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Effect of Nanoparticles on Wire Surface Coating Using Viscoelastic Third-Grade Fluid as a Coating Polymer inside Permeable Covering Die with Variable Viscosity and Magnetic Field
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Goal. The parameters of coated wire products are determined by momentum and heat transmission inside dies. As a consequence, it is essential to understand the polymerization movement, heat mass transmission, and wall stress concentration. The wire covering technique necessitates a boost in thermal efficiency. As a result, the goal of this study is to see how nanomaterials affect the heat and mass transfer mechanisms of third-grade liquid in wire coating analysis. The Buongiorno model is adopted for nanofluids. Methodology/approach. Continuity, momentum, energy, and nanoparticle volume fraction concentration is used to establish the governing equations. For highly nonlinear, the numerical methodology bvph2 technique is applied to yield numerical solutions. The impacts of the input parameters on motion, temperature, and volume fraction are examined using pictorial representations. Moreover, using the ND-solve, the numerical results are validated analytically. Findings. In Reynolds Modeling, the stress on the entire wire surface integrated shear forces at the surface dominate Vogel’s model, according to the analytical conclusions of this inquiry. It is observed that the nanomaterials appear to have a favorable impact on wire force throughout the entire surface and shear forces at the surface. The polymer velocity can be increased using a non-Newtonian parameter. The temperature profile is increased in the first half of the segment with larger values of random motion and nonlinear thermal while decreases in the later part. In addition, the Brownian motion component raises the concentration profile, but the thermophoresis factor decreases it. Practical implications. This research could aid in the advancement of wire coating technologies. Originality/value. For the first time, Brownian motion with generation/absorption slippage processes is used to investigate the importance of nanoparticles in wire coating assessment. Two different models are utilized for time-dependent viscosity: Reynolds and Vogel models.

1. Introduction

Studying and analysing non-Newtonian fluids is of significant curiosity together with theoretical and applied viewpoint [1, 2]. Fluid dynamics and material science awareness related to non-Newtonian fluid motion may have major ramifications in a variety of fields, including polymer preparation, protecting and lacquer, ink-jet printing, aerodynamics, homodynamics, turbulent shear stream, slurry and ingredient suspensions, and blood serum. As a result, there has been a lot of focus on these movements, and the bibliography has a lot of work on statistical, theoretical, and
algebraic solutions on the subject [3, 4]. Furthermore, the mobility of such fluids poses significant problems to professionals from a variety of study domains, including computational models, economics, mathematics, and physics. In fact, when contrasted to Newtonian fluids, the equations postulated and produced for non-Newtonian concepts are far more difficult. The modelled equation of non-Newtonian fluids is highly nonlinear, making exact solutions extremely difficult to acquire [5–8]. It is also difficult to obtain extremely precise estimates for viscous liquids regarding the nonlinear and utterly irrelevant nature of the aggregation concept [5–8]. Many scholars have devised analytical and numerical techniques to solve these nonlinear problems for this goal.

Wire coating (an extrusion method) is usually applied in the polymerization sector designed for insulating material and protection against mechanical injury. An uncovered warmed wire is immersed and pulled into the melting resin in this technique. Extruding the heated polymer across a rolling wire is also used for this operation. A conventional wire coating machine has five separate components: a payoff device, a wire preheating instrument, an extrusion, a chilling system, and a pull device. Tubing-type die and pressurized die are the most popular dies used for wire treatments. The latter, which resembles an annulus, is typically utilized wire coating.

As a result, flows through such dies resemble flows across an annular region established by a pair of concentric cylinders. The inner cylinder travels axially, while the exterior cylinder remains stationary. Many researchers [9–14] employed power-law and Newtonian frameworks to describe the rheological behavior of the emulsion polymerization flow in early studies. The wire sealant examination employing a pressure form die is presented in [15, 16]. Following then, [17–20] provided more scientific work on the subject. Mitsoulis [21] also provides a comprehensive overview of heat transmission and melted movement in wire veneer. Akter and Hashmi [22] investigated wire coating using a pressurized die. Later, using a cylindrical unit, Akter and Hashmi investigated melting flow throughout the wire coating processes [23, 24].

Wire shell is an advanced production method for insulating and protecting wires from the environment. The immersion process, coaxial method, and electromagnetic deposit process are the three categories of wire covering. The dipping progression creates a significantly stronger relationship between the continuums, but it is somewhat sluggish in equated to the other two methods. Han and Rao [25] evaluated the issues associated with coating extrusion using a pressurized type die. The extrusion procedure is made up of three parts: the feeder entity, the chamber, then the continuum through a die. Kasajima and Ito [31] investigated the wire coating technique of polymer produced until then. They also focused on how heat transfer affects cooling coatings. Baag and Mishra [32] explored wire coating using the temperature linearly varying at the boundary. Similarly, two-layer coating was investigated by many researchers [33–37].

For recent times, the issue of heat transfer improvement has gotten a lot of attention. Thermoelectric scientists have proposed that nanotube metallic or nonmetallic materials be added to conventional fluids to increase thermal properties since nanoparticles have better thermal conductivity than the base liquid. Nanofluid is the resultant mixture that has improved physicochemical properties. Aziz [38] developed first time the terminology of nanofluid in 1995. Furthermore, a special type of nanofluid known as hybrid nanofluid is studied to boost thermal efficiency. Some other related studies can be found in [39–53].

This investigation was aimed at studying the effects of nanomaterials proceeding the MHD third-grade fluid in a pressurized sort die during the wire surface layer using Brownian motion in addition to heat conduction.

Numerical solutions have been achieved via Runge-Kutta 4th order scheme [48–52]. Reynolds, as well as Vogel’s models, compensates for variable viscosity as well. Such an endeavor has still not been constructed to the aim to contribute. Before being attempted analytically, the relevant resulting equations are made dimensionless by suitable transformation factors. The effect of various parameters accessing the problem is investigated in two situations: (1) the Reynolds model and (2) Vogel’s model.

2. Modeling of the Problem

Figure 1 depicts the flow problem’s geometry, wherein the wire is pulled within a cylinder fed with third-grade nanofluid as a coating substance. The electrical field is presented towards the fluid in a normal direction. Because of the low Reynolds number, the reto magnetic field is expected to be insignificant, which really is adequate in the experiment. The location of the continuum is believed to be concentrically situated. \((Rw, \theta w, \phi w)\) and \((Rd, \theta d, \phi d)\) are the radius, temperature, and volume fraction of the wire as well as die, compatibly.

\(Uw\) is also the speed of the said wire as it is inserted along the central path of the die. The emulsion polymerization flow should be axisymmetric, continuous, and homogeneous. Han and Rao [12] evaluate the velocity, additional stress tensor, heating rate, and volume fraction of nanomaterials:

\[
\bar{w} = [0, 0, w(r)], S = S(r), T = T(r).
\]
Substance to constraints
\[ w = U w, \theta = \theta w, \phi = \phi w \text{ at } r = R w \]
\[ w = 0, \theta = \theta d, \phi = \phi d \text{ at } r = R d. \]  

Regarding third-grade liquid, the stress tensor \( S \) is described as
\[ S = \eta A_1 + \alpha_1 A_2 + \alpha_2 A_1 + \tau_1 A_2 + \tau_2 (A_1 A_2 + A_2 A_1) + \tau_3 (tr A_2) A_1. \]  

The governing parameters that apply are as follows [5–12]:
\[ \nabla \cdot w = 0, \]  
\[ \rho f \frac{Dq}{Dt} = -\nabla p + F + j \times B, \]
\[ (\rho c_p)_0 \frac{D\theta}{Dt} = k \nabla^2 \theta + \phi + (\rho c_p)_0 \left[ D_h \nabla \theta . \nabla \phi + \left( \frac{DT}{\partial \theta} \right) \nabla \theta . \nabla \theta \right], \]
\[ \frac{D\phi}{Dt} = D_h \nabla^2 \phi + \left( \frac{D_T}{\partial \phi} \right) \nabla^2 \theta. \]  

The parameters involved in the foregoing balances are well-defined in the nomenclature given at the end of the article.

The electrical field is presented in a positive radially normal direction towards the wire, and the resultant magnetic force is believed to be insignificant. As a result, effective body force is determined by
\[ J \times B = (0, 0, -\sigma B_0^2 w). \]  

The dissipation factor with tensor components are as regards:
\[ S_{rz} = \mu \left( \frac{dw}{dr} \right)^2 + 2(\beta_2 + \beta_3) \left( \frac{dw}{dr} \right)^3, \]  
\[ S_{rr} = (\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_1) \left( \frac{dw}{dr} \right)^2, \]  
\[ S_{zz} = \alpha_2 \left( \frac{dw}{dr} \right)^2, \]  
\[ \phi = \mu \left( \frac{dw}{dr} \right)^2 + 2(\beta_2 + \beta_3) \left( \frac{dw}{dr} \right)^4. \]  

In light of the foregoing relationships, the equation of motion (5) yields
\[ 2(\beta_2 + \beta_3) \frac{d}{dr} \left( r \left( \frac{dw}{dr} \right)^3 \right) + \frac{\eta}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \left( r \frac{dw}{dr} \right) - \sigma B_0^2 u = \frac{dp}{dz}, \]
\[ -2(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3) \frac{\eta}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \left( r \frac{dw}{dr} \right) = \frac{dp}{dr}. \]

The flow is caused by the pressure difference, as shown by expression (13). Because there is just pull of a wire after it leaves the die, the pressure difference in the axially is insignificant. As a result, the expression (13) can be reduced to
\[ 2(\beta_2 + \beta_3) \frac{d}{dr} \left( r \left( \frac{dw}{dr} \right)^3 \right) + \frac{\eta}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \left( r \frac{dw}{dr} \right) - \sigma B_0^2 u = 0. \]

In view of equation (10), the energy equation (7) becomes
\[
\begin{align*}
&k \left( \frac{d^2 \theta}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{d \theta}{dr} \right) + \mu \left( \frac{dw}{dr} \right)^2 + 2(\beta_2 + \beta_3) \left( \frac{dw}{dr} \right)^4 \\
&+ (\rho c_p) \left( D_b \frac{d \phi}{dr} + D_f \frac{d \theta}{dr} \right)^2 = 0, \\
&D_r \left( \frac{d^2 \theta}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{d \theta}{dr} \right) + D_f \frac{d \theta}{dr} \left( \frac{d \theta}{dr} \right)^2 = 0.
\end{align*}
\]
The existing work all of the nodes in the given in Table 2. The iteration procedure was stopped until in MATHLAB SOFTWARE by taking step size \( \Delta \). Runge-Kutta fourth order method is used built in package differential equations, the RK4 method is one of the most suc-

\[ d^2 \theta \over dr^2 + \frac{1}{r} \frac{d \theta}{dr} + m \left( 1 - \frac{H}{r^2} \right) Br \left( \frac{dw}{dr} \right)^2 + 2Br \beta \left( \frac{dw}{dr} \right)^4 \]

\[ + Nb \frac{d \theta}{dr} + Nt \left( \frac{d \theta}{dr} \right)^2 = 0, \]

\[ \phi \left( 1 \right) = 1, \theta \left( 1 \right) = 0, \phi \left( \delta \right) = 0, \theta \left( \delta \right) = 1, \phi \left( \delta \right) = 1. \]

Also equations (26) and (27) become

\[ S_{r=\omega} = \frac{S_{\omega} U_{\omega}}{\mu_{r_{c=\omega}}} \left[ \frac{(1 - \beta m \theta) D_r \theta}{r} \frac{dw}{dr} + 2\beta \left( \frac{dw}{dr} \right)^3 \right]_{r=1}, \]

\[ F_w = \frac{F_{\omega}}{2 \pi R U_{\omega}} \left[ \frac{(1 - \beta m \theta) D_r \theta}{r} \frac{dw}{dr} + 2\beta \left( \frac{dw}{dr} \right)^3 \right]_{r=1}. \]

### Table 1: Nomenclature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A₁, A₂, A₃</th>
<th>Kinematic tensors</th>
<th>B₀</th>
<th>Magnetic field strength</th>
<th>D₁</th>
<th>Thermophoretic diffusion coefficient</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>Velocity vector</th>
<th>J</th>
<th>Current density</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B:</td>
<td>Magnetic field</td>
<td>cp: Specific heat</td>
<td>Dᵋ: Brownian diffusion coefficient</td>
<td>L:</td>
<td>Force</td>
<td>r: Radial direction</td>
<td>k: Thermal conductivity</td>
<td>w: Velocity component</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br:</td>
<td>Brinkman number</td>
<td>Dᵋ: Brownian diffusion coefficient</td>
<td>D, B, B*: Vogel’s model viscosity parameters</td>
<td>T:</td>
<td>Transpose of the matrix</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M:</td>
<td>Magnetic parameter</td>
<td>Nt: Thermophoresis parameter</td>
<td>p: Pressure</td>
<td>S: Stress tensor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb:</td>
<td>Brownian motion parameter</td>
<td>m: Reynolds model viscosity parameter</td>
<td>q: Velocity field;</td>
<td>ϕ: Nanoparticle volume fraction field</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ:</td>
<td>Viscosity</td>
<td>μᵋ: Reference viscosity</td>
<td>θ: Temperature field</td>
<td>φ: Dissipation function</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σ:</td>
<td>Electrical conductivity</td>
<td>β: non-Newtonian parameter</td>
<td>Ω: Vogel’s based viscosity parameter</td>
<td>ρ: Density</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z:</td>
<td>Axial direction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Numerical comparison of HAM, RK4 Methods, and published work [28].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>r</th>
<th>Brph2</th>
<th>ND-solve</th>
<th>Published work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.57352365</td>
<td>0.57352355</td>
<td>0.57352355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.40325491</td>
<td>0.40325480</td>
<td>0.40325491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.32109323</td>
<td>0.32109322</td>
<td>0.32109321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.21036271</td>
<td>0.21036601</td>
<td>0.21036270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0131*10⁻²¹</td>
<td>0.0020*⁻²⁵</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Numerical Procedure and Validations of the Method

In several physical problems, the consequent differential equations are significantly nonlinear. It is challenging for investigators and scientists in computing analytical or numerical approaches to such situations. For estimating the numerical solution of nonlinear partial and ordinary differential equations, the RK4 method is one of the most successful computational methods. For the numerical analysis, Runge-Kutta fourth order method is used built in package in MATHLAB SOFTWARE by taking step size \( \Delta \eta = 0.01 \). The existing work’s calculation is determined by the comparison between existing and published work [28] as given in Table 2. The iteration procedure was stopped until all of the nodes in the \( \eta \)-direction met the convergence condition \( 10^{-5} \). Additionally, the HAM method is also applied for confirmation of the method as given in Figures 2(a) and 2(b).

The Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg strategy is used to solve the multidegree differential equation system specified in equations (23 and 24) and (31–33), for this purpose, following transformations are applied:

\[ c₁ = w, c₂ = w', c₃ = \theta, c₄ = \theta', c₅ = \phi \text{ and } c₆ = \phi'. \]

As a result, we get the following.

\[ c₁' = c₄, \]

\[ c₂' = \frac{M c₁ r + \beta (\Omega c₂) c₄ + (\beta \Omega c₂ - 1)c₂ - 2\beta c₂^2}{r \left[ 1 + M \left( 1 + 6 \beta c₂^2 - \beta \Omega c₂ \right) \right]} , \]

\[ c₃' = c₁, \]

\[ c₄' = -\frac{1}{r} c₄ + Br(1 - \beta \Omega c₂)c₂^2 + 2\beta \Omega Br c₂^4 + Nbc₄ c₆ + Nt c₄^2 , \]

\[ c₅' = c₆, \]

\[ c₆' = -\frac{1}{r} c₆ + Nt \frac{Nt}{Nb} \left( c₅' + \frac{1}{r} c₄ \right) . \]
Figure 2: (a) Comparison of RK4 and HAM methods. (b) Comparison of bvph2 and ND-solve methods.

Figure 3: Consequence of $m$ on velocity for RM.

Figure 4: Consequence of $m$ on temperature in RM.
Figure 5: Consequence of $m$ on concentration in RM.

Figure 6: Consequence of $\Omega$ on velocity in VM.

Figure 7: Consequence of $\Omega$ on temperature in VM.
Transferred boundary conditions are

\[ \varsigma_1(1) = 1, \varsigma_2(1) = \alpha_1, \varsigma_3(1) = 0, \varsigma_4(1) = \alpha_2, \varsigma_5(1) = 0, \varsigma_6(1) = \alpha_3. \]  

(38)

The best guess estimates for the uncertainties \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \) and \( \alpha_3 \) are determined, and afterwards, the shooting mechanism is used to determine them.

4. Results and Discussion

For the two scenarios, RM and VM, the inspiration of essential factors on speed, heat, and nanoparticle concentration
outlines is explored in the occurrence and nonappearance of attractive field. The shear stress happening in the superficial of the total wire and the size of the Nusselt number on the external are estimated both for Reynolds and Vogel’s model situations. The shear force happening in the surface of the total wire is proportional to \( w'(r) \), as shown by equations (26), (27), (34), and (35). As a result, shear stress on a total wire surface has the same characteristic as \( w'(1) \).

Figures 3–5 show the effect of \( m \), viscosity factor on the velocity profile \( (w(r)) \), temperature profile \( (\theta(r)) \), and concentration profile \( (\phi(r)) \) distributions for Reynolds model, respectively. The higher values of \( m \) indicate an upsurge in

---

**Figure 11:** Consequence of \( Nb \) on concentration in RM.

**Figure 12:** Consequence of \( Nb \) on concentration in VM.

**Figure 13:** Consequence of \( Nt \) on temperature in RM.
velocity field but decreases in heat and concentration profiles. Because increasing the variable viscosity component lowers the bulk viscosity, the velocity of the fluid rises as a consequence, and the temperature and concentration profile decreases. Both the existence and absence of magnetism produce the same descriptive trend as shown in Figures 3 to 5. It is price mentioning that the in fallouts of the current study, the movement and thermal measurements match those of Shah et al. [28] study on the effect of the friction factor.

Figures 6–8 show a pictorial representation of the variances of $\Omega$ scheduled velocity, temperature, and concentration outlines. Figure 6 shows that the fluid velocity grows in the constituency $1 \leq r < 1.5$, but this behavior observed retreat in the lasting of the region. It is observed from graphs
7 and 8 that the temperature profile increases while the concentration profiles decrease both in the presence and absence of magnetic field. Furthermore, while comparing the effects of the Reynolds model case and Vogel model case on the velocity field, we revealed that the melting velocity across the die enhances for the Reynolds model but is constrained somewhat for the Vogel model, especially near the die boundary.

Figures 9 and 10 show the variation of temperature profiles for various values of \( Nb \). It is observed that the heat
transfer inside the die increases with the increasing values of Nb. It is also investigated that the temperature profiles increase in the region $1 \leq r < 1.4$ for Reynolds and Vogel situations; however, the behavior in the rest of the state is the total opposite. Furthermore, in the RM situation, the temperature field, $\theta(r)$, overcomes the Vogel case. With increasing Nb, the stochastic collision among nanoparticles and liquid molecules increases, causing a flow to become heated and the nanoparticle’s concentration field to decrease (see Figures 11 and 12). Furthermore, the magnetism has no discernible effect on the $\phi(r)$ field at any location on the die.

As shown in Figures 13 and 14, the significance of $Nt$ on heat transfer rate is similar to that of Nb. The convective heat transfer force is a force that causes nanomaterials to
mass molecular diffusion of third-grade fluid through nanoparticle concentration is investigated. Variable viscosity has a significant impact on all fluid flow. Viscosity influences can efficiently control the heat transport of resin in a die. For bigger values of random motion and thermal radiation, the temperature gradient is enhanced in the first quarter of the section, but negative behavior occurs in the second half. Furthermore, the Brownian motion factor increases the concentration profile, but the thermophoresis factor shows a decrease. It is perceived that when the viscosity factor increases, the polymer melt flow increases, but the heat as well as concentration profile decreases. Because of increasing the variable viscosity component lowers the bulk viscosity, as a consequence, the fluid velocity rises whereas the temperature and concentration fields decrease. From this study, it is also analyzed that fluid velocity grows in the constituency $1 \leq r < 1.5$, but this behavior observed retreat in the lasting.

It is also investigated that the temperature profile increases while the concentration profiles decrease both in the occurrence and deficiency of magnetic field. The influence of $\beta$ on velocity is additional perceptible in the Reynolds model than in the Vogel model. The non-Newtonian feature implies that the coating polymer movement can be increased. A larger amount of $Br$ enhances the $\theta_1(r)$ profile since the Brinkman number denotes the relative value of viscous heating by conduction of heat. In Vogel’s model, the thermoelectric field varies more strongly than in the Reynolds models case. In RM, the force happening on the total surface of wire and shear stress at the surface is greater than the VM. When RM prevails over VM, the influence of nanomaterials is positive for force on the entire wire and shear forces at the surface.
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**5. Concluded Remarks**

Regarding RM and VM situations, the significance of temperature-dependent-viscosity in hydromagnetic heat/
contributed to the similarity analysis of the PDEs and transformation of the PDEs into ODEs, graphs, presenting with better resolution, and improved the captions of the figures.
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