

## Research Article

# A New Approach to Concavity Fuzzification

Ibtesam Alshammari<sup>1</sup>, Azza M. Alghamdi<sup>2</sup>, and A. Ghareeb<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Hafr Al Batin, Al Batin 31991, Saudi Arabia

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Al-Baha University, Al-Baha 65799, Saudi Arabia

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, South Valley University, Qena 83523, Egypt

Correspondence should be addressed to A. Ghareeb; a.ghareeb@sci.svu.edu.eg

Received 28 November 2020; Revised 21 December 2020; Accepted 24 December 2020; Published 18 January 2021

Academic Editor: Ahmed Mostafa Khalil

Copyright © 2021 Ibtesam Alshammari et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In this paper, we introduce a more general approach to the fuzzification of fuzzy concavity. More specifically, the degree of  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concavity is introduced and characterized as a generalization of  $L$ -concave structure and  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concave structure. Based on that, the degree of  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concavity preserving and  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concave-to-concave of a function are defined. Some properties and relationships between the degree of  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concavity preserving and  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concave-to-concave functions are discussed.

## 1. Introduction

The concept of convexity is a fundamentally important geometrical property that plays a significant role in pure and applied mathematics. It can be sorted into concrete and abstract convexity. In this paper, we are mainly focusing on the abstract convexity. Fuzzification of the abstract convexity was initiated by Rosa [1–3] in 1994. He introduced fuzzy convex to convex and fuzzy convexity preserving functions and discussed some of their properties. To be worth mentioning, he developed the theory of fuzzy convexity by introducing its subspace, product, and quotient structures.

Many researchers have been involved in extending the notion of fuzzy convexity to the broader frame work of lattice-valued setting. In [4], Maruyama extended fuzzy convexity to  $L$ -fuzzy setting framework, where  $L$  is a completely distributive lattice. Jin and Li [5] proposed two functors between the categories of convex spaces and stratified  $L$ -convex spaces, where  $L$  is a continuous lattice. Both of the functors have been used to prove the embedding of convex spaces in stratified  $L$ -convex spaces as a reflective and coreflective subcategory, where  $L$  satisfies the multiplicative condition. Also, stratified  $L$ -convex spaces, convex-generated  $L$ -convex spaces, weakly induced  $L$ -convex spaces,

and induced  $L$ -convex spaces are introduced and their relationships are discussed category-theoretically by Pang and Shi [6]. In 2016, Pang and Zhao [7] introduced the concept of  $L$ -concave spaces, concave  $L$ -neighborhood systems, and concave  $L$ -interior operators which are the dual concepts of  $L$ -convex spaces, convex  $L$ -neighborhood systems, and convex  $L$ -interior operators. The isomorphism between these categories and the category of  $L$ -convex spaces are discussed and studied when  $L$  is a completely distributive lattice with an order-reversing involution.

Shi and Xiu [8] initiated the concept of  $M$ -fuzzifying convex structure as a new approach to convexity fuzzification. Recently, Shi and Li [9] generalized the classical restricted hull operators to  $M$ -fuzzifying restricted hull operators and used it to characterize  $M$ -fuzzifying convex structures. In [10], Wu and Bai discussed some properties of hull operator and introduced  $M$ -fuzzifying JHC property and  $M$ -fuzzifying Peano property. Further, Xiu and his colleagues [11–14] verified the categorical relationship between  $M$ -fuzzifying convexity and other related spatial structures. Later, Shi and Xiu [15] introduced and characterized the notion of  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy convexity as an extension to  $L$ -convexity and  $M$ -fuzzifying convexity. In the new structure, each  $L$ -fuzzy subset can be regarded as an

$L$ -convex set to some degree.  $M$ -fuzzifying convex spaces and  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy convex spaces have also been investigated in many studies [16–21].

In 1992, Šostak [22] introduced the concept of fuzzy category. In a fuzzy category, the potential objects and morphisms could be such to some degrees. Later, Kubiak and Šostak [23] fuzzified the category of  $M$ -valued  $L$ -fuzzy topological spaces. In [24], Zhong and Shi characterized the degree to which a function  $\mathcal{T}: L^X \rightarrow M$  is an  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy topology. Moreover, the degree to which an  $L$ -subset is an  $L$ -open set with respect to  $\mathcal{T}$  is studied. Further, the degrees of continuity, openness, closeness, and quotient of a function  $f: (X, \mathcal{T}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{T}_Y)$  with respect to the  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy topologies  $\mathcal{T}_X$  and  $\mathcal{T}_Y$ , are given and their properties are characterized. Further, several kinds of continuity, compactness, and connectedness are generalized with their elementary properties to  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy topological spaces setting based on graded concepts (see [25–30]). In [31], Ghareeb et al. studied the  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy measurability in view of degree. Firstly, they generalized  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy  $\sigma$ -algebra by presenting the degree of an  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy  $\sigma$ -algebra with respect to a mapping  $\sigma: L^X \rightarrow M$ . Moreover, they defined and discussed some special degrees such as the degree of  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy measurable mapping,  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy measurable-to-measurable mapping, isomorphic mapping, and quotient mapping with respect to mappings between two  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy measurable spaces in detail.

The aim of this paper is to present the degree of  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concavity as an extension of  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concave structure. Moreover, we present the degree of  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concavity preserving functions and  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concave-to-concave functions. Some properties and relationship between the degree of  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concavity preserving and  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concave-to-concave functions are characterized.

## 2. Preliminaries

This section begins with some introductory material on  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy convexity. In the sequel,  $X$  refers to a finite set, both  $L$  and  $M$  denote completely distributive lattices. The zero and the unit elements in  $L$  and  $M$  are symbolized by  $\perp_L$ ,  $\perp_M$  and  $\top_L$ ,  $\top_M$ , respectively. By  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega}^{\text{dir}} \subseteq L^X$  (resp.  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega}^{\text{codir}} \subseteq L^X$ ), we refer to the directed (resp. codirected) subfamily  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega}$  of  $L^X$ . For a completely distributive lattice  $M$ , there exists a residual implication  $\rightarrow: M \times M \rightarrow M$  which is right adjoint for meet operation  $\wedge$  and given by

$$r \rightarrow s = \vee\{t \in M | r \wedge t \leq s\}. \quad (1)$$

Moreover, the operation  $\leftrightarrow$  is defined by

$$r \leftrightarrow s = (r \rightarrow s) \wedge (s \rightarrow r). \quad (2)$$

The following lemma lists some properties of implication operation.

**Lemma 1** (see [32]). *For any  $r, s, t \in M$ ,  $\{r_i\}_{i \in \Omega}$  and  $\{s_i\}_{i \in \Omega} \in M$ , we have the following statements:*

- (1)  $\top_M \rightarrow r = r$
- (2)  $t \leq r \rightarrow s \iff r \wedge t \leq s$
- (3)  $r \rightarrow s = \top_M \iff r \leq s$
- (4)  $r \rightarrow \bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} r_i = \bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} (r \rightarrow r_i)$ , hence  $r \rightarrow s \leq r \rightarrow t$  whenever  $s \leq t$
- (5)  $\bigvee_{i \in \Omega} r_i \rightarrow s = \bigvee_{i \in \Omega} (r_i \rightarrow s)$ , hence  $r \rightarrow t \geq s \rightarrow t$  whenever  $r \leq s$
- (6)  $(r \rightarrow t) \wedge (t \rightarrow s) \leq r \rightarrow s$

An element  $r \in M$  is said to be a prime element if  $r \leq s \wedge t$  leads to  $r \leq s$  or  $r \leq t$ . Also,  $r \in M$  is said to be coprime if  $r \geq s \vee t$  leads to  $r \geq s$  or  $r \geq t$ . The collection of all nonunit prime and nonzero coprime elements in  $M$  are symbolized by  $P(M)$  and  $J(M)$ , respectively.

The binary relation  $\ll$  on  $M$  is defined as follows: for  $r, s \in M$ ,  $r \ll s$  if and only if for every subset  $B \subseteq M$ , the relation  $s \leq \sup B$  always leads to the existence of  $t \in B$  with  $r \leq t$ . The family  $\{r \in M: r \ll s\}$  is called the greatest minimal family of  $s$ , symbolized by  $\beta(s)$ , and  $\beta^*(s) = \beta(s) \cap J(M)$ . Moreover, for  $s \in M$ , we define  $\alpha(s) = \{r \in M: r' \ll s'\}$  and  $\alpha^*(s) = \alpha(s) \cap P(M)$ . In a completely distributive lattice  $L$ , there exist  $\alpha(s)$  and  $\beta(s)$  for each  $s \in M$ ,  $s = \wedge \alpha(s) = \vee \beta(s)$ , and  $\alpha(\top_M) = \beta(\perp_M) = \emptyset$  (see [33–35] for more details).

In [7], Pang and Zhao introduced the concept of  $L$ -concave spaces, which is a dual concept of  $L$ -convex spaces as follows.

**Definition 1** (see [7]). An  $L$ -concave structure  $\mathfrak{C}$  on a nonempty set  $X$  is a subset of  $L^X$  such that

- (L1)  $\perp_{L^X}, \perp_{L^X} \in \mathfrak{C}$
- (L2)  $\bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i \in \mathfrak{C}$  for each  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega}^{\text{cdir}} \subseteq L^X$
- (L3)  $\bigvee_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i \in \mathfrak{C}$  for each  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega} \subseteq L^X$

The pair  $(X, \mathfrak{C})$  is called an  $L$ -concave space. A function  $f: (X, \mathfrak{C}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathfrak{C}_Y)$  is called  $L$ -concavity preserving if  $v \in \mathfrak{C}_Y$  implies that  $f_L^-(v) \in \mathfrak{C}_X$ , and  $f$  is called concave-to-concave function if  $f_L^-(\lambda) \in \mathfrak{C}_Y$  for each  $\lambda \in L^X$ .

The following definition extends  $L$ -concavity to  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy setting.

**Definition 2.** A function  $\mathcal{C}: L^X \rightarrow M$  is called an  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concavity on  $X$  if  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies the following statements:

- (C1)  $\mathcal{C}(\perp_{L^X}) = \mathcal{C}(\perp_{L^X}) = \top_M$
- (C2)  $\mathcal{C}(\bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i) \geq \bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}(\lambda_i)$ , for every  $\emptyset \neq \{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega}^{\text{cdir}} \subseteq L^X$
- (C3)  $\mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i) \geq \bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}(\lambda_i)$ , for every  $\emptyset \neq \{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega} \subseteq L^X$

The pair  $(X, \mathcal{C})$  is called an  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concave structure. For all  $\lambda \in L^X$ , the value  $\mathcal{C}(\lambda)$  represents the degree to which  $\lambda$  is concave  $L$ -subset. For any two  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concavities  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  on  $X$ , we say  $\mathcal{C}$  is coarser than  $\mathcal{D}$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{D}$  is finer than  $\mathcal{C}$ ) if and only if  $\mathcal{C}(\lambda) \leq \mathcal{D}(\lambda)$ , for every  $\lambda \in L^X$ . For any two  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concave structures  $(X, \mathfrak{C}_X)$  and  $(Y, \mathfrak{C}_Y)$ , the function  $f: (X, \mathfrak{C}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathfrak{C}_Y)$  is said to be

- (1) An  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concavity preserving function if  $\mathcal{C}_X(f_L^\leftarrow(\nu)) \geq \mathcal{C}_Y(\nu)$  for any  $\nu \in L^Y$
- (2) An  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concave-to-concave function if  $\mathcal{C}_Y(f_L^\rightarrow(\lambda)) \geq \mathcal{C}_X(\lambda)$  for any  $\lambda \in L^X$

Obviously, a  $(2, M)$ -fuzzy concave structure can be viewed as an  $M$ -fuzzifying concave structure, where  $2 = \{\top, \perp\}$ . Moreover, an  $(L, 2)$ -fuzzy concave structure is called an  $L$ -concave structure [7]. Further, when  $L = M = [0, 1]$ , the  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concave structure is called a  $[0, 1]$ -fuzzy concave structure. A crisp convex structure can be regarded as a  $(2, 2)$ -fuzzy convex structure.

*Example 1.* If an  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy cotopology  $\mathcal{O}: L^X \rightarrow M$  (see [36, 37]) satisfies

$$\mathcal{O}\left(\bigvee_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i\right) \geq \bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathcal{O}(\lambda_i), \quad (3)$$

for every  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega} \subseteq L^X$ , then  $\mathcal{O}$  is called saturated  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy cotopology. The pair  $(X, \mathcal{O})$  is called an Alexandroff  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy cotopological space. It can be easily verified that Alexandroff  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy cotopological space is an  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concave structure.

For each  $\mathcal{C}: L^X \rightarrow M$  and  $r \in M$ , we have the following two cut sets:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{[r]} &= \{\lambda \in L^X : \mathcal{C}(\lambda) \geq r\}, \\ \mathcal{C}^{[r]} &= \{\lambda \in L^X : r \notin \alpha(\mathcal{C}(\lambda))\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\mathcal{C}: L^X \rightarrow M$  be a function. Then, the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $(X, \mathcal{C})$  is an  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concave structure
- (2) For any  $r \in M \setminus \{\perp_M\}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{[r]}$  is an  $L$ -concavity on  $X$
- (3) For any  $r \in \alpha(\perp_M)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}^{[r]}$  is an  $L$ -concavity on  $X$

In the following theorem, we assume the existence of an order-reversing involution “ $\text{d}^\dagger$ ” with the completely distributive lattice  $(M, \vee, \wedge, \text{d}^\dagger)$ , i.e.,  $(M, \vee, \wedge, \text{d}^\dagger)$  is a completely distributive DeMorgan algebra.

**Theorem 2** (see [20, 38, 39]). *i.e closure (resp. hull) operator  $co_{\mathcal{C}}: L^X \rightarrow M^{J(L^X)}$  of an  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concave structure  $(X, \mathcal{C})$  is defined by*

$$co_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda)(x_r) = \bigwedge_{x_r \not\leq \mu \geq \lambda} (\mathcal{C}(\mu'))', \quad \forall \lambda \in L^X, \forall x_r \in J(L^X). \quad (5)$$

Then, for every  $\lambda, \mu \in L^X$  and  $x_r \in J(L^X)$ ,  $co_{\mathcal{C}}$  achieves the following statements:

- (H1)  $co_{\mathcal{C}}(\perp_{L^X})(x_r) = \perp_M$
- (H2) If  $x_r \leq \lambda$ , then  $co_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda)(x_r) = \top_M$
- (H3) If  $\lambda \leq \mu$ , then  $co_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda) \leq co_{\mathcal{C}}(\mu)$
- (H4)  $co_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda)(x_r) = \bigwedge_{x_r \leq \mu \geq \lambda} \bigvee_{y_s \leq \mu} co_{\mathcal{C}}(\mu)(y_s)$

Conversely, let  $co: L^X \rightarrow M^{J(L^X)}$  be an operator achieving (H1)–(H4) and the function  $\mathcal{C}_{co}: L^X \rightarrow M$  is given by

$$\mathcal{C}_{co}(\lambda) = \bigwedge_{x_r \not\leq \lambda'} (co(\lambda')(x_r)'), \quad \forall \lambda \in L^X. \quad (6)$$

Then,  $\mathcal{C}_{co}$  is an  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concave structure.

### 3. The Degree of $(L, M)$ -Fuzzy Concavity

In this section, we present and characterize the degree of  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concavity. Moreover,  $M$ -fuzzifying concavity degree is presented and its characterizations are introduced.

**Definition 3.** Let  $\mathcal{C}: L^X \rightarrow M$  be a function. Then,  $\mathbf{Coc}(\mathcal{C})$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Coc}(\mathcal{C}) &= \mathcal{C}(\perp_{L^X}) \wedge \mathcal{C}(\top_{L^X}) \\ &\wedge \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bigwedge_{\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega}^{\text{dir}} \subseteq L^X} \left( \left( \bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}(\lambda_i) \right) \mapsto \mathcal{C}\left(\bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i\right) \right) \\ \bigwedge_{\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega} \subseteq L^X} \left( \left( \bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}(\lambda_i) \right) \mapsto \mathcal{C}\left(\bigvee_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i\right) \right) \end{array} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

is called an  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concavity degree (i.e., the degree to which  $\mathcal{C}$  is an  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concavity on  $X$ ).

*Remark 1*

- (1) If  $\mathbf{Coc}(\mathcal{C}) = \top_M$ , then  $\mathcal{C}(\perp_{L^X}) = \mathcal{C}(\top_{L^X}) = \top_M$ ,  $\bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}(\lambda_i) \leq \mathcal{C}(\bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i)$  for each  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega}^{\text{cdir}} \subseteq L^X$  and  $\bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}(\lambda_i) \leq \mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i)$  for each  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega} \subseteq L^X$ . It is exactly the definition of  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concave structure on  $X$  (see Definition 2). Moreover,  $\mathcal{C}$  is an  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concavity if and only if  $\mathbf{Coc}(\mathcal{C}) = \top_M$ .
- (2) If  $L = \{\perp, \top\}$  in Definition 3, then  $\mathbf{Coc}(\mathcal{C})$  is called an  $M$ -fuzzifying concavity degree of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

*Example 2.* If  $\mathcal{C}: L^X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a function such that  $\mathcal{C}(\lambda) = (1/2)$  for any  $\lambda \in L^X$ . By Definition 3, we get  $\mathbf{Coc}(\mathcal{C}) = (1/2) \neq 1$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\mathcal{C}: L^X \rightarrow M$  be a function. For any  $r \in M$ ,  $r \leq \mathbf{Coc}(\mathcal{C})$  if and only if  $r \leq \mathcal{C}(\perp_{L^X})$ ,  $r \leq \mathcal{C}(\top_{L^X})$ ,  $\bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}(\lambda_i) \wedge r \leq \mathcal{C}(\bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i)$  for each  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega}^{\text{cdir}} \subseteq L^X$  and  $\bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}(\lambda_i) \wedge r \leq \mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i)$  for each  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega} \subseteq L^X$ .

The following theorem can be proved using the previous lemma.

**Theorem 3.** For the function  $\mathcal{C}: L^X \rightarrow M$ , we have

$$\mathbf{Coc}(\mathcal{C}) = \bigvee \left\{ r \in M \mid \begin{array}{l} r \leq \mathcal{C}(\perp_{L^X}), r \leq \mathcal{C}(\top_{L^X}), \\ \left( \bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}(\lambda_i) \right) \wedge r \leq \mathcal{C}\left(\bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i\right), \quad \forall \{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega}^{\text{cdir}} \subseteq L^X, \\ \left( \bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}(\lambda_i) \right) \wedge r \leq \mathcal{C}\left(\bigvee_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i\right), \quad \forall \{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega} \subseteq L^X. \end{array} \right\}. \quad (8)$$

**Theorem 4.** Let  $\mathcal{C}: L^X \rightarrow M$  be a function. Then,

$$\text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}) = \vee \{r \in M | \forall s \leq r, \mathcal{C}_{[s]} \text{ is an } L\text{-concavity}\}. \quad (9)$$

**Proof.** Let  $r \leq \mathcal{C}(\perp_{L^X})$ ,  $r \leq \mathcal{C}(\perp_{L^X})$ , and  $(\wedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}(\lambda_i)) \wedge r \leq \mathcal{C}(\wedge_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i)$  for every  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega} \subseteq L^X$  and  $(\wedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}(\lambda_i)) \wedge r \leq \mathcal{C}(\vee_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i)$  for every  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega} \subseteq L^X$ . For  $s \leq r$ ,  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{[s]}$ , and  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{[s]}$ , we have  $\mathcal{C}(\perp_{L^X}) \geq s$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(\perp_{L^X}) \geq s$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(\wedge_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i) \geq (\wedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}(\lambda_i)) \wedge r \geq s$ , and  $\mathcal{C}(\vee_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i) \geq (\wedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}(\lambda_i)) \wedge r \geq s$ . Therefore,  $\perp_{L^X}, \perp_{L^X} \in \mathcal{C}_{[s]}, \wedge_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i \in \mathcal{C}_{[s]}$ , and  $\vee_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i \in \mathcal{C}_{[s]}$  and hence  $\text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}) \leq R$ , where  $R$  denotes the right hand side of the equality.

Conversely, suppose that  $\mathcal{C}_{[s]}$  is an  $L$ -concavity on  $X$  for any  $s \leq r$ . Let  $s = r$ , then  $\perp_{L^X}, \perp_{L^X} \in \mathcal{C}_{[s]}$ , which means  $\mathcal{C}(\perp_{L^X}) \geq r$  and  $\mathcal{C}(\perp_{L^X}) \geq r$ . For any  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega} \subseteq L^X$ , let  $s = (\wedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}(\lambda_i)) \wedge r$ , then  $s \leq r$  and  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{[s]}$ . Thus,  $\wedge_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i \in \mathcal{C}_{[s]}$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{C}(\wedge_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i) \geq (\wedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}(\lambda_i)) \wedge r$ . For any  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega} \subseteq L^X$ , let  $s = (\wedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}(\lambda_i)) \wedge r$ , then  $s \leq r$  and  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{[s]}$ . Thus,  $\vee_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i \in \mathcal{C}_{[s]}$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{C}(\vee_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i) \geq (\wedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}(\lambda_i)) \wedge r$ . Hence,  $\text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}) \geq R$ .

**Theorem 5.** Let  $\mathcal{C}: L^X \rightarrow M$  be a function. Then,

$$\text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}) = \vee \{r \in M | \forall s \notin \alpha(r), \mathcal{C}_{[s]} \text{ is an } L\text{-concavity}\}. \quad (10)$$

**Proof.** Let  $r \leq \mathcal{C}(\perp_{L^X})$ ,  $r \leq \mathcal{C}(\perp_{L^X})$ , and  $(\wedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}(\lambda_i)) \wedge r \leq \mathcal{C}(\wedge_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i)$  for each  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega} \subseteq L^X$  and  $(\wedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}(\lambda_i)) \wedge r \leq \mathcal{C}(\vee_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i)$  for each  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega} \subseteq L^X$ . For any  $s \notin \alpha(r)$ ,  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{[s]}$ , and  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{[s]}$ , we have  $s \notin \alpha(r) \cup (\cup_{i \in \Omega} \alpha(\mathcal{C}(\lambda_i)))$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(r) \cup \left( \cup_{i \in \Omega} \alpha(\mathcal{C}(\lambda_i)) \right) &= \alpha \left( r \wedge \left( \wedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}(\lambda_i) \right) \right) \supseteq \alpha \left( \mathcal{C} \left( \wedge_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i \right) \right), \\ \alpha(r) \cup \left( \cup_{i \in \Omega} \alpha(\mathcal{C}(\lambda_i)) \right) &= \alpha \left( r \wedge \left( \wedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}(\lambda_i) \right) \right) \supseteq \alpha \left( \mathcal{C} \left( \vee_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Thus,  $s \notin \alpha(\mathcal{C}(\wedge_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i))$  and  $s \notin \alpha(\mathcal{C}(\vee_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i))$ . This shows  $\wedge_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i \in \mathcal{C}_{[s]}$  and  $\vee_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i \in \mathcal{C}_{[s]}$ . Since  $\alpha(r) \supseteq \alpha(\mathcal{C}$

$(\perp_{L^X}))$  and  $s \notin \alpha(\mathcal{C}(\perp_{L^X}))$ , we know  $s \notin \alpha(\mathcal{C}(\perp_{L^X}))$  and  $s \notin \alpha(\mathcal{C}(\perp_{L^X}))$ , which proves  $\perp_{L^X}, \perp_{L^X} \in \mathcal{C}_{[s]}$ . Hence,  $\text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}) \leq R$ , where  $R$  denotes the right hand side of the equality.

Conversely, suppose that for any  $s \notin \alpha(r)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{[s]}$  is an  $L$ -concavity and  $\perp_{L^X}, \perp_{L^X} \in \mathcal{C}_{[s]}$ , i.e.,  $s \notin \alpha(\mathcal{C}(\perp_{L^X}))$  and  $s \notin \alpha(\mathcal{C}(\perp_{L^X}))$ . Then,  $\alpha(\mathcal{C}(\perp_{L^X})) \subseteq \alpha(r)$  and  $\alpha(\mathcal{C}(\perp_{L^X})) \subseteq \alpha(r)$ , i.e.,  $r \leq \mathcal{C}(\perp_{L^X})$  and  $r \leq \mathcal{C}(\perp_{L^X})$ . For  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega} \subseteq L^X$ , take any  $s \notin \alpha((\wedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}(\lambda_i)) \wedge r)$ . By  $\alpha((\wedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}(\lambda_i)) \wedge r) = (\cup_{i \in \Omega} \alpha(\mathcal{C}(\lambda_i))) \cup \alpha(r)$ , we have  $s \notin \alpha(r)$ ,  $s \notin \alpha(\mathcal{C}(\lambda_i))$ , for all  $i \in \Omega$ , which means  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{[s]}$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}_{[s]}$  is an  $L$ -concavity, we have  $\wedge_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i \in \mathcal{C}_{[s]}$ , i.e.,  $s \notin \alpha(\mathcal{C}(\wedge_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i))$ . Then,  $\alpha(\mathcal{C}(\wedge_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i)) \subseteq \alpha((\wedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}(\lambda_i)) \wedge r)$ , i.e.,  $(\wedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}(\lambda_i)) \wedge r \leq \mathcal{C}(\wedge_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i)$ . Similarly, we can show that  $(\wedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}(\lambda_i)) \wedge r \leq \mathcal{C}(\vee_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i)$  for each  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega} \subseteq L^X$ . Hence,  $\text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}) \geq R$ .

The following corollary gives similar characterization of  $M$ -fuzzifying concavity.

**Corollary 1.** Let  $\mathcal{C}: 2^X \rightarrow M$  be a function. Then,

- (1)  $\text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}) = \vee \{r \in M, r \leq \mathcal{C}(X), r \leq \mathcal{C}(\emptyset), (\wedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}(A_i)) \wedge r \leq \mathcal{C}(\cap_{i \in \Omega} A_i), \forall \{A_i\}_{i \in \Omega}^{\text{dir}} \subseteq 2^X, (\wedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}(A_i)) \wedge r \leq \mathcal{C}(\cup_{i \in \Omega} A_i), \forall \{A_i\}_{i \in \omega} \subseteq 2^X\}$
- (2)  $\text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}) = \vee \{r \in M | \forall t s n \leq q r h_{\mathcal{C}_{[s]}} x \text{ is a concavity}\}$
- (3)  $\text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}) = \vee \{r \in M | \forall s \notin \alpha(r), \mathcal{C}_{[s]} \text{ is a concavity}\}$

An  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concavity degree  $\text{Coc}(\mathcal{C})$  can also be treated as a function  $\text{Coc}: M^{L^X} \rightarrow M$  given by  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Coc}(\mathcal{C})$ . This function has the following property.

**Theorem 6.** Let  $\{\mathcal{C}_j | \mathcal{C}_j: nL^X q \rightarrow hM\}_{j \in J}$  be a family of functions. Then,

$$\bigwedge_{j \in J} \text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_j) \leq \text{Coc} \left( \bigwedge_{j \in J} \mathcal{C}_j \right). \quad (12)$$

**Proof.** From Definition 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Coc} \left( \bigwedge_{j \in J} \mathcal{C}_j \right) &= \left( \bigwedge_{j \in J} \mathcal{C}_j(\perp_{L^X}) \right) \wedge \left( \bigwedge_{j \in J} \mathcal{C}_j(\perp_{L^X}) \right) \wedge \left\{ \bigwedge_{\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega} \subseteq L^X} \left\{ \left( \bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \left( \bigwedge_{j \in J} \mathcal{C}_j(\lambda_i) \right) \right) \mapsto \left( \bigwedge_{j \in J} \mathcal{C}_j \left( \bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i \right) \right) \right\} \right\} \\ &\wedge \left\{ \bigwedge_{\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega} \subseteq L^X} \left\{ \left( \bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \left( \bigwedge_{j \in J} \mathcal{C}_j(\lambda_i) \right) \right) \mapsto \left( \bigwedge_{j \in J} \mathcal{C}_j \left( \bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i \right) \right) \right\} \right\} \\ &= \left( \bigwedge_{j \in J} \mathcal{C}_j(\perp_{L^X}) \right) \wedge \left( \bigwedge_{j \in J} \mathcal{C}_j(\perp_{L^X}) \right) \wedge \left\{ \bigwedge_{\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega} \subseteq L^X} \bigwedge_{j \in J} \left\{ \left( \bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \bigwedge_{j \in J} \mathcal{C}_j(\lambda_i) \right) \mapsto \mathcal{C}_j \left( \bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i \right) \right\} \right\} \\ &\wedge \left\{ \bigwedge_{\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega} \subseteq L^X} \bigwedge_{j \in J} \left\{ \left( \bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \bigwedge_{j \in J} \mathcal{C}_j(\lambda_i) \right) \mapsto \mathcal{C}_j \left( \bigvee_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i \right) \right\} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \geq \left( \bigwedge_{j \in J} \mathcal{C}_j(\perp_{L^X}) \right) \wedge \left( \bigwedge_{j \in J} \mathcal{C}_j(\perp_{L^X}) \right) \wedge \left\{ \bigwedge_{j \in J} \bigwedge_{\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega} \subseteq L^X} \left\{ \left( \bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}_j(\lambda_j) \right) \mapsto \mathcal{C}_j \left( \bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i \right) \right\} \right\} \\
& \quad \wedge \left\{ \bigwedge_{j \in J} \bigwedge_{\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega} \subseteq L^X} \left\{ \left( \bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}_j(\lambda_j) \right) \mapsto \mathcal{C}_j \left( \bigvee_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i \right) \right\} \right\} \\
& = \bigwedge_{j \in J} \mathbf{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_j).
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

#### 4. The Degree of Concavity Preserving and Concave-to-Concave Functions

This section presents the degree of  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concavity preserving and  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concave-to-concave functions and discuss their properties.

**Definition 4.** Given a function  $\mathcal{C}: L^X \rightarrow M$ ,  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concavity degree  $\mathbf{Coc}(\mathcal{C})$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ , for any  $\lambda \in L^X$ , we define  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}}(\lambda)$  by  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}}(\lambda) = \mathbf{Coc}(\mathcal{C}) \wedge \mathcal{C}(\lambda)$  is called the degree to which  $\lambda$  is an  $L$ -concave set with respect to  $\mathcal{C}$  (or the  $L$ -concave set degree of  $\lambda$  with respect to  $\mathcal{C}$ ).

**Remark 2.** If  $\mathbf{Coc}(\mathcal{C}) = \top_M$ , which means that  $\mathcal{C}$  is an  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concavity, then  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}}(\lambda) = \mathcal{C}(\lambda)$ , which can be regarded as a generalization of  $\mathcal{C}(\lambda)$ .

**Proposition 1.** Given a function  $\mathcal{C}: L^X \rightarrow M$  and  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concavity degree  $\mathbf{Coc}(\mathcal{C})$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ , for each  $\lambda \in L^X$ ,  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}}(\lambda)$  denotes the  $L$ -concave set degree of  $\lambda$  with respect to  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then,

- (1)  $\bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}}(\lambda_i) \leq \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}}(\bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i)$ ,  $\forall \{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega}^{\text{cdir}} \subseteq L^X$
- (2)  $\bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}}(\lambda_i) \leq \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}}(\bigvee_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i)$ ,  $\forall \{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega} \subseteq L^X$

That is, if  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}}(\lambda)$  is regarded as a function  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}}: L^X \rightarrow M$  defined by  $\lambda \mapsto \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}}(\lambda)$ , then  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}}$  is an  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concavity on  $X$ .

*Proof*

- (1) Based on Definition 4, it suffices to prove that  $\mathbf{Coc}(\mathcal{C}) \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}(\lambda_i)) \leq \mathbf{Coc}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathbf{Coc}(\mathcal{C}) \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}(\lambda_i)) \leq \mathcal{C}(\bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i)$ . By Definition 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Coc}(\mathcal{C}) \wedge \left( \bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}(\lambda_i) \right) & \leq \left\{ \left( \bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}(\lambda_i) \right) \mapsto \mathcal{C} \left( \bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i \right) \right\} \\
& \quad \wedge \left( \bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}(\lambda_i) \right) \leq \mathcal{C} \left( \bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

- (2) It is similar to (3).

The following theorem characterizes  $L$ -concave set degree.

**Theorem 7.** Let  $\mathcal{C}: L^X \rightarrow M$  be a function and  $\mathbf{Coc}(\mathcal{C})$  be  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concavity degree of  $\mathcal{C}$ . For each  $\lambda \in L^X$ ,  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}}(\lambda)$  denotes the  $L$ -concave set degree of  $\lambda$  with respect to  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then,

- (1)  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}}(\lambda) = \bigvee \{r \in M \mid r \leq \mathcal{C}(\lambda), r \leq \mathcal{C}(\perp_{L^X}), r \leq \mathcal{C}(\perp_{L^X}), (\bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}(\lambda_i)) \wedge r \leq \mathcal{C}(\bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i) \forall \{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega}^{\text{cdir}} \subseteq L^X, (\bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \mathcal{C}(\lambda_i)) \wedge r \leq \mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i) \forall \{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \Omega} \subseteq L^X\}$
- (2)  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}}(\lambda) = \bigvee \{r \in M \mid \forall s \leq r, \mathcal{C}_{[s]} \text{ is an } L\text{-concavity and } \lambda \in \mathcal{C}_{[s]}\}$
- (3)  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}}(\lambda) = \bigvee \{r \in M \mid \forall s \notin \alpha(r), \mathcal{C}^{[s]} \text{ is an } L\text{-concavity and } \lambda \in \mathcal{C}_{[s]}\}$

*Proof.* The proofs are similar to those of Theorems 3–5.

**Definition 5.** Given two functions  $\mathcal{C}_X: L^X \rightarrow M$  and  $\mathcal{C}_Y: L^Y \rightarrow M$ , let  $f: (X, \mathcal{C}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{C}_Y)$  be a function. Then,

- (1) The concavity preserving degree  $\mathbf{p}$  of  $f$  with respect to  $\mathcal{C}_X$  and  $\mathcal{C}_Y$  is defined by

$$\mathbf{p}(f) = \bigwedge_{v \in L^Y} \{ \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}_Y}(v) \mapsto \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}_X}(f_L^\leftarrow(v)) \}. \tag{15}$$

- (2) The concave-to-concave degree  $\mathbf{c}$  of  $f$  with respect to  $\mathcal{C}_X$  and  $\mathcal{C}_Y$  is defined by

$$\mathbf{c}(f) = \bigwedge_{\lambda \in L^X} \{ \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}_X}(\lambda) \mapsto \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}_Y}(f_L^\rightarrow(\lambda)) \}, \tag{16}$$

where  $\mathbf{Coc}_X = \mathbf{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_X)$  and  $\mathbf{Coc}_Y = \mathbf{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_Y)$ .

**Theorem 8.** Let  $\mathcal{C}_X: L^X \rightarrow M$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_Y: L^Y \rightarrow M$  be two functions and let  $\mathbf{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_X)$ ,  $\mathbf{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_Y)$  refer to the  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concavity degrees of  $\mathcal{C}_X$  and  $\mathcal{C}_Y$ , respectively. Then,

- (1)  $\mathbf{p}(f) = \bigwedge \{r \in M \mid \mathbf{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_Y) \wedge \mathcal{C}_Y(f_L^\leftarrow(v)) \wedge r \leq \mathbf{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_X) \wedge \mathcal{C}_X(f_L^\leftarrow(v)), \forall v \in L^Y\}$
- (2)  $\mathbf{p}(f) = \bigwedge \{r \in M \mid \forall s \leq \mathbf{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_Y) \wedge r, \forall v \in (\mathcal{C}_Y)_{[s]}, s \leq \mathbf{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_X), f_L^\leftarrow(v) \in (\mathcal{C}_X)_{[s]}\}$
- (3)  $\mathbf{p}(f) = \bigwedge \{r \in M \mid \forall s \notin \alpha(\mathbf{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_Y) \wedge r), \forall v \in (\mathcal{C}_Y)_{[s]}, s \notin \alpha(\mathbf{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_X)), f_L^\leftarrow(v) \in (\mathcal{C}_X)_{[s]}\}$

*Proof*

(1) Since for each  $r \in M$  and  $\nu \in L^Y$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} r \leq p(f) &\iff r \wedge P_{\text{Coc}_Y}(\nu) \leq P_{\text{Coc}_X}(f_L^\leftarrow(\nu)) \iff \text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_Y) \\ &\wedge \mathcal{C}_Y(\nu) \wedge r \leq \text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_X) \wedge \mathcal{C}_X(f_L^\leftarrow(\nu)). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

The proof of (1) is clear.

(2) Suppose that  $\text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_Y) \wedge \mathcal{C}_Y(\nu) \wedge r \leq \text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_X) \wedge \mathcal{C}_X(f_L^\leftarrow(\nu))$  for each  $\nu \in L^Y$ . For any  $s \leq \text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_Y) \wedge r$  and  $\nu \in (\mathcal{C}_Y)_{[s]}$ , i.e.,  $s \leq \mathcal{C}_Y(\nu)$ , we have  $s \leq \text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_X) \wedge \mathcal{C}_X(f_L^\leftarrow(\nu))$ . Thus,  $s \leq \text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_X)$  and  $s \leq \mathcal{C}_X(f_L^\leftarrow(\nu))$ , i.e.,  $f_L^\leftarrow(\nu) \in (\mathcal{C}_X)_{[s]}$ . By (1), hence  $p(f) \leq R$ , where  $R$  refers to the right hand side of equality.

Conversely, let  $s \leq \text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_Y) \wedge \mathcal{C}_Y(\nu) \wedge r$ . Then,  $s \leq \text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_Y) \wedge r$  and  $s \leq \mathcal{C}_Y(\nu)$ , i.e.,  $\nu \in (\mathcal{C}_Y)_{[s]}$ . Thus,  $\text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_X) \geq s$  and  $f_L^\leftarrow(\nu) \in (\mathcal{C}_X)_{[s]}$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{C}_X(f_L^\leftarrow(\nu)) \geq s$ . This implies  $\text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_X) \wedge \mathcal{C}_X(f_L^\leftarrow(\nu)) \geq s$ . Since  $s$  is arbitrary, we have  $\text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_Y) \wedge \mathcal{C}_Y(\nu) \wedge r \leq \text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_X) \wedge \mathcal{C}_X(f_L^\leftarrow(\nu))$ . From this result together with (1), we have  $p(f) \geq R$ .

(3) Suppose that  $\text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_Y) \wedge \mathcal{C}_Y(\nu) \wedge r \leq \text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_X) \wedge \mathcal{C}_X(f_L^\leftarrow(\nu))$  for each  $\nu \in L^Y$ . For any  $s \notin \alpha(\text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_Y) \wedge r)$  and  $\nu \in (\mathcal{C}_Y)^{[s]}$ , i.e.,  $s \notin \alpha(\mathcal{C}_Y(\nu))$ , we have  $s \notin \alpha(\mathcal{C}_Y(\nu)) \cup \alpha(\text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_Y) \wedge r)$ . Since  $\alpha$  is a  $\wedge$ - $\cup$  map, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\mathcal{C}_Y(\nu)) \cup \alpha(\text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_Y) \wedge r) &= \alpha(\text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_Y) \wedge \mathcal{C}_Y(\nu) \wedge r) \supseteq \alpha(\text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_X) \wedge \mathcal{C}_X(f_L^\leftarrow(\nu))) \\ &= \alpha(\text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_X)) \cup \alpha(\mathcal{C}_X(f_L^\leftarrow(\nu))). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

This implies  $s \notin \alpha(\text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_X))$  and  $s \notin \alpha(\mathcal{C}_X(f_L^\leftarrow(\nu)))$ , i.e.,  $f_L^\leftarrow(\nu) \in (\mathcal{C}_X)^{[s]}$ . By (1), we have  $p(f) \leq R$ , where  $R$  refers to the right hand side of the equality.

Conversely, take any  $s \notin \alpha(\text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_Y) \wedge \mathcal{C}_Y(\nu) \wedge r)$ . By

$$\alpha(\text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_Y) \wedge \mathcal{C}_Y(\nu) \wedge r) = \alpha(\text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_Y) \wedge r) \cup \alpha(\mathcal{C}_Y(\nu)), \quad (19)$$

we have  $s \notin \alpha(\text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_Y) \wedge r)$  and  $s \notin \alpha(\mathcal{C}_Y(\nu))$ , i.e.,  $\nu \in (\mathcal{C}_Y)^{[s]}$ . Thus,  $s \notin \alpha(\text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_X))$  and  $f_L^\leftarrow(\nu) \in (\mathcal{C}_X)^{[s]}$ , i.e.,  $s \notin \alpha(\mathcal{C}_X(f_L^\leftarrow(\nu)))$ . This implies

$$\begin{aligned} p(f) \wedge p(g) &= \left\{ \bigwedge_{\nu \in L^Y} \left( P_{\text{Coc}_Y}(\nu) \mapsto P_{\text{Coc}_X}(f_L^\leftarrow(\nu)) \right) \right\} \wedge \left\{ \bigwedge_{\gamma \in L^Z} \left( P_{\text{Coc}_Z}(\gamma) \mapsto P_{\text{Coc}_Y}(g_L^\leftarrow(\gamma)) \right) \right\} \\ &\leq \left\{ \bigwedge_{\xi \in L^Z} \left( P_{\text{Coc}_Y}(g_L^\leftarrow(\xi)) \mapsto P_{\text{Coc}_X}(f_L^\leftarrow(g_L^\leftarrow(\xi))) \right) \right\} \wedge \left\{ \bigwedge_{\gamma \in L^Z} \left( P_{\text{Coc}_Z}(\gamma) \mapsto P_{\text{Coc}_Y}(g_L^\leftarrow(\gamma)) \right) \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \bigwedge_{\xi \in L^Z} \left( P_{\text{Coc}_Y}(g_L^\leftarrow(\xi)) \mapsto P_{\text{Coc}_X}((g^\circ f)_L^\leftarrow(\xi)) \right) \right\} \wedge \left\{ \bigwedge_{\gamma \in L^Z} \left( P_{\text{Coc}_Z}(\gamma) \mapsto P_{\text{Coc}_Y}(g_L^\leftarrow(\gamma)) \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} s \notin \alpha(\text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_X)) \cup \alpha(\mathcal{C}_X(f_L^\leftarrow(\nu))) \\ = \alpha(\text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_X) \wedge \mathcal{C}_X(f_L^\leftarrow(\nu))). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Since  $s$  is arbitrary, we have  $\text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_Y) \wedge \mathcal{C}_Y(\nu) \wedge r \leq \text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_X) \wedge \mathcal{C}_X(f_L^\leftarrow(\nu))$ . From this result together with (1), we get  $p(f) \geq R$ .

**Theorem 9.** Given two functions  $\mathcal{C}_X: L^X \rightarrow M$  and  $\mathcal{C}_Y: L^Y \rightarrow M$ , if  $\text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_X)$  and  $\text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_Y)$  denote the  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concavity degrees of  $\mathcal{C}_X$  and  $\mathcal{C}_Y$ , respectively, then

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad c(f) &= \wedge \left\{ r \in M \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_X) \wedge \mathcal{C}_X(\lambda) \wedge r \leq \text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_Y) \wedge \mathcal{C}_Y(f_L^\rightarrow(\lambda)), \\ \forall \lambda \in L^X \end{array} \right\} \\ (2) \quad c(f) &= \wedge \left\{ r \in M \mid \begin{array}{l} \forall s \leq \text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_X) \wedge r, \forall \lambda \in (\mathcal{C}_X)_{[s]} \\ s \leq \text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_Y), f_L^\rightarrow(\lambda) \in (\mathcal{C}_Y)_{[s]} \end{array} \right\} \\ (3) \quad c(f) &= \wedge \left\{ r \in M \mid \begin{array}{l} \forall s \notin \alpha(\text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_X) \wedge r), \forall \lambda \in (\mathcal{C}_X)^{[s]}, \\ s \notin \alpha(\text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}_Y)), f_L^\rightarrow(\lambda) \in (\mathcal{C}_Y)^{[s]} \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Similar to the proof of Theorem 8.

**Proposition 2.** If  $M$  is a complete distributive DeMorgan algebra and  $f: (X, \mathcal{C}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{C}_Y)$  is a function between two  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concavity structures  $(X, \mathcal{C}_X)$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{C}_Y)$ , then

$$p(f) = \bigwedge_{x_r \in J(L^X)} \bigwedge_{\nu \in L^Y} \left\{ \text{co}_{\mathcal{C}_Y}(\nu')(f(x_r))' \mapsto \text{co}_{\mathcal{C}_X}(f_L^\leftarrow(\nu'))(x_r)' \right\}, \quad (21)$$

where  $\text{co}_{\mathcal{C}_X}$  and  $\text{co}_{\mathcal{C}_Y}$  are the corresponding hull operators.

*Proof.* Straightforward.

**Proposition 3.** Given five functions  $\mathcal{C}_X: L^X \rightarrow M$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_Y: L^Y \rightarrow M$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_Z: L^Z \rightarrow M$ ,  $f: (X, \mathcal{C}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{C}_Y)$ , and  $g: (Y, \mathcal{C}_Y) \rightarrow (Z, \mathcal{C}_Z)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad p(f) \wedge p(g) &\leq p(g^\circ f) \\ (2) \quad c(f) \wedge c(g) &\leq c(g^\circ f) \end{aligned}$$

*Proof*

(1) Since  $(g^\circ f)_L^\leftarrow(\xi) = f_L^\leftarrow(g_L^\leftarrow(\xi))$  for each  $\xi \in L^Z$ , by Definition 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \bigwedge_{\gamma \in L^Z} \left\{ \left( \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Y}(g_L^\leftarrow(\gamma)) \mapsto \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_X}((g^\circ f)_L^\leftarrow(\gamma)) \right) \wedge \left( \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Z}(\gamma) \mapsto \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Y}(g_L^\leftarrow(\gamma)) \right) \right\} \\
&\leq \bigwedge_{\gamma \in L^Z} \left\{ \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Z}(\gamma) \mapsto \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_X}((g^\circ f)_L^\leftarrow(\gamma)) \right\} \\
&= \mathbf{p}(g^\circ f).
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

(2) similar to the proof of (1).

*Proof*

**Proposition 4.** Given three functions  $\mathcal{C}_X: L^X \rightarrow M$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_Y: L^Y \rightarrow M$ , and  $\mathcal{C}_Z: L^Z \rightarrow M$ , let  $f: (X, \mathcal{C}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{C}_Y)$  and  $g: (Y, \mathcal{C}_Y) \rightarrow (Z, \mathcal{C}_Z)$ . Then,

- (1) If  $f$  is surjective, then  $\mathbf{c}(g^\circ f) \wedge \mathbf{p}(f) \leq \mathbf{c}(g)$ .
- (2) If  $g$  is injective, then  $\mathbf{c}(g^\circ f) \wedge \mathbf{p}(g) \leq \mathbf{c}(f)$ .

(1) Since  $f$  is surjective, we know  $f_L^\rightarrow(f_L^\leftarrow(\nu)) = \nu$  for all  $\nu \in L^Y$ . Then,

$$(g^\circ f)_L^\rightarrow(f_L^\leftarrow(\nu)) = g_L^\rightarrow(f_L^\rightarrow(f_L^\leftarrow(\nu))) = g_L^\rightarrow(\nu). \tag{23}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{c}(g^\circ f) \wedge \mathbf{p}(f) &= \left\{ \bigwedge_{\lambda \in L^X} \left( \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_X}(\lambda) \mapsto \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Z}((g^\circ f)_L^\rightarrow(\lambda)) \right) \right\} \wedge \left\{ \bigwedge_{\nu \in L^Y} \left( \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Y}(\nu) \mapsto \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_X}(f_L^\leftarrow(\nu)) \right) \right\} \\
&\leq \left\{ \bigwedge_{\gamma \in L^Y} \left( \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_X}(f_L^\leftarrow(\gamma)) \mapsto \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Z}((g^\circ f)_L^\rightarrow(f_L^\leftarrow(\gamma))) \right) \right\} \wedge \left\{ \bigwedge_{\nu \in L^Y} \left( \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Y}(\nu) \mapsto \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_X}(f_L^\leftarrow(\nu)) \right) \right\} \\
&= \left\{ \bigwedge_{\nu \in L^Y} \left( \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_X}(f_L^\leftarrow(\nu)) \mapsto \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Z}(g_L^\rightarrow(\nu)) \right) \right\} \wedge \left\{ \bigwedge_{\nu \in L^Y} \left( \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_X}(\nu) \mapsto \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_X}(f_L^\leftarrow(\nu)) \right) \right\} \\
&= \bigwedge_{\nu \in L^Y} \left\{ \left( \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_X}(f_L^\leftarrow(\nu)) \mapsto \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Z}(g_L^\rightarrow(\nu)) \right) \wedge \left( \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_X}(\nu) \mapsto \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_X}(f_L^\leftarrow(\nu)) \right) \right\} \\
&\leq \bigwedge_{\nu \in L^Y} \left\{ \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Y}(\nu) \mapsto \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Z}(g_L^\rightarrow(\nu)) \right\} = \mathbf{c}(g).
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

- (2) Since  $g$  is injective, we have  $g_L^\leftarrow(g_L^\rightarrow(\nu)) = \nu$  for each  $\nu \in L^Y$ . Then,  $g_L^\leftarrow((g^\circ f)_L^\rightarrow(\mu)) = f_L^\rightarrow(\mu)$  for all  $\mu \in L^X$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{c}(g^\circ f) \wedge \mathbf{p}(g) &= \left\{ \bigwedge_{\lambda \in L^X} \left( \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_X}(\lambda) \mapsto \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Z}((g^\circ f)_L^\rightarrow(\lambda)) \right) \right\} \wedge \left\{ \bigwedge_{\xi \in L^Z} \left( \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Z}(\xi) \mapsto \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Y}(g_L^\leftarrow((g^\circ f)_L^\rightarrow(\xi))) \right) \right\} \\
&\leq \left\{ \bigwedge_{\lambda \in L^X} \left( \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_X}(\lambda) \mapsto \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Z}((g^\circ f)_L^\rightarrow(\lambda)) \right) \right\} \wedge \left\{ \bigwedge_{\gamma \in L^X} \left( \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Z}((g^\circ f)_L^\rightarrow(\gamma)) \mapsto \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Y}(g_L^\leftarrow((g^\circ f)_L^\rightarrow(\gamma))) \right) \right\} \\
&= \left\{ \bigwedge_{\lambda \in L^X} \left( \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_X}(\lambda) \mapsto \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Z}(g_L^\rightarrow(f_L^\rightarrow(\lambda))) \right) \right\} \wedge \left\{ \bigwedge_{\gamma \in L^X} \left( \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Z}(g_L^\rightarrow(f_L^\rightarrow(\gamma))) \mapsto \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Y}(f_L^\rightarrow(\gamma)) \right) \right\} \\
&= \bigwedge_{\lambda \in L^X} \left\{ \left( \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_X}(\lambda) \mapsto \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Z}(g_L^\rightarrow(f_L^\rightarrow(\lambda))) \right) \wedge \left( \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Z}(g_L^\rightarrow(f_L^\rightarrow(\lambda))) \mapsto \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Y}(f_L^\rightarrow(\lambda)) \right) \right\} \\
&\leq \bigwedge_{\lambda \in L^X} \left\{ \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_X}(\lambda) \mapsto \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Y}(f_L^\rightarrow(\lambda)) \right\} \\
&= \mathbf{c}(f).
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

**Definition 6.** For any two functions  $\mathcal{C}_X: L^X \rightarrow M$  and  $\mathcal{C}_Y: L^Y \rightarrow M$ , if the function  $f: (X, \mathcal{C}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{C}_Y)$  is

bijective, then the isomorphism degree  $i\sigma(f)$  of the function  $f$  is given by  $i\sigma(f) = \mathbf{p}(f) \wedge \mathbf{p}(f^{-1})$ .

**Theorem 10.** For any two functions  $\mathcal{C}_X: L^X \rightarrow M$  and  $\mathcal{C}_Y: L^X \rightarrow M$ , if the function  $f: (X, \mathcal{C}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{C}_Y)$  is bijective, then  $\mathbf{p}(f^{-1}) = \mathbf{c}(f)$  and  $\mathbf{i}\circ\sigma(f) = \mathbf{p}(f) \wedge \mathbf{p}(f^{-1}) = \mathbf{p}(f) \wedge \mathbf{c}(f)$ .

*Proof.* From the bijectivity of the function  $f$ , we have  $(f^{-1})_L^\leftarrow(\lambda) = f_L^\rightarrow(\lambda)$  for any  $\lambda \in L^X$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{p}(f^{-1}) &= \bigwedge_{\lambda \in L^X} \left\{ \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}_X}(\lambda) \longmapsto \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}_Y}\left(\left(f^{-1}\right)_L^\leftarrow(\lambda)\right) \right\} \\ &= \bigwedge_{\lambda \in L^X} \left\{ \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}_X}(\lambda) \longmapsto \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}_Y}(f_L^\rightarrow(\lambda)) \right\} = \mathfrak{c}(f). \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Therefore,  $\text{is}\sigma(f) = \mathfrak{p}(f) \wedge \mathfrak{p}(f^{-1}) = \mathfrak{p}(f) \wedge \mathfrak{c}(f)$ . This completes the proof.

**Proposition 5.** Given a function  $\mathcal{C}_X: L^X \rightarrow M$ , if  $i d: (X, \mathcal{C}_X) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{C}_X)$  is the identity function, then

$$\text{is } \mathbf{o}(\text{id}) = \mathbf{p}(\text{id}) = \mathbf{c}(\text{id}) = \top_M. \quad (27)$$

*Proof.* Straightforward.

**Proposition 6.** Given three functions  $\mathcal{C}_X: L^X \rightarrow M$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_Y: L^Y \rightarrow M$ , and  $\mathcal{C}_Z: L^Z \rightarrow M$ , let  $f: (X, \mathcal{C}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{C}_Y)$  and  $g: (Y, \mathcal{C}_Y) \rightarrow (Z, \mathcal{C}_Z)$  be two bijective functions, then  $\text{iso}(f) \wedge \text{iso}(g) \leq \text{iso}(g \circ f)$ .

*Proof.* Straightforward.

**Lemma 3.** For any two functions  $\mathcal{C}_X: L^X \rightarrow M$  and  $\mathcal{C}_Y: L^Y \rightarrow M$ , if the function  $f: (X, \mathcal{C}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{C}_Y)$  is bijective, then

$$(1) \quad \mathfrak{p}(f) = \wedge_{\lambda \in L^X} \left\{ \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}_Y}(f_L^\rightarrow(\lambda)) \longmapsto \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}_X}(\lambda) \right\}$$

$$(2) \quad \mathfrak{c}(f) = \wedge_{\nu \in L^Y} \left\{ \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}_X}(f_L^\leftarrow(\nu)) \longmapsto \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}_Y}(\nu) \right\}$$

### *Proof*

(1) From the bijectivity of the function  $f$ , we get  
 $f_L^\leftarrow(f_L^{\rightarrow}(\lambda)) = \lambda$  for any  $\lambda \in L^X$  and  
 $f_L^{\rightarrow}(f_L^\leftarrow(\nu)) = \nu$  for any  $\nu \in L^Y$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \wedge_{\lambda \in L^X} \left\{ \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}_Y} (f_L^\rightarrow (\lambda)) \longmapsto \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}_X} (\lambda) \right\} = \wedge_{\lambda \in L^X} \left\{ \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}_Y} (f_L^\rightarrow (\lambda)) \longmapsto \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}_X} (f_L^\leftarrow (f_L^\rightarrow (\lambda))) \right\} \\
& \geq \wedge_{\lambda \in L^X} \left\{ \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}_Y} (\nu) \longmapsto \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}_X} (f_L^\leftarrow (\nu)) \right\} = \mathfrak{p}(f) \\
& = \wedge_{\nu \in L^X} \left\{ \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}_Y} (f_L^\rightarrow (f_L^\leftarrow (\nu))) \longmapsto \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}_X} (f_L^\leftarrow (\nu)) \right\} \\
& \geq \wedge_{\lambda \in L^X} \left\{ \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}_Y} (f_L^\rightarrow (\lambda)) \longmapsto \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}_X} (\lambda) \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathfrak{p}(f) = \bigwedge_{\lambda \in I^X} \left\{ \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Y}(f_L^\longrightarrow(\lambda)) \longmapsto \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_X}(\lambda) \right\}. \quad (29)$$

(2) similar to (1).

**Theorem 11.** For any two functions  $\mathcal{C}_X: L^X \rightarrow M$  and  $\mathcal{C}_Y: L^Y \rightarrow M$ , if the function  $f: (X, \mathcal{C}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{C}_Y)$  is bijective, then

$$(1) \text{ } i\circ o(f) = \wedge_{\lambda \in L^X} \left\{ \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}_X}(\lambda) \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Coc}_Y}(f_L^\rightharpoonup(\lambda)) \right\}$$

## 5. The Quotient Degree of Functions between ( $L, M$ )-Fuzzy Concave Structures

In this section, we endow the quotient functions with some degree and discuss the relationship with the degree of  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concavity preserving functions and the degree of  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concave-to-concave functions.

*Definition 7.* For any two functions  $\mathcal{C}_X: L^X \rightarrow M$  and  $\mathcal{C}_Y: L^Y \rightarrow M$ , if the function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is surjective, then the quotient degree of the function  $f$  with respect to  $\mathcal{C}_v$  and  $\mathcal{C}_v$ , denoted by  $q(f)$ , is given by

$$\mathbf{q}(f) = \bigwedge_{\nu \in I^Y} \left\{ \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Y}(\nu) \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_X}(f_L^\leftarrow(\nu)) \right\}. \quad (30)$$

The proof of the following theorem is similar to the proof of Theorem 8.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) \quad & q(f) = \wedge \left\{ r \in M \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{Coc}_Y \wedge C_Y(r) \leq \text{Coc}_X \wedge C_X(f_L^r(v)), \\ \text{Coc}_X \wedge C_X(f_L^r(v)) \leq \text{Coc}_Y \wedge C_Y(v), \forall v \in L^Y \end{array} \right\} \\
 (2) \quad & q(f) = \wedge \left\{ r \in M \mid \begin{array}{l} \forall s \leq \text{Coc}_Y \wedge r, \forall v \in (C_Y)^{[s]} : s \leq \text{Coc}_X, f_L^r(v) \in (C_X)^{[s]}, \\ \forall t \leq \text{Coc}_X \wedge r, \forall f_L^r(v) \in (C_X)^{[t]} : t \leq \text{Coc}_Y, v \in (C_Y)^{[t]} \end{array} \right\} \\
 (3) \quad & q(f) = \wedge \left\{ r \in M \mid \begin{array}{l} \forall s \notin \alpha(\text{Coc}_Y \wedge r), \forall v \in (C_Y)^{[s]} : s \notin \alpha(\text{Coc}_X), f_L^r(v) \in (C_X)^{[s]}, \\ \forall t \notin \alpha(\text{Coc}_X \wedge r), \forall f_L^r(v) \in (C_X)^{[t]} : t \notin \alpha(\text{Coc}_Y), v \in (C_Y)^{[t]} \end{array} \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 13.** For any two functions  $\mathcal{C}_X: L^X \rightarrow M$  and  $\mathcal{C}_Y: L^Y \rightarrow M$ , if  $f: (X, \mathcal{C}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{C}_Y)$  is a surjective function, then  $\mathbf{q}(f) \leq \mathbf{p}(f)$ .

*Proof.* Straightforward

**Theorem 14.** For any two functions  $\mathcal{C}_X: L^X \rightarrow M$  and  $\mathcal{C}_Y: L^Y \rightarrow M$ , if the function  $f: (X, \mathcal{C}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{C}_Y)$  is surjective, then  $\mathfrak{p}(f) \wedge \mathfrak{c}(f) \leq \mathfrak{a}(f)$ .

*Proof.* From the surjectivity of  $f$ ,  $f_L \rightarrow (f_L^\leftarrow(\gamma) = \gamma)$  for each  $\gamma \in L^Y$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{p}(f) \wedge \mathfrak{c}(f) &= \bigwedge_{\nu \in L^Y} \{\mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Y}(\nu) \mapsto \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_X}(f_L^\leftarrow(\nu))\} \wedge \bigwedge_{\lambda \in L^X} \{\mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_X}(\lambda) \mapsto \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Y}(f_L^\rightarrow(\lambda))\} \\
&\leq \bigwedge_{\nu \in L^Y} \{\mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Y}(\nu) \mapsto \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_X}(f_L^\leftarrow(\nu))\} \wedge \bigwedge_{\gamma \in L^Y} \{\mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_X}(f_L^\leftarrow(\gamma)) \mapsto \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Y}(f_L^\rightarrow(f_L^\leftarrow(\gamma)))\} \\
&= \bigwedge_{\nu \in L^Y} \{\mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Y}(\nu) \mapsto \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_X}(f_L^\leftarrow(\nu))\} \wedge \bigwedge_{\gamma \in L^Y} \{\mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_X}(f_L^\leftarrow(\gamma)) \mapsto \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Y}(\gamma)\} \\
&= \bigwedge_{\nu \in L^Y} \{(\mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Y}(\nu) \mapsto \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_X}(f_L^\leftarrow(\nu))) \wedge (\mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_X}(f_L^\leftarrow(\nu)) \mapsto \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Y}(\nu))\} \\
&= \bigwedge_{\nu \in L^Y} \{\mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Y}(\nu) \leftrightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_X}(f_L^\leftarrow(\nu))\} = \mathfrak{q}(f).
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

**Theorem 15.** For any three functions  $\mathcal{C}_X: L^X \rightarrow M$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_Y: L^Y \rightarrow M$ , and  $\mathcal{C}_Z: L^Z \rightarrow M$ , if the functions  $f: (X, \mathcal{C}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{C}_Y)$  and  $g: (Y, \mathcal{C}_Y) \rightarrow (Z, \mathcal{C}_Z)$  are surjective, then

$$(1) \quad \mathfrak{q}(f) \wedge \mathfrak{q}(g) \leq \mathfrak{q}(g \circ f) \tag{1}$$

$$(2) \quad \mathfrak{q}(g \circ f) \wedge \mathfrak{p}(f) \wedge \mathfrak{p}(g) \leq \mathfrak{q}(g)$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{q}(f) \wedge \mathfrak{q}(g) &= \bigwedge_{\nu \in L^Y} \{\mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Y}(\nu) \leftrightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_X}(f_L^\leftarrow(\nu))\} \wedge \bigwedge_{\gamma \in L^Z} \{\mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Z}(\gamma) \leftrightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Y}(g_L^\leftarrow(\gamma))\} \\
&\leq \bigwedge_{\xi \in L^Z} \{\mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Y}(g_L^\leftarrow(\xi)) \leftrightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_X}(f_L^\leftarrow(g_L^\leftarrow(\xi)))\} \wedge \bigwedge_{\gamma \in L^Z} \{\mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Z}(\gamma) \leftrightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Y}(g_L^\leftarrow(\gamma))\} \\
&= \bigwedge_{\xi \in L^Z} \{\mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Y}(g_L^\leftarrow(\xi)) \leftrightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_X}((g^\circ f)_L^\leftarrow(\xi))\} \wedge \bigwedge_{\gamma \in L^Z} \{\mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Z}(\gamma) \leftrightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Y}(g_L^\leftarrow(\gamma))\} \\
&= \bigwedge_{\gamma \in L^Z} \{(\mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Y}(g_L^\leftarrow(\gamma)) \leftrightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_X}((g^\circ f)_L^\leftarrow(\gamma))) \wedge (\mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Z}(\gamma) \leftrightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Y}(g_L^\leftarrow(\gamma)))\} \\
&\leq \bigwedge_{\gamma \in L^Z} \{\mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_X}((g^\circ f)_L^\leftarrow(\gamma))\} \\
&= \mathfrak{q}(g \circ f).
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

$$(2) \quad \text{Since}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{q}(g^\circ f) &= \bigwedge_{\gamma \in L^Z} \{\mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Z}(\gamma) \leftrightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_X}((g^\circ f)_L^\leftarrow(\gamma))\} \leq \bigwedge_{\gamma \in L^Z} \{\mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_X}((g^\circ f)_L^\leftarrow(\gamma)) \mapsto \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Z}(\gamma)\}, \\
\mathfrak{p}(f) &= \bigwedge_{\nu \in L^Y} \{\mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Y}(\nu) \mapsto \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_X}(f_L^\leftarrow(\nu))\} \leq \bigwedge_{\xi \in L^Z} \{\mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Y}(g_L^\leftarrow(\xi)) \mapsto \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_X}(f_L^\leftarrow(g_L^\leftarrow(\xi)))\} \\
&= \bigwedge_{\xi \in L^Z} \{\mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_Y}(g_L^\leftarrow(\xi)) \mapsto \mathbf{P}_{\text{Coc}_X}((g^\circ f)_L^\leftarrow(\xi))\},
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

then

$$q(g \circ f) \wedge p(f) \leq \bigwedge_{\xi \in L^Z} \{P_{Coc_Y}(g_L^\leftarrow(\xi)) \mapsto P_{Coc_Z}(\xi)\}. \quad (34)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} q(g \circ f) \wedge p(f) \wedge p(g) &\leq \bigwedge_{\xi \in L^Z} \{P_{Coc_Y}(g_L^\leftarrow(\xi)) \mapsto P_{Coc_Z}(\xi)\} \wedge \bigwedge_{\gamma \in L^Z} \{P_{Coc_Z}(\gamma) \mapsto P_{Coc_Y}(g_L^\leftarrow(\gamma))\} \\ &= \bigwedge_{\gamma \in L^Z} \{(P_{Coc_Y}(g_L^\leftarrow(\gamma)) \mapsto P_{Coc_Z}(\gamma)) \wedge (P_{Coc_Z}(\gamma) \mapsto P_{Coc_Y}(g_L^\leftarrow(\gamma)))\} \\ &= \bigwedge_{\gamma \in L^Z} \{P_{Coc_Z}(\gamma) \mapsto P_{Coc_Y}(g_L^\leftarrow(\gamma))\} = q(g). \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

This completes the proof.

## 6. Conclusion

In this paper, we presented the degree to which a function  $\mathcal{C}: L^X \rightarrow M$  is an  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concavity on a nonempty set  $X$ . Moreover, the degree to which an  $L$ -subset is an  $L$ -concave set with respect to  $\mathcal{C}$  was considered. Also, we defined the concavity preserving, concave-to-concave degree, and quotient degree for functions between  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concave structures. Their characterizations were given and the relationships among them were discussed. We think our results will be useful to consider many properties of concave structures under degree of  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy concavity. We think that studying the topological properties of such degree is the most abstract and generalization of these properties, which leads to the results of previous studies as soon as the degree equals to  $T_M$ . Thus, the fuzzification theory would be applied in a better and more generalized way.

## Data Availability

No data were used to support this study.

## Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

## Acknowledgments

The authors extend appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research, University of Hafr Al Batin, for funding this work through the research group project no. G-104-2020.

## References

- [1] M. V. Rosa, "On fuzzy topology fuzzy convexity spaces and fuzzy local convexity," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 97–100, 1994.
- [2] M. V. Rosa, "Separation axioms in fuzzy topology fuzzy convexity spaces," *Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 611–621, 1994.
- [3] M. V. Rosa, "A study of fuzzy convexity with special reference to separation properties," Ph.D. thesis, Cochin University of Science and Technology, Kochi, India, 1994.
- [4] Y. Maruyama, "Lattice-valued fuzzy convex geometry," *RIMS Kokyuroku*, vol. 164, pp. 22–37, 2009.
- [5] Q. Jin and L. Li, "On the embedding of convex spaces in stratified  $L$ -convex spaces," *SpringerPlus*, vol. 5, no. 1, Article ID 1610, 2016.
- [6] B. Pang and F.-G. Shi, "Subcategories of the category of  $L$ -convex spaces," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 313, pp. 61–74, 2017.
- [7] B. Pang and Y. Zhao, "Characterizations of  $L$ -convex spaces," *Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 51–61, 2016.
- [8] F. G. Shi and Z. Y. Xiu, "A new approach to the fuzzification of convex structures," *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 2014, Article ID 249183, 12 pages, 2014.
- [9] F. G. Shi and E. Li, "The restricted hull operator of  $M$ -fuzzifying convex structures," *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 409–421, 2016.
- [10] X. Y. Wu and S. Z. Bai, "On  $M$ -fuzzifying JHC convex structures and  $M$ -fuzzifying peano interval spaces," *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 447–458, 2016.
- [11] B. Pang and Z. Y. Xiu, "Lattice-valued interval operators and its induced lattice-valued convex structures," *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 1525–1534, 2018.
- [12] Z.-Y. Xiu and B. Pang, " $M$ -fuzzifying cotopological spaces and  $M$ -fuzzifying convex spaces as  $M$ -fuzzifying closure spaces," *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 613–620, 2017.
- [13] Z. Y. Xiu and B. Pang, "Base axioms and subbase axioms in  $M$ -fuzzifying convex spaces," *Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 75–87, 2018.
- [14] Z. Y. Xiu and F. G. Shi, " $M$ -fuzzifying interval spaces," *Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 145–162, 2017.
- [15] F.G. Shi and Z.Y. Xiu, "(L,M)-fuzzy convex structures," *The Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications*, vol. 10, no. 7, pp. 3655–3669, 2017.
- [16] C. Shen and F. G. Shi, " $L$ -convex systems and the categorical isomorphism to scott-hull operators," *Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 23–40, 2018.
- [17] K. Wang and F. G. Shi, " $M$ -fuzzifying topological convex spaces," *Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 159–174, 2018.
- [18] X.-Y. Wu and S.-Z. Bai, " $M$ -fuzzifying gated amalgamations of  $M$ -fuzzifying geometric interval spaces," *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 33, no. 6, pp. 4017–4029, 2017.
- [19] X.-Y. Wu, B. Davvaz, and S. Z. Bai, "On  $M$ -fuzzifying convex matroids and  $M$ -fuzzifying independent structures," *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 269–280, 2017.

- [20] X. Y. Wu and E. Q. Li, “Category and subcategories of  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy convex spaces,” *Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 173–190, 2019.
- [21] X.-Y. Wu, E.-Q. Li, and S.-Z. Bai, “Geometric properties of  $M$ -fuzzifying convex structures,” *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 32, no. 6, pp. 4273–4284, 2017.
- [22] A. P. Šostak, “On a concept of a fuzzy category,” in *Proceedings of the 14th Linz Seminar on Fuzzy Set Theory: Non-classical Logics and Applications*, pp. 62–66, Linz, Austria, September 1992.
- [23] T. Kubiak and A. P. Šostak, “A fuzzification of the category of  $M$ -valued  $L$ -topological spaces,” *Applied General Topology*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 137–154, 2004.
- [24] Y. Zhong and F. G. Shi, “Characterizations of  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy topology degrees,” *Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 129–149, 2018.
- [25] W. F. Al-Omeri, O. H. Khalil, and A. Ghareeb, “Degree of  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy semi-precontinuous and  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy semi-preirresolute functions,” *Demonstratio Mathematica*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 2391–4661, 2018.
- [26] A. Ghareeb, “Preconnectedness degree of  $L$ -fuzzy topological spaces,” *International Journal of Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent Systems*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 54–58, 2011.
- [27] A. Ghareeb, “Degree of  $F$ -irresolute function in  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy topological spaces,” *Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 189–202, 2019.
- [28] A. Ghareeb and W. F. Al-Omeri, “New degrees for functions in  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy topological spaces based on  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy semiopen and  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy preopen operators,” *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 787–803, 2019.
- [29] A. Ghareeb, H. S. Al-Saadi, and O. H. Khalil, “A new representation of  $\alpha$ -openness,  $\alpha$ -continuity,  $\alpha$ -irresoluteness, and  $\alpha$ -compactness in  $L$ -fuzzy pretopological spaces,” *Open Mathematics*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 559–574, 2019.
- [30] A. Ghareeb and F.-G. Shi, “SP-compactness and SP-connectedness degree in  $L$ -fuzzy pretopological spaces,” *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 1435–1445, 2016.
- [31] A. Ghareeb, O. H. Khalil, and S. Omran, “The degree of  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy  $\sigma$ -algebra and its related mappings,” *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 3141–3150, 2020.
- [32] U. Höhle and A. P. Šostak, *Axiomatic Foundations of Fixed-Basis Fuzzy Topology*, Springer US, Boston, MA, USA, 1999.
- [33] P. Dwinger, “Characterization of the complete homomorphic images of a completely distributive complete lattice. I,” *Indagationes Mathematicae (Proceedings)*, vol. 85, no. 4, pp. 403–414, 1982.
- [34] G. Gierz, K. H. Hofmann, K. Keimel, J. D. Lawson, M. Mislove, and D. S. Scott, “Continuous lattices and domains,” *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2003.
- [35] G. J. Wang, “Theory of topological molecular lattices,” *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 47, no. 3, pp. 351–376, 1992.
- [36] Y. C. Kim, “Initial  $L$ -fuzzy closure spaces,” *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 133, no. 3, pp. 277–297, 2003.
- [37] A. P. Šostak, “On a fuzzy topological structure,” in *Proceedings of the 13th Winter School on Abstract Analysis*, pp. 89–103, Srní, Czech Republic, January 1985.
- [38] F. G. Shi, “ $L$ -fuzzy relation and  $L$ -fuzzy subgroup,” *Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 491–499, 2000.
- [39] F. G. Shi and B. Pang, “Categories isomorphic to the category of  $L$ -fuzzy closure system spaces,” *Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 127–146, 2013.