{Terminal Pentagon}. A pentagon which has three adjacent vertices of degree 1.

(2) \textit{Non-Terminal Pentagon}. A pentagon which is not terminal is said to be non-terminal pentagon.

(3) $\eta$–\textit{Hexagon}. A hexagon which has exactly two vertices of degree 3.
proof. Let \( \zeta \) be a molecular graph of order \( \bar{n} \). Then, the first and third MZCIs of a molecular graph \( \zeta \) are given as

(1) \( \hat{Z}_2^M(\zeta) = (4)^{4\kappa-1}(16)^{6\kappa-7}(16815125390625)^{\kappa-1} \)
(36)^{3\kappa-6}.

(2) \( \hat{Z}_3^M(\zeta) = (16)^\kappa(2)^{2\kappa-1}(5015306502144)^{\kappa-1}(15)^{9\kappa-10} \)
(18)^{3(2\kappa-3)},

where \( \kappa = 2^{m+1} \).

proof. First, we find the total number of hexagons and pentagons. The total number of pentagons and hexagons of a graph \( \zeta \) is depicted in Table 9.

The vertices which do not exist in any of the above-defined hexagon is said to be \( \mu \)-type vertices. The total number of \( \mu \)-type vertices is \( 2^{m+1} - 1 \).

(1) After simple calculation, the total number of vertices with CNs 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6, from Figure 9, is

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{C}_2(\zeta) &= 4 \times 2^n - 1, \\
\mathcal{C}_3(\zeta) &= 8 \left( 2^n - 1 \right), \\
\mathcal{C}_4(\zeta) &= 6 \times 2^n - 7, \\
\mathcal{C}_5(\zeta) &= 4 \left( 2^n - 1 \right), \\
\mathcal{C}_6(\zeta) &= 5 \times 2^n - 6.
\end{align*}
\]

By putting the above values in equation (5), we have

\[
\hat{Z}_1^M(\zeta) = (4)^{4\kappa-1}(16)^{6\kappa-7}(16815125390625)^{\kappa-1} (36)^{3\kappa-6}.
\]

(31)

(2) Now, we find \( \mathcal{C}_{(\gamma,\alpha)}(\zeta) \). From Figures 9 and 12, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{C}_{(1,2)}(\zeta) &= 2 \times 2^n, \\
\mathcal{C}_{(2,3)}(\zeta) &= 8 \left( 2^n - 1 \right), \\
\mathcal{C}_{(3,4)}(\zeta) &= 6 \left( 2^n - 1 \right), \\
\mathcal{C}_{(3,5)}(\zeta) &= 9 \times 2^n - 10, \\
\mathcal{C}_{(3,6)}(\zeta) &= 5 \left( 2 \times 2^n - 3 \right).
\end{align*}
\]

By putting above values in equation (7), we have

\[
\hat{Z}_3^M(\zeta) = (16)^\kappa (2)^{2\kappa-1}(5015306502144)^{\kappa-1}(15)^{9\kappa-10} (18)^5(2\kappa-3).
\]

(33)
Theorem 4. Let $\zeta = N_2[\tilde{m}]$ be a molecular graph of order $\tilde{n}$. Then, second and fourth MZCIs of a molecular graph $\zeta$ are given as

1. $Z_M^2(\zeta) = (148)^k (8)^{2k} - 1 (9)^{3k-4} (24)^{5k-8} (180)^{4k-1} (11520)^{2k-1} (30)^{3k-6} (\kappa - 1)^{2k-8} (180)^{4k-8} (11320)^{2k-2} (30)^{3k-6} (\kappa - 1)^{2k-8}$,

2. $Z_M^4(\zeta) = (100)^k (6)^{2k-1} (6)^{4k-4} (10)^{5k-8} (344534954841)^{3k-1} (37748736)^{2k-1}$,

where $\kappa = 2^{\tilde{m}}$.

Proof. (1) Again from Figure 9, we have

- $C_{(2,2)}(\zeta) = 2^{\tilde{m}}$,
- $C_{(2,3)}(\zeta) = 2^{\tilde{m}+1}$,
- $C_{(2,4)}(\zeta) = 2^{\tilde{m}+1} - 1$.

- $C_{(3,3)}(\zeta) = 3 \times 2^{\tilde{m}} - 4$,
- $C_{(3,4)}(\zeta) = 4\left(2^{\tilde{m}} - 1\right)$,
- $C_{(3,5)}(\zeta) = 4\left(2^{\tilde{m}} - 1\right)$,
- $C_{(4,4)}(\zeta) = 2\left(2^{\tilde{m}} - 1\right)$,
- $C_{(4,5)}(\zeta) = 2\left(2^{\tilde{m}} - 1\right)$,
- $C_{(6,6)}(\zeta) = 2\left(2^{\tilde{m}} - 1\right)$,
- $C_{(4,6)}(\zeta) = 5 \times 2^{\tilde{m}} - 8$,
- $C_{(5,6)}(\zeta) = 6\left(2^{\tilde{m}} - 1\right)$.
Figure 9: $D_2[3]$ along with the CN of each vertex.

Figure 10: $D_2[1]$ along with the degree of each vertex.
Figure 11: $D_2[2]$ along with the degree of each vertex.

Figure 12: $D_2[3]$ along with the degree of each vertex.
By putting above values in equation (6), we have

\[ Z_2^M(\zeta) = (148)^k(8)^{2k-1}(9)^{3k-4}(24)^{5k-8}(180)^{k(x-1)}(11520)^{2(k-1)}(30)^{8(k-1)}. \] (35)

(2) By putting all the values of \( C(\alpha,\beta) \) in equation (8), we have the following expression:

\[ Z_4^M(\zeta) = (100)^k(6)^{2k-1}(6)^{3k-4}(10)^{5k-8}(344534954841)^{k-1}(37748736)^{k-1}. \] (36)

5. Comparison Analysis

In this section, to check the validity and superiority, we compare our calculated values for both the dendrimers with each other. Table 10 shows the comparison between the proposed results of dendrimers.

From Table 10, it can be seen that \( D_1[m] \) has the largest value for \( Z_2^M(\zeta) \) while \( D_2[m] \) has the greatest value of \( Z_2^M(\zeta) \).

6. Conclusions

Dendrimers are highly branched organic macromolecules with successive layers or generations of branch units surrounding a central core and are considered as key molecules in nanotechnology. In this research article, we have established the general expressions to find the connection-based MZIs, namely, first multiplicative Zagreb connection index, second multiplicative Zagreb connection index, third multiplicative Zagreb connection index, and fourth multiplicative Zagreb connection index of two well-known dendrimer nanostars. These calculated general expressions just depend upon the step of growth of these dendrimers. Moreover, to check the authenticity, we have also compared the calculated result for both types of dendrimers. In future, we are interested in extending our work for other types of dendrimers which are poly(propyl) ether imine dendrimer and polypropyleneimine octamethyldendrimer.
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