

Research Article

Local Automorphisms and Local Superderivations of Model Filiform Lie Superalgebras

Yuqiu Sheng,¹ Wende Liu,² and Yang Liu³ 

¹School of Mathematical Sciences, Bohai University, Jinzhou 121013, China

²School of Mathematics and Statistics, Hainan Normal University, Haikou 571158, China

³Department of Mathematics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150000, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Yang Liu; liuyang123@hit.edu.cn

Received 4 June 2023; Revised 16 February 2024; Accepted 13 March 2024; Published 27 March 2024

Academic Editor: Ljubisa Kocinac

Copyright © 2024 Yuqiu Sheng et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In this paper, we give the forms of local automorphisms (resp. superderivations) of model filiform Lie superalgebra $L_{n,m}$ in the matrix version. Linear 2-local automorphisms (resp. superderivations) of $L_{n,m}$ are also characterized. We prove that each linear 2-local automorphism of $L_{n,m}$ is an automorphism.

1. Introduction and Basics

As a significant class of nilpotent Lie algebras, filiform Lie algebras were introduced by Vergne [1] and have been studied extensively, see [2–6] and references in them. Model filiform Lie algebra L_n is the simplest filiform Lie algebra. Vergne proved that each filiform Lie algebra can be obtained by deformations of model filiform Lie algebra (see [1]). Similarly, model filiform Lie superalgebra $L_{n,m}$ is the simplest filiform Lie superalgebra.

Automorphisms and superderivations are also important in the study of the structure of Lie superalgebras. In recent years, some new generalized derivations of finite-dimensional Lie algebras and Lie superalgebras were proposed and studied (see [7–9]). Local automorphisms and local derivations were introduced by Kadison in [10] and Larson and Sourour in [11]. The idea of local came from [12, 13]. The idea of 2-local was introduced by Šemrl in [14]. Later, more and more results of such problem on various algebras were obtained by many scholars (see [15–21] and references in them). In particular, local and 2-local automorphisms (resp. derivations) on some Lie algebras were proved to be automorphisms (resp. derivations) (see [22–26]). For Lie superalgebras, such problem were studied in [27–30] and some other papers.

In this paper, we will use matrices to study local automorphisms (resp. superderivations) of model filiform Lie superalgebra $L_{n,m}$. We will give concrete forms of local automorphisms (resp. superderivations) of $L_{n,m}$. For finite-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra L with $\dim L \geq 2$. In [23], Ayupov and Kudaybergenov proved that there is a 2-local automorphism of L which is not an automorphism. Then, it is impossible that every 2-local automorphism of Lie superalgebra $L_{n,m}$ is an automorphism. But if a 2-local automorphism is linear, then we can prove that it must be an automorphism. So, we add an additional linear condition in the definition of 2-local automorphism, we call it linear 2-local automorphism. We will prove that all linear 2-local automorphisms of $L_{n,m}$ are automorphisms. But for 2-local superderivation of $L_{n,m}$, the situation is different. We also add an additional linear condition in the definition of 2-local superderivation, and we call it linear 2-local superderivation. In this paper, we will show that not all linear 2-local superderivations of $L_{n,m}$ are superderivations, but they are very close to superderivations. The same situation also occurs in 2-local automorphisms (resp. derivations) of model filiform Lie algebra L_n . We find that not all linear 2-local automorphisms (resp. derivations) of Lie algebra L_n are automorphisms (resp. derivations), and the linear 2-local automorphisms (resp. derivations) which are not

automorphisms (resp. derivations) are very close to automorphisms (resp. derivations).

Model filiform Lie superalgebra $L_{n,m}$ is a superalgebra with multiplication

$$\begin{aligned} [x_0, x_i] &= x_{i+1}, & 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ [x_0, y_j] &= y_{j+1}, & 1 \leq j \leq m-1, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \mid y_1, \dots, y_m\}$ is the homogeneous basis and the other brackets vanished. If we only consider the Lie algebra with $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ a basis, their multiplication are same to (1), then it is the model filiform Lie algebra L_n .

For a Lie superalgebra $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathcal{G}_{\bar{1}}$, a linear bijective map $\varphi: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is called an automorphism of Lie superalgebra \mathcal{G} if

$$\varphi([x, y]) = [\varphi(x), \varphi(y)], \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{G}. \tag{2}$$

Denote the group consisting of all automorphisms of \mathcal{G} by $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{G})$. Suppose $\mathcal{D}: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is a linear map of degree α , we call \mathcal{D} a superderivation of degree α if

$$\mathcal{D}([x, y]) = [\mathcal{D}(x), y] + (-1)^{\beta\alpha}[x, \mathcal{D}(y)], \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{G}_{\beta}, y \in \mathcal{G}. \tag{3}$$

Denote all superderivations of degree α by $\text{Der}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G})$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. The elements of $\text{Der}(\mathcal{G}) = \text{Der}_{\bar{0}}(\mathcal{G}) \oplus \text{Der}_{\bar{1}}(\mathcal{G})$ are called superderivations of \mathcal{G} . Linear map $\phi: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is called a local automorphism (resp. superderivation), if for any $x \in \mathcal{G}$, there exists $\phi_x \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{G})$ (resp. $\text{Der}(\mathcal{G})$) such that $\phi(x) = \phi_x(x)$. A linear map $\sigma: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is called a linear 2-local automorphism (resp. superderivation), if for any $x, y \in \mathcal{G}$, there exists $\sigma_{xy} \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{G})$ (resp. $\text{Der}(\mathcal{G})$) such that $\sigma(x) = \sigma_{xy}(x)$ and $\sigma(y) = \sigma_{xy}(y)$. Denote the group consisting of all local automorphisms of \mathcal{G} by $\text{LAut}(\mathcal{G})$ and the superalgebra consisting of all local superderivations of \mathcal{G} by $\text{LDer}(\mathcal{G})$, respectively.

Throughout the paper, we assume that $3 \leq n \leq m$. All mappings mentioned in this paper are linear. The matrices of mappings of $L_{n,m}$ are all with respect to the homogeneous basis $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \mid y_1, \dots, y_m\}$, and the matrices of mappings of L_n are all with respect to the basis $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. \mathbb{F} stands for an arbitrary field of characteristic zero, \mathbb{F}^* is the set of all nonzero elements of \mathbb{F} , and \mathbb{F}^n is the n -dimensional column vector space over \mathbb{F} . E_{ij} and e_i represent the matrix unit and unit vector, respectively.

Denote block matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & O \\ O & B \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} O & C \\ D & O \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4}$$

by $A \oplus B$ and $C \oplus D$, respectively.

2. Local Automorphism and Linear 2-Local Automorphism of $L_{n,m}$

Suppose $a, a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^n$. Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{B}(a, a_1, \dots, a_n) &= \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & & & & \\ a_2 & aa_1 & & & \\ a_3 & aa_2 & a^2a_1 & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\ a_n & aa_{n-1} & a^2a_{n-2} & \dots & a^{n-1}a_1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \bar{A}(a, a_1, \dots, a_n, \alpha) &= \begin{pmatrix} a & & & & \\ \alpha & \bar{B}(a, a_1, \dots, a_n) & & & \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Lemma 1

- (1) Let A be an $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ invertible lower triangular matrix. Then, for any $X = (k_0, k_1, \dots, k_n)^T \in \mathbb{F}^{n+1}$, there exists A_X such that $AX = A_X X$, where A_X is of the form $\bar{A}(a, a_1, \dots, a_n, \alpha)$, with $aa_1 \neq 0$;
- (2) Let B be an $m \times m$ invertible lower triangular matrix. Then, for any $a \in \mathbb{F}^*$ and $X \in \mathbb{F}^m$, there exists B_X such that $BX = B_X X$, where B_X is of the form $\bar{B}(a, b_1, \dots, b_m)$, with $b_1 \in \mathbb{F}^*$.

Proof

- (1) Denote

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} u & & & \\ & \beta & & \\ & & U & \\ & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6}$$

where $u \in \mathbb{F}^*$, $\beta \in \mathbb{F}^n$ and U is an $n \times n$ invertible lower triangular matrix. For any $X = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)^T \in \mathbb{F}^{n+1}$, put $X_1 = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T$. We will prove that there exist $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^n$ and $\bar{B}(a, a_1, \dots, a_n)$ with $aa_1 \in \mathbb{F}^*$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} u & \\ \beta & U \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ X_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ \alpha & \bar{B}(a, a_1, \dots, a_n) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ X_1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7}$$

Case 1. $x_0 \neq 0$. Put $a = u, a_1 = \dots = a_n = 1$. Then, it is easy to see that there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^n$ such that (7) holds.

Case 2. $x_0 = 0$. Assume that the first nonzero component of vector X_1 is the r -th. Put $a = 1, \alpha = 0, a_{n-r} = \dots = a_n = 0$. Then, it is easy to prove that there exist $a_1 \in \mathbb{F}^*$ and $a_2, \dots, a_{n-r-1} \in \mathbb{F}$ such that (7) holds.

- (2) In a similarly way to the proof of (1), one can come to the conclusion. \square

Theorem 2. Let φ be a linear mapping of $L_{n,m}$. Then, $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(L_{n,m})$ if and only if the matrix of φ is of the form

$$\bar{A}(a, a_1, \dots, a_n, \alpha) \oplus \bar{B}(a, b_1, \dots, b_m), \tag{8}$$

with $aa_1 b_1 \neq 0$.

Proof. If $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(L_{n,m})$, we can assume that the matrix of φ is $A \oplus B$, where B is an $m \times m$ matrix. Denote

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_{10} & a_{20} & \cdots & a_{n0} \\ a_1 & a_{11} & a_{21} & \cdots & a_{n1} \\ a_2 & a_{12} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{n2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_n & a_{1n} & a_{2n} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (9)$$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{21} & \cdots & b_{m1} \\ b_{12} & b_{22} & \cdots & b_{m2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ b_{1m} & b_{2m} & \cdots & b_{mm} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For any $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $1 \leq j \leq m-1$ and $2 \leq l \leq n$, using $\varphi(x_{i+1}) = \varphi([x_0, x_i])$, $\varphi([x_0, x_n]) = \varphi([x_1, x_l]) = 0$ and $\varphi(y_{j+1}) = \varphi([x_0, y_j])$ successively, we obtain

$$a_{i+1,0} = a_{i+1,1} = 0, a_{i+1,k+1} = \begin{vmatrix} a_0 & a_{i0} \\ a_k & a_{ik} \end{vmatrix}, \quad (10)$$

$$a_0 a_{nk} = a_{10} a_{lk} = 0, \quad (11)$$

$$b_{j+1,1} = 0, a_0 b_{js} = b_{j+1,s+1}, \quad (12)$$

where $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, $1 \leq s \leq m-1$.

By (12), we have $B = \bar{B}(a_0, b_{11}, \dots, b_{1m})$.

If $a_{10} \neq 0$, then by (11), we have $a_{lk} = 0, 2 \leq l \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Note that in (10), they contradict the invertibility of A . Therefore, $a_{10} = 0$. Consequently, we have $a_0 \neq 0$ since A is invertible. For any $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, according to (10) and (11), we have $a_{i+1,k+1} = a_0 a_{ik}$ and $a_{nk} = 0$. Denote $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_n)^T$. Thus, $A = \bar{A}(a, a_{11}, \dots, a_{1n}, \alpha)$.

Conversely, if the matrix of φ is $\bar{A}(a, a_1, \dots, a_n, \alpha) \oplus \bar{B}(a, b_1, \dots, b_n)$ with $aa_1 b_1 \neq 0$, then φ is a Lie automorphism of $L_{n,m}$ by verification. \square

Theorem 3. *Let φ be a linear mapping of $L_{n,m}$. Then, $\varphi \in \text{LAut}(L_{n,m})$ if and only if the matrix of φ is of the form $A \oplus B$, where A and B are $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ and $m \times m$ invertible lower triangular matrices, respectively.*

Proof. Assume that the matrix of φ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ D & B \end{pmatrix}, \quad (13)$$

where B is an $m \times m$ matrix.

If $\varphi \in \text{LAut}(L_{n,m})$, by Theorem 2, for any $X = (k_0, k_1, \dots, k_n, l_1, \dots, l_m)^T \in \mathbb{F}^{n+m+1}$, there exist A_X and B_X such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ D & B \end{pmatrix} X = \begin{pmatrix} A_X \\ B_X \end{pmatrix} X, \quad (14)$$

where $A_X \oplus B_X$ is of the form (8).

We let $l_i = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Since each k_j (where $0 \leq j \leq n$) in (14) is arbitrary, we see that $D = 0$.

Similarly, we let $k_j = 0$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Since each l_i (where $1 \leq i \leq m$) in (14) is arbitrary, we see that $C = 0$.

If A is not invertible, then there exists a nonzero vector $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^{n+1}$ such that $A\alpha = 0$. Substituting $X = (\alpha^T, 0)^T$ into (14), we have $A_X \alpha = 0$, which contradicts the invertibility of A_X . Thus, A is invertible. Similarly, B is also invertible.

Substituting $X = (0, \dots, 0, k_i, \dots, k_{n+1}, 0, \dots, 0)^T \in \mathbb{F}^{n+m+1}, i = 2, 3, \dots, n+1$ into (14) in turn, by the arbitrariness of k_i, \dots, k_{n+1} , we obtain that A is a lower triangular matrix. Similarly, B is also a lower triangular matrix.

Conversely, if the matrix of φ is $A \oplus B$, where A and B are $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ and $m \times m$ invertible lower triangular matrices respectively, then by Lemma 1, φ is a local automorphism of $L_{n,m}$. \square

Theorem 4. *Every linear 2-local automorphism of $L_{n,m}$ is an automorphism.*

Proof. If φ is a linear 2-local Lie superalgebra automorphism of $L_{n,m}$, then $\varphi \in \text{LAut}(L_{n,m})$. By Theorem 3, the matrix of φ is of the form $A \oplus B$, where A and B are $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ and $m \times m$ invertible lower triangular matrices, respectively. Denote

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} c & & & & \\ v_1 & c_{11} & & & \\ v_2 & c_{21} & c_{22} & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \\ v_n & c_{n1} & c_{n2} & \cdots & c_{nm} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (15)$$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} d_{11} & & & & \\ d_{21} & d_{22} & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & \\ d_{m1} & d_{m2} & \cdots & d_{mm} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $cc_{11} \cdots c_{nm} d_{11} \cdots d_{mm} \neq 0$.

By Theorem 2, for any $X, Y \in \mathbb{F}^{n+m+1}$, there exist A_{XY} and B_{XY} such that

$$(A \oplus B)(X, Y) = (A_{XY} \oplus B_{XY})(X, Y), \quad (16)$$

where $A_{XY} = \bar{A}(a, a_1, \dots, a_n, \alpha), B_{XY} = \bar{B}(a, b_1, \dots, b_m)$. In fact, $a, \alpha, a_i, b_j, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m$ are all related to X and Y . But for the sake of simplicity, we still denote them in this way without causing confusion.

Substituting $X = e_s, Y = e_{s+1}$ into (16), we have

$$c_i s = k_s c_{i-1, s-1}, \quad k_s \in \mathbb{F}^*, \quad (17)$$

$$i = s, s+1, \dots, n, \quad s = 2, 3, \dots, n.$$

Then, substituting $X = e_t, Y = e_{t+1} + e_{t+2}$ into (16), we have $k_t = k_{t+1}, t = 2, 3, \dots, n-1$.

Similarly, we can conclude that $d_{is} = l d_{i-1, s-1}, l \in \mathbb{F}^*, i = s, s+1, \dots, m, s = 2, 3, \dots, m$. Next, substituting $X = e_2 + e_{n+2}, Y = e_3 + e_{n+3}$ into (16), we have $l = k_2$. Finally, substituting $X = e_1 + e_{n+2}, Y = e_{n+3}$ into (16), we obtain $l = c$. Thus, by Theorem 2, we have $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(L_{n,m})$.

From the proof of the above theorems, we get the following conclusions immediately. \square

Corollary 5

$$\text{Aut}(L_{n,m}) = \left\{ \left(\sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} k_i g^i + \sum_{0 \leq j \leq m-1} l_j h^j \right) d(a) + (a - k_0)t_0 + \sum_{1 \leq s \leq n} p_s t_s \mid k_i, l_j, p_s \in \mathbb{F}, a, k_0, l_0 \in \mathbb{F}^*, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m-1, 1 \leq s \leq n \right\} \quad (18)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} d(a)(x_i) &= a^i x_i, d(a)(y_j) = a^j y_j, & 0 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m, \\ t_s(x_0) &= x_s, & 1 \leq s \leq n, \\ g(x_i) &= x_{i+1}, & 0 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ h(y_j) &= y_{j+1}, & 1 \leq j \leq m-1. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Corollary 6

$$\text{LAut}(L_{n,m}) = \left\{ \sum_{0 \leq j \leq i \leq n} k_{ij} a_{ij} + \sum_{1 \leq t \leq s \leq m} l_{st} b_{st} k_{ij}, \mid l_{st} \in \mathbb{F}, k_{pp}, l_{qq} \in \mathbb{F}^*, 0 \leq j < i \leq n, 1 \leq t < s \leq m, 0 \leq p \leq n, 0 \leq q \leq n \right\} \quad (20)$$

where $a_{ij}(x_j) = x_i, 0 \leq j \leq i \leq n; b_{st}(y_t) = y_s, 1 \leq t \leq s \leq m$.

(1) The automorphism group of L_n is

Corollary 7. Let φ be a linear mapping of L_n .

$$\text{Aut}(L_n) = \left\{ \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} k_i g^i d(a) + (a - k_0)t_0 + \sum_{1 \leq s \leq n} p_s t_s \mid a, k_0 \in \mathbb{F}^*, k_i, p_i \in \mathbb{F}, 1 \leq i \leq n \right\}. \quad (21)$$

where $d(a)(x_i) = a^i x_i, 0 \leq i \leq n; g(x_i) = x_{i+1}, 0 \leq i \leq n-1; t_s(x_0) = x_s, 1 \leq s \leq n$;

(2) The local automorphism group of L_n is

$$\text{LAut}(L_n) = \left\{ \sum_{0 \leq j \leq i \leq n} k_{ij} a_{ij} \mid k_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}, k_{pp} \in \mathbb{F}^*, 0 \leq j < i \leq n, 0 \leq p \leq n \right\}. \quad (22)$$

where $a_{ij}(x_j) = x_i, 0 \leq j \leq i \leq n$;

(3) The linear mapping φ is a linear 2-local automorphism of L_n if and only if there exist $\psi \in \text{Aut}(L_n)$ and $k \in \mathbb{F}$ such that

$$\varphi = \psi + k\sigma, \quad (23)$$

where σ is a linear mapping of L_n whose matrix is $E_{11}, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}^n$, and $a, k, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}$ with $(a+k)a_1 \in \mathbb{F}^*$ such that the matrix of φ is $\bar{A}(a, a_1, \dots, a_n, \alpha) + kE_{11}$.

Proof. From the proof of the above theorems, (1), (2), and the necessity of (3) hold immediately. Next, we only need to prove the sufficiency of (3).

Assume the matrix of φ is $\bar{A}(a, a_1, \dots, a_n, \alpha) + kE_{11}$. For any $X, Y \in \mathbb{F}^{n+1}$, we will find appropriate A_{XY} such that

$$(\bar{A}(a, a_1, \dots, a_n, \alpha) + kE_{11})(X, Y) = A_{XY}(X, Y), \quad (24)$$

where $A_{XY} = \bar{A}(a', a'_1, \dots, a'_n, \alpha')$ with $a' a'_1 \neq 0$, and $a', a'_1, \dots, a'_n, \alpha'$ are all related to X and Y . \square

Case 8. If X and Y are linear dependent, then by Theorem 3, the existence of A_{XY} is obvious.

Case 9. If X and Y are linear independent, then without loss of generality, we only need to consider the case of

$$\begin{aligned} X &= e_i + \sum_{k=i+1}^{n+1} x_k e_k, \\ Y &= e_{i+s} + \sum_{k=i+s+1}^{n+1} y_k e_k, \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where $1 \leq s \leq n+1-i, 1 \leq i \leq n$.

Subcase 10. $i > 1$. Put $A_{XY} = \bar{A}(a, a_1, \dots, a_n, \alpha)$, and, therefore, (24) holds.

Subcase 11. $i = 1$. Denote $\alpha = (u_1, \dots, u_n)^T$. We will find $a', a'_1, \dots, a'_n, \alpha' = (u'_1, \dots, u'_n)^T$ such that (24) holds, i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} a' = a + k, \\ u'_1 + a'_1 x_2 = u_1 + a_1 x_2, \\ u'_2 + a'_2 x_2 + a' a'_1 x_3 = u_2 + a_2 x_2 + a a_1 x_3, \\ u'_3 + a'_3 x_2 + a' a'_2 x_3 + (a')^2 a'_1 x_4 = u_3 + a_3 x_2 + a a_2 x_3 + a^2 a_1 x_4, \\ \dots\dots\dots, \\ u'_n + a'_n x_2 + a' a'_{n-1} x_3 + \dots + (a')^{n-1} a'_1 x_{n+1} = u_n + a_n x_2 + a a_{n-1} x_3 + \dots + a^{n-1} a_1 x_{n+1}, \end{cases} \tag{26}$$

$$\begin{cases} (a')^{i+s-2} a'_1 = a^{i+s-2} a_1, \\ (a')^{i+s-2} a'_2 + (a')^{i+s-1} a'_1 y_{i+s+1} = a^{i+s-2} a_2 + a^{i+s-1} a_1 a y_{i+s+1}, \\ \dots\dots\dots, \\ (a')^{i+s-2} a'_{n+2-i-s} + (a')^{i+s-1} a'_{n+1-i-s} y_{i+s+1} + \dots + (a')^{n-1} a'_1 y_{n+1} \\ = a^{i+s-2} a_{n+2-i-s} + a^{i+s-1} a_{n+1-i-s} y_{i+s+1} + \dots + a^{n-1} a_1 y_{n+1}. \end{cases} \tag{27}$$

First, we find $a' = a + k$. Then, it is easy to find $a'_1, \dots, a'_{n+2-i-s}$ to satisfy (27) and $a'_1 \neq 0$. Put $a'_{n+2-i-s} = \dots = a'_n = 0$. Finally, it is easy to find u'_1, \dots, u'_n to satisfy (26).

3. Local Superderivations and Linear 2-Local Superderivations of $L_{n,m}$

Suppose $a, a_i, c_i, d_i, b_j \in \mathbb{F}, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m, \alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}^n, \beta \in \mathbb{F}^{m-n}$. Denote

$$\begin{aligned} J(d_1, \dots, d_n) &= \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & & & & & \\ d_2 & d_1 & & & & \\ \vdots & d_2 & d_1 & & & \\ d_{n-1} & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ d_n & d_{n-1} & \dots & d_2 & d_1 & \end{pmatrix}, \\ L(a, n) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & & \\ & a & & & & \\ & & 2a & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & (n-1)a & \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

$$B(a, b_1, \dots, b_m) = J(b_1, \dots, b_m) + L(a, m),$$

$$A(a, a_1, \dots, a_n, \alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} a & & & \\ \alpha & B(a, a_1, \dots, a_n) & & \end{pmatrix}, \tag{29}$$

$$D(d_1, \dots, d_n) = \begin{pmatrix} O & \\ J(d_1, \dots, d_n) & O \end{pmatrix}_{(n+1) \times m}, \tag{30}$$

$$C(c_1, \dots, c_n, \beta, \gamma) = \begin{pmatrix} \beta & \\ \gamma & J(c_1, \dots, c_n) \end{pmatrix}_{m \times (n+1)}. \tag{31}$$

As early as 1996, Goze and Khakimdjanoj had characterized derivations of L_n in [31]. The following lemma comes from [31].

Lemma 12

$$\text{Der}(L_n) = \text{span}\{adx_0, adx_1, \dots, adx_{n-1}, h_2, h_3, \dots, h_{n-1}, t_1, t_2, t_3\}, \tag{32}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} adx_i(x_j) &= [x_i, x_j], \quad 0 \leq j \leq n, 0 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ h_k(e_i) &= e_{i+k}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-k, \\ t_1(e_j) &= e_j, t_1(e_0) = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n, \\ t_2(e_0) &= e_0, \\ t_2(e_i) &= (i-1)e_i, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n, \\ t_3(e_0) &= e_1. \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

From this lemma, we can easily get the following conclusion.

Corollary 13. *Let φ be a linear mapping of L_n . Then, $\varphi \in \text{Der}(L_n)$ if and only if there exist $a, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^n$ such that the matrix of φ is $A(a, a_1, \dots, a_n, \alpha)$.*

Next, we will characterize the matrix form of the superderivation of $L_{n,m}$.

Theorem 14. *Let \mathcal{D} be a linear mapping of $L_{n,m}$, then \mathcal{D} is a superderivation if and only if its matrix is of the form $(A \oplus B) + (D \oplus C)$, where A, D, C , and B are in the forms of (28)–(31), respectively.*

Proof. Clearly, a direct verification can prove the sufficiency, and so we only need to prove the necessity of the theorem.

If $\mathcal{D} \in \text{Der}(L_{n,m})$, we can assume that the matrix of \mathcal{D} is $(A \oplus B) + (D \oplus C)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_{10} & a_{20} & \cdots & a_{n0} \\ a_1 & a_{11} & a_{21} & \cdots & a_{n1} \\ a_2 & a_{12} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{n2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_n & a_{1n} & a_{2n} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}, \\ B &= \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{21} & \cdots & b_{m1} \\ b_{12} & b_{22} & \cdots & b_{m2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ b_{1m} & b_{2m} & \cdots & b_{mm} \end{pmatrix}, \\ C &= \begin{pmatrix} c_{01} & c_{11} & \cdots & c_{n1} \\ c_{02} & c_{12} & \cdots & c_{n2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ c_{0m} & c_{1m} & \cdots & c_{nm} \end{pmatrix}, \\ D &= \begin{pmatrix} d_{10} & d_{20} & \cdots & d_{m0} \\ d_{11} & d_{21} & \cdots & d_{m1} \\ d_{12} & d_{22} & \cdots & d_{m2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ d_{1n} & d_{2n} & \cdots & d_{mn} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

First, we will deduce the form of the matrix of even derivation.

By Corollary 13,

$$A = A(a_0, a_{11}, \dots, a_{1n}, \alpha), \tag{35}$$

where $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_n)^T$.

Using

$[\mathcal{D}_0(x_0), y_i] + [x_0, \mathcal{D}_0(y_i)] = \mathcal{D}_0([x_0, y_i]) = \mathcal{D}_0(y_{i+1})$, we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} b_{i+1,1} &= 0, b_{i+1,k+1} = b_{ik}, b_{i+1,i+1} \\ &= a_0 + b_{ii}, \quad 1 \leq i, k \leq m-1 \text{ and } k \neq i. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

That is,

$$B = B(a_0, b_{11}, \dots, b_{1m}). \tag{37}$$

Next, we will deduce the form of the matrix of odd derivation. Similar to the above process, substituting $(x, y) = (x_0, x_i), (x_0, x_n), (y_1, y_k), (x_0, y_m), (x_0, y_j)$ into the next equation successively,

$$[\mathcal{D}_1(x), y] + (-1)^{|x|} [x, \mathcal{D}_1(y)] = \mathcal{D}_1([x, y]), \tag{38}$$

then we can get the following equations in turn:

$$\begin{aligned} c_{i+1,1} &= 0, c_{i+1,s+1} = c_{is}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1, 1 \leq s \leq m-1, \\ c_{n1} &= \dots = c_{n,n-1} = 0, \\ d_{k0} &= 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq m, \\ d_{m1} &= \dots = d_{m,n-1} = 0, \\ d_{j+1,0} &= d_{j+1,1} = 0, d_{j+1,t+1} = d_{jt}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq t \leq n-1. \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} D &= D(d_{11}, \dots, d_{1n}), \\ C &= C(c_{nm}, c_{n-1,m}, \dots, c_{1m}, \beta, \gamma), \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

where $|x|$ refers the degree of x , $(\beta^T, \gamma^T) = (c_{01}, c_{02}, \dots, c_{0m})$.

By (35), (37), and (40), we complete the proof of the necessity of the theorem. \square

Theorem 15. *Let φ be a linear mapping of $L_{n,m}$ whose matrix is $(A \oplus B) + (D \oplus C)$, where B is an $m \times m$ matrix. Then, $\varphi \in \text{LDer}(L_{n,m})$ if and only if A and B are both lower triangular matrices, and D and C are of the form*

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & & \\ & v_{m-n} & & & & \\ v_{m-n+1} & c_{11} & & & & \\ v_{m-n+2} & c_{21} & c_{22} & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & \\ v_m & c_{n1} & c_{n2} & \cdots & c_{nm} & \end{pmatrix}, \\ D &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & & \\ d_{11} & & & & & \\ d_{21} & d_{22} & & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & & \\ d_{n1} & d_{n2} & \cdots & d_{nm} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

respectively.

Proof. First, we prove the necessity of the theorem. If $\varphi \in \text{LDer}(L_{n,m})$, then for any $X = (k_0, k_1, \dots, k_n, l_1, \dots, l_m)^T \in \mathbb{F}^{n+m+1}$, there exist A_X, B_X, C_X , and D_X such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ D & B \end{pmatrix}_X = \begin{pmatrix} A_X & C_X \\ D_X & B_X \end{pmatrix}_X, \tag{42}$$

where A_X, B_X, C_X , and D_X are of the forms A, B, C , and D in Theorem 14, respectively.

If we let $l_1 = \dots = l_m = 0$, then by the arbitrariness of k_0, k_1, \dots, k_n and (43) and in a similar way to the proof of Theorem 3, we obtain that A and D have the required forms.

Similarly, if we let $k_0 = k_1 = \dots = k_n = 0$, then by the arbitrariness of l_1, \dots, l_m in (43) and in a similar way to the proof of Theorem 3, we deduce that C and B have the required forms.

Next, we will prove the sufficiency of the theorem.

For any $X_1 \in \mathbb{F}^{n+1}$, in a similar way to prove Lemma 1, we have A_{X_1} and D_{X_1} such that $AX_1 = A_{X_1}X_1$ and $DX_1 = D_{X_1}X_1$, where A_{X_1} and D_{X_1} are of the forms A and D in Theorem 14, respectively.

Similarly, for any $X_2 \in \mathbb{F}^m$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}$ which is $(1, 1)$ -entry of A_{X_1} , there exist C_{X_2} and B_{X_2} such that $CX_2 = C_{X_2}X_2$ and $BX_2 = B_{X_2}X_2$, where C_{X_2} and B_{X_2} are of the forms C and B in Theorem 14, respectively.

Thus, for any $X = (X_1^T X_2^T)^T \in \mathbb{F}^{n+1+m}$, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ D & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} AX_1 + CX_2 \\ DX_1 + BX_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{X_1}X_1 + C_{X_2}X_2 \\ D_{X_1}X_1 + B_{X_2}X_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{X_1} & C_{X_2} \\ D_{X_1} & B_{X_2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (44)$$

Hence, $\varphi \in \text{LDer}(L_{n,m})$.

□ **Corollary 16**

$$\text{Der}(L_{n,m}) = \text{span}\{adx_i, ady_j, a^k, b^l, c_t, d_s, g, h, t, u, v \mid 1 \leq i, k \leq n-1, 1 \leq j, l \leq m-1, 1 \leq s, t \leq n\}, \quad (45)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} adx_i(x_j) &= [x_i, x_j], adx_i(y_k) = [x_i, y_k], \quad 0 \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq m, 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ ady_j(x_i) &= [y_j, x_i], ady_j(y_k) \\ a(x_i) &= x_{i+1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ b(y_j) &= y_{j+1}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m-1, \\ d_s(y_1) &= x_s, d_s(y_2) = x_{s+1}, \dots, d_s(y_{n+1-s}) = x_n, \quad 1 \leq s \leq n, \\ c_t(x_1) &= y_{m+1-t}, c_t(x_2) = y_{m+2-t}, \dots, c_t(x_t) = y_m, \quad 1 \leq t \leq n, \\ g(x_0) &= x_0, g(x_i) = (i-1)x_i, \\ g(y_j) &= (j-1)y_j, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n, 2 \leq j \leq m, \\ h(x_i) &= x_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ t(y_j) &= y_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m, \\ u(x_0) &= x_1; v(x_0) = y_1. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Corollary 17

$$\text{LDer}(L_{n,m}) = \text{span}\{a_{ij}, b_{kl}, c_{p,m-n+q}, d_{s,n+t}, h_u \mid 0 \leq j \leq i \leq n, n+1 \leq l \leq k \leq n+m, 1 \leq t \leq s \leq n, 1 \leq q \leq p \leq n, 1 \leq u \leq m\}, \quad (47)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_{ij}(x_i) &= x_j, & 0 \leq j \leq i \leq n, \\
 b_{kl}(y_k) &= x_l, & 1 \leq l \leq k \leq m, \\
 h_u(x_0) &= y_{n+u}, & 1 \leq u \leq m, \\
 c_{p,m-n+q}(x_p) &= y_{m-n+q}, & 1 \leq q \leq p \leq n, \\
 d_{s,n+t}(y_s) &= y_{n+t}, & 1 \leq t \leq s \leq n.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{48}$$

Theorem 18. Let φ be a linear mapping of $L_{n,m}$. Then, φ is a linear 2-local superderivation of $L_{n,m}$ if and only if there exist $\psi \in \text{Der}(L_{n,m})$ and $k \in \mathbb{F}$ such that

$$\varphi = \psi + k\sigma, \tag{49}$$

where σ is a linear mapping of $L_{n,m}$ whose matrix is E_{11} .

Proof. If φ is a linear 2-local superderivation of $L_{n,m}$, then by Theorem 18, we can assume that the matrix of $L_{n,m}$ is $(A \oplus B) + (D \oplus C)$, where A and B are both lower triangular matrices, and D and C are of the forms (41) and (42), respectively. Thus, for any $X, Y \in \mathbb{F}^{n+m+1}$, there exist A_{XY}, D_{XY}, C_{XY} , and B_{XY} such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ D & B \end{pmatrix} (X, Y) = \begin{pmatrix} A_{XY} & C_{XY} \\ D_{XY} & B_{XY} \end{pmatrix} (X, Y), \tag{50}$$

where A_{XY}, D_{XY}, C_{XY} , and B_{XY} are of the forms of (28)–(31), respectively.

Denote $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & O \\ \alpha & A_1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $A_1 = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}$ with $a_{ij} = 0 (i < j)$. For any $i \in \{2, 3, \dots, n-1\}$, substituting $X = e_i, Y = e_{i+1}$ into (50), we have $a_{i,i-1} = a_{i+1,i}$. Then, for any $j \in \{2, 3, \dots, n-1\}$, substituting $X = e_j + e_{j+1}, Y = e_{j+1} + e_{j+2}$ into (50), we have $a_{j+1,j+1} - a_{jj} = a_{jj} - a_{j-1,j-1}$. Denote $\alpha = (u_1, \dots, u_n)^T, a_{22} - a_{11} = k, a_{s1} = a_s, 1 \leq s \leq n$. Thus,

$$A = A(k, a_1, \dots, a_n, \alpha) + (a - k)E_{11}. \tag{51}$$

Similarly, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
 C &= C(c_1, \dots, c_n, \beta, \gamma), \\
 D &= D(d_1, \dots, d_n), \\
 B &= B(l, b_1, \dots, b_n).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{52}$$

If $m - n = 1$, substituting $X = e_2 + e_{n+3}, Y = e_3 + e_{n+4}$ into (50), we have $k = l$. Else if $m - n \neq 1$, substituting $X = e_2 + e_{n+2}, Y = e_3 + e_{n+3}$ into (50), we have $k = l$. Thus, φ is desired.

Next, we will prove the sufficiency of the theorem. Assume the matrix of φ is $(A \oplus B) + (D \oplus C)$, where C, D , and A are the same as in (51) and (52), respectively, and $B = B(k, b_1, \dots, b_n)$. For any $X, Y \in \mathbb{F}^{n+m+1}$, we want to find A_{XY}, B_{XY}, C_{XY} and D_{XY} such that (50) holds, where A_{XY}, D_{XY}, C_{XY} , and B_{XY} are of the forms (29)–(31), respectively. \square

Case 19. If X and Y are linear dependent, then by Theorem 18, the existence of A_{XY}, B_{XY}, C_{XY} , and D_{XY} is obvious.

Case 20. If X and Y are linear independent, then without loss of generality, we only need to consider the case of

$$\begin{aligned}
 X &= e_i + \sum_{k=i+1}^{n+m+1} x_k e_k, \\
 Y &= e_{i+s} + \sum_{k=i+s+1}^{n+m+1} y_k e_k,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{53}$$

where $1 \leq s \leq n + m + 1 - i, 1 \leq i \leq n + m$.

Subcase 21. $i > 1$. Put $A_{XY} = A - (a - k)E_{11}, B_{XY} = B, C_{XY} = C$ and $D_{XY} = D$, and, therefore, (50) holds.

Subcase 22. $i = 1$ and $s \leq n$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_{XY} &= A(a', a'_1, \dots, a'_n, \alpha'), D_{XY} = D(d'_1, \dots, d'_n) \\
 C_{XY} &= C(c'_1, \dots, c'_n, \beta', \gamma'), B_{XY} = B(a', b'_1, \dots, b'_n).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{54}$$

We will choose appropriate $a', \alpha, \beta, \gamma, a'_i, c'_i, d'_i, b'_j, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m$ such that (50) holds.

Put $a' = a$. For any $a'_i, c'_i, n - s + 2 \leq i \leq n$, it is easy to choose appropriate $a'_k, c'_k, d'_i, b'_j, 1 \leq k \leq n - s + 1, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ D & B \end{pmatrix} Y = \begin{pmatrix} A_{XY} & C_{XY} \\ D_{XY} & B_{XY} \end{pmatrix} Y, \tag{55}$$

then we can choose α', β', γ' such that (50) holds.

Subcase 23. $i = 1$ and $s > n$. Similar to the proof in Subcase 22, we can achieve the goal.

From the proof of the above theorems, we get the following conclusion immediately.

Corollary 24. Let φ be a linear mapping of L_n . Then,

- (1) $\varphi \in \text{Der}(L_n)$ if and only if there exist $a, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^n$ such that the matrix of φ is $A(a, a_1, \dots, a_n, \alpha)$;
- (2) $\varphi \in \text{LDer}(L_n)$ if and only if the matrix of φ is a lower triangular matrix;
- (3) φ is a linear 2-local automorphism of L_n if and only if there exist $a, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, k \in \mathbb{F}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^n$ such that the matrix of φ is $A(a, a_1, \dots, a_n, \alpha) + kE_{11}$.

Data Availability

No data were used to support the findings of this study.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the NSF of Hainan Province of China (nos. 121MS0784 and 120RC587), the NSF of Heilongjiang Province of China (no. LH2020A020), and the NSF of China (no. 12061029).

References

- [1] M. Vergne, "Cohomologie des algèbres de Lie nilpotentes. Application à l'étude de la variété des algèbres de Lie nilpotentes," *The Bulletin of the Société Mathématique de France*, vol. 98, pp. 81–116, 1970.
- [2] M. Gilg, "On deformations of the filiform Lie Superalgebra $L_{n,m}$," *Communications in Algebra*, vol. 32, no. 6, pp. 2099–2115, 2004.
- [3] Y. Khakimjanov and R. M. Navarro, "A complete description of all the infinitesimal deformations of the Lie superalgebra," *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 131–141, 2010.
- [4] Q. Wang, H. J. Chen, and W. D. Liu, "On representations of the filiform Lie superalgebra $L_{n,m}$," *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, vol. 97, pp. 93–104, 2015.
- [5] Y. Yang and W. D. Liu, "On cohomology of filiform Lie superalgebras," *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, vol. 134, pp. 212–234, 2018.
- [6] W. D. Liu and Y. Yang, "Cohomology of model filiform Lie superalgebras," *Journal of Algebra and Its Applications*, vol. 17, no. 04, Article ID 1850074, 2018.
- [7] G. F. Leger and E. M. Luks, "Generalized derivations of Lie algebras," *Journal of Algebra*, vol. 228, no. 1, pp. 165–203, 2000.
- [8] R. X. Zhang and Y. Z. Zhang, "Generalized derivations of Lie superalgebras," *Communications in Algebra*, vol. 38, no. 10, pp. 3737–3751, 2010.
- [9] H. L. Chang, Y. Chen, and R. X. Zhang, "A generalization on derivations of Lie algebras," *Electronic Research Archive*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 2457–2473, 2021.
- [10] R. V. Kadison, "Local derivations," *Journal of Algebra*, vol. 130, no. 2, pp. 494–509, 1990.
- [11] D. R. Larson and A. R. Sourour, "Local derivations and local automorphisms of $B(X)$," *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics*, vol. 51, pp. 187–194, 1990.
- [12] A. I. Loginov and V. S. Šulman, "Hereditary and intermediate reflexivity of W^* -algebras," *Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya*, vol. 9, no. 6, pp. 1189–1201, 1975.
- [13] D. Hadwin, "Algebraically reflexive linear transformations," *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 225–233, 1983.
- [14] P. Šemrl, "Local automorphisms and derivations on $B(H)$," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 125, no. 9, pp. 2677–2680, 1997.
- [15] L. Molnár, "Local automorphisms of operator algebras on Banach spaces," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 131, no. 6, pp. 1867–1874, 2003.
- [16] S. Ayupov and K. Kudaybergenov, "2-local derivations and automorphisms on $B(H)$," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 395, no. 1, pp. 15–18, 2012.
- [17] S. O. Kim and J. S. Kim, "Local automorphisms and derivations on M_n ," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 132, no. 5, pp. 1389–1392, 2003.
- [18] Z. X. Chen and D. Y. Wang, "2-Local automorphisms of finite-dimensional simple Lie algebras," *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, vol. 486, pp. 335–344, 2015.
- [19] X. M. Tang, "2-Local derivations on the W-algebra $W(2,2)$," *Journal of Algebra and Its Applications*, vol. 20, no. 12, Article ID 2150237, 2021.
- [20] S. Ayupov, A. Khudoyberdiyev, and B. Yusupov, "Local and 2-local derivations of solvable Leibniz algebras," *International Journal of Algebra and Computation*, vol. 30, no. 06, pp. 1185–1197, 2020.
- [21] S. Ayupov, K. Kudaybergenov, and B. Omirov, "Local and 2-local derivations and automorphisms on simple Leibniz algebras," *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society*, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 2199–2234, 2020.
- [22] S. Ayupov, K. Kudaybergenov, and I. Rakhimov, "2-Local derivations on finite-dimensional Lie algebras," *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, vol. 474, pp. 1–11, 2015.
- [23] S. Ayupov and K. Kudaybergenov, "2-Local automorphisms on finite-dimensional Lie algebras," *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, vol. 507, pp. 121–131, 2016.
- [24] S. Ayupov and K. Kudaybergenov, "Local derivations on finite-dimensional Lie algebras," *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, vol. 493, pp. 381–398, 2016.
- [25] M. Costantini, "Local automorphisms of finite dimensional simple Lie algebras," *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, vol. 562, pp. 123–134, 2019.
- [26] S. Ayupov and A. K. Khudoyberdiyev, "Local derivations on solvable Lie algebras," *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, vol. 69, no. 7, pp. 1286–1301, 2021.
- [27] L. Yu, Y. Wang, H. X. Chen, and J. Z. Nan, "2-Local automorphisms on basic classical Lie superalgebras," *Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series*, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 427–437, 2019.
- [28] H. X. Chen, Y. Wang, and J. Z. Nan, "Local superderivations on basic classical Lie superalgebras," *Algebra Colloquium*, vol. 24, no. 04, pp. 673–684, 2017.
- [29] Y. C. Su, X. Q. Yue, and X. Y. Zhu, "2-Local superderivations on the Lie superalgebra of Block type," *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, vol. 70, no. 21, pp. 6425–6440, 2021.
- [30] J. X. Yuan, L. Y. Chen, and Y. Cao, "Local superderivations on Cartan type Lie superalgebras," *Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina*, vol. 62, no. 2, pp. 433–442, 2021.
- [31] M. Goze and Y. Khakimjanov, *Nilpotent Lie Algebras*, Kluwer Academics Publishers, Dordrecht, Netherlands, 1996.