

Research Article

Composition Formulae for the k -Fractional Calculus Operator with the S -Function

Hagos Tadesse ¹, Haile Habenom ¹, Anita Alaria ², and Biniyam Shimelis ¹

¹Department of Mathematics, Wollo University, P.O. Box 1145, Dessie, Ethiopia

²Noida Institute of Engg. and Technology, Knowledge Park-II, Greater Noida 201306, India

Correspondence should be addressed to Biniyam Shimelis; biniyam.shimelis@wu.edu.et

Received 6 April 2021; Accepted 26 June 2021; Published 12 July 2021

Academic Editor: Zakia Hammouch

Copyright © 2021 Hagos Tadesse et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In this study, the S -function is applied to Saigo's k -fractional order integral and derivative operators involving the k -hypergeometric function in the kernel; outcomes are described in terms of the k -Wright function, which is used to represent image formulas of integral transformations such as the beta transform. Several special cases, such as the fractional calculus operator and the S -function, are also listed.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Fractional calculus was first introduced in 1695, but only in the last two decades have researchers been able to use it efficiently due to the availability of computing tools. Significant uses of fractional calculus have been discovered by scholars in engineering and science. In literature, many applications of fractional calculus are available in astrophysics, biosignal processing, fluid dynamics, nonlinear control theory, and stochastic dynamical system. Furthermore, research studies in the field of applied science [1, 2], and on the application of fractional calculus in real-world problems [3, 4], have recently been published. A number of researchers [5–15] have also investigated the structure, implementations, and various directions of extensions of the

fractional integration and differentiation in detail. A detailed description of such fractional calculus operators, as well as their characterization and application, can be found in research monographs [16, 17].

Recently, a series of research publications with respect to generalized classical fractional calculus operators was published. Mubeen and Habibullah [18] brought out k -fractional order integral of the Riemann–Liouville version and its applications. Dorrego [19] introduced an alternative definition for the k -Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative.

Gupta and Parihar [20] introduced the left and right sides of Saigo k -fractional integration and differentiation operators connected with the k -Gauss hypergeometric function which are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (I_{0+,k}^{\vartheta,\varsigma,\gamma} f)(x) &= \frac{x^{(-\vartheta-\varsigma)/k}}{k\Gamma_k(\vartheta)} \int_0^x (x-t)^{(\vartheta/k)-1} {}_2F_{1,k}\left((\vartheta+\varsigma, k), (-\gamma, k); (\vartheta, k); \left(1-\frac{t}{x}\right)\right) f(t) dt; \\ (\Re(\vartheta) > 0, k > 0), \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (I_{-,k}^{\vartheta,\varsigma,\gamma} f)(x) &= \frac{1}{k\Gamma_k(\vartheta)} \int_x^\infty (t-x)^{(\vartheta/k)-1} t^{(-\vartheta-\varsigma)/k} {}_2F_{1,k}\left((\vartheta+\varsigma, k), (-\gamma, k); (\vartheta, k); \left(1-\frac{x}{t}\right)\right) f(t) dt; \\ (\Re(\vartheta) > 0, k > 0). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Mubeen and Habibullah [18] defined ${}_2F_{1,k}((\vartheta, k), (\varsigma, k); (\gamma, k); x)$, i.e., the k -Gauss hypergeometric function for $x \in \mathbb{C}, |x| < 1, \Re(\gamma) > \Re(\varsigma) > 0$:

$${}_2F_{1,k}((\vartheta, k), (\varsigma, k); (\gamma, k); x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\vartheta)_{n,k} (\varsigma)_{n,k} x^n}{(\gamma)_{n,k} n!} \quad (3)$$

Equations (1) and (2) are the left and right sides of fractional differential operators involving k -Gauss hypergeometric function, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} (D_{0+,k}^{\vartheta,\varsigma,\gamma} f)(x) &= \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^n \left(I_{0+,k}^{-\vartheta+n,\varsigma-n,\vartheta+\gamma-n} f\right)(x); \Re(\vartheta) > 0, k > 0; n = [\Re(\vartheta) + 1] \\ &= \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^n \frac{x^{\vartheta+\varsigma/k}}{k\Gamma_k(-\vartheta+n)} \int_0^x (x-t)^{-\vartheta/k+n-1} \times {}_2F_{1,k}\left(-\vartheta-\varsigma, k, (-\gamma-\vartheta+n, k); (-\vartheta+n, k); \left(1-\frac{t}{x}\right)\right) f(t) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (D_{-,k}^{\vartheta,\varsigma,\gamma} f)(x) &= \left(-\frac{d}{dx}\right)^n \left(I_{-,k}^{-\vartheta+n,\varsigma-n,\vartheta+\gamma} f\right)(x); \Re(\vartheta) > 0, k > 0; n = [\Re(\vartheta) + 1] \\ &= \left(-\frac{d}{dx}\right)^n \frac{1}{k\Gamma_k(-\vartheta+n)} \int_x^{\infty} (t-x)^{-\vartheta+n/k-1} t^{\vartheta+\varsigma/k} \times {}_2F_{1,k}\left(-\vartheta-\varsigma, k, (-\gamma-\vartheta, k); (-\vartheta+n, k); \left(1-\frac{x}{t}\right)\right) f(t) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $x > 0, \vartheta \in \mathbb{C}, \Re(\vartheta) > 0, k > 0$ and $[\Re(\vartheta)]$ is the integer part of $\Re(\vartheta)$.

Remark 1. When we set $k = 1$ in equations, operators (1), (2), (4), and (5) reduce into Saigo's fractional integral and derivative operators, as stated in [9], respectively.

We consider the following basic results for our study.

Lemma 1 (see p. 497, equation 4.2, in [20]). *Let $\vartheta, \varsigma, \gamma, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}, \Re(\varepsilon) > \max[0, \Re(\varsigma - \gamma)]$; then,*

$$\left(I_{0+,k}^{\vartheta,\varsigma,\gamma} t^{(\varepsilon/k)-1}\right)(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} k^n \frac{\Gamma_k(\varepsilon)\Gamma_k(\varepsilon - \varsigma + \gamma)}{\Gamma_k(\varepsilon - \varsigma)\Gamma_k(\varepsilon + \vartheta + \gamma)} x^{(\varepsilon - \varsigma/k) - 1}. \quad (6)$$

Lemma 2 (see p. 497, equation 4.3, in [20]). *Let $\vartheta, \varsigma, \gamma, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}, \Re(\vartheta) > 0, k \in \Re^+(0, \infty)$ and $\Re(\varepsilon) > \max[\Re(-\varsigma), \Re(-\gamma)]$; then,*

$$\left(I_{-,k}^{\vartheta,\varsigma,\gamma} t^{-(\varepsilon/k)}\right)(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} k^n \frac{\Gamma_k(\varepsilon + \varsigma)\Gamma_k(\varepsilon + \gamma)}{\Gamma_k(\varepsilon)\Gamma_k(\varepsilon + \vartheta + \varsigma + \gamma)} x^{-\varepsilon - \varsigma/k}. \quad (7)$$

Lemma 3 (see p. 500, equation 6.2, in [20]). *Let $\vartheta, \varsigma, \gamma, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}, n = [\Re(\vartheta)] + 1, k \in \Re^+(0, \infty)$ such that $\Re(\varepsilon) > \max[0, \Re(-\vartheta - \varsigma - \gamma)]$; then,*

$$\left(D_{0+,k}^{\vartheta,\varsigma,\gamma} t^{(\varepsilon/k)-1}\right)(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} k^n \frac{\Gamma_k(\varepsilon)\Gamma_k(\varepsilon + \varsigma + \gamma + \vartheta)}{\Gamma_k(\varepsilon + \gamma)\Gamma_k(\varepsilon + \varsigma + n - nk)} x^{(\varepsilon + \varsigma + n/k) - n - 1}. \quad (8)$$

Lemma 4 (see p. 500, equation 6.3, in [20]). *Let $\vartheta, \varsigma, \gamma, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n = [\Re(\vartheta)] + 1, k \in \Re^+, \Re(\varepsilon) > \max[\Re(-\vartheta - \gamma), \Re(\varsigma - nk + n)]$; then,*

$$\left(D_{-,k}^{\vartheta,\varsigma,\gamma} t^{-(\varepsilon/k)}\right)(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} k^n \frac{\Gamma_k(\varepsilon - \varsigma - n + nk)\Gamma_k(\varepsilon + \vartheta + \gamma)}{\Gamma_k(\varepsilon)\Gamma_k(\varepsilon - \varsigma + \gamma)} x^{(-\varepsilon + \varsigma + n/k) - n}. \quad (9)$$

Recent time, the S-function is defined and studied by Saxena and Daiya [21], which is generalization of k -Mittag-

Leffler function, K -function, M -series, Mittag-Leffler function (see [22–25]), as well as its relationships with other

special functions. These special functions have recently found essential applications in solving problems in physics, biology, engineering, and applied sciences.

The S-function is defined for $\vartheta', \delta', \gamma' \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Re(\vartheta') > 0$, $k \in \mathfrak{R}$, $\Re(\vartheta') > k\Re(\varepsilon)$, $l_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, p)$, $m_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, q)$, and $p < q + 1$ as

$$S_{(p,q)}^{\vartheta', \delta', \gamma', \varepsilon, k} [l_1, l_2, \dots, l_p; m_1, m_2, \dots, m_q; x] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(l_1)_n \cdots (l_p)_n (\gamma')_{n\varepsilon, k}}{(m_1)_n \cdots (m_q)_n \Gamma_k(n\vartheta' + \delta') n!} x^n \tag{10}$$

Here, Díaz and Pariguan [26] introduced the k -Pochhammer symbol and k -gamma function as follows:

$$(\gamma')_{n, k} = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma_k(\gamma' + nk)}{\Gamma_k(\gamma')}, & k \in \mathfrak{R}, \gamma' \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \\ \gamma'(\gamma' + k) \cdots (\gamma' + (n-1)k), & (n \in \mathbb{N}, \gamma' \in \mathbb{C}), \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

as well as the relationship with the classic Euler's gamma function:

$$\Gamma_k(\gamma') = k^{(\gamma'/k)-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma'}{k}\right), \tag{12}$$

where $\gamma' \in \mathbb{C}$, $k \in \mathfrak{R}$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Refer to Romero and Cerutti's papers [27] for more information on the k -Pochhammer symbol, k -special functions, and fractional Fourier transforms.

The following are some significant special cases of the S-function:

- (i) For $p = q = 0$, the generalized k -Mittag-Leffler function [28]

$$E_{k, \vartheta', \delta'}^{\gamma', \varepsilon}(x) = S_{(0,0)}^{\vartheta', \delta', \gamma', \varepsilon, k} [-; -; x] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma')_{n\varepsilon, k}}{\Gamma_k(n\vartheta' + \delta') n!} x^n, \Re\left(\left(\frac{\vartheta'}{k}\right) - \varepsilon\right) > p - q. \tag{13}$$

- (ii) Again, for $k = \varepsilon = 1$, the S-function is the generalized K -function [29]:

$$K_{(p,q)}^{\vartheta', \delta', \gamma'} [l_1, l_2, \dots, l_p; m_1, m_2, \dots, m_q; x] = S_{(p,q)}^{\vartheta', \delta', \gamma', 1, 1} [l_1, l_2, \dots, l_p; m_1, m_2, \dots, m_q; x] \\ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(l_1)_n \cdots (l_p)_n (\gamma')_n}{(m_1)_n \cdots (m_q)_n \Gamma(n\vartheta' + \delta') n!}, \Re(\vartheta') > p - q. \tag{14}$$

- (iii) For $\varepsilon = k = \gamma' = 1$, the S-function reduced to generalized M -series [30]:

$$M_{(p,q)}^{\vartheta', \delta'} [l_1, l_2, \dots, l_p; m_1, m_2, \dots, m_q; x] = S_{(p,q)}^{\vartheta', \delta', 1, 1, 1} [l_1, l_2, \dots, l_p; m_1, m_2, \dots, m_q; x] \\ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(l_1)_n \cdots (l_p)_n}{(m_1)_n \cdots (m_q)_n \Gamma(n\vartheta' + \delta') n!}, \Re(\vartheta') > p - q - 1. \tag{15}$$

For our purpose, we recall the definition of generalized k -Wright function ${}_p\Psi_q^k(x)$, defined by Gehlot and Prajapati [31], for $k \in \mathbb{R}^+$; $x, a_i, b_j \in \mathbb{C}$, $\vartheta_i, \varsigma_j \in \mathfrak{R}$ ($\vartheta_i, \varsigma_j \neq 0$; $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$; $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$) and $(a_i + \vartheta_i n), (b_j + \varsigma_j n) \in \mathbb{C} \setminus k\mathbb{Z}^-$, as

$${}_p\Psi_q^k(x) = {}_p\Psi_q^k \left[\begin{matrix} (a_i, \vartheta_i)_{1,p} \\ (b_j, \varsigma_j)_{1,q} \end{matrix}; x \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^p \Gamma_k(a_i + \vartheta_i n)}{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma_k(b_j + \varsigma_j n)} \frac{(x)^n}{n!}, \tag{16}$$

which satisfies the condition

$$\sum_{j=1}^q \frac{\varsigma_j}{k} - \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\vartheta_i}{k} > -1. \tag{17}$$

2. Saigo k -Fractional Integration in Terms of k -Wright Function

In this section, the results are displayed based on the k -fractional integrals associated with the S-function.

Theorem 1. Let $\vartheta, \varsigma, \gamma, \vartheta', \varsigma', \gamma', \varepsilon, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}; k \in \mathfrak{R}^+, c \in \mathfrak{R}$ and $\nu > 0$, such that $\Re(\vartheta) > 0, \Re(\varepsilon) > \max[0, \Re(\varsigma - \gamma)], \Re(\varepsilon + \gamma - \varsigma) > 0, a_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, p), b_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, q), \Re(\vartheta') > k\Re(\varepsilon); p < q + 1$. If condition (17) is satisfied and $I_{0+,k}^{\vartheta,\varsigma,\gamma}$ is the left-sided integral operator of the generalized k -fractional integration associated with S-function, then (18) holds true:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(I_{0+,k}^{\vartheta,\varsigma,\gamma} \left(t^{(\varepsilon/k)-1} S_{(p,q)}^{\vartheta',\varsigma',\gamma',\varepsilon,k} (a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; ct^{\nu/k}) \right) \right) (x) \\ &= \frac{x^{(\varepsilon-\varsigma/k)-1}}{\Gamma_k(\gamma')} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(b_j)}{\prod_{i=1}^p \Gamma(a_i)} k^{\sum_{j=1}^q b_j - \sum_{i=1}^p a_i} \Psi_{p+3, q+3}^k \left[\begin{matrix} (a_1 k, k) \dots (a_p k, k), (\gamma', \varepsilon k), (\varepsilon, \nu), (\varepsilon + \gamma - \varsigma, \nu), \\ (b_1 k, k) \dots (b_q k, k), (\varsigma', \vartheta'), (\varepsilon - \varsigma, \nu), (\varepsilon + \vartheta + \gamma, \nu), \end{matrix} \middle| kcx^{\nu/k} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Proof. We indicate the R.H.S. of equation (18) by I_1 ; invoking equation (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= I_{0+,k}^{\vartheta,\varsigma,\gamma} \left(t^{(\varepsilon/k)-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n \dots (a_p)_n (\gamma')_{n\varepsilon,k}}{(b_1)_n \dots (b_q)_n \Gamma_k(\varsigma' + \vartheta' n)} \frac{(ct^{\nu/k})^n}{n!} \right) (x) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n \dots (a_p)_n (\gamma')_{n\varepsilon,k}}{(b_1)_n \dots (b_q)_n \Gamma_k(\varsigma' + \vartheta' n)} \frac{c^n}{n!} I_{0+,k}^{\vartheta,\varsigma,\gamma} \left(t^{(\varepsilon+\nu n/k)-1} \right) (x). \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Now, applying equation (6) and (11), we obtain

$$I_1 = \frac{x^{(\varepsilon-\varsigma/k)-1}}{\Gamma_k(\gamma')} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n \dots (a_p)_n \Gamma_k(\gamma' + n\varepsilon k) \Gamma_k(\varepsilon + \nu n) \Gamma_k(\varepsilon + \gamma - \varsigma + \nu n)}{(b_1)_n \dots (b_q)_n \Gamma_k(\varsigma' + \vartheta' n) \Gamma_k(\varepsilon - \varsigma + \nu n) \Gamma_k(\varepsilon + \vartheta + \gamma + \nu n)} \frac{(kcx^{\nu/k})^n}{n!}. \tag{20}$$

Using (12) and some important simplifications on the above equation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \frac{\Gamma(b_1) \dots \Gamma(b_q)}{\Gamma(a_1) \dots \Gamma(a_p)} \frac{x^{(\varepsilon-\varsigma/k)-1}}{\Gamma_k(\gamma')} k^{(b_1+\dots+b_q)-(a_1+\dots+a_p)} \\ &\times \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma_k(a_1 k + nk) \dots \Gamma_k(a_p k + nk) \Gamma_k(\gamma' + n\varepsilon k) \Gamma_k(\varepsilon + \nu n) \Gamma_k(\varepsilon + \gamma - \varsigma + \nu n)}{\Gamma_k(b_1 k + nk) \dots \Gamma_k(b_q k + nk) \Gamma_k(\varsigma' + \vartheta' n) \Gamma_k(\varepsilon - \varsigma + \nu n) \Gamma_k(\varepsilon + \vartheta + \gamma + \nu n)} \frac{(kcx^{\nu/k})^n}{n!}. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Interpreting the definition of Wright hypergeometric function (16) on the above equation, we arrive at the desired result (18). \square

Theorem 2. Let $\vartheta, \varsigma, \gamma, \vartheta', \varsigma', \gamma', \varepsilon, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}; k \in \mathfrak{R}^+, c \in \mathfrak{R}$, and $\nu > 0$, such that $\Re(\vartheta) > 0, \Re(\vartheta') > 0$, and $\Re(\varepsilon + \vartheta) > \max[-\Re(\varsigma), -\Re(\gamma)],$ with $\Re(\varsigma) \neq \Re(\gamma), a_i (i = 1, 2,$

$\dots, p), b_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, q), \Re(\vartheta') > k\Re(\varepsilon)$, and $p < q + 1$. If condition (17) is satisfied and $I_{-,k}^{\vartheta,\varsigma,\gamma}$ is the right-sided integral

operator of the generalized k -fractional integration associated with S -function, then (22) holds true:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(I_{-,k}^{\vartheta,\varsigma,\gamma} \left(t^{-\vartheta-\varepsilon/k} S_{(p,q)}^{\vartheta',\varsigma',\gamma',\varepsilon,k} (a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; ct^{-\nu/k}) \right) \right) (x) &= k \sum_{j=1}^q b_j^{-} \sum_{i=1}^p a_i \frac{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(b_j)}{\prod_{i=1}^p \Gamma(a_i)} \frac{x^{-\vartheta-\varepsilon-\varsigma/k}}{\Gamma_k(\gamma')} \\ &\times {}_{p+3}\Psi_{q+3}^k \left[\begin{matrix} (a_1 k, k) \dots (a_p k, k), (\gamma', \varepsilon k), (\vartheta + \varepsilon + \varsigma, \nu), (\vartheta + \varepsilon + \gamma, \nu), \\ (b_1 k, k) \dots (b_q k, k), (\varsigma', \vartheta'), (\vartheta + \varepsilon, \nu), (2\vartheta + \varepsilon + \varsigma + \gamma, \nu), \end{matrix} \right. \\ &\left. kc x^{-\nu/k} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Proof. The proof is parallel to that of Theorem 1. Therefore, we omit the details. \square

suitable values to the involved parameters. Now, we demonstrate some corollaries as follows.

The results given in (18) and (22), being very general, can yield a large number of special cases by assigning some

Corollary 1. If we put $p = q = 0$, then (18) leads to the subsequent result of S -function:

$$\left(I_{0+,k}^{\vartheta,\varsigma,\gamma} \left(t^{\varepsilon/k-1} E_{k,\vartheta',\delta'}^{\gamma',\varepsilon} (ct^{\nu/k}) \right) \right) (x) = \frac{x^{(\varepsilon-\varsigma/k)-1}}{\Gamma_k(\gamma')} \times {}_3\Psi_3^k \left[\begin{matrix} (\gamma', \varepsilon k), (\varepsilon, \nu), (\varepsilon + \gamma - \varsigma, \nu), \\ (\varsigma', \vartheta'), (\varepsilon - \varsigma, \nu), (\varepsilon + \vartheta + \gamma, \nu), \end{matrix} \right. \\ \left. kc x^{\nu/k} \right]. \tag{23}$$

Corollary 2. If $\varepsilon = k = 1$, in (18), we obtain the subsequent result in term of S -function as

$$\begin{aligned} \left(I_{0+}^{\vartheta,\varsigma,\gamma} \left(t^{\varepsilon-1} K_{(p,q)}^{\vartheta',\varsigma',\gamma'} (a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; ct^\nu) \right) \right) (x) &= \frac{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(b_j)}{\prod_{i=1}^p \Gamma(a_i)} \frac{x^{\varepsilon-\varsigma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma')} \\ &\times {}_{p+3}\Psi_{q+3} \left[\begin{matrix} (a_1, 1) \dots (a_p, 1), (\gamma', 1), (\varepsilon, \nu), (\varepsilon + \gamma - \varsigma, \nu), \\ (b_1, 1) \dots (b_q, 1), (\varsigma', \vartheta'), (\varepsilon - \varsigma, \nu), (\varepsilon + \vartheta + \gamma, \nu), \end{matrix} \right. \\ &\left. cx^\nu \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Corollary 3. If we set $\varepsilon = 1, \gamma' = 1$, and $k = 1$, in equation (18), we obtain the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(I_{0+}^{\vartheta,\varsigma,\gamma} \left(t^{\varepsilon-1} M_{(p,q)}^{\vartheta',\varsigma'} (a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; ct^\nu) \right) \right) (x) &= \frac{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(b_j)}{\prod_{i=1}^p \Gamma(a_i)} x^{\varepsilon-\varsigma-1} \\ &\times {}_{p+3}\Psi_{q+3} \left[\begin{matrix} (a_1, 1) \dots (a_p, 1), (\varepsilon, \nu), (\varepsilon + \gamma - \varsigma, \nu), (1, 1), \\ (b_1, 1) \dots (b_q, 1), (\varsigma', \vartheta'), (\varepsilon - \varsigma, \nu), (\varepsilon + \vartheta + \gamma, \nu), \end{matrix} \right. \\ &\left. cx^\nu \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Corollary 4. Letting $p = q = 0$ in equation (22), then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(I_{-k}^{\vartheta, \varsigma, \gamma} \left(t^{-\vartheta - \varepsilon/k} E_{k, \vartheta', \delta'}^{\gamma', \varepsilon} (ct^{-\nu/k}) \right) \right) (x) &= \frac{x^{-\vartheta - \varepsilon - \varsigma/k}}{\Gamma_k(\gamma')} \\ &\times {}_3\Psi_3^k \left[\begin{matrix} (\gamma', \varepsilon k), (\vartheta + \varepsilon + \varsigma, \nu), (\vartheta + \varepsilon + \gamma, \nu), \\ (\varsigma', \vartheta'), (\vartheta + \varepsilon, \nu), (2\vartheta + \varepsilon + \varsigma + \gamma, \nu), \end{matrix} \right. \\ &\left. cx^{-\nu/k} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Corollary 5. Setting $\varepsilon = 1, k = 1$, then equation (22) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \left(I_{-}^{\vartheta, \varsigma, \gamma} \left(t^{-\vartheta - \varepsilon} K_{(p, q)}^{\vartheta', \varsigma', \gamma'} (a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; ct^{-\nu}) \right) \right) (x) &= \frac{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(b_j) x^{-\vartheta - \varepsilon - \varsigma}}{\prod_{i=1}^p \Gamma(a_i) \Gamma_k(\gamma')} \\ &\times {}_{p+3}\Psi_{q+3} \left[\begin{matrix} (a_1, 1) \dots (a_p, 1), (\gamma', 1), (\vartheta + \varepsilon + \varsigma, \nu), (\vartheta + \varepsilon + \gamma, \nu), \\ (b_1, 1) \dots (b_q, 1), (\varsigma', \vartheta'), (\vartheta + \varepsilon, \nu), (2\vartheta + \varepsilon + \varsigma + \gamma, \nu), \end{matrix} \right. \\ &\left. cx^{-\nu} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Corollary 6. If we put $\varepsilon = 1, \gamma' = 1$, and $k = 1$ in equation (22), then equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \left(I_{-}^{\vartheta, \varsigma, \gamma} \left(t^{-\vartheta - \varepsilon} M_{(p, q)}^{\vartheta', \varsigma'} (a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; ct^{-\nu}) \right) \right) (x) &= \frac{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(b_j) x^{-\vartheta - \varepsilon - \delta}}{\prod_{i=1}^p \Gamma(a_i)} \\ &\times {}_{p+3}\Psi_{q+3} \left[\begin{matrix} (a_1, 1) \dots (a_p, 1), (\vartheta + \varepsilon + \varsigma, \nu), (\vartheta + \varepsilon + \gamma, \nu), (1, 1), \\ (b_1, 1) \dots (b_q, 1), (\varsigma', \vartheta'), (\vartheta + \varepsilon, \nu), (2\vartheta + \varepsilon + \varsigma + \gamma, \nu), \end{matrix} \right. \\ &\left. cx^{-\nu} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

3. Saigo k -Fractional Differentiation in Terms of k -Wright Function

In this section, the results are displayed based on the k -fractional derivatives associated with the S-function.

Theorem 3. Let $\vartheta, \varsigma, \gamma, \vartheta', \varsigma', \gamma', \varepsilon, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}; k \in \mathfrak{R}^+, c \in \mathfrak{R}$, and $\nu > 0$, such that $\Re(\vartheta) > 0, \Re(\vartheta') > 0, \Re(\varepsilon) > \max[0, \Re(-\vartheta - \varsigma - \gamma)], \Re(\varepsilon + \gamma + \varsigma) > 0, a_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, p), b_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, q), \Re(\vartheta') > k\Re(\varepsilon)$, and $p < q + 1$. If condition (17) is satisfied and $D_{0+, k}^{\vartheta, \varsigma, \gamma}$ is the left-sided differential operator of the generalized k -fractional integration associated with S-function, then (29) holds true:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(D_{0+, k}^{\vartheta, \varsigma, \gamma} \left(t^{\varepsilon/k - 1} S_{(p, q)}^{\vartheta', \varsigma', \gamma', \varepsilon, k} (a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; ct^{\nu/k}) \right) \right) (x) &= \frac{x^{(\varepsilon + \varsigma/k) - 1}}{\Gamma_k(\gamma')} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(b_j)}{\prod_{i=1}^p \Gamma(a_i)} \sum_{j=1}^q b_j^{-\sum_{i=1}^p a_i} \\ &\times {}_{p+3}\Psi_{q+3}^k \left[\begin{matrix} (a_1 k, k) \dots (a_p k, k), (\gamma', \varepsilon k), (\varepsilon, \nu), (\varepsilon + \varsigma + \gamma + \vartheta, \nu), \\ (b_1 k, k) \dots (b_q k, k), (\varsigma', \vartheta'), (\varepsilon + \gamma, \nu), (\varepsilon + \delta, 1 - k + \nu), \end{matrix} \right. \\ &\left. cx^{(\nu + 1/k) - 1} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Proof. For the sake of convenience, let the left-hand side of (29) be denoted by I_2 . Using definition (10), we arrive at

$$I_2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n \cdots (a_p)_n (\gamma')_{n\epsilon, k}}{(b_1)_n \cdots (b_q)_n \Gamma_k(\zeta' + \vartheta' n)} \frac{c^n}{n!} D_{0+, k}^{\vartheta, \zeta, \gamma} (t^{(\epsilon + \nu n/k) - 1})(x). \tag{30}$$

Now, applying equation (8) and (11), we obtain

$$I_2 = \frac{x^{(\epsilon + \zeta/k) - 1}}{\Gamma_k(\gamma')} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n \cdots (a_p)_n \Gamma_k(\gamma' + \epsilon n k)}{(b_1)_n \cdots (b_q)_n \Gamma_k(\zeta' + \vartheta' n)} \times \frac{\Gamma_k(\epsilon + \nu n) \Gamma_k(\epsilon + \zeta + \gamma + \vartheta + \nu n)}{\Gamma_k(\epsilon + \gamma + \nu n) \Gamma_k(\epsilon + \zeta + n - nk + \nu n) n!} (cx^{(\nu + 1/k) - 1})^n. \tag{31}$$

Using (12) and simplifications on the above equation, we obtain

$$I_2 = k^{(b_1 + \dots + b_q) - (a_1 + \dots + a_p)} \frac{\Gamma(b_1) \cdots \Gamma(b_q)}{\Gamma(a_1) \cdots \Gamma(a_p)} \frac{x^{(\epsilon + \zeta/k) - 1}}{\Gamma_k(\gamma')} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma_k(\gamma' + n\epsilon k)}{\Gamma_k(\zeta' + \vartheta' n)} \times \frac{\Gamma_k(a_1 k + nk) \cdots \Gamma_k(a_p k + nk) \Gamma_k(\epsilon + \nu n) \Gamma_k(\epsilon + \zeta + \gamma + \vartheta + \nu n)}{\Gamma_k(b_1 k + nk) \cdots \Gamma_k(b_q k + nk) \Gamma_k(\epsilon + \gamma + \nu n) \Gamma_k(\epsilon + \zeta + n - nk + \nu n) n!} (cx^{(\nu + 1/k) - 1})^n. \tag{32}$$

In accordance with (16), we obtain the required result (29). This completed the proof of Theorem 3. \square

Theorem 4. Let $\vartheta, \zeta, \gamma, \vartheta', \zeta', \gamma', \epsilon, \epsilon \in \mathbb{C}; k \in \mathfrak{R}^+, c \in \mathfrak{R}$, and $\nu > 0$, such that $\Re(\vartheta) > 0, \Re(\vartheta') > 0, \Re(\epsilon) > \max[\Re(\vartheta + \zeta) +$

$n - \Re(\gamma)],$ and $\Re(\vartheta + \zeta - \gamma) + n \neq 0$, where $n = [\Re(\vartheta) + 1], a_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, p), b_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, q), \Re(\vartheta') > k\Re(\epsilon)$, and $p < q + 1$. If condition (17) is satisfied and $D_{-, k}^{\vartheta, \zeta, \gamma}$ is the right-sided differential operator of the generalized k -fractional integration associated with S -function, then (33) holds true:

$$\left(D_{-, k}^{\vartheta, \zeta, \gamma} \left(t^{\vartheta - \epsilon/k} S_{(p, q)}^{\vartheta', \zeta', \gamma', \epsilon, k} (a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; ct - \nu/k) \right) \right) (x) = \frac{x^{\vartheta - \epsilon + \zeta/k}}{\Gamma_k(\gamma')} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(b_j)}{\prod_{i=1}^p \Gamma(a_i)} k \sum_{j=1}^q b_j - \sum_{i=1}^p a_i \times {}_{p+3} \Psi_{q+3}^k \left[\begin{matrix} (a_1 k, k) \dots (a_p k, k), (\gamma', \epsilon k), (\epsilon - \vartheta - \delta, \nu + k - 1), (\epsilon + \gamma, \nu), \\ (b_1 k, k) \dots (b_q k, k), (\zeta', \vartheta'), (\epsilon - \vartheta, \nu), (\epsilon - \vartheta - \zeta + \gamma, \nu), \end{matrix} \middle| cx^{(-\nu + 1/k) - 1} \right]. \tag{33}$$

Proof. The proof is parallel to that of Theorem 3. Therefore, we omit the details. \square

parameters. Now, we demonstrate some corollaries as follows.

The results given in (29) and (33) are reduced as special cases by assigning some suitable values to the involved

Corollary 7. If $p = q = 0$, then (29) holds the following formula:

$$\left(D_{0+, k}^{\vartheta, \zeta, \gamma} \left(t^{(\epsilon/k) - 1} E_{k, \vartheta', \delta'}^{\gamma', \epsilon} (ct - \nu/k) \right) \right) (x) = \frac{x^{(\epsilon + \zeta/k) - 1}}{\Gamma_k(\gamma')} \times {}_3 \Psi_3^k \left[\begin{matrix} (\gamma', \epsilon k), (\epsilon, \nu), (\epsilon + \zeta + \gamma + \vartheta, \nu), \\ (\zeta', \vartheta'), (\epsilon + \gamma, \nu), (\epsilon + \delta, 1 - k + \nu), \end{matrix} \middle| cx^{(\nu + 1/k) - 1} \right]. \tag{34}$$

Corollary 8. If we put $\epsilon = 1$ and $k = 1$, then (29) gives the result in term of S -function as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(D_{0+}^{\vartheta, \varsigma, \gamma} \left(t^{(\varepsilon/k)-1} K_{(p,q)}^{\vartheta', \varsigma', \gamma'} (a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; ct^\nu) \right) \right) (x) &= \frac{x^{\varepsilon+\varsigma-1} \prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(b_j)}{\Gamma(\gamma')} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^p \Gamma(a_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^p \Gamma(a_i)} \\ &\times {}_{p+3}\Psi_{q+3} \left[\begin{matrix} (a_1, 1) \dots (a_p, 1), (\gamma', 1), (\varepsilon, \nu), (\varepsilon + \varsigma + \gamma + \vartheta, \nu), \\ (b_1, 1) \dots (b_q, 1), (\varsigma', \vartheta'), (\varepsilon + \gamma, \nu), (\varepsilon + \delta, \nu), \end{matrix} \right. \\ &\left. cx^\nu \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Corollary 9. If we put $\varepsilon = 1, \gamma' = 1$, and $k = 1$, in equation (29), then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(D_{0+}^{\vartheta, \varsigma, \gamma} \left(t^{(\varepsilon/k)-1} M_{(p,q)}^{\vartheta', \varsigma'} (a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; ct^\nu) \right) \right) (x) &= \frac{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(b_j)}{\prod_{i=1}^p \Gamma(a_i)} x^{\varepsilon+\varsigma-1} \\ &\times {}_{p+3}\Psi_{q+3} \left[\begin{matrix} (a_1, 1) \dots (a_p, 1), (\varepsilon, \nu), (\varepsilon + \varsigma + \gamma + \vartheta, \nu), (1, 1), \\ (b_1, 1) \dots (b_q, 1), (\varsigma', \vartheta'), (\varepsilon + \gamma, \nu), (\varepsilon + \delta, \nu), \end{matrix} \right. \\ &\left. cx^\nu \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Corollary 10. If we set $p = q = 0$, then (33) provides the result as

$$\begin{aligned} \left(D_{-k}^{\vartheta, \varsigma, \gamma} \left(t^{\vartheta-\varepsilon/k} E_{k, \vartheta', \delta'}^{\gamma', \varepsilon} (ct^{-\nu/k}) \right) \right) (x) &= \frac{x^{(\vartheta-\varepsilon+\varsigma/k)-1}}{\Gamma_k(\gamma')} \\ &= {}_3\Psi_3^k \left[\begin{matrix} (\gamma', k), (\varepsilon - \vartheta - \delta, \nu + k - 1), (\varepsilon + \gamma, \nu), \\ (\varsigma', \vartheta'), (\varepsilon - \vartheta, \nu), (\varepsilon - \vartheta - \varsigma + \gamma, \nu), \end{matrix} \right. \\ &\left. cx^{(-\nu+1/k)-1} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Corollary 11. By letting $\varepsilon = 1$ and $k = 1$, in equation (33), then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(D_{-}^{\vartheta, \varsigma, \gamma} \left(t^{\vartheta-\varepsilon} K_{(p,q)}^{\vartheta', \varsigma', \gamma'} (a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; ct^{-\nu}) \right) \right) (x) &= \frac{x^{\vartheta-\varepsilon+\delta} \prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(b_j)}{\Gamma(\gamma')} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^p \Gamma(a_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^p \Gamma(a_i)} \\ &\times {}_{p+3}\Psi_{q+3} \left[\begin{matrix} (a_1, 1) \dots (a_p, 1), (\gamma', 1), (\varepsilon - \vartheta - \varsigma, \nu), (\varepsilon + \gamma, \nu), \\ (b_1, 1) \dots (b_q, 1), (\varsigma', \vartheta'), (\varepsilon - \vartheta, \nu), (\varepsilon - \vartheta - \varsigma + \gamma, \nu), \end{matrix} \right. \\ &\left. cx^{-\nu} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Corollary 12. When $\varepsilon = 1, \gamma' = 1$, and $k = 1$, in equation (33), then equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \left(D_{-}^{\vartheta, \varsigma, \gamma} \left(t^{\vartheta - \varepsilon} M_{(p, q)}^{\vartheta', \varsigma'}(a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; ct^{-\nu}) \right) \right) (x) &= \frac{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(b_j)}{\prod_{i=1}^p \Gamma(a_i)} x^{\vartheta - \varepsilon + \delta} \\ &\times {}_{p+3} \Psi_{q+3} \left[\begin{matrix} (a_1, 1) \dots (a_p, 1), (\varepsilon - \vartheta - \delta, \nu), (\varepsilon + \gamma, \nu), (1, 1), \\ (b_1, 1) \dots (b_q, 1), (\varsigma', \vartheta'), (\varepsilon - \vartheta, \nu), (\varepsilon - \vartheta - \varsigma + \gamma, \nu), \end{matrix} \middle| cx^{-\nu} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

4. Image Formulas Associated with Integral Transforms

In this section, we establish some theorems involving the results obtained in previous sections pertaining with the integral transform. Here, we defined k -beta function as follows.

The k -beta function [32] is defined as

$$B_k(g, h) = \frac{1}{k} \int_0^1 z^{(g/k)-1} (1-z)^{(h/k)-1} dz, \quad g > 0, h > 0. \tag{40}$$

They have the following important identities:

$$B_k(g, h) = \frac{1}{k} B\left(\frac{g}{k}, \frac{h}{k}\right) = \frac{\Gamma_k(g)\Gamma_k(h)}{\Gamma_k(g+h)}. \tag{41}$$

Now, we define k -beta function in the form

$$B_k(f(z); g, h) = \frac{1}{k} \int_0^1 z^{(g/k)-1} (1-z)^{(h/k)-1} f(z) dz, \tag{42}$$

$g > 0, h > 0.$

Theorem 5. Let $\vartheta, \varsigma, \gamma, \vartheta', \varsigma', \varepsilon, \gamma', \varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}; k \in \mathfrak{R}^+, c \in \mathfrak{R}$, and $\nu > 0$, such that $\Re(\vartheta) > 0$, $\Re(\varepsilon) > \max[0, \Re(\varsigma - \gamma)]$, and $\Re(\varepsilon + \gamma - \varsigma) > 0$; then, the leading fractional order integral holds true:

$$\begin{aligned} B_k \left(\left(I_{0+, k}^{\vartheta, \varsigma, \gamma} \left(t^{(\varepsilon/k)-1} S_{(p, q)}^{\vartheta', \varsigma', \gamma', \varepsilon, k}(a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; c(zt)^{\nu/k}) \right) \right) (x); g, h \right) &= \frac{x^{(\varepsilon - \varsigma/k) - 1} \Gamma_k(h)}{\Gamma_k(\gamma')} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(b_j)}{\prod_{i=1}^p \Gamma(a_i)} k^{\sum_{j=1}^q b_j - \sum_{i=1}^p a_i} \\ &\times {}_{p+4} \Psi_{q+4}^k \left[\begin{matrix} (a_1 k, k) \dots (a_p k, k), (\gamma', \varepsilon k), (\varepsilon, \nu), (\varepsilon + \gamma - \varsigma, \nu), (g, \nu), \\ (b_1 k, k) \dots (b_q k, k), (\varsigma', \vartheta'), (\varepsilon - \varsigma, \nu), (\varepsilon + \vartheta + \gamma, \nu), (g + h, \nu), \end{matrix} \middle| kcx^{\nu/k} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Proof. Let I_3 be the left-hand side of (43), and using (42), we have

$$I_3 = \frac{1}{k} \int_0^1 z^{(g/k)-1} (1-z)^{(h/k)-1} \left(I_{0+, k}^{\vartheta, \varsigma, \gamma} \left(t^{(\varepsilon/k)-1} S_{(p, q)}^{\vartheta', \varsigma', \gamma', \varepsilon, k}(a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; c(zt)^{\nu/k}) \right) \right) (x) dz, \tag{44}$$

which, using (10) and changing the order of integration and summation, is valid under the conditions of Theorem 1 and yields

$$I_3 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n \dots (a_p)_n (\gamma')_{n, \varepsilon, k}}{(b_1)_n \dots (b_q)_n \Gamma_k(\varsigma' + \vartheta' n)} \frac{c^n}{n!} I_{0+, k}^{\vartheta, \varsigma, \gamma} \left(t^{(\varepsilon + \nu n/k) - 1} \right) (x) \times \frac{1}{k} \int_0^1 z^{(g + \nu n/k) - 1} (1-z)^{(h/k) - 1} dz. \tag{45}$$

From Lemma 1 and substituting (41) in (45), we obtain

$$I_1 = k^{(b_1+\dots+b_q)-(a_1+\dots+a_p)} x^{(\varepsilon-\zeta/k)-1} \frac{\Gamma(b_1) \dots \Gamma(b_q)}{\Gamma_k(\gamma')} \frac{\Gamma(a_1) \dots \Gamma(a_p)}{\Gamma_k(\gamma')} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma_k(a_1k+nk) \dots \Gamma_k(a_pk+nk)}{(b_1k+nk) \dots \Gamma_k(b_qk+nk)} \times \frac{\Gamma_k(\gamma'+n\varepsilon k) \Gamma_k(\varepsilon+vn) \Gamma_k(\varepsilon+\gamma-\zeta+vn) \Gamma_k(g+vn) \Gamma_k(h)}{\Gamma_k(\zeta'+\vartheta'n) \Gamma_k(\varepsilon-\zeta+vn) \Gamma_k(\varepsilon+\vartheta+\gamma+vn) \Gamma_k(g+h+vn)} \frac{(kcx^{\nu/k})^n}{n!}. \tag{46}$$

Using the definition of (16) in the right-hand side of (46), we arrive at result (43). \square

Theorem 6. Let $\vartheta, \zeta, \gamma, \vartheta', \zeta', \gamma', \varepsilon, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}; k \in \mathfrak{R}^+, c \in \mathfrak{R}$, and $\nu > 0$, such that $\Re(\vartheta) > 0, \Re(\vartheta') > 0$, and $\Re(\varepsilon + \vartheta) > \max[-\Re(\zeta), -\Re(\gamma)]$, with $\Re(\zeta) \neq \Re(\gamma)$; then, the following fractional integral holds true:

$$B_k \left(\left(I_{-k}^{\vartheta, \zeta, \gamma} \left(t^{-\vartheta-\varepsilon/k} S_{(p,q)}^{\vartheta', \zeta', \gamma', \varepsilon, k} (a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; c(zt)^{-\nu/k} \right) \right) \right) (x; g, h) = k \sum_{j=1}^q b_j^{-\sum_{i=1}^p a_i} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(b_j)}{\prod_{i=1}^p \Gamma(a_i)} \frac{\Gamma_k(h) x^{-\vartheta-\varepsilon-\zeta/k}}{\Gamma_k(\gamma')} \times {}_{p+4} \Psi_{q+4}^k \left[\begin{matrix} (a_1k, k) \dots (a_pk, k), (g, -\nu), (\gamma', \varepsilon k), (\vartheta + \varepsilon + \zeta, \nu), (\vartheta + \varepsilon + \gamma, \nu), \\ (b_1k, k) \dots (b_qk, k), (g+h, -\nu), (\zeta', \vartheta'), (\vartheta + \varepsilon, \nu), (2\vartheta + \varepsilon + \zeta + \gamma, \nu), \end{matrix} \middle| kcx^{-\nu/k} \right]. \tag{47}$$

Proof. The proof is similar of Theorem 5. Therefore, we omit the details. \square

Theorem 7. Let $\vartheta, \zeta, \gamma, \vartheta', \zeta', \gamma', \varepsilon, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}; k \in \mathfrak{R}^+, c \in \mathfrak{R}$, and $\nu > 0$, such that $\Re(\vartheta) > 0, \Re(\vartheta') > 0, \Re(\varepsilon) > \max[0, \Re(-\vartheta - \zeta - \gamma)]$, and $\Re(\varepsilon + \gamma + \zeta) > 0$; then, the following fractional derivative holds true:

$$B_k \left(\left(D_{0+k}^{\vartheta, \zeta, \gamma} \left(t^{(\varepsilon/k)-1} S_{(p,q)}^{\vartheta', \zeta', \gamma', \varepsilon, k} (a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; c(zt)^{\nu/k} \right) \right) \right) (x; g, h) = \frac{\Gamma_k(h)}{\Gamma_k(\gamma')} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(b_j)}{\prod_{i=1}^p \Gamma(a_i)} x^{(\varepsilon+\zeta/k)-1} k \sum_{j=1}^q b_j^{-\sum_{i=1}^p a_i} \times {}_{p+4} \Psi_{q+4}^k \left[\begin{matrix} (a_1k, k) \dots (a_pk, k), (\gamma', \varepsilon k), (\varepsilon, \nu), (\varepsilon + \zeta + \gamma + \vartheta, \nu), (g, \nu), \\ (b_1k, k) \dots (b_qk, k), (\zeta', \vartheta'), (\varepsilon + \gamma, \nu), (g+h, \nu), (\varepsilon + \delta, 1 - k + \nu), \end{matrix} \middle| cx^{(\nu+1/k)-1} \right]. \tag{48}$$

Proof. Let I_4 be the left-hand side of (48), and using the definition of Beta transform, we have

$$I_4 = \frac{1}{k} \int_0^1 z^{(g/k)-1} (1-z)^{(h/k)-1} D_{0+k}^{\vartheta, \zeta, \gamma} \left(t^{(\varepsilon/k)-1} S_{(p,q)}^{\vartheta', \zeta', \gamma', \varepsilon, k} (a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; c(zt)^{\nu/k} \right) (x) dz, \tag{49}$$

which, using (10) and changing the order of integration and summation, is reasonable under the conditions of Theorem 3 and yields

$$I_4 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n \dots (a_p)_n (\gamma')_{n\varepsilon k}}{(b_1)_n \dots (b_q)_n \Gamma_k(\zeta' + \vartheta' \nu)} \frac{c^n}{n!} D_{0+k}^{\vartheta, \zeta, \gamma} \left(t^{(\varepsilon+vn/k)-1} \right) (x) \times \frac{1}{k} \int_0^1 z^{(g+vn/k)-1} (1-z)^{(h/k)-1} dz. \tag{50}$$

From Lemma 3 and substituting equation (41) in (50), we obtain

$$I_4 = k^{(b_1-a_1)+\dots+(b_q-a_p)} \frac{\Gamma(b_1) \dots \Gamma(b_q)}{\Gamma(a_1) \dots \Gamma(a_p)} \frac{x^{(\varepsilon+\zeta/k)-1}}{\Gamma_k(\gamma')} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma_k(\gamma' + n\epsilon k) \Gamma_k(a_1 k + nk) \dots}{\Gamma_k(\zeta' + \vartheta' n) \Gamma_k(b_1 k + nk) \dots} \times \frac{\Gamma_k(a_p k + nk) \Gamma_k(\varepsilon + \nu n) \Gamma_k(\varepsilon + \zeta + \gamma + \vartheta + \nu n) \Gamma_k(g + \nu n) \Gamma_k(h)}{\Gamma_k(b_q k + nk) \Gamma_k(\varepsilon + \gamma + \nu n) \Gamma_k(\varepsilon + \zeta + n - nk + \nu n) \Gamma_k(g + h + \nu n) n!} (cx^{v+1/k-1})^n. \tag{51}$$

Using the definition of (16) in the above equation, we obtain the required result (48). This completed the proof of Theorem 7. \square

Theorem 8. Let $\vartheta, \zeta, \gamma, \vartheta', \zeta', \gamma', \varepsilon, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}; k \in \mathfrak{R}^+, c \in \mathfrak{R}$, and $\nu > 0$, such that $\mathfrak{R}(\vartheta) > 0, \mathfrak{R}(\vartheta') > 0, \mathfrak{R}(\varepsilon) > \max[\mathfrak{R}(\vartheta + \zeta) + n - \mathfrak{R}(\gamma)]$, and $\mathfrak{R}(\vartheta + \zeta - \gamma) + n \neq 0$, where $n = [\mathfrak{R}(\vartheta) + 1]$; then, the following fractional derivative holds true:

$$B_k \left(D_{-k}^{\vartheta, \zeta, \gamma} \left(t^{\vartheta - \varepsilon/k} S_{(p,q)}^{\vartheta', \zeta', \gamma', \varepsilon, k} (a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; c(zt)^{-\nu/k}) \right) \right) (x) = \frac{\Gamma_k(h)}{\Gamma_k(\gamma')} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(b_j)}{\prod_{i=1}^p \Gamma(a_i)} x^{(\vartheta - \varepsilon + \zeta/k) - 1} k^{\sum_{j=1}^q b_j - \sum_{i=1}^p a_i} \times {}_{p+4}Y_{q+4}^k \left[\begin{matrix} (a_1 k, k) \dots (a_p k, k), (\gamma', \varepsilon k), (\varepsilon - \vartheta - \delta, \nu + k - 1), (g, -\nu)(\varepsilon + \gamma, \nu), \\ (b_1 k, k) \dots (b_q k, k), (\zeta', \vartheta'), (g + h, -\nu), (\varepsilon - \vartheta, \nu), (\varepsilon - \vartheta - \zeta + \gamma, \nu), \end{matrix} \right] cx^{(-\nu+1/k)-1}. \tag{52}$$

Proof. The proof is identical to that of Theorem 7. As a result, we exclude the specifics. \square

5. Conclusion

The strength of generalized k -fractional calculus operators, also known as general operators by many scholars, is that they generalize classical Riemann-Liouville (R-L) operators and Saigo’s fractional calculus operators. For $k \rightarrow 1$, operators (1) to (5) reduce to Saigo’s [9] fractional integral and differentiation operators. If we set $\delta = -\vartheta$, operators (1) to (5) reduce to k -Riemann-Liouville operators as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (I_{0+,k}^{\vartheta, -\vartheta, \gamma} f)(x) &= (I_{0+,k}^{\vartheta} f)(x), \\ (I_{-,k}^{\vartheta, -\vartheta, \gamma} f)(x) &= (I_{-,k}^{\vartheta} f)(x), \\ (D_{0+,k}^{\vartheta, -\vartheta, \gamma} f)(x) &= (D_{0+,k}^{\vartheta} f)(x), \\ (D_{-,k}^{\vartheta, -\vartheta, \gamma} f)(x) &= (D_{-,k}^{\vartheta} f)(x). \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

On the account of the most general character of the S -function, numerous other interesting special cases of results (18), (22), (29), 2and (33) can be obtained, but for lack of space, they are not represented here.

Data Availability

No data were used to support this study.

Conflicts of Interest

There are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this article.

References

- [1] C. F. Cheng, Y. T. Tsay, and T. T. Wu, “Walsh operational matrices for fractional calculus and their application to distributed systems,” *Journal of the Franklin Institute*, vol. 303, no. 3, pp. 267–284, 1977.
- [2] W. R. Schneider and W. Wyss, “Fractional diffusion and wave equation,” *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 30, pp. 134–144, 1989.
- [3] A. Alaria, A. M. Khan, D. L. Suthar, and D. Kumar, “Application of fractional operators in modelling for charge carrier transport in amorphous semiconductor with multiple trapping,” *International Journal of Applied and Computational Mathematics*, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 167–10, 2019.
- [4] H. G. Sun, Y. Zhang, D. Baleanu, W. Chen, and Y. Q. Chen, “A new collection of real world application of fractional calculus in science and engineering,” *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, vol. 64, pp. 213–231, 2018.
- [5] W. Gao, P. Veerasha, and D. G. Prakasha, “Senel bilgin, baskonus haci mehmet: iterative method applied to the fractional nonlinear systems arising in thermoelasticity with mittag-leffler kernel,” *Fractals*, vol. 28, no. 8, 2020.
- [6] M. Goyal, H. M. Baskonus, and A. Prakash, “Regarding new positive, bounded and convergent numerical solution of nonlinear time fractional HIV/AIDS transmission model,” *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, vol. 139, Article ID 110096, 2020.
- [7] M. M. Khader, K. M. Saad, Z. Hammouch, and D. Baleanu, “A spectral collocation method for solving fractional KdV and

- KdV-Burgers equations with non-singular kernel derivatives,” *Applied Numerical Mathematics*, vol. 161, pp. 137–146, 2021.
- [8] V. N. Mishra, D. L. Suthar, and S. D. Purohit, “Marichev-Saigo-Maeda fractional calculus operators, Srivastava polynomials and generalized Mittag-Leffler function,” *Cogent Mathematics*, vol. 4, Article ID 1320830, 2017.
- [9] M. Saigo, “A remark on integral operators involving the Gauss hypergeometric functions,” *Mathematical Reports College General Education Kyushu University*, vol. 11, pp. 135–143, 1978.
- [10] M. Saigo, “A certain boundary value problem for the Euler-Darboux equation I,” *Mathematical Society of Japan*, vol. 24, pp. 377–385, 1979.
- [11] M. Saigo, “A certain boundary value problem for the Euler-Darboux equation, II,” *Mathematical Society of Japan*, vol. 24, pp. 211–220, 1980.
- [12] R. K. Saxena, J. Ram, and D. L. Suthar, “Generalized fractional calculus of the generalized Mittag-Leffler functions,” *Journal of Indian Academy of Mathematics*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 165–172, 2009.
- [13] S. Rashid, F. Jarad, and Z. Hammouch, “Some new bounds analogous to generalized proportional fractional integral operator with respect to another function,” *Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems-S*, 2021.
- [14] D. L. Suthar, M. Andualem, and B. Debalkie, “A study on generalized multivariable Mittag-Leffler function via generalized fractional calculus operators,” *Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 2019, Article ID 9864737, 7 pages, 2019.
- [15] D. L. Suthar, H. Habenom, and H. Hagos, “Generalized fractional calculus formulas for a product of Mittag-Leffler function and multivariable polynomials,” *International Journal of Applied and Computational Mathematics*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 1–12, 2018.
- [16] L. Debnath and D. Bhatta, *Integral Transforms and Their Applications*, Chapman and Hall/CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2006.
- [17] K. S. Miller and B. Ross, *An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Differential Equations*, A Wiley Intersciences Publication, John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York, NY, USA, 1993.
- [18] S. Mubeen and G. M. Habibullah, “k-Fractional integrals and application,” *International Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 89–94, 2012.
- [19] G. A. Dorrego, “An alternative definition for the k-Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative,” *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 9, no. 10, pp. 481–491, 2015.
- [20] A. Gupta and C. L. Parihar, “Saigo’s k-Fractional calculus operators,” *Malaya Journal of Matematik*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 494–504, 2017.
- [21] R. K. Saxena and J. Daiya, “Integral transforms of the S-functions,” *Le-Mathematique*, vol. 70, pp. 147–159, 2015.
- [22] S. D. Purohit, S. L. Kalla, and D. L. Suthar, “Fractional integral operators and the multiindex Mittag-Leffler functions,” *Scientia, Series A: Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 21, pp. 87–96, 2011.
- [23] S. D. Purohit, D. L. Suthar, and S. L. Kalla, “Some results on fractional calculus operators associated with the M-function,” *Hadronic Journal*, vol. 33, pp. 225–236, 2010.
- [24] D. L. Suthar and H. Amsalu, “Fractional integral and derivative formulas by using Marichev-Saigo-Maeda operators involving the S-function,” *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2019, Article ID 6487687, 19 pages, 2019.
- [25] D. L. Suthar and H. Habenom, “Certain generalized fractional integral formulas involving the product of K-function and the general class of multivariable polynomials,” *Communications in Numerical Analysis*, vol. 2017, no. 2, pp. 101–108, 2017.
- [26] R. Diaz and E. Pariguan, “On hypergeometric functions and Pochhammer ki -symbol,” *Divulgaciones Matemáticas*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 179–192, 2007.
- [27] L. Romero and R. Cerutti, “Fractional fourier transform and special k -function,” *International Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 693–704, 2012.
- [28] R. K. Saxena, J. Daiya, and A. Singh, “Integral transforms of the k -generalized Mittag-Leffler function,” *Le-Matematiche*, vol. 69, no. 2, pp. 7–16, 2014.
- [29] K. Sharma, “Application of fractional calculus operators to related areas,” *General Mathematics Notes*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 33–40, 2011.
- [30] K. Sharma and R. Jain, “A note on a generalized M-series as a special function of fractional calculus,” *FCAA*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 449–452, 2009.
- [31] K. S. Gehlot and J. C. Prajapati, “Fractional calculus of generalized k -Wright function,” *Journal of Fractional Calculus and Applications*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 283–289, 2013.
- [32] R. Diaz and C. Teruel, “ q, k generalized gamma and beta functions,” *Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 118–134, 2005.