

Research Article

Oscillatory Properties for Second-Order Impulsive Neutral Dynamic Equations with Positive and Negative Coefficients on Time Scales

Shuang Zhang  and Qiaoluan Li 

School of Mathematical Sciences, Hebei Normal University, Shijiazhuang 050024, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Qiaoluan Li; qll71125@163.com

Received 2 June 2020; Revised 6 August 2020; Accepted 20 January 2021; Published 4 February 2021

Academic Editor: Ali Jaballah

Copyright © 2021 Shuang Zhang and Qiaoluan Li. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We study oscillatory properties for second-order impulsive neutral dynamic equations with positive and negative coefficients on time scales. By using variable substitution, we obtain sufficient conditions for several dynamic equations to be oscillatory.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with the oscillation of the following second-order impulsive neutral dynamic equations with positive and negative coefficients:

$$\begin{cases} (a(t)z^\Delta(t))^\Delta + p(t)f(x(\tau(t))) - q(t)f(x(\zeta(t))) = 0, & t \in \mathbb{J}_\mathbb{T} \setminus \{t_k\}, \\ z(t_k^+) = M_k(z(t_k)), & k \in \mathbb{N}, \\ z^\Delta(t_k^+) = N_k(z^\Delta(t_k)), & k \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $z(t) = x(t) + b(t)x(\theta(t))$ and $\mathbb{J}_\mathbb{T} = [t_0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}$, \mathbb{T} is unbounded above time scale with $t_k \in \mathbb{T}$, $0 \leq t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_k < \dots \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k = \infty$, and $x(t_k^+) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} x(t_k + h)$ and $x^\Delta(t_k^+) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} x^\Delta(t_k + h)$, which represent right limits of $x(t)$ and $x^\Delta(t)$ at $t = t_k$ in the sense of time scales. Furthermore, if t_k is right-scattered, then $x(t_k^+) = x(t_k)$ and $x^\Delta(t_k^+) = x^\Delta(t_k)$. $x(t_k^-)$ and $x^\Delta(t_k^-)$ can be defined similarly. Throughout this paper, we assume that f is continuous on \mathbb{T} , all the impulsive points t_k are right-dense, and $a(t)$, $p(t)$, and $q(t) \in C_{\text{rd}}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}^+)$, where C_{rd} denotes the set of rd-continuous functions. There exist positive constants α_k , β_k , c_k , and d_k such that

$\alpha_k \leq (M_k(x)/x) \leq \beta_k$ and $c_k \leq (N_k(x)/x) \leq d_k$ for $x \neq 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

During the past decades, the oscillation of impulsive differential equations and impulsive difference equations has been investigated by many authors [1–3]. In recent years, many researchers focus their attention on the oscillation of dynamic equations on time scales [4–9]. The theory of impulsive dynamic equations has received considerable attention [10]. Moreover, dynamic equations with positive and negative coefficients have been of great interest. Many results on the oscillatory properties for dynamic equations with positive and negative coefficients have been obtained

[11, 12]. However, fewer papers are on the oscillation of impulsive neutral dynamic equations with positive and negative coefficients.

For example, Huang and Feng [13] have considered the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} x^{\Delta\Delta}(t) + f(t, x^\sigma(t)) = 0, & \mathbb{J}_\mathbb{T} := [t_0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}, t \neq t_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, \\ x(t_k^+) = g_k(x(t_k)), & k = 1, 2, \dots, \\ x^\Delta(t_k^+) = h_k(x^\Delta(t_k)), & k = 1, 2, \dots, \\ x(t_0^+) = x(t_0), & k = 1, 2, \dots, \\ x^\Delta(t_0^+) = x^\Delta(t_0), & k = 1, 2, \dots. \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

By using Riccati transformation techniques, they obtained sufficient conditions for oscillation of all solutions.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we present some basic definitions and preliminary results. In Section 3, we state and prove several oscillatory results. Finally, two examples are given to illustrate our obtained results.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce definitions and preliminary results which are used in this paper. Throughout the paper, we define the interval $[a, b]$ in \mathbb{T} by

$$[a, b] := \{t \in \mathbb{T} : a \leq t \leq b\}. \tag{3}$$

Open intervals and half-open intervals etc. are defined accordingly.

Definition 1. For $t \in \mathbb{T}$, we define the forward jump operator $\sigma : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ by $\sigma(t) := \inf\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s > t\}$. If $\sigma(t) > t$, then t is called right-scattered. Also, if $t < \sup \mathbb{T}$ and $\sigma(t) = t$, then t is called right-dense.

Definition 2. A function $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is rd-continuous if it is continuous at all right-dense points and its left-sided limit exists (and is finite) at a left-dense point. We denote the set of rd-continuous functions by $C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$.

Definition 3. Assume $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function and let $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$. Then, we define $f^\Delta(t)$ to be the number (provided it exists) with the property that given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a neighborhood U of t (i.e., $U = (t - \delta, t + \delta) \cap \mathbb{T}$ for some $\delta > 0$) such that

$$|[f(\sigma(t)) - f(s)] - f^\Delta(t)[\sigma(t) - s]| \leq \varepsilon|\sigma(t) - s|, \tag{4}$$

for all $s \in U$. We call $f^\Delta(t)$ the delta derivative of f at t .

Definition 4. A function x is a solution of (1), if it satisfies $(a(t)z^\Delta(t))^\Delta + p(t)f(x(\tau(t))) - q(t)f(x(\zeta(t))) = 0$ a.e. on $\mathbb{J}_\mathbb{T} \setminus \{t_k\}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, and for each $k = 1, 2, \dots$, x satisfies the impulsive conditions $z(t_k^+) = M_k(z(t_k))$ and $z^\Delta(t_k^+) = N_k(z^\Delta(t_k))$, where $z(t) = x(t) + b(t)x(\theta(t))$.

Definition 5. A solution x of (1) is oscillatory if it is neither eventually positive nor eventually negative; otherwise, it is called nonoscillatory. Equation (1) is called oscillatory if all solutions are oscillatory.

Lemma 1 (see [14]). *Let the function $m \in PC^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfy the inequalities*

$$\begin{aligned} m^\Delta(t) &\leq p(t)m(t) + q(t), & t \neq t_k, \\ m(t_k^+) &\leq d_k m(t_k) + b_k, & k = 1, 2, \dots, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where p and $q \in PC^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ and $d_k > 0$ and b_k are constants; then

$$\begin{aligned} m(t) &\leq m(t_0) \prod_{t_0 < t_k < t} d_k \exp\left(\int_{t_0}^t p(s)\Delta s\right) \\ &+ \sum_{t_0 < t_k < t} \left(\prod_{t_k < t_j < t} d_j \exp\left(\int_{t_k}^t p(s)\Delta s\right)\right) b_k \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^t \prod_{s < t_k < t} d_k \exp\left(\int_s^t p(\sigma)\Delta\sigma\right) q(s)\Delta s, & t \geq t_0, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where $PC = \{x : \mathbb{J}_\mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ which is rd-continuous except at } t_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, \text{ for which } x(t_k^-), x(t_k^+), x^\Delta(t_k^-), \text{ and } x^\Delta(t_k^+) \text{ exist with } x(t_k^-) = x(t_k) \text{ and } x^\Delta(t_k^-) = x^\Delta(t_k)\}$.

3. Main Results

In this section, we first consider the dynamic equation

$$\begin{cases} (a(t)z^\Delta(t))^\Delta + p(t)f(x(\tau(t))) - q(t)f(x(\zeta(t))) = 0, \\ z(t_k^+) = M_k(z(t_k)), \\ z^\Delta(t_k^+) = \eta_k z^\Delta(t_k), \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

that is, the case $c_k = d_k = \eta_k$ of equation (1).

We assume the following conditions hold:

- (H1) $0 \leq b(t) < 1$
- (H2) $\sum_{k=1}^\infty (\int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} (1/a(t))\Delta t \prod_{i=1}^k \eta_i) = \infty$

- (H3) f is nondecreasing, $(f(u)/u) > 0$ for $u \neq 0$, and $f(uv) \geq f(u)f(v)$ for $uv > 0$
- (H4) $\theta(t), \tau(t)$, and $\zeta(t) \in C_{\text{rd}}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{T})$, $\theta(t) \leq t$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \theta(t) = \infty$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau(t) = \infty$, and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \zeta(t) = \infty$
- (H5) $\alpha_k \geq 1$, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\beta_k - 1) < \infty$, and $0 < \eta_k \leq 1$
- (H6) $\theta(t) \neq t_k$, $\tau(t) \neq t_k$, and $\zeta(t) \neq t_k$ for $t \neq t_k$

Theorem 1. Assume (H1)–(H6) are satisfied, if the inequality

$$\begin{cases} y^\Delta(t) + p(t)f(y(\tau(t)))f(S(\tau(t))) \leq 0, \\ y(t_k^+) \leq \eta_k y(t_k), \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

has no eventually positive solution, where

$$S(t) = (1 - b(t)) \int_{T_1}^t \frac{1}{a(t)} \Delta t. \quad (9)$$

Then, every bounded solution of (7) is oscillatory.

Proof. Assume that $x(t)$ is an eventually bounded positive solution of (7); then, there exists $T \in \mathbb{T}$ large enough and $T \geq t_0$ such that $x(t) > 0$, $x(\theta(t)) > 0$, $x(\tau(t)) > 0$, and

$x(\zeta(t)) > 0$ for all $t \in [T, \infty)$. Let $F(t) = z(t) + \int_t^\infty (1/a(s)) \int_T^s q(u)f(x(\zeta(u)))\Delta u \Delta s$, $t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}]$; we find $(a(t)F^\Delta(t))^\Delta + p(t)f(x(\tau(t))) = 0$, which implies that

$$(a(t)F^\Delta(t))^\Delta = -p(t)f(x(\tau(t))) < 0. \quad (10)$$

Then, $a(t)F^\Delta(t)$ is decreasing for all $t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}]$. We can claim that $F^\Delta(t)$ is ultimately greater than zero. If not, there must exist a $m \in (t_j, t_{j+1}]$, such that $F^\Delta(m) \leq 0$.

For $\forall t \in [m, t_{j+1}]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a(t_{j+1})F^\Delta(t_{j+1}) &\leq a(t)F^\Delta(t) \leq a(m)F^\Delta(m) \leq 0, \\ \int_m^{t_{j+1}} F^\Delta(t) \Delta t &\leq a(m)F^\Delta(m) \int_m^{t_{j+1}} \frac{1}{a(t)} \Delta t. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Making similar analysis on the interval $(t_k, t_{k+1}]$, $k = j + 1, j + 2, \dots$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} F^\Delta(t) \Delta t &\leq \eta_k \dots \eta_{j+1} a(m)F^\Delta(m) \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \frac{1}{a(t)} \Delta t, \\ t &\in (t_k, t_{k+1}]. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Hence,

$$\int_m^{t_{j+1}} F^\Delta(t) \Delta t + \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} F^\Delta(t) \Delta t \leq a(m)F^\Delta(m) \left(\int_m^{t_{j+1}} \frac{1}{a(t)} \Delta t + \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \left(\int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \frac{1}{a(t)} \Delta t \prod_{i=j+1}^k \eta_i \right) \right). \quad (13)$$

Furthermore, we obtain

$$F(\infty) \leq a(m)F^\Delta(m) \left(\int_m^{t_{j+1}} \frac{1}{a(t)} \Delta t + \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \left(\int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \frac{1}{a(t)} \Delta t \prod_{i=j+1}^k \eta_i \right) \right) + F(m) + \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} (\beta_k - 1)z(t_k). \quad (14)$$

By (H2) and (H5), we have $F(t) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, which contradicts $F(t) > 0$. Therefore, $F^\Delta(t)$ is ultimately greater than zero. There exists $T_1 \geq T$ such that $z^\Delta(t) > F^\Delta(t) > 0$, where $t \geq T_1$. By $z(t_k^+) \geq \alpha_k z(t_k) \geq z(t_k)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} z(t) &\geq \int_{T_1}^t z^\Delta(s) \Delta s > \int_{T_1}^t F^\Delta(s) \Delta s \\ &= \int_{T_1}^t \frac{a(s)F^\Delta(s)}{a(s)} \Delta s \geq a(t)F^\Delta(t) \int_{T_1}^t \frac{1}{a(s)} \Delta s. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Let $\varphi(t) = a(t)F^\Delta(t)$; then, $x(t) \geq z(t)(1 - b(t)) \geq \varphi(t)S(t)$. We see $\varphi(t)$ is a positive solution of (8), which contradicts the oscillation of (8). We complete the proof. \square

We assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (H7) $b(t)$ is bounded

- (H8) $\zeta(t) \in C_{\text{rd}}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{T})$ is bijective, $\tau(t) \in C_{\text{rd}}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{T})$, $\tau(t) \leq \zeta(t)$, $\zeta^{-1}(\tau(t)) \in C_{\text{rd}}^1(\mathbb{J}_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{T})$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \theta(t) = \infty$, and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau(t) = \infty$

(H9) $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} (1/a(\eta)) \int_{\zeta^{-1}(\tau(\eta))}^{\eta} q(s) \Delta s \Delta \eta < \infty$

(H10) There exists $L > 0$ and $(f(u)/u) \geq L$ for $u \neq 0$

(H11) $p(t) - q(\zeta^{-1}(\tau(t)))(\zeta^{-1}(\tau(t)))^\Delta \geq C > 0$

Theorem 2. Assume (H2) and (H5)–(H11) are satisfied; then, every bounded solution of (7) is oscillatory.

Proof. Assume that $x(t)$ is an eventually bounded positive solution of equation (7); then, there exists $T \in \mathbb{T}$ large enough and $T \geq t_0$ such that $x(t) > 0$, $x(\theta(t)) > 0$, $x(\tau(t)) > 0$, and $x(\zeta(t)) > 0$ for all $t \in [T, \infty)$. Let $u(t) = z(t) - \int_T^t (1/a(\eta)) \int_{\zeta^{-1}(\tau(\eta))}^{\eta} q(s)f(x(\zeta(s)))\Delta s \Delta \eta$, $t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}]$; we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} (a(t)u^\Delta(t))^\Delta &= -\left(p(t) - q\left(\zeta^{-1}(\tau(t))\left(\zeta^{-1}(\tau(t))\right)^\Delta\right)\right) \\ &\quad \cdot f(x(\tau(t))) \\ &\leq -CLx(\tau(t)) < 0. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Then, $a(t)u^\Delta(t)$ is decreasing in $(t_k, t_{k+1}]$. We can obtain that $u^\Delta(t)$ is ultimately greater than zero. There exists $T_2 \geq T$ such that $z^\Delta(t) > u^\Delta(t) > 0$ for all $t \in [T_2, \infty)$.

For $\forall t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}]$ and $t \in (T_2, \infty)$, we have

$$\int_{T_2}^t (a(s)u^\Delta(s))^\Delta \Delta s \leq -CL \int_{T_2}^t x(\tau(s)) \Delta s. \tag{17}$$

Furthermore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} CL \int_{T_2}^t x(\tau(s)) \Delta s &\leq a(T_2)u^\Delta(T_2) - a(t)u^\Delta(t) \\ &\quad + \sum_{T_2 < t_k < t} (\eta_k - 1)a(t_k)u^\Delta(t_k) \\ &\leq a(T_2)u^\Delta(T_2) < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Therefore, $x(t)$ and $z(t)$ are integrable. However, we know $z(t)$ is increasing in (T_2, ∞) and nonintegrable from $z^\Delta(t) > 0$ and $z(t_k^+) \geq z(t_k)$, which contradicts the integrability of $z(t)$. We complete the proof. \square

Next, we study the equation

$$\begin{cases} (a(t)z^\Delta(t))^\Delta + p(t)f(x(\sigma(t))) = 0, \\ z(t_k^+) = M_k(z(t_k)), \\ z^\Delta(t_k^+) = \eta_k z^\Delta(t_k), \end{cases} \tag{19}$$

i.e., the case $q(t) \equiv 0$, $\tau(t) = \sigma(t)$, and $c_k = d_k = \eta_k$ of (1).

We assume the following conditions hold:

(H12) $0 \leq b(t) < (H(t)B_k/H(\theta(t)))$, where

$$H(t) = \int_t^\infty (1/a(s)) \Delta s, B_k = \begin{cases} 1, & t_k \notin (\theta(t), t), \\ \prod_{\theta(t) < t_k < t} \alpha_k, & \text{other} \end{cases}$$

(H13) $0 < \alpha_k \leq \beta_k \leq 1$, $\eta_k \leq 1$, and $\theta(t) \neq t_k$ for $t \neq t_k$

(H14) $\int_{t_0}^{t_1} (1/a(s)) \Delta s + \eta_1 \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (1/a(s)) \Delta s + \eta_2 \eta_1 \int_{t_2}^{t_3} (1/a(s)) \Delta s + \dots = \infty$

(H15) $\theta(t) \leq t$ and $\theta(t) \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{T})$ is increasing, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \theta(t) = \infty$, and $\sigma(\theta(t)) = \theta(\sigma(t))$

(H16) There exists $L > 0$ and $(f(u)/u) \geq L$ for $u \neq 0$; f is nondecreasing and $f(uv) \geq f(u)f(v)$ for $uv > 0$

Theorem 3. Assume (H12)–(H16) are satisfied. If

$$\int_{t_0}^\infty \prod_{t_0 < t_k < s} \frac{\alpha_k}{\eta_k} p(s) f(1 - b(\sigma(s))) \frac{H(\theta(\sigma(s)))}{H(\sigma(s))B_k} \Delta s = \infty, \tag{20}$$

then (19) is oscillatory.

Proof. Assume that (19) has a nonoscillatory solution $x(t)$, which is eventually positive; then, there exists $T \in \mathbb{T}$ large enough and $T \geq t_0$ such that $x(t) > 0$ and $x(\theta(t)) > 0$ for all $t \in [T, \infty)$. We obtain that $(a(t)z^\Delta(t))^\Delta = -p(t)f(x(\sigma(t))) < 0$, where $t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}]$. Therefore, $a(t)z^\Delta(t)$ is decreasing in $(t_k, t_{k+1}]$. We denote $I(u) = \max\{i: t_0 < t_i < u\}$.

For $t_k < t \leq s \leq t_{k+1}$, we have

$$a(t_{k+1})z^\Delta(t_{k+1}) \leq a(s)z^\Delta(s) \leq a(t)z^\Delta(t). \tag{21}$$

Hence,

$$\int_t^{t_{k+1}} z^\Delta(s) \Delta s \leq a(t)z^\Delta(t) \int_t^{t_{k+1}} \frac{1}{a(s)} \Delta s, \quad s \in [t, t_{k+1}]. \tag{22}$$

We can make similar analysis on the intervals $(t_j, t_{j+1}]$ and $(t_{I(u)}, u]$, such that $j = k + 1, \dots, I(u) - 1$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} z(u) - z(t) &\leq \int_t^{t_{k+1}} z^\Delta(s) \Delta s + \sum_{j=k+1}^{I(u)-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} z^\Delta(s) \Delta s + \int_{t_{I(u)}}^u z^\Delta(s) \Delta s \\ &\leq a(t)z^\Delta(t) \left(\int_t^{t_{k+1}} \frac{1}{a(s)} \Delta s + \eta_{k+1} \int_{t_{k+1}}^{t_{k+2}} \frac{1}{a(s)} \Delta s + \dots + \eta_{I(u)} \dots \eta_{k+1} \int_{t_{I(u)}}^u \frac{1}{a(s)} \Delta s \right). \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

By (H14), we obtain $z^\Delta(t)$ is ultimately greater than zero. Then, there exists $T_3 \geq T$ such that $z^\Delta(t) > 0$ for all $t \in [T_3, \infty)$, and

$$z(t) \geq -a(t)z^\Delta(t) \int_t^u \frac{1}{a(s)} \Delta s. \tag{24}$$

Letting $u \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$z(t) \geq -a(t)z^\Delta(t) \int_t^\infty \frac{1}{a(s)} \Delta s = -a(t)z^\Delta(t)H(t). \tag{25}$$

Therefore, we can claim that $(z(t)/H(t))$ is increasing in $(t_k, t_{k+1}]$.

If $t_k \notin (\theta(t), t)$, we obtain

$$\frac{z(t)}{H(t)} \geq \frac{z(\theta(t))}{H(\theta(t))}. \tag{26}$$

If $t_k \in (\theta(t), t)$, we obtain

$$\frac{z(t)}{H(t)} \geq \prod_{\theta(t) < t_k < t} \alpha_k \frac{z(\theta(t))}{H(\theta(t))}. \tag{27}$$

So

$$\frac{z(t)}{H(t)} \geq B_k \frac{z(\theta(t))}{H(\theta(t))}. \tag{28}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= z(t) - b(t)x(\theta(t)) \geq z(t) - b(t)z(\theta(t)) \\ &\geq z(t) \left(1 - b(t) \frac{H(\theta(t))}{H(t)} \frac{1}{B_k} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Let $\omega(t) = ((a(t)z^\Delta(t))/z(t))$, $t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}]$; we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^\Delta(t) &\leq \frac{-p(t)f(x(\sigma(t)))}{z(\sigma(t))} \\ &\leq -p(t)Lf\left(1 - b(\sigma(t)) \frac{H(\theta(\sigma(t)))}{H(\sigma(t))} \frac{1}{B_k}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

$$\omega(t_k^+) \leq \frac{\eta_k}{\alpha_k} \omega(t_k).$$

By using Lemma 1, we obtain for $t > t_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(t) &\leq \omega(t_0) \prod_{t_0 < t_k < t} \frac{\eta_k}{\alpha_k} - L \int_{t_0}^t \prod_{s < t_k < s} \frac{\eta_k}{\alpha_k} p(s) f \left(1 - b(\sigma(s)) \frac{H(\theta(\sigma(s)))}{H(\sigma(s))} \frac{1}{B_k} \right) \Delta s \\ &= \prod_{t_0 < t_k < t} \frac{\eta_k}{\alpha_k} \left(\omega(t_0) - L \int_{t_0}^t \prod_{t_0 < t_k < s} \frac{\alpha_k}{\eta_k} p(s) f \left(1 - b(\sigma(s)) \frac{H(\theta(\sigma(s)))}{H(\sigma(s))} \frac{1}{B_k} \right) \Delta s \right). \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

We get a contradiction as $t \rightarrow \infty$. We complete the proof. \square

For $q(t) \equiv 0$ and $\alpha_k = \beta_k = 1$ in (1), it can be written as

$$\begin{cases} (a(t)z^\Delta(t))^\Delta + p(t)f(x(\tau(t))) = 0, \\ z(t_k^+) = z(t_k), \\ z^\Delta(t_k^+) = N_k(z^\Delta(t_k)). \end{cases} \tag{32}$$

We assume that the following conditions hold for (32):

- (H17) $\theta(t), \tau(t) \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{T})$, $\theta(t) \leq t, \tau(t) \leq t, \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \theta(t) = \infty$, and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau(t) = \infty$
- (H18) $\theta(t) \neq t_k$ and $\tau(t) \neq t_k$ for $t \neq t_k$
- (H19) $\int_{t_0}^\infty \prod_{t_0 \leq t_k < s} c_k (1/(a(s))) \Delta s = \infty$

Lemma 2. Assume (H19) is satisfied and $x(t)$ is an eventually positive solution of equation (32); then, $z^\Delta(t) \geq 0$ and $z^\Delta(t_k) \geq 0$, where $t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}]$.

Proof. Because $x(t)$ is an eventually positive solution of equation (32), we obtain

$$(a(t)z^\Delta(t))^\Delta = -p(t)f(x(\tau(t))) < 0, \quad t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}]. \tag{33}$$

Then, $a(t)z^\Delta(t)$ is decreasing in $(t_k, t_{k+1}]$. If there exists t_j such that $z^\Delta(t_j) = -l < 0$ for $l > 0$ and we obtain for $\forall t \in (t_{j+n}, t_{j+n+1}]$, $a(t)z^\Delta(t) \leq -a(t_j)c_j c_{j+1} \dots c_{j+n} l$, then

$$z^\Delta(t) \leq \frac{-a(t_j)}{a(t)} l \prod_{t_j \leq t_k < t} c_k, \tag{34}$$

$$z(t_k^+) = z(t_k).$$

By Lemma 1, we obtain for $t > t_j$,

$$z(t) \leq z(t_j) - l \int_{t_j}^t \frac{a(t_j)}{a(s)} \prod_{t_j \leq t_k < s} c_k \Delta s. \tag{35}$$

We get a contradiction as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Then, $z^\Delta(t_k) \geq 0$. For $\forall t \in (t_{k-1}, t_k]$,

$$z^\Delta(t) \geq \frac{a(t_k)}{a(t)} z^\Delta(t_k) \geq 0. \tag{36}$$

We complete the proof. \square

Theorem 4. Assume (H1) and (H16)–(H19) are satisfied. If $d_k \leq 1$ and

$$\int_{t_0}^\infty \prod_{t_0 < t_k < t} \frac{1}{d_k} p(t) f(1 - b(\tau(t))) K(t) \Delta t = \infty, \tag{37}$$

where

$$K(t) = \frac{Q(\tau(t))}{Q(\sigma(t))}, \tag{38}$$

$$Q(t) = \int_T^t \frac{1}{a(s)} \Delta s,$$

then (32) is oscillatory.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that $x(t)$ is an eventually positive solution of (32); then, there exists $T \in \mathbb{T}$ large enough and $T \geq t_0$ such that $x(t) > 0$, $x(\theta(t)) > 0$, and $x(\tau(t)) > 0$ for all $t \in [T, \infty)$. Then, $a(t)z^\Delta(t)$ is decreasing in $(t_k, t_{k+1}]$. According to $a(t_k^+)z^\Delta(t_k^+) \leq a(t_k)z^\Delta(t_k)$, we obtain $a(t)z^\Delta(t)$ is decreasing in (T, ∞) .

For $\forall t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}]$ and $t \geq \tau(t) \geq T$, we have

$$\frac{z(\sigma(t))}{z(\tau(t))} \leq \frac{1}{K(t)}. \tag{39}$$

It can be proved similar to Lemma 1 of [11] and so its proof is omitted here.

From Lemma 2, we have $z^\Delta(t) \geq 0$ and $z^\Delta(t_k) \geq 0$, where $t \geq T$ and $t \in \mathbb{T}$. Let $\omega(t) = (a(t)z^\Delta(t)/z(t))$, $t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}]$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^\Delta(t) &\leq \frac{-p(t)f(x(\tau(t)))}{z(\sigma(t))} \\ &\leq -p(t)Lf(1-b(\tau(t))K(t)), \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

$$\omega(t_k^+) \leq d_k \omega(t_k).$$

Applying Lemma 1, we obtain for $t > t_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(t) &\leq \omega(t_0) \prod_{t_0 < t_k < t} d_k - L \int_{t_0}^t \prod_{s < t_k < s} d_k p(s) \\ &\quad \cdot f(1-b(\tau(s))K(s)\Delta s \\ &= \prod_{t_0 < t_k < t} d_k \left(\omega(t_0) - L \int_{t_0}^t \prod_{t_0 < t_k < s} \frac{1}{d_k} p(s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cdot f(1-b(\tau(s))K(s)\Delta s \right). \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

We get a contradiction as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof of Theorem 4. \square

4. Examples

Example 1. Consider the equation

$$\begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{e^t} z^\Delta(t)\right)^\Delta + p(t)x(t-2) - q(t)x(t+2) = 0, & t \in \mathbb{J}_{\mathbb{T}} \setminus \{2k\}, \\ z(2k^+) = M_k(z(2k)), & k \in \mathbb{N}, \\ z^\Delta(2k^+) = \frac{k}{k+1} z^\Delta(2k), & k \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases} \tag{42}$$

where $z(t) = x(t) + (1/t)x(t-2)$ and $\mathbb{J}_{\mathbb{T}} = [2, \infty)$. Here, $\alpha_k = 1 + (1/(k^2 + 1))$, $\beta_k = 1 + (1/k^2)$, $\eta_k = (k/(k + 1))$, and $p(t)S(t-2) = 1$. Obviously, (H1) and (H3)–(H6) are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \frac{1}{a(t)} \Delta t \prod_{i=1}^k \eta_i \right) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_{2k}^{2k+2} e^t \Delta t \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{i}{i+1} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_2^4 e^t \Delta t + \frac{1}{3} \int_4^6 e^t \Delta t + \dots \\ &> \int_2^{\infty} \Delta t > \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

So (H2) is satisfied. Taking account of Theorem 1 of [1], we know that

$$\begin{cases} y'(t) + y(t-2) \leq 0, \\ y(t_k^+) \leq \frac{k}{k+1} y(t_k), \end{cases} \tag{44}$$

has no eventually positive solution. By Theorem 1, it is clear that every bounded solution of (42) is oscillatory.

Example 2. Consider the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} \left(\frac{t^2}{e^t} z^\Delta(t)\right)^\Delta + e^t x(t+2) - e^{-t} x(t+4) = 0, & t \in \mathbb{J}_{\mathbb{T}} \setminus \{2k\}, \\ z(2k^+) = M_k(z(2k)), & k \in \mathbb{N}, \\ z^\Delta(2k^+) = \frac{k}{k+1} z^\Delta(2k), & k \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases} \tag{45}$$

where $z(t) = x(t) + (1/t)x(t-2)$ and $\mathbb{J}_{\mathbb{T}} = \cup_{k=2}^{\infty} [k, k + (1/2)]$. Here, $\alpha_k = 1 + (1/(k^2 + 1))$, $\beta_k = 1 + (1/k^2)$, and $\eta_k = (k/(k + 1))$. Obviously, (H2), (H5)–(H8), and (H10) are satisfied:

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int_{\zeta^{-1}(\tau(\eta))}^{\eta} q(s)\Delta s \Delta \eta = \int_2^{\infty} \frac{e^\eta}{\eta^2} \int_{\eta-2}^{\eta} e^{-s} \Delta s \Delta \eta < \infty, \tag{46}$$

$$p(t) - q(\zeta^{-1}(\tau(t)))(\zeta^{-1}(\tau(t)))^\Delta = e^t - e^{2-t} \geq e^2 - 1 > 0.$$

So (H9) and (H11) are satisfied. By Theorem 2, we see that every bounded solution of (45) is oscillatory.

Data Availability

No data were used to support this study.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

This research was partially supported by NNSF of China (11971145).

References

[1] D. D. Bainov, M. B. Dimitrova, and V. A. Petrov, "Oscillatory properties of solutions of impulsive differential equations with several retarded arguments," *Georgian Mathematical Journal*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 201–212, 1998.

- [2] F. Jian, J. Shen, and Z. Ji, "Asymptotic behavior of impulsive neutral delay differential equations with positive and negative coefficients of euler form," *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2018, p. 83, 2018.
- [3] M. Peng, "Oscillation criteria for second-order impulsive delay difference equations," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 146, no. 1, pp. 227–235, 2003.
- [4] L. Erbe, A. Peterson, and S. H. Saker, "Oscillation criteria for second-order nonlinear dynamic equations on time scales," *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 67, no. 3, pp. 701–714, 2003.
- [5] S. Saker, "Oscillation of second-order forced nonlinear dynamic equations on time scales," *Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations*, vol. 23, no. 23, pp. 1–17, 2005.
- [6] S. H. Saker, "Oscillation criteria of second-order half-linear dynamic equations on time scales," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 177, no. 2, pp. 375–387, 2005.
- [7] Y. Sui and Z. Han, "Oscillation of second order neutral dynamic equations with deviating arguments on time scales," *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2018, p. 337, 2018.
- [8] B. G. Zhang and Z. Shanliang, "Oscillation of second-order nonlinear delay dynamic equations on time scales," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 49, no. 4, pp. 599–609, 2005.
- [9] Y. Zhou, A. Alsaedi, and B. Ahmad, "Oscillation and nonoscillation theorems of neutral dynamic equations on time scales," *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2019, p. 404, 2019.
- [10] R. P. Agarwal, M. Benchohra, D. O'Regan, and A. Ouahab, "Second order impulsive dynamic equations on time scales," *Journal of Dynamics and Differential Equations*, vol. 11, pp. 223–234, 2004.
- [11] X.-H. Deng, Q.-R. Wang, and R. P. Agarwal, "Oscillation and nonoscillation for second order neutral dynamic equations with positive and negative coefficients on time scales," *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2014, no. 1, p. 115, 2014.
- [12] X. Huang and X. Deng, "Properties of third-order nonlinear delay dynamic equations with positive and negative coefficients," *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2019, p. 292, 2019.
- [13] M. G. Huang and W. Z. Feng, "Oscillation of second-order nonlinear impulsive dynamic equations on time scales," *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 72, pp. 1–13, 2007.
- [14] M. G. Huang and W. Z. Feng, "Oscillation criteria for impulsive dynamic equations on time scales," *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 169, pp. 1–9, 2007.