

Research Article

Monotone Iterative Method for Two Types of Integral Boundary Value Problems of a Nonlinear Fractional Differential System with Deviating Arguments

Jungang Chen ^{1,2} and Xi Qin ³

¹Faculty of Mechanical Engineering & Mechanics, Ningbo University, Ningbo 315211, China

²College of Science & Technology Ningbo University, Ningbo 315300, China

³Pingshun Bureau of Statistics, Changzhi 047400, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Jungang Chen; chenjungang@nbu.edu.cn

Received 3 December 2020; Revised 17 December 2020; Accepted 26 December 2020; Published 13 January 2021

Academic Editor: Hijaz Ahmad

Copyright © 2021 Jungang Chen and Xi Qin. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

This paper concerns on two types of integral boundary value problems of a nonlinear fractional differential system, *i.e.*, nonlocal strip integral boundary value problems and coupled integral boundary value problems. With the aid of the monotone iterative method combined with the upper and lower solutions, the existence of extremal system of solutions for the above two types of differential systems is investigated. In addition, a new comparison theorem for fractional differential system is also established, which is crucial for the proof of the main theorem of this paper. At the end, an example explaining how our studies can be used is also given.

1. Introduction

Differential equations with integral boundary conditions have been applied in many fields such as thermoelasticity, blood flow phenomena, and groundwater systems. For specific details, readers interested in this topic can see papers [1–6] and the references therein. In addition, the advantages of fractional derivatives make fractional differential equations a hot topic. At present, it exhibits great vitality and splendor in a number of applications of interest such as biophysics, hemodynamics, complex media circuit analysis and simulation, control optimization theory, and earthquake prediction models. For more details, refer to books in [7–12]. For the latest developments and trends, refer to [13–24]. Fractional differential system, as an important branch of differential system, is attracting more and more scholars research interest, which comes from its good practical application background (see [25–32]).

In [25], by applying the monotone iterative method, Wang, Agarwal, and Cabada investigated the existence of

extremal solutions for a nonlinear Riemann–Liouville fractional differential system:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}^\alpha \varphi(\varepsilon) = \mathcal{X}(\varepsilon, \varphi(\varepsilon), \psi(\varepsilon)), & \varepsilon \in (0, \mathcal{B}], \\ \mathcal{D}^\alpha \psi(\varepsilon) = \mathcal{Y}(\varepsilon, \psi(\varepsilon), \varphi(\varepsilon)), & \varepsilon \in (0, \mathcal{B}], \\ \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \varphi(\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} = x_0, & \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \psi(\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} = y_0, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $0 < \mathcal{B} < \infty$, $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \in C([0, \mathcal{B}] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, $x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x_0 \leq y_0$.

In [32], Ahmad and Nieto studied a three point-coupled nonlinear Riemann–Liouville fractional differential system given by

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}^\alpha \varphi(\varepsilon) = \mathcal{X}(\varepsilon, \psi(\varepsilon), \mathcal{D}^\delta \psi(\varepsilon)), & \varepsilon \in (0, 1), \\ \mathcal{D}^\beta \psi(\varepsilon) = \mathcal{Y}(\varepsilon, \varphi(\varepsilon), \mathcal{D}^\sigma \varphi(\varepsilon)), & \varepsilon \in (0, 1), \\ \varphi(0) = 0, \varphi(1) = \gamma \varphi(\eta), & \psi(0) = 0, \psi(1) = \gamma \psi(\eta), \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where $1 < \alpha, \beta < 2, \delta, \sigma, \gamma > 0, 0 < \eta < 1, \alpha - \sigma \geq 1, \beta - \delta \geq 1, \gamma \eta^{\alpha-1} < 1, \gamma \eta^{\beta-1} < 1, \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \in C([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. By using the Schauder fixed-point theorem, the authors successfully obtained the existence of solution of the system.

Inspired by these papers, we concern on the following nonlinear Riemann–Liouville fractional differential system of order $0 < \alpha \leq 1$:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}^\alpha \varphi(\varepsilon) = \mathcal{F}(\varepsilon, \varphi(\varepsilon), \psi(\varepsilon), \varphi(\theta(\varepsilon))), \\ \mathcal{D}^\alpha \psi(\varepsilon) = \mathcal{G}(\varepsilon, \psi(\varepsilon), \varphi(\varepsilon), \psi(\theta(\varepsilon))), \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where $\varepsilon \in (0, L] (0 < L < \infty), \mathcal{J} = [0, L], \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in C(\mathcal{J} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), \theta \in C(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J})$. Notice that our system contains the unknown functions $\varphi(\varepsilon), \psi(\varepsilon)$ and deviating arguments $\varphi(\theta(\varepsilon)), \psi(\theta(\varepsilon))$.

In order to approximate the solution of the nonlinear Riemann–Liouville fractional differential system mentioned above, we firstly give a new comparison result for fractional differential system. Also, we develop the monotone iterative technique for the system. The advantage of the technique needs no special emphasis [33–40]. It is worth to point that, in this paper, only half pair of upper and lower solutions is assumed to the system, which is weaker than a pair of upper and lower solutions. It is believed that this is also an attempt to apply the monotone iterative method to solve nonlinear Riemann–Liouville fractional differential systems with deviating arguments and families of nonlocal coupled and strip integral boundary conditions.

To this end, we study the following two types of integral boundary conditions:

- (i) Nonlocal coupled integral boundary conditions of the form:

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \varphi(\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} = \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \int_0^\tau W(s) \psi(s) ds + x_0, \\ \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \psi(\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} = \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \int_0^\tau W(s) \varphi(s) ds + y_0, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where $0 < \tau < L, W(s) \in C(\mathcal{J}, (-\infty, 0]), x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x_0 \leq y_0$.

In the present study, nonlocal type of integral boundary condition with limits of integration involving the parameters $0 < \tau < L$ has been introduced. It is worth mentioning that, in practical situations, such nonlocal integral boundary conditions may be regarded as a continuous distribution of arbitrary finite length; for instance, refer to [41].

- (ii) Nonlocal strip condition of the form:

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \varphi(\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} = \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \int_\nu^\tau V(s) \varphi(s) ds + x_0, \\ \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \psi(\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} = \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \int_\nu^\tau V(s) \psi(s) ds + y_0, \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where $0 < \nu < \tau < L, V(s) \in C(\mathcal{J}, [0, +\infty)), x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x_0 \leq y_0$.

In fact, nonlocal strip condition is used to describe a continuous distribution of the values of the unknown

function on an arbitrary finite segment of the interval. If $\nu \rightarrow 0, \tau \rightarrow L$, the condition is degenerated to a classic integral boundary condition (see [42] for details).

2. Comparison Theorem: The Unique Solution of Linear System

Let

$$C_{1-\alpha}(\mathcal{J}) = \{\varphi \in C(0, L]; \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \varphi \in C(\mathcal{J})\}, \quad (6)$$

with the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{C_{1-\alpha}} = \|\varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \varphi\|_C. \quad (7)$$

Next, we provide a comparison result from Wang’s paper [43]. Notice that the comparison result is valid for $I(\varepsilon)$ which is a nonnegative bounded integrable function.

Lemma 1. Let $\xi \in C_{1-\alpha}(\mathcal{J})$ be locally Hölder continuous, and ξ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}^\alpha \xi(\varepsilon) \geq -I(\varepsilon) \xi(\varepsilon), \\ \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \xi(\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

where $I(\varepsilon)$ is a nonnegative bounded integrable function and satisfies $\sup_{\varepsilon \in \mathcal{J}} I(\varepsilon) L^\alpha \Gamma(1-\alpha) < 1$.

Then, $\xi(\varepsilon) \geq 0$, for all $\varepsilon \in (0, L]$.

Now, we are in a position to prove the following new comparison result for fractional differential system.

Lemma 2 (comparison theorem). Let $\varphi, \psi \in C_{1-\alpha}(\mathcal{J})$ be locally Hölder continuous and satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}^\alpha \varphi(\varepsilon) \geq -I(\varepsilon) \varphi(\varepsilon) + J(\varepsilon) \psi(\varepsilon), & \varepsilon \in (0, L], \\ \mathcal{D}^\alpha \psi(\varepsilon) \geq -I(\varepsilon) \psi(\varepsilon) + J(\varepsilon) \varphi(\varepsilon), & \varepsilon \in (0, L], \\ \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \varphi(\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} \geq 0, \\ \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \psi(\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

where $I(\varepsilon), J(\varepsilon)$ are nonnegative bounded integrable functions and $I(\varepsilon) \geq J(\varepsilon) \geq 0$, for all $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{J}$.

If

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \in \mathcal{J}} (I+J)(\varepsilon) L^\alpha \Gamma(1-\alpha) < 1, \quad (10)$$

then $\varphi(\varepsilon) \geq 0, \psi(\varepsilon) \geq 0$, for all $\varepsilon \in (0, L]$.

Proof. Put $\xi(\varepsilon) = \varphi(\varepsilon) + \psi(\varepsilon)$, for all $\varepsilon \in (0, L]$. Then, by (9), we have

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}^\alpha \xi(\varepsilon) \geq -(I-J)(\varepsilon) \xi(\varepsilon), & \varepsilon \in (0, L], \\ \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \xi(\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Thus, by (11) and Lemma 1, we have that

$$\xi(\varepsilon) \geq 0, \text{ for } \varepsilon \in (0, L], \text{ i.e. } \varphi(\varepsilon) + \psi(\varepsilon) \geq 0, \text{ for } \varepsilon \in (0, L]. \quad (12)$$

Next, we show that $\varphi(\varepsilon) \geq 0, \psi(\varepsilon) \geq 0$, for $\varepsilon \in (0, L]$. In fact, by (9) and (12), we have that

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}^\alpha \varphi(\varepsilon) \geq -(I+J)(\varepsilon)\varphi(\varepsilon), & \varepsilon \in (0, L], \\ \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \varphi(\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

By (13) and Lemma 1, we have that $\varphi(\varepsilon) \geq 0$, for $\varepsilon \in (0, L]$. Similarly, we can show that $\psi(\varepsilon) \geq 0$, for $\varepsilon \in (0, L]$.

Finally, we consider the linear system:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}^\alpha \varphi(\varepsilon) = \sigma_1(\varepsilon) - I(\varepsilon)\varphi(\varepsilon) - J(\varepsilon)\psi(\varepsilon), & \varepsilon \in (0, L], \\ \mathcal{D}^\alpha \psi(\varepsilon) = \sigma_2(\varepsilon) - I(\varepsilon)\psi(\varepsilon) - J(\varepsilon)\varphi(\varepsilon), & \varepsilon \in (0, L], \\ \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \varphi(\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} = r_1, \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \psi(\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} = r_2, \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

where $I(\varepsilon), J(\varepsilon)$ are nonnegative bounded integrable functions $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in C(\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{R}), r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 3. *If 10 holds, then the problem 14 has a unique system of solutions in $C_{1-\alpha}([0, L]) \times C_{1-\alpha}([0, L])$.*

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_1(\varepsilon) &= \frac{\varphi(\varepsilon) + \psi(\varepsilon)}{2}, \\ \xi_2(\varepsilon) &= \frac{\varphi(\varepsilon) - \psi(\varepsilon)}{2}, \quad \varepsilon \in (0, L], \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where ξ_1 and ξ_2 solve the problems

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}^\alpha \xi_1(\varepsilon) = (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)(\varepsilon) - (I+J)(\varepsilon)\xi_1(\varepsilon), & \varepsilon \in (0, L], \\ \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \xi_1(\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} = r_1 + r_2, \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}^\alpha \xi_2(\varepsilon) = (\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)(\varepsilon) - (I-J)(\varepsilon)\xi_2(\varepsilon), & \varepsilon \in (0, L], \\ \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \xi_2(\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} = r_1 - r_2. \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

It is obvious that the problems (16) and (17) have the unique solution $\xi^*, \xi^{**} \in C_{1-\alpha}([0, L])$, respectively. Since ξ^*, ξ^{**} are unique, then by (14) and (15), we can show that the problem (14) has a unique system of solutions in $C_{1-\alpha}([0, L]) \times C_{1-\alpha}([0, L])$. \square

3. Extremal Solutions of Nonlinear System

Theorem 1. *Assume that the following holds:*

(H_1) *There exist two locally Hölder continuous functions $\varphi_0, \psi_0 \in C_{1-\alpha}([0, L])$ satisfying $\varphi_0(\varepsilon) \leq \psi_0(\varepsilon)$ such that*

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}^\alpha \varphi_0(\varepsilon) \leq \mathcal{F}(\varepsilon, \varphi_0(\varepsilon), \psi_0(\varepsilon), \varphi_0(\theta(\varepsilon))), & \varepsilon \in (0, L], \\ \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \varphi_0(\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} \leq \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \int_0^\tau W(s)\psi_0(s)ds + x_0, \\ \mathcal{D}^\alpha \psi_0(\varepsilon) \geq \mathcal{G}(\varepsilon, \psi_0(\varepsilon), \varphi_0(\varepsilon), \psi_0(\theta(\varepsilon))), & \varepsilon \in (0, L], \\ \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \psi_0(\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} \geq \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \int_0^\tau W(s)\varphi_0(s)ds + y_0. \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

(H_2) *There exist nonnegative bounded integrable functions $I(\varepsilon), J(\varepsilon)$ which satisfy (10), and $I(\varepsilon) \geq J(\varepsilon)$, such that*

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{F}(\varepsilon, \varphi(\varepsilon), \psi(\varepsilon), \varphi(\theta(\varepsilon))) - \mathcal{F}(\varepsilon, \mu(\varepsilon), \nu(\varepsilon), \mu(\theta(\varepsilon))) \geq -I(\varepsilon)(\varphi - \mu)(\varepsilon) - J(\varepsilon)(\psi - \nu)(\varepsilon), \\ \mathcal{G}(\varepsilon, \varphi(\varepsilon), \psi(\varepsilon), \varphi(\theta(\varepsilon))) - \mathcal{G}(\varepsilon, \mu(\varepsilon), \nu(\varepsilon), \mu(\theta(\varepsilon))) \geq -I(\varepsilon)(\varphi - \mu)(\varepsilon) - J(\varepsilon)(\psi - \nu)(\varepsilon), \\ \mathcal{G}(\varepsilon, \varphi(\varepsilon), \psi(\varepsilon), \varphi(\theta(\varepsilon))) - \mathcal{F}(\varepsilon, \mu(\varepsilon), \nu(\varepsilon), \mu(\theta(\varepsilon))) \geq -I(\varepsilon)(\varphi - \mu)(\varepsilon) - J(\varepsilon)(\psi - \nu)(\varepsilon), \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

where $\varphi_0(\varepsilon) \leq \mu \leq \varphi \leq \psi_0(\varepsilon), \varphi_0(\varepsilon) \leq \psi \leq \nu \leq \psi_0(\varepsilon)$.

Then, (3) and (4) have extremal systems of solutions $(\varphi^*, \psi^*) \in [\varphi_0, \psi_0] \times [\varphi_0, \psi_0]$. Moreover, there exist monotone iterative sequences $\{\varphi_n\}, \{\psi_n\} \subset [\varphi_0, \psi_0]$ such that $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi^*, \psi_n \rightarrow \psi^* (n \rightarrow \infty)$ uniformly on compact subsets of $(0, L]$ and

$$\varphi_0 \leq \varphi_1 \leq \dots \leq \varphi_n \leq \dots \leq \varphi^* \leq \psi^* \leq \dots \leq \psi_n \leq \dots \leq \psi_1 \leq \psi_0. \quad (20)$$

Proof. For any $\varphi_{n-1}, \psi_{n-1} \in C_{1-\alpha}([0, L]), n \geq 1$, considering (14) with

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_1^n(\varepsilon) = \mathcal{F}(\varepsilon, \varphi_{n-1}(\varepsilon), \psi_{n-1}(\varepsilon), \varphi_{n-1}(\theta(\varepsilon))) + I(\varepsilon)\varphi_{n-1}(\varepsilon) + J(\varepsilon)\psi_{n-1}(\varepsilon), \\ \sigma_2^n(\varepsilon) = \mathcal{G}(\varepsilon, \psi_{n-1}(\varepsilon), \varphi_{n-1}(\varepsilon), \psi_{n-1}(\theta(\varepsilon))) + I(\varepsilon)\psi_{n-1}(\varepsilon) + J(\varepsilon)\varphi_{n-1}(\varepsilon), \\ r_1^n = \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \int_0^\tau W(s)\psi_{n-1}(s)ds + x_0, r_2^n = \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \int_0^\tau W(s)\varphi_{n-1}(s)ds + y_0, \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

we have

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}^\alpha \varphi_n(\varepsilon) = \sigma_1^n(\varepsilon) - I(\varepsilon)\varphi_n(\varepsilon) - J(\varepsilon)\psi_n(\varepsilon), & \varepsilon \in (0, L], \\ \mathcal{D}^\alpha \psi_n(\varepsilon) = \sigma_2^n(\varepsilon) - I(\varepsilon)\psi_n(\varepsilon) - J(\varepsilon)\varphi_n(\varepsilon), & \varepsilon \in (0, L], \\ \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \varphi_n(\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} = r_1^n, \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \psi_n(\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} = r_2^n. \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

By Lemma 3, we know that (22) has a unique system of solutions in $C_{1-\alpha}([0, L]) \times C_{1-\alpha}([0, L])$.

Now, we show that $\{\varphi_n(\varepsilon)\}, \{\psi_n(\varepsilon)\}$ satisfy

$$\varphi_{n-1} \leq \varphi_n \leq \psi_n \leq \psi_{n-1}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (23)$$

Let $\xi = \varphi_1 - \varphi_0, \zeta = \psi_0 - \psi_1$. By condition (H_1) and (22), we have that

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}^\alpha \xi(\varepsilon) \geq -I(\varepsilon)\xi(\varepsilon) + J(\varepsilon)\zeta(\varepsilon), & \mathcal{D}^\alpha \zeta(\varepsilon) \geq -I(\varepsilon)\zeta(\varepsilon) + J(\varepsilon)\xi(\varepsilon), \\ \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \xi(\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} \geq 0, & \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \zeta(\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

Thus, by Lemma 2, we have that $\xi(\varepsilon) \geq 0, \zeta(\varepsilon) \geq 0$, for all $\varepsilon \in (0, L]$.

Let $w = \psi_1 - \varphi_1$, by condition (H_2) and (22), we get

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}^\alpha w(\varepsilon) = \mathcal{D}^\alpha \psi_1(\varepsilon) - \mathcal{D}^\alpha \varphi_1(\varepsilon) \\ = \mathcal{G}(\varepsilon, \psi_0(\varepsilon), \varphi_0(\varepsilon), \psi_0(\theta(\varepsilon))) + I(\varepsilon)\psi_0(\varepsilon) + J(\varepsilon)\varphi_0(\varepsilon) - I(\varepsilon)\psi_1(\varepsilon) - J(\varepsilon)\varphi_1(\varepsilon) \\ - \mathcal{F}(\varepsilon, \varphi_0(\varepsilon), \psi_0(\varepsilon), \varphi_0(\theta(\varepsilon))) - I(\varepsilon)\varphi_0(\varepsilon) - J(\varepsilon)\psi_0(\varepsilon) + I(\varepsilon)\varphi_1(\varepsilon) + J(\varepsilon)\psi_1(\varepsilon) \\ \geq -I(\varepsilon)(\psi_0 - \varphi_0)(\varepsilon) - J(\varepsilon)(\varphi_0 - \psi_0)(\varepsilon) + I(\varepsilon)\psi_0(\varepsilon) + J(\varepsilon)\varphi_0(\varepsilon) - I(\varepsilon)\psi_1(\varepsilon) \\ - J(\varepsilon)\varphi_1(\varepsilon) - I(\varepsilon)\varphi_0(\varepsilon) - J(\varepsilon)\psi_0(\varepsilon) + I(\varepsilon)\varphi_1(\varepsilon) + J(\varepsilon)\psi_1(\varepsilon) \\ = -(I - J)(\varepsilon)w(\varepsilon). \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

Besides,

$$\varepsilon^{1-\alpha} w(\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} = \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \int_0^\tau W(s)(\varphi_0 - \psi_0)(s)ds + y_0 - x_0 \geq 0. \quad (26)$$

By Lemma 1, we can get $w(\varepsilon) \geq 0$, for $\varepsilon \in (0, L]$. Therefore, we have the relation $\varphi_0 \leq \varphi_1 \leq \psi_1 \leq \psi_0$.

Assume that $\varphi_{k-1} \leq \varphi_k \leq \psi_k \leq \psi_{k-1}$, for some $k \geq 1$. Then, using the same way as above, by Lemmas 1 and 2 again, we can obtain $\varphi_k \leq \varphi_{k+1} \leq \psi_{k+1} \leq \psi_k$. By induction, it is not difficult to show that

$$\varphi_0 \leq \varphi_1 \leq \dots \leq \varphi_n \leq \dots \leq \psi_n \leq \dots \leq \psi_1 \leq \psi_0. \quad (27)$$

Employing the standard arguments, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n(\varepsilon) = \varphi^*(\varepsilon), \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_n(\varepsilon) = \psi^*(\varepsilon) \quad (28)$$

uniformly on compact subsets of $(0, L]$, and $\varphi^*, \psi^* \in [\varphi_0, \psi_0]$ satisfy (3) and (4). Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.15), we get that (φ^*, ψ^*) is solutions of (3) and (4) in $[\varphi_0, \psi_0] \times [\varphi_0, \psi_0]$ and (20) holds.

Finally, we prove that (φ^*, ψ^*) is extremal solutions of (3) and (4) in $[\varphi_0, \psi_0] \times [\varphi_0, \psi_0]$. If $(\varphi, \psi) \in [\varphi_0, \psi_0] \times [\varphi_0, \psi_0]$ is any solutions of (3) and (4), which means

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}^\alpha \varphi(\varepsilon) = \mathcal{F}(\varepsilon, \varphi(\varepsilon), \psi(\varepsilon), \varphi(\theta(\varepsilon))), & \varepsilon \in (0, L], \\ \mathcal{D}^\alpha \psi(\varepsilon) = \mathcal{G}(\varepsilon, \psi(\varepsilon), \varphi(\varepsilon), \psi(\theta(\varepsilon))), & \varepsilon \in (0, L], \\ \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \varphi(\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} = \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \int_0^\tau W(s)\psi(s)ds + x_0, \\ \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \psi(\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} = \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \int_0^\tau W(s)\varphi(s)ds + y_0. \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

By (22), (29), (H_2) , and Lemma 2, it is easy to prove that

$$\varphi_n \leq \varphi, \psi \leq \psi_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (30)$$

Taking the limits in (30), we get $\varphi^* \leq \varphi, \psi \leq \psi^*$, which implies (φ^*, ψ^*) is extremal solutions of (3) and (4) in $[\varphi_0, \psi_0] \times [\varphi_0, \psi_0]$.

This completes the proof. \square

We give the following assumption for convenience.

(H'_1) There exist two locally Hölder continuous functions $\varphi_0, \psi_0 \in C_{1-\alpha}([0, L])$ satisfying $\varphi_0(\varepsilon) \leq \psi_0(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}^\alpha \varphi_0(\varepsilon) \leq \mathcal{F}(\varepsilon, \varphi_0(\varepsilon), \psi_0(\varepsilon), \varphi_0(\theta(\varepsilon))), & \varepsilon \in (0, L], \\ \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \varphi_0(\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} \leq \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \int_\gamma^\tau V(s) \varphi_0(s) ds + x_0, \\ \mathcal{D}^\alpha \psi_0(\varepsilon) \geq \mathcal{G}(\varepsilon, \psi_0(\varepsilon), \varphi_0(\varepsilon), \psi_0(\theta(\varepsilon))), & \varepsilon \in (0, L], \\ \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \psi_0(\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} \geq \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \int_\gamma^\tau V(s) \psi_0(s) ds + y_0. \end{cases} \quad (31)$$

By a proof similar to Theorem 1, we have the following.

Theorem 2. Suppose that conditions (H'_1) and (H_2) hold. Then, (3) and (5) have extremal system of solutions $(\varphi^*, \psi^*) \in [\varphi_0, \psi_0] \times [\varphi_0, \psi_0]$. Moreover, there exist monotone iterative sequences $\{\varphi_n\}, \{\psi_n\} \subset [\varphi_0, \psi_0]$ such that $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi^*, \psi_n \rightarrow \psi^* (n \rightarrow \infty)$ uniformly on compact subsets of $(0, L]$ and

$$\varphi_0 \leq \varphi_1 \leq \dots \leq \varphi_n \leq \dots \leq \varphi^* \leq \psi^* \leq \dots \leq \psi_n \leq \dots \leq \psi_1 \leq \psi_0. \quad (32)$$

4. Example

Consider the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}^\alpha \varphi(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{15} \varepsilon^3 [\varepsilon - \varphi(\varepsilon)] - \frac{1}{20} \varepsilon^4 \psi^2(\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{15} \varepsilon^4 \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{4} \varphi\left(\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}\right)\right), \\ \mathcal{D}^\alpha \psi(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{15} \varepsilon^3 [\varepsilon - \psi(\varepsilon)] - \frac{1}{20} \varepsilon^4 \varphi^2(\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{15} \varepsilon^4 \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{4} \psi\left(\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}\right)\right), \\ \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \varphi(\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} = \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \int_{1/6}^{2/3} \left(\frac{1}{3} + s^2\right) \varphi(s) ds, \\ \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \psi(\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} = \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \int_{1/6}^{2/3} \left(\frac{1}{3} + s^2\right) \psi(s) ds, \end{cases} \quad (33)$$

where $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{J}, \alpha = 1/2$, and \mathcal{D}^α is the standard Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative.

Clearly,

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{F}(\varepsilon, \varphi(\varepsilon), \psi(\varepsilon), \varphi(\theta(\varepsilon))) = \frac{1}{15} \varepsilon^3 [\varepsilon - \varphi(\varepsilon)] - \frac{1}{20} \varepsilon^4 \psi^2(\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{15} \varepsilon^4 \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{4} \varphi(\theta(\varepsilon))\right), \\ \mathcal{G}(\varepsilon, \psi(\varepsilon), \varphi(\varepsilon), \psi(\theta(\varepsilon))) = \frac{1}{15} \varepsilon^3 [\varepsilon - \psi(\varepsilon)] - \frac{1}{20} \varepsilon^4 \varphi^2(\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{15} \varepsilon^4 \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{4} \psi(\theta(\varepsilon))\right), \end{cases} \quad (34)$$

where $\theta(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^2/2$.

Taking $\varphi_0(\varepsilon) = 0, \psi_0(\varepsilon) = 1$, it is easy to show that condition (H_1') of Theorem 2 holds.

On the contrary, we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{F}(\varepsilon, \varphi(\varepsilon), \psi(\varepsilon), \varphi(\theta(\varepsilon))) - \mathcal{F}(\varepsilon, \mu(\varepsilon), \nu(\varepsilon), \mu(\theta(\varepsilon))) \geq -\frac{2}{15}\varepsilon^3(\varphi - \mu)(\varepsilon), \\ \mathcal{G}(\varepsilon, \varphi(\varepsilon), \psi(\varepsilon), \varphi(\theta(\varepsilon))) - \mathcal{G}(\varepsilon, \mu(\varepsilon), \nu(\varepsilon), \mu(\theta(\varepsilon))) \geq -\frac{2}{15}\varepsilon^3(\varphi - \mu)(\varepsilon), \\ \mathcal{G}(\varepsilon, \varphi(\varepsilon), \psi(\varepsilon), \varphi(\theta(\varepsilon))) - \mathcal{F}(\varepsilon, \mu(\varepsilon), \nu(\varepsilon), \mu(\theta(\varepsilon))) \geq -\frac{2}{15}\varepsilon^3(\varphi - \mu)(\varepsilon), \end{array} \right. \quad (35)$$

where $\varphi_0(\varepsilon) \leq \mu \leq \varphi \leq \psi_0(\varepsilon), \varphi_0(\varepsilon) \leq \psi \leq \nu \leq \psi_0(\varepsilon)$.

We see $I(\varepsilon) = 2/15\varepsilon^3, J(\varepsilon) = 0$, and $\sup_{\varepsilon \in \mathcal{J}} (I + J)(\varepsilon)L^\alpha \Gamma(1 - \alpha) = 2\sqrt{\pi}/15 < 1$. So, (H_2) holds.

Thus, Theorem 2 is applied to the system (33), and we have the conclusion of Theorem 2.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, by employing the method of upper and lower solutions combined with the monotone iterative technique, we studied a class of nonlinear fractional differential system involving nonlocal strip and coupled integral boundary conditions. Precisely, we considered the following nonlinear Riemann–Liouville fractional differential system:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{D}^\alpha \varphi(\varepsilon) = \mathcal{F}(\varepsilon, \varphi(\varepsilon), \psi(\varepsilon), \varphi(\theta(\varepsilon))), \\ \mathcal{D}^\alpha \psi(\varepsilon) = \mathcal{G}(\varepsilon, \psi(\varepsilon), \varphi(\varepsilon), \psi(\theta(\varepsilon))), \end{array} \right. \quad (36)$$

with two types of integral boundary conditions:

(i) Nonlocal coupled integral boundary conditions of the form:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \varphi(\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} = \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \int_0^\tau W(s)\psi(s)ds + x_0, \\ \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \psi(\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} = \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \int_0^\tau W(s)\varphi(s)ds + y_0. \end{array} \right. \quad (37)$$

(ii) Nonlocal strip condition of the form:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \varphi(\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} = \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \int_\nu^\tau V(s)\varphi(s)ds + x_0, \\ \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \psi(\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} = \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \int_\nu^\tau V(s)\psi(s)ds + y_0. \end{array} \right. \quad (38)$$

We investigated the existence of extremal system of solutions for the above nonlinear fractional differential system involving nonlocal strip and coupled integral boundary conditions. A new comparison result for fractional differential system was also established, which played an important role in the proof of our main results. It is a contribution to the field of fractional differential system. As an extension of our conclusion, we present an open question, namely, how to develop the existence of extremal system of

solutions for the above nonlinear fractional differential system with impulsive effect by the method of upper and lower solutions combined with the monotone iterative technique. The biggest difficulty for this is to perfectly establish new comparison result for fractional differential system with impulsive effect.

Data Availability

No data were used to support this study.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

This work was sponsored by K. C. Wong Magna Fund in Ningbo University.

References

- [1] B. Ahmad, A. Alsaedi, and B. S. Alghamdi, “Analytic approximation of solutions of the forced Duffing equation with integral boundary conditions,” *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 1727–1740, 2008.
- [2] C. S. Goodrich, “Existence and uniqueness of solutions to a fractional difference equation with nonlocal conditions,” *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. 191–202, 2011.
- [3] Q. Song and Z. Bai, “Positive solutions of fractional differential equations involving the Riemann–Stieltjes integral boundary condition,” *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 183, pp. 1–7, 2018.
- [4] G. Wang, K. Pei, R. P. Agarwal et al., “Nonlocal Hadamard fractional boundary value problem with Hadamard integral and discrete boundary conditions on a half-line,” *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 343, pp. 230–239, 2018.
- [5] B. Zhang, J. J. Nieto, and A. Alsaedi, “Existence and uniqueness of solutions for nonlinear fractional differential equations with non-separated type integral boundary conditions,” *Acta Mathematica Scientia*, vol. 31, no. 6, pp. 2122–2130, 2011.
- [6] L. Zhang, B. Ahmad, and G. Wang, “The existence of an extremal solution to a nonlinear system with the right-handed

- Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative,” *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 1–6, 2014.
- [7] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, and J. J. Trujillo, “Theory and applications of fractional differential equations,” in *North-Holland Mathematics Studies* Elsevier Science BV, Amsterdam, Netherlands, 2006.
- [8] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations, Mathematics in Science and Engineering*, Academic Press, New York, NY, USA, 1999.
- [9] J. Sabatier, O. P. Agrawal, and J. A. T. Machado, Eds., *Advances in Fractional Calculus: Theoretical Developments and Applications in Physics and Engineering*, Springer, Dordrecht, Netherlands, 2007.
- [10] V. Lakshmikantham, S. Leela, and J. Vasundhara, *Theory of Fractional Dynamic Systems*, Cambridge Academic Publishers, Cambridge, MA, USA, 2009.
- [11] B. Ahmad, A. Alsaedi, S. K. Ntouyas, and J. Tariboon, *Hadamard-Type Fractional Differential Equations, Inclusions and Inequalities*, Springer, Cham, Switzerland, 2017.
- [12] V. E. Tarasov, “Fractional statistical mechanics,” *Chaos*, vol. 16, pp. 331081–331087, 2006.
- [13] X. Zhang, L. Liu, and Y. Zou, “Fixed-point theorems for systems of operator equations and their applications to the fractional differential equations,” *Journal of Function Spaces*, vol. 2018, Article ID 7469868, 9 pages, 2018.
- [14] I. Ates and P. A. Zegele, “A homotopy perturbation method for fractional-order advection-diffusion- reaction boundary-value problems,” *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, vol. 47, pp. 425–441, 2017.
- [15] G. Wang, X. Ren, Z. Bai, and W. Hou, “Radial symmetry of standing waves for nonlinear fractional Hardy-Schrödinger equation,” *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 96, pp. 131–137, 2019.
- [16] L. Zhang, B. Ahmad, and G. Wang, “Successive iterations for positive extremal solutions of nonlinear fractional differential equations on a half-line,” *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, vol. 91, no. 1, pp. 116–128, 2015.
- [17] G. Wang, K. Pei, and Y. Chen, “Stability analysis of nonlinear Hadamard fractional differential system,” *Journal of the Franklin Institute*, vol. 356, no. 12, pp. 6538–6546, 2019.
- [18] Y. Ding, Z. Wei, J. Xu, and D. O’Regan, “Extremal solutions for nonlinear fractional boundary value problems with p -Laplacian,” *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 288, pp. 151–158, 2015.
- [19] X. Liu, M. Jia, and W. Ge, “The method of lower and upper solutions for mixed fractional four-point boundary value problem with p -Laplacian operator,” *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 65, pp. 56–62, 2017.
- [20] K. Sheng, W. Zhang, and Z. Bai, “Positive solutions to fractional boundary value problems with p -Laplacian on time scales,” *Boundary Value Problems*, vol. 2018, no. 1, p. 70, 2018.
- [21] F. Yan, M. Zuo, and X. Hao, “Positive solution for a fractional singular boundary value problem with p -Laplacian operator,” *Boundary Value Problems*, vol. 51, pp. 1–10, 2018.
- [22] G. Wang, Z. Bai, Z. Bai, and L. Zhang, “Successive iterations for unique positive solution of a nonlinear fractional q -integral boundary value problem,” *Journal of Applied Analysis & Computation*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 1204–1215, 2019.
- [23] U. Ali, F. A. Abdullah, and S. T. Mohyud-Din, “Modified implicit fractional difference scheme for 2D modified anomalous fractional sub-diffusion equation,” *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 185, 2017.
- [24] U. Ali, F. A. Abdullah, and A. I. Ismail, “Crank-Nicolson finite difference method for two-dimensional fractional sub-diffusion equation,” *Journal of Interpolation and Approximation in Scientific Computing*, vol. 2017, no. 2, pp. 18–29, 2017.
- [25] G. Wang, R. P. Agarwal, and A. Cabada, “Existence results and the monotone iterative technique for systems of nonlinear fractional differential equations,” *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 25, no. 6, pp. 1019–1024, 2012.
- [26] Y. Chen and H.-L. An, “Numerical solutions of coupled Burgers equations with time- and space-fractional derivatives,” *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 200, no. 1, pp. 87–95, 2008.
- [27] V. Gafiychuk, B. Datsko, and V. Meleshko, “Mathematical modeling of time fractional reaction-diffusion systems,” *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 220, no. 1-2, pp. 215–225, 2008.
- [28] G. Wang and X. Ren, “Radial symmetry of standing waves for nonlinear fractional Laplacian Hardy-Schrödinger systems,” *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 110, Article ID 106560, 2020.
- [29] L. Zhang, B. Ahmad, G. Wang, and X. Ren, “Radial symmetry of solution for fractional p -Laplacian system,” *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 196, Article ID 111801, 2020.
- [30] S. Bhalekar and V. Daftardar-Gejji, “Fractional ordered Liu system with time-delay,” *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, vol. 15, no. 8, pp. 2178–2191, 2010.
- [31] C. Zhai and R. Jiang, “Unique solutions for a new coupled system of fractional differential equations,” *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 1, p. 1, 2018.
- [32] B. Ahmad and J. J. Nieto, “Existence results for a coupled system of nonlinear fractional differential equations with three-point boundary conditions,” *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 58, no. 9, pp. 1838–1843, 2009.
- [33] G. S. Ladde, V. Lakshmikantham, and A. S. Vatsala, *Monotone Iterative Techniques for Nonlinear Differential Equations*, Pitman Pub. Co., Boston, MA, USA, 1985.
- [34] G. Wang, X. Ren, L. Zhang, and B. Ahmad, “Explicit iteration and unique positive solution for a Caputo-Hadamard fractional turbulent flow model,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 109833–109839, 2019.
- [35] G. Wang, Z. Yang, L. Zhang, and D. Baleanu, “Radial solutions of a nonlinear k -Hessian system involving a nonlinear operator,” *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, vol. 91, Article ID 105396, 2020.
- [36] Y. Wei, Q. Song, and Z. Bai, “Existence and iterative method for some fourth order nonlinear boundary value problems,” *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 87, pp. 101–107, 2019.
- [37] G. Wang, “Explicit iteration and unbounded solutions for fractional integral boundary value problem on an infinite interval,” *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 47, pp. 1–7, 2015.
- [38] G. Wang, “Twin iterative positive solutions of fractional q -difference Schrödinger equations,” *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 76, pp. 103–109, 2018.
- [39] C. Zhai and J. Ren, “The unique solution for a fractional q -difference equation with three-point boundary conditions,” *Indagationes Mathematicae*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 948–961, 2018.
- [40] L. Zhang, B. Ahmad, and G. Wang, “Existence and approximation of positive solutions for nonlinear fractional integro-differential boundary value problems on an unbounded domain,” *Applied and Computational Mathematics*, vol. 15, pp. 149–158, 2016.
- [41] S. Asghar, B. Ahmad, and M. Ayub, “Diffraction from an absorbing half plane due to a finite cylindrical source,” *Acta Acustica United with Acustica*, vol. 82, pp. 365–367, 1996.

- [42] B. Ahmad and R. P. Agarwal, "On nonlocal fractional boundary value problems," *Dynamics of Continuous, Discrete and Impulsive Systems Series A: Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 18, pp. 535–544, 2011.
- [43] G. Wang, "Monotone iterative technique for boundary value problems of a nonlinear fractional differential equation with deviating arguments," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 236, no. 9, pp. 2425–2430, 2012.