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In this paper, we survey a common problem of the fixed point problem and the quasimonotone variational inequality problem in Hilbert spaces. We suggest an iterative algorithm for finding a common element of the solution of a quasimonotone variational inequality and the fixed point of a pseudocontractive operator. Convergence theorems are shown under some mild conditions. Several corollaries are also obtained.

## 1. Introduction

Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space with an inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ and an induced norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed and convex subset of $H$. Let $f: C \longrightarrow H$ be a nonlinear operator. In this paper, our work is closely related to a classical variational inequality of finding a point $x^{\dagger} \in C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle f\left(x^{\dagger}\right), x-x^{\dagger}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in C \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use $\operatorname{Sol}(C, f)$ to denote the solution set of (1).
It is well known that variational inequality problems provide a general mathematical framework for a large number of problems arising in optimization [1-8]. For example, constrained optimization problems such as LP and NLP are special cases of variational inequalities, and systems of equations and complementarity problems can be cast as variational inequalities. Thus, variational inequality problems have many applications, including those in transportation networks [9], signal processing [10, 11], regression analysis [12], equilibrium problems [13, 14], fixed point
problems [15-19], and complementarity problems [1, 20]. There are numerous iterative algorithms for solving variational inequalities and related problems, (see for examples [21-31]).

Let $\varphi: C \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function. Letting $f(x)=\nabla \varphi(x)$, the variational inequality (1) is equivalent to the following minimization problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{x \in C} \varphi(x) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that we can use the following projectiongradient algorithm [32-35] to solve variational inequality (1), i.e., an iterative sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ generated by the recursive form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n+1}=\operatorname{proj}_{C}\left[u_{n}-\varsigma_{n} f\left(u_{n}\right)\right] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varsigma_{n}>0$ is the step size, and $\operatorname{proj}_{C}: H \longrightarrow C$ is the metric projection.

The sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ generated by the projection-gradient algorithm is the convergent provided. $f$ is strongly (pseudo) monotone (see $[25,36]$ ), or $f$ is inverse strongly monotone
(see $[10,35]$ ). However, if $f$ is plain monotone, then the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ generated by (3) does not necessarily converge. To overcome this flaw, many iterative methods have been proposed, such as the proximal point method [37, 38], Korpelevich's extragradient method [39-41] and its variant forms [42-44], the subgradient extragradient method [45, 46], and Tseng's method [47]. Especially, Bot et al. [48] suggested the following Tseng-type forward-backward-forward algorithm:
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}v_{n}=P_{C}\left(u_{n}-\lambda f\left(u_{n}\right)\right), \\ u_{n+1}=\mu_{k}\left(v_{n}+\lambda\left(f\left(u_{n}\right)-f\left(v_{n}\right)\right)+\left(1-\mu_{k}\right) u_{n}, \quad \forall n \geq 0 .\right.\end{array}\right.$

Bot et al. [48] proved that the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ generated by (4) converges weakly to an element in $\operatorname{Sol}(C, f)$ provided $f$ is pseudomonotone and sequentially weakly continuous.

Let $\mathrm{Sol}^{d}(C, f)$ be the solution set of the dual variational inequality of (1), that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sol}^{d}(C, f):=\{u \in C \mid\langle f(x), x-u\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in C\} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{Sol}^{d}(C, f)$ is the closed convex. If $C$ is convex and $f$ is continuous, then $\operatorname{Sol}^{d}(C, f) \subset \operatorname{Sol}(C, f)$.

To show the convergence of the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, a common condition $\operatorname{Sol}(C, f) \subset \operatorname{Sol}^{d}(C, f)$ has been added, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle f(x), x-u\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall u \in \operatorname{Sol}(C, f) \text { and } x \in C \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a direct consequence of the pseudomonotonicity of $f$. But this conclusion (that is, $\operatorname{Sol}(C, f) \subset \operatorname{Sol}^{d}(C, f)$ ) is false, if $f$ is quasimonotone.

The main purpose of this paper is to introduce a selfadaptive forward-backward-forward algorithm to solve quasimonotone variational inequalities (1) and the fixed point problem of pseudocontractive operators. The algorithm is designed such that the step-sizes are dynamically chosen and its convergence is guaranteed without prior knowledge of the Lipschitz constant of $f$. We prove that the proposed algorithm converges weakly to a common element of the solution of a quasimonotone variational inequality and the fixed point of a pseudocontractive operator under some additional conditions.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$. Let $T: C \longrightarrow C$ be a nonlinear operator. $\operatorname{Fix}(T)$ is used to denote the set of fixed points of $T$, i.e., $\operatorname{Fix}(T):=\{x \in C \mid x=T x\}$. " $\rightharpoonup$ " and " $\longrightarrow$ " is used to denote weak convergence and strong convergence, respectively. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $H . \omega_{w}\left(u_{n}\right)$ is used to denote the set of all weak cluster points of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, i.e., $\omega_{w}\left(u_{n}\right)=\left\{u^{\dagger}: \exists\left\{u_{n_{i}}\right\} \subset\left\{u_{n}\right\}\right.$ such that $\left.u_{n_{i}} \rightharpoonup u^{\dagger}(i \longrightarrow \infty)\right\}$.

Let $f: C \longrightarrow H$ be a nonlinear operator. We recall that $f$ is said to be
(i) pseudomonotone if

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\langle f\left(x^{\dagger}\right), x-x^{\dagger}\right\rangle \geq 0 \text { implies }\left\langle f(x), x-x^{\dagger}\right\rangle \geq 0 \\
\forall x, x^{\dagger} \in C \tag{7}
\end{array}
$$

(ii) quasimonotone if

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle f\left(x^{\dagger}\right), x-x^{\dagger}\right\rangle>0 \text { implies }\left\langle f(x), x-x^{\dagger}\right\rangle & \geq 0 \\
\forall x, x^{\dagger} & \in C \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

(iii) $L$-Lipschitz continuous if there exists some constant $L>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f(x)-f\left(x^{\dagger}\right)\right\| \leq L\left\|x-x^{\dagger}\right\|, \text { for all } x, x^{\dagger} \in C \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iv) sequently weakly continuous if $u_{n} \triangle \tilde{x}$ implies that $f\left(u_{n}\right) \rightharpoonup f(\tilde{x})$.
We recall that an operator $T: C \longrightarrow C$ is said to be pseudocontractive if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T(x)-T\left(x^{\dagger}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|x-x^{\dagger}\right\|^{2}+\left\|(I-T) x-(I-T) x^{\dagger}\right\|^{2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, x^{\dagger} \in C$.
For fixed $x \in H$, there exists a unique $x^{\dagger} \in C$ satisfying $\left\|x-x^{\dagger}\right\|=\inf \{\|x-\tilde{x}\|: \tilde{x} \in C\} . x^{\dagger}$ is denoted by $\operatorname{proj}_{C}[x]$. The projection proj $_{C}$ has the following basic property: for given $x \in H$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x-\operatorname{proj}_{C}[x], y-\operatorname{proj}_{C}[x]\right\rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall y \in C \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying this characteristic inequality, we have the following equivalence relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\dagger} \in \operatorname{Sol}(f, C) \Leftrightarrow x^{\dagger}=\operatorname{proj}_{C}\left[x^{\dagger}-\varsigma f\left(x^{\dagger}\right)\right], \quad \forall \varsigma>0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a Hilbert space $H$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\zeta u+(1-\zeta) u^{\dagger}\right\|^{2}= & \zeta\|u\|^{2}+(1-\zeta)\left\|u^{\dagger}\right\|^{2}  \tag{13}\\
& -\zeta(1-\zeta)\left\|u-u^{\dagger}\right\|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

$\forall u, u^{\dagger} \in H$ and $\forall \zeta \in[0,1]$.

Lemma 1 (see [44]). Let C be a nonempty, convex, and closed subset of a Hilbert space $H$. We assume that $T: C \longrightarrow C$ is an L-Lipschitz pseudocontractive operator. Then, for all $\tilde{u} \in C$ and $u^{\dagger} \in \operatorname{Fix}(T)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|u^{\dagger}-T[(1-\omega) \widetilde{u}+\omega T(\widetilde{u})]\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\widetilde{u}-u^{\dagger}\right\|^{2}  \tag{14}\\
& \quad+(1-\omega)\|\widetilde{u}-T[(1-\omega) \widetilde{u}+\omega T(\widetilde{u})]\|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $0<\omega<1 / \sqrt{1+L^{2}}+1$.

Lemma 2 (see [14]). Let C be a nonempty, convex, and closed subset of a Hilbert space H. Let $T: C \longrightarrow C$ be a continuous pseudocontractive operator. Then,
(i) Fix $(T) \subset C$ is closed and convex
(ii) $T$ is a demiclosedness, i.e., $u_{n}-\widetilde{z}$ and $T\left(u_{n}\right) \longrightarrow z^{+}$ imply that $T(\tilde{z})=z^{\dagger}$

## 3. Main Results

In this section, we introduce our main results. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$. We assume that the following conditions are satisfied:
(C1): the operator $f: H \longrightarrow H$ is quasimonotone; $\kappa$-Lipschitz continuous and satisfies the following property (P):

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
H \in x_{n} \rightharpoonup x^{\dagger} \in H \text { as } n \longrightarrow \infty  \tag{15}\\
\liminf _{n \longrightarrow+\infty}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|=0
\end{array}\right\} \text { imply that } f\left(x^{\dagger}\right)=0
$$

(C2): the operator $T: H \longrightarrow H$ is pseudocontractive and $L$-Lipschitz continuous

$$
\text { (C3): } \quad \Gamma:=\operatorname{Sol}^{d}(C, f) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(T) \neq \varnothing
$$

$$
\{x \in C: f(x)=0\} \backslash \operatorname{Sol}^{d}(C, f) \text { is a finite set }
$$

Remark 1. If the operator $f$ is sequentially weakly continuous, then $f$ satisfies the property $(P)$.

Next, we present an iterative algorithm for finding a common point in $\Gamma$. Let $\left\{\zeta_{n}\right\},\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$, and $\left\{\omega_{n}\right\}$ be three sequences in $(0,1)$. Let $\beta \in(0,1)$ and $\varsigma_{0}>0$ be two constants.

Algorithm 1. Initialization: let $u_{0} \in H$ be an initial guess. We set $n=0$.

Step 1. Let the $n$-th iterate $u_{n}$ be given. We compute

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\hat{v}_{n}=\left(1-\omega_{n}\right) u_{n}+\omega_{n} T\left(u_{n}\right)  \tag{16}\\
v_{n}=\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) u_{n}+\alpha_{n} T\left(\widehat{v}_{n}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Step 2. Let the $n$-th step size $\varsigma_{n}$ be known. We compute

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{n}=\operatorname{proj}_{C}\left[v_{n}-\varsigma_{n} f\left(v_{n}\right)\right], \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n+1}=\left(1-\zeta_{n}\right) v_{n}+\zeta_{n} w_{n}+\zeta_{n} \zeta_{n}\left[f\left(v_{n}\right)-f\left(w_{n}\right)\right] . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 3. We update the $n+1$-th step size by the following form:

$$
\varsigma_{n+1}= \begin{cases}\min \left\{\varsigma_{n}, \frac{\beta\left\|w_{n}-v_{n}\right\|}{\left.\left\|f\left(w_{n}\right)-f\left(v_{n}\right)\right\|\right\}},\right. & \text { if } f\left(w_{n}\right) \neq f\left(v_{n}\right) \\ \varsigma_{n}, & \text { else. }\end{cases}
$$

We set $n:=n+1$ and return to step 1 .
Based on Algorithm 1, we have the following remark.

Remark 2. (i) By (17), if at some step $w_{n}=v_{n}=\operatorname{proj}_{C}\left[v_{n}-\varsigma_{n} f\left(v_{n}\right)\right]$, then $v_{n} \in \operatorname{Sol}(C, f)$. (ii) By (19), $\varsigma_{n+1} \leq \varsigma_{n}$ and $\varsigma_{n} \geq \min \left\{\varsigma_{0}, \beta / \kappa\right\}$ for all $n$, so $\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} \varsigma_{n}=$ $\varsigma^{\dagger}$ exists, and $\varsigma^{\dagger} \geq \min \left\{\varsigma_{0}, \beta / \kappa\right\}>0$.

Next, we prove the convergence of Algorithm 1.

Theorem 1. Suppose that $0<\underline{\alpha}<\alpha_{n}<\bar{\alpha}<\omega_{n}<$ $\bar{\omega}<1 / \sqrt{1+L^{2}}+1(\forall n \geq 0) \quad$ and $\quad 0<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_{n} \leq$ $\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_{n}<1$. Then, the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ generated by Algorithm 1 converges weakly to some point in $\Gamma$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{x} \in \Gamma$. Since $\tilde{x} \in \operatorname{Sol}^{d}(C, f) \subset C$, from (11) and (17), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle w_{n}-v_{n}+c_{n} f\left(v_{n}\right), w_{n}-\tilde{x}\right\rangle \leq 0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

which yields that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle w_{n}-v_{n}, w_{n}-\tilde{x}\right\rangle \leq \varsigma_{n}\left\langle f\left(v_{n}\right), \tilde{x}-w_{n}\right\rangle \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that $w_{n} \in C$ and $\tilde{x} \in \operatorname{Sol}^{d}(C, f)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle f\left(w_{n}\right), \tilde{x}-w_{n}\right\rangle \leq 0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (21) and (23), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle w_{n}-v_{n}, w_{n}-\tilde{x}\right\rangle+\varsigma_{n}\left\langle f\left(v_{n}\right)-f\left(w_{n}\right), w_{n}-\tilde{x}\right\rangle \leq 0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Hilbert space $H$, we have $\langle x-y, x-z\rangle=1 / 2\left(\|x-y\|^{2}+\|x-z\|^{2}-\|y-z\|^{2}\right)$ for all $x, y, z \in H$. Setting $x=w_{n}, y=v_{n}$, and $z=\tilde{x}$, we deduce $\left\langle w_{n}-v_{n}, w_{n}-\tilde{x}\right\rangle=1 / 2\left(\left\|w_{n}-v_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|w_{n}-\tilde{x}\right\|^{2}-\left\|v_{n}-\tilde{x}\right\|^{2}\right)$. This together with (1) implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|w_{n}-v_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|w_{n}-\widetilde{x}\right\|^{2}-\left\|v_{n}-\widetilde{x}\right\|^{2}\right)  \tag{24}\\
& \quad+\varsigma_{n}\left\langle f\left(v_{n}\right)-f\left(w_{n}\right), w_{n}-\widetilde{x}\right\rangle \leq 0
\end{align*}
$$

and it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|w_{n}-\tilde{x}\right\|^{2} \leq & \left\|v_{n}-\tilde{x}\right\|^{2}-2 \varsigma_{n}\left\langle f\left(v_{n}\right)-f\left(w_{n}\right), w_{n}-\tilde{x}\right\rangle  \tag{25}\\
& -\left\|w_{n}-v_{n}\right\|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Based on (18), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{n+1}-\tilde{x}\right\|^{2}= & \left\|\left(1-\zeta_{n}\right)\left(v_{n}-\tilde{x}\right)+\zeta_{n}\left(w_{n}-\tilde{x}\right)+\zeta_{n} \zeta_{n}\left[f\left(v_{n}\right)-f\left(w_{n}\right)\right]\right\|^{2} \\
= & \left\|\left(1-\zeta_{n}\right)\left(v_{n}-\tilde{x}\right)+\zeta_{n}\left(w_{n}-\tilde{x}\right)\right\|^{2}+\zeta_{n}^{2} \zeta_{n}^{2}\left\|f\left(v_{n}\right)-f\left(w_{n}\right)\right\|^{2}  \tag{26}\\
& +2 \zeta_{n}\left(1-\zeta_{n}\right) \varsigma_{n}\left\langle v_{n}-\tilde{x}, f\left(v_{n}\right)-f\left(w_{n}\right)\right\rangle \\
& +2 \zeta_{n}^{2} \zeta_{n}\left\langle w_{n}-\tilde{x}, f\left(v_{n}\right)-f\left(w_{n}\right)\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

Using (13) and from (26), we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{n+1}-\widetilde{x}\right\|^{2}= & \left(1-\zeta_{n}\right)\left\|v_{n}-\tilde{x}\right\|+\zeta_{n}\left\|w_{n}-\widetilde{x}\right\|^{2}-\zeta_{n}\left(1-\zeta_{n}\right)\left\|v_{n}-w_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\zeta_{n}^{2} \zeta_{n}^{2}\left\|f\left(v_{n}\right)-f\left(w_{n}\right)\right\|^{2}+2 \zeta_{n}^{2} \zeta_{n}\left\langle w_{n}-\widetilde{x}, f\left(v_{n}\right)-f\left(w_{n}\right)\right\rangle  \tag{27}\\
& +2 \zeta_{n}\left(1-\zeta_{n}\right) \zeta_{n}\left\langle v_{n}-\widetilde{x}, f\left(v_{n}\right)-f\left(w_{n}\right)\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

According to (25) and (27), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{n+1}-\widetilde{x}\right\|^{2} \leq & \left\|v_{n}-\widetilde{x}\right\|-\zeta_{n}\left(2-\zeta_{n}\right)\left\|v_{n}-w_{n}\right\|^{2}+\zeta_{n}^{2} \zeta_{n}^{2}\left\|f\left(v_{n}\right)-f\left(w_{n}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \zeta_{n}\left(1-\zeta_{n}\right) \varsigma_{n}\left\langle v_{n}-w_{n}, f\left(v_{n}\right)-f\left(w_{n}\right)\right\rangle \\
\leq & \left\|v_{n}-\widetilde{x}\right\|-\zeta_{n}\left(2-\zeta_{n}\right)\left\|v_{n}-w_{n}\right\|^{2}+\zeta_{n}^{2} \zeta_{n}^{2}\left\|f\left(v_{n}\right)-f\left(w_{n}\right)\right\|^{2}  \tag{28}\\
& +2 \zeta_{n}\left(1-\zeta_{n}\right) \varsigma_{n}\left\|v_{n}-w_{n}\right\|\left\|f\left(v_{n}\right)-f\left(w_{n}\right)\right\| .
\end{align*}
$$

Thanks to (19), $\left\|f\left(w_{n}\right)-f\left(v_{n}\right)\right\| \leq \beta\left\|w_{n}-v_{n}\right\| / /_{n+1}$. This together with (28) implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{n+1}-\tilde{x}\right\|^{2} \leq & \left\|v_{n}-\widetilde{x}\right\|-\zeta_{n}\left(2-\zeta_{n}\right)\left\|v_{n}-w_{n}\right\|^{2}+\zeta_{n}^{2} \beta^{2} \frac{\varsigma_{n}^{2}}{\varsigma_{n+1}^{2}}\left\|w_{n}-v_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \zeta_{n}\left(1-\zeta_{n}\right) \beta \frac{\zeta_{n}}{\zeta_{n+1}}\left\|v_{n}-w_{n}\right\|^{2}  \tag{29}\\
= & \left\|v_{n}-\tilde{x}\right\|^{2}-\zeta_{n}\left[2-\zeta_{n}-\zeta_{n} \beta^{2} \frac{\zeta_{n}^{2}}{\zeta_{n+1}^{2}}-2\left(1-\zeta_{n}\right) \beta \frac{\zeta_{n}}{\varsigma_{n+1}}\right]\left\|v_{n}-w_{n}\right\|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

It is noted that $0<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_{n} \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_{n}<1$ and $\quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varsigma_{n} / \varsigma_{n+1}=1$. Then, we have $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_{n}\left[2-\zeta_{n}-\zeta_{n} \beta^{2} \varsigma_{n}^{2} / \zeta_{n+1}^{2}-2\left(1-\zeta_{n}\right) \beta \zeta_{n} / \zeta_{n+1}\right]>0$. So, there exists a positive constant $\theta$ and a positive integer $\mathcal{N}$ such that when $n \geq \mathcal{N}, \backslash$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{n}\left[2-\zeta_{n}-\zeta_{n} \beta^{2} \frac{\varsigma_{n}^{2}}{\varsigma_{n+1}^{2}}-2\left(1-\zeta_{n}\right) \beta \frac{\varsigma_{n}}{\zeta_{n+1}}\right] \geq \theta . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

In combination with (29), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n+1}-\widetilde{x}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|v_{n}-\widetilde{x}\right\|-\theta\left\|v_{n}-w_{n}\right\|^{2}, n \geq \mathcal{N} . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (13) and (16), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|v_{n}-\tilde{x}\right\|^{2}= & \left\|\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}-\tilde{x}\right)+\alpha_{n}\left(T\left(\hat{v}_{n}\right)-\tilde{x}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
= & \left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|u_{n}-\widetilde{x}\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n}\left\|T\left(\hat{v}_{n}\right)-\widetilde{x}\right\|^{2}  \tag{32}\\
& -\alpha_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|u_{n}-T\left(\hat{v}_{n}\right)\right\|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Using Lemma 1, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|T\left(\hat{v}_{n}\right)-\tilde{x}\right\|^{2} & =\left\|T\left[\left(1-\omega_{n}\right) u_{n}+\omega_{n} T\left(u_{n}\right)\right]-\tilde{x}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|u_{n}-\tilde{x}\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\omega_{n}\right)\left\|u_{n}-T\left(\hat{v}_{n}\right)\right\|^{2} . \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (33) into (32), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{n}-\widetilde{x}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|u_{n}-\widetilde{x}\right\|^{2}+\left(\alpha_{n}-\omega_{n}\right) \alpha_{n}\left\|u_{n}-T\left(\widehat{v}_{n}\right)\right\|^{2}, \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

which results, together with (31), that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n+1}-\tilde{x}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|u_{n}-\tilde{x}\right\|^{2}-\left(\oint_{n}-\alpha_{n}\right) \alpha_{n}\left\|u_{n}-T\left(\widehat{v}_{n}\right)\right\|^{2}-\theta\left\|v_{n}-w_{n}\right\|^{2}, n \geq \mathcal{N} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\omega_{n}-\alpha_{n}\right) \alpha_{n}\left\|u_{n}-T\left(\widehat{v}_{n}\right)\right\|^{2}+\theta\left\|v_{n}-w_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|u_{n}-\tilde{x}\right\|^{2}-\left\|u_{n+1}-\tilde{x}\right\|^{2}, n \geq \mathcal{N} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

By assumption, $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\varpi_{n}-\alpha_{n}\right) \alpha_{n}>0$. From (35), we conclude that $\left\|u_{n+1}-\tilde{x}\right\| \leq\left\|u_{n}-\widetilde{x}\right\|, n \geq \mathcal{N}$. Therefore, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}-\tilde{x}\right\|$ exists, and the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded.

In combination with (36), we derive

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}-T\left(\widehat{v}_{n}\right)\right\| & =0,  \tag{37}\\
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|v_{n}-w_{n}\right\| & =0 . \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

By (16), $v_{n}-u_{n}=\alpha_{n}\left(T\left(\widehat{v}_{n}\right)-u_{n}\right)$, it follows from (37) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|v_{n}-u_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (38) and the Lipschitz continuity of $f$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|f\left(v_{n}\right)-f\left(w_{n}\right)\right\|=0 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to the boundedness of the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, we conclude that the sequence $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ is bounded by (34) and the sequence $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ is bounded because of $\left\|w_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|v_{n}\right\|+\varsigma_{n}\left\|f\left(v_{n}\right)\right\|$ by (17).

Since $T$ is $L$-Lipschitz continuous, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{n}-T\left(u_{n}\right)\right\| & \leq\left\|u_{n}-T\left(\widehat{v}_{n}\right)\right\|+\left\|T\left(\widehat{v}_{n}\right)-T\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|  \tag{41}\\
& \leq\left\|u_{n}-T\left(\widehat{v}_{n}\right)\right\|+L \varrho_{n}\left\|u_{n}-T\left(u_{n}\right)\right\| .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}-T\left(u_{n}\right)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{1-L \omega_{n}}\left\|u_{n}-T\left(\widehat{v}_{n}\right)\right\| \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

This together with (37) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}-T\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|=0 \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of (18), (38), and (40), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n+1}-v_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we show that $\omega_{w}\left(u_{n}\right) \subset \Gamma$. Selecting any $x^{*} \in \omega_{w}\left(u_{n}\right)$ and letting $\left\{u_{n_{i}}\right\}$ to be a subsequence of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ such that $u_{n_{i}} \rightharpoonup x^{*}$ as $i \longrightarrow \infty$, from (38) and (39), we have $v_{n_{i}} \rightharpoonup x^{*}$ and $w_{n_{i}} \rightharpoonup x^{*}$. Taking into account (43) and Lemma 2, we obtain that $x^{*} \in \operatorname{Fix}(T)$. Next, we show that $x^{*} \in \operatorname{Sol}(C, f)$ Based on (11) and $w_{n_{i}}=\operatorname{proj}_{C}\left[v_{n_{i}}-\varsigma_{n_{i}} f\left(v_{n_{i}}\right)\right]$, we receive

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle w_{n_{i}}-v_{n_{i}}+\varsigma_{n_{i}} f\left(v_{n_{i}}\right), w_{n_{i}}-x^{\dagger}\right\rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall x^{\dagger} \in C \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\varsigma_{n_{i}}}\left\langle v_{n_{i}}-w_{n_{i}}, u-w_{n_{i}}\right\rangle+\left\langle f\left(v_{n_{i}}\right), w_{n_{i}}-v_{n_{i}}\right\rangle  \tag{46}\\
& \quad \leq\left\langle f\left(v_{n_{i}}\right), x^{\dagger}-v_{n_{i}}\right\rangle, \quad \forall x^{\dagger} \in C .
\end{align*}
$$

Owing to (39), $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left\|v_{n_{i}}-w_{n_{i}}\right\|=0$. It follows from (46) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle f\left(v_{n_{i}}\right), x^{\dagger}-v_{n_{i}}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x^{\dagger} \in C \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are two possible cases: $\liminf _{i \longrightarrow+\infty}\left\|f\left(v_{n_{i}}\right)\right\|=0$ and $\liminf _{i \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|f\left(v_{n_{i}}\right)\right\|>0$.

If liminf ${ }_{i \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|f\left(v_{n_{i}}\right)\right\|=0$, by $v_{n_{i}}-x^{*}$ and $f$ satisfying (16), we obtain that $f\left(x^{*}\right)=0$. If $\liminf _{i \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|f\left(v_{n_{i}}\right)\right\|>0$, then there exists an integer $\mathscr{J}>0$ satisfying $f\left(v_{n_{i}}\right) \neq 0$ for all $i \geq \mathscr{F}$. By (47), we achieve

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{i \longrightarrow+\infty}\left\langle\frac{f\left(v_{n_{i}}\right)}{\left\|f\left(v_{n_{i}}\right)\right\|} x^{\dagger}-v_{n_{i}}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x^{\dagger} \in C \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{\xi_{j}\right\}$ be a positive strictly decreasing sequence such that $\xi_{j} \longrightarrow 0$ as $j \longrightarrow+\infty$. By virtue of (48), there exists a strictly increasing subsequence $\left\{n_{i_{j}}\right\}$ satisfying $n_{i_{j}} \geq \mathscr{F}$ and $\forall j \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\frac{f\left(v_{n_{i_{j}}}\right)}{\left\|f\left(v_{n_{i_{j}}}\right)\right\|}, x^{\dagger}-v_{n_{i_{j}}}\right\rangle+\xi_{j}>0, \quad \forall x^{\dagger} \in C \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

which results that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle f\left(v_{n_{i_{j}}}\right), x^{\dagger}-v_{n_{i_{j}}}\right\rangle+\xi_{j}\left\|f\left(v_{n_{i_{j}}}\right)\right\|>0, \quad \forall x^{\dagger} \in C, \forall j \geq 0 . \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set $\widetilde{v}_{j}=f\left(v_{n_{i_{j}}}\right) /\left\|f\left(v_{n_{i_{i}}}\right)\right\|^{2}$ for all $j \geq 0$. Then, $\left\langle f\left(v_{n_{i_{j}}}\right), \tilde{v}_{j}\right\rangle=1$ for each $j \geq 0$. Owing to (50), we have $\left\langle f\left(v_{n_{i_{j}}}\right), x^{\dagger}+\xi_{j}\left\|f\left(v_{n_{i_{j}}}\right)\right\| \widetilde{v}_{j}-v_{n_{i_{j}}}\right\rangle>0, \quad \forall x^{\dagger} \in C, \forall j \geq 0$.

Since $f$ is quasimonotone on $H$, by (51), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\langle f\left(x^{\dagger}+\xi_{j}\left\|f\left(v_{n_{i_{j}}}\right)\right\| \widetilde{v}_{j}\right), x^{\dagger}+\xi_{j}\left\|f\left(v_{n_{i_{j}}}\right)\right\| \widetilde{v}_{j}-v_{n_{i_{j}}}\right\rangle \geq 0 \\
& \forall x^{\dagger} \in C, \forall j \geq 0 . \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\lim _{j \longrightarrow+\infty} \xi_{j}\left\|f\left(v_{n_{i_{j}}}\right)\right\|\left\|\widetilde{v}_{j}\right\|=\lim _{j \longrightarrow+\infty} \xi_{j}=0$ and $f$ is Lipschitz continuous, $\lim _{j \longrightarrow \infty} f\left(x+\xi_{j}\left\|f\left(v_{n_{i_{j}}}\right)\right\| \widetilde{v}_{j}\right)=f(x)$. Letting $j \longrightarrow+\infty$ in (52), we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle f\left(x^{\dagger}\right), x^{\dagger}-x^{*}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x^{\dagger} \in C \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means $x^{*} \in \operatorname{Sol}^{d}(C, f)$.
Next, we show that $x^{*}$ is the unique weak cluster point of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ in $\operatorname{Sol}^{d}(C, f)$. Let $\bar{x} \in \operatorname{Sol}^{d}(C, f)$ be another weak cluster point of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$. Then, there exists a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ satisfying $u_{n_{j}} \rightarrow \bar{x}$ as $j \longrightarrow+\infty$. We note that for all $k \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left\langle u_{n}, x^{*}-\bar{x}\right\rangle=\left\|u_{n}-\bar{x}\right\|^{2}-\left\|u_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}+\left\|x^{*}\right\|^{2}-\|\bar{x}\|^{2} . \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|u_{n}-x^{*}\right\|$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|u_{n}-\bar{x}\right\|$ exist. From (54), $\lim _{n \longrightarrow+\infty}\left\langle u_{n}, x^{*}-\bar{x}\right\rangle$ exists. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{i \longrightarrow+\infty}\left\langle u_{n_{i}}, x^{*}-\bar{x}\right\rangle=\lim _{j \longrightarrow+\infty}\left\langle u_{n_{j}}, x^{*}-\bar{x}\right\rangle . \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u_{n_{i}} \rightharpoonup x^{*}$ and $u_{n_{j}} \rightharpoonup \bar{x}$, from (55), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x^{*}, x^{*}-\bar{x}\right\rangle=\left\langle\bar{x}, x^{*}-\bar{x}\right\rangle, \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that $\left\|x^{*}-\bar{x}\right\|^{2}=0$, and hence $x^{*}=\bar{x}$. Therefore, $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ has the unique weak cluster point in $\operatorname{Sol}^{d}(C, f)$. By the condition (C3), $\{x \in C, f(x)=0\} \backslash \operatorname{Sol}^{d}(C, f)$ is a finite set. Therefore, $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ has finite weak cluster points in $\operatorname{Sol}(C, f)$ denoted by $q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{m}$. We set $N_{0}=\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$ and $\nu=\min \left\{\left\|q_{j}-q_{k}\right\| / 3, j, k \in N_{0}, j \neq k\right\}$. Let $q_{j}, j \in N_{0}$ be any weak cluster point in $\operatorname{Sol}(C, f)$ and $\left\{u_{n_{i}}^{j}\right\}$ be a subsequence of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ satisfying $u_{n_{i}}^{j} \rightharpoonup q_{j}$ as $i \longrightarrow+\infty$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{i \longrightarrow+\infty}\left\langle u_{n_{i}}^{j}, \frac{q_{j}-q_{k}}{\left\|q_{j}-q_{k}\right\|}\right\rangle=\left\langle q_{j}, \frac{q_{j}-q_{k}}{\left\|q_{j}-q_{k}\right\|}\right\rangle, \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\forall k \in N_{0} \text { and } k \neq j .
$$

By the definition of $\nu$, we have $\forall k \neq j$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle q_{j}, \frac{q_{j}-q_{k}}{\left\|q_{j}-q_{k}\right\|}\right\rangle & =\frac{\left\|q_{j}-q_{k}\right\|}{2}+\frac{\left\|q_{j}\right\|^{2}-\left\|q_{k}\right\|^{2}}{2\left\|q_{j}-q_{k}\right\|}  \tag{58}\\
& >v+\frac{\left\|q_{j}\right\|^{2}-\left\|q_{k}\right\|^{2}}{2\left\|q_{j}-q_{k}\right\|}
\end{align*}
$$

In the light of (57) and (58), there exists an integer int ${ }_{i}^{j}$ such that when $i \geq$ int $_{i}^{j}$,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
u_{n_{i}}^{j} \in\left\{x:\left\langle x, \frac{q_{j}-q_{k}}{\left\|q_{j}-q_{k}\right\|}\right\rangle>v+\frac{\left\|q_{j}\right\|^{2}-\left\|q_{k}\right\|^{2}}{2\left\|q_{j}-q_{k}\right\|}\right\}  \tag{59}\\
k \in N_{0}, k \neq j .
\end{array}
$$

We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
S b_{j}=\overbrace{k=1, k \neq j}^{m}\left\{x:\left\langle x, \frac{q_{j}-q_{k}}{\left\|q_{j}-q_{k}\right\|}\right\rangle>v+\frac{\left\|q_{j}\right\|^{2}-\left\|q_{k}\right\|^{2}}{2\left\|q_{j}-q_{k}\right\|}\right\} . \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account (59) and (60), we have $u_{n_{i}}^{j} \in S b_{j}$ when $i \geq \max \left\{\right.$ int $\left._{i}^{j}, j \in N_{0}\right\}$.

Now, we show that $u_{n} \in \cup_{j=1}^{m} S b_{j}$ for a large enough $n$. If not, there exists a subsequence $\left\{u_{n_{l}}\right\}$ of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ such that $u_{n_{l}} \notin \cup_{j=1}^{m} S b_{j}$. By the boundedness of $\left\{u_{n_{l}}\right\}$, there exists a subsequence of $\left\{u_{n_{l}}\right\}$ convergent weakly to $x^{*}$. Without the loss of generality, we still denote the subsequence as $\left\{u_{n_{l}}\right\}$. According to assumptions, $u_{n_{l}} \notin \cup_{j=1}^{m} S b_{j}$, so $u_{n_{l}} \notin S b_{j}$ for any $j \in N_{0}$. Therefore, there exists a subsequence $\left\{u_{n_{l_{s}}}\right\}$ of $\left\{u_{n_{l}}\right\}$ such that when $\forall s \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
u_{n_{l s}} \notin\left\{x:\left\langle x, \frac{q_{j}-q_{k}}{\left\|q_{j}-q_{k}\right\|}\right\rangle>v+\frac{\left\|q_{j}\right\|^{2}-\left\|q_{k}\right\|^{2}}{2\left\|q_{j}-q_{k}\right\|}\right\},  \tag{61}\\
k \in N_{0}, k \neq j .
\end{array}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
x^{*} \notin\left\{x:\left\langle x, \frac{q_{j}-q_{k}}{\left\|q_{j}-q_{k}\right\|}\right\rangle>v+\frac{\left\|q_{j}\right\|^{2}-\left\|q_{k}\right\|^{2}}{2\left\|q_{j}-q_{k}\right\|}\right\},  \tag{62}\\
k \in N_{0}, k \neq j
\end{array}
$$

which implies that $x^{*} \neq q_{j}$ and $j \in N_{0}$. This is impossible. So, for a large enough positive integer $N_{1}, u_{n} \in \cup_{j=1}^{m} S b_{j}$ when $n \geq N_{1}$.

Next, we show that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ has the unique weak cluster point in $\operatorname{Sol}(C, f)$. First, there exists a positive integer $N_{2} \geq N_{1}$ such that $\left\|u_{n+1}-u_{n}\right\|<\nu$ for all $n \geq N_{2}$. We assume that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ has at least two weak cluster points in $\operatorname{Sol}(C, f)$. Then, there exists $\widehat{n} \geq N_{2}$ such that $u_{\widehat{n}} \in S b_{j}$ and $u_{\hat{n}+1} \in S b_{k}$, where $j, k \in N_{0}$ and $m \geq 2$, that is,
$u_{\hat{n}} \in S b_{j}=\bigcap_{k=1, k \neq j}^{m}\left\{x:\left\langle x, \frac{q_{j}-q_{k}}{\left\|q_{j}-q_{k}\right\|}\right\rangle>v+\frac{\left\|q_{j}\right\|^{2}-\left\|q_{k}\right\|^{2}}{2\left\|q_{j}-q_{k}\right\|}\right\}$,
and
$u_{\hat{n}+1} \in S b_{k}=\stackrel{m}{j=1, j \neq k}_{m}^{\cap}\left\{x:\left\langle x, \frac{q_{k}-q_{j}}{\left\|q_{k}-q_{j}\right\|}\right\rangle>v+\frac{\left\|q_{k}\right\|^{2}-\left\|q_{j}\right\|^{2}}{2\left\|q_{k}-q_{j}\right\|}\right\}$.

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle u_{\widehat{n}}, \frac{q_{j}-q_{k}}{\left\|q_{j}-q_{k}\right\|}\right\rangle>v+\frac{\left\|q_{j}\right\|^{2}-\left\|q_{k}\right\|^{2}}{2\left\|q_{j}-q_{k}\right\|} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle u_{\hat{n}+1}, \frac{q_{k}-q_{j}}{\left\|q_{k}-q_{j}\right\|}\right\rangle>v+\frac{\left\|q_{k}\right\|^{2}-\left\|q_{j}\right\|^{2}}{2\left\|q_{k}-q_{j}\right\|} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (65) and (66), we achieve

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle u_{\hat{n}}-u_{\widehat{n}+1}, \frac{q_{j}-q_{k}}{\left\|q_{j}-q_{k}\right\|}\right\rangle>2 v \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

At the same time, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{\hat{n}+1}-u_{\hat{n}}\right\|<v . \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Based on (67) and (68), we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 v<\left\langle u_{\widehat{n}}-u_{\widehat{n}+1}, \frac{q_{j}-q_{k}}{\left\|q_{j}-q_{k}\right\|} g\right\rangle \leq\left\|u_{\hat{n}}-u_{\widehat{n}+1}\right\|<v \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

This leads to a contradiction. Then, $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ has the unique weak cluster point in $\operatorname{Sol}(C, f)$. So, the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ has the unique weak cluster point $x^{*} \in \Gamma$. Therefore, the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to $x^{*} \in \Gamma$. This completes the proof.

Based on Algorithm 1 and Theorem 1, we can obtain the following algorithms and the corresponding corollaries.

Algorithm 2. Initialization: let $u_{0} \in H$ be an initial guess. We set $n=0$.

Step 1. Let the $n$-th iterate $u_{n}$ and the $n$-th step size $\varsigma_{n}$ be given. We compute

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{n}=\operatorname{proj}_{C}\left[u_{n}-c_{n} f\left(u_{n}\right)\right] \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n+1}=\left(1-\zeta_{n}\right) u_{n}+\zeta_{n} w_{n}+\zeta_{n} \varsigma_{n}\left[f\left(u_{n}\right)-f\left(w_{n}\right)\right] . \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 2. We update the $n+1$-th step size by the following form:

$$
\varsigma_{n+1}= \begin{cases}\min \left\{\varsigma_{n}, \frac{\beta\left\|w_{n}-u_{n}\right\|}{\left.\left\|f\left(w_{n}\right)-f\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|\right\}}\right\} & \text { if } f\left(w_{n}\right) \neq f\left(u_{n}\right)  \tag{72}\\ \varsigma_{n}, & \text { else. }\end{cases}
$$

We set $n:=n+1$ and return to step 1 .

Corollary 1. We assume that the operator $f: H \longrightarrow H$ is quasimonotone, $\kappa$-Lipschitz continuous and satisfies the property ( $P$ ). Suppose that $\operatorname{Sol}^{d}(C, f) \neq \varnothing$, $\{x \in C: f(x)=0\} \backslash \operatorname{Sol}^{d}(C, f)$ is a finite set and $0<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_{n} \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_{n}<1$. Then, the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ generated by Algorithm 2 converges weakly to some point in $\operatorname{Sol}(C, f)$.

Algorithm 3. Initialization: let $u_{0} \in C$ and $\varsigma_{0}>0$. We set $n=0$.

Step 1. For known $u_{n}$, we compute
$u_{n+1}=\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) u_{n}+\alpha_{n} T\left[\left(1-\omega_{n}\right) u_{n}+\omega_{n} T\left(u_{n}\right)\right]$.
Step 2. We set $n:=n+1$ and return to step 1 .

Corollary 2. We assume that $T: C \longrightarrow C$ is a pseudocontractive and L-Lipschitz continuous operator. We suppose that $\operatorname{Fix}(T) \neq \varnothing$ and $0<\underline{\alpha}<\alpha_{n}<\bar{\alpha}<\omega_{n}<\bar{\omega}<1 / \sqrt{1+L^{2}}+$ $1(\forall n \geq 0)$. Then, the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ generated by Algorithm 3 converges weakly to some point in Fix $(T)$.

## Data Availability

No data were used to support this study.

## Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

## References

[1] F. Facchinei and J. S. Pang, "Finite-Dimensional variational inequalities and complementarity problems," Springer Series in Operations Research, Springer, no. I, New York, NY, USA, 2003.
[2] A. A. Goldstein, "Convex programming in Hilbert space," Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 70, no. 5, pp. 709-710, 1964.
[3] Y. Mudasir, S. Deepak, R. Stojan, and I. Mohammad, "Convergence theorems for generalized contractions and applications," Filomat, vol. 34, pp. 945-964, 2020.
[4] G. Stampacchi, "Formes bilineaires coercivites surles ensembles convexes," Comptes rendus de l'Académie des Sciences, vol. 258, pp. 4413-4416, 1964.
[5] W. Takahashi, H.-K. Xu, and J.-C. Yao, "Iterative methods for generalized split feasibility problems in Hilbert spaces," SetValued and Variational Analysis, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 205-221, 2015.
[6] Y. Yao, M. Postolache, Y.-C. Liou, and Z. Yao, "Construction algorithms for a class of monotone variational inequalities," Optimization Letters, vol. 10, no. 7, pp. 1519-1528, 2016.
[7] C. Zhang, Z. Zhu, Y. Yao, and Q. Liu, "Homotopy method for solving mathematical programs with bounded box-constrained variational inequalities," Optimization, vol. 68, pp. 2293-2312, 2019.
[8] D. L. Zhu and P. Marcotte, "Co-coercivity and its role in the convergence of iterative schemes for solving variational inequalities," SIAM Journal on Optimization, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 714-726, 1996.
[9] H. Iiduka, "Iterative algorithm for triple-hierarchical constrained nonconvex optimization problem and its application to network bandwidth allocation," SIAM Journal on Optimization, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 862-878, 2012.
[10] H. H. Bauschke and P. L. Combettes, "Convex analysis and monotone operator theory in Hilbert spaces," CMS Books in Mathematics, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2011.
[11] Y. Yao, M. Postolache, and J. C. Yao, "An iterative algorithm for solving the generalized variational inequalities and fixed points problems," Mathematics, vol. 7, 2019 Article Number 61.
[12] J. Zhao, M. Bin, and Z. Liu, "A class of nonlinear differential optimization problems in finite dimensional spaces," Applied Analysis and Optimization, vol. 5, pp. 145-156, 2021.
[13] Y. Yao, H. Li, and M. Postolache, "Iterative algorithms for split equilibrium problems of monotone operators and fixed point problems of pseudo-contractions," Optimization, pp. 1-19. in Press, 2020.
[14] L. J. Zhu, Y. Yao, and M. Postolache, "Projection methods with linesearch technique for pseudomonotone equilibrium problems and fixed point problems," UPB Scientific Bulletin, Series A: Applied Mathematics and Physics, vol. 83, pp. 3-14, 2021.
[15] Q. L. Dong, Y. Peng, and Y. Yao, "Alternated inertial projection methods for the split equality problem," Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis, vol. 22, pp. 53-67, 2021.
[16] W. Takahashi, C. F. Wen, and J. C. Yao, "Strong convergence theorems by hybrid methods for noncommutative normally 2-generalized hybrid mappings in Hilbert spaces," Applied Analysis and Optimization, vol. 3, pp. 43-56, 2019.
[17] Y. Yao, L. Leng, M. Postolache, and X. Zheng, "Mann-type iteration method for solving the split common fixed point problem," Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis, vol. 18, pp. 875-882, 2017.
[18] Y. Yao, Y.-C. Liou, and M. Postolache, "Self-adaptive algorithms for the split problem of the demicontractive operators," Optimization, vol. 67, no. 9, pp. 1309-1319, 2018.
[19] Y. Yao, J. C. Yao, Y.-C. Liou, and M. Postolache, "Iterative algorithms for split common fixed points of demicontractive operators without priori knowledge of operator norms," Carpathian Journal of Mathematics, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 459466, 2018.
[20] D. Sun, "A projection and contraction method for the nonlinear complementarity problems and its extensions," Mathematica Numerica Sinica, vol. 16, pp. 183-194, 1994.
[21] F. Alvarez, "Weak convergence of a relaxed and inertial hybrid projection-proximal point Algorithm for maximal monotone operators in Hilbert space," SIAM Journal on Optimization, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 773-782, 2004.
[22] T. V. Anh, "Linesearch methods for bilevel split pseudomonotone variational inequality problems," Numerical Algorithms, vol. 81, no. 3, pp. 1067-1087, 2019.
[23] S. Banert and R. I. Bot, "A forward-backward-forward differential equation and its asymptotic properties," Journal of Convex Analysis, vol. 25, pp. 371-388, 2018.
[24] T. Q. Bao and P. Q. Khanh, "A projection-type algorithm for pseudomonotone nonlipschitzian multivalued variational inequalities," Nonconvex Optimization and Its Applications, vol. 77, pp. 113-129, 2005.
[25] L. C. Ceng, A. Petrusel, J. C. Yao, and Y. Yao, "Systems of variational inequalities with hierarchical variational inequality constraints for Lipschitzian pseudocontractions," Fixed Point Theory, vol. 20, pp. 113-133, 2019.
[26] P.-E. Maingé, "Strong convergence of projected reflected gradient methods for variational inequalities," Fixed Point Theory, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 659-680, 2018.
[27] Y. Malitsky, "Proximal extrapolated gradient methods for variational inequalities," Optimization Methods and Software, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 140-164, 2018.
[28] W. Takahashi, C. F. Wen, and J. C. Yao, "The shrinking projection method for a finite family of demimetric mappings with variational inequality problems in a Hilbert space," Fixed Point Theory, vol. 19, pp. 407-419, 2018.
[29] W. Takahashi, C. F. Wen, and J. C. Yao, "Strong convergence theorem for split common fixed point problem and
hierarchical variational inequality problem in Hilbert spaces," Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis, vol. 21, pp. 251273, 2020.
[30] Y. Yao, Y.-C. Liou, and J.-C. Yao, "Iterative algorithms for the split variational inequality and fixed point problems under nonlinear transformations," The Journal of Nonlinear Science and Applications, vol. 10, no. 02, pp. 843-854, 2017.
[31] Y. Yao, M. Postolache, and J. C. Yao, "Iterative algorithms for the generalized variational inequalities," UPB Scientific Bulletin, Series A: Applied Mathematics and Physics, vol. 81, pp. 3-16, 2019.
[32] M. Fukushima, "A relaxed projection method for variational inequalities," Mathematical Programming, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 58-70, 1986.
[33] R. Glowinski, Numerical Methods for Nonlinear Variational Problems, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1984.
[34] M. V. Solodov and B. F. Svaiter, "A new projection method for variational inequality problems," SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 765-776, 1999.
[35] M. Ye and Y. He, "A double projection method for solving variational inequalities without monotonicity," Computational Optimization and Applications, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 141150, 2015.
[36] P. D. Khanh and P. T. Vuong, "Modified projection method for strongly pseudomonotone variational inequalities," Journal of Global Optimization, vol. 58, no. 2, pp. 341-350, 2014.
[37] C. Chen, S. Ma, and J. Yang, "A general inertial proximal point algorithm for mixed variational inequality problem," SIAM Journal on Optimization, vol. 25, pp. 2120-2142, 2014.
[38] X. Zhao, J. C. Yao, and Y. Yao, "A proximal algorithm for solving split monotone variational inclusions," UPB Scientific Bulletin, Series A: Applied Mathematics and Physics, vol. 82, no. 3, pp. 43-52, 2020.
[39] G. M. Korpelevich, "An extragradient method for finding saddle points and for other problems," Matekon: translations of Russian and East European mathematical economics, vol. 12, pp. 747-756, 1976.
[40] P. T. Vuong, "On the weak convergence of the extragradient method for solving pseudo-monotone variational inequalities," Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, vol. 176, no. 2, pp. 399-409, 2018.
[41] Y. Yao, M. Postolache, and J. C. Yao, "Strong convergence of an extragradient algorithm for variational inequality and fixed point problems," UPB Scientific Bulletin, Series A: Applied Mathematics and Physics, vol. 82, no. 1, pp. 3-12, 2020.
[42] L.-C. Ceng, A. Petrusel, J.-C. Petrușel, and Y. Yao, "Hybrid viscosity extragradient method for systems of variational inequalities, fixed points of nonexpansive mappings, zero points of accretive operators in Banach spaces," Fixed Point Theory, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 487-502, 2018.
[43] Y. Censor, A. Gibali, and S. Reich, "Extensions of Korpelevich's extragradient method for the variational inequality problem in Euclidean space," Optimization, vol. 61, no. 9, pp. 1119-1132, 2012.
[44] X. Zhao and Y. Yao, "Modified extragradient algorithms for solving monotone variational inequalities and fixed point problems," Optimization, vol. 69, no. 9, pp. 1987-2002, 2020.
[45] Y. Censor, A. Gibali, and S. Reich, "The subgradient extragradient method for solving variational inequalities in Hilbert space," Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, vol. 148, no. 2, pp. 318-335, 2011.
[46] X. Zhao, M. A. Köbis, Y. Yao, and J.-C. Yao, "A projected subgradient method for nondifferentiable quasiconvex
multiobjective optimization problems," Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, vol. 190, no. 1, pp. 82-107, 2021.
[47] P. Tseng, "A modified forward-backward splitting method for maximal monotone mappings," SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 431-446, 2000.
[48] R. L. Bot, E. R. Csetnek, and P. T. Vuong, "The forward-backward-forward method from continuous and discrete perspective for pseudo-monotone variational inequalities in Hilbert spaces," European Journal of Operational Research, vol. 287, pp. 49-60, 2020.

