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Let $h$ be a nonvanishing analytic function in the open unit disc with $h(0)=1$. Consider the class consisting of normalized analytic functions $f$ whose ratios $f(z) / g(z), g(z) / z p(z)$, and $p(z)$ are each subordinate to $h$ for some analytic functions $g$ and $p$. The radius of starlikeness of order $\alpha$ is obtained for this class when $h$ is chosen to be either $h(z)=\sqrt{1+z}$ or $h(z)=e^{z}$. Further, starlikeness radii are also obtained for each of these two classes, which include the radius of Janowski starlikeness, and the radius of parabolic starlikeness.

## 1. Two Subclasses of Normalized Analytic Functions

Let $\mathscr{A}$ denote the class of normalized analytic functions $f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}$ in the unit disc $\mathbb{D}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$. A prominent subclass of $\mathscr{A}$ is the class $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ consisting of functions $f \in \mathscr{A}$ such that $f(\mathbb{D})$ is a starlike domain with respect to the origin. Geometrically, this means the linear segment joining the origin to every other point $w \in f(\mathbb{D})$ lies entirely in $f(\mathbb{D})$. Every starlike function in $\mathscr{A}$ is necessarily univalent.

Since $f^{\prime}(0)$ does not vanish, every function $f \in \mathscr{A}$ is locally univalent at $z=0$. Further, each function $f \in \mathscr{A}$ mirrors the identity mapping near the origin and thus, in particular, maps small circles $|z|=r$ onto curves which bound starlike domains. If $f \in \mathscr{A}$ is also required to be univalent in $\mathbb{D}$, then it is known that $f$ maps the disc $|z|<r$ onto a domain starlike with respect to the origin for every $r \leq r_{0}:=\tan h(\pi / 4)$ (see [1], Corollary, p. 98). The constant $r_{0}$ cannot be improved. Denoting by $\mathcal{S}$ the class of univalent functions $f \in \mathscr{A}$, the number $r_{0}=\tan h(\pi / 4)$ is commonly referred to as the radius of starlikeness for the class $\mathcal{S}$.

Another informative description of the class $\mathcal{S}$ is its radius of convexity. Here, it is known that every $f \in \mathcal{S}$ maps the disc $|z|<r$ onto a convex domain for every
$r \leq r_{0}:=2-\sqrt{3}$ ([1], Corollary, p. 44). Thus, the radius of convexity for $\mathcal{S}$ is $r_{0}=2-\sqrt{3}$.

To formulate a radius description for other entities besides starlikeness and convexity, consider in general two families $\mathscr{G}$ and $\mathscr{M}$ of $\mathscr{A}$. The $\mathscr{G}$-radius for the class $\mathscr{M}$, denoted by $R_{\mathscr{G}}(\mathscr{M})$, is the largest number $R$ such that $r^{-1} f(r z) \in \mathscr{G}$ for every $0<r \leq R$ and $f \in \mathscr{M}$. Thus, for example, an equivalent description of the radius of starlikeness for $\mathcal{S}$ is that the $\mathcal{S}^{*}$-radius for the class $\mathcal{S}$ is $R_{\mathcal{S}^{*}}(\mathcal{S})=\tanh (\pi / 4)$.

In this paper, we seek to determine the radius of starlikeness and certain other $\mathscr{G}$-radius, for particular subclasses $\mathscr{G}$ of $\mathscr{A}$. Several widely studied subclasses of $\mathscr{A}$ have simple geometric descriptions; these functions are often expressed as a ratio between two functions. Among the very early studies in this direction is the class of close-to-convex functions introduced by Kaplan [2] and Reade's class [3] of close-to-starlike functions. Close-to-convex functions are necessarily univalent, but not so for close-to-starlike functions.

In this paper, we examine two different subclasses of functions in $\mathscr{A}$ satisfying a certain subordination of ratios. Interestingly, these classes contain nonunivalent functions. An analytic function $f$ is subordinate to an analytic function $g$, written $f<g$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=g(w(z)), \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some analytic self-map $w$ in $\mathbb{D}$ with $|w(z)| \leq|z|$. The function $w$ is often referred to as a Schwarz function.

Now, let $h$ be a nonvanishing analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ with $h(0)=1$. The classes treated in this paper consist of functions $f \in \mathscr{A}$ whose ratios $f(z) / g(z), g(z) / z p(z)$, and $p(z)$ are each subordinate to $h$ for some analytic functions $g$ and $p$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{f(z)}{g(z)}<h(z), \\
\frac{g(z)}{z p(z)}<h(z),  \tag{2}\\
p(z)
\end{gather*}<h(z) .
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{T}_{1}:=\left\{f \in \mathscr{A}: \frac{f(z)}{g(z)}<\sqrt{1+z}, \frac{g(z)}{z p(z)}<\sqrt{1+z}, \text { for some } g \in \mathscr{A}, p(z)<\sqrt{1+z}\right\} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $p$ is the constant one function, then the class contains functions $f \in \mathscr{A}$ satisfying the subordination of ratios

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{f(z)}{g(z)}<h(z),  \tag{3}\\
& \frac{g(z)}{z}<h(z) .
\end{align*}
$$

When $\quad f \in \mathscr{A}$ satisfies $\operatorname{RE}(f(z) / g(z))>0$ and $\operatorname{RE}(g(z) / z)>0$, or their variants, these functions have earlier been studied, notably by MacGregor in [4-7] and Ratti in [8, 9]. For related investigations, see [10, 11] and several recent references therein. Under the present context, this amount to choosing $h(z)=(1+z) /(1-z)$ or some other appropriate choices of $h$.

In this paper, two specific choices of the function $h$ are made: $h(z)=\sqrt{1+z}$ and $h(z)=e^{z}$.

The class $\mathscr{T}_{1}$ : this is the class given by

This class is nonempty: let $f_{1}, g_{1}, p_{1}: \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{1}(z)=z(1+z)^{3 / 2},  \tag{9}\\
& g_{1}(z)=z(1+z),  \tag{5}\\
& p_{1}(z)=\sqrt{1+z} .
\end{align*}
$$

Then, $f_{1}(z) / g_{1}(z)<\sqrt{1+z}$ and $g_{1}(z) / z p_{1}(z)<\sqrt{1+z}$, so that $f_{1} \in \mathscr{T}_{1}$. The function $f_{1}$ will be shown to play the role of an extremal function for the class $\mathscr{T}_{1}$. Since $f_{1}^{\prime}$ vanishes at $z=-2 / 5$, the function $f_{1}$ is nonunivalent, and thus, the class $\mathscr{T}_{1}$ contains nonunivalent functions. Incidentally, $f_{1}$ demonstrates the radius of univalence for $\mathscr{T}_{1}$ is at most $2 / 5$. In Theorem 1 , the radius of starlikeness for $\mathscr{T}_{1}$ is shown to be $2 / 5$, whence $\mathscr{T}_{1}$ has radius of univalence $2 / 5$.

The following is a useful result in investigating the starlikeness of the class $\mathscr{T}_{1}$.

Lemma 1. Let $p(z)<\sqrt{1+z}$. Then, $p$ satisfies the sharp inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
\sqrt{1-r} & \leq|p(z)| \leq \sqrt{1+r}, \quad|z| \leq r  \tag{6}\\
\left|\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}\right| & \leq \frac{r}{2(1-r)}, \quad|z| \leq r . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. If $p(z)<\sqrt{1+z}$, then $p^{2}(z)=1+w(z)$ for some Schwarz function $w$. The well-known Schwarz lemma shows that $|w(z)| \leq|z|$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|w^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1-|w(z)|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
|p(z)|^{2}=|1+w(z)| \leq 1+|w(z)| \leq 1+|z| \leq 1+r
$$

for $|z| \leq r$, that is, $|p(z)| \leq \sqrt{1+r}$ for $|z| \leq r$. Similarly, $|p(z)| \geq \sqrt{1-r}$ for $|z| \leq r$.

Since $2 z p^{\prime}(z) / p(z)=z w^{\prime}(z) /(1+w(z))$, the inequality (8) readily shows

$$
\begin{align*}
2\left|\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}\right| & \leq \frac{|z|\left|w^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1-|w(z)|} \leq \frac{|z|(1+|w(z)|)}{1-|z|^{2}}  \tag{10}\\
& \leq \frac{|z|(1+|z|)}{1-|z|^{2}}=\frac{|z|}{1-|z|} \leq \frac{r}{1-r},
\end{align*}
$$

for $|z| \leq r$. This proves (7). The inequalities are sharp for the function $p: \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $p(z)=\sqrt{1+z}$.

For $f \in \mathscr{T}_{1}$, let $p_{1}(z)=f(z) / g(z)$ and $p_{2}(z)=$ $g(z) / z p(z)$. Then, $f(z)=z p(z) p_{1}(z) p_{2}(z)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right| \leq\left|\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}\right|+\left|\frac{z p_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{p_{1}(z)}\right|+\left|\frac{z p_{2}^{\prime}(z)}{p_{2}(z)}\right| \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $p, p_{1}, p_{2}<\sqrt{1+z}$, we deduce from (7) and (11) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right| \leq \frac{3 r}{2(1-r)}, \quad|z| \leq r, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each function $f \in \mathscr{T}_{1}$. Sharp growth inequalities also follow from (6):

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(1-r)^{3 / 2} \leq|f(z)| \leq r(1+r)^{3 / 2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $f \in \mathscr{T}_{1}$. Crude distortion inequalities can readily be obtained from (12) and the growth inequality; however, finding sharp estimates remain an open problem.

The class $\mathscr{T}_{2}$ : this class is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{T}_{2}:=\left\{f \in \mathscr{A}: \frac{f(z)}{g(z)}<e^{z}, \frac{g(z)}{z p(z)}<e^{z} \text {, for some } g \in \mathscr{A}, p(z)<e^{z}\right\} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f_{2}, g_{2}, p_{2}: \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{2}(z)=z e^{3 z} \\
& g_{2}(z)=z e^{2 z}  \tag{15}\\
& p_{2}(z)=e^{z}
\end{align*}
$$

Evidently, $f_{2}(z) / g_{2}(z)<e^{z}, g_{2}(z) / z p_{2}(z)<e^{z}$, so that $f_{2} \in \mathscr{T}_{2}$, and the class $\mathscr{T}_{2}$ is nonempty. Similar to $f_{1} \in \mathscr{T}_{1}$, the function $f_{2}$ plays the role of an extremal function for the class $\mathscr{T}_{2}$. The Taylor series expansion for $f_{2}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{2}(z)=z+3 z^{2}+\frac{9 z^{3}}{2}+\frac{9 z^{4}}{2}+\frac{27 z^{5}}{8}+\cdots \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing the second coefficient, it is clear that $f_{2}$ is nonunivalent. Hence, the class $\mathscr{T}_{2}$ contains nonunivalent functions. The derivative $f_{2}^{\prime}$ vanishes at $z=-1 / / 3$, which shows the radius of univalence for $\mathscr{T}_{2}$ is at most $1 / 3$. From Theorem 1, the radius of starlikeness is shown to be $1 / 3$, and so the radius of univalence for $\mathscr{T}_{2}$ is $1 / 3$.

Lemma 2. Every $p(z)<e^{z}$ satisfies the sharp inequalities

$$
\begin{gather*}
e^{-r} \leq|p(z)| \leq e^{r},  \tag{17}\\
\left|\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}\right| \leq \begin{cases}r, & |z| \leq r \leq \sqrt{2}-1 \\
\frac{\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{2}}{4\left(1-r^{2}\right)}, & |z|=r \geq \sqrt{2}-1\end{cases} \tag{18}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Let $p(z)<e^{z}$. Since $p(z)=e^{w(z)}$ for some Schwarz self-map $w$ satisfying $|w(z)| \leq|z|$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-|z|} \leq e^{-|w(z)|} \leq|p(z)|=e^{\operatorname{Re} w(z)} \leq e^{|w(z)|} \leq e^{|z|} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequalities become equality for the function $p: \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $p(z)=e^{z}$ respectively at $z=-r$ and $z=r$.

The function $w$ also satisfies the sharp inequality (see [1], Corollary, p. 199)

$$
\left|w^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \begin{cases}1, & r=|z| \leq \sqrt{2}-1  \tag{20}\\ \frac{\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{2}}{4 r\left(1-r^{2}\right)}, & r \geq \sqrt{2}-1\end{cases}
$$

From $z p^{\prime}(z) / p(z)=z w^{\prime}(z)$, we conclude that

$$
\left|\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}\right| \leq \begin{cases}r, & r=|z| \leq \sqrt{2}-1  \tag{21}\\ \frac{\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{2}}{4\left(1-r^{2}\right)}, & r \geq \sqrt{2}-1\end{cases}
$$

This inequality is sharp for the function $p: \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $p(z)=e^{z}$ when $r=|z| \leq \sqrt{2}-1$. It is also sharp in the remaining interval for the function $p(z)=e^{w(z)}$, where $w$ is the extremal function for which equality holds in (20).

For $\quad f \in \mathscr{T}_{2}$, let $\quad p_{1}(z)=f(z) / g(z) \quad$ and $p_{2}(z)=g(z) / z p(z)$. Then, $f(z)=z p(z) p_{1}(z) p_{2}(z)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right| \leq\left|\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}\right|+\left|\frac{z p_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{p_{1}(z)}\right|+\left|\frac{z p_{2}^{\prime}(z)}{p_{2}(z)}\right| \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $p, p_{1}, p_{2} \prec e^{z}$, estimates (18) and (22) show that

$$
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right| \leq \begin{cases}3 r, & r=(z) \leq \sqrt{2}-1  \tag{23}\\ \frac{3\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{2}}{4\left(1-r^{2}\right)}, & r \geq \sqrt{2}-1\end{cases}
$$

for each function $f \in \mathscr{T}_{2}$. It also follows from (17) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r e^{-3 r} \leq|f(z)| \leq r e^{3 r} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for each function $f \in \mathscr{T}_{2}$ and that these estimates are sharp.

In this paper, we shall adopt the commonly used notations for subclasses of $\mathscr{A}$. First, for $0 \leq \alpha<1$, let $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha)$ denote the class of starlike functions of order $\alpha$ consisting of functions $f \in \mathscr{A}$ satisfying the subordination

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}<\frac{1+(1-2 \alpha) z}{1-z} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}>\alpha, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The case $\alpha=0$ corresponds to the classical functions whose image domains are starlike with respect to the origin. Various other starlike subclasses of $\mathscr{A}$ occurring in the literature can be expressed in terms of the subordination

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}<\varphi(z) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for suitable choices of the superordinate function $\varphi$. When $\varphi: \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is chosen to be $\varphi(z):=(1+A z) /(1+B z)$, $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$, the subclass derived is denoted by $\mathcal{S}^{*}[A, B]$. Functions $f \in \mathcal{S}^{*}[A, B]$ are known as Janowski starlike functions. When $\varphi(z):=1+\left(2 / \pi^{2}\right)((\log ((1+\sqrt{z})$ $/(1-\sqrt{z})))^{2}$ ), the subclass is denoted by $\mathcal{S}_{p}^{*}$, and its functions are called parabolic starlike functions.

In Section 2 of this paper, the radius of starlikeness of order $\alpha$, Janowski starlikeness, and parabolic starlikeness are found for the classes $\mathscr{T}_{i}$, with $i=1,2$. Section 3 deals with the determination of the $\mathscr{G}$-radius for the class $\mathscr{T}_{i}$ with $i=1,2$, for certain other subclasses $\mathscr{G}$ occurring in the literature. These classes are associated with particular choices of the superordinate function $\varphi$ in (27). As mentioned earlier, the $\mathscr{G}$-radius for a given class $\mathscr{M}$, denoted by $R_{\mathscr{G}}(\mathscr{M})$, is the largest number $R$ such that $r^{-1} f(r z) \in \mathscr{G}$ for every $0<r \leq R$ and $f \in \mathscr{M}$. It will become apparent in the forthcoming proofs that there are common features in the methodology of finding the $\mathscr{G}$-radius for each of these subclasses.

## 2. Starlikeness of Order $\alpha$, Janowski Starlikeness, and Parabolic Starlikeness

The first result deals with the $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha)$-radius (radius of starlikeness of order $\alpha$ ) for the classes $\mathscr{T}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{T}_{2}$. This radius is shown to equal the $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha}^{*}$-radius, where $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha}^{*}$ is the subclass containing functions $f \in \mathscr{A}$ satisfying $\left|z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)-1\right|<1-\alpha$. The latter condition also implies that $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha}^{*} \subset \mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha)$.

Theorem 1. Let $0 \leq \alpha<1$. The radii of starlikeness of order $\alpha$ for $\mathscr{T}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{T}_{2}$ are
(i) $R_{\mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha)}\left(\mathscr{T}_{1}\right)=R_{\mathcal{S}_{\alpha}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{1}\right)=2(1-\alpha) /(5-2 \alpha)$,
(ii) $R_{\mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha)}\left(\mathscr{T}_{2}\right)=R_{\mathcal{S}_{\alpha}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{2}\right)=(1-\alpha) / 3$.

Proof
(i) The function $\sigma(r)=(2-5 r) /(2-2 r)$ is a decreasing function on $[0,1)$. Further, the number $R_{1}:=2(1-$ $\alpha) /(5-2 \alpha)$ is the root of the equation $\sigma(r)=\alpha$. For $f \in \mathscr{T}_{1}$ and $0<r=|z| \leq R_{1}$, the inequality (12) readily yields

$$
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \geq 1-\frac{3 r}{2(1-r)}=\frac{2-5 r}{2-2 r}=\sigma(r) \geq \sigma\left(R_{1}\right)=\alpha
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right| \leq \frac{3 r}{2(1-r)}=1-\sigma(r) \leq 1-\sigma\left(R_{1}\right)=1-\alpha \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

At $z=-R_{1}$, the function $f_{1} \in \mathscr{T}_{1}$ given by $f_{1}(z)=$ $z(1+z)^{3 / 2}$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{1}(z)}=\frac{2+5 z}{2+2 z}=\frac{2-5 R_{1}}{2-2 R_{1}}=\alpha \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z f_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{1}(z)}=\alpha  \tag{30}\\
\left|\frac{z f_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{1}(z)}-1\right|=1-\alpha
\end{gather*}
$$

This proves that the $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha}^{*}$ radii for $\mathscr{T}_{1}$ are the same number $R_{1}$.
(ii) Consider $\omega(r)=1-3 r, 0 \leq r<1$. The number $R_{2}=$ $(1-\alpha) / 3<1 / 3$ is clearly the root of the equation $\omega(r)=\alpha$. Since $\omega$ is decreasing, then $\omega(r) \geq \omega\left(R_{2}\right)=$ $\alpha$ for $0<r \leq R_{2}$. It follows from (23) that for $0<r=|z| \leq R_{2}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \geq 1-3 r=\omega(r) \geq \alpha \\
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right| \leq 3 r=1-\omega(r) \leq 1-\alpha \tag{31}
\end{gather*}
$$

Evaluating the function $f_{2}(z)=z e^{3 z}$ at $z=-R_{2}$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f_{2}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{2}(z)}=1-3 R_{2}=\alpha \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z f_{2}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{2}(z)}=\alpha \\
\left|\frac{z f_{2}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{2}(z)}-1\right|=1-\alpha \tag{33}
\end{gather*}
$$

This proves that the $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha}^{*}$ radii for the class $\mathscr{T}_{2}$ are the same number $R_{2}$.

Next, we find the $\mathcal{S}^{*}[A, B]$-radius (Janowski starlikeness) for $\mathscr{T}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{T}_{2}$. Recall that $\mathcal{S}^{*}[A, B]$ consists of analytic functions $f \in \mathscr{A}$ satisfying the subordination $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)<(1+A z) /(1+B z),-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$.

## Theorem 2.

(i) Every $f \in \mathscr{T}_{1}$ is Janowski starlike in the disc $\mathbb{D}_{r}=$ $\{z:|z|<r\}$ for $r \leq 2(A-B) /(3(1+|B|)+2(A-B))$. If $B<0$, then $\quad R_{\mathcal{S}^{*}[A, B]}\left(\mathscr{T}_{1}\right)=2(A-B) /$ $(3+2 A-5 B))$.
(ii) The radius of Janowski starlikeness for $\mathscr{T}_{2}$ is $R_{\mathcal{S}^{*}[A, B]}\left(\mathscr{T}_{2}\right)=(A-B) /(3(1+|B|))$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{S}^{*}[A,-1]=\mathcal{S}^{*}((1-A) / 2)$, the results in the case $B=-1$ follow from Theorem 1 . We now prove the results when $-1<B<A \leq 1$.
(i) Let $f \in \mathscr{T}_{1}$ and write $w=z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$. Then, (12) shows that $|w-1| \leq 3 r /(2(1-r))$ for $|z| \leq r$. For $0 \leq r \leq R_{1}:=2(A-B) /(3(1+|B|)+2(A-B))$, then $3 R_{1} /\left(2\left(1-R_{1}\right)\right)=(A-B) /(1+|B|)$.
For $0 \leq r \leq R_{1}$, we first show that the disc

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{w:|w-1| \leq \frac{3 R_{1}}{2\left(1-R_{1}\right)}=\frac{A-B}{1+|B|}\right\} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

is contained in the images of the unit disc under the mapping $(1+A z) /(1+B z)$. As $B \neq-1$, the image is the disc given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{w:\left|w-\frac{1-A B}{1-B^{2}}\right|<\frac{A-B}{1-B^{2}}\right\} . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Silverman ([12], p. 50-51) has shown that the disc

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{w:|w-c|<d\} \subset\{w:|w-a|<b\} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if $|a-c| \leq b-d$. With the choices $c=1$, $d=(A-B) /(1+|B|), \quad a=(1-A B) /\left(1-B^{2}\right)$, and $b=(A-B) /\left(1-B^{2}\right)$, then $|a-c|=|B|(A-B) /$ $\left(1-B^{2}\right)=b-d$. This proves that $\mathcal{S}^{*}[A, B]$ radius is at least $R_{1}$.
To prove sharpness, consider the function $f_{1} \in \mathscr{T}_{1}$ given by $f_{1}(z)=z(1+z)^{3 / 2}$. Evidently, $z f_{1}^{\prime}(z) / f_{1}$ $(z)=(2+5 z) /(2+2 z)$. For $B<0$, evaluating at $z=-R_{1}$, then $z f_{1}^{\prime}(z) / f_{1}(z)=1+3 z /(2+2 z)=$ $1-(A-B) /(1+|B|)=(1-A) /(1-B)$. This shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z f_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{1}(z)}-\frac{1-A B}{1-B^{2}}\right|=\left|\frac{1-A}{1-B}-\frac{1-A B}{1-B^{2}}\right|=\frac{A-B}{1-B^{2}}, \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

proving sharpness in the case $B<0$.
(ii) Let $f \in \mathscr{T}_{2}$ and $w:=z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$. It follows from (23) that $|w-1| \leq 3 r$ for $|z| \leq r$. For $0 \leq r \leq R_{2}:=(A-B) /(3(1+|B|))$, we see that the disc $\left\{w:|w-1| \leq 3 R_{2}=(A-B) /(1+|B|)\right\}$ is contained in the disc $\{w: \mid w-(1-A B) /$ $\left.\left(1-B^{2}\right) \mid<(A-B) /\left(1-B^{2}\right)\right\}$, as in the proof of (i). This proves that $\mathcal{S}^{*}[A, B]$ radius is at least $R_{2}$. The result is sharp for the function $f_{2} \in \mathscr{T}_{2}$ given by the function $f_{2}(z)=z e^{3 z}$.

The function $\varphi_{\text {PAR }}: \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\mathrm{PAR}}(z):=1+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)^{2}, \quad \mathrm{IM} \sqrt{z} \geq 0 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

maps $\mathbb{D}$ into the parabolic region

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\mathrm{PAR}}(\mathbb{D})=\left\{w=u+i v: v^{2}<2 u-1\right\}=\{w: \operatorname{RE} w>|w-1|\} . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

The class $\mathscr{C}\left(\varphi_{\text {PAR }}\right)=\left\{f \in \mathscr{A}: 1+z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)\right.$ $\left.<\varphi_{\text {PAR }}(z)\right\}$ is the class of uniformly convex functions introduced by Goodman [13]. The corresponding class $\mathcal{S}_{p}^{*}:=\mathcal{S}^{*}\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{PAR}}\right)=\left\{f \in \mathscr{A}: z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)<\varphi_{\mathrm{PAR}}(z)\right\}$ introduced by Rønning [14] is known as the class of parabolic starlike functions. The class $\mathcal{S}_{p}^{*}$ consists of functions $f \in \mathscr{A}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)>\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right|, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Evidently, every parabolic starlike function is also starlike of order $1 / 2$. The radius of parabolic starlikeness for the classes $\mathscr{T}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{T}_{2}$ is given in the next result.

Corollary 1. The radius of parabolic starlikeness for $\mathscr{T}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{T}_{2}$ is respectively equal to its radius of starlikeness of order 1/ 2. Thus,
(i) $R_{\mathcal{S}_{p}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{1}\right)=1 / 4$,
(ii) $R_{\mathcal{S}_{p}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{2}\right)=1 / 6$.

Proof. Shanmugam and Ravichandran ([15], p. 321) proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{w:|w-a|<a-\frac{1}{2}\right\} \subseteq\{w: \mathrm{RE} w>|w-1|\} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1 / 2<a \leq 3 / 2$. Choosing $a=1$, this implies that $\mathcal{S}_{1 / 2}^{*} \subset \mathcal{S}_{p}^{*}$. Every parabolic starlike function is also starlike of order $1 / 2$, whence the inclusion $\mathcal{S}_{1 / 2}^{*} \subset \mathcal{S}_{p}^{*} \subset \mathcal{S}^{*}(1 / 2)$. Therefore, for any class $\mathscr{F}$, readily $R_{\mathcal{S}_{1 / 2}^{*}}(\mathscr{F}) \leq R_{\mathcal{S}_{p}^{*}}$ $(\mathscr{F}) \leq R_{\mathcal{S}^{*}(1 / 2)}(\mathscr{F})$.

When $\mathscr{F}=\mathscr{T}_{i}, i=1,2$, Theorem 1 gives $R_{\mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha)}\left(\mathscr{T}_{i}\right)=$ $R_{\mathcal{S}_{\alpha}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{i}\right)$. This shows that $R_{\mathcal{S}_{1 / 2}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{i}\right)=R_{\mathcal{S}_{p}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{i}\right)=R_{\mathcal{S}^{*}}$ $(1 / 2)\left(\mathscr{T}_{i}\right)$. Since $R_{\mathcal{S}^{*}(1 / 2)}\left(\mathscr{T}_{1}\right)=1 / 4$ and $R_{\mathcal{S}^{*}(1 / 2)}\left(\mathscr{T}_{2}\right)=1 / 6$ from Theorem 1, it follows that $R_{\mathcal{S}_{p}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{1}\right)=1 / 4$ and $R_{\mathcal{S}_{p}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{2}\right)=1 / 6$.

## 3. Further Radius of Starlikeness

In this section, we find the $\mathscr{G}$-radius for the class $\mathscr{T}_{i}$ with $i=1,2$, for certain other widely studied subclasses $\mathscr{G}$. These are associated with particular choices of the superordinate function $\varphi$ in (27).

Denote by $\mathcal{S}_{\text {exp }}^{*}:=\mathcal{S}^{*}\left(e^{z}\right)$ the class associated with $\varphi(z):=e^{z}$ in (27). This class was introduced by Mendiratta et al. [16], and it consists of functions $f \in \mathscr{A}$ satisfying the condition $\left|\log \left(z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)\right)\right|<1$. The following result gives the radius of exponential starlikeness for the classes $\mathscr{T}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{T}_{2}$.

Corollary 2. The $\mathcal{S}_{\text {exp }}^{*}$-radius for the class $\mathscr{T}_{1}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\mathcal{S}_{\text {exp }}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{1}\right)=\frac{(2-2 e)}{(2-5 e)} \approx 0.296475 \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

while that of $\mathscr{T}_{2}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\mathcal{S}_{\text {exp }}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{2}\right)=\frac{(e-1)}{3 e} . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Mendiratta et al. ([16], Lemma 2.2) proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{w:|w-a|<a-\frac{1}{e}\right\} \subseteq\{w:|\log w|<1\} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $e^{-1} \leq a \leq\left(e+e^{-1}\right) / 2$, and this inclusion with $a=1$ gives $\mathcal{S}_{1 / e}^{*} \subset \mathcal{S}_{\text {exp }}^{*}$. It was also shown in ([16], Theorem 2.1 (i)) that $\mathcal{S}_{\exp }^{*} \subset \mathcal{S}^{*}(1 / e)$. Therefore, $\mathcal{S}_{1 / e}^{*} \subset \mathcal{S}_{\exp }^{*} \subset \mathcal{S}^{*}(1 / e)$, which, as a consequence of Theorem 1, established the result.

Corollary 3 investigates the radius of cardioid starlikeness for each class $\mathscr{T}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{T}_{2}$. The class $S_{C}^{*}:=\mathcal{S}^{*}\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{CAR}}\right)$,
where $\varphi_{\mathrm{CAR}}(z)=1+4 z / 3+2 z^{2} / 3$ in (27), was introduced and studied in [17]. Descriptively, $f \in S_{C}^{*}$ provided $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$ lies in the region bounded by the cardioid $\Omega_{C}:=\left\{w=u+i v:\left(9 u^{2}+9 v^{2}-18 u+5\right)^{2}-16\left(9 u^{2}+9 v^{2}-\right.\right.$ $6 u+1)=0\}$.

Corollary 3. The following are the $S_{C}^{*}$-radius for the classes $\mathscr{T}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{T}_{2}$ :
(i) $R_{S_{C}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{1}\right)=4 / 13$,
(ii) $R_{S_{C}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{2}\right)=2 / 9$.

Proof. Sharma et al. [17] proved that $\{w:|w-a|<a-1 / 3\} \subseteq \Omega_{C}$ for $1 / 3<a \leq 5 / 3$, and this inclusion with $a=1$ gives $\mathcal{S}_{1 / 3}^{*} \subset \mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{C}}^{*}$. Thus, $R_{\mathcal{S}_{1 / 3}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{i}\right) \leq R_{\mathcal{S}_{C}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{i}\right)$ for $i=1,2$. To complete the proof, we demonstrate $R_{\mathcal{S}_{C}^{C}}^{*}\left(\mathscr{T}_{i}\right) \leq R_{\mathcal{S}_{1 / 3}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{i}\right)$ for $i=1,2$.
(i) Evaluating the function $f_{1}(z)=z(1+z)^{3 / 2}$ at $z=$ $-R=-R_{S_{1 / 3}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{1}\right)=-4 / 13$ gives $\frac{z f_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{1}(z)}=\frac{2+5 z}{2+2 z}=\frac{2-5 R}{2-2 R}=\frac{1}{3}=\varphi_{\mathrm{CAR}}(-1)$.

Thus, $R_{S_{C}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{1}\right) \leq 4 / 13$.
(ii) Similarly, at $z=-R=-R_{S_{1 / 3}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{2}\right)=-2 / 9$, the function $f_{2}(z)=z e^{3 z}$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f_{2}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{2}(z)}=1+3 z=1-3 R=\frac{1}{3}=\varphi_{\mathrm{CAR}}(-1) \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

This proves that $R_{S_{C}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{2}\right) \leq 2 / 9$.
In 2019, Cho et al. [18] studied the class $\mathcal{S}_{\text {sin }}^{*}:=\mathcal{S}^{*}(1+$ $\sin z)$ consisting of functions $f \in \mathscr{A}$ satisfying the condition $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)<1+\sin z$. We find the $\mathcal{S}_{\sin }^{*}$-radius for the classes $\mathscr{T}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{T}_{2}$.

Corollary 4. The following are the $\mathcal{S}_{\text {sin }^{*}}$-radius for each class $\mathscr{T}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{T}_{2}$ :
(i) $R_{\mathcal{S}_{\sin ^{*}}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{1}\right)=2(\sin 1) /(3+2 \sin 1) \approx 0.35938$,
(ii) $R_{\mathcal{S i n}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{2}\right)=(\sin 1) / 3$.

Proof. It was proved in [18] that $\{w:|w-a|<\sin 1-|a-1|\} \subseteq q(\mathbb{D})$ for $|a-1| \leq \sin 1$, where $q(z):=1+\sin z$. For $a=1$, this implies that $\mathcal{S}_{1-\sin 1}^{*} \subset \mathcal{S}_{\text {sin }^{*}}$. Thus, $R_{\mathcal{S}_{1-\text { sin } 1}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{i}\right) \leq R_{\mathcal{S}_{\text {sin }}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{i}\right)$ for $i=1,2$. The proof is completed by demonstrating $R_{\mathcal{S}_{\text {sin }}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{i}\right) \leq R_{\mathcal{S}_{1-\text { sin1 }}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{i}\right)$ for $i=1,2$.
(i) Evaluating the function $f_{1}(z)=z(1+z)^{3 / 2}$ at $z=$ $-R=-R_{S_{1-\text { sin } 1}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{1}\right)=-2 \sin 1 /(3+2 \sin 1)$ gives
$\frac{z f_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{1}(z)}=\frac{2+5 z}{2+2 z}=\frac{2-5 R}{2-2 R}=1-\sin 1=q(-1)$.
Thus, $R_{\mathcal{S}_{\sin ^{*}}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{1}\right) \leq 22 \sin 1 /(3+2 \sin 1)$.
(ii) Similarly, at $z= \pm R= \pm R_{S_{1-\text { sin } 1}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{2}\right)=$ $\pm(\sin 1) / 3$, the function $f_{2}(z)=z e^{3 z}{ }_{\text {yield }}$
$\frac{z f_{2}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{2}(z)}=1+3 z=1 \pm 3 R=1 \pm \sin 1=q( \pm 1)$.
This proves that $R_{\delta_{\sin ^{*}}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{2}\right) \leq(\sin 1) / 3$.
Consider next the class $\mathcal{S}_{\oplus}^{*}:=\mathcal{S}^{*}\left(z+\sqrt{1+z^{2}}\right)$ introduced by Raina and Sokól in [19]. Functions $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\oplus}^{*}$ provided $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$ lies in the region bounded by the lune $\Omega_{l}:=\left\{w:\left|w^{2}-1\right|<2|w|\right\}$. The result below gives the radius of lune starlikeness for each class $\mathscr{T}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{T}_{2}$.

Corollary 5. The following are the $\mathcal{S}_{\circlearrowleft}^{*}$-radius for each class $\mathscr{T}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{T}_{2}$ :
(i) $R_{\mathcal{S}_{\oplus}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{1}\right)=2(\sqrt{2}-2) /(2 \sqrt{2}-7) \approx 0.280847$,
(ii) $R_{\mathcal{S}_{\oplus}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{2}\right)=(2-\sqrt{2}) / 3$.

Proof. It was shown by Gandhi and Ravichandran ([20], Lemma 2.1) that $\{w:|w-a|<1-|\sqrt{2}-a|\} \subseteq \Omega_{l}$ for $\sqrt{2}-1<a \leq \sqrt{2}+1$. Choosing $a=1$, the inclusion gives $\mathcal{S}_{\sqrt{2}-1}^{*} \subset \mathcal{S}_{\odot}^{*}$. Thus, $R_{\mathcal{S}_{\sqrt{2}-1}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{i}\right) \leq R_{\mathcal{S}_{\oplus}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{i}\right)$ for $i=1,2$. We complete the proof ${ }^{\sqrt{2}-}$ by demonstrating $R_{\mathcal{S}_{\oplus}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{i}\right) \leq$ $R_{\mathcal{S}_{\sqrt{2}-1}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{i}\right)$ for $i=1,2$.
(i) Evaluating the function $f_{1}(z)=z(1+z)^{3 / 2}$ at $z=$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -R=-R_{S_{\sqrt{2}-1}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{1}\right)=-2(\sqrt{2}-2) /(2 \sqrt{2}-7) \text { gives } \\
& \left|\left(\frac{z f_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{1}(z)}\right)^{2}-1\right|=\left|\left(\frac{2+5 z}{2+2 z}\right)^{2}-1\right|=\left|\left(\frac{2-5 R}{2-2 R}\right)^{2}-1\right| \\
& =2(\sqrt{2}-1)=2\left|\frac{z f_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{1}(z)}\right| . \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, $R_{S_{\oplus}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{1}\right) \leq 2(\sqrt{2}-2) /(2 \sqrt{2}-7)$.
(ii) Similarly, at $z=-R=-R_{S^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{2}\right)=-(2-\sqrt{2}) / 3$, the function $f_{2}(z)=z e^{3 z}$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\left(\frac{z f_{2}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{2}(z)}\right)^{2}-1\right| & =\left|(1+3 z)^{2}-1\right|=\left|(1-3 R)^{2}-1\right|  \tag{50}\\
& =2(\sqrt{2}-1)=2\left|\frac{z f_{2}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{2}(z)}\right|
\end{align*}
$$

This proves that $R_{S_{\oplus}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{2}\right) \leq(2-\sqrt{2}) / 3$.
As a further example, consider next the class $\mathcal{S}_{R}^{*}:=\mathcal{S}^{*}(\eta(z))$, where $\eta(z)=1+\left(\left(z k+z^{2}\right) /\left(k^{2}-k z\right)\right)$, $k=\sqrt{2}+1$. This class associated with a rational function was introduced and studied by Kumar and Ravichandran in [21].

Corollary 6. The following are the $\mathcal{S}_{R}^{*}$-radius for the classes $\mathscr{T}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{T}_{2}$ :
(i) $R_{\mathcal{S}_{R}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{1}\right)=2(-3+2 \sqrt{2}) /(4 \sqrt{2}-9) \approx 0.102642$,
(ii) $R_{\mathcal{S}_{R}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{2}\right)=(3-2 \sqrt{2}) / 3$.

Proof. It was shown in [21] that $\{w:|w-a|<a-2(\sqrt{2}-1)\} \subseteq \eta(\mathbb{D})$ for $2(\sqrt{2}-1)$ $<a \leq \sqrt{2}$. This inclusion with $a=1$ gives $\mathcal{S}_{2(\sqrt{2}-1)}^{*} \subset \mathcal{S}_{R}^{*}$. Thus, $R_{\mathcal{S}_{2(\sqrt{2}-1)}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{i}\right) \leq R_{\mathcal{S}_{R}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{i}\right)$ for $i=1,2$. We next show that $R_{\mathcal{S}_{R}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{i}\right) \leq R_{\mathcal{S}_{2(\sqrt{2}-1)}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{i}\right)$ for $i=1,2$.
(i) At $z=-R=-R_{S_{2(\sqrt{2}-1)}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{1}\right)=-2(-3+2 \sqrt{2}) /$ ( $4 \sqrt{2}-9$ ), the function $f_{1}(z)=z(1+z)^{3 / 2}$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{1}(z)}=\frac{2-5 R}{2-2 R}=2(\sqrt{2}-1)=\eta(-1) . \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $R_{\mathcal{S}_{R}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{1}\right) \leq 2(-3+2 \sqrt{2}) /(4 \sqrt{2}-9)$.
(ii) Evaluating $f_{2}(z)=z e^{3 z}$ at $z=-R=-R_{S_{2(\sqrt{2}-1)}^{*}}$ $\left(\mathscr{T}_{2}\right)=-(3-2 \sqrt{2}) / 3$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f_{2}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{2}(z)}=1-3 R=2(\sqrt{2}-1)=\eta(-1) . \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $R_{\mathcal{S}_{R}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{2}\right) \leq(3-2 \sqrt{2}) / 3$.
The class $\mathcal{S}_{N_{e}}^{*}:=\mathcal{S}^{*}(\psi(z))$, where $\psi(z)=1+z-z^{3} / 3$, was introduced and studied by Wani and Swaminathan in [22]. Geometrically, $f \in \mathcal{S}_{N_{e}}^{*}$ provided $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$ lies in the region bounded by the nephroid: a 2 -cusped kidneyshaped curve $\Omega_{N_{e}}:=\left\{w=u+i v:\left((u-1)^{2}+v^{2}-4 / 9\right)^{3}-\right.$ $\left.4 v^{2} / 3=0\right\}$.

Corollary 7. The following are the $\mathcal{S}_{N_{e}}^{*}$-radius for the classes $\mathscr{T}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{T}_{2}$ :
(i) $R_{\mathcal{S}_{N_{e}}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{1}\right)=4 / 13$,
(ii) $R_{\mathcal{S}_{N_{e}}^{*}}^{*}\left(\mathscr{T}_{2}\right)=2 / 9$.

Proof. It was shown in [22] that $\{w:|w-a|<a-1 / 3\} \subseteq \Omega_{N_{e}}$ for $1 / 3<a \leq 1$. This inclusion with $a=1$ gives $\mathcal{S}_{1 / 3}^{*} \subset \mathcal{S}_{N_{e}}^{*}$. This shows that $R_{\mathcal{S}_{1 / 3}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{i}\right)$ $\leq R_{\mathcal{S}_{N_{e}}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{i}\right)$ for $i=1,2$. We next show that $R_{\mathcal{S}_{N_{e}}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{i}\right)$ $\leq R_{\mathcal{S}_{1 / 3}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{i}\right)$ for $i=1,2$.
(i) Evaluating the function $f_{1}(z)=z(1+z)^{3 / 2}$ at $z=$ $-R=-R_{S_{1 / 3}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{1}\right)=-4 / 13$ results in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{1}(z)}=\frac{2-5 R}{2-2 R}=\frac{1}{3}=\psi(-1) . \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $R_{S_{N_{e}}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{1}\right) \leq 4 / 13$.
(ii) Similarly, evaluating $f_{2}(z)=z e^{3 z}$ at $z=-R=$ $-R_{S_{1 / 3}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{2}\right)=-2 / 9$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f_{2}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{2}(z)}=1-3 R=\frac{1}{3}=\psi(-1) \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

This proves that $R_{S_{N_{e}}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{2}\right) \leq 2 / 9$.
Finally, we consider the class $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{SG}}^{*}:=\mathcal{S}^{*}\left(2 /\left(1+e^{-z}\right)\right)$ introduced by Goel and Kumar in [23]. Here, $2 /\left(1+e^{-z}\right)$ is the modified sigmoid function that maps $\mathbb{D}$ onto the region $\left.\Omega_{\mathrm{SG}}:=w=u+i v:|\log (w /(2-w))|<1\right\}$. Thus, $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{SG}}^{*}$ provided the function $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$ maps $\mathbb{D}$ onto the region lying inside the domain $\Omega_{\mathrm{SG}}$.

Corollary 8. The $\mathcal{S}_{S G}^{*}$-radius for the class $\mathscr{T}_{1}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\delta_{\mathrm{SG}}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{1}\right)=\frac{(2 e-2)}{(1+5 e)} \approx 0.23552 \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

while that of $\mathscr{T}_{2}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{SG}}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{2}\right)=\frac{(e-1)}{(3(1+e))} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The inclusion $\{w:|w-a|<((e-1) /(e+1))-\mid a-$ $1 \mid\} \subseteq \Omega_{\mathrm{SG}}$ holds for $2 /(1+e)<a<2 e /(1+e)$ (see [23]). At $a=1$, the set inclusion shows that $\mathcal{S}_{2 /(e+1)}^{*} \subset \mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{SG}}^{*}$. It was also shown in [23] that $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{SG}}^{*} \subset \mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha)$ for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2 /(e+1)$. The desired result is now an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.
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