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The polynomial $x^{n}+1$ over finite fields has been of interest due to its applications in the study of negacyclic codes over finite fields. In this paper, a rigorous treatment of the factorization of $x^{n}+1$ over finite fields is given as well as its applications. Explicit and recursive methods for factorizing $x^{n}+1$ over finite fields are provided together with the enumeration formula. As applications, some families of negacyclic codes are revisited with more clear and simpler forms.

## 1. Introduction

In coding theory, the polynomial $x^{n}+1$ over finite fields plays an important role in the study of negacyclic codes (see [1-5] and references therein). Precisely, a negacyclic code of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ can be uniquely determined by an ideal in the principal ring $\mathbb{F}_{q}[x] /\left\langle x^{n}+1\right\rangle$ generated by a monic divisor of $x^{n}+1$. A brief discussion on the factorization of $x^{n}+1$ over finite fields $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ has been given in $[3,4]$. In the case where the characteristic of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is even, the factorization of $x^{n}+1=x^{n}-1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ has been given and applied in the study of cyclic codes over finite fields in [6]. In [7, 8], an explicit form of the factorization of $x^{2^{i}}+1$ over finite fields of odd characteristic has been established. Some results on the factorization of $x^{n}-\lambda$ over finite fields have been presented in [5].

In this paper, we focus on the factorization of $x^{n}+1$ over finite fields $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ for arbitrary positive integers $n$ and all odd prime powers $q$. If the characteristic of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is $p$, we have $x^{p^{s} n}+$ $1=\left(x^{n}+1\right)^{p^{s}}$, for all integers $n \geq 1$ and $s \geq 0$. It is therefore sufficient to study the factorization of $x^{n}+1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ such that $n$ is coprime to $q$. Here, we write $n=2^{i} n^{\prime}$ for some integer $i \geq 0$ and odd positive integer $n^{\prime}$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(n^{\prime}, q\right)=1$.

Before proceeding to the general results, we consider a pattern on the factorization of $x^{2^{i} 11}+1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{5}$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{2 \cdot 11}+1= & f_{1}(x) f_{2}(x) f_{3}(x) f_{4}(x) f_{5}(x) f_{6}(x) \\
x^{2^{2} \cdot 11}+1= & f_{1}\left(x^{2}\right) f_{2}\left(x^{2}\right) f_{3}\left(x^{2}\right) f_{4}\left(x^{2}\right) f_{5}\left(x^{2}\right) f_{6}\left(x^{2}\right) \\
& \vdots \\
x^{2^{i} \cdot 11}+1= & f_{1}\left(x^{2^{i-1}}\right) f_{2}\left(x^{2^{i-1}}\right) f_{3}\left(x^{2^{i-1}}\right) f_{4}\left(x^{2^{i-1}}\right) \\
& \cdot f_{5}\left(x^{2^{i-1}}\right) f_{6}\left(x^{2^{i-1}}\right) \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $i \geq 1$, where $f_{1}(x)=x+2, \quad f_{2}(x)=x+3$, $f_{3}(x)=x^{5}+x^{4}+x^{3}+2 x^{2}+x+2, f_{4}(x)=x^{5}+2 x^{4}+x^{3}+$ $2 x^{2}+3 x+2, \quad f_{5}(x)=x^{5}+3 x^{4}+x^{3}+3 x^{2}+3 x+3, \quad$ and $f_{6}(x)=x^{5}+4 x^{4}+x^{3}+3 x^{2}+x+3$. It is easily seen that the factorization can be determined recursively on the exponent $i$ of 2 and the number of monic irreducible factors of $x^{2^{i} 11}+$ 1 is a constant independent of $i \geq 2$.

In this paper, a complete study on the above pattern of the factorization of $x^{2^{i} n^{\prime}}+1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is given. Precisely, we prove that there exists a positive integer $k$ such that the number of monic irreducible factors of $x^{2^{i} n^{\prime}}+1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$
becomes a constant for all positive integers $i \geq k$. In the cases where ord ${ }_{n}{ }^{\prime}(q)$ is odd, a complete recursive factorization of $x^{2^{i} n^{\prime}}+1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is provided together with a recursive formula for the number of its monic irreducible factors for all positive integers $i$. In the cases where $\operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)$ is even, a recursive factorization of $x^{2^{i} n^{\prime}}+1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is given for all positive integers $i \geq k$. As applications, constructions and enumerations of some negacyclic codes of lengths $2^{i} n^{\prime}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ are given based on the above results.

The paper is organized as follows. Preliminary concepts and results on the factorization of $x^{n}+1$ over finite fields are recalled in Section 2. In Section 3, the number theoretical results and properties of $q$-cyclotomic cosets required in the study of the factorization of $x^{2^{i} n^{\prime}}+1$ are established. Recursive methods for factorizing $x^{2^{i} n^{\prime}}+1$ and enumerating its monic irreducible factors are given in Section 4. Applications in the study of negacyclic codes over finite fields are revisited in Section 5.

## 2. Preliminary

In this section, basic concepts and tools used in the study of the factorization of $x^{n}+1$ over finite fields and the enumeration of its monic irreducible factors are recalled.

For a positive integer $a$ and an integer $s$, the notation $2^{s} \| a$ is used whenever $s$ is the largest integer such that $a$ is divisible by $2^{s}$, or equivalently, $2^{s} \mid a$ but $2^{s+1}+a$. For an integer $a$ and a positive integer $n$, denote by $\Theta_{n}(a)$ the additive order of $a$ modulo $n$. In the case where $\operatorname{gcd}(a, n)=1$, denote by $\operatorname{ord}_{n}(a)$ the multiplicative order of $a$ modulo $n$. By abuse of notation, we write $\operatorname{ord}_{1}(a)=1$.

For a prime power $q$, a positive integer $n$ coprime to $q$, and an integer $0 \leq a<n$, the $q$-cyclotomic coset modulo $n$ containing $a$ is defined to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
C l_{q, n}(a)=\left\{a q^{j}(\bmod n) \mid j=0,1,2, \ldots\right\} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is not difficult to see that $C l_{q, n}(a)=\left\{a q^{j}(\bmod \right.$ $\left.n) \mid 0 \leq j<\operatorname{ord}_{\Theta_{n}(a)}(q)\right\}$ and $\left|C l_{q, n}(a)\right|=\operatorname{ord}_{\Theta_{n}(a)}(q)$. Moreover, $\Theta_{n}(a)=\Theta_{n}(j)$ for all $j \in C l_{q, n}(a)$. Let $S_{q}(n)$ denote a complete set of representatives of the $q$-cyclotomic cosets modulo $n$, and let $\alpha$ be a primitive $n$th root of unity in some extension field of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. It is well known (see [9]) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{n}-1=\prod_{a \in S_{q}(n)} f_{a}(x) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{a}(x)=\prod_{j \in C l_{q, n}(a)}\left(x-\alpha^{j}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the minimal polynomial of $\alpha^{a}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ referred as the irreducible polynomial induced by $C l_{q, n}(a)$.

In [10], a basic idea for the factorization of $x^{2^{i} n^{\prime}}+1$ is given using (3) and the following lemmas.

Lemma 1 (see [10], Lemma 2). Let q be an odd prime power, and let $n^{\prime}$ be an odd positive integer such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(q, n^{\prime}\right)=1$.

Let $i \geq 0$ and $0 \leq a<2^{i+1} n^{\prime}$ be integers. Then, the elements in $C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a)$ have the same parity.

Lemma 2 (see [10], Lemma 3). Let q be an odd prime power, and let $n^{\prime}$ be an odd positive integer such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(q, n^{\prime}\right)=1$. Let $i \geq 0$ and $0 \leq a<2^{i+1} n^{\prime}$ be integers. Then, the polynomial $f_{a}(x)$ induced by $\mathrm{Cl}_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a)$ is a divisor of $x^{2^{i} n^{+}}+1$ if and only if a is odd.

From Lemma 1, the parity of a representative of $C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a)$ is independent of its choices. By Lemma 2, the monic irreducible divisors of $x^{2^{i} n^{\prime}}+1$ are induced by the $q$-cyclotomic cosets modulo $2^{i+1} n^{\prime}$ containing odd integers. Let $\mathrm{SO}_{q}(n)$ (resp., $\left.\mathrm{SE}_{q}(n)\right)$ denote a complete set of representatives of the $q$-cyclotomic cosets containing odd integers (resp., even integers) modulo $n$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2^{i} n^{\prime}}+1=\frac{x^{2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}-1}{x^{2^{i} n^{\prime}}-1}=\prod_{a \in \mathrm{SO}_{q}\left(2^{i+1} n^{\prime}\right)} f_{a}(x), \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i \geq 0$.
For a positive integer $n$ and a prime power $q$, let $N_{q}(n)$ denote the number of monic irreducible factors of $x^{q}+1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Based on ([3], equation 3.1), it can be deduced that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{q}\left(2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{d \mid n^{\prime}} \frac{\phi\left(2^{i+1} d\right)}{\operatorname{ord}_{2^{i+1} d}(q)} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

As discussed above, the $q$-cyclotomic cosets modulo $2^{i+1} n^{\prime}$ containing odd integers are key to determine the factorization of $x^{2^{i} n^{\prime}}+1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ and the enumeration of its monic irreducible factors. Properties of these cosets are studied in Section 3.

## 3. Number Theoretical Results and Cyclotomic Cosets

In this section, number theoretical results required in the factorization of $x^{i^{i} n^{\prime}}+1$ are derived. Subsequently, properties of $q$-cyclotomic cosets modulo $2^{i+1} n^{\prime}$ containing odd integers are established for all positive integers $i$ and odd positive integers $n^{\prime}$. These results are key in the study of the factorization of $x^{2^{i} n^{\prime}}+1$ in Section 4.

A relation on the carnality of the $q$-cyclotomic costs containing odd integers $a$ and $a+2^{i} n^{\prime}$ modulo $2^{i+1} n^{\prime}$ is given in the following lemma.

Lemma 3. Let $q$ be an odd prime power, and let $n^{\prime}$ be an odd positive integer such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(q, n^{\prime}\right)=1$. Then, $\left|C l_{q, 22^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a)\right|=$ $\left|C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}\left(a+2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)\right|$ for all odd integers $a$ and for all positive integers $i$.

Proof. Let $a$ be an odd integer, and let $i$ be a positive integer. Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Theta_{2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a) & =\frac{2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}{\operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{i+1} n^{\prime}, a\right)}=\frac{2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}{\operatorname{gcd}\left(n^{\prime}, a\right)}=\frac{2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}{\operatorname{gcd}\left(n^{\prime}, a+2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)}, \\
& =\frac{2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}{\operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{i+1} n^{\prime}, a+2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)}=\Theta_{2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}\left(a+2^{i} n^{\prime}\right) . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a)\right| & =\operatorname{ord}_{\Theta_{2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a)}(q)=\operatorname{ord}_{\Theta_{2^{i+1 n^{\prime}}}\left(a+2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)}(q)  \tag{8}\\
& =\left|C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}\left(a+2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)\right|
\end{align*}
$$

as desired.
Properties of $q$-cyclotomic cosets with $q \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ and $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ are given separately in the following sections.
3.1. $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. In this section, we focus on properties of $q$-cyclotomic cosets in the case where $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$.

First, an explicit formula for $\operatorname{ord}_{2^{i}}(q)$ is recalled for all odd prime powers $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ and positive integers $i$. This result can be derived from ([11], Proposition 1). For completeness, a detailed proof is given.

Lemma 4. Let $q$ be an odd prime power, and let $\beta$ be the positive integer such that $2^{\beta} \|\left(q^{2}-1\right)$. Let $i$ be a positive integer. If $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, then

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{2^{i}}(q)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } i=1  \tag{9}\\ 2, & \text { if } 2 \leq i \leq \beta \\ 2^{i-\beta+1}, & \text { if } i \geq \beta+1\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Assume that $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. Then, $2 \|(q-1)$ and $2^{i} \mid\left(q^{2}-1\right)$, for all $2 \leq i \leq \beta$. Since $q^{3}-1=(q-1)\left(q^{2}+q+1\right)$ and $q^{2}+q+1$ is odd, we have $2 \|\left(q^{3}-1\right)$. Hence, $\operatorname{ord}_{2}(q)=$ 1 and $\operatorname{ord}_{2^{i}}(q)=2$, for all $2 \leq i \leq \beta$.

Assume that $i \geq \beta+1$. Since $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, it follows that $q^{2^{j}} \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, for all $j \geq 1$. Hence, $2 \|\left(q^{2^{j}}+1\right)$, for all $j \geq 1$. Since $\quad\left(q^{2^{i-\beta}}-1\right)\left(q^{i^{i-\beta}}+1\right)=q^{i^{i-\beta+1}}-1=\left(q^{2}-1\right) \prod_{j=1}^{i-\beta}$ $\left(q^{2^{j}}+1\right)$, we have $2^{i} \|\left(q^{2^{\beta-i+1}}-1\right)$ and $2^{i}+\left(q^{2^{t}}-1\right)$, for all $t \leq \beta+i$. Hence, $\operatorname{ord}_{2^{i}}(q)=2^{i-\beta+1}$, for all $i \geq \beta+1$.

Properties of $q$-cyclotomic cosets modulo $2^{i+1} n^{\prime}$ containing odd integers are established in Proposition 1.

Proposition 1. Let $q$ be a prime power such that $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, and let $n^{\prime}$ be an odd positive integer such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(q, n^{\prime}\right)=1$. Let $\lambda \geq 0$ be the integer such that $2^{\lambda} \| \operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)$, and let $\beta$ be the positive integer such that $2^{\beta} \|\left(q^{2}-1\right)$. Then, the following statements hold:
(i) If $\lambda=0$, then the following statements hold:
(a) $C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a) \neq C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}\left(a+2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)$ all odd integers $a$ and integers $2 \leq i \leq \beta-1$
(b) $C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a)=C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}\left(a+2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)=C l_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}} \quad(a) \cup$ $\left(C_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}(a)+2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)$ for all odd integers $a$ and integers $i=1$ or $i \geq \beta$
(ii) If $\lambda>0$, then the following statements hold:
(a) $C l_{q, 2^{\lambda+\beta-1} n^{\prime}}(1) \neq C l_{q, 2^{\lambda+\beta-1} n^{\prime}}\left(1+2^{\lambda+\beta-2} n^{\prime}\right)$
(b) $C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a)=C l_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}(a) \cup\left(C l_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}(a)+2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)$ for all odd integers $a$ and integers $i \geq \lambda+\beta-1$

Proof. First, we observe that $\beta \geq 3,2 \|(q-1)$ and $2^{\beta-1} \|(q+1)$.

To prove (i), assume that $\lambda=0$. In this case, $\operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)$ is odd which implies that $\operatorname{ord}_{\Theta_{n^{\prime}}(a)}(q)$ is odd for all odd positive integers $a$.

To prove (a), let $a$ be an odd integer, and let $i$ be an integer such that $2 \leq i \leq \beta-1$. By Lemma 4, it follows that $\operatorname{ord}_{2^{i}}(q)=2=\operatorname{ord}_{2^{i+1}}(q)$. Since $\operatorname{ord}_{\Theta_{n^{\prime}}(a)}(q)$ is odd, it can be deduced that $\operatorname{ord}_{\Theta_{2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a)}(q)=\operatorname{ord}_{2^{i+1} \Theta_{n^{\prime}}(a)}(q)=$ $\operatorname{lcm}\left(\operatorname{ord}_{2^{i+1}}(q), \operatorname{ord}_{\Theta_{n^{\prime}}(a)}(q)\right)=\operatorname{lcm}\left(\operatorname{ord}_{2^{i}}(q), \operatorname{ord}_{\Theta_{n^{\prime}}(a)}(q)\right)=$ $\operatorname{ord}_{\Theta_{2 i^{i}}(a)}(q)$. Suppose that $C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a)=C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}\left(a+2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)$. Since $\quad a \neq a+2^{i} n^{\prime}\left(\bmod 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}\right)$, there exists $0<j<\operatorname{ord}_{\Theta_{2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a)}(q)$ such that $a+2^{i} n^{\prime} \equiv a q^{j}\left(\bmod 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}\right)$. Hence, we have $a \equiv a q^{j}\left(\bmod 2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)$ which implies that $\operatorname{ord}_{\Theta_{2 n^{i} n^{\prime}}(a)}(q) \leq j<\operatorname{ord}_{\Theta_{i^{i+1 n^{\prime}}}(a)}(q)=\operatorname{ord}_{\Theta_{2^{i n_{n}^{\prime}}}(a)}(q)$, a contradiction. Therefore, $C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a) \neq C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}\left(a+2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)$, as desired.

To prove (b), let $a$ be an odd integer, and let $i$ be an integer such that $i=1$ or $i \geq \beta$. By Lemma 4, we have $\operatorname{ord}_{2^{i+1}}(q)=2 \operatorname{ord}_{2^{i}}(q)$. Since $\operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)$ is odd, we have $\operatorname{ord}_{2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(q)=l c m\left(\operatorname{ord}_{2^{i+1}}(q), \operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)\right)=l c m\left(2 \operatorname{ord}_{2^{i}}\right.$ $\left.(q), \operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)\right)=2 \operatorname{ord}_{2^{i} n^{\prime}}(q) \quad$ which implies that $a q^{\operatorname{ord}_{2^{i} n^{\prime}}(q)} \not \equiv \equiv a\left(\bmod 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}\right)$. Since $a q^{\operatorname{ord}_{2^{i n}}}(q) \equiv a\left(\bmod 2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)$, we have $a q^{\operatorname{ord}_{2^{i} n^{\prime}}(q)} \equiv a+2^{i} n^{\prime}\left(\bmod 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}\right)$. Hence, $a+2^{i} n^{\prime} \in C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a)$ which implies that $C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a)=$ $C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}\left(a+2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)$. This proves the first equality.

For the second equality, let $b \in C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a)$. Then, $b \equiv a q^{j}\left(\bmod 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}\right)$ for some $0 \leq j<\operatorname{ord}_{\Theta_{i^{i+n^{\prime}}}(a)}(q)$. It follows that $b \equiv a q^{j}\left(\bmod 2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)$. If $b<2^{i} n^{\prime}$, the ${ }^{2 i+1} n^{\prime} b \in C l l_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}(a)$. Otherwise, $b-2^{i} n^{\prime} \in C l_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}(a)$ which implies that $b \in C l_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}(a)+2^{i} n^{\prime}$. Hence, $\quad C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a) \subseteq C l_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}(a) \cup$ $\left(C l_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}(a)+2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)$. Since $C l_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}(a)$ and $C l_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}(a)+2 n^{\prime}$ are disjoint sets of the same size $\operatorname{ord}_{\Theta_{2 i i^{\prime}}(a)}(q)$, we have $\left|C l_{q, 2^{i n^{\prime}}(a)}\right|=\operatorname{ord}_{\Theta_{2^{i+1 n_{n}^{\prime}}}(a)}(q)=2 \operatorname{ord}_{\Theta_{2^{i n^{\prime}}}(a)}(q)=$ $\left|C l_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}(a) \cup\left(C l_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}(a)+2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)\right|$. Therefore, $C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a)=$ $C l_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}(a) \cup\left(C l_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}(a)+2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)$ as desired.

To prove (ii), assume that $\lambda>0$. For (a), suppose that $1 \in C l_{q, 2^{\lambda+\beta-1} n^{\prime}}\left(1+2^{\lambda+\beta-2} n^{\prime}\right)$. If $\lambda=1$, then $\lambda+\beta-1=\beta$, we have $\operatorname{ord}_{2^{1+\beta-1}}(q)=2=\operatorname{ord}_{2^{\lambda+\beta-2}}(q)$ by Lemma 4. Since $2 \| \operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)$, we have $\left(\operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q) / 2\right)$ is odd and it follows that $\quad \operatorname{ord}_{2^{\lambda+\beta-1} n^{\prime}}(q)=l c m\left(\operatorname{ord}_{2^{\lambda+\beta-1}}(q), \operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)\right)=1 \mathrm{~cm}$ $\left(\operatorname{ord}_{2^{1+\beta-2}}(q), \operatorname{ord}_{\Theta_{n^{\prime}}}(a)(q)\right)=\operatorname{ord}_{\Theta_{2^{2+\beta-2 n^{\prime}}}}(q)$. Assume
that $\lambda \geq 2$. Since $\lambda+\beta-1 \geq \beta+1$, we have $\operatorname{ord}_{2^{\lambda+\beta-1}}(q)=2^{\lambda}$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{2^{\lambda+\beta-2}}(q)=2^{\lambda-1}$ by Lemma 4. Since $2^{\lambda} \| \operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)$, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{ord}_{2^{\lambda+\beta-1} n^{\prime}}(q) & =\operatorname{lcm}\left(\operatorname{ord}_{2^{\lambda+\beta-1}}(q), \operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{lcm}\left(2^{\lambda}, \operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{lcm}\left(2^{\lambda-1}, \operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{lcm}\left(\operatorname{ord}_{2^{\lambda+\beta-2}}(q), \operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)\right)=\operatorname{ord}_{2^{\lambda+\beta-2} n^{\prime}}(q) . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $2^{\lambda} \| \operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q), \quad\left(\operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q) / 2^{\lambda}\right)$ is odd. Hence, $\operatorname{ord}_{2^{\lambda+\beta-1} n^{\prime}}(q)=\operatorname{lcm}\left(\operatorname{ord}_{2^{\lambda+\beta-1}}(q), \operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)\right)=1 \mathrm{~cm}\left(\operatorname{ord}_{2^{\lambda+\beta-2}}\right.$ $\left.(q), \operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)\right)=\operatorname{ord}_{2^{\lambda+\beta-2} n^{\prime}}(q)$. Since $1+2^{\lambda+\beta-2} n^{\prime} \neq 1$ $\left(\bmod 2^{\lambda+\beta-1} n^{\prime}\right)$, we have $1+2^{\lambda+\beta-2} n^{\prime} \equiv q^{j}\left(\bmod 2^{\lambda+\beta-1} n^{\prime}\right)$ for some $0<j<\operatorname{ord}_{\Theta_{2+\beta+\beta^{\prime}}(1)}(q)=\operatorname{ord}_{2^{\lambda+\beta-1} n^{\prime}}(q)$. It follows that $1 \equiv q^{j}\left(\bmod 2^{2 x+\beta+\beta^{1}-2} n^{\prime}\right) \quad$ which implies that $\operatorname{ord}_{2^{\lambda+\beta-2} n^{\prime}}(q) \leq j<\operatorname{ord}_{2^{\lambda+\beta-1} n^{\prime}}(q)=\operatorname{ord}_{2^{\lambda+\beta-2} n^{\prime}}(q)$, a contradiction. Therefore, $C l_{q, 2^{2+\beta-1} n^{\prime}}(1) \neq C l_{q, 2^{\lambda+\beta-1} n^{\prime}}\left(1+2^{\lambda+\beta-2} n^{\prime}\right)$, as desired.

To prove (b), let $a$ be an odd integer, and let $i$ be an integer such that $i \geq \lambda+\beta-1$. Then, $i \geq \beta$ which implies that $\operatorname{ord}_{2^{i+1}}(q)=2 \operatorname{ord}_{2^{i}}(q)$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{2^{i}}(q)=2^{i-\beta+1} \geq 2^{\lambda}$ by Lemma 4. Since $2^{\lambda} \| \operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q),\left(\operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q) / 2^{\lambda}\right)$ is odd and

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{ord}_{2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(q) & =\operatorname{lcm}\left(\operatorname{ord}_{2^{i+1}}(q), \operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{lcm}\left(2 \operatorname{ord}_{2^{i}}(q), \operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{lcm}\left(2 \operatorname{ord}_{2^{i}}(q), \frac{\operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)}{2^{\lambda}}\right) \\
& =2 \operatorname{lcm}\left(\operatorname{ord}_{2^{i}}(q), \frac{\operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)}{2^{\lambda}}\right) \\
& =2 \operatorname{lcm}\left(\operatorname{ord}_{2^{i}}(q), \operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)\right)=2 \operatorname{ord}_{2^{i^{\prime}}}(q) \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that $a q^{\operatorname{ord}_{2^{i} n^{\prime}}(q)} \neq a\left(\bmod 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}\right)$. Since $a q^{\operatorname{ord}_{i^{i} n^{\prime}}(q)} \equiv a\left(\bmod 2^{i} n^{\prime}\right), \quad$ we have $a q^{\operatorname{ord}_{2 i^{\prime}}{ }^{\prime}(q)} \equiv a+$ $2^{i} n^{\prime}\left(\bmod 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}\right)$. Hence, $a+2^{i} n^{\prime} \in C l_{q_{2} 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a)$ which implies that $C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a)=C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}\left(a+2 n^{\prime}\right)$. The first equality holds.

For the second equality, let $b \in C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a)$. Then, $b \equiv a q^{j}\left(\bmod 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}\right)$ for some $0 \leq j<\operatorname{ord}_{\Theta^{2+1 n^{\prime}} b^{\prime}(a)}(q)$. It follows that $b \equiv a q^{j}\left(\bmod 2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)$. If $b<2^{i} n^{\prime}$, then ${ }^{2+1} n \in C l_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}(a)$. Otherwise, $\quad b-2^{i} n^{\prime} \in C l_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}(a)$ which implies that $b \in C l_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}(a)+2^{i} n^{\prime}$. Hence, $C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a) \subseteq C l_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}(a) \cup$ $\left(C l_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}(a)+2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)$. Since $C l_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}(a)$ and $C l_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}(a)+2^{i} n^{\prime}$ are disjoint sets of the same size $\operatorname{ord}_{\Theta_{2^{i} n^{\prime}}(a)}(q)$, we have $\left|C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a)\right|=\operatorname{ord}_{\Theta_{2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a)}(q)=2 \operatorname{ord}_{\Theta_{2 i^{\prime}}(a)}(q)=\mid C l_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}$
(a) $\cup\left(C l_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}(a)+2^{2^{i+} n^{\prime}} n^{\prime}\right) \mid$. Therefore, ${ }^{2^{i}} C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a)=C l_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}$ (a) $\cup\left(C l_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}(a)+2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)$ as desired.
3.2. $q \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. Here, we investigate properties of $q$-cyclotomic cosets in the case where $q \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. We begin with an explicit formula for $\operatorname{ord}_{2^{i}}(q)$ which can be derived from ([11], Proposition 1). For completeness, a rigorous proof is provided.

Lemma 5. Let $q$ be an odd prime power, and let $\beta$ be the positive integer such that $2^{\beta} \|\left(q^{2}-1\right)$. Let $i$ be a positive integer. If $q \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, then

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{2^{i}}(q)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } 1 \leq i \leq \beta-1  \tag{12}\\ 2^{i-\beta+1}, & \text { if } i \geq \beta\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Assume that $q \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. Then, $2^{\beta-1} \|(q-1)$ which implies that $\operatorname{ord}_{2^{i}}(q)=1$, for all $1 \leq i \leq \beta-1$. Next, assume that $i \geq \beta$. Since $q \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, it follows that $q^{2^{j}} \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ for all $j \geq 0$. Hence, $2 \|\left(q^{2^{j}}+1\right)$ for all $j \geq 0$. Since $\quad\left(q^{2^{i-\beta}}-1\right)\left(q^{2^{i-\beta}}+1\right)=q^{2^{i-\beta+1}}-1=(q-1) \prod_{j=0}^{i-\beta}\left(q^{2^{j}}+\right.$ $1)$, it can be concluded that $2^{i} \|\left(q^{2^{\beta-i+1}}-1\right)$ and $2^{i} \nmid\left(q^{2^{t}}-1\right)$, for all $t \leq \beta+i$. As desired, we have $\operatorname{ord}_{2^{i}}(q)=2^{i-\beta+1}$ for all $i \geq \beta$.

Proposition 2. Let $q$ be a prime power such that $q \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, and let $n^{\prime}$ be an odd positive integer such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(q, n^{\prime}\right)=1$. Let $\lambda \geq 0$ be the integer such that $2^{\lambda} \| \operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)$, and let $\beta$ be the positive integer such that $2^{\beta} \|\left(q^{2}-1\right)$. Then, the following statements hold:
(i) If $\lambda=0$, then
(a) $C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a) \neq C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}\left(a+2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)$ for all odd integers $a$ and integers $1 \leq i \leq \beta-2$
(b) $C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a)=C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}\left(a+2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)=C l_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}(a) \cup$ $\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}(a)+2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)$ for all odd integers $a$ and integers $i \geq \beta-1$
(ii) If $\lambda>0$, then
(a) $C l_{q, 2^{\lambda+\beta-1} n^{\prime}}(1) \neq C l_{q, 2^{2 i+\beta-1} n^{\prime}}\left(1+2^{\lambda+\beta-2} n^{\prime}\right)$
(b) $C l_{q, 2{ }^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a)=C l l_{q, i{ }^{2+1} n^{\prime}}\left(a+2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)=C l l_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}(a) \cup$ $\left(C l_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}(a)+2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)$ for odd integers a and integers $i \geq \lambda+\beta-1$

Proof. First, we observe that $\beta \geq 3,2 \|(q+1)$ and $2^{\beta-1} \|(q-1)$. Using Lemma 5 and arguments similar to those in the proof of Proposition 1, the following key results can be deduced:
(1) If $\lambda=0$, then $\operatorname{ord}_{\Theta_{2^{i+1 \eta^{\prime}}}(a)}(q)=\operatorname{ord}_{\Theta_{i^{i n_{i}^{\prime}}}(a)}(q)$, for all odd integers $a$ and integers $1 \leq^{2^{n}} i \leq \beta-2$, and $\operatorname{ord}_{2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(q)=2 \operatorname{ord}_{2^{i n^{\prime}}}(q)$, for all integers $i \geq \beta-1$.
(2) If $\lambda>0$, then $\operatorname{ord}_{2^{\lambda+\beta-1} n^{\prime}}(q)=\operatorname{ord}_{2^{\lambda+\beta-2} n^{\prime}}(q)$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(q)=2 \operatorname{ord}_{2^{i n^{\prime}}}(q)$, for all integers $i \geq \lambda+\beta-1$.

The complete proof can be obtained using arguments similar to those in Proposition 1, while the above discussion and Lemma 5 is applied instead of Lemma 4.

## 4. Factorization of $x^{n}+1$ over Finite Fields

In this section, the factorization of $x^{2^{i} n^{\prime}}+1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is established. First, we prove that there exists a positive integer $k$ such that the number of monic irreducible factors of $x^{2^{i} n^{g}}+$ 1 over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ becomes a constant for all integers $i \geq k$. In the case where $\operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)$ is odd, a complete recursive factorization of $x^{2^{i} n^{\prime}}+1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is given together with a recursive formula for the number of its monic irreducible factors for all positive integers $i$ in Section 4.1. In the case where $\operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)$ is even, a recursive factorization of $x^{2^{i} n^{\prime}}+1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is given as well as a recursive formula for the number of its monic irreducible factors for all integers $i \geq k$ in Section 4.2.
4.1. Recursive Factorization of $x^{n}+1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with Odd $\operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)$. In this section, we establish a complete recursive factorization of $x^{2^{2} n^{\prime}}+1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ in the case where $\operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)$ is odd. Subsequently, a formula for the number of monic irreducible factors of $x^{2^{i} n^{\prime}}+1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is given recursively on $i$.
4.1.1. $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. We begin with useful relations between $q$-cyclotomic cosets and their induced polynomials for the case $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$.

Lemma 6. Let $q$ be a prime power such that $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, and let $n^{\prime}$ be an odd positive integer such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(q, n^{\prime}\right)=1$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)$ is odd. Let $\beta$ be the positive integer such that $2^{\beta} \|\left(q^{2}-1\right)$. Let $i$ be a positive integer, and let $a$ be an odd integer. Then, one of the following statements holds:
(i) $\mathrm{Cl}_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a)$ and $\mathrm{Cl}_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}\left(a+2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)$ induce distinct monic irreducible polynomials of degree $\left|C l_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}(a)\right|$, for all $2 \leq i \leq \beta-1$.
(ii) For each $i=1$ or $i \geq \beta$, if $f(x)$ is induced by $C l_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}(a)$, then $C l_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}(a)$ induces $f\left(x^{2}\right)$.

Proof. To prove (i), assume that $2 \leq i \leq \beta-1$. By Proposition 1 ((a) in (i)), we have $C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a) \neq C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}\left(a+2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)$. From Lemma 3, it follows that $\left|C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a)\right|=\left|C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}\left(a+2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)\right|$ which equals to $\left|C l_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}(a)\right|$ by the proof of Proposition 1 ((a) in (i)). Hence, $C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a)$ and $C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}\left(a+2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)$ induce distinct monic irreducible polynomials of degree $\left|C l_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}(a)\right|$.

To prove (ii), assume that $i=1$ or $i \geq \beta$. Assume that $f(x)$ is induced by $C l_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}(a)$. Let $\alpha$ be a $2^{i+1} n^{\prime}$ th root of unity. Then, $\alpha^{2}$ is a $2^{i} n^{\prime}$ th root of unity and $f(x)=\prod_{j \in C l_{q, 2^{i n^{\prime}}}(a)}\left(x-\left(\alpha^{2}\right)^{j}\right)$. From Proposition 1 ((b) in (i)), we have $C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a)=C l_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}(a) \cup\left(C l_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}(a)+2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)$. It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\prod_{j \in C I_{q, i^{i n} n^{\prime}}(a)}\left(x-\alpha^{j}\right) \times \prod_{j \in\left\{C_{q, i i^{\prime}}{ }^{\prime}(a)+2^{i n^{\prime}}\right\}}\left(x-\alpha^{j}\right) \\
& =\prod_{j \in C l_{q, i i^{\prime}}(a)}\left(x-\alpha^{j}\right)\left(x-\alpha^{j+2^{i} n^{\prime}}\right)  \tag{13}\\
& =\prod_{j \in C l_{q, i^{\prime} n^{\prime}}(a)}\left(x-\alpha^{j}\right)\left(x+\alpha^{j}\right) \\
& =\prod_{j \in C l_{q, 2_{n}^{2} n^{\prime}}(a)}\left(x-\alpha^{2 j}\right) \\
& =f\left(x^{2}\right) \text {. }
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, $C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a)$ induces $f\left(x^{2}\right)$ as desired.
The next corollary can be deduced directly from the above lemma.

Corollary 1. Assume the notations as in Lemma 6 with $i \geq \beta$. If $f(x)$ is induced by $\mathrm{Cl}_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}(a)$, then $f\left(x^{j}\right)$ is irreducible for all $j \geq \beta-i$.

In order to simplify the notations in the following theorem, let $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ be $2^{i} n^{\prime}$ th and $2^{i+1} n^{\prime}$ th roots of unity, respectively. For each $a \in \mathrm{SO}_{q}\left(2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)$, let

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{a}(x)=\prod_{j \in C l_{q, 22^{i} n^{\prime}}(a)}\left(x-\alpha^{j}\right) \text { and }  \tag{14}\\
& g_{j}(x)=\prod_{j \in C l}^{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a)
\end{align*}
$$

be the irreducible polynomials induced by $\mathrm{Cl}_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}(a)$ and $C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a)$, respectively. Using these notations, a recursive factorization of $x^{2^{i} n^{\prime}}+1$ is given as follows.

Theorem 1. Let $q$ be a prime power such that $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, and let $n$ ' be an odd positive integer such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(q, n^{\prime}\right)=1$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)$ is odd. Let $\beta$ be the positive integer such that $2^{\beta} \|\left(q^{2}-1\right)$. Then, the following statements hold:
(i) If $i=0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2^{i} n^{\prime}}+1=x^{n^{\prime}}+1=\prod_{a \in \mathrm{SO}_{q}\left(2 n^{\prime}\right)} f_{a}(x) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) If $i \geq 1$, then

$$
x^{2^{i} n^{\prime}}+1= \begin{cases}\prod_{a \in \mathrm{SO}_{q}\left(2^{2} n^{\prime}\right)} f_{a}\left(x^{2}\right), & \text { if } i=1 \text { or } i \geq \beta  \tag{16}\\ \prod_{a \in \mathrm{SO}_{q}\left(2^{2} n^{\prime}\right)} g_{a}(x) g_{a+2^{i} i^{\prime}}(x), & \text { if } 2 \leq i \leq \beta-1,\end{cases}
$$

where $f_{a}(x)$ and $g_{a}(x)$ are given in (14).
In this case, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2^{\beta-1+i} n^{\prime}}+1=\prod_{a \in \mathrm{SO}_{q}\left(2^{\beta} n^{\prime}\right)} f_{a}\left(x^{2^{i}}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i \geq 0$.

Proof. From (5), we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{i^{i} n^{\prime}}+1=\prod_{a \in \mathrm{SO}_{q}\left(2^{i+1} n^{\prime}\right)} f_{a}(x) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first statement is the special case where $i=0$. From Proposition 1 (i), it can be deduced that

$$
\mathrm{SO}_{q}\left(2^{i+1} n^{\prime}\right)= \begin{cases}\mathrm{SO}_{q}\left(2^{i} n^{\prime}\right), & \text { if } i=1 \text { or } i \geq \beta,  \tag{19}\\ \mathrm{SO}_{q}\left(2^{i} n^{\prime}\right) \cup\left(\mathrm{SO}_{q}\left(2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)+2^{i} n^{\prime}\right), & \text { if } 2 \leq i \leq \beta-1,\end{cases}
$$

where the union is disjoint. The results therefore follow from Lemma 6.

A recursive formula for the number of monic irreducible factors of $x^{2^{i} n^{\prime}}+1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ follows immediately from the theorem.

Corollary 2. Let q be a prime power such that $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, and let $n$ be an odd positive integer such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(q, n^{\prime}\right)=1$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)$ is odd. Let $i \geq 0$ be an integer, and let $\beta$ be the positive integer such that $2^{\beta} \|\left(q^{2}-1\right)$. Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{q}\left(n^{\prime}\right) & =\sum_{d \mid n^{\prime}} \frac{\phi(2 d)}{\operatorname{ord}_{2 d}(q)},  \tag{20}\\
N_{q}\left(2^{i} n^{\prime}\right) & = \begin{cases}N_{q}\left(n^{\prime}\right) & \text { if } i=1, \\
2 N_{q}\left(2^{i-1} n^{\prime}\right)=2^{i-1} N_{q}\left(n^{\prime}\right) & \text { if } 2 \leq i \leq \beta-1, \\
N_{q}\left(2^{\beta-2} n^{\prime}\right)=2^{\beta-2} N_{q}\left(n^{\prime}\right) & \text { if } i \geq \beta .\end{cases} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Equation (20) is a special case of (6). Equation (21) follows immediately from Theorem 1.
4.1.2. $q \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. Here, we focus on $q \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. First, some useful relations between the $q$-cyclotomic coset $C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a)$ and its induced polynomial are established.

Lemma 7. Let $q$ be a prime power such that $q \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, and let $n '$ be an odd positive integer such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(q, n^{\prime}\right)=1$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)$ is odd. Let $\beta$ be the positive integer such that $2^{\beta} \|\left(q^{2}-1\right)$. Let $i$ be a positive integer, and let a be an odd integer. Then, one of the following statements holds:
(i) $C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a)$ and $C l_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}\left(a+2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)$ induce distinct monic irreducible polynomials of the same degree for all $1 \leq i \leq \beta-2$
(ii) For each $i \geq \beta-1$, if $f(x)$ is induced by $\mathrm{Cl}_{q, 2^{i^{\prime}}}(a)$, then $\mathrm{Cl}_{q, 2^{i+1} n^{\prime}}(a)$ induces $f\left(x^{2}\right)$

Proof. The proof can be obtained using arguments similar to those in the proof of Lemma 6, while Proposition 2 (i) is applied instead of Proposition 1 (i).

Corollary 3. Assume the notations as in Lemma 7 with $i \geq \beta-1$. If $f(x)$ is induced by $C l_{q, 2^{i} n^{\prime}}(a)$, then $f\left(x^{j^{j}}\right)$ is irreducible for all $j \geq \beta-i-1$.

The factorization of $x^{2^{i} n^{\prime}}+1$ is given in the following theorem.

Theorem 2. Let q be a prime power such that $q \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, and let $n^{\prime}$ be an odd positive integer such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(q, n^{\prime}\right)=1$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)$ is odd. Let $\beta$ be the positive integer such that $2^{\beta} \|\left(q^{2}-1\right)$. Then, the following statements hold:
(i) If $i=0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2^{2} n^{\prime}}+1=x^{n^{\prime}}+1=\prod_{a \in \operatorname{SO}_{q}\left(2 n^{\prime}\right)} f_{a}(x) . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) If $i \geq 1$, then

$$
x^{2^{i} n^{\prime}}+1= \begin{cases}\prod_{a \in \mathrm{SO}_{q}\left(2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)} g_{a}(x) g_{a+2^{i} n^{\prime}}(x), & \text { if } 1 \leq i \leq \beta-2,  \tag{23}\\ \prod_{a \in \mathrm{SO}_{q}\left(2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)} f_{a}\left(x^{2}\right), & \text { if } i \geq \beta-1,\end{cases}
$$

where $f_{a}(x)$ and $g_{a}(x)$ are given in (14).
In this case, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2^{\beta-2+i} n^{\prime}}+1=\prod_{a \in \mathrm{SO}_{q}\left(2^{\beta-1} n^{\prime}\right)} f_{a}\left(x^{2^{i}}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i \geq 0$.
Proof. The proof can be obtained using arguments similar to those in the proof of Theorem 1, while Proposition 2 (i) and Lemma 7 are applied instead of Proposition 1 (i) and Lemma 6.

From the theorem, the enumeration of monic irreducible factors of $x^{2^{i} n^{\prime}}-1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ can be concluded in the following corollary.

Corollary 4. Let q be a prime power such that $q \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, and let $n^{\prime}$ be an odd positive integer such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(q, n^{\prime}\right)=1$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)$ is odd. Let $i \geq 0$ be an integer, and let $\beta$ be the positive integer such that $2^{\beta} \|\left(q^{2}-1\right)$. Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{q}\left(n^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{d \mid n^{\prime}} \frac{\phi(2 d)}{\operatorname{ord}_{2 d}(q)},  \tag{25}\\
& N_{q}\left(2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)= \begin{cases}2 N_{q}\left(2^{i-1} n^{\prime}\right)=2^{i} N_{q}\left(n^{\prime}\right), & \text { if } 1 \leq i \leq \beta-2, \\
N_{q}\left(2^{\beta-2} n^{\prime}\right)=2^{\beta-2} N_{q}\left(n^{\prime}\right), & \text { if } i \geq \beta-1 .\end{cases} \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Equation (25) is given in (6). Equation (26) follows immediately from Theorem 2.
4.2. Factorization of $x^{n}+1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with Even ord $_{n^{\prime}}(q)$. In this section, we focus on the case where $\operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)$ is even, i.e., $2^{\lambda} \| \operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)$ for some positive integer $\lambda$. The results are not
strong as the previous section. Precisely, a recursive factorization of $x^{2^{2} n^{\prime}}+1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is given only for all sufficiently large positive integers $i$.

In general, the factorization of $x^{i^{i} n^{\prime}}+1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is given in (3). For $i \geq \lambda+\beta-1$, a simpler recursive method for the factorization is given in the following theorem.

Theorem 3. Let $q$ be an odd prime power, and let $n^{\prime}$ be an odd positive integer such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(q, n^{\prime}\right)=1$. Let $\lambda$ be the positive integer such that $2^{\lambda} \| \operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)$, and let $\beta$ be the positive integer such that $2^{\beta} \|\left(q^{2}-1\right)$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2^{\lambda+\beta-1+j} n^{\prime}}+1=\prod_{a \in \operatorname{SO}_{q}\left(2^{\lambda+\beta} n^{\prime}\right)} f_{a}\left(x^{2^{j}}\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $j \geq 0$.

Proof. The proof can be obtained using arguments similar to those in the proof of Theorem 1, while Proposition 2 (ii) and Proposition 1 (ii) are applied instead of Proposition 2 (i) and Proposition 1 (i).

## Corollary 5 follows immediately.

Corollary 5. Let $q$ be an odd prime power, and let $n^{\prime}$ be an odd positive integer such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(q, n^{\prime}\right)=1$. Let $\lambda$ be the positive integer such that $2^{\lambda} \| \operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)$, and let $\beta$ be the positive integer such that $2^{\beta} \|\left(q^{2}-1\right)$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{q}\left(2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)=N_{q}\left(2^{\lambda+\beta-2} n^{\prime}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i \geq \lambda+\beta-1$.
4.3. Algorithm and Examples. In this section, the above results are summarized as an algorithm for factorizing $x^{i^{i} n^{\prime}}+$ 1 over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Some illustrative examples are given as well. An algorithm for the factorization of $x^{2^{i} n^{\prime}}+1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is given in Algorithm 1.

Note that $f_{a}(x)$ and $g_{a}(x)$ are given in (14).
For the enumeration of monic irreducible factors of $x^{2^{i} n^{\prime}}+1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, it can be calculated using (6). With more information on $n^{\prime}, i$, and $q$, the formula can be simplified using Corollaries 2,4 , and 5 of the form

$$
N_{q}\left(2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)= \begin{cases}2^{i} N_{q}\left(n^{\prime}\right), & \text { if } \lambda=0,1 \leq i \leq \beta-2 \operatorname{and} q \equiv 1(\bmod 4),  \tag{29}\\ 2^{\beta-2} N_{q}\left(n^{\prime}\right), & \text { if } \lambda=0, i \geq \beta-1 \operatorname{and} q \equiv 1(\bmod 4), \\ N_{q}\left(n^{\prime}\right), & \text { if } \lambda=0, i=1 \text { and } q \equiv 3(\bmod 4), \\ 2^{i-1} N_{q}\left(n^{\prime}\right), & \text { if } \lambda=0,2 \leq i \leq \beta-1 \operatorname{and} q \equiv 3(\bmod 4), \\ 2^{\beta-2} N_{q}\left(n^{\prime}\right), & \text { if } \lambda=0, i \geq \beta \text { and } q \equiv 3(\bmod 4), \\ N_{q}\left(2^{q+\beta-2} n^{\prime}\right), & \text { if } \lambda \geq 1 \text { and } i \geq \lambda+\beta-1,\end{cases}
$$

Input: odd prime power $q$, odd integer $n^{\prime}$ with $\operatorname{gcd}\left(q, n^{\prime}\right)=1$, and integer $i \geq 0$.
(1) Compute the positive integer $\beta$ such that $2^{\beta} \|\left(q^{2}-1\right)$.
(2) Compute $\operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)$ and the integer $\lambda$ such that $2^{\lambda} \| \operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)$.
(3) Consider the following cases:
(I) $\lambda=0$.
(i) $q \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$.
(a) $i=0$. Compute $x^{2^{i} n^{\prime}}+1=x^{n^{\prime}}+1=\prod_{a \in \mathrm{SO}_{q}\left(2 n^{\prime}\right)} f_{a}(x)$.
(b) $1 \leq i \leq \beta-2$. Compute $x^{2^{i} n^{\prime}}+1=\prod_{a \in \mathrm{SO}_{q}\left(2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)} g_{a}(x) g_{a+2^{i} n^{\prime}}(x)$, and $\mathrm{SO}_{q}\left(2^{i+1} n^{\prime}\right) \stackrel{q}{=} \mathrm{SO}_{q}\left(2^{i} n^{\prime}\right) \cup\left(\mathrm{SO}_{q}\left(2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)+2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)$.
(c) $i \geq \beta-1$. Compute
$x^{2^{i} n^{\prime}}+1=\prod_{a \in \mathrm{SO}_{q}\left(22^{\beta-1 n^{\prime}}\right)} f_{a}\left(x^{2^{i-\beta+2}}\right)$.
(ii) $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$.
(a) $0 \leq i \leq 1$. Compute $x^{i^{i} n^{\prime}}+1=\prod_{a \in S O_{q}\left(2 n^{\prime}\right)} f_{a}\left(x^{i}\right)$.
(b) $2 \leq i \leq \beta-1$. Compute $x^{2^{i} n^{\prime}}+1=\prod_{a \in \mathrm{SO}_{q}\left(2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)} g_{a}(x) g_{a+2^{i} n^{\prime}}(x)$, and $\mathrm{SO}_{q}\left(2^{i+1} n^{\prime}\right) \stackrel{q}{=} \mathrm{SO}_{q}\left(2^{i} n^{\prime}\right) \cup\left(\mathrm{SO}_{q}\left(2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)+2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)$.
(c) $i \geq \beta$. Compute
$x^{2^{i} n^{\prime}}+1=\prod_{a \in \mathrm{SO}_{q}\left(2^{\beta} n^{\prime}\right)} f_{a}\left(x^{i^{i-\beta+1}}\right)$.
(II) $\lambda \geq 1$.
(i) $0 \leq i \leq \lambda+\beta-2$. Compute $x^{2^{i} n^{\prime}}+1$ directly using (3)
(ii) $i \geq \lambda+\beta-1$. Compute
$x^{2^{i} n^{\prime}}+1=\prod_{a \in \mathrm{SO}_{q}\left(2^{\lambda+\beta} n^{\prime}\right)} f_{a}\left(x^{2^{i \lambda \lambda-\beta+1}}\right)$.
Algorithm 1: Algorithm for the factorization of $x^{2^{i} n^{\prime}}+1$ over Fq.
where $\lambda$ is the positive integer such that $2^{\lambda} \| \operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q), \beta$ is the positive integer such that $2^{\beta} \|\left(q^{2}-1\right)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{q}\left(n^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{d \mid n^{\prime}} \frac{\phi(2 d)}{\operatorname{ord}_{2 d}(q)} . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (29), the number $N_{q}\left(2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)$ of monic irreducible factors of $x^{2^{i} n^{\prime}}+1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ becomes a constant independent of $i$ for all $i \geq \lambda+\beta-1$ if $\lambda=0$ and $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ and for all $i \geq \lambda+\beta-2$ otherwise. Illustrative examples for the number $N_{q}\left(2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)$ of monic irreducible factors of $x^{2^{i} n^{\prime}}+1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with odd $\operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)$ and even $\operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)$ are given in Tables 1 and 2 , respectively.

In Table 1, the results for $q \in\{3,7\}$ and $q \in\{5,9\}$ are obtained from Corollaries 2 and 4 , respectively.

In Table 2, the last row of each $n^{\prime}$ is obtained from Corollary 5. Otherwise, it is computed using (6).

## 5. Applications

In this section, the factorization of $x^{i^{i} n^{\prime}}+1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ obtained in Section 4 is applied in the study of negacyclic codes. Some known results are revisited in simpler forms.

A linear code of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is defined to be a subspace of the $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-vector space $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$. The dual of a linear code $C$ of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is defined to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{\perp}=\left\{\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n} \mid \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_{i} v_{i}=0, \quad \text { for all }\left(c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n-1}\right) \in C\right\} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

A linear code $C$ is said to be self-dual if $C=C^{\perp}$ and it is said to be complementary dual if $C \cap C^{\perp}=\{0\}$.

A linear code $C$ of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is said to be negacyclic if it is closed under the negacyclic shift. Precisely, $\left(-c_{n-1}, c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n-2}\right) \in C$, for every $\left(c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n-2}\right.$, $\left.c_{n-1}\right) \in C$. Under the map $\pi: \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n} \longrightarrow\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}[x] /\left\langle x^{n}+1\right\rangle\right)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n-2}, c_{n-1}\right) \mapsto c_{0}+c_{1} x+c_{2} x^{2}+\cdots+c_{n-1} x^{n-1} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is well known (see [4]) that a linear code $C$ of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is negacyclic if and only if $\pi(C)$ is an ideal in the principal ideal ring $\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}[x] /\left\langle x^{n}+1\right\rangle\right)$. The map $\pi$ induces a one-to-one correspondence between negacyclic codes of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ and ideas in $\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}[x] /\left\langle x^{n}+1\right\rangle\right)$. In this case, $\pi(C)$ is uniquely generated by the monic divisor of $x^{n}+1$ of minimal degree in $\pi(C)$. Such polynomial is called the generator polynomial of $C$.

Let $q$ be an odd prime power, and let $n^{\prime}$ be an odd positive integer such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(q, n^{\prime}\right)=1$. Let $\lambda$ be the positive

Table 1: $N_{q}\left(2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)$ of monic irreducible factors of $x^{2^{i} n^{\prime}}+1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with odd $\operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)$.

| $q$ | $n^{\prime}$ | $\operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)$ | $\lambda$ | $\beta$ | $i$ | $N_{q}\left(2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\geq 2$ | 2 |
| 3 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\geq 2$ | 6 |
|  |  |  | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 |
| 3 | 13 | 3 |  |  | 1 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\geq 2$ | 10 |
| 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\geq 1$ | 2 |
| 5 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\geq 1$ | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\geq 3$ | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 3 |
| 7 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\geq 3$ | 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 5 |
| 7 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 10 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\geq 3$ | 20 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 |
| 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\geq 2$ | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 3 |
| 9 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\geq 2$ | 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 3 |
| 9 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\geq 2$ | 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 5 |
| 9 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 10 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\geq 2$ | 20 |

integer such that $2^{\lambda} \| \operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)$, and let $\beta$ be the positive integer such that $2^{\beta} \|\left(q^{2}-1\right)$. Let

$$
k= \begin{cases}\lambda+\beta-1, & \text { if } \lambda=0 \text { and } q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)  \tag{33}\\ \lambda+\beta-2, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

In general, negacyclic codes have been studied in $[3,4,10]$. Here, we focus on negacyclic codes of length $n=$ $p^{s} 2^{i} n^{\prime}$ with $i \geq k$, where $p$ is the characteristic of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. The construction and enumeration of such negacyclic codes are simplified using the results from Section 4.

From (5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2^{k} n^{\prime}}+1=\prod_{j=1}^{N_{q}\left(2^{k} n^{\prime}\right)} r_{j}(x) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Based on Theorems 1-3, it follows that

Table 2: $N_{q}\left(2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)$ of monic irreducible factors of $x^{2^{i} n^{\prime}}+1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with even $\operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)$.

| $q$ | $n^{\prime}$ | $\operatorname{ord}_{n^{\prime}}(q)$ | $\lambda$ | $\beta$ | $i$ | $N_{q}\left(2^{i} n^{\prime}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\geq 3$ | 10 |
| 3 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\geq 2$ | 6 |
|  | 3 | 2 |  |  | 0 | 2 |
| 5 |  |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\geq 2$ | 6 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2 |
|  | 7 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\geq 2$ | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 3 |
| 5 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\geq 2$ | 10 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 4 |
| 5 | 13 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 14 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\geq 3$ | 26 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |
| 7 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\geq 4$ | 20 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2 |
| 7 | 11 | 10 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\geq 3$ | 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |
| 7 | 13 | 12 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\geq 4$ | 20 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 9 |
| 7 | 15 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 36 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\geq 4$ | 60 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 3 |
| 9 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 4 | $1$ | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\geq 3$ | 20 |

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{p^{s} 2^{i} n^{\prime}}+1=\left(x^{2^{i} n^{\prime}}+1\right)^{p^{s}}=\prod_{j=1}^{N_{q}\left(2^{k} n^{\prime}\right)}\left(r_{j}\left(x^{2^{i-k}}\right)\right)^{p^{s}} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $r_{j}\left(x^{2^{i-k}}\right)$ is irreducible for all $i \geq k$.
The following characterization and enumeration of negacyclic codes of length $n=p^{s} 2^{i} n^{\prime}$ with $i \geq k$ are straightforward. The proof is committed.

Theorem 4. Assume the notations above. The following statements hold:
(1) The $\operatorname{map} T:\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}[x] /\left\langle x^{p^{s} 2^{k} n^{\prime}}+1\right\rangle\right) \longrightarrow\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}[x] /\right.$ $\left.\left\langle x^{p^{s} 2^{i} n^{\prime}}+1\right\rangle\right)$, defined by $f(x) \mapsto f\left(x^{2^{i-k}}\right)$, is a ring isomorphism for all integers $i \geq k$
(2) For each integer $i \geq k, g(x)$ is the generator polynomial of a negacyclic code of length $p^{s} 2^{k} n^{\prime}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ if and only if $g\left(x^{i^{i-k}}\right)$ is the generator polynomial of a negacyclic code of length $p^{s} 2^{i} n^{\prime}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$
(3) The number of negacyclic codes of length $p^{s} 2^{i} n^{\prime}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is $\left(p^{s}+1\right)^{N_{q}\left(2^{k} n^{\prime}\right)}$, for all $i \geq k$

From the theorem, all negacyclic codes of length $p^{s} 2^{i} n^{\prime}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with $i \geq k$ can be determined using the negacyclic codes of length $p^{s} 2^{k} n^{\prime}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.
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