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In this paper, by using the concept of the symmetric $q$-difference operator, we introduce certain classes of symmetric $q$-starlike and symmetric $q$-convex functions. Convolution results, coefficient estimates, and Fekete–Szegö inequalities for the analytic functions belonging to these classes are obtained.

1. Introduction

Let us represent the class of analytic (or holomorphic or regular) functions in $\Delta = \{\xi \in \mathbb{C} : |\xi| < 1\}$ by $\mathcal{H}(\Delta)$ and suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is the subclass of $\mathcal{H}(\Delta)$ defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ g \in \mathcal{H}(\Delta) : g(\xi) = \xi + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} b_j \xi^j \right\}. \quad (1)$$

Further, let $\mathcal{S}(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\alpha)$ denote the subclasses of $\mathcal{A}$ that consist, respectively, of starlike of order $\alpha$ and convex of order $\alpha (0 \leq \alpha < 1)$ in $\Delta$ (see [1]). For two given regular functions $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{H}(\Delta)$, we say that $h_1(\xi)$ is subordinate to $h_2(\xi)$ or $h_2(\xi)$ is superordinate to $h_1(\xi)$, written symbolically as $h_1(\xi) \prec h_2(\xi)$, if there exists a Schwarz function $\omega$, which (by definition) is regular in $\Delta$ with $\omega(0) = 0$ and $|\omega(\xi)| < 1$ for all $\xi \in \Delta$, such that

$$h_1(\xi) = h_2(\omega(\xi)), \quad (\xi \in \Delta). \quad (2)$$

Moreover, if $h_1(\xi)$ is univalent function in $\Delta$, then the following equivalence holds true (see [2, 3]):

$$h_1(\xi) \prec h_2(\xi) \Leftrightarrow h_1(0) = h_2(0), \quad h_1(\Delta) \subset h_2(\Delta). \quad (3)$$

For functions $g$ given by (1) and $h$ given by

$$h(\xi) = \xi + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} c_j \xi^j, \quad (4)$$

the convolution or Hadamard product of $g$ and $h$ is defined by

$$(g * h)(\xi) = \xi + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} b_j c_j \xi^j = (h * g)(\xi). \quad (5)$$

Let $\mathcal{S}[L, M]$ and $\mathcal{C}[L, M]$ denote the subclasses of $\mathcal{A}$ for $-1 \leq M < L \leq 1$ which are defined by (see [4–9])

$$\mathcal{S}[L, M] = \left\{ g(\xi) \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{\xi g'(\xi)}{g(\xi)} \frac{1 + L\xi}{1 + M\xi} < 1 \right\}, \quad (6)$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}[L, M] = \left\{ g(\xi) \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{\xi g'(\xi)}{g(\xi)} \frac{1 + L\xi}{1 + M\xi} > 1 \right\}. \quad (7)$$
We note that \( \mathcal{D} [1 - 2a, -1] = \mathcal{D} (a) \) and \( \mathcal{C} [1 - 2a, -1] = \mathcal{C} (a) \) for \( 0 < a < 1 \).

For \( 0 < q < 1 \), the symmetric \( q \)-difference of a function \( g \) is defined as follows (see [10]):

\[
\mathcal{D}_q g (\xi) = \frac{g(q\xi) - g(q^{-1}\xi)}{(q - q^{-1})\xi}, \quad (\xi \neq 0),
\]

and \( \mathcal{D}_q g (0) = g' (0) \) provided that \( g(\xi) \) is differentiable at 0. From (1) and (7), we deduce that

\[
\mathcal{D}_q g (\xi) = 1 + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{j}{\xi} \right]_q \beta_j \xi^{j-1},
\]

where \( \left[ \frac{j}{\xi} \right]_q \) is the \( q \)-number given by

\[
\left[ \frac{j}{\xi} \right]_q = \frac{q^j - q^{-j}}{q - q^{-1}}.
\]

Furthermore, if \( g(\xi) \) and \( h(\xi) \) are the two functions, then

\[
\mathcal{D}_q \left[ g(\xi) \pm h(\xi) \right] = \mathcal{D}_q g(\xi) \pm \mathcal{D}_q h(\xi),
\]

where \( \gamma_1 \) and \( \gamma_2 \) are constants.

\[
\mathcal{D}_q \left[ \frac{g(\xi)}{h(\xi)} \right] = \frac{h(\xi)\mathcal{D}_q g(\xi) - g(\xi)\mathcal{D}_q h(\xi)}{h(\xi)\mathcal{D}_q h(\xi)}.
\]

Making use of the symmetric \( q \)-difference \( \mathcal{D}_q g(\xi) \) given by (7), we introduce the subclasses \( \mathcal{D}_q [L, M] \) and \( \mathcal{C}_q [L, M] \) of \( \mathcal{A} \) for \( 0 < q < 1 \) and \( -1 \leq M < L \leq 1 \) as follows:

\[
\mathcal{D}_q (a, \beta) = \left\{ g(\xi) \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{(\xi \mathcal{D}_q g(\xi)) / g(\xi) - 1}{(\xi \mathcal{D}_q g(\xi)) / g(\xi) + 1 - 2a} < \beta, \xi \in \Delta \right\},
\]

and \( \lim_{q \to 1^-} \mathcal{D}_q (a, \beta) = \mathcal{D} (a, \beta) \) (see [16]).

\[
\mathcal{C}_q (a, \beta) = \left\{ g(\xi) \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{(\xi \mathcal{D}_q g(\xi)) / g(\xi) - 1}{(\xi \mathcal{D}_q g(\xi)) / g(\xi) + 1 - 2a} < \beta, \xi \in \Delta \right\},
\]

and \( \lim_{q \to 1^-} \mathcal{C}_q (a, \beta) = \mathcal{C} (a, \beta) \) (see [16]).

In the present article, our aim is to investigate convolution properties, coefficient estimates, and Fekete–Szegö inequalities for the classes \( \mathcal{D}_q [L, M] \) and \( \mathcal{C}_q [L, M] \). The motivation of this article is to generalize and improve previously known results.

2. Convolution Results and Coefficient Estimates

Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume throughout this investigation that
If \( g(\xi) \in \mathcal{A} \) has the series form (1), then \( g(\xi) \in \mathcal{B}_q[L,M] \) if and only if
\[
\frac{1}{\xi} \left[ g(\xi) \ast \frac{\xi - [1 + R(q - 1 + q^{-1})][\xi^2 + R\xi^3]}{(1 - q\xi)(1 - q^{-1}\xi)} \right] \neq 0, \tag{20}
\]
where \( R \) is given by
\[
R = R(\theta, L, M) = \frac{e^{-i\theta} + L}{L - M}. \tag{21}
\]

**Proof.** It is easy to check that
\[
g(\xi) \ast \frac{\xi}{1 - \xi} = g(\xi),
\]
\[
g(\xi) \ast \frac{\xi}{(1 - q\xi)(1 - q^{-1}\xi)} = \xi D_q g(\xi). \tag{22}
\]
\[
\frac{1}{\xi} \left[ (1 + Me^{i\theta}) \left( g(\xi) \ast \frac{\xi}{(1 - q\xi)(1 - q^{-1}\xi)} \right) - (1 + Le^{i\theta}) \left( g(\xi) \ast \frac{\xi}{1 - \xi} \right) \right] = \frac{(M - L)e^{i\theta}}{\xi} \left\{ g(\xi) \ast \frac{\xi - (1 + (e^{-i\theta} + L)/(L - M))(q - 1 + q^{-1})[\xi^2 + ((e^{-i\theta} + L)/(L - M))\xi^3]}{(1 - q\xi)(1 - q^{-1}\xi)} \right\} \neq 0, \tag{26}
\]
which proves the necessary condition (20) for Theorem 1.

Reversely, suppose that \( g(\xi) \in \mathcal{A} \) satisfies condition (20). Since it was shown in the first part of the proof that assumption (20) is equivalent to (25), we obtain that
\[
\frac{\xi D_q g(\xi)}{g(\xi)} = \frac{1 + Le^{i\theta}}{1 + Me^{i\theta}}, \tag{27}
\]
(0 \leq \theta < 2\pi).

If we denote
\[
\phi(\xi) = \frac{\xi D_q g(\xi)}{g(\xi)},
\]
\[
\psi(\xi) = \frac{1 + L\xi}{1 + M\xi},
\]
relation (27) means that
\[
\phi(\Delta) \cap \psi(\Delta) = \emptyset. \tag{29}
\]

Thus, the simply connected domain \( \phi(\Delta) \) is included in a connected component of \( C \setminus \psi(\Delta) \). Therefore, using the fact that \( \phi(0) = \psi(0) \) and the univalence of the function \( \psi(\xi) \), it follows that \( \phi(\xi) \notin \psi(\xi) \), which implies that \( g(\xi) \in \mathcal{B}_q[L,M] \). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Putting \( L = 1 - 2\alpha (0 \leq \alpha < 1) \) and \( M = -1 \) in Theorem 1, we get the following convolution result for \( \mathcal{B}_q(\alpha) \).

**Corollary 1.** If \( g(\xi) \in \mathcal{A} \) has the series form (1), then \( g(\xi) \in \mathcal{B}_q(\alpha) \) if and only if
\[
\frac{1}{\xi} \left[ g(\xi) \ast \frac{\xi - [1 + T(q - 1 + q^{-1})][\xi^2 + T\xi^3]}{(1 - q\xi)(1 - \xi)(1 - q^{-1}\xi)} \right] \neq 0, \tag{30}
\]
where \( T \) is given by
\[
T = T(\theta, \alpha) = \frac{e^{-i\theta} + 1 - 2\alpha}{2(1 - \alpha)}, \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1). \tag{31}
\]

**Theorem 2.** If \( g(\xi) \in \mathcal{A} \) has the series form (1), then \( g(\xi) \in \mathcal{B}_q[L,M] \) if and only if
\[
\frac{\xi D_q g(\xi)}{g(\xi)} = \frac{1 + Le^{i\theta}}{1 + Me^{i\theta}}, \tag{23}
\]
where \( w(\xi) \) is Schwartz function; hence, we have
\[
\frac{\xi D_q g(\xi)}{g(\xi)} \neq \frac{1 + Le^{i\theta}}{1 + Me^{i\theta}}, \tag{24}
\]
which is equivalent to
\[
\frac{1}{\xi} \left[ (1 + Me^{i\theta}) \xi D_q g(\xi) - (1 + Le^{i\theta})g(\xi) \right] \neq 0. \tag{25}
\]

By using (22) in (25), we obtain
\[ g(\xi) = \frac{\xi - [R(q + q^{-1}) + 1](q - 1 + q^{-1})\xi^2 + [R(q + 2 + q^{-1}) - 1](q - 1 + q^{-1})\xi^3 - (2R - 1)\xi^4}{(1 - q^2\xi)(1 - q\xi)(1 - \xi)(1 - q^{-1}\xi)(1 - q^{-2}\xi)} \neq 0, \quad (32) \]

where \( R \) is given by (21).

**Proof.** From relation (14), we have
\[ g(\xi) \in \mathcal{C}_q[L, M] \Rightarrow \xi \mathcal{D}_q g(\xi) \in \mathcal{D}_q[L, M]. \quad (33) \]

Then, according to Theorem 1, we obtain
\[ g(\xi) \in \mathcal{C}_q[L, M] \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\xi} \left[ \xi \mathcal{D}_q g(\xi) \ast \phi(\xi) \right] \neq 0, \quad (34) \]

Using relation (36) and the following identity:
\[ \xi \mathcal{D}_q g(\xi) \ast \phi(\xi) = g(\xi) \ast \xi \mathcal{D}_q \phi(\xi), \quad (g, \phi \in \mathcal{A}), \quad (37) \]

it is easy to check that (34) is equivalent to (32). Thus, the proof of Theorem 2 is completed.

Putting \( L = 1 - 2\alpha (0 \leq \alpha < 1) \) and \( M = -1 \) in Theorem 2, we obtain the following result for \( \mathcal{C}_q(\alpha) \).

**Corollary 2.** If \( g(\xi) \in \mathcal{A} \) has the series form (1), then \( g(\xi) \in \mathcal{C}_q(\alpha) \) \( 0 \leq \alpha < 1 \) if and only if

\[ \frac{1}{\xi} \left[ g(\xi) \ast \frac{\xi - [T(q + q^{-1}) + 1](q - 1 + q^{-1})\xi^2 + [T(q + 2 + q^{-1}) - 1](q - 1 + q^{-1})\xi^3 - (2T - 1)\xi^4}{(1 - q^2\xi)(1 - q\xi)(1 - \xi)(1 - q^{-1}\xi)(1 - q^{-2}\xi)} \right] \neq 0, \quad (38) \]

where \( T \) is given by (31).

**Theorem 3.** If \( g(\xi) \in \mathcal{A} \) has the series form (1), then \( g(\xi) \in \mathcal{S}_q[L, M] \) if and only if

\[ 1 - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} (1 - \xi) \right) e^{-j\theta} M - L + M b_j \xi^{j-1} \neq 0. \quad (39) \]

**Proof.** From Theorem 1, we find that \( g(\xi) \in \mathcal{S}_q[L, M] \) if and only if

\[ \frac{1}{\xi} \left[ g(\xi) \ast \frac{\xi - [1 + R(q - 1 + q^{-1})] \xi^2 + R \xi^3}{(1 - q\xi)(1 - \xi)(1 - q^{-1}\xi)} \right] \neq 0, \quad (40) \]

for all \( R \) given by (21). The left hand side of (38) can be written as

\[ \frac{1}{\xi} \left[ g(\xi) \ast \frac{R \xi}{(1 - \xi)} \left( \frac{R - 1) \xi}{1 - q\xi(1 - q^{-1}\xi)} \right) \right] \]
\[ = \frac{1}{\xi} \left[ Rg(\xi) - (R - 1) \xi \mathcal{D}_q g(\xi) \right] \]
\[ = 1 - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} (1 - \xi) \right) e^{-j\theta} M - L + M b_j \xi^{j-1}. \quad (41) \]

Thus, the proof of Theorem 3 is completed. Putting \( L = 1 - 2\alpha \) \( 0 \leq \alpha < 1 \) and \( M = -1 \) in Theorem 3, we obtain the following result for \( \mathcal{S}_q(\alpha) \).
Corollary 3. If \( g(\xi) \in \mathcal{A} \) has the series form (1), then 
\[
1 - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \left( \left[ j \right]_{q} - 1 \right) \left( e^{-i\theta} - 1 \right) - 2(1 - \alpha) b_{j} \xi^{j-1} \neq 0.
\]
(42)

Theorem 4. If \( g(\xi) \in \mathcal{A} \) has the series form (1), then 
\[
g(\xi) \in \mathcal{C}_{q}[L,M] \text{ if and only if}
\]
\[
1 - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \left( \left[ j \right]_{q} - 1 \right) \left( e^{-i\theta} + M \right) - L + M b_{j} \xi^{j-1} \neq 0 (\xi \in \Delta).
\]
(43)

Proof. From Theorem 1, we find that \( g(\xi) \in \mathcal{C}_{q}[L,M] \) if and only if
\[
1 - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \left( \left[ j \right]_{q} - 1 \right) \left( e^{-i\theta} + M \right) - L + M b_{j} \xi^{j-1} \neq 0,
\]
(44)

for all \( R \) given by (21). The left hand side of (44) can be written as

\[
1 - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \left( \left[ j \right]_{q} - 1 \right) \left( e^{-i\theta} + M \right) - L + M b_{j} \xi^{j-1},
\]
and this proves Theorem 4.

Putting \( L = 1 - 2\alpha (0 \leq \alpha < 1) \) and \( M = -1 \) in Theorem 4, we obtain the following convolution result for \( \mathcal{C}_{q}(\alpha) \).

Corollary 4. If \( g(\xi) \in \mathcal{A} \) has the series form (1), then 
\[
g(\xi) \in \mathcal{C}_{q}(\alpha) \text{ if and only if}
\]
\[
1 - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \left( \left[ j \right]_{q} - 1 \right) \left( e^{-i\theta} - 1 \right) - 2(1 - \alpha) b_{j} \xi^{j-1} \neq 0.
\]
(46)

Theorem 5. If the function \( g(\xi) \in \mathcal{A} \) has the series form (1) satisfying the inequality
\[
\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \left( \left[ j \right]_{q} - 1 \right) \left( 1 - M \right) \left( 1 - M \right) \left| b_{j} \right| \leq L - M,
\]
(47)

then \( g(\xi) \in \mathcal{C}_{q}[L,M] \).

Proof. Hence,

\[
1 - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \left( \left[ j \right]_{q} - 1 \right) \left( e^{-i\theta} + M \right) - L + M b_{j} \xi^{j-1} \neq 0 (\xi \in \Delta).
\]
(48)

Thus, inequality (47) holds, and our result follows from Theorem 3.

Putting \( L = 1 - 2\alpha (0 \leq \alpha < 1) \) and \( M = -1 \) in Theorem 5, we obtain the following result for \( \mathcal{C}_{q}(\alpha) \).

Corollary 5. If the function \( g(\xi) \in \mathcal{A} \) has the series form (1) satisfying the inequality
\[
\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \left( \left[ j \right]_{q} - 1 \right) \left( 1 - \alpha \right) \left| b_{j} \right| \leq 1 - \alpha,
\]
(49)
then \( g(\xi) \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}_q(\alpha) \).

By using arguments and analysis to those in the proof of Theorem 5, we can derive the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.** If the function \( g(\xi) \in \mathcal{S} \) has the series form (1) satisfying the inequality
\[
\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} |\frac{\bar{z}}{j} | \left( j^2 - 1 \right) \left( 1 - M + L - M \right) |z_j| \leq L - M,
\]
then \( g(\xi) \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}_q[L,M] \).

Putting \( L = 1 - 2\alpha \) and \( M = -1 \) in Theorem 6, we obtain the following result for \( \overline{\mathcal{D}}_q(\alpha) \).

**Corollary 6.** If the function \( g(\xi) \in \mathcal{S} \) has the series form (1) satisfying the inequality
\[
\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} |\frac{\bar{z}}{j} | \left( j^2 - 1 \right) |z_j| \leq 1 - \alpha,
\]
then \( g(\xi) \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}_q(\alpha) \).

### 3. Fekete–Szegö Inequalities

In this section, we obtain the Fekete–Szegö inequalities for the classes \( \overline{\mathcal{D}}_q[L,M] \) and \( \overline{\mathcal{D}}_q[L,M] \). In order to establish our results, we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1** (see [17]). If \( p(\xi) = 1 + \delta_1 \xi + \delta_2 \xi^2 + \cdots \) is a function with positive real part in \( \Delta \) and \( \nu \) is a complex number, then
\[
|\delta_2 - \nu \delta_1^2| \leq 2 \max\{1; |2\nu - 1|\}.
\]

The result is sharp for the functions given by
\[
p(\xi) = \frac{1 + \xi^2}{1 - \xi^2},
\]
\[
p(\xi) = \frac{1 + \xi}{1 - \xi}
\]

**Lemma 2** (see [17]). If \( p(\xi) = 1 + \delta_1 \xi + \delta_2 \xi^2 + \cdots \) is an analytic function with a positive real part in \( \Delta \), then
\[
|\delta_2 - \nu \delta_1^2| \leq \begin{cases} 
-4\nu + 2, & \text{if } \nu \leq 0, \\
2, & \text{if } 0 \leq \nu \leq 1, \\
4\nu - 2, & \text{if } \nu \geq 1.
\end{cases}
\]

When \( \nu < 0 \) or \( \nu > 1 \), the equality holds if and only if \( p(\xi) \) is \( (1 + \xi)/(1 - \xi) \) or one of its rotations. If \( 0 < \nu < 1 \), then the equality holds if and only if \( p(\xi) \) is \( (1 + \xi^2)/(1 - \xi^2) \) or one of its rotations. If \( \nu = 0 \), the equality holds if and only if
\[
p(\xi) = \frac{1 + \lambda}{1 - \lambda} \cdot \frac{1 + \xi}{1 - \xi} + \frac{1 - \lambda}{1 + \xi} \cdot \frac{1 - \xi}{1 + \xi}, \quad (0 \leq \lambda \leq 1),
\]

or one of its rotations. If \( \nu = 1 \), the equality holds if and only if \( p(\xi) \) is the reciprocal of one of the functions such that equality holds in the case of \( \nu = 0 \).

Also, the above upper bound is sharp, and it can be improved as follows when \( 0 < \nu < 1 \):
\[
|\delta_2 - \nu \delta_1^2| + \nu|\delta_1| \leq 2, \quad \left( 0 \leq \nu \leq \frac{1}{2} \right),
\]
\[
|\delta_2 - \nu \delta_1^2| + (1 - \nu)|\delta_1| \leq 2, \quad \left( \frac{1}{2} \leq \nu \leq 1 \right).
\]

**Theorem 7.** If \( g(\xi) \) defined by (1) belongs to the class \( \mathcal{D}_q[L,M] \), then
\[
|b_3 - \mu b_2^2| \leq L - M \max\left\{ 1; \frac{M - L - M}{[q]_1 - 1} \left( 1 - \frac{3}{[q]_1 - 1} \right) \right\}.
\]

**Proof.** If \( g(\xi) \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}_q[L,M] \), then there is a Schwarz function \( w \) in \( \Delta \) such that
\[
\xi D_q g(\xi) = \frac{1 + L w(\xi)}{1 + M w(\xi)}.
\]

Define the function \( p(\xi) \) by
\[
p(\xi) = \frac{1 + w(\xi)}{1 - w(\xi)} = 1 + \delta_1 \xi + \delta_2 \xi^2 + \cdots.
\]

Since \( w(\xi) \) is a Schwarz function, we see that \( \Re\{ p(\xi) \} > 0 \) and \( p(0) = 1 \). Now, by substituting (59) in (58), we have
\[
\xi D_q g(\xi) = \frac{1 + (L - M) \delta_1}{2} - \frac{(L - M) \delta_2}{2} + (L - M) \frac{1 + M}{2} \left( \frac{\delta_2}{\delta_1} \right) \xi^2 + \cdots.
\]

From the above equation, we obtain
\[
(\overline{[q]_1 - 1}) b_2 = \frac{(L - M)}{2} \delta_1,
\]
\[
(\overline{[q]_1 - 1}) b_3 - (\overline{[q]_1 - 1}) b_2^2 = \frac{(L - M)}{2} \left( \frac{\delta_2}{\delta_1} - \frac{(1 + M)}{2} \delta_1^2 \right),
\]

or, equivalently,
\[
b_2 = \frac{(L - M)}{2([q]_1 - 1)} \delta_1,
\]
\[
b_3 = \frac{(L - M)}{2([q]_1 - 1)} \left[ \delta_2 - \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + M - \frac{(L - M)}{[q]_1 - 1} \right) \delta_1^2 \right].
\]
Therefore, we have

\[ b_3 - \mu b_2^2 = \frac{L - M}{2(3)_q - 1} \left( \delta_2 - v \delta_2^2 \right), \tag{63} \]

where

\[ \gamma = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + M - \frac{L - M}{(3)_q - 1} \left( 1 - \frac{(3)_q - 1}{(2)_q - 1} \right)^{\mu} \right]. \tag{64} \]

Our result now follows from Lemma 1. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Similarly, we can prove the following theorem for the class \( \breve{\mathcal{C}}_q[L,M] \).

**Theorem 8.** If \( g(\xi) \) given by (1) belongs to the class \( \breve{\mathcal{C}}_q[L,M] \), then

\[ |b_3 - \mu b_2^2| \leq \frac{L - M}{(3)_q(3)_q - 1} \max \left\{ 1; \left| M - \frac{L - M}{(3)_q(3)_q - 1} \left( 1 - \frac{(3)_q(3)_q - 1}{(2)_q(2)_q - 1} \right)^{\mu} \right| \right\}. \tag{65} \]

The result is sharp.

Putting \( L = 1 - 2\alpha (0 \leq \alpha < 1) \) and \( M = -1 \) in Theorems 7 and 8, we obtain the following corollaries.

**Corollary 7.** If \( g(\xi) \) given by (1) belongs to the class \( \breve{\mathcal{C}}_q(\alpha) (0 \leq \alpha < 1) \), then

\[ |b_3 - \mu b_2^2| \leq \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{(3)_q(3)_q - 1} \max \left\{ 1; \left| 1 + \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{(3)_q(3)_q - 1} \left( 1 - \frac{(3)_q(3)_q - 1}{(2)_q(2)_q - 1} \right)^{\mu} \right| \right\}. \tag{66} \]

**Corollary 8.** If \( g(\xi) \) given by (1) belongs to the class \( \breve{\mathcal{C}}_q(\alpha) (0 \leq \alpha < 1) \), then

\[ |b_3 - \mu b_2^2| \leq \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{(3)_q(3)_q - 1} \max \left\{ 1; \left| 1 + \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{(3)_q(3)_q - 1} \left( 1 - \frac{(3)_q(3)_q - 1}{(2)_q(2)_q - 1} \right)^{\mu} \right| \right\}. \tag{67} \]

The results are sharp.

**Theorem 9.** Let

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_1 &= \frac{(2)_q - 1)(L - M) - (2)_q - 1)^2 (1 + M)}{(3)_q - 1)(L - M)}, \\
\sigma_2 &= \frac{(2)_q - 1)(L - M) + (2)_q - 1)^2 (1 - M)}{(3)_q - 1)(L - M)}, \\
\sigma_3 &= \frac{(2)_q - 1)(L - M) - (2)_q - 1)^2 M}{(3)_q - 1)(L - M)}.
\end{align*}
\tag{68}
\]

If \( g \) given by (1) belongs to the class \( \breve{\mathcal{C}}_q[L,M] \), then...
Further, if $\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_3$, then

$$|b_3 - \mu b_2|^2 \leq \frac{L - M}{[3]_q - 1} \left[ M - L - M \left( 1 - \frac{[3]_q - 1}{[2]_q - 1} \right) \right], \quad (\mu \leq \sigma_1),$$

$$|b_3 - \mu b_2|^2 \leq \frac{L - M}{[3]_q - 1}, \quad (\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2),$$

$$|b_3 - \mu b_2|^2 \leq \frac{L - M}{[3]_q - 1} \left[ M - L - M \left( 1 - \frac{[3]_q - 1}{[2]_q - 1} \right) \right], \quad (\mu \geq \sigma_2).$$

If $\sigma_3 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2$, then

$$|b_3 - \mu b_2|^2 + \frac{([2]_q - 1)^2}{([3]_q - 1)} \left[ \frac{1 + M}{L - M} - \frac{1}{[2]_q - 1} \left( 1 - \frac{[3]_q - 1}{[2]_q - 1} \right) \right] |b_2|^2 \leq \frac{L - M}{[3]_q - 1}.$$ (70)

Proof. Applying Lemma 2 to (63) and (64), we can obtain our results asserted by Theorem 9.

Putting $L = 1 - 2\alpha (0 \leq \alpha < 1)$ and $M = -1$ in Theorem 9, we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 9.** Let

$$\sigma_4 = \frac{[2]_q - 1}{([3]_q - 1)},$$

$$\sigma_5 = \frac{([2]_q - 1)(1 - \alpha) + ([2]_q - 1)^2}{([3]_q - 1)(1 - \alpha)},$$

$$\sigma_6 = \frac{2([2]_q - 1)(1 - \alpha) + ([2]_q - 1)^2}{2([3]_q - 1)(1 - \alpha)}.$$ (72)

If $g$ given by (1) belongs to the class $\bar{\mathcal{S}}_q(\alpha) (0 \leq \alpha < 1)$, then
\[ |b_3 - \mu b_3^2| = \begin{cases} \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{[3]_q - 1} \left[ 1 + \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{[2]_q - 1} \left( 1 - \frac{[3]_q - 1}{[2]_q - 1} \mu \right) \right], & (\mu \leq \sigma_4), \\ \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{[3]_q - 1}, & (\sigma_4 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_5), \\ \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{[3]_q - 1} \left[ 1 + \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{[2]_q - 1} \left( 1 - \frac{[3]_q - 1}{[2]_q - 1} \mu \right) \right], & (\mu \geq \sigma_5). \end{cases} \]

Further, if \( \sigma_4 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_5 \), then
\[
|b_3 - \mu b_3^2| - \frac{2[2]_q - 1}{[3]_q - 1} \left( 1 - \frac{[3]_q - 1}{[2]_q - 1} \mu \right) |b_3| \leq \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{[3]_q - 1}, \quad \text{If } \sigma_6 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_5, \text{ then} \]
\[
|b_3 - \mu b_3^2| + \frac{(2q - 1)^2}{([3]_q - 1)^2} \left( 1 - \frac{[3]_q - 1}{[2]_q - 1} \mu \right) |b_3| \leq \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{[3]_q - 1}. \quad \text{If } g \text{ given by (1) belongs to the class } \mathbb{C}_q[L, M], \text{ then} \]

**Theorem 10.** Let
\[
X_1 = \frac{2[2]_q([2]_q - 1)}{([3]_q - 1)(L - M)} \left[ L - M - ([2]_q - 1)(1 + M) \right],
\]
\[
X_2 = \frac{2[2]_q([2]_q - 1)}{([3]_q - 1)(L - M)} \left[ L - M + ([2]_q - 1)(1 - M) \right], \quad \text{(76)}
\]
\[
X_3 = \frac{2[2]_q([2]_q - 1)}{([3]_q - 1)(L - M)} \left[ L - M - ([2]_q - 1)M \right],
\]

Further, if \( \sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_3 \), then
\[
|b_3 - \mu b_3^2| = \begin{cases} -\frac{L - M}{[3]_q([3]_q - 1)} \left[ M - L - M \left( 1 - \frac{[3]_q([3]_q - 1)}{[2]_q([2]_q - 1]} \mu \right) \right], & (\mu \leq \chi_1), \\ \frac{L - M}{[3]_q([3]_q - 1)} \left[ M - L - M \left( 1 - \frac{[3]_q([3]_q - 1)}{[2]_q([2]_q - 1]} \mu \right) \right], & (\chi_1 \leq \mu \leq \chi_2), \\ \frac{L - M}{[3]_q([3]_q - 1)} \left[ M - L - M \left( 1 - \frac{[3]_q([3]_q - 1)}{[2]_q([2]_q - 1]} \mu \right) \right], & (\mu \geq \chi_2). \end{cases} \]

Further, if \( \chi_1 \leq \mu \leq \chi_3 \), then
Putting $L = 1 - 2\alpha (0 \leq \alpha < 1)$ and $M = -1$ in Theorem 10, we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 10.** Let

\[
X_4 = \frac{[2]_q [2]_q}{[3]_q - 1},
\]

\[
X_5 = \frac{[2]_q [2]_q [1 - \alpha + ([2]_q - 1)]}{([3]_q - 1)(1 - \alpha)},
\]

\[
X_6 = \frac{[2]_q [2]_q [2(1 - \alpha) + ([2]_q - 1)]}{2([3]_q - 1)(1 - \alpha)}.
\]

Then

\[
|b_3 - \mu b_2^2| \leq \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{[3]_q([3]_q - 1)} \left[ \frac{1 + \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{[2]_q - 1} \left( 1 - \frac{[3]_q([3]_q - 1)}{[2]_q([2]_q - 1)} \mu \right) \right], \quad (\mu \leq X_4),
\]

\[
|b_3 - \mu b_2^2| \leq \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{[3]_q([3]_q - 1)}, \quad (X_4 \leq \mu \leq X_5),
\]

\[
|b_3 - \mu b_2^2| \leq \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{[3]_q([3]_q - 1)} \left[ 1 + \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{[2]_q - 1} \left( 1 - \frac{[3]_q([3]_q - 1)}{[2]_q([2]_q - 1)} \mu \right) \right], \quad (\mu \geq X_5).
\]

Further, if $X_4 \leq \mu \leq X_6$, then

\[
|b_3 - \mu b_2^2| - \frac{[2]_q [2]_q}{[3]_q([3]_q - 1)} \left( 1 - \frac{[3]_q([3]_q - 1)}{[2]_q([2]_q - 1)} \mu \right) |b_2| \leq \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{[3]_q([3]_q - 1)}. \quad (82)
\]

If $X_6 \leq \mu \leq X_5$, then

\[
|b_3 - \mu b_2^2| + \frac{[2]_q [2]_q}{[3]_q([3]_q - 1)} \left[ \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} + \frac{1}{[2]_q - 1} \left( 1 - \frac{[3]_q([3]_q - 1)}{[2]_q([2]_q - 1)} \mu \right) \right] |b_2|^2 \leq \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{[3]_q([3]_q - 1)}. \quad (83)
\]
4. Conclusion

In this present investigation, we have introduced two classes \( \delta_q[L,M] \) and \( \theta_q[L,M] \) of analytic functions by using the symmetric \( q \)-difference operator linked to an open unit disc \( \Delta = \{ \xi \in \mathbb{C} : |\xi| < 1 \} \). We also studied convolution results, coefficient estimates, and Fekete–Szegö inequalities for the newly defined classes. We note that our results naturally include several results that are known for those subclasses, which are listed in the introduction section.
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