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A topological index (TI) is a numeric digit that signalizes the whole chemical structure of a molecular network. TIs are helpful in predicting the bioactivity of molecular substances in investigations of quantitative structure-activity relationship (QSAR) and quantitative structure-property relationship (QSPR). TIs correlate various chemical and physical attributes of chemical substances such as melting and freezing point, strain energy, stability, temperature, volume, density, and pressure. There are several distance-based descriptors available in the literature, but connection-based TIs are considered more effective than degree-based TIs in measuring the chemical characteristics of molecular compounds. The present study focuses on computing the connection-based TIs for the most significant type of chemical structures, namely, rhombus silicate and rhombus oxide networks. At the end, we compare these structures on the basis of their computed result.

1. Introduction

Graph theory (GT) is an important branch of mathematics that studies the objects and relationships between them. The concept of GT was first introduced by a Swiss mathematician Leonhard Euler in 1735 when he solved the famous Königsberg Bridge problem. GT has a wide range of applications in different areas such as software engineering, computer science, data structures, graph coloring, website design, operating systems, networking, and many others. A graph consists of points called vertices and lines connecting those points called edges. Chemical GT is a branch of mathematical chemistry that models molecular chemical structures using graphs. In chemical GT, molecular compounds are represented by molecular graphs. A molecular graph, in terms of GT, is a depiction of a molecular structural formula where atoms are represented by vertices and bonds by edges. Computation of topological indices (TIs) is a subtopic of chemical GT that connects various physico-chemical features of the underlying chemical substance. In simple words, TIs convert the molecular structural information into a numeric value. TIs are widely used in toxicology for relational analysis, as well as in environmental and theoretical chemistry. They have a vast range of applications in various other fields of science, such as predicting the chemical properties of molecular structures and bioactivity of molecular compounds, which is critical in medication design and development. By analyzing the TIs of diverse substances, researchers can find molecules with desired biological characteristics, resulting in the creation of novel medications. They can also be used to predict various material characteristics and can assist in forecasting chemical parameters such as melting point, freezing point, strain energy, stability, temperature, volume, density, and pressure. This knowledge is useful for creating materials with certain properties for a variety of uses, such as the manufacturing industry. They are extensively utilized in the study of quantitative structure-activity and property relationships [1].

TIs are categorized into three main classes, namely, distance-based TI, degree-based TI, and spectrum-based TI. Wiener [2] initiated the novel conception of distance-based TI. The innovative conception of the first degree-based Zagreb index (ZI) was initiated by Gutman and Trinajstic
This development gave a way to researchers to investigate more such TIs. After this discovery, a number of TIs were explored by distinct scientists and they utilized these new TIs in exploring the physical and chemical properties of molecular compounds [4, 5]. In 1998, the atom-bond connectivity (ABC) index which is a significant type of TI was given by Estrada et al. [6]. Furthermore, its properties of molecular compounds [4, 5]. In 1998, these new TIs in exploring the physical and chemical properties of molecular compounds. Tecomputedresultsarethenemployedtoconduct a comparative analysis of these chemical structures. This research article is organized as follows: Section 2 involves some basic definitions and formulas which are used for the computation of main results. Section 3 covers the main results for the rhombus silicate network. In Section 4, we compute the ZIs for the rhombus oxide network. In Section 5, a comparative analysis among computed TIs of RHSL and RHOX networks and between these networks is presented. Section 6 covers the conclusions.

2. Basic Definitions

Suppose that \( G = (\mathcal{V}(G), \mathcal{E}(G)) \) is a network, where \( \mathcal{V}(G) \) is a set of nodes (vertices) and \( \mathcal{E}(G) \) are a set of edges. The count of those nodes which are at distance one from vertex \( t \) is said to be the degree of that node \( t \), and the count of those nodes which are at distance two is referred to as the degree of the vertex \( t \). We consider \( e = (t, k) \), where \( t, k \in \mathcal{V}(G) \) is an edge, then the degree of the edge \( e \) is \( \deg(e) = \deg(t) + \deg(k) - 2 \). Degree-based indices along with their formulas are given in Table 1. CN-based indices along with their formulas defined by Sattar and Javaid [29] are in Table 2.

3. Construction of RHSL and RHOX Networks

In this section, we will look at how to build RHSL and RHOX networks. By far, silicate is the most intriguing class of minerals. These networks are produced when metal carbonates and metal oxides are fused with sand. As a basic unit, \( \text{SiO}_4 \) tetrahedron is found in all silicates. In chemistry, the vertices at the corners of \( \text{SiO}_4 \) tetrahedron depict oxygen ions, while the vertices at the center depict silicon ions. In GT, the corner vertices are referred to as oxygen nodes, while the center vertices are referred to as silicon nodes. Different silicate structures can be obtained by arranging the tetrahedron silicate in different ways. Similarly, distinct silicate structures build different silicate networks. Figure 1 depicts the RHSL network of dimension 3, i.e., RHSL(3). By deleting silicon ions from the RHSL network, we obtained the RHOX network as depicted in Figure 2. In the present study, we denote the RHSL and RHOX networks of dimension \( m \) by RHSL(\( m \)) and RHOX(\( m \)). In general, the total count of vertices and edges in RHSL(\( m \)) are 5\( m^2 + 2m \) and 12\( m^2 \), respectively. Furthermore, the total count of vertices and edges in the RHOX(\( m \)) network are 3\( m^2 + 2m \) and 6\( m^2 \), respectively.

4. CN-Based ZIs of the RHSL Network

In this section, we calculate the CN-based ZIs of RHSL. Let \( Y = \text{RHSL}(m) \) be a molecular graph RHSL network, where \( m \geq 2 \) is the dimension of the network. In Figures 3–5, we represent the molecular graph of \( Y = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}) \) of RHSL(\( m \)) for \( m = 2, 3, 4 \) by labeling the vertices with their CNs.
Table 1: Topological indices on the basis of degrees of nodes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulas</th>
<th>Name of indices</th>
<th>Acronyms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\sum_{t,k \in Q(G)} \left[ \frac{\deg(t)\deg(k) - 2}{\deg(t)\deg(k)} \right]$</td>
<td>Atom-bond connectivity index [6]</td>
<td>ABCI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sum_{t,k \in Q(G)} \left[ \frac{\deg(t)\deg(k)}{\deg(t)\deg(k)} \right]^2$</td>
<td>Geometric-arithmetic index [8]</td>
<td>GAI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sum_{t,k \in Q(G)} \left[ \frac{\deg(t)\deg(k)}{\deg(t)\deg(k)} \right]^3$</td>
<td>Augmented Zagreb index [12]</td>
<td>AZI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sum_{t,k \in Q(G)} \left[ \frac{\min(\deg(t),\deg(k))}{\max(\deg(t),\deg(k))} \right] \left[ \frac{\max(\deg(t),\deg(k))}{\min(\deg(t),\deg(k))} \right]$</td>
<td>Symmetry division degree index [8]</td>
<td>SDDI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Topological indices on the basis of CN of nodes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulas</th>
<th>Name of indices</th>
<th>Acronyms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\sum_{t,k \in Q(G)} \left[ \frac{\alpha(t)\alpha(k) - 2}{\alpha(t)\alpha(k)} \right]$</td>
<td>Atom-bond connectivity connection index [29]</td>
<td>ABCCI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sum_{t,k \in Q(G)} \left[ \frac{\alpha(t)\alpha(k)}{\alpha(t)\alpha(k)} \right]^2$</td>
<td>Geometric-arithmetic connection index [29]</td>
<td>GACI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sum_{t,k \in Q(G)} \left[ \frac{\alpha(t)\alpha(k)}{\alpha(t)\alpha(k)} \right]^3$</td>
<td>Augmented Zagreb connection index [29]</td>
<td>AZCI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sum_{t,k \in Q(G)} \left[ \frac{\min(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))}{\max(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))} \right] \left[ \frac{\max(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))}{\min(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))} \right]$</td>
<td>Symmetry division connection index [29]</td>
<td>SDCI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sum_{t,k \in Q(G)} \left[ \frac{\alpha(t)\alpha(k)}{\alpha(t)\alpha(k)} \right]^2$</td>
<td>Harmonic connection index [29]</td>
<td>HCI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sum_{t,k \in Q(G)} \left[ \frac{\alpha(t)\alpha(k)}{\alpha(t)\alpha(k)} \right]^2$</td>
<td>Inverse sum connection index [29]</td>
<td>ISCI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sum_{t,k \in Q(G)} [\alpha(t) + \alpha(k)]^2$</td>
<td>Hyper-Zagreb connection index [29]</td>
<td>HZCI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Rhombus silicate RHSL (3).

Figure 2: Rhombus oxide RHOX (3).
Figure 3: RHSL(3) along with CNs 5, 9, 12, 15, and 18.

Figure 4: RHSL(4) along with CNs 5, 9, 12, 15, and 18.
By simple observation, one can see that there are a total of thirteen partitions of the edges. Thus, we have

\[ \mathcal{Q}_{(5,5)} = \{ t \in \mathcal{Q}(\gamma): \alpha(t) = 5, \alpha(k) = 5 \}, \]
\[ \mathcal{Q}_{(5,6)} = \{ t \in \mathcal{Q}(\gamma): \alpha(t) = 5, \alpha(k) = 6 \}, \]
\[ \mathcal{Q}_{(5,12)} = \{ t \in \mathcal{Q}(\gamma): \alpha(t) = 5, \alpha(k) = 12 \}, \]
\[ \mathcal{Q}_{(6,12)} = \{ t \in \mathcal{Q}(\gamma): \alpha(t) = 6, \alpha(k) = 12 \}, \]
\[ \mathcal{Q}_{(9,9)} = \{ t \in \mathcal{Q}(\gamma): \alpha(t) = 9, \alpha(k) = 9 \}, \]
\[ \mathcal{Q}_{(9,12)} = \{ t \in \mathcal{Q}(\gamma): \alpha(t) = 9, \alpha(k) = 12 \}, \]
\[ \mathcal{Q}_{(9,15)} = \{ t \in \mathcal{Q}(\gamma): \alpha(t) = 9, \alpha(k) = 15 \}, \]
\[ \mathcal{Q}_{(12,12)} = \{ t \in \mathcal{Q}(\gamma): \alpha(t) = 12, \alpha(k) = 12 \}, \]
\[ \mathcal{Q}_{(12,15)} = \{ t \in \mathcal{Q}(\gamma): \alpha(t) = 12, \alpha(k) = 15 \}, \]
\[ \mathcal{Q}_{(12,18)} = \{ t \in \mathcal{Q}(\gamma): \alpha(t) = 12, \alpha(k) = 18 \}, \]
\[ \mathcal{Q}_{(15,15)} = \{ t \in \mathcal{Q}(\gamma): \alpha(t) = 15, \alpha(k) = 15 \}, \]
\[ \mathcal{Q}_{(15,18)} = \{ t \in \mathcal{Q}(\gamma): \alpha(t) = 15, \alpha(k) = 18 \}, \]
\[ \mathcal{Q}_{(18,18)} = \{ t \in \mathcal{Q}(\gamma): \alpha(t) = 18, \alpha(k) = 18 \}. \]  

(1)

Total counts of the above-classified vertices are given in Table 3.

| \( Q_{(t,k)} \) | \( |Q_{(t,k)}| \) |
|------------------|-----------------|
| \( Q_{(5,5)} \)  | 2               |
| \( Q_{(5,6)} \)  | 4               |
| \( Q_{(5,12)} \) | 4               |
| \( Q_{(6,12)} \) | 2               |
| \( Q_{(9,9)} \)  | 4m - 4          |
| \( Q_{(9,12)} \) | 8               |
| \( Q_{(9,15)} \) | 16m - 24        |
| \( Q_{(12,12)} \) | 2               |
| \( Q_{(12,15)} \) | 8m - 4          |
| \( Q_{(12,18)} \) | 6m^2 - 20m + 16 |
| \( Q_{(15,15)} \) | 8m - 14         |
| \( Q_{(15,18)} \) | 8m - 16         |
| \( Q_{(18,18)} \) | 6m^2 - 24m + 24 |

Table 3: Count of CN-based classified vertices of \( Y \).

Theorem 1. Let \( Y = \text{RHS}L(m) \) be a molecular graph. Then, the ABCCI \( \gamma \) is given as

\[ \text{ABCCI} (\gamma) = 4.1036m^2 + 1.7761m + 0.6205. \]  

(2)

Proof. By using Table 2 and definition of ABCCI, we have
\[
\text{GACI}(Y) = \sum_{t,k \in \mathcal{G}(Y)} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha(t) + \alpha(k) - 2}{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)}}
\]

\[
= |\mathcal{Q}_{(5,5)}||\frac{\alpha(t) + \alpha(k) - 2}{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)}| + |\mathcal{Q}_{(5,6)}|\sqrt{\frac{\alpha(t) + \alpha(k) - 2}{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)}} + |\mathcal{Q}_{(5,12)}|\sqrt{\frac{\alpha(t) + \alpha(k) - 2}{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)}}
\]

\[
+ |\mathcal{Q}_{(6,12)}|\sqrt{\frac{\alpha(t) + \alpha(k) - 2}{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)}} + |\mathcal{Q}_{(9,9)}|\sqrt{\frac{\alpha(t) + \alpha(k) - 2}{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)}} + |\mathcal{Q}_{(9,12)}|\sqrt{\frac{\alpha(t) + \alpha(k) - 2}{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)}}
\]

\[
+ |\mathcal{Q}_{(9,15)}|\sqrt{\frac{\alpha(t) + \alpha(k) - 2}{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)}} + |\mathcal{Q}_{(12,12)}|\sqrt{\frac{\alpha(t) + \alpha(k) - 2}{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)}} + |\mathcal{Q}_{(12,18)}|\sqrt{\frac{\alpha(t) + \alpha(k) - 2}{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)}}
\]

\[
= |\mathcal{Q}_{(5,5)}||\frac{5 + 5 - 2}{5 \times 5}| + |\mathcal{Q}_{(5,6)}|\sqrt{\frac{5 + 6 - 2}{5 \times 6}} + |\mathcal{Q}_{(5,12)}|\sqrt{\frac{5 + 12 - 2}{5 \times 12}} + |\mathcal{Q}_{(6,12)}|\sqrt{\frac{6 + 12 - 2}{6 \times 12}}
\]

\[
+ |\mathcal{Q}_{(9,9)}|\sqrt{\frac{9 + 9 - 2}{9 \times 9}} + |\mathcal{Q}_{(9,12)}|\sqrt{\frac{9 + 12 - 2}{9 \times 12}} + |\mathcal{Q}_{(9,15)}|\sqrt{\frac{9 + 15 - 2}{9 \times 15}} + |\mathcal{Q}_{(12,12)}|\sqrt{\frac{12 + 12 - 2}{12 \times 12}}
\]

\[
+ |\mathcal{Q}_{(12,15)}|\sqrt{\frac{12 + 15 - 2}{12 \times 15}} + |\mathcal{Q}_{(12,18)}|\sqrt{\frac{12 + 18 - 2}{12 \times 18}} + |\mathcal{Q}_{(15,15)}|\sqrt{\frac{15 + 15 - 2}{15 \times 15}}
\]

\[
= 10.402 + (1.7776m - 1.7776) + (6.4590m - 9.6885) + (2.9808m - 1.4904)
\]

\[
+ (2.1602m^2 - 7.200m + 5.7600) + (2.8216m - 4.9378) + (2.7107m - 5.4208)
\]

\[
+ (1.9434m^2 - 7.7736m + 7.7736)
\]

\[
= 4.1036m^2 + 1.7761m + 0.6205.
\]

**Theorem 2.** Let \( Y = \text{RHSL}(m) \) be a molecular graph. Then, GACI is given as

\[
\text{GACI}(Y) = 37.8782m^2 - 16.5584m - 25.3876.
\]

**Proof.** By using the definition of GACI, we have

\[
\text{GACI}(Y) = \sum_{t,k \in \mathcal{G}(Y)} \frac{2\sqrt{\alpha(t)\alpha(k)}}{\alpha(t) + \alpha(k)}
\]

\[
= |\mathcal{Q}_{(5,5)}|\frac{2\sqrt{\alpha(t)\alpha(k)}}{\alpha(t) + \alpha(k)} + |\mathcal{Q}_{(5,6)}|\frac{2\sqrt{\alpha(t)\alpha(k)}}{\alpha(t) + \alpha(k)} + |\mathcal{Q}_{(5,12)}|\frac{2\sqrt{\alpha(t)\alpha(k)}}{\alpha(t) + \alpha(k)}
\]

\[
+ |\mathcal{Q}_{(6,12)}|\frac{2\sqrt{\alpha(t)\alpha(k)}}{\alpha(t) + \alpha(k)} + |\mathcal{Q}_{(9,9)}|\frac{2\sqrt{\alpha(t)\alpha(k)}}{\alpha(t) + \alpha(k)} + |\mathcal{Q}_{(9,12)}|\frac{2\sqrt{\alpha(t)\alpha(k)}}{\alpha(t) + \alpha(k)}
\]

\[
+ |\mathcal{Q}_{(9,15)}|\frac{2\sqrt{\alpha(t)\alpha(k)}}{\alpha(t) + \alpha(k)} + |\mathcal{Q}_{(12,12)}|\frac{2\sqrt{\alpha(t)\alpha(k)}}{\alpha(t) + \alpha(k)} + |\mathcal{Q}_{(12,18)}|\frac{2\sqrt{\alpha(t)\alpha(k)}}{\alpha(t) + \alpha(k)}
\]

\[
+ |\mathcal{Q}_{(15,15)}|\frac{2\sqrt{\alpha(t)\alpha(k)}}{\alpha(t) + \alpha(k)} + |\mathcal{Q}_{(18,18)}|\frac{2\sqrt{\alpha(t)\alpha(k)}}{\alpha(t) + \alpha(k)}
\]

\[
= 10.402 + (1.7776m - 1.7776) + (6.4590m - 9.6885) + (2.9808m - 1.4904)
\]

\[
+ (2.1602m^2 - 7.200m + 5.7600) + (2.8216m - 4.9378) + (2.7107m - 5.4208)
\]

\[
+ (1.9434m^2 - 7.7736m + 7.7736)
\]

\[
= 4.1036m^2 + 1.7761m + 0.6205.
\]
Theorem 3. Let $Y = RHSL(m)$ be a molecular graph. Then, AZCI is given as

$$AZCI(Y) = 7431.64m^2 - 11205.83m + 3635.91. \quad (6)$$

Proof. By using the definition of AZCI, we have

$$AZCI(Y) = \sum_{t,k \in \mathcal{G}(Y)} \left[\frac{a(t) \times a(k)}{a(t) + a(k) - 2}\right]^3.$$

By using the definition of AZCI, we have

$$= \sum_{t,k \in \mathcal{G}(Y)} \left[\frac{a(t) \times a(k)}{a(t) + a(k) - 2}\right]^3 = \sum_{t,k \in \mathcal{G}(Y)} \left[\frac{a(t) \times a(k)}{a(t) + a(k) - 2}\right]^3.$$
\[ + (6m^2 - 20m + 16) \left( \frac{12 \times 18}{12 + 18 - 2} \right)^3 \]
\[ + (8m - 14) \left( \frac{15 \times 15}{15 + 15 - 2} \right)^3 + (8m - 16) \left( \frac{15 \times 18}{15 + 18 - 2} \right)^3 + (6m^2 - 24m + 24) \left( \frac{18 \times 18}{8 + 8 - 2} \right)^3 \]
\[ = 7431.64m^2 - 11205.83m + 3635.91. \]  

(7)

**Theorem 4.** Let \( Y = \text{RHSL}(m) \) be a molecular graph. Then, SDCI is given as

\[ \text{SDCI}(Y) = 25.0002m^2 - 2.0006m - 9. \]  

(8)

**Proof.** By using the definition of SDCI, we have

\[ \text{SDCI}(Y) = \sum_{k \in Q(Y)} \left[ \frac{\min(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))}{\max(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))} + \frac{\max(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))}{\min(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))} \right] \]

\[ = \left[ Q_{(5,5)} \right] \left[ \frac{\min(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))}{\max(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))} + \frac{\max(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))}{\min(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))} \right] + \left[ Q_{(5,6)} \right] \left[ \frac{\min(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))}{\max(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))} + \frac{\max(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))}{\min(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))} \right] \]

\[ + \left[ Q_{(12,5)} \right] \left[ \frac{\min(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))}{\max(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))} + \frac{\max(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))}{\min(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))} \right] + \left[ Q_{(12,6)} \right] \left[ \frac{\min(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))}{\max(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))} + \frac{\max(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))}{\min(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))} \right] \]

\[ + \left[ Q_{(12,9)} \right] \left[ \frac{\min(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))}{\max(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))} + \frac{\max(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))}{\min(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))} \right] + \left[ Q_{(12,15)} \right] \left[ \frac{\min(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))}{\max(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))} + \frac{\max(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))}{\min(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))} \right] \]

\[ + \left[ Q_{(15,10)} \right] \left[ \frac{\min(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))}{\max(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))} + \frac{\max(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))}{\min(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))} \right] + \left[ Q_{(15,18)} \right] \left[ \frac{\min(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))}{\max(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))} + \frac{\max(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))}{\min(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))} \right] \]

\[ + \left[ Q_{(18,15)} \right] \left[ \frac{\min(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))}{\max(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))} + \frac{\max(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))}{\min(\alpha(t),\alpha(k))} \right] \]

\[ = 2 \left[ \frac{\min(5,5)}{\max(5,5)} + \frac{\max(5,5)}{\min(5,5)} \right] + 4 \left[ \frac{\min(5,6)}{\max(5,6)} + \frac{\max(5,6)}{\min(5,6)} \right] + 4 \left[ \frac{\min(5,12)}{\max(5,12)} + \frac{\max(5,12)}{\min(5,12)} \right] \]

\[ + 2 \left[ \frac{\min(6,12)}{\max(6,12)} + \frac{\max(6,12)}{\min(6,12)} \right] + (4m - 4) \left[ \frac{\min(9,9)}{\max(9,9)} + \frac{\max(9,9)}{\min(9,9)} \right] \]

\[ + 8 \left[ \frac{\min(9,12)}{\max(9,12)} + \frac{\max(9,12)}{\min(9,12)} \right] + (16m - 24) \left[ \frac{\min(9,15)}{\max(9,15)} + \frac{\max(9,15)}{\min(9,15)} \right] \]

\[ + 2 \left[ \frac{\min(12,12)}{\max(12,12)} + \frac{\max(12,12)}{\min(12,12)} \right] + (8m - 4) \left[ \frac{\min(12,15)}{\max(12,15)} + \frac{\max(12,15)}{\min(12,15)} \right] \]

\[ + (6m^2 - 20m + 16) \left[ \frac{\min(12,18)}{\max(12,18)} + \frac{\max(12,18)}{\min(12,18)} \right] + (8m - 14) \left[ \frac{\min(15,15)}{\max(15,15)} + \frac{\max(15,15)}{\min(15,15)} \right] \]

\[ + (8m - 16) \left[ \frac{\min(15,18)}{\max(15,18)} + \frac{\max(15,18)}{\min(15,18)} \right] + (6m^2 - 24m + 24) \left[ \frac{\min(18,18)}{\max(18,18)} + \frac{\max(18,18)}{\min(18,18)} \right] \]

\[ = 25.0002m^2 - 2.0006m - 9. \]
Theorem 5. Let \( Y = \text{RHSL}(m) \) be a molecular graph. Then, HCl is given as

\[
\text{HCl}(Y) = 0.7332m^2 + 0.7212m + 0.50447. \tag{10}
\]

Proof. By using the definition of HCl, we have

\[
\text{HCl}(Y) = \sum_{t,k \in \mathcal{G}(Y)} \frac{2}{(\alpha(t) + \alpha(k))}
\]

\[
= |Q_{(5,5)}| \frac{2}{(\alpha(t) + \alpha(k))} + |Q_{(5,6)}| \frac{2}{(\alpha(t) + \alpha(k))} + |Q_{(5,12)}| \frac{2}{(\alpha(t) + \alpha(k))}
\]

\[
+ |Q_{(6,12)}| \frac{2}{(\alpha(t) + \alpha(k))} + |Q_{(9,8)}| \frac{2}{(\alpha(t) + \alpha(k))} + |Q_{(9,12)}| \frac{2}{(\alpha(t) + \alpha(k))}
\]

\[
+ |Q_{(9,15)}| \frac{2}{(\alpha(t) + \alpha(k))} + |Q_{(12,12)}| \frac{2}{(\alpha(t) + \alpha(k))} + |Q_{(12,15)}| \frac{2}{(\alpha(t) + \alpha(k))}
\]

\[
+ |Q_{(12,18)}| \frac{2}{(\alpha(t) + \alpha(k))} + |Q_{(15,15)}| \frac{2}{(\alpha(t) + \alpha(k))} + |Q_{(15,18)}| \frac{2}{(\alpha(t) + \alpha(k))}
\]

\[
+ |Q_{(18,18)}| \frac{2}{(\alpha(t) + \alpha(k))}
\]

\[
= 2 \left( \frac{2}{5 + 5} + \frac{2}{5 + 6} + \frac{2}{5 + 12} + \frac{2}{6 + 12} + \frac{2}{4m - 4} \right) \frac{2}{9 + 9}
\]

\[
+ 8 \left( \frac{2}{9 + 12} + \frac{2}{16m - 24} + \frac{2}{9 + 15} + \frac{2}{12 + 12} + \frac{2}{8m - 4} \right) \frac{2}{12 + 15}
\]

\[
+ \left( \frac{6m^2 - 20m + 16}{12 + 18} \right) \frac{2}{12 + 18} + \left( \frac{8m - 14}{15 + 15} \right) \frac{2}{15 + 15} + \left( \frac{8m - 16}{15 + 18} \right) \frac{2}{15 + 18}
\]

\[
= 0.7332m^2 + 0.7212m + 0.50447.
\]

Theorem 6. Let \( Y = \text{RHSL}(m) \) be a molecular graph. Then, ISCI is given as

\[
\text{ISCI}(Y) = 97.2m^2 + 2.6404m - 75.4745. \tag{12}
\]

Proof. By using the definition of ISCI, we have

\[
\text{ISCI}(Y) = \sum_{t,k \in \mathcal{G}(Y)} \frac{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)}{(\alpha(t) + \alpha(k))}
\]

\[
= |Q_{(5,5)}| \frac{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)}{(\alpha(t) + \alpha(k))} + |Q_{(5,6)}| \frac{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)}{(\alpha(t) + \alpha(k))} + |Q_{(5,12)}| \frac{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)}{(\alpha(t) + \alpha(k))}
\]

\[
+ |Q_{(6,12)}| \frac{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)}{(\alpha(t) + \alpha(k))} + |Q_{(9,8)}| \frac{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)}{(\alpha(t) + \alpha(k))} + |Q_{(9,12)}| \frac{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)}{(\alpha(t) + \alpha(k))}
\]

\[
+ |Q_{(9,15)}| \frac{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)}{(\alpha(t) + \alpha(k))} + |Q_{(12,12)}| \frac{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)}{(\alpha(t) + \alpha(k))} + |Q_{(12,15)}| \frac{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)}{(\alpha(t) + \alpha(k))}
\]

\[
+ |Q_{(12,18)}| \frac{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)}{(\alpha(t) + \alpha(k))} + |Q_{(15,15)}| \frac{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)}{(\alpha(t) + \alpha(k))} + |Q_{(15,18)}| \frac{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)}{(\alpha(t) + \alpha(k))}
\]

\[
+ |Q_{(18,18)}| \frac{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)}{(\alpha(t) + \alpha(k))}
\]

\[
= 97.2m^2 + 2.6404m - 75.4745.
\]
\[+ \left[ G_{(12,18)} \right] \frac{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)}{(\alpha(t) + \alpha(k))} + \left[ G_{(15,15)} \right] \frac{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)}{(\alpha(t) + \alpha(k))} + \left[ G_{(15,18)} \right] \frac{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)}{(\alpha(t) + \alpha(k))} \]

\[+ \left[ G_{(18,18)} \right] \frac{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)}{(\alpha(t) + \alpha(k))} \]

\[= 2 \left( \frac{5 \times 5}{5 + 5} + \frac{5 \times 6}{5 + 6} \right) + \frac{5 \times 12}{5 + 12} + 2 \left( \frac{6 \times 12}{6 + 12} + \frac{4m - 4}{9 + 9} \right) \]

\[+ 2 \left( \frac{9 \times 12}{9 + 12} + (16m - 24) \frac{9 \times 15}{9 + 15} + 2 \frac{12 \times 12}{12 + 12} + \frac{8m - 4}{12 + 15} \right) \]

\[+ \left( \frac{6m^2 - 20m + 16}{12 + 18} \right) \frac{12 \times 18}{12 + 18} + \frac{(8m - 14)}{15 + 18} + \frac{16 \times 15}{15 + 18} \]

\[+ \left( \frac{6m^2 - 24m + 24}{18 + 18} \right) \frac{18 \times 18}{18 + 18} \]

\[= 97.2m^2 + 2.6404m - 75.4745. \quad (13) \]

**Theorem 7.** Let \( Y = \text{RHSL}(m) \) be a molecular graph. Then, HZCI is given as

\[ HZCI(Y) = 13176m^2 - 17820m + 5584. \quad (14) \]

**Proof.** By using the definition of HZCI, we have

\[ HZCI(Y) = \sum_{r \in \mathcal{G}(Y)} [\alpha(t) + \alpha(k)]^2 \]

\[= \left[ G_{(5,5)} \right] [\alpha(t) + \alpha(k)]^2 + \left[ G_{(5,6)} \right] [\alpha(t) + \alpha(k)]^2 + \left[ G_{(5,12)} \right] [\alpha(t) + \alpha(k)]^2 \]

\[+ \left[ G_{(6,12)} \right] [\alpha(t) + \alpha(k)]^2 + \left[ G_{(9,9)} \right] [\alpha(t) + \alpha(k)]^2 + \left[ G_{(9,12)} \right] [\alpha(t) + \alpha(k)]^2 \]

\[+ \left[ G_{(12,18)} \right] [\alpha(t) + \alpha(k)]^2 + \left[ G_{(15,15)} \right] [\alpha(t) + \alpha(k)]^2 + \left[ G_{(15,18)} \right] [\alpha(t) + \alpha(k)]^2 \]

\[+ \left[ G_{(18,18)} \right] [\alpha(t) + \alpha(k)]^2 \quad (15) \]

\[= 2 \left( \frac{5 \times 5}{5 + 5} + \frac{5 \times 6}{5 + 6} + \frac{5 \times 12}{5 + 12} + \frac{6 \times 12}{6 + 12} \right) \frac{4m - 4}{9 + 9} \]

\[+ 2 \left( \frac{9 \times 12}{9 + 12} + (16m - 24) \frac{9 \times 15}{9 + 15} + 2 \frac{12 \times 12}{12 + 12} + \frac{8m - 4}{12 + 15} \right) \]

\[+ \left( \frac{6m^2 - 20m + 16}{12 + 18} \right) \frac{12 \times 18}{12 + 18} + \frac{(8m - 14)}{15 + 18} + \frac{16 \times 15}{15 + 18} \]

\[+ \left( \frac{6m^2 - 24m + 24}{18 + 18} \right) \frac{18 \times 18}{18 + 18} \]

\[= 13176m^2 - 17820m + 5584. \]

\[\square\]

**5. CN-Based ZIs of the RHOX Network**

In this section, we calculate the CN-based ZIs of RHOX. Let \( \Gamma = \text{RHOX}(m) \) be a molecular graph RHOX network, where \( m \geq 2 \) is the dimension of the network. In Figures 6–8, we represent the molecular graph of

\[ \Gamma = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{G}) \] of RHOX(m) for \( m = 2, 3, 4 \) by labeling the vertices with their CNs.

To find ZCIs of the RHOX network, we define the partitions of the edge set of \( \Gamma \) on the basis of CNs. By simple observation, one can see that there are a total of eight partitions of the edges. Thus, we have \( \square \)
Figure 6: RHOX(3) along with CNs 4, 8, 6, 10, and 12.

Figure 7: RHOX(4) along with CNs 4, 8, 6, 10, and 12.
ABCCI is given as

\[ \text{ABCCI} (\Gamma) = \sum_{r,k \in \mathcal{G}(\Gamma)} \frac{\alpha(t) + \alpha(k) - 2}{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)} \]

\[ = |\mathcal{Q}_{(4,4)}| \frac{\alpha(t) + \alpha(k) - 2}{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)} + |\mathcal{Q}_{(4,8)}| \frac{\alpha(t) + \alpha(k) - 2}{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)} + |\mathcal{Q}_{(6,8)}| \frac{\alpha(t) + \alpha(k) - 2}{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)} + |\mathcal{Q}_{(6,10)}| \frac{\alpha(t) + \alpha(k) - 2}{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)} + |\mathcal{Q}_{(8,10)}| \frac{\alpha(t) + \alpha(k) - 2}{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)} + |\mathcal{Q}_{(10,12)}| \frac{\alpha(t) + \alpha(k) - 2}{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)} \]

Total counts of the above-classified vertices are given in Table 4.

**Theorem 8.** Let \( \Gamma = \text{RHOX} (m) \) be a molecular graph. Then, ABCCI is given as

\[ \text{ABCCI} (\Gamma) = 2.3451m^2 - 2.2504m + 6.0899. \]

**Proof.** By using the definition of ABCCI, we have

| \( \mathcal{Q}_{(n,k)} \) | \( |\mathcal{Q}_{(n,k)}| \) |
|---|---|
| \( \mathcal{Q}_{(4,4)} \) | 2 |
| \( \mathcal{Q}_{(4,8)} \) | 4 |
| \( \mathcal{Q}_{(6,8)} \) | 4 |
| \( \mathcal{Q}_{(6,10)} \) | 8m - 12 |
| \( \mathcal{Q}_{(8,10)} \) | 8 |
| \( \mathcal{Q}_{(10,12)} \) | 8m - 14 |
| \( \mathcal{Q}_{(12,12)} \) | 6m^2 - 24m + 24 |

FIGURE 8: RHOX (5) along with CNs 4, 8, 6, 10, and 12.
Theorem 9. Let $\Gamma = \text{RHOX}(m)$ be a molecular graph. Then, $\text{GACI}$ is given as
\[
GACI(\Gamma) = 6m^2 - 0.288m - 3.8459. \quad (19)
\]

Proof. By using the definition of $GACI$, we have
\[
GACI(\Gamma) = \sum_{t,k \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma)} \frac{2\sqrt{\alpha(t)\alpha(k)}}{\alpha(t) + \alpha(k)}
\]

\[
= |Q(4,4)| \sqrt{\frac{4 + 4 - 2}{4 \times 4}} + |Q(4,8)| \sqrt{\frac{4 + 8 - 2}{4 \times 8}} + |Q(6,8)| \sqrt{\frac{6 + 8 - 2}{6 \times 8}}
\]

\[
+ |Q(6,10)| \sqrt{\frac{6 + 10 - 2}{6 \times 10}} + |Q(8,10)| \sqrt{\frac{8 + 10 - 2}{8 \times 10}} + |Q(10,10)| \sqrt{\frac{10 + 10 - 2}{10 \times 10}}
\]

\[
+ |Q(12,10)| \sqrt{\frac{12 + 12 - 2}{12 \times 12}} + |Q(12,12)| \sqrt{\frac{12 + 12 - 2}{12 \times 12}}
\]

\[
= 2.3451m^2 - 2.2504m + 6.0899. \quad (18)
\]

Theorem 10. Let $\Gamma = \text{RHOX}(m)$ be a molecular graph. Then, $\text{AZCI}$ is given as
\[
AZCI(\Gamma) = 1682.52m^2 - 3000.7m + 1353.94. \quad (21)
\]

Proof. By using the definition of $AZCI$, we have
\[
AZCI(\Gamma) = \sum_{t,k \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma)} \left( \frac{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)}{\alpha(t) + \alpha(k) - 2} \right)^3
\]

\[
= |Q(4,4)| \left( \frac{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)}{\alpha(t) + \alpha(k) - 2} \right)^3 + |Q(4,8)| \left( \frac{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)}{\alpha(t) + \alpha(k) - 2} \right)^3 + |Q(6,8)| \left( \frac{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)}{\alpha(t) + \alpha(k) - 2} \right)^3
\]
\[ + |Q_{(6,10)}|\left[ \frac{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)}{\alpha(t) + \alpha(k) - 2} \right]^3 + |Q_{(8,10)}|\left[ \frac{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)}{\alpha(t) + \alpha(k) - 2} \right]^3 + |Q_{(10,10)}|\left[ \frac{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)}{\alpha(t) + \alpha(k) - 2} \right]^3 \\
+ |Q_{(10,12)}|\left[ \frac{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)}{\alpha(t) + \alpha(k) - 2} \right]^3 + |Q_{(12,12)}|\left[ \frac{\alpha(t) \times \alpha(k)}{\alpha(t) + \alpha(k) - 2} \right]^3 \\
= 2\left[ \frac{4 \times 4}{4 + 4 - 2} \right]^3 + 4\left[ \frac{4 \times 8}{4 + 8 - 2} \right]^3 + 4\left[ \frac{6 \times 8}{6 + 8 - 2} \right]^3 + (8m - 12)\left[ \frac{6 \times 10}{6 + 10 - 2} \right]^3 \\
+ 8\left[ \frac{8 \times 10}{8 + 10 - 2} \right]^3 + (8m - 14)\left[ \frac{10 \times 10}{10 + 10 - 2} \right]^3 + (8m - 16)\left[ \frac{10 \times 12}{10 + 12 - 2} \right]^3 \\
+ (6m^2 - 24m + 24)\left[ \frac{12 \times 12}{12 + 12 - 2} \right]^3 \\
= 1682.52m^2 - 3000.7m + 1353.94.
\]

(22)

\[ \square \]

**Theorem 11.** Let \( \Gamma = \text{RHOX}(m) \) be a molecular graph. Then, SDCI is given as

\[ \text{SDCI}(\Gamma) = 12m^2 + 2.3988m - 2.999. \]  

(23)
Theorem 12. Let $\Gamma = \text{RHOX}(m)$ be a molecular graph. Then, $\text{HCI}$ is given as

$$\text{HCI}(\Gamma) = 0.5m^2 + 0.52728m + 1.377244. \quad (25)$$

\[\begin{align*}
\text{HCI}(\Gamma) &= \sum_{t,k \in \mathcal{G}(\Gamma)} \frac{2}{(a(t) + a(k))} \\
&= |Q_{(4,4)}| \frac{2}{(a(t) + a(k))} + |Q_{(4,8)}| \frac{2}{(a(t) + a(k))} + |Q_{(6,8)}| \frac{2}{(a(t) + a(k))} \\
&\quad + |Q_{(6,10)}| \frac{2}{(a(t) + a(k))} + |Q_{(8,10)}| \frac{2}{(a(t) + a(k))} + |Q_{(10,10)}| \frac{2}{(a(t) + a(k))} \\
&\quad + |Q_{(10,12)}| \frac{2}{(a(t) + a(k))} + |Q_{(12,12)}| \frac{2}{(a(t) + a(k))} \\
&= 2 \left( \frac{2}{4 + 4} + \frac{2}{4 + 8} + \frac{2}{6 + 8} + (8m - 12) \frac{2}{6 + 10} + 8 \frac{2}{8 + 10} \right) \\
&\quad + (8m - 14) \frac{2}{10 + 10} + (8m - 16) \frac{2}{10 + 12} + (6m^2 - 24m + 24) \frac{2}{12 + 12} \\
&= 0.5m^2 + 0.52728m + 1.377244.
\end{align*}\]

Proof. By using the definition of $\text{HCI}$, we have

Theorem 13. Let $\Gamma = \text{RHOX}(m)$ be a molecular graph. Then, $\text{ISCI}$ is given as

$$\text{ISCI}(\Gamma) = 36m^2 - 30.364m + 5.663. \quad (27)$$

\[\begin{align*}
\text{ISCI}(\Gamma) &= \sum_{t,k \in \mathcal{G}(\Gamma)} \frac{a(t) \times a(k)}{(a(t) + a(k))} \\
&= |Q_{(4,4)}| \frac{a(t) \times a(k)}{(a(t) + a(k))} + |Q_{(4,8)}| \frac{a(t) \times a(k)}{(a(t) + a(k))} + |Q_{(6,8)}| \frac{a(t) \times a(k)}{(a(t) + a(k))} \\
&\quad + |Q_{(6,10)}| \frac{a(t) \times a(k)}{(a(t) + a(k))} + |Q_{(8,10)}| \frac{a(t) \times a(k)}{(a(t) + a(k))} + |Q_{(10,10)}| \frac{a(t) \times a(k)}{(a(t) + a(k))} \\
&\quad + |Q_{(10,12)}| \frac{a(t) \times a(k)}{(a(t) + a(k))} + |Q_{(12,12)}| \frac{a(t) \times a(k)}{(a(t) + a(k))} \\
&= 2 \left( \frac{4 \times 4}{4 + 4} + \frac{4 \times 8}{4 + 8} + \frac{6 \times 8}{6 + 8} + (8m - 12) \frac{6 \times 10}{6 + 10} + 8 \frac{8 \times 10}{8 + 10} \right) \\
&\quad + (8m - 14) \frac{10 \times 10}{10 + 10} + (8m - 16) \frac{10 \times 12}{10 + 12} + (6m^2 - 24m + 24) \frac{12 \times 12}{12 + 12} \\
&= 36m^2 - 30.364m + 5.663.
\end{align*}\]

\[\square\]
### Table 5: Computed values of CBZIs of RHSL for \( m = 2, 3, \ldots, 8 \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CBZIs</th>
<th>( m = 2 )</th>
<th>( m = 3 )</th>
<th>( m = 4 )</th>
<th>( m = 5 )</th>
<th>( m = 6 )</th>
<th>( m = 7 )</th>
<th>( m = 8 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABCI (Y)</td>
<td>20.587</td>
<td>42.8812</td>
<td>73.3825</td>
<td>112.091</td>
<td>159.0067</td>
<td>214.1296</td>
<td>277.4597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GACI (Y)</td>
<td>93.0084</td>
<td>265.841</td>
<td>514.43</td>
<td>838.7754</td>
<td>1238.8772</td>
<td>1714.7354</td>
<td>2266.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZCI (Y)</td>
<td>10950.81</td>
<td>36903.18</td>
<td>77718.83</td>
<td>133397.76</td>
<td>203939.97</td>
<td>289345.46</td>
<td>389614.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDCI (Y)</td>
<td>86.9996</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>383.0008</td>
<td>606.002</td>
<td>879.0036</td>
<td>1202.0056</td>
<td>1575.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl (Y)</td>
<td>4.87967</td>
<td>9.26687</td>
<td>15.12047</td>
<td>22.44047</td>
<td>31.22687</td>
<td>41.47967</td>
<td>53.19887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISC (Y)</td>
<td>318.6063</td>
<td>807.2467</td>
<td>1490.2871</td>
<td>2367.7275</td>
<td>3439.5679</td>
<td>4705.8083</td>
<td>6166.4487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HZCI (Y)</td>
<td>22648</td>
<td>70708</td>
<td>145120</td>
<td>245884</td>
<td>373000</td>
<td>526468</td>
<td>706288</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 6: Computed values CBZIs for \( m = 2, 3, \ldots, 8 \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CBZIs</th>
<th>( m = 2 )</th>
<th>( m = 3 )</th>
<th>( m = 4 )</th>
<th>( m = 5 )</th>
<th>( m = 6 )</th>
<th>( m = 7 )</th>
<th>( m = 8 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABCI (Γ)</td>
<td>15.469</td>
<td>27.1955</td>
<td>43.6113</td>
<td>64.71724</td>
<td>90.51336</td>
<td>120.99</td>
<td>156.176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GACI (Γ)</td>
<td>19.5781</td>
<td>49.2901</td>
<td>91.0021</td>
<td>144.7141</td>
<td>210.4261</td>
<td>288.1381</td>
<td>377.8501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZCI (Γ)</td>
<td>2082.62</td>
<td>7494.52</td>
<td>16271.46</td>
<td>28413.44</td>
<td>43920.46</td>
<td>62792.52</td>
<td>85029.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDCI (Γ)</td>
<td>49.798</td>
<td>112.19</td>
<td>198.59</td>
<td>308.995</td>
<td>443.3938</td>
<td>601.792</td>
<td>784.191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl (Γ)</td>
<td>4.4318</td>
<td>7.4590</td>
<td>11.486</td>
<td>16.51364</td>
<td>22.54092</td>
<td>29.5682</td>
<td>37.595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISC (Γ)</td>
<td>88.935</td>
<td>238.57</td>
<td>460.20</td>
<td>753.84</td>
<td>1119.4</td>
<td>1557.1</td>
<td>2066.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HZCI (Γ)</td>
<td>5904</td>
<td>18480</td>
<td>37928</td>
<td>64368</td>
<td>97680</td>
<td>137904</td>
<td>185040</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 9: Comparison of TIs of the rhombus silicate network.](image-url)
### Table 7: Computed values of ZIs for RHSL for $m = 2, 3, \ldots, 8$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CBZIs</th>
<th>$m = 2$</th>
<th>$m = 3$</th>
<th>$m = 4$</th>
<th>$m = 5$</th>
<th>$m = 6$</th>
<th>$m = 7$</th>
<th>$m = 8$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABCI(RHSL)</td>
<td>29.612</td>
<td>65.0762</td>
<td>114.3482</td>
<td>177.428</td>
<td>254.3156</td>
<td>345.011</td>
<td>449.5142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAI(RHSL)</td>
<td>46.3985</td>
<td>104.4538</td>
<td>185.8227</td>
<td>290.5052</td>
<td>418.5013</td>
<td>569.811</td>
<td>744.4343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZI(RHSL)</td>
<td>1055.9472</td>
<td>2705.7402</td>
<td>5119.44</td>
<td>8297.0466</td>
<td>12238.56</td>
<td>16943.9802</td>
<td>22413.3072</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 8: Computed values of ZIs for RHOX for $m = 2, 3, \ldots, 8$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CBZIs</th>
<th>$m = 2$</th>
<th>$m = 3$</th>
<th>$m = 4$</th>
<th>$m = 5$</th>
<th>$m = 6$</th>
<th>$m = 7$</th>
<th>$m = 8$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABCI(RHOX)</td>
<td>16.023</td>
<td>35.151</td>
<td>61.629</td>
<td>95.4546</td>
<td>136.6286</td>
<td>185.151</td>
<td>241.0218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAI(RHOX)</td>
<td>23.3137</td>
<td>52.8562</td>
<td>94.3987</td>
<td>147.9412</td>
<td>213.4837</td>
<td>291.0262</td>
<td>380.5687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZI(RHOX)</td>
<td>301.5994</td>
<td>782.7462</td>
<td>1491.433</td>
<td>2427.6598</td>
<td>3591.4266</td>
<td>4982.7334</td>
<td>6601.5802</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 12: Graphical comparison of ABCI and ABCCI for the RHSL network.

Figure 13: Graphical comparison of ABCI and ABCCI for the RHOX network.

Figure 14: Graphical comparison of GAI and GACI for the RHSL network.
Theorem 14. Let $\Gamma = \text{RHOX}(m)$ be a molecular graph. Then, $\text{HZCI} \ (\Gamma)$ is given as

$$\text{HZCI} \ (\Gamma) = 3456m^2 - 4704m + 1488.$$  \hspace{1cm} (29)
6. Comparative Analysis

6.1. Comparison among Connection-Based TIs for RHSL and RHOX Networks. In this section, we individually compare the results of all the calculated CBZIs for the rhombus silicate and rhombus oxide networks using line graphs. In Sections 3 and 4, we have computed the general results of RHSL and RHOX networks in terms of $m$ where $m$ is the dimension. In Tables 5 and 6, we have computed the values of CBZIs of RHSL and RHOX networks for $m = 2, 3 \cdots 8$, respectively. In Figure 9, we have taken the values of $m$ along the horizontal axis and the computed values of the indices along the vertical axis. From Figure 9, it is clear that the computed values of all the indices other than AZCI and HZCI coincide. HZCI attains the higher values for the RHSL network. Similarly, in Figure 10, we can see that computed values of all the indices other than AZCI and HZCI coincide and HZCI attains the maximum value for the RHOX network.

From Figures 9 and 10, it can be seen that HZCI has the maximum value for RHSL and RHOX networks in Figure 11, we compare RHSL and RHOX networks.

From Figure 11, it is clear that computed values of HZCI for the RHSL network show a clear difference with the increasing values of $m$ than that of the RHOX network.

6.2. Comparison among Degree-Based and Connection-Based Indices for RHSL. In this section, we compare our computed CBZIs with some degree-based indices which were calculated by Javaid et al. [30] in 2017. The expressions to calculate degree-based indices of RHSL(m) are given as follows:

$$
\text{ABCI (RHSL)} = 6.9039m^2 + 0.9447m + 0.1070,
$$

$$
\text{GAI (RHSL)} = 11.6568m^2 - 0.2287m + 0.2287,
$$

$$
\text{AZI (RHSL)} = 381.9534m^2 - 259.674m + 48.0816.
$$

(31)

Expressions to calculate degree-based indices of RHOX(m) are given as follows:

$$
\text{ABCI (RHOX)} = 3.6742m^2 + 0.7578m - 0.1894,
$$

$$
\text{GAI (RHOX)} = 6m^2 - 0.4575m + 0.2287,
$$

$$
\text{AZI (RHOX)} = 113.7774m^2 - 87.7032m + 21.9258.
$$

(32)

Numerical values of connection-based indices for RHSL and RHOX networks are given in Tables 7 and 8.

The graphical comparison between degree-based and connection-based indices for both RHSL and RHOX networks is shown in Figures 12–17.

7. Conclusion

In this paper, we studied CN-based ZIs, namely, atom-bond connectivity connection index, geometric-arithmetic index, symmetric degree index, harmonic index, inverse sum index, and hyper-Zagreb index of RHSL and RHOX networks and developed the closed formulas of these structures. These developed TIs will help in comprehending the underlying topologies of these networks. Furthermore, we have compared computed connection-based indices with degree-based indices of the literature. Also, we have compared calculated TIs with each other for RHSL and RHOX networks and compared both networks with each other on the basis of our computed connection-based indices. In future, we compute Zagreb connection indices for the other types of chemical structures.
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