

Research Article

On the $(\alpha - \psi)$ -Contractive Mappings in C^* -Algebra Valued b -Metric Spaces and Fixed Point Theorems

Saleh Omran ¹ and Ibtisam Masmali ²

¹Department of Mathematics, South Valley University, Qena 83523, Egypt

²Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Jazan University, Jazan, Saudi Arabia

Correspondence should be addressed to Ibtisam Masmali; iamasmali@jazanu.edu.sa

Received 5 June 2021; Revised 1 August 2021; Accepted 17 August 2021; Published 28 August 2021

Academic Editor: Naeem Saleem

Copyright © 2021 Saleh Omran and Ibtisam Masmali. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In this paper, for a unital C^* -algebra A , we introduce a version of α - ψ -contractive mappings in C^* -algebra valued b -metric spaces, and we prove some Banach fixed point theorems and give some examples to illustrate our results.

1. Introduction

Ma et al. [1] introduced the notion of C^* -algebra valued metric spaces, where the set of real number was replaced by the positive cone of a unital C^* -algebra. Later in [2], the class of C^* -algebra valued b -metric spaces is considered. Many results are introduced in this direction (see [3–10]). The notion of α - ψ -contractive mappings in metric spaces was introduced by Samet et al. [11]. Later in [12], Samet developed the notion of α - ψ -contractive mappings in b -metric spaces. Several results have been introduced in some related studies of α -admissible and $\alpha - \psi$ -contractive mappings and related fixed point theorems [13–22]. In this present work, we introduced a version of $\alpha - \psi$ -contractive mapping in a unital C^* -algebra valued b -metric spaces and proved some basic Banach fixed point theorems.

Some nontrivial examples are given to support our results. Suppose that A is a unital C^* -algebra with a unit I_A . Set $A_+ = \{x \in A : x = x^*\}$. An element $x \in A$ is a positive element, if $x = x^*$ and $\sigma(x) \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ is the spectrum of x . We define a partial ordering \leq on A as $x \leq y$ if $0_A \leq y - x$, where 0_A means the zero element in A , and we let A^+ denote the $\{x \in A : x \geq 0_A\}$ and $|x| = (x^*x)^{1/2}$.

Lemma 1. Suppose that A is a unital C^* -algebra with unit I_A . The following holds:

- (1) If $a \in A$, with $\|a\| < 1/2$, then $1 - a$ is invertible and $\|a(1 - a)^{-1}\| < 1$
- (2) For any $x \in A$ and $a, b \in A^+$, such that $a \leq b$, we have x^*ax and x^*bx which are positive element and $x^*ax \leq x^*bx$
- (3) If $0_A \leq a \leq b$, then $\|a\| \leq \|b\|$
- (4) If $a, b \in A^+$ and $ab = ba$, then $a.b \geq 0_A$
- (5) Let A' denote the set $\{a \in A : ab = ba \forall b \in A\}$ and let $a \in A'$, if $b, c \in A$ with $b \geq c \geq 0_A$ and $1 - a \in (A')^+$ is an invertible element, then $(I_A - a)^{-1}b \leq (I_A - a)^{-1}c$

We refer [23] for more C^* algebra details.

Definition 1. Let X be a nonempty set and $b \geq I_A$, $b \in A'$, suppose the mapping $d_A : X \times X \rightarrow A$ satisfies the following:

- (1) $d_A(x, y) \geq 0_A$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $d_A(x, y) = 0_A \Leftrightarrow x = y$.
- (2) $d_A(x, y) = d_A(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$.
- (3) $d_A(x, z) \leq b[d_A(x, y) + d_A(y, z)]$ for all $x, y, z \in X$, where 0_A is zero element in A and I_A is the unit element in A . Then, d_A is called a C^* -algebra valued b -metric on X and (X, A, d_A) is called C^* -algebra valued b -metric space.

Definition 2. Let (X, A, d_A) be a C^* -algebra valued b-metric space, $x \in X$, and $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence in X , then

- (i) $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is convergent to x whenever, for every $c \in A$ with $c > 0_A$, there is a natural number $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$d_A(x_n, x) \leq c, \quad (1)$$

for all $n > N$. We denote this by $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ or $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

- (ii) $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is said to be a Cauchy sequence whenever, for every $c \in A$ with $c > 0_A$, there is a natural number $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$d_A(x_n, x_m) \leq c, \quad (2)$$

for all $n, m > N$.

Lemma 2 (i) $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a convergence sequence in X if for any element $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > N$, $\|d(x_n, x)\| \leq \varepsilon$.

- (ii) $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in X , if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|d_A(x_n, x_m)\| \leq \varepsilon$, for all $n, m > N$. We say that (X, A, d_A) is a complete C^* -algebra valued b-metric space if every Cauchy sequence is convergent with respect to A .

Example 1. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ and $A = M_2(\mathbb{C})$ be the set of all 2×2 matrices with entries in \mathbb{C} , and $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ is a C^* -algebra with the matrix norm. Define

$$d_A(a, b) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 |a_{11} - b_{11}|^p & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 |a_{11} - b_{11}|^p \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

where $a = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^2$ and $b = (b_{ij})_{i,j=1}^2$ are two 2×2 -matrices, $a_{ij}, b_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$, for all $i, j = 1, 2$, $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$.

One can define a partial ordering (\leq) on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ as following $a \leq b$ if and only if $|a_{ij}| \leq |b_{ij}| \forall i, j = 1, 2$.

And an element $a \geq 0$ is positive in $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ if and only if $|a_{ij}| \geq 0$ for all $i, j = 1, 2$, we denote $M_2(\mathbb{C})^+$ the set of all positive element in $M_2(\mathbb{C})$. Then, $(X, M_2(\mathbb{C}), d_{M_2(\mathbb{C})})$ is C^* -algebra valued b-metric space.

Definition 4. If $\psi: A \rightarrow B$ is a linear mapping in C^* -algebra, it is said to be positive if $\psi(A^+) \subseteq B^+$. In this case, $\psi(A_h) \subseteq B_h$, and the restriction map: $\psi: A_h \rightarrow B_h$ is increasing.

Definition 5. Suppose that A and B are C^* -algebras. A mapping $\psi: A \rightarrow B$ is said to be C^* -homomorphism if

- $\psi(ax + by) = a\psi(x) + b\psi(y)$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x, y \in A$
- $\psi(xy) = \psi(x)\psi(y) \forall x, y \in A$
- $\psi(x^*) = \psi(x)^* \forall x \in A$
- ψ maps the unit in A to the unit in B

Definition 6. Let Ψ_A be the set of positive functions $\psi_A: A^+ \rightarrow A^+$ satisfying the following conditions:

- $\psi_A(a)$ is continuous and nondecreasing
- $\psi_A(a) = 0$ iff $a = 0$
- $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \psi_A^n(a) < \infty$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_A^n(a) = 0$ for each $a > 0$, where ψ_A^n is nth iterate of ψ_A
- The series $\sum_{k=0}^\infty b^k \psi_A^k(a) < \infty$ for $a > 0$ is increasing and continuous at 0

Corollary 1. Every C^* -homomorphism is contractive and hence bounded.

Lemma 3. Every $*$ -homomorphism is positive.

2. Main Results

In [11] Samet et al. and in [12] Samet introduced the concept of α - ψ -contractive mappings in metric space and α - ψ -contractive mappings in b-metric space, respectively. Here, we will develop the definitions in case of unital C^* -algebra and study some Banach fixed point theorems.

Definition 7 (see [11]). Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be self map and $\alpha: X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$. Then, T is called α -admissible if for all $x, y \in X$ with $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$ implies $\alpha(Tx, Ty) \geq 1$.

Definition 8. Let X be a nonempty set and $\alpha_A: X \times X \rightarrow (A^+)^+$ be a function, we say that the self map T is α_A -admissible if $(x, y) \in X \times X$, $\alpha_A(x, y) \geq I_A \Rightarrow \alpha_A(Tx, Ty) \geq I_A$, where I_A the unit of A .

Definition 9. Let (X, A, d_A) be a C^* -algebra valued b-metric space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ is mapping, we say that T is an α_A - ψ_A -contractive mapping if there exist two functions $\alpha_A: X \times X \rightarrow A^+$ and $\psi_A \in \Psi_A$ such that

$$\alpha_A(x, y) d_A(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi_A(d_A(x, y)), \quad (4)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Theorem 1 (Banach version fixed point). Let (X, A, d_A) be a complete C^* -algebra valued b-metric space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ be an α_A - ψ_A -contractive mapping satisfying the following conditions:

- T is α_A -admissible
- There exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha_A(x_0, Tx_0) \geq I_A$
- T is continuous

Then, T has a fixed point in X .

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha_A(x_0, Tx_0) \geq I_A$ and define a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ in X such that $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $x_n = x_{n+1}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then x_n is a fixed point for T .

Suppose that $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, since T is α_A -admissible, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_A(x_0, x_1) &= \alpha_A(x_0, Tx_0) \geq I_A \Rightarrow \\ \alpha_A(Tx_0, T^2x_0) &= \alpha_A(x_1, x_2) \geq I_A. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

By induction, we have

$$\alpha_A(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq I_A, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{6}$$

By inequalities (4) and (6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d_A(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d_A(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n), \\ &\leq \alpha_A(x_{n-1}, x_n)d_A(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \\ &\leq \psi_A(d_A(x_{n-1}, x_n)). \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

By induction, we obtain

$$d_A(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \psi_A^n(d_A(x_0, x_1)), \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{8}$$

For $m \geq 1$ and $p \geq 1$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d_A(x_m, x_{m+p}) &\leq b[d_A(x_m, x_{m+1}) + d_A(x_{m+1}, x_{m+p})] \\ &\leq bd_A(x_m, x_{m+1}) + b^2d_A(x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}) + \dots + b^{p-1}d_A(x_{m+p-2}, x_{m+p-1}) + b^pd_A(x_{m+p-1}, x_{m+p}) \\ &\leq b\psi_A^m(d_A(x_0, x_1)) + b^2\psi_A^{m+1}(d_A(x_0, x_1)) + b^{p-1}\psi_A^{m+p-2}(d_A(x_0, x_1)) + b^p\psi_A^{m+p-1}(d_A(x_0, x_1)) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} b^k\psi_A^{m+k-1}(d_A(x_0, x_1)) + b^p\psi_A^{m+p-1}(d_A(x_0, x_1)). \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Since $b \geq I_A$, using Definition 6, we obtain

$$d_A(x_m, x_{m+p}) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} b^k\psi_A^{m+k-1}(d_A(x_0, x_1)) + b^p\psi_A^{m+p-1}(d_A(x_0, x_1)) \longrightarrow 0_A, \quad \text{as } n \longrightarrow +\infty. \tag{10}$$

Thus, $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Since (X, A, d_A) is complete, there exists $x \in X$ such that $x_n \longrightarrow x$ as $n \longrightarrow +\infty$, from continuity of T it follows that $x_{n+1} = Tx_n \longrightarrow Tx$ as $n \longrightarrow +\infty$. And by uniqueness of the limit, we get $Tx = x$, that is, x is a fixed point of T . To prove the uniqueness of the fixed point, we will consider the following condition. (H_A) : for all $x, y \in X$, there exists $z \in X$ such that $\alpha_A(x, z) \geq I_A$ and $\alpha_A(y, z) \geq I_A$.

Theorem 2. Adding condition (H_A) to the hypothesis of Theorem 1, we obtain the uniqueness of the fixed point of T .

Proof. Suppose that x and y are two fixed points of T . From (H_A) , there exists $z \in X$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_A(x, z) &\geq I_A, \\ \alpha_A(y, z) &\geq I_A. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Since T is α_A -admissible, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_A(x, T^n z) &\geq I_A, \\ \alpha_A(y, T^n z) &\geq I_A, \\ &\text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Using (4) and (12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d_A(x, T^n z) &= d_A(Tx, T(T^{n-1}z)), \\ &\leq \alpha_A(x, T^{n-1}z)d_A(Tx, T(T^{n-1}z)) \\ &\leq \psi_A^n(d_A(x, z)), \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow 0_A \text{ as } n \longrightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Thus, $T^n z = x$. Similarly $T^n z = y$ as $n \longrightarrow +\infty$. So, the uniqueness of the limit gives $x = y$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 3 (Kannan version fixed point). Let (X, A, d_A) be a complete C^* -algebra valued b -metric space and $T: X \longrightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying

$$\alpha_A(x, y)d_A(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi_A(d_A(Tx, x) + d_A(Ty, y)), \tag{14}$$

for $x, y \in X$, where

$$\alpha_A: X \times X \longrightarrow A^+, \quad \text{and } \psi_A \in \Psi_A, \tag{15}$$

and the following conditions holds:

- (i) T is α_A -admissible
- (ii) There exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha_A(x_0, Tx_0) \geq I_A$
- (iii) T is continuous

Then, T has a fixed point in X .

Proof. Following the proof of Theorem 1, we get

$$\alpha_A(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq I_A, \quad (16)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

By inequalities (14) and (16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d_A(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d_A(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n), \\ &\leq \alpha_A(x_{n-1}, x_n) d_A(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \\ &\leq \psi_A(d_A(Tx_{n-1}, x_{n-1}) + d_A(Tx_n, x_n)) \\ &= \psi_A(d_A(x_n, x_{n-1}) + d_A(x_{n+1}, x_n)) \\ &= \psi_A(d_A(x_n, x_{n-1}) + \psi_A d_A(x_n, x_{n+1})) \\ (1 - \psi_A) d_A(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \psi_A(d_A(x_n, x_{n-1})) \\ d_A(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \psi_A(1 - \psi_A)^{-1}(d_A(x_n, x_{n-1})), \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

from Lemma 1 and Definition 6, and let $\varphi = \psi_A$
 $(1 - \psi_A)^{-1} = \varphi_A \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_A^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_A^n < \infty$.

So, we get $d_A(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \varphi_A(d_A(x_n, x_{n+1}))$.

By induction, we obtain

$$d_A(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \varphi_A^n(d_A(x_0, x_1)), \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (18)$$

For $m \geq 1$ and $p \geq 1$, it follows by similar calculation in Theorem 1 that

$$d_A(x_m, x_{m+p}) = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} b^k \varphi_A^{m+k-1}(d_A(x_0, x_1)) + b^p \varphi_A^{m+p-1}(d_A(x_0, x_1)). \quad (19)$$

Since $b \geq I_A$, using Definition 6, we obtain

$$d_A(x_m, x_{m+p}) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} b^k \varphi_A^{m+k-1}(d_A(x_0, x_1)) + b^p \varphi_A^{m+p-1}(d_A(x_0, x_1)) \longrightarrow 0_A, \quad \text{as } n \longrightarrow +\infty. \quad (20)$$

Thus, $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Since (X, A, d_A) is complete, there exists $x \in X$ such that $x_n \longrightarrow x$ as $n \longrightarrow +\infty$, and from continuity of T , it follows that $x_{n+1} = Tx_n \longrightarrow Tx$ as $n \longrightarrow +\infty$.

And by uniqueness of the limit, we get $Tx = x$; that is, x is a fixed point of T .

Now, if $y (\neq x)$ is another fixed point of T , then

$$\begin{aligned} 0_A \leq d_A(x, y) &= d_A(Tx, Ty), \\ &\leq \alpha_A(x, y) d_A(Tx, Ty) \\ &\leq \psi_A(d_A(Tx, x) + d_A(Ty, y)) \\ &= \psi_A(d_A(x, x) + d_A(y, y)) \\ &= \psi_A(0) = 0_A. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

This implies that $d_A(x, y) = 0_A$. That is, $x = y$, and this complete the proof.

Theorem 4 (Banach–Kannan version fixed point). *Let (X, A, d_A) be a complete C^* -algebra valued b -metric space and $T: X \longrightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying*

$$\alpha_A(x, y) d_A(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi_A(d_A(x, y) + d_A(Tx, x) + d_A(Ty, y)), \quad (22)$$

for $x, y \in X$, where

$$\alpha_A: X \times X \longrightarrow A^+, \quad \text{and } \psi_A \in \Psi_A, \quad (23)$$

such that $\psi_A(1 - \psi_A)^{-1} \leq 1/2I_A$, and the following conditions hold:

- (i) T is α_A -admissible
- (ii) There exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha_A(x_0, Tx_0) \geq I_A$
- (iii) T is continuous

Then, T has a fixed point in X .

Proof. Following the proof of Theorem 1, we get

$$\alpha_A(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq I_A, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (24)$$

By using inequalities (22) and (24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_A(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d_A(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n), \\ &\leq \alpha_A(x_{n-1}, x_n) d_A(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \\ &\leq \psi_A(d_A(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d_A(Tx_{n-1}, x_{n-1}) \\ &\quad + d_A(Tx_n, x_n)) \\ &= \psi_A(d_A(x_n, x_{n-1}) 2I_A + d_A(x_n, x_{n+1})), \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Since ψ_A is additive, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \psi_A)d_A(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq 2I_A\psi_A(d_A(x_n, x_{n-1})) \\ d_A(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq 2I_A(1 - \psi_A)^{-1}\psi_A(d_A(x_n, x_{n-1})), \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

and putting $(1 - \psi_A)^{-1}\psi_A = \varphi_A(I_A/2)$, we get

$$d_A(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \varphi_A^n d_A(x_0, x_1), \tag{27}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$; for $m \geq 1$ and $p \geq 1$ and by similar calculation as in the proof of Theorem 1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d_A(x_m, x_{m+p}) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} b^n \varphi_A^{m+k+1}(d_A(x_0, x_1)) \\ &+ b^p \varphi_A^{m+p-1}(d_A(x_0, x_1)) \longrightarrow 0_A, \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. This x is a fixed point of T . To prove the uniqueness part of the fixed point of T , if $y (\neq x)$ is another fixed point of T , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0_A \leq d_A(x, y) &= d_A(Tx, Ty), \\ &\leq \alpha_A(x, y)d_A(Tx, Ty) \\ &\leq \psi_{I_A}(d_A(x, y) + d_A(Tx, x) + d_A(Ty, y)) \\ &= \psi_A(d_A(x, y) + d_A(x, x) + d_A(y, y)) \\ &\leq \psi_A(d_A(x, y)) \\ &\leq d_A(x, y). \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

This is a contraction, so $d_A(x, y) = 0_A$, and this gives $x = y$. This completes the proof.

Example 2. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ and $A = M_2(\mathbb{C})$ as given in Example 1, define $T: X \rightarrow X$, by $Tx = x/2$, and $\alpha_{M_2(\mathbb{C})}: X \times X \rightarrow M_2(\mathbb{C})^+$ and $\alpha_{M_2(\mathbb{C})}(x, y) = I_{M_2(\mathbb{C})}$, so $\alpha_{M_2(\mathbb{C})}(Tx, Ty) = \alpha_{M_2(\mathbb{C})}(x/2, y/2) = I_{M_2(\mathbb{C})}$; thus, T is $\alpha_{M_2(\mathbb{C})}$ -admissible, where $M_2(\mathbb{C})^+$ is the set of all positive elements in $M_2(\mathbb{C})$. Define $\psi_{M_2(\mathbb{C})}: M_2(\mathbb{C})^+ \rightarrow M_2(\mathbb{C})^+$, $\psi_{M_2(\mathbb{C})}(a) = a/2$. This is clear that $\alpha_{M_2(\mathbb{C})} - \psi_{M_2(\mathbb{C})}$ -contractive mapping and satisfies $\alpha_{M_2(\mathbb{C})}(x, y) \cdot (d_{M_2(\mathbb{C})}(Tx, Ty)) \leq \psi_{M_2(\mathbb{C})}(d_{M_2(\mathbb{C})}(x, y))$ for all $x, y \in X$.

3. Applications

In this section, we shall apply Theorem 1 to prove the existence and uniqueness of solution an integral equation in C^* -algebra.

Example 3. Let E be a compact Hausdorff space, we denote by $C(E)$ the algebra of all complex-valued continuous functions on E with pointwise addition and multiplication. The algebra $C(E)$ with the involution defined by $f^*(t) = \overline{f(t)}$ for each $f \in C(E)$, $t \in E$ and with the norm $\|f\|_\infty = \sup\{|f(t)|, t \in E\}$ is a commutative C^* -algebra, with unit $I_{C(E)}$ is the constant function. Let $C^+(E) = \{f \in C(E): f(t) = f(t), f(t) \geq 0\}$ denote the positive Cone of $C(E)$, with partial order relation $f \leq g$ if and only if $f(t) \leq g(t)$. Put $d_{C(E)}: C(E) \times C(E) \rightarrow C(E)$ as $d_{C(E)}(f, g) = \sup_{t \in E}\{|f(t) - g(t)|^p\} \cdot I_{C(E)}$. It is clear that

$(C(E), C(E), d_{C(E)})$ is a complete C^* -algebra valued b-metric space.

Theorem 5 (Application). Consider the integral equation

$$x(t) = \int_E F(t, x(s))ds + h(t), \tag{30}$$

where E is the compact topological Hausdorff space. Suppose

- (1) $F: E \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
- (2) There exists a continuous function $\phi: E \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$|F(t, f(s)) - F(t, g(s))| \leq k|\phi(t, s)||f(s) - g(s)|, \tag{31}$$

for all $f, g \in C(E)$, $t, s \in E$.

- (3) $\sup_{t \in E} \int_E |\phi(t, s)|ds \leq 1$, then the integral equation (30) has a unique solution $x^* = \bar{x} \in C(E)$.

Proof. Let $X = C(E)$ and $A = C(E)$, $d_{C(E)}$ as in the Example3, $(C(E), C(E), d_{C(E)})$ is a complete C^* -algebra valued b-metric space, and let $T: C(E)(E) \rightarrow C(E)$ given by $Tx(t) = \int_E F(t, x(s))ds + h(t)$, $x, h \in C(E)$, $t, s \in E$. $\alpha_{C(E)}: C(E) \times C(E) \rightarrow C^+(E)$ defined by $\alpha_{C(E)}(f, g) = (f, g) \cdot I_{(E)}$. And $\psi_{C(E)}: C^+(E) \rightarrow C^+(E)$ defined by $\psi_{C(E)}(f) = f$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{C(E)}(Tf, Tg) &= \sup_{t \in E}\{|Tf(t) - Tg(t)|^p\} \cdot I_{C(E)}, \\ &= \sup_{t \in E} \int_E |F(t, f(s)) - F(t, g(s))|^p \cdot I_{C(E)} dt \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in E} \int_E |\phi(t, s)|^p |f(s) - g(s)|^p \cdot I_{C(E)} dt \\ &\leq k^p d_{C(E)}(f, g). \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Put $A = k^p$ since $k \in (0, 1)$, this gives $\|A\| \leq 1$, and we get

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{C(E)}(d_{C(E)}(Tf, Tg)) &\leq \|A\| \psi_{C(E)}(d_{C(E)}(f, g)) \\ &\leq \psi_{C(E)}(d_{C(E)}(f, g)), \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

for all $f, g \in C(E)$.

Thus, T is an $\alpha_{C(E)} - \psi_{C(E)}$ -contractive mapping and satisfies Theorem 1. So, T has a unique fixed point, and the integral equation (30) has a unique solution $x^* = \bar{x} \in C(E)$.

4. Conclusions

In this paper, we define a new version of $\alpha_A - \psi_A$ -admissible in the case of self mappings $T: A \rightarrow A$. We prove the principal Banach fixed point theorem, Kannan fixed point theorem, and Banach-Kannan fixed point theorem in the C^* -algebra valued b-metric space, which generalized the given results in [1, 2, 11, 12, 24].

Data Availability

No data were used to support the results.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors of this research declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

The first author thanks the South Valley University, Egypt, for partially supporting the study. The second author thanks the Jazan University, Saudi Arabia, for supporting the study.

References

- [1] Z. Ma, L. Jiang, and H. Sun, " C^* -algebra-valued metric spaces and related fixed point theorems," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2014, no. 1, p. 206, 2014.
- [2] Z. Ma and L. Jiang, " C^* -Algebra-valued b -metric spaces and related fixed point theorems," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2015, no. 1, p. 222, 2015.
- [3] H. H. Alsulami, R. P. Agarwal, E. Karapinar, and F. Khojasteh, "A short note on C^* -valued contraction mappings," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2016, no. 1, p. 50, 2016.
- [4] S. Chandok, D. Kumar, and C. Park, " C^* -algebra-valued partial metric space and fixed point theorems," *Proceedings-Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 129, no. 3, p. 37, 2019.
- [5] S. S. Chauhan and V. Gupta, "Banach contraction theorem on fuzzy cone b -metric space," *Journal of Applied Research and Technology*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 154–160, 2020.
- [6] J. Fernandez1, N. Malviya, Z. Mitrovic, A. Hussain, and V. Parvaneh, "Some fixed point results on N_b -cone metric spaces over Banach algebra," *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2020, p. 529, 2020.
- [7] V. Gupta, Ö. Ege, R. Saini, and M. Sen, "Some fixed point results in complete G_b -metric spaces," *Dynamic Systems and Applications*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 277–293, 2021.
- [8] A. Hussain, T. Kanwal, M. Adeel, and S. Radenović, "Best proximity point results in b -metric space and application to nonlinear fractional differential equation," *Mathematics*, vol. 6, no. 11, p. 221, 2018.
- [9] Z. Kadelburg and S. Radenović, "Fixed point results in C^* -algebra-valued metric spaces are direct consequences of their standard metric counterparts," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2016, no. 1, p. 53, 2016.
- [10] N. Mlaiki, M. Asim, and M. Imdad, " C^* -algebra valued partial b -metric spaces and fixed point results with an application," *Mathematics*, vol. 8, no. 8, p. 1381, 2020.
- [11] B. Samet, C. Vetro, and P. Vetro, "Fixed point theorems for contractive type mappings," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 75, no. 4, pp. 2154–2165, 2012.
- [12] B. Samet, "The class of (α, ψ) -type contractions in b -metric space and fixed point theorems," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 92, no. 1, 2015.
- [13] I. Ćirić, V. Parvaneh, and N. Hussain, "Fixed point results for weakly α -admissible pairs," *Filomat*, vol. 30, no. 14, pp. 3697–3713, 2016.
- [14] N. Hussain, A. M. Al-Solami, and M. A. Kutbi, "Fixed points α -admissible mapping in cone b -metric space over Banach algebra," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 89–97, 2017.
- [15] Z. Islam, M. Sarwar, and M. Sen, "Fixed-point results for generalized α -admissible hardy-rogers' contractions in cone b_2 -metric spaces over Banach's algebras with application," *Advances in Mathematical Physics*, vol. 2020, Article ID 8826060, 12 pages, 2020.
- [16] Z. Islam, M. Sarwar, and C. Tunç, "Coincidence point results for (ϕ, ψ) -weak contractive mappings in cone 2-metric spaces," *Honam Mathematical Journal*, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 305–323, 2021.
- [17] M. Jain, A. Tomar, M. Joshi, and K. Tas, "Ordered generalized ϕ -contraction in ordered fuzzy metric spaces with an application in dynamic programming," *Journal of Mathematical Control Science and Applications*, vol. 7, no. 1, 2021.
- [18] S. K. Malhotra, J. B. Sharma, and S. Shukla, "Fixed point of α -admissible mapping in cone metric spaces with Banach algebra," *International Journal of Analysis and Applications*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 9–18, 2015.
- [19] K. Sawangsup and W. Sintunavarat, "Fixed point results for orthogonal Z -contraction mappings in O -complete metric spaces," *International Journal of Applied Physics and Mathematics*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 33–40, 2020.
- [20] J. Vujakovic, S. Mitrovic, Z. Mitrovic, and S. Radenovic, "On F -contractions for weak α -admissible mappings in metric-like spaces," *Mathematics*, vol. 8, no. 9, p. 1629, 2020.
- [21] H. Yan, B. Yi-duo, and S. Chang-ji, "Some new theorems of α -admissible mappings on c -distance in cone metric spaces over Banach algebras," *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, vol. 563, Article ID 052021, 2019.
- [22] M. Zada, M. Sarwar, T. Abdeljawad, and F. Jarad, "Generalized darbo-type F -contraction and F -expansion and its applications to a nonlinear fractional-order differential equation," *Journal of Function Spaces*, vol. 2020, Article ID 4581035, 10 pages, 2020.
- [23] G. J. Murphy, *C^* -Algebras and Operator Theory*, Academic Press, London, UK, 1990.
- [24] R. Mustafa, S. Omran, and Q. N. Nguyen, "Fixed point theory using ψ contractive mapping in C^* -algebra valued B -metric space," *Mathematics*, vol. 9, no. 1, p. 92, 2021.