

Research Article Certain Properties of the Modified Degenerate Gamma Function

Kwara Nantomah 🕞

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, C. K. Tedam University of Technology and Applied Sciences, P. O. Box 24, Navrongo, Upper-East Region, Ghana

Correspondence should be addressed to Kwara Nantomah; knantomah@cktutas.edu.gh

Received 12 September 2020; Accepted 22 December 2020; Published 7 January 2021

Academic Editor: Nasser Saad

Copyright © 2021 Kwara Nantomah. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In this paper, we prove some inequalities satisfied by the modified degenerate gamma function which was recently introduced. The tools employed include Holder's inequality, mean value theorem, Hermite–Hadamard's inequality, and Young's inequality. By some parameter variations, the established results reduce to the corresponding results for the classical gamma function.

1. Introduction

In recent times, degenerate special functions and polynomials have been a subject of intense discussion. See, for example, [1-5] and the related references therein.

In 2017, Kim and Kim [6] introduced the degenerate gamma function as

$$\Gamma_{\lambda}(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{x-1} (1+\lambda t)^{-1/\lambda} dt, \qquad (1)$$

where $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ and $0 < \Re(x) < 1/\lambda$. This was motivated by the degenerate exponential function which is defined as [6]

$$e_{\lambda}^{t} = (1 + \lambda t)^{1/\lambda}, \qquad (2)$$

where $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$. It is clear that $\lim_{\lambda \to 0} e_{\lambda}^{t} = e^{t}$ and $\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \Gamma_{\lambda}(x) = \Gamma(x)$, where $\Gamma(x)$ is the classical gamma function.

In 2018, Kim et al. [7] introduced the modified degenerate gamma function which is defined as

$$\Gamma_{\lambda}(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{x-1} (1+\lambda)^{-1/\lambda} \mathrm{d}t, \qquad (3)$$

where $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and $\Re(x) > 0$. This definition is equivalent to

$$\Gamma_{k}(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{x-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{k}\right)^{-kt} dt,$$
(4)

where $1 < k < \infty$ and $\Re(x) > 0$. Here, $\lim_{k \to \infty} \Gamma_k(x) = \Gamma(x)$. The modified degenerate gamma function (3) satisfies the following properties [7]:

$$\Gamma_{\lambda}(1) = \frac{\lambda}{\ln\left(1+\lambda\right)},\tag{5}$$

$$\Gamma_{\lambda}(x+1) = \frac{\lambda x}{\ln(1+\lambda)} \Gamma_{\lambda}(x), \tag{6}$$

$$\Gamma_{\lambda}(m+1) = \frac{\lambda^{m+1}m!}{\left(\ln\left(1+\lambda\right)\right)^{m+1}}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$
 (7)

Derivatives of the modified degenerate gamma function are given as

$$\Gamma_{\lambda}^{(r)}(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} (\ln t)^{r} t^{x-1} (1+\lambda)^{-t/\lambda} \mathrm{d}t, \qquad (8)$$

where $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

In a recent work, He et al. [8] introduced the modified degenerate digamma function which is defined as

$$\psi_{\lambda}(x) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} \ln \Gamma_{\lambda}(x) = \frac{\Gamma_{\lambda}'(x)}{\Gamma_{\lambda}(x)}$$
(9)

and has the following representations among others:

$$\psi_{\lambda}(x) = -\gamma + \ln\left(\frac{\lambda}{\ln(1+\lambda)}\right) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x-1}{(k+1)(k+x)} = -\gamma + \ln\left(\frac{\lambda}{\ln(1+\lambda)}\right) - \frac{1}{x} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x}{k(k+x)}$$

$$= -\gamma + \ln\left(\frac{\lambda}{\ln(1+\lambda)}\right) + \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1-t^{x-1}}{1-t} dt,$$
(10)

where γ is the Euler–Mascheroni constant. It also satisfies the following basic properties:

$$\psi_{\lambda}(1) = -\gamma + \ln\left(\frac{\lambda}{\ln(1+\lambda)}\right),$$

$$\psi_{\lambda}(x+1) = \psi_{\lambda}(x) + \frac{1}{x},$$
(11)

and similarly, it is clear that $\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \psi_{\lambda}(x) = \psi(x)$, where $\psi(x)$ is the classical digamma function. For further properties of the function $\psi_{\lambda}(x)$, one may refer to [8].

In this paper, we continue to investigate the modified degenerate gamma function. Precisely, we prove some

inequalities satisfied by this generalized function. The techniques we employed are analytical in nature.

2. Results and Discussion

Theorem 1. For $s \in (0, 1]$ and x > 0, the inequality holds:

$$\left(\frac{\lambda x}{\ln(1+\lambda)}\right)^{1-s} \leq \frac{\Gamma_{\lambda}(x+1)}{\Gamma_{\lambda}(x+s)} \leq \left(\frac{\lambda(x+s)}{\ln(1+\lambda)}\right)^{1-s}.$$
 (12)

Proof. The case for s = 1 is obvious. So, let $s \in (0, 1)$ and x > 0. Then, by applying Holder's inequality for integrals, we have

$$\Gamma_{\lambda}(x+s) = \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{x+s-1} (1+\lambda)^{-(t/\lambda)} dt = \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{(1-s)(x-1)} (1+\lambda)^{-(t(1-s)/\lambda)} t^{sx} (1+\lambda)^{-(st/\lambda)} dt$$

$$\leq \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{x-1} (1+\lambda)^{-(t/\lambda)} dt\right)^{1-s} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{x} (1+\lambda)^{-(t/\lambda)} dt\right)^{s} = [\Gamma_{\lambda}(x)]^{1-s} [\Gamma_{\lambda}(x+1)]^{s},$$
(13)

and by using (6), we obtain

$$\Gamma_{\lambda}(x+s) \leq \left(\frac{\lambda x}{\ln(1+\lambda)}\right)^{s} \Gamma_{\lambda}(x).$$
(14)

By replacing *s* with 1 - s in (14), followed by substituting *x* by x + s, we obtain

$$\Gamma_{\lambda}(x+1) \leq \left(\frac{\lambda(x+s)}{\ln(1+\lambda)}\right)^{1-s} \Gamma_{\lambda}(x+s).$$
(15)

Now, combining (14) and (15), we obtain

$$\left(\frac{\ln(1+\lambda)}{\lambda(x+s)}\right)^{1-s}\Gamma_{\lambda}(x+1) \le \Gamma_{\lambda}(x+s) \le \left(\frac{\lambda x}{\ln(1+\lambda)}\right)^{s}\Gamma_{\lambda}(x),$$
(16)

and by using (6), we obtain the desired results (12). \Box

Remark 1. Inequality (16) can also be rearranged as

$$\left(\frac{x}{x+s}\right)^{1-s} \le \frac{\Gamma_{\lambda}\left(x+s\right)}{\left(\lambda x/\ln\left(1+\lambda\right)\right)^{s}\Gamma_{\lambda}\left(x\right)} \le 1,$$
(17)

which is the degenerate form of Wendel's inequality (see (7) of [9]). Furthermore, by Squeezes theorem, (17) implies that

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\Gamma_{\lambda}(x+s)}{(\lambda x/\ln(1+\lambda))^{s} \Gamma_{\lambda}(x)} = 1,$$
 (18)

which is the degenerate form of Wendel's asymptotic relation (see (1) of [9]). The limit (18) also implies that

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \left(\frac{\lambda x}{\ln(1+\lambda)} \right)^{r-s} \frac{\Gamma_{\lambda}(x+s)}{\Gamma_{\lambda}(x+r)} = 1.$$
(19)

Theorem 2. For $0 < u \le v$, the inequality holds:

$$\exp\{(\nu-u)\psi_{\lambda}(u)\} \le \frac{\Gamma_{\lambda}(\nu)}{\Gamma_{\lambda}(u)} \le \exp\{(\nu-u)\psi_{\lambda}(\nu)\}.$$
 (20)

Proof. The case for u = v is trivial. So, consider the function $\ln\Gamma_{\lambda}(x)$ on the interval 0 < u < v. Then, by the mean value theorem, there exist a $k \in (u, v)$ such that

$$\frac{\ln\Gamma_{\lambda}(\nu) - \ln\Gamma_{\lambda}(u)}{\nu - u} = \psi_{\lambda}(k).$$
(21)

Since $\psi_{\lambda}(x)$ is increasing, then

$$\psi_{\lambda}(u) < \psi_{\lambda}(k) < \psi_{\lambda}(v), \qquad (22)$$

which yields

$$(v-u)\psi_{\lambda}(u) < \ln\frac{\Gamma_{\lambda}(v)}{\Gamma_{\lambda}(u)} < (v-u)\psi_{\lambda}(v), \qquad (23)$$

and by exponentiation, we obtain the desired result (20). \Box

Corollary 1. For $s \in (0, 1]$ and x > 0, the inequality holds:

Journal of Mathematics

$$\exp\{(1-s)\psi_{\lambda}(x+s)\} \leq \frac{\Gamma_{\lambda}(x+1)}{\Gamma_{\lambda}(x+s)} \leq \exp\{(1-s)\psi_{\lambda}(x+1)\}.$$
(24)

Proof. Let v = x + 1 and u = x + s in Theorem 2.

Corollary 2. For $x \ge 0$, the inequality holds:

$$\frac{\lambda}{\ln(1+\lambda)}\exp\{x\psi_{\lambda}(x+1)\} \le \Gamma_{\lambda}(x+1) \le \frac{\lambda}{\ln(1+\lambda)}\exp\{x\psi_{\lambda}(1)\}.$$
(25)

Proof. Let v = x + 1 and u = 1 in Theorem 2.

Remark 2. Inequality (24) is the degenerate form of inequality (3.4) of [10].

Theorem 3. For $0 < u \le v$, the inequality holds:

$$\exp\left\{ (v-u)\frac{\psi_{\lambda}(u)+\psi_{\lambda}(v)}{2} \right\} \leq \frac{\Gamma_{\lambda}(v)}{\Gamma_{\lambda}(u)} \leq \exp\left\{ (v-u)\psi_{\lambda}\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right) \right\}.$$
(26)

Proof. Let $0 < u \le v$, and consider the function $\psi_{\lambda}(x)$ on the interval [u, v]. Since $\psi_{\lambda}(x)$ is concave, then by the classical Hermite–Hadamard inequality, we have

$$\frac{\psi_{\lambda}(u) + \psi_{\lambda}(v)}{2} \le \frac{1}{v - u} \int_{u}^{v} \psi_{\lambda}(t) dt \le \psi_{\lambda}\left(\frac{u + v}{2}\right), \quad (27)$$

which translates to

$$(v-u)\frac{\psi_{\lambda}(u)+\psi_{\lambda}(v)}{2} \le \ln\frac{\Gamma_{\lambda}(v)}{\Gamma_{\lambda}(u)} \le (v-u)\psi_{\lambda}\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right),$$
(28)

and by exponentiation, we obtain the desired result (26). $\hfill\square$

Corollary 3. For $s \in (0, 1]$ and x > 0, the inequality holds:

$$\exp\left\{(1-s)\frac{\psi_{\lambda}\left(x+1\right)+\psi_{\lambda}\left(x+s\right)}{2}\right\} \le \frac{\Gamma_{\lambda}\left(x+1\right)}{\Gamma_{\lambda}\left(x+s\right)} \le \exp\left\{(1-s)\psi_{\lambda}\left(x+\frac{s+1}{2}\right)\right\}.$$
(29)

Proof. Let v = x + 1 and u = x + s in Theorem 3.

Corollary 4. For $x \ge 0$, the inequality holds:

$$\frac{\lambda}{\ln(1+\lambda)}\exp\left\{\frac{x}{2}\left[\psi_{\lambda}\left(x+1\right)+\psi_{\lambda}\left(1\right)\right]\right\} \leq \Gamma_{\lambda}\left(x+1\right) \leq \frac{\lambda}{\ln(1+\lambda)}\exp\left\{x\psi_{\lambda}\left(\frac{x}{2}+1\right)\right\}.$$
(30)

Proof. Let v = x + 1 and u = 1 in Theorem 3.

Remark 4. By letting $\lambda \longrightarrow 0$, inequality (29) reduces to

Remark 3. Inequalities (26), (29), and (30) are, respectively, better than (20), (24), and (25)

$$\exp\left\{(1-s)\frac{\psi(x+1)+\psi(x+s)}{2}\right\} \le \frac{\Gamma(x+1)}{\Gamma(x+s)} \le \exp\left\{(1-s)\psi\left(x+\frac{s+1}{2}\right)\right\}.$$
(31)

The upper bound of (31) coincides with the upper bound of inequality (1.2) in the work [11] which was obtained by a different procedure. However, the lower bound of (31) is better than the lower bound of inequality (1.2) in [11] since

$$\frac{\psi(x+1) + \psi(x+s)}{2} \ge \sqrt{\psi(x+1)\psi(x+s)} \ge \psi(x+s) \ge \psi(x+\sqrt{s}).$$
(32)

This is by virtue of the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality and the monotonicity property of $\psi(x)$.

Theorem 4. For x > 0 and $s \in (0, 1]$, the inequality holds:

$$\left(\frac{\ln\left(\lambda+1\right)}{\lambda}\right)^{1-s}\left(x+s\right)^{s-1} \le \frac{\Gamma_{\lambda}\left(x+s\right)}{\Gamma_{\lambda}\left(x+1\right)} \le \frac{\ln\left(\lambda+1\right)}{\lambda} s^{1-s} \Gamma_{\lambda}\left(s\right)\left(x+s\right)^{s-1}.$$
(33)

(41)

Proof. Let $\Delta(x) = (x+s)^{1-s}\Gamma_{\lambda}(x+s)/\Gamma_{\lambda}(x+1)$ for x > 0 and $s \in (0, 1]$. Then,

$$\lim_{x \to 0} \Delta(x) = \frac{\ln(\lambda + 1)}{\lambda} s^{1-s} \Gamma_{\lambda}(s).$$
(34)

Also, inequality (17) implies that

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \Delta(x) = \left(\frac{\ln(\lambda+1)}{\lambda}\right)^{1-s}.$$
 (35)

Furthermore,

$$\frac{\Delta'(x)}{\Delta(x)} = \frac{1-s}{x+s} + \psi_{\lambda}(x+s) - \psi_{\lambda}(x+1) \le 0, \qquad (36)$$

which shows that $\Delta(x)$ is decreasing. Hence, $\Delta(\infty) \le \Delta(x) \le \Delta(0)$ which yields (33)

Remark 5. As a particular case, by letting s = 1/2 and $\lambda \longrightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}\left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right)} < \frac{\Gamma(x+1)}{\Gamma(x+(1/2))} < \sqrt{\left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right)}.$$
 (37)

The upper bound of (37) agrees with the upper bound of (3) in [12]. Comparing the lower bounds of (37) and (3) in [12] reveals that the lower bound of (37) is stronger if $0 < x < 1/\pi - 2$ and is weaker if $x > 1/\pi - 2$.

Theorem 5. Let u > 1 and (1/u) + (1/v) = 1. Then,

$$\Gamma_{\lambda}(x+y) \le \left[\Gamma_{\lambda}(ux)\right]^{1/u} \left[\Gamma_{\lambda}(vy)\right]^{1/\nu},\tag{38}$$

holds for x > 0 and y > 0.

Proof. By Holder's inequality for integrals, we have

$$\Gamma_{\lambda}(x+y) = \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{x+y-1} (1+\lambda)^{-(t/\lambda)} dt = \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{x-(1/u)} (1+\lambda)^{-(t/\lambda u)} t^{y-(1/v)} (1+\lambda)^{-(t/\lambda v)} dt$$

$$\leq \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{ux-1} (1+\lambda)^{-(t/\lambda)} dt\right)^{1/u} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{vy-1} (1+\lambda)^{-(t/\lambda)} dt\right)^{1/v} = [\Gamma_{\lambda}(ux)]^{1/u} [\Gamma_{\lambda}(vy)]^{1/u},$$
(39)
which concludes the proof.
$$\Box \left[\int_{\lambda}^{(r_{1}/k_{1})} \left(\int_{r_{2}/k_{2}}^{\infty} \int_{\lambda} \left(\int_{\lambda}^{(r_{1}/k_{1})} \left(\int_{\lambda}^{(r_{2}/k_{2})} \int_{\lambda} \left(\int_{\lambda}^{(r_{2}/k_{2})} \int_{\lambda} \left(\int_{\lambda}^{(r_{1}/k_{1})} \left(\int_{\lambda}^{(r_{1}/k_{1})} \int_{\lambda} \left(\int_{\lambda}^{(r_{1}/k_{1})} \left(\int_{\lambda}^{$$

Remark 6. Applying Young's inequality on the right-hand side of (38) reveals that

$$\Gamma_{\lambda}(x+y) \leq \frac{\Gamma_{\lambda}(ux)}{u} + \frac{\Gamma_{\lambda}(vy)}{v}.$$
(40)

Proof. By using (8) and Holder's inequality, we have

Theorem 6. Let $r_1, r_2 \in \{2n: n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}, k_1 > 1, (1/k_1) + (1/k_2) = 1, and <math>(r_1/k_1) + (r_2/k_2) \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then, inequality holds for x > 0 and y > 0:

$$\Gamma_{\lambda}^{((r_{1}/k_{1})+(r_{2}/k_{2}))}\left(\frac{x}{k_{1}}+\frac{y}{k_{2}}\right) = \int_{0}^{\infty} (\ln t)^{((r_{1}/k_{1})+(r_{2}/k_{2}))} t^{(x/k_{1})+(y/k_{2})-1} (1+\lambda)^{-(t/\lambda)} dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} (\ln t)^{r_{1}/k_{1}} t^{x-1/k_{1}} (1+\lambda)^{-(t/\lambda k_{1})} (\ln t)^{r_{2}/k_{2}} t^{y-1/k_{2}} (1+\lambda)^{-t/\lambda k_{2}} dt$$

$$\leq \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} (\ln t)^{r_{1}} t^{x-1} (1+\lambda)^{-(t/\lambda)} dt\right)^{1/k_{1}} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} (\ln t)^{r_{2}} t^{y-1} (1+\lambda)^{-(t/\lambda)} dt\right)^{1/k_{2}} = \left[\Gamma_{\lambda}^{(r_{1})}(x)\right]^{1/k_{1}} \left[\Gamma_{\lambda}^{(r_{2})}(y)\right]^{1/k_{2}},$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} (\ln t)^{r_{1}} t^{x-1} (1+\lambda)^{-(t/\lambda)} dt\right)^{1/k_{1}} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} (\ln t)^{r_{2}} t^{y-1} (1+\lambda)^{-(t/\lambda)} dt\right)^{1/k_{2}} = \left[\Gamma_{\lambda}^{(r_{1})}(x)\right]^{1/k_{1}} \left[\Gamma_{\lambda}^{(r_{2})}(y)\right]^{1/k_{2}},$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} (\ln t)^{r_{1}} t^{x-1} (1+\lambda)^{-(t/\lambda)} dt\right)^{1/k_{1}} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} (\ln t)^{r_{2}} t^{y-1} (1+\lambda)^{-(t/\lambda)} dt\right)^{1/k_{2}} = \left[\Gamma_{\lambda}^{(r_{1})}(x)\right]^{1/k_{1}} \left[\Gamma_{\lambda}^{(r_{2})}(y)\right]^{1/k_{2}},$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} (\ln t)^{r_{1}} t^{x-1} (1+\lambda)^{-(t/\lambda)} dt\right)^{1/k_{1}} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} (\ln t)^{r_{2}} t^{y-1} (1+\lambda)^{-(t/\lambda)} dt\right)^{1/k_{2}} = \left[\Gamma_{\lambda}^{(r_{1})}(x)\right]^{1/k_{1}} \left[\Gamma_{\lambda}^{(r_{2})}(y)\right]^{1/k_{2}},$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} (\ln t)^{r_{1}} t^{x-1} (1+\lambda)^{-(t/\lambda)} dt\right)^{1/k_{1}} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} (\ln t)^{r_{2}} t^{y-1} (1+\lambda)^{-(t/\lambda)} dt\right)^{1/k_{2}} = \left[\Gamma_{\lambda}^{(r_{1})}(x)\right]^{1/k_{1}} \left[\Gamma_{\lambda}^{(r_{2})}(y)\right]^{1/k_{2}},$$

which concludes the proof.

$$\Gamma_{\lambda}\left(\frac{1}{k_{1}}+\frac{1}{k_{2}}\right) \leq \left[\Gamma_{\lambda}\left(x\right)\right]^{1/k_{1}}\left[\Gamma_{\lambda}\left(y\right)\right]^{1/k_{2}},\tag{44}$$

Remark 7. If $r_1 = r_2 = r$, then (41) reduces to

$$\Gamma_{\lambda}^{(r)}\left(\frac{x}{k_{1}}+\frac{y}{k_{2}}\right) \leq \left[\Gamma_{\lambda}^{(r)}\left(x\right)\right]^{1/k_{1}}\left[\Gamma_{\lambda}^{(r)}\left(y\right)\right]^{1/k_{2}},\tag{43}$$

which implies that function (8) is log-convex for any even order derivative. Moreover, if r = 0 in (43), we obtain

which shows that the modified degenerate gamma function is log-convex.

Remark 8. If $r_1 = r$, $r_2 = r + 2$, $k_1 = k_2 = 2$, and x = y, then (41) reduces to the Turan-type inequality:

$$\left(\Gamma_{\lambda}^{(r+1)}\left(x\right)\right)^{2} \leq \Gamma_{\lambda}^{(r)}\left(x\right)\Gamma_{\lambda}^{(r+2)}\left(x\right).$$

$$(45)$$

Remark 9. If $r_1 = s - 1$, $r_2 = s + 1$, $k_1 = k_2 = 2$, and x = y, then (35) reduces to the Turan-type inequality:

$$\left(\Gamma_{\lambda}^{(s)}\left(x\right)\right)^{2} \leq \Gamma_{\lambda}^{(s-1)}\left(x\right)\Gamma_{\lambda}^{(s+1)}\left(x\right), \tag{46}$$

where $s \in \{2n + 1: n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$. This is the degenerate version of main result of [13].

Theorem 7. For
$$x \ge 1$$
, the inequality holds:

$$\Gamma_{\lambda}(x) \ge \frac{\lambda}{\ln(1+\lambda)} - 1 + \frac{1}{x}.$$
(47)

Proof. Let $\phi(x) = \Gamma_{\lambda}(x) - (1/x)$. Then,

$$\phi(x+1) - \phi(x) = \Gamma_{\lambda}(x+1) - \Gamma_{\lambda}(x) + \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{x+1} = \Gamma_{\lambda}(x) \left(\frac{\lambda x}{\ln(1+\lambda)} - 1\right) + \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{x+1} > 0.$$
(48)

Thus,
$$\phi(x)$$
 is increasing, and for $x \ge 1$, we have $\phi(x) \ge \phi(1)$ which completes the proof.

Lemma 1. The function $\beta(x) = x\Gamma'_{\lambda}(x)$ is increasing for all x > 0.

Proof. By using (6) and (9) and the monotonicity property of $\psi_{\lambda}(x)$, we have

$$\beta(x) = x\Gamma_{\lambda}(x)\psi_{\lambda}(x) = \frac{\ln(\lambda+1)}{\lambda}\Gamma_{\lambda}(x+1)\psi_{\lambda}(x), \quad (49)$$

and consequently, we obtain

$$\frac{\lambda}{\ln(\lambda+1)} \frac{\beta'(x)}{\Gamma_{\lambda}(x+1)} = \psi_{\lambda}(x+1)\psi_{\lambda}(x) + \psi_{\lambda}'(x) > \psi_{\lambda}^{2}(x) + \psi_{\lambda}'(x) > 0,$$

$$(50)$$

which completes the proof.

Theorem 8. The inequalities hold for x > 0:

$$\Gamma_{\lambda}(x)\Gamma_{\lambda}(\frac{1}{x}) \ge \left(\frac{\lambda}{\ln(\lambda+1)}\right)^{2},$$
(51)

$$\Gamma_{\lambda}(x) + \Gamma_{\lambda}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \ge \frac{2\lambda}{\ln(\lambda+1)}.$$
 (52)

Proof. By letting $k_1 = k_2 = 2$ and replacing x and y with 1 + x and 1 + (1/x) in (44), we obtain

$$\ln\Gamma_{\lambda}\left(1+\frac{x}{2}+\frac{1}{2x}\right) \le \frac{\ln\Gamma_{\lambda}(1+x)}{2} + \frac{\ln\Gamma_{\lambda}(1+(1/x))}{2}.$$
 (53)

Also, since $x + (1/x) \ge 2$ for x > 0, then $1 + (x/2) + (1/2x) \ge 2$. Now, let $\phi(x) = \Gamma_{\lambda}(x)\Gamma_{\lambda}(1/x)$ for x > 0. Then, by using (6), we have

$$\phi(x) = \left(\frac{\ln(\lambda+1)}{\lambda}\right)^2 \Gamma_{\lambda}(1+x)\Gamma_{\lambda}(1+\frac{1}{x}).$$
(54)

Next, by using (5), (53), and (54), we obtain

$$\ln\phi(x) \ge 2\ln\left(\frac{\ln(\lambda+1)}{\lambda}\right) + 2\ln\Gamma_{\lambda}\left(1 + \frac{x}{2} + \frac{1}{2x}\right) \ge 2\ln\left(\frac{\ln(\lambda+1)}{\lambda}\right) + 2\ln\Gamma_{\lambda}(2) = \ln\left(\frac{\lambda}{\ln(\lambda+1)}\right)^{2},$$
(55)

which gives (51). Next, let $\theta(x) = \Gamma_{\lambda}(x) + \Gamma_{\lambda}(1/x)$ for x > 0. Then,

$$x\theta'(x) = x\Gamma_{\lambda}'(x) - \frac{1}{x}\Gamma_{\lambda}'\left(\frac{1}{x}\right).$$
 (56)

It follows from Lemma 1 that $\theta(x)$ is increasing if x > 1and decreasing if 0 < x < 1. For both cases, we have $\theta(x) > \theta(1) = 2\lambda/\ln(\lambda + 1)$ which gives inequality (52). *Remark 10.* Inequality (52) can be obtained from inequality (51) by applying the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality.

Theorem 9. Let $r, s \in \{2n: n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ and $r \ge s$. Then, inequality holds for x > 0:

$$\left(\exp\Gamma_{\lambda}^{(r)}(x)\right)^{2} \le \exp\Gamma_{\lambda}^{(r-s)}(x) \cdot \exp\Gamma_{\lambda}^{(r+s)}(x).$$
(57)

Proof. We adopt the technique of Mortici [14] to estimate the function

$$\frac{\Gamma_{\lambda}^{(r-s)}(x) + \Gamma_{\lambda}^{(r+s)}(x)}{2} - \Gamma_{\lambda}^{(r)}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} (\ln t)^{r-s} t^{x-1} (1+\lambda)^{-(t/\lambda)} dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} (\ln t)^{r+s} t^{x-1} (1+\lambda)^{-(t/\lambda)} dt - \int_{0}^{\infty} (\ln t)^{s} t^{x-1} (1+\lambda)^{-(t/\lambda)} dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{(\ln t)^{s}} + (\ln t)^{s} - 2 \right] (\ln t)^{r} t^{x-1} (1+\lambda)^{-(t/\lambda)} dt \quad (58)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[1 - (\ln t)^{s} \right]^{2} (\ln t)^{r-s} t^{x-1} (1+\lambda)^{-(t/\lambda)} dt \ge 0.$$

Thus,

$$2\Gamma_{\lambda}^{(r)}(x) \le \Gamma_{\lambda}^{(r-s)}(x) + \Gamma_{\lambda}^{(r+s)}(x),$$
(59)

and by exponentiation, we arrive at (57). $\hfill \Box$

3. Concluding Remarks

In this work, we have proved several inequalities satisfied by the modified degenerate gamma function which was recently introduced. When $\lambda \longrightarrow 0$, the established results reduce to the corresponding results for the classical gamma function. It is our fervent hope that the present results will inspire further research on the modified degenerate gamma function.

Data Availability

No data were used to support this study.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- T. Kim, "Degenerate Euler zeta function," Russian Journal of Mathematical Physics, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 469–472, 2015.
- [2] T. Kim and D. S. Kim, "Identities involving degenerate Euler numbers and polynomials arising from non-linear differential equations," *Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications*, vol. 09, no. 05, pp. 2086–2098, 2016.
- [3] T. Kim and D. S. Kim, "Degenerate polyexponential functions and degenerate Bell polynomials," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 487, no. 2, Article ID 124017, 2020.
- [4] T. Kim, D. S. Kim, H. Y. Kim, and J. Kwon, "Some results on degenerate Daehee and Bernoulli numbers and polynomials," *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2020, 2020.
- [5] H. K. Kim and L.-C. Jang, "A note on degenerate poly-Genocchi numbers and polynomials," *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2020, Article ID 392, 2020.
- [6] T. Kim and D. S. Kim, "Degenerate Laplace transform and degenerate Gamma function," *Russian Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 241–248, 2017.

- [7] Y. Kim, B. M. Kim, L.-C. Jang, and J. Kwon, "A note on modified degenerate gamma and laplace transformation," *Symmetry*, vol. 10, pp. 1–8, 2018.
- [8] F. He, A. Bakhet, M. Akel, and M. Abdalla, "Degenerate analogues of euler zeta, digamma, and polygamma functions," *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, vol. 2020, Article ID 8614841, 9 pages, 2020.
- [9] J. G. Wendel, "Note on the gamma function," *The American Mathematical Monthly*, vol. 55, no. 9, pp. 563-564, 1948.
- [10] A. Laforgia and P. Natalini, "On some inequalities for the gamma function," Advances in Dynamical Systems and Applications, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 261–267, 2013.
- [11] D. Kershaw, "Some extensions of W. Gautschi's inequalities for the gamma function," *Mathematics of Computation*, vol. 41, no. 164, pp. 607–611, 1983.
- [12] J. Sandor, "On certain inequalities for the Gamma function," *RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll.*vol. 9, no. 1, Article ID 11, 2006.
- [13] H. Alzer and G. Felder, "A Turán-type inequality for the gamma function," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 350, no. 1, pp. 276–282, 2009.
- [14] C. Mortici, "Turan type inequalities for the Gamma and Polygamma functions," *Acta Universitatis Apulensis*, vol. 23, pp. 117–121, 2010.